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1. Introduction

The notion of descent set, for permutations, as well as for standard Young tableaux, is classical. Cellini introduced a natural notion of cyclic descent set for permutations.

For a permutation $\pi = [\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n]$ in the symmetric group $S_n$ on $n$ letters, one defines its descent set as

$$\text{Des}(\pi) := \{1 \leq i \leq n - 1 : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\} \subseteq [n - 1],$$

where $[m] := \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. Its cyclic descent set was defined by Cellini [4] as

$$\text{CDES}(\pi) := \{1 \leq i \leq n : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\} \subseteq [n],$$

with the convention $\pi_{n+1} := \pi_1$. This cyclic descent set was further studied by Dilks, Petersen, Stembridge [6] and others.

Rhoades introduced a cyclic descent notion for standard Young tableaux – but only for rectangular shapes [18]. A generalization of this notion to arbitrary combinatorial sets, which carry a descent set map, was introduced in [2].

Recall the bijection $sh : 2^{[n]} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ induced by the cyclic shift $i \mapsto i + 1 \pmod{n}$, for all $i \in [n]$.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a finite set, equipped with a descent map $\text{Des} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 2^{[n-1]}$. A cyclic extension of $\text{Des}$ is a pair $(c\text{Des}, p)$, where $c\text{Des} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ is a map and $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is a bijection, satisfying the following axioms: for all $T$ in $\mathcal{T}$,

1. **(extension)** $c\text{Des}(T) \cap [n - 1] = \text{Des}(T)$,
2. **(equivariance)** $c\text{Des}(p(T)) = sh(c\text{Des}(T))$,
3. **(non-Escher)** $\emptyset \subsetneq c\text{Des}(T) \subsetneq [n]$.

The term non-Escher refers to M. C. Escher’s drawing “Ascending and Descending", which illustrates the impossibility of the cases $c\text{Des}(\cdot) = \emptyset$ and $c\text{Des}(\cdot) = [n]$ for permutations in $S_n$.

Cellini’s cyclic descent set, denoted by $\text{CDES}$, is a special case of a cyclic descent extension, denoted by $c\text{Des}$, as attested by the following observation.

**Observation 1.2.** Let $\text{CDES}$ continue to denote Cellini’s cyclic descent set on permutations and let $p : S_n \rightarrow S_n$ be the rotation

$$[\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{n-1}, \pi_n] \mapsto [\pi_n, \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{n-1}].$$

Then the pair $(\text{CDES}, p)$ is a cyclic descent extension of $\text{Des}$ on $S_n$ in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Denote the set of standard Young tableau of skew shape $\lambda/\mu$ by $\text{SYT}(\lambda/\mu)$. There is an established notion of descent set for $\text{SYT}(\lambda/\mu)$

$$\text{Des}(T) := \{1 \leq i \leq n - 1 : i + 1 \text{ appears in a lower row of } T \text{ than } i\}.$$ 

A ribbon is a skew shape, which contains no $2 \times 2$ rectangle.

The following theorem was proved in [2] using Postnikov’s toric symmetric functions.
Theorem 1.3 ([2] Theorem 1.1). Let $\lambda/\mu$ be a skew shape with $n$ cells. The descent map $\text{Des}$ on $\text{SYT}(\lambda/\mu)$ has a cyclic extension $(\text{cDes}, p)$ if and only if $\lambda/\mu$ is not a connected ribbon.

A constructive proof was recently given by Huang [11].

The goal of this paper is to show that most conjugacy classes in $S_n$ carry a cyclic descent extension. Our main result is the following full characterization.

Recall that an integer is square-free if no prime square divides it, in particular 1 is square-free.

Theorem 1.4. Let $C_\mu \subseteq S_n$ be a conjugacy class of cycle type $\mu$. The descent map $\text{Des}$ on $C_\mu$ has a cyclic extension $(\text{cDes}, p)$ if and only if $\mu$ is not of the form $(r^s)$ for some square-free $r$.

It turns out that for many conjugacy classes, Cellini’s cyclic descent map is not closed under cyclic rotation modulo $n$, hence it cannot be used to prove the existence of a cyclic descent extension for these conjugacy classes, see Section 6.3 below.

Example 1.5. Consider the conjugacy class of 4-cycles in $S_4$

$$C_4 = \{2341, 2413, 3142, 3421, 4123, 4312\}.$$

Cellini cyclic descent sets are

$$\{3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}$$

respectively; thus, not closed under cyclic rotation. On the other hand, letting the cyclic descent sets be

$$\text{cDes}(2341) = \{3, 4\}, \text{cDes}(2413) = \{2, 4\}, \text{cDes}(3142) = \{1, 3\},$$
$$\text{cDes}(3421) = \{2, 3\}, \text{cDes}(4123) = \{1, 4\}, \text{cDes}(4312) = \{1, 2\}$$

and the map $p$ be defined by

$$2341 \rightarrow 4123 \rightarrow 4312 \rightarrow 3421 \rightarrow 2341$$
and

$$3142 \rightarrow 2413 \rightarrow 3142,$$

the pair $(\text{cDes}, p)$ determines a cyclic descent extension for this conjugacy class.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is non-constructive and involves a detailed study of hook constituents in higher Lie characters.

Definition 1.6. For a partition $\mu$ of $n$ let $C_\mu$ be the conjugacy class of cycle type $\mu$ in $S_n$ and let $\chi^\mu$ denote the irreducible $S_n$-character corresponding to $\mu$. Let $C_\mu$ be a centralizer of a permutation in $C_\mu$. If $k_i$ denotes the multiplicity of the part $i$ in $\mu$ then $C_\mu$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\times_{i=1}^n Z_i \wr S_{k_i}$. Consider the following linear character of $C_\mu$:

First, for each $i$ let $\omega_i$ be the linear character of $Z_i \wr S_{k_i}$ indexed by the $i$-tuple of partitions $(\emptyset, (k_i), \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset)$. In other words, letting $\zeta$ be a primitive irreducible character of the cyclic
group $Z_i$, it extends to the wreath product $Z_i \wr S_{k_i}$ so that it is homogeneous on the base subgroup $Z_i^{k_i}$ and trivial on the wreathing subgroup $S_{k_i}$. We denote this extension by $\omega_i$.

Let $\omega^\mu := \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \omega_i$, a linear character of $C_\mu$. Then the higher Lie character is $\psi^\mu := \omega^\mu \uparrow^{S_n}_{C_\mu}$, the induced character of $S_n$.

The study of the higher Lie character is traced back to Schur [20]. An old problem of Thrall [23] is to provide an explicit combinatorial interpretation of the multiplicities of the irreducible characters in the higher Lie character, see also [22, Exer. 7.89(i)]. Only partial results are known: the case $\mu = (n)$ was solved by Kraskiewicz and Weyman [16]; Désarménien and Wachs [5] resolved a coarser version of Thrall’s problem for the sum of higher Lie characters over all derangements, see also [17]. The best result so far is Schocker’s expansion [19, Theorem 3.1], which however involves signs and rational coefficients.

A remarkable theorem of Gessel and Reutenauer [9, Theorem 2.1] describes the fiber sizes of the descent set map on conjugacy classes as scalar products of characters as follows:

$$|\{\pi \in C_\mu : \text{Des}(\pi) = J\}| = \langle \psi^\mu, \chi^J \rangle,$$

where $\psi^\mu$ is the higher Lie character indexed by $\mu$ and $\chi^J$ is the the Frobenius characteristic image of the ribbon Schur function indexed by $J$, see Section 2 for more details.

There is a cyclic analogue. If the conjugacy class $C_\mu$ carries a cyclic descent extension then the fiber sizes of the cyclic descent map are given by

$$|\{\pi \in C_\mu : \text{cDes}(\pi) = J\}| = \langle \psi^\mu, \chi^J_{\text{cyc}} \rangle,$$

where $\chi^J_{\text{cyc}}$ is the image of the Frobenius characteristic map of a certain affine ribbon Schur function, see Theorem 2.8 below.

To prove Theorem 1.4 for conjugacy classes $C_\mu$ with more than one cycle length we apply a factorization of the associated higher Lie character $\psi^\mu$, to establish the existence of a cyclic descent extension on $C_\mu$ by way of cyclic descent extensions over sets of standard Young tableaux of disconnected shapes, see Proposition 5.1 below.

The core of the proof of Theorem 1.4 is thus the case of $\mu = (r^s)$. For a fixed positive integer $r$, let $M_r(x,y) = \sum_{s=1}^\infty N_{r,s}(x)y^s$ stand for the power series where the coefficient $m_{i,s}$ of $x^iy^s$ is the multiplicity of the hook irreducible character $\chi^{(rs-i,1^s)}$ in the higher Lie character $\psi^{(r^s)}$.

Combining a necessary and sufficient condition for Schur-positive sets to carry a cyclic descent extension (Lemma 3.2.1 below) with a reformulated Gessel-Reutenauer Theorem (Theorem 2.6 below), it is shown that the conjugacy class $C_{(r^s)}$ carries a cyclic descent extension if and only if $\frac{N_{r,s}(x)}{1+x}$ is a polynomial with non-negative integer coefficients.
Using a variant of the Witt transform we prove the following theorem, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 1.7.** The following are equivalent:

(i) The polynomial \( N_{r,1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i,1} x^{i} \) is divisible by \( x + 1 \);
(ii) For every \( s \geq 1 \), the polynomial \( N_{r,s}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r s} m_{i,s} x^{i} \) is divisible by \( x + 1 \);
(iii) \( r \) is not square-free.

Further, in that case, \( \frac{M_r(x,y)}{1+x} \) has non-negative integer coefficients.

It should be noted that in the proof we obtain that the coefficients \( m_{i,1} \) form a unimodal sequence for arbitrary \( r \). We conjecture that \( m_{i,s} \) forms a unimodal sequence for arbitrary \( s \) and \( r \), see Conjecture 6.11.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Necessary background, including a cyclic analogue of Gessel-Reutenauer Theorem, is given in Section 2. A criterion for Schur-positive sets to carry a cyclic descent extension is proved in Section 3. Using this criterion, the proof of Theorem 1.4 is reduced to proving Theorem 1.7. The latter theorem is then proved in Section 4. This is done in two phases. In Subsection 4.2 we provide an explicit expression for the coefficients of \((1+x) M_r(x,y)\), see Proposition 4.3 below. This expression is used in Subsection 4.3 to obtain a product formula for the bivariate polynomial \( 1 + (1+x) M_r(x,y) \), and to deduce Theorem 1.7. See Subsection 4.1 for a more detailed outline of the proof. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is then completed in Section 5. Section 6 concludes the paper with final remarks and open problems.

**Acknowledgements.** The first author is grateful for the hospitality of Bar Ilan University during his Sabbatical leave. Special thanks to Jan Saxl, whose personality influenced the development of this paper in many ways, in particular, our paper is inspired by the ingenious use of a higher Lie character in [12]. Thanks also to Ron Adin for extensive discussions and comments.

2. Background

In this section we discuss basic properties of Schur-positive quasi-symmetric functions and their intimate relations to distribution of descent sets, to be used in later sections. We will also reformulate Gessel-Reutenauer theorem and present its cyclic analogue.

A symmetric function is called *Schur-positive* if all the coefficients in its expansion in the basis of Schur functions are nonnegative. Recall the notation \( s_{\lambda/\mu} \) for the Schur function indexed by a skew shape \( \lambda/\mu \).

For each subset \( D \subseteq [n-1] \) define the *fundamental quasi-symmetric function*

\[
F_{n,D}(x) := \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \ldots \leq i_n \atop i_j < i_{j+1} \text{ if } j \in D} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}.
\]
The following theorem is due to Gessel.

**Theorem 2.1.** [22, Theorem 7.19.7] For every skew shape $\lambda/\mu$,

$$\sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda/\mu)} F_{n, \text{Des}(T)} = s_{\lambda/\mu}.$$  

Given any subset $A \subseteq S_n$, define the quasi-symmetric function

$$Q(A) := \sum_{\pi \in A} F_{n, \text{Des}(\pi)}.$$  

Finding subsets of permutations $A \subseteq S_n$, for which $Q(A)$ is symmetric (Schur-positive), is a long-standing problem, see [9].

We write $\lambda \vdash n$ to denote that $\lambda$ is a partition of a positive integer $n$.

**Lemma 2.2.** For every subset $A \subseteq S_n$ the following equations are equivalent:

(1) $$Q(A) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\lambda} s_{\lambda},$$  

and

(2) $$\sum_{a \in A} x^{\text{Des}(a)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\lambda} \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} F_{n, \text{Des}(T)}. $$  

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1 Equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\pi \in A} F_{n, \text{Des}(\pi)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\lambda} \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} F_{n, \text{Des}(T)}.$$  

Next recall from [22, Ch. 7] that the fundamental quasi-symmetric functions in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ form a basis of $\text{QSym}_n$ - the vector space of quasi-symmetric functions in $n$ variables. Finally, apply the vector space isomorphism from $\text{QSym}_n$ to the space of multilinear polynomials in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, which maps $F_{n,D}$ to $x^D$. \hfill $\square$.

The following theorem is due to Gessel.

For a subset $J = \{j_1 < \ldots < j_t\} \subseteq [n - 1]$, the composition (of $n$)

$$\alpha(J, n) := \{j_1, j_2 - j_1, j_3 - j_2, \ldots, j_t - j_{t-1}, n - j_t\}$$

defines a connected ribbon having the entries of $\alpha(J, n)$ as row lengths. For example, for $n = 7$, the subset $J = \{1, 4, 5\} \subseteq [6]$ corresponds to the shape

```
+---+---+   +---+---+
|   |   |   +---+---+
|   |   +---+---+
|   +---+---+
```

Let $s_{\alpha(J,n)}$ be the associated (skew) ribbon Schur function.
Theorem 2.3. [8] an immediate consequence of Theorem 3] Let $A$ be a finite set, equipped with a descent map $\text{Des} : A \rightarrow 2^{[n-1]}$. If

$$Q(A) := \sum_{a \in A} F_{n,\text{Des}(a)}$$

is symmetric then

$$|\{a \in A : \text{Des}(a) = J\}| = \langle s_{\alpha(J,n)}, Q(A) \rangle \quad (\forall J \subseteq [n-1]).$$

We proceed to cite a cyclic analogue proved in [1]. Recall that $s_{\alpha(n,J)} := \sum_{\emptyset \subseteq I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J \setminus I|} h_{\alpha(n,I)}$, where $h_{\alpha}$ is the homogeneous symmetric function indexed by $\alpha$.

For a subset $\emptyset \neq J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_t\} \subseteq [n]$ define the corresponding cyclic composition of $n$ as

$$\alpha^{cyc}(J,n) := (j_2 - j_1, \ldots, j_t - j_{t-1}, j_1 + n - j_t),$$

with $\alpha^{cyc}(J,n) := (n)$ when $J = \{j_1\}$; note that $\alpha^{cyc}(\emptyset, n)$ is not defined. The corresponding affine (cyclic) ribbon Schur function was defined in [2] as

$$\tilde{s}_{\alpha^{cyc}(J,n)} := \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J \setminus I|} h_{\alpha^{cyc}(I,n)}.$$

Theorem 2.4. [1, Cor. 4.12] Let $A$ be a finite set, which is equipped with a descent map $\text{Des} : A \rightarrow 2^{[n-1]}$ and carries a cyclic extension. If

$$Q(A) := \sum_{a \in A} F_{n,\text{Des}(T)}$$

is symmetric then the fiber sizes of (any) cyclic descent map satisfy

$$|\{a \in A : c\text{Des}(a) = J\}| = \langle Q(A), \tilde{s}_{\alpha^{cyc}(J,n)} \rangle \quad (\forall \emptyset \subseteq J \subseteq [n]).$$

Corollary 2.5. With the above notation, if $A$ carries a cyclic descent extension, then the cyclic descent set generating function is uniquely determined. Furthermore, in this case

$$Q(A) = \sum_{\lambda/\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda/\mu} s_{\lambda/\mu},$$

where the sum is over skew shapes of size $n$, if and only if

$$\sum_{a \in A} x^{c\text{Des}(a)} = \sum_{\lambda/\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda/\mu} \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda/\mu)} x^{c\text{Des}(T)}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 together with Theorem 2.1, if Equation (5) holds then for every $\emptyset \subseteq J \subseteq [n]$

$$|\{a \in A : c\text{Des}(a) = J\}| = \langle Q(A), \tilde{s}_{\alpha^{cyc}(J,n)} \rangle = \langle \sum_{\lambda/\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda/\mu} s_{\lambda/\mu}, \tilde{s}_{\alpha^{cyc}(J,n)} \rangle$$
\[
\sum_{\lambda/\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda/\mu} \langle Q(SYT(\lambda/\mu)), \delta_{\alpha^{cyc}(J,n)} \rangle = \sum_{\lambda/\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda/\mu} \{ T \in SYT(\lambda/\mu) : c\text{Des}(T) = J \}.
\]

Thus Equation (6) holds.

For the opposite direction, let \( x_n = 1 \) in Equation (6) and apply Lemma 2.2 to deduce Equation (5). □

Let \( \mu \vdash n \) be a partition of \( n \) and let \( \psi^\mu \) be the higher Lie character indexed by \( \mu \) (see Definition 1.6). Denote by \( L_\mu \) the image of \( \psi^\mu \) under the Frobenius characteristic map. For an explicit description of this symmetric function see e.g. [22, Ex. 7.89].

The following result is proved in [9].

**Theorem 2.6.** [9, Proof of Theorem 2.1] For every partition \( \mu \) of \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
Q(C_\mu) = L_\mu = \text{ch}(\psi^\mu),
\]

where \( \text{ch} \) is the Frobenius characteristic map. Equivalently,

\[
Q(C_\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \langle \psi^\mu, \chi^\lambda \rangle s_\lambda.
\]

In particular, \( Q(C_\mu) \) is Schur-positive.

Theorem 2.6 together with Theorem 2.3 imply

**Theorem 2.7.** [9, Theorem 2.1] For every conjugacy class \( C_\mu \) of cycle type \( \mu \vdash n \) the descent set map \( \text{Des} \) has fiber sizes given by

\[
|\{ \pi \in C_\mu : \text{Des}(\pi) = J \}| = \langle s_{\alpha(J,n)}, L_\mu \rangle \quad (\forall J \subseteq [n-1]),
\]

where \( \langle -, - \rangle \) is the usual inner product on symmetric functions.

The following cyclic analogue of Theorem 2.7 results from Theorem 2.6 together with Theorem 2.4.

**Theorem 2.8.** For every conjugacy class \( C_\mu \), which carries a cyclic descent set extension, all cyclic extensions of the descent set map \( \text{Des} \) have fiber sizes given by

\[
|\{ \pi \in C_\mu : c\text{Des}(\pi) = J \}| = \langle \delta_{\alpha^{cyc}(J,n)}, L_\mu \rangle \quad (\forall \emptyset \subset J \subset [n]).
\]

3. The role of hooks

Let \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq S_n \) be Schur-positive. Denote

\[
m_\lambda := \langle Q(\mathcal{A}), s_\lambda \rangle
\]

We claim that

**Lemma 3.1.** For every \( 0 \leq k < n \)

\[
m_{(n-k,1^k)} = |\{ a \in \mathcal{A} : \text{Des}(a) = [k] \} |.
\]
Proof. Notice that for every $0 \leq k < n$, there exists a unique SYT $T$ of size $n$ with $\text{Des}(T) = [k]$ (here $[0] := \emptyset$). The shape of $T$ is $(n-k, 1^k)$. Comparing the coefficients of $x^k$ on both sides of Equation (2) completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

The following consequence is very useful.

The direct sum operation, denoted $\lambda \oplus \mu$, is defined as a skew shape having the diagram of $\lambda$ strictly southwest of the diagram for $\mu$, with no rows or columns in common. For example,

$$(1^2) \oplus (5) = \begin{array}{cccc} \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \\ \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{cccc} \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \\ \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \\ \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \end{array} = \begin{array}{cccc} \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \\ \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \\ \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \\ \text{} & \text{} & \text{} & \text{} \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.2. 1. With the above notation, a Schur positive set has a cyclic descent extension if and only if the following two conditions hold:

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m_{(n-k,1^k)} x^k = (1 + x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} d_k x^k$$

and

$$(8) \quad d_k \geq 0 \quad (\forall k).$$

2. If a Schur-positive set $A$ has a cyclic descent extension then

$$(9) \quad \sum_{a \in A} x^{c\text{Des}(a)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n \text{ non-hook}} m_\lambda \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} x^{c\text{Des}(T)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}((1^k) \oplus (n-k))} x^{c\text{Des}(T)},$$

where $m_\lambda$ and $d_k$ are the defined above nonnegative integers.

Proof. First assume that there is a cyclic descent extension. Lemma 3.1 implies that for every $0 \leq k < n$,

$$m_{(n-k,1^k)} = |\{a \in A : \text{Des}(a) = [k]\}|$$

$$= |\{a \in A : c\text{Des}(a) = [k]\}| + |\{a \in A : c\text{Des}(a) = [k] \cup \{n\}\}|$$

$$= |\{a \in A : c\text{Des}(a) = [k]\}| + |\{a \in A : c\text{Des}(a) = [k+1]\}|.$$

Letting

$$d_k := |\{a \in A : c\text{Des}(a) = [k+1]\}|$$

shows that (7) and (8) hold.

For the opposite direction, assume that (7) and (8) hold. By Pieri’s rule [22, Theorem 7.5.17],

$$(10) \quad s_{(1^k) \oplus (n-k)} = s_{(1^k)} s_{(n-k)} = s_{(n-k+1,1^{k-1})} + s_{(n-k,1^k)}.$$
One concludes that

\[ Q(A) = \sum_{\lambda \text{ non-hook}} m_{\lambda} s_{\lambda} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} s(1^{k}) \oplus (n-k). \]

By Lemma 2.2, this is equivalent to

\[ \sum_{a \in A} x_{\text{Des}(a)} = \sum_{\lambda \text{ non-hook}} m_{\lambda} \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} x_{\text{Des}(T)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}((1^{k}) \oplus (n-k))} x_{\text{Des}(T)}. \]

By Theorem 1.3 for every non-hook straight shape \( \lambda \) the set \( \text{SYT}(\lambda) \) carries a cyclic descent extension; also each of the sets \( \text{SYT}((1^{k}) \oplus (n-k)) \), \( 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \), carries a cyclic descent extension. Hence \( A \) also carries a cyclic descent extension, completing the proof of Part 1.

Furthermore, by Corollary 2.5 together with Equation (11), the corresponding cyclic descent set generating function is uniquely determined and satisfies Equation (9), completing the proof of Part 2.

\[ \square \]

4. Hook constituents in the higher Lie character

4.1. Outline. In this section we prove Theorem 1.7. For the whole section we let \( r \) be fixed, while \( s \) and hence \( n = rs \) vary. The arguments below also work for the trivial case of \( r = 1 \).

Here is an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.7

Instead of the coefficients \( m_{k} = m_{k,s} \) it turns out to be easier to work with \( e_{k} = m_{k} + m_{k-1} \) which also carry an inner product interpretation (12).

In the first step of the proof we obtain an explicit description of \( e_{k} \), see Proposition 4.4. This involves detailed computation of character values and an inner product. It implies a product formula for the formal power series expansion \( E_{r}(x,y) = M_{r}(x,y)(1 + x) + 1 \), see Corollary 4.8. This is the real gain from working with \( e_{k} \) and it enables passing from \( s = 1 \) to \( s > 1 \).

Second, we prove unimodality of the series \( m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n-1} \) in the case of the full cycle, that is when \( s = 1 \), see Proposition 4.7.

Third, we prove the equivalences of Theorem 1.7 that is \( \sum_{k} (-1)^{k} m_{k} = 0 \) if and only if \( r \) has a prime square divisor. See Proposition 4.9. Combined with unimodality this also implies the non-negativity of the quotient if \( s = 1 \), \( r \) is not square-free.

In the last step we use the \( s = 1 \) result to obtain the general case, see Proposition 4.10.

4.2. Characters. In this subsection we give an explicit formula for the multiplicities

\[ m_{k} := \langle \psi, \chi^{(n-k,1^{k})} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad e_{k} := \langle \psi, \chi^{(1^{k}) \oplus (n-k)} \rangle, \]

where \( \psi := \psi^{rs} \).
By Pieri’s rule (see Equation (10)) combined with the inverse Frobenius characteristic map,
\[ \chi^{(1^k)\oplus(n-k)} = \chi^{(n-k+1,1^{k-1})} + \chi^{(n-k,1^k)} \quad (0 < k < n), \]
and equivalently,
\[ \chi^{(n-k,1^k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \chi^{(1^i)\oplus(n-i)} \quad (0 \leq k < n). \]
In this light, the multiplicity-sequences \( \{m_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1} \) and \( \{e_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1} \) determine each other, via the relations
\[ (13) \quad m_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} e_i, \quad e_k = m_k + m_{k-1}. \]
Nota bene, these multiplicities depend on \( r \) and \( s \). While \( r \) is fixed throughout the whole section, \( s \) and \( n = rs \) vary. This dependence is suppressed in the notation hoping that there will be no misunderstanding caused by that.

In this section we consider \( \mu := (r^s) \). Recall that \( C_\mu \cong Z_r \wr S_s \) is the centralizer of an element from the conjugacy class \( C_\mu \) and \( \omega^\mu \) a certain linear character of \( C_\mu \) which induces \( \psi^\mu \) on \( S_n \). We embed \( C_\mu \) into \( K_{r,s} \cong S_r \wr S_s \leq S_n \) the corresponding full wreath product of symmetric groups. We further let \( \phi_{r,s} := \omega^\mu \uparrow^{K_{r,s}}_{C_\mu}, \) so \( \psi^\mu = \phi_{r,s} \uparrow^{S_n}_{K_{r,s}}. \)

In the lemma below we express the multiplicity of a certain linear character in \( \phi_{r,s} \) over a subgroup of \( K_{r,s} \). We will need it later when we determine the values \( e_i \).

The greatest common divisor of two integers \( i,j \) is denoted by \( (i,j) \). Recall the Möbius function \( \mu(d) \), the sum of the primitive \( d \)-th roots of 1. If \( d \) has a prime square divisor then \( \mu(d) = 0 \). Otherwise, \( d \) is a product of \( k \) distinct primes and \( \mu(d) = (-1)^k. \)

**Definition 4.1.** Define
\[ (14) \quad f_j := \sum_{d | (r,j)} \frac{\mu(d) (-1)^{j+d/j/d}}{r} \left( \frac{r/d}{j/d} \right) \quad (0 \leq j \leq r). \]

**Remark 4.2.** By definition, \( f_1 = 1 \) for all \( r \) and \( f_0 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r = 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } r > 1. \end{cases} \) It will be shown in the lemma below that for all \( j \), \( f_j \) is a non-negative integer.

Denote the sign character of \( S_n \) by \( \varepsilon S_n \) and notice that \( \chi^{(1^k)\oplus(n-k)} = (\varepsilon S_k \times 1_{S_{n-k}}) \uparrow^{S_n} \).

For every \( 0 \leq j \leq r \) let \( R_{r,j} := S_j \times S_{r-j} \leq S_r \). Then, in the natural embedding \( R_{r,j} \wr S_s = K \cap S_{rj/s} \times S_{(r-j)/s} = S_j \wr S_s \times S_{r-j} \wr S_s \). Let
\[ \nu_{r,j,s} = (\varepsilon S_{j/s} \times 1_{S_{r-j/s}}) \downarrow^{R_{r,j} \wr S_s}, \]
a 1-dimensional irreducible character of \( R_{r,j} \wr S_s \).
In the rest of this Subsection, \( r, s \) and \( j \) are fixed, unless specified otherwise. For convenience, we will omit the indices and will write \( K := K_{r,s}, R := R_{r,j}, \omega := \omega^\mu, \psi := \psi(r^x), \phi := \phi_{r,s}, \nu := \nu_{r,j,s} \) etc.

**Lemma 4.3.** For every \( 0 \leq j \leq r, f_j \) is a non-negative integer and

\[
\langle \phi \downarrow_{R_S}, \nu \rangle = \left( f_j + (s-1)\delta^{2j}_s \right), \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd};
\]

\[
\left( f_j + (s+1)\delta^{2j}_s \right), \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even}.
\]

**Proof.** First we determine the character values of the induced character \( \phi = \omega^r \) on the wreath product \( K = S_r \wr S_s \). Let \( \zeta : Z_r \to \mathbb{C} \) denote the primitive character which is extended to \( C_\mu \) to obtain \( \omega \).

Recall the definition of the induced character \([13] (5.1)\): For \( H \leq G \) and \( \chi \) a character of \( H \) let \( \chi^0 : G \to \mathbb{C} \) be defined by \( \chi^0(g) = 0 \) if \( g \notin H \) and \( \chi^0(g) = \chi(g) \) otherwise. Then

\[
\chi^G(y) = \chi \uparrow^G_H(y) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi^0(x^{-1}yx),
\]

where \( T \) is a full set of right coset representatives of \( H \) in \( G \).

An element of \( K = S_r \wr S_s \) is represented by an \( s \)-tuple of elements of \( S_r \) and a wreathing permutation from \( S_s \), \( K = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_s, \sigma) \mid x_i \in S_r, \sigma \in S_s\} \). A full set of right \( Z_r \wr S_s \)-coset representatives in \( K \) is \( T = S_{r-1}^s = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_s, 1) \mid x_i \in S_{r-1}\} \). For any \( z_1, \ldots, z_s \in Z_r \), the shifted set \((z_1, \ldots, z_s, 1)T\) is also a full set of right coset representatives. So instead of taking the sum over \( T \) we will consider the sum over all \((x_1, \ldots, x_s) \in S_{r}^s \) and divide by \( r^s \).

The conjugate we are interested in is

\[
(x_1, \ldots, x_s, 1)^{-1}(y_1, \ldots, y_s, \sigma)(x_1, \ldots, x_s, 1) = (x_1^{-1}y_1x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, x_s^{-1}y_sx_{\sigma^{-1}(s)}, \sigma).
\]

For \( \sigma \in S_s \) let \( O_k = \{k, \sigma^{-1}(k), \ldots\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\} \) denote the cycle of \( \sigma^{-1} \) indexed by an arbitrary element \( k \) from the cycle; for \( y_1, \ldots, y_s \in S_r \) let \( c_k = y_ky_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \cdots \) denote the corresponding product. So, if \( x_i^{-1}y_ix_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} \in Z_r \) for every \( i \in O_k \), then

\[
\prod_{i \in O_k} \zeta(x_i^{-1}y_ix_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}) = \zeta(x_k^{-1}c_kx_k).
\]

The value of the induced character on an arbitrary \((y_1, \ldots, y_s, \sigma) \in K = S_r \wr S_s \) is thus

\[
\phi(y_1, \ldots, y_s, \sigma) = \frac{1}{r^s} \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_s \in S_r} \omega^0(x_1^{-1}y_1x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, x_s^{-1}y_sx_{\sigma^{-1}(s)}, \sigma)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{r^s} \sum_{x_i^{-1}y_ix_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} \in Z_r} \zeta(x_i^{-1}y_ix_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}) = \frac{1}{r^s} \sum_{x_i^{-1}y_ix_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} \in Z_r} \prod_{k \in \Gamma} \zeta(x_k^{-1}c_kx_k),
\]

where \( \Gamma \) is the set of representatives of the cycles in \( \sigma \).

In particular, each cycle-product \( c_k \) should be conjugate to an element of \( Z_r \), that is it ought to be a product of equal length cycles, otherwise the character value is 0.
If \( c_k \) is a product of \( d \)-cycles, where \( d = o(c_k) \) and \( x_k \) is such that \( x_k^{-1} c_k x_k \in Z_r \), then the value of \( \zeta(x_k^{-1} c_k x_k) \) is a primitive \( d \)-th root of unity. By varying the conjugating element \( x_k \), each such root is obtained with the same multiplicity \( r!/[C_{d',d}] = d'/d! \). The other \( x_i \)'s, for \( i \in O_k \setminus \{ k \} \), are arbitrary, as long as \( x_i^{-1} y_i x_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} \in Z_r \). There are \( r^l-1 \) such choices if \( l = |O_k| \) is the length of the cycle.

Let \( t \) denote the number of cycles of \( \sigma \). The sum (16) simplifies to

\[
\phi(y_1, \ldots, y_s, \sigma) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{r^t} \prod_{k \in \Gamma} \mu(d_k) d_k^{r/d_k} (r/d_k)! , & \text{if } c_k \text{ is conjugate into } Z_r \text{ for each } k; \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Now we determine the inner product over \( R \setminus S_s \). Let \( y_i = v_i z_i \in R = S_j \times S_{r-j} \leq S_r \) with \( v_i \in S_j \) and \( z_i \in S_{r-j} \). Given \( O_k \) and \( d|r \), the cycle-product \( c_k = v_k z_k v_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} z_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \cdots \) can be conjugated into \( Z_r \) and is of order \( d \) if and only if both corresponding cycle-products \( v_k v_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \cdots \) and \( z_k z_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \cdots \) are products of disjoint \( d \)-cycles. In particular, \( d \) divides \( j \), otherwise the character value is 0.

For a fixed common divisor \( d \) of \( r \) and \( j \) let \( n_d \) denote the number of elements of \( R \) that are products of disjoint \( d \)-cycles. Suppose \( O_k \) has length \( l_k \), then in exactly \( j!(r-j)!\) \(^{k-1}n_d \) ways is the cycle-product \( c_k \) a product of disjoint \( d \)-cycles. In all these cases \( c_k \) can be conjugated into \( Z_r \). For different orbits \( O_k \) the choices of the respective \( d_k\)’s \((r,j)\) are independent.

The \( S_{jr} \)-component of \((1, \ldots, 1, \sigma)\) is a product of \( j \) cycles of length \( l_k \) for each cycle \( O_k \). So the sign of this \( S_{jr} \)-component is \( \nu(1, \ldots, 1, \sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)^j \), where, to distinguish, \( \text{sgn}(\sigma) \) denotes the sign of \( \sigma \) in \( S_s \). Similarly, \( \nu(y_1, \ldots, y_s, 1) = \varepsilon(y_1, \ldots, y_s, 1) \) is the product of the signs of \( v_k v_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \cdots \) in \( S_j \) for all \( O_k \). If \( c_k \) has order \( d_k \) then \( v_k v_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \cdots \) is a product of \( \frac{j}{d_k} \) cycles of length \( d_k \) so its sign is \((-1)^{(d_k-1)\frac{j}{d_k}} = (-1)^{j+\frac{j}{d_k}} \).

So

\[
\langle \phi \downarrow_{R \setminus S_s, \nu} \rangle = \frac{1}{|R \setminus S_s|} \sum_{(v_1 z_1, \ldots, v_s z_s, \sigma) \in R \setminus S_s} \nu(v_1 z_1, \ldots, v_s z_s, \sigma) \phi(v_1 z_1, \ldots, v_s z_s, \sigma)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_s} \text{sgn}(\sigma)^j \frac{1}{(j!)^s} \sum_{v_1 \cdots v_s \in S_j} \varepsilon(v_1, \ldots, v_s, 1) \frac{1}{(r-j)!^s} \sum_{z_1 \cdots z_{r-j} \in S_{r-j}} \phi(v_1 z_1, \ldots, \sigma)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_s} \text{sgn}(\sigma)^j \frac{1}{(j!(r-j)!)^{t_\sigma}} \left( \sum_{d|(r,j)} \frac{\mu(d) d^{r/d} (r/d)!}{r} (-1)^{j+\frac{j}{d}} n_d \right)^{t_\sigma},
\]

where \( t_\sigma \) denotes the number of cycles of \( \sigma \).

Or course,

\[
n_d = \frac{j!}{d^{t_\sigma - 1} n_d} \cdot \frac{(r-j)!}{d^{t_\sigma - 1} (r-j)!} = \frac{j! (r-j)!}{d^{t_\sigma} (r-j)!}.
\]
Putting all together, we obtain

\[(17) \quad \langle \phi \downarrow_{R S_n}, \nu \rangle = \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_s} \text{sgn}(\sigma)^j \left( \sum_{d(r,j)} \frac{\mu(d)(-1)^{j+r}}{r} \frac{r/d}{j/d} \right)^{t_\sigma} = \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_s} \text{sgn}(\sigma)^j f^{t_\sigma},\]

see (14).

In particular, if \( s = 1 \) then \( f = \langle \phi \downarrow_R, \nu \rangle \), so it is a non-negative integer.

Finally, by [21, Prop. 1.3.4], for any non-negative integer \( a \) we have

\[\frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_s} \text{sgn}(\sigma)a^{t_\sigma} = \binom{a}{s} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_s} a^{t_\sigma} = \binom{a+s-1}{s}.\]

By (17), we obtain the desired (15).

We now determine a formula for the multiplicities \( e_i \). First, for given \( i, r, s \) let

\[(18) \quad P(i)_r^s = \{ \gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s) \mid \sum_{i=1}^s \gamma_i = i, r \geq \gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_s \geq 0 \}\]

denote the set of partitions of \( i \) into (at most) \( s \) parts, all of size at most \( r \). For \( \gamma \in P(i)_r^s \) we denote the multiplicity of \( j \) in it by \( k_j(\gamma) = |\{ 1 \leq l \leq s \mid \gamma_l = j \}| \).

**Proposition 4.4.** For every \( s \geq 1, i \geq 0 \) we have

\[e_i = \langle \psi, (\varepsilon_{S_i} \times 1_{S_{n-i}}) \uparrow_{S_n} \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \in P(i)_r^s, k_j(\gamma) > 0} \prod_{j \in P(i)_r^s, k_j(\gamma) > 0} \left( \frac{f_j + (k_j(\gamma) - 1)\delta_{2|j}}{k_j(\gamma)} \right),\]

In particular, for \( s = 1 \) we have \( e_i = f_i \).

**Remark 4.5.**

1. The case \( s = 1 \) is not new. See Subsection 6.2 below for a discussion.
2. Note that if \( r > 1 \) only proper partitions whose all \( s \) parts are positive appear in the sum of the right hand side. In fact, if \( k_0(\gamma) > 0 \) then since \( f_0 = 0 \) the contribution of the partition \( \gamma \) is zero.

**Proof.** We first put \( \psi = \phi \uparrow_{S_n} \). Then use Frobenius reciprocity and Mackey’s theorem [13] (5.2), Problem (5.6)] to get

\[(19) \quad e_i = \langle \phi \uparrow_{S_n}, (\varepsilon_{S_i} \times 1_{S_{n-i}}) \uparrow_{S_n} \rangle = \langle \phi \uparrow_{S_n} \downarrow_{S_i \times S_{n-i}}, \varepsilon_{S_i} \times 1_{S_{n-i}} \rangle\]

\[= \sum_{S_n = \cup_{Kg^{-1}(S_i \times S_{n-i})}} \langle (\phi \downarrow_{K \cap S_i^g \times S_{n-i}^g}) \uparrow_{(S_i^g \times S_{n-i}^g)}, (\varepsilon_{S_i^g} \times 1_{S_{n-i}^g}) \rangle\]

The above sum is indexed by double \((K, S_i \times S_{n-i})\) cosets. A suitable parametrisation of the cosets is by \( P(i)_r^s \). (The idea and the definition appear in [10] without explicit reference to double cosets or Mackey’s theorem, see Definitions 2.8-2.10 and Proposition 2.11 there.) An example of a partition of 13 representing a double \((S_5^5 \times S_6^6, S_{13} \times S_{17})\)-coset is in Figure 1.
For completeness, we describe the bijection explicitly. First fix the underlying decomposition \( \{1, \ldots, i\}, \{i+1, \ldots, n\} \) for \( S_i \times S_{n-i} \). Then fix the underlying set for \( C_\mu \cong Z_r \wr S_s \) and \( K \cong S_r \wr S_s \). Let the \( s \) copies of \( S_r \) act on the blocks \( B_j = \{(j-1)r+1, (j-1)r+2, \ldots, jr\} \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, s \). The elements of \( S_s \) permute these blocks. Given \( g \in S_n \) we map the double coset \( K g^{-1} S_i \times S_{n-i} \) to the partition \( \gamma \in P(i)^g \) which is a rearrangement of the composition

\[
(|\{1, \ldots, i\}^g \cap B_1|, \ldots, |\{1, \ldots, i\}^g \cap B_s|).
\]

For arbitrary \( x \in K, y \in S_i \times S_{n-i} \) we have

\[
|\{1, \ldots, i\}^{g^{-1}yx^{-1}} \cap B_j| = |\{1, \ldots, i\}^g \cap B_j|,
\]

so our map is well defined. Conversely, for \( \gamma = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in P(i)^g \) let \( g \in S_n \) be an element such that \( |\{1, \ldots, i\}^g \cap B_j| = a_j \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, s \). Then \( \gamma \) is the image of the double coset \( K g^{-1} S_i \times S_{n-i} \).

Finally, if \( K g^{-1} S_i \times S_{n-i} \) and \( K h^{-1} S_i \times S_{n-i} \) are mapped to the same partition, then with a suitable permutation \( x \in S_s \subseteq K \) we may assume \( |\{1, \ldots, i\}^g \cap B_j| = |\{1, \ldots, i\}^h \cap B_j| \) for every \( j \). Then, with suitable \( z \in S_r^e \subseteq K \) we can rearrange them that is \( \{1, \ldots, i\}^g \cap B_j = \{1, \ldots, i\}^{h \cap B_j} \) for every \( j \). Then we can make sure by a \( y \in S_i \times S_{n-i} \) that \( e^g = e^{h \cap B_j} \) for every \( e \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). That is, \( K g^{-1} S_i \times S_{n-i} = K h^{-1} S_i \times S_{n-i} \).

We determine the summand of (19) when the corresponding permutation is indexed by \( \gamma \in P(i)^g \) where part \( j \) occurs with multiplicity \( k_j = k_j(\gamma) \) (for \( j = 0, \ldots, r \)). Observe that

\[
K \cap S_i^g \times S_{n-i}^g \cong R_{r,0} \wr S_{k_0} \times R_{r,1} \wr S_{k_1} \times \cdots \times R_{r,r} \wr S_{k_r},
\]

where \( R_{r,j} = S_j \times S_{r-j} \), as above. Therefore the summand is

\[
\langle \phi \downarrow_{K \cap S_i^g \times S_{n-i}^g}, (\varepsilon_{S_i} \times 1_{S_{n-i}}) \downarrow_{K \cap S_i \times S_{n-i}} \rangle = \prod_j \langle \phi_{r,k_j} \downarrow_{R_{r,j} \wr S_{k_j}} (\varepsilon_{S_i} \times 1_{S_{n-i}}) \downarrow_{R_{r,j} \wr S_{k_j}} \rangle = \prod_j \langle \phi_{r,k_j} \downarrow_{R_{r,j} \wr S_{k_j}}, \nu_{r,j,k_j} \rangle.
\]
We have determined exactly these inner products in Lemma 4.3. By (19), (20), (15) and the definition of $f_j$ in (14) we obtain the claimed
\[ e_i = \langle \phi \uparrow S_n, (\varepsilon_{S_i} \times 1_{S_{n-i}}) \uparrow S_n \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \in P(i)} \prod_{k_j \in \gamma} (f_j + (k_j(\gamma) - 1)\delta_{2i}) \].

If $s = 1$ then $k_i(\gamma) = 1$ is the unique nonzero multiplicity, so $e_i = (f_i)_1 = f_i$. □

4.3. Variant of the Witt transform. Let us collect the $f_j$ multiplicities into a polynomial
\[ F(x) = f_0 + f_1x + f_2x^2 + \cdots f_{r-1}x^{r-1} + f_rx^r. \]

Then
\[ F(x) = \sum_j x^j \sum_{d|\langle r,j \rangle} \frac{\mu(d)(-1)^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}}}{r} \left( \frac{r/d}{j/d} \right) = \sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu(d)}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r/d} (-1)^{k(d+1)} \left( \frac{r/d}{k} \right) x^{kd} \]
\[ = \sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu(d)}{r} (1 - (-x)^d)^{r/d}. \]

Recall from [15] that the $r$-th Witt transform of a polynomial $p(x)$ is defined by
\[ W_p^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|r} \mu(d)p(x^d)^{r/d}. \]

In our case put $p(x) = 1 - x$ and get $F(x) = W_p^{(r)}(-x)$. The proof of Theorem 4 and Lemma 1 of [15] could have been used to prove that the coefficients of $F(x)$ are non-negative integers. However, we obtained this non-obvious property of $f_i$ from its interpretation as inner product of two characters.

Although monotonicity and signs of coefficients of Witt transforms are of a general concern, our results do not follow from them. We need non-negativity after we divide by $(1 + x)^2$. However, our methods are of similar flavor and might be applied in more general contexts.

A sequence $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ of real numbers is called unimodal if there exists a middle index $N$ such that the sequence is increasing $a_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \leq N - 1$ and decreasing $a_i \geq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq N + 1$.

This notion is relevant for us for the following standard observation. Suppose $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ is unimodal and $\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j a_j = 0$. Then $b_i = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} a_j \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ and we have $a_j = b_j + b_{j-1}$ for every $0 \leq j \leq n$.

For this motivation we will now establish the unimodality of the series of coefficients $m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ if $s = 1$. Although this is a combinatorial statement in view of Lemma 6.4 below, our proof is analytic. We separate the following technical lemma.
Lemma 4.6. Let $6 < r$, $1 < d | r$ and $2 \leq j < r/2$. If $j = \frac{r-1}{2}$ then also assume $r > 9$. We have upper bounds for the fraction

$$A_{r,d,j} = \frac{\left(\frac{r}{d} - 1\right)}{r-1} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{r}{d} - 1\right)}{\left(\begin{array}{c} d-1 \\ j \end{array}\right)}$$

as follows:

\begin{align*}
A_{r,d,j}(r-1) &\leq \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } d = 2, 2|j; \\
\frac{3(r-2j)}{2(r-j)}, & \text{if } d = 2, 2 \nmid j; \\
\frac{r-2j}{r-j}, & \text{if } d > 2.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}

Proof. Let $l = \left[\frac{d}{j}\right]$. Then the binomial coefficient is

$$\left(\frac{r}{d} - 1\right) = \left(\frac{r}{d} - 1\right) = \frac{(r-d)(r-2d) \cdots (r-ld)}{ld(l-1)d \cdots d}.$$

So the fraction $A_{r,d,j}$ retains the terms not divisible by $d$:

$$A_{r,d,j} = \prod_{\substack{x \leq j \\ d \nmid x = \prod_{(r-x) \nmid x \leq j}}} x.$$

It follows that if $3 \leq j$ then $A_{r,d,j} \leq A_{r,d,3} \leq A_{r,d,2}$. We turn to the first case of (22). Then $A_{r,2,j} \leq A_{r,2,2} = \frac{1}{j-1}$, so the claim is clear.

In the second case $A_{r,2,j} \leq A_{r,2,3} = \frac{3}{(r-1)(r-3)}$. The claim is that $2(r-j) \leq (r-3)(r-2j)$.

It holds as $2 \leq (r-2j)$ and $r-j \leq r-3$.

In the last case $A_{r,d,j} \leq A_{r,d,2} = \frac{1}{(r-2j)}$. The claim holds if $2(r-j) \leq (r-2j)(r-2)$, which is true indeed unless $r = 2j + 1$, since in this case $2 \leq r - 2j$ and $r-j \leq r-2$.

It remains to consider the case $r = 2j + 1$. Note that we assumed that $j > 4$. Since $d > 2$, $\left[\frac{d}{j}\right] \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\frac{d}{j} \leq \frac{5}{9}$. Hence

$$A_{r,d,j} \leq A_{r,d,5} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{r}{5} - 1\right)}{(r-1)(r-2)(r-4)(r-5)} = \frac{40}{(r-1)(r-2)(r-4)(r-5)}.$$

Thus, in this case we get

$$\frac{(r-1)(r-j)}{r-2j} \leq \frac{40(r-j)}{(r-2)(r-4)(r-5)(r-2j)} = \frac{40(j+1)}{(2j-1)(2j-3)(2j-4)} \leq \frac{40}{63},$$

as required.

□

Proposition 4.7. Let $s = 1$. Then the sequence $m_1, m_2, \ldots$ is unimodal. Hence all partial alternating sums $d_i = \sum_{j \leq i} (-1)^{i-j} m_j$ are nonnegative.
Proof. As \( s = 1 \), so \( e_j = f_j \) and by (13), \((1 + x) \sum_j m_jx^j = F(x)\). Using Proposition 4.4 and (21), we obtain

\[
\sum_j m_jx^j = \frac{1}{r(1 + x)} \sum_{d|r} \mu(d)(1 - (-x)^d)^{r/d}.
\]

We write \((1 - (-x)^d)^{r/d} = (1 + x)(1 - x + \cdots + (-x)^{d-1})(1 - (-x)^d)^{r/d-1}\) and expand the right hand side of the above equation accordingly to obtain the coefficient of \(x^j\). For convenience, we multiply by \(r\). Then

\[
rm_j = \sum_{d|r} \mu(d)(-1)^k(-1)^{(d+1)/2}
\]

where \(j = dl + k\) with \(0 \leq k \leq d - 1\). In this sum the main term is for \(d = 1\), however, if \(2|r\) then recording the \(d = 2\) term will also make the proof smoother so we single it out. That is

\[
rm_j = \begin{cases} 
\left(\frac{r - 1}{j}\right) + (-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}\left(\frac{j}{2} - 1\right) + \sum_{2<d|r} \pm \mu(d)\left(\frac{\frac{d}{2} - 1}{\frac{d}{2}}\right), & \text{if } 2|r; \\
\left(\frac{r - 1}{j}\right) + \sum_{2<d|r} \pm \mu(d)\left(\frac{\frac{d}{2} - 1}{\frac{d}{2}}\right), & \text{if not.}
\end{cases}
\]

To prove unimodality, we now show that \(m_{j-1} \leq m_j\) for \(j \leq \frac{r-1}{2}\). Mutatis mutandis we could also obtain \(m_{j-1} \geq m_j\) for \(j \geq \frac{r+1}{2}\). The details of that are left to the reader.

Note that

\[
\left(\frac{r - 1}{j}\right) - \left(\frac{r - 1}{j-1}\right) = \left(\frac{r - 1}{j}\right)\left(1 - \frac{j}{r - j}\right).
\]

Similarly, for even \(r\) and \(j\) we have

\[
\left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2}}\right) - \left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2} - 1}\right) = \left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{j}{r - j}\right).
\]

Also note that, by \(j < r/2\) we have \(\left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2}}\right) \geq \left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2} - 1}\right)\). From (24) we get for even \(r\) and even \(j\) that

\[
rm_j - rm_{j-1} \geq \left(\frac{r - 1}{j}\right) - \left(\frac{r - 1}{j-1}\right) + (-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2}}\right) - \left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2} - 1}\right)\right) - 2 \sum_{2<d|r} \left(\frac{\frac{d}{2} - 1}{\frac{d}{2}}\right)
\]

\[
\geq \left(\frac{r - 1}{j}\right) - \left(\frac{\frac{r}{2} - 1}{\frac{j}{2}}\right) - \frac{2j}{r - j} - 2 \sum_{2<d|r} \left(\frac{\frac{d}{2} - 1}{\frac{d}{2}}\right).
\]
Lemma 4.6 implies

Proof. Using Lemma 4.6, for even \( r, j \) we obtain

\[
\frac{rm_j - rm_{j-1}}{\binom{r-1}{j}} \geq \sum_{1 < d|r} \frac{2(r - 2j)}{r - 1} \geq 1 - \frac{2d(r) - 3}{r - 1},
\]

where \( d(r) \) denotes the number of divisors of \( r \). In the other cases (unless \( r = 7, 9, 2j = r - 1 \)) Lemma 4.6 implies

\[
\frac{rm_j - rm_{j-1}}{\binom{r-1}{j}} \geq 1 - \frac{3}{r - 1} - \sum_{2 < d|r} \frac{2}{r - 1} \geq 1 - \frac{2d(r) - 1}{r - 1}.
\]

So unimodality holds as for \( r > 6 \) we have \( r \geq 2d(r) \).

For the remaining cases, we apply Equation (25). For \( r = 7, j = 3 \) we have \( d = 1, 7, \) so

\[
rm_j - rm_{j-1} \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \binom{6}{3} \right) - 2 = 3.
\]

For \( r = 9, j = 4 \) in (25) we have \( d = 1, 3, 9, \) so

\[
rm_j - rm_{j-1} \geq \frac{1}{5} \left( \binom{8}{4} \right) - 2 \left( \binom{2}{1} \right) - 2 = 14 - 4 - 2 = 8.
\]

Finally, for \( 1 \leq r \leq 6 \) we compute the polynomial \( F(x) = F_r(x) \), using (21).

\[
F_1(x) = 1 + x; \quad F_2(x) = F_3(x) = (1 + x)x; \quad F_4(x) = (1 + x)x(1 + x);
\]

\[
F_5(x) = (1 + x)x(1 + x + x^2); \quad F_6(x) = (1 + x)x(1 + 2x + x^2 + x^3).
\]

All coefficient sequences are unimodal. □

We conjecture the proposition remains true for arbitrary \( s \geq 1 \), see Conjecture 6.11.

Now we derive a formal power series product form of Proposition 4.4. For small \( r \) it enables us to determine explicitly the coefficients \( e_i \) hence the \( m_i \) for arbitrary \( s \).

Corollary 4.8. Let \( E(x, y) = E_r(x, y) \) stand for the power series where the coefficient of \( x^iy^s \) is \( e_i = \langle \psi, (e_{S_i} \times 1_{S_{n_i}}) \uparrow^{S_n} \rangle \). Then,

\[
E(x, y) = \prod_j (1 - (-1)^j x^j y)^{(-1)^{j+1} f_j}.
\]

Proof. Recall the following power series expansion for \( f > 0 \)

\[
(1 - t)^{-f} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \binom{f + n - 1}{n} t^n \right).
\]
Note that if \( j > r \) then \( f_j = 0 \). So, by Proposition 4.4,

\[
E(x, y) = \sum_{s,i} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in P(^i;_j) ; k_j > 0} \prod_{j=0} (f_j + (k_j)(\gamma - 1)\delta_{2lj}) \right) x^i y^s =
\]

\[
= \sum_{s,i} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k_j=s} \prod_{j=0} (f_j + (k_j - 1)\delta_{2lj}) \right) x^i y^s =
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_j=0} \left( f_j + (k_j - 1)\delta_{2lj} \right) x^{j+k_j} y^{k_j} = \prod_j (1 - (-1)^j x^j y^{(-1)^{j+1}f_j}),
\]
as required.

We get from here that for \( r = 1 \) the only hook constituent of the trivial character is (unsurprisingly) the trivial character. For \( r = 2 \) the only hook constituent of \( \omega^{S_2^s} \) is the sign character \( \chi^{2s-1} \), it has multiplicity 1. For \( r = 3 \) the only hook constituent of \( \omega^{S_3^s} \) is \( \chi^{2s-1} = \chi^{(s+1,1^{s-1})} \), it has multiplicity 1. For \( r = 4 \) the only hook constituents of \( \omega^{S_4^s} \) are \( \chi^{2s-1} \) and \( \chi^{2s} \), both with multiplicity \( s \).

We are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 1.7. Given \( s \), the multiplicities \( m_i \) satisfy \( (\sum_{i=0} m_i x^i)(1 + x) = \sum_{j=0} e_j x^j \), which is the coefficient of \( y^s \) in \( E(x, y) \). In other words, whether the divisibility in the theorem holds or not depends on whether this coefficient of \( y^s \) is divisible by \((1 + x)^2\) or not. This is the content of the following proposition.

In the subsequent one, we will see that if divisibility holds then the quotient has non-negative coefficients.

**Proposition 4.9.** Let \( s \geq 1 \) be arbitrary. The divisibility

\[
(1 + x)^2 \mid \sum_{j=0}^{rs} e_j x^j
\]

holds if and only if \( r \) is not square free.

**Proof.** By (27), \( \sum_{j=0} (-1)^j f_j = F(-1) = 0 \). So

\[
(27) \quad \prod_j (1 - y)(-1)^{j+1}f_j = 1.
\]
We also have
\[
\sum_{j} (-1)^{j+1} j f_j = - \sum_{j} \sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu(d)(-1)^{j/d}}{d} \left( \frac{r/d}{j/d} \right) =
\]
(28)
\[
= - \sum_{d|r} \frac{r/d}{d} \sum_{k=1}^{r/d} \left( (-1)^{k} \frac{k d}{r} \right) =
\]
\[
= - \sum_{d|r} \frac{r/d}{d} \sum_{k=1}^{r/d} \left( \frac{r/d - 1}{k - 1} \right) = \mu(r),
\]
since \(\sum_{k=1}^{r/d} \left( \frac{r/d - 1}{k - 1} \right) = 0\) unless \(d = r\).

To prove the proposition we substitute \(x = z - 1\). Consider \(E(z - 1, y)\) modulo \(z^2\).
\[
E(z - 1, y) = \prod_{j} (1 + (-1)^{j+1}(z - 1)y)^{(-1)^{j+1} f_j} = \prod_{j} (1 + (j z - 1)y)^{(-1)^{j+1} f_j} =
\]
\[
= \prod_{j} (1 - y + jzy)^{(-1)^{j+1} f_j} \equiv \prod_{j} (1 - y)^{(-1)^{j+1} f_j} (1 + (-1)^{j+1} \frac{f_j z y}{1 - y}) \equiv
\]
\[
1 + \frac{zy}{1 - y} \sum_{j} (-1)^{j+1} j f_j = 1 + \mu(r) y z (1 + y + y^2 + \cdots),
\]
where we used equations (27) and (28). So for any \(s \geq 1\), \(z^2\) divides the coefficient of \(y^s\) in \(E(z - 1, y)\) if and only if \(\mu(r) = 0\), as required. \(\square\)

It remains to show the following which is the last claim of Theorem 1.7

**Proposition 4.10.** If \(r \) is not square free then the coefficients of
\[
\frac{E(x, y) - 1}{(1 + x)^2}
\]
are all non-negative.

**Proof.** We may write \(F(x) = (1 + x)^2 G(x)\), where \(G(x) = \sum_{j} g_{j} x^{j}\). So \(f_{0} = g_{0}, f_{1} = g_{1} + 2g_{0}, \) and \(f_{j} = g_{j} + 2g_{j-1} + g_{j-2}\) for \(j > 1\). By Proposition 4.7 the coefficients \(g_{i} = \sum_{j \leq i} (-1)^{i-j} m_{j}\) are non-negative.

Equation (26) can be rewritten in terms of the \(g_{i}\)’s.

(29)
\[
E(x, y) = \prod_{j \geq 0} \left( 1 - (-1)^j x^j y \right)^{(-1)^{j+1} f_j} =
\]
\[
\prod_{j \geq 0} \left( 1 - (-1)^j x^j y \right)^{(-1)^{j+1} g_{j}} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left( 1 - (-1)^j x^j y \right)^{(-1)^{j+1} 2g_{j-1}} \prod_{j \geq 2} \left( 1 - (-1)^j x^j y \right)^{(-1)^{j+1} g_{j-2}} =
\]
\[
\prod_{i \geq 0} \left( \frac{(1 + (-1)^{i+1} x^i y)(1 + (-1)^{i+1} x^{i+2} y)}{(1 + (-1)^{i} x^{i+1} y)^2} \right)^{(-1)^{i+1} g_{i}}.
\]
We claim that all factors in (29) are of the form $1 + (1 + x)^2 p(x, y)$, with $p(x, y)$ a power series with no negative coefficients. That means that $(E(x, y) - 1)/(x + 1)^2$ is itself a power series with no negative coefficients. This finishes the proof of the proposition and hence of Theorem 1.7.

First, if $i$ is odd, then the factor is equal to
\[
\frac{(1 + x)^2 x^i y^{(1 - x^i + 1)y^2}}{g_i} = \left(1 + (x + 1)^2 x^i y\left(\sum_j x^{(i+1)j} y^j\right)^2\right)^{g_i},
\]
which has non-negative coefficients, indeed.

If $i$ is even, notice that for any $a$ we have
\[
\frac{(1 + a)(1 + x^2 a)}{(1 - xa)^2} = \left(1 + \frac{-a(x + 1)^2}{(1 + a)(1 + x^2 a)}\right)^{-1}.
\]
Substitute $a = -x^i y$ to the factor and get
\[
\left(1 + \frac{(x + 1)^2 x^i y}{(1 - x^i y)(1 - x^{i+2} y)}\right)^{g_i} = \left(1 + (x + 1)^2 x^i y\left(\sum_j x^{ij} y^j\left(\sum_j x^{(i+2)j} y^j\right)\right)^{g_i},
\]
which has non-negative coefficients, as well. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

The case of conjugacy classes with distinct cycle lengths is relatively easy.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $C \subseteq S_n$ be a conjugacy class with more than one cycle length. Then there exists a cyclic descent extension on $C$.

**Proof.** Recall from Definition 1.6 that the centralizer $C_\mu$ of a permutation in $C_\mu$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\times_{i=1}^{\mu} Z_{i \lhd S_{k_i}}$, where $k_i$ is the multiplicity of the part $i$ in $\mu$. Then $\omega^\mu := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i$, where $\omega_i$ is a one dimensional representation of $Z_{i \lhd S_{k_i}}$ and
\[
\psi^\mu = \omega^\mu \uparrow_{C_\mu}^{S_n} = \left(\bigotimes_i \omega_i \uparrow_{Z_{i \lhd S_{k_i}}}^{S_{k_i}}\right) \uparrow_{S_n \times S_{k_i}}^{S_n}.
\]

Hence, if $\mu$ consists of parts of different lengths then $\psi^\mu$ decomposes into disconnected shapes, whose connected components are of sizes $ik_i$.

Combining this with Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.2, the distribution of descent sets over $C_\mu$ is equal to its distribution over SYT of multiset of disconnected shapes. By Theorem 1.3, this multiset of SYT has a cyclic descent extension, completing the proof. □

Next consider conjugacy classes of cycle type $\mu = (r^k)$.

**Remark 5.2.** For $r = 1$, the conjugacy class is a singleton which consists of the identity permutation. Its descent set is $\emptyset$ and it has only an Escher type cyclic extension, itself.
The $r = 2$ case is inherent in [12]. For $r = 2$, the conjugacy class is of fixed-point-free involutions, they also exhibit an Escher type cyclic extension. The only hook constituent of $\psi^{(2^n)}$ is the sign character $\chi^{(1^n)}$ whose SYT($1^n$) has an Escher type cyclic extension, see [2, Theorem 7.8]. The cyclic descent set of $(1, n)(2, n-1) \cdots (n/2-1, n/2)$ is forced to be $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Theorem 1.7 implies the following key corollary, which establishes Theorem 1.4 for conjugacy classes of type $\mu = (r^n)$.

**Corollary 5.3.** A non-Escher cyclic extension exists for the descent sets of the conjugacy class of type $\mu = (r^n)$, if and only if $r$ is not square-free.

**Proof.** Combining Lemma 3.2.1 with Theorem 1.7.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4** Combining Proposition 5.1 with Corollary 5.3.

6. FINAL REMARKS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

6.1. Generating functions. The following theorem is equivalent to the above mentioned celebrated theorem of Gessel and Reutenauer [9, Theorem 2.1] (Theorem 2.7 above).

**Theorem 6.1.** For every conjugacy class $C_\mu$ in $S_n$

$$\sum_{\pi \in C_\mu} x^{\text{Des}(\pi)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \langle \psi^\mu, \chi^\lambda \rangle \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} x^{\text{Des}(T)}.$$ 

**Proof.** Combine Theorem 2.6 with Lemma 2.2.

A cyclic analogue follows.

**Theorem 6.2.** For every conjugacy class $C_\mu$ which carries a cyclic descent extension the following holds.

$$\sum_{\pi \in C_\mu} x^{\text{cDes}(\pi)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \langle \psi^\mu, \chi^\lambda \rangle \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} x^{\text{cDes}(T)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}((1^k) \oplus (n-k))} x^{\text{cDes}(T)},$$

where $d_k$ are non-negative integers defined by

$$d_k := \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \langle \psi^\mu, \chi^{(n-k,1^k)} \rangle.$$ 

**Proof.** Combining Theorem 6.1 with Lemma 3.2.

**Remark 6.3.** Two remarks are due.

1. Recalling that

$$\bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \psi^\mu \cong \mathbb{C}[S_n]$$

Theorem 6.2 may be viewed as a refinement of [2, Theorem 1.2], which considers the union of all conjugacy classes.
2. Recall the cyclic quasisymmetric functions from [1]. Theorem 6.2 can be reformulated in that language:

For every conjugacy class \( C_\mu \) which carries a cyclic descent extension the following holds

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\pi \in C_\mu} F_{n, c\text{Des}(\pi)}^{\text{Cyc}} = \sum_{\pi \in C_\mu} F_{n, \text{Des}(\pi)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \langle \psi^\mu, \chi^\lambda \rangle s^\lambda.
\]

6.2. A combinatorial identity. There is a combinatorial description of the multiplicity of an arbitrary irreducible character of \( S_n \) in the higher Lie character due to M. Schocker. In its full generality it is too complicated to be summed up here, see [19] for details. Its special case of the full cycles, \( \mu = (n) \) (when \( \psi^{(n)}(\omega) \uparrow_{S_n}^{Z_n} \) is the Lie character), due originally to Kraśkiewicz and Weyman [14] provides the following for hook constituents.

Lemma 6.4. For every \( 0 \leq k \leq n \) the multiplicity \( m_k = \langle \psi^{(n)}, \chi^{(n-k,1^k)} \rangle \) is equal to the cardinality of the set

\[
\{0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k < n \mid \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}.
\]

We prove the following combinatorial identity as a corollary but also provide a direct proof.

Proposition 6.5. For every \( 0 \leq k \leq n \) we have

\[
|\{0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k \leq n \mid \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}| = \sum_{d|n,k} \mu(d)(-1)^k \frac{n}{d} \frac{k}{d}.
\]

Proof. Comparing Lemma 6.4 with Proposition 4.4 at \( s = 1 \) yields

\[
|\{0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k \leq n \mid \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}| = m_k + m_{k-1} = e_k = f_k
\]

\[
= \sum_{d|n,k} \mu(d)(-1)^k \frac{n}{d} \frac{k}{d}.
\]

Direct proof. Let \( x, q \) be indeterminates, \( H(x, q) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + xq^i) \). By comparison of roots and the constant term, observe that, for \( d|n \) and \( \eta \) a primitive \( d \)-th root of 1, \( H(x, \eta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + x\eta^j) = (1 - (-x)^d)^{n/d} \). The cardinality we are interested in is the coefficient \( a_{k,1} \) of \( x^k q \) in

\[
H(x, q) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} a_{j,t} x^j q^t \pmod{(q^n - 1)}.
\]
We determine this by Fourier inversion
\[
\sum_k a_{k,1} x^k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\eta^n=1} \eta^{-1} H(x,\eta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \left( \sum_{\alpha(\eta)=d} \eta \right) (1 - (-x)^d)^{n/d} = \\
= \sum_k \sum_{d|(n,k)} \mu(d)(-1)^{k+k/d} \left( \frac{n/d}{k/d} \right) x^k,
\]
as required. \(\square\)

It remains a challenge to find such a direct link between Schoc ker’s general description of the multiplicity and our version in Proposition 4.4.

**Remark 6.6.** Proposition 4.4 at \(s = 1\) is not new. For example, by Gessel-Reutenauer Theorem (Thm. 2.7) together with Lemma 3.1, Proposition 4.4 at \(s = 1\) is equivalent to the following equation
\[
|\{\pi \in C_n : \text{Des}(\pi) = [j]\}| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)(-1)^{j-j/d} \left( \frac{n-1}{j-1} \right) \quad (0 \leq j < n),
\]
which is an immediate consequence of [7, Theorem 3.1].

### 6.3. Cellini’s cyclic descents.

In this section it is shown that the natural approach does not provide a cyclic descent extension for conjugacy classes in \(S_n\).

Recall the cyclic descent set on permutations which defined by Cellini [4]
\[
\text{CDES}(\pi) := \{1 \leq i \leq n : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\},
\]
with the convention \(\pi_{n+1} := \pi_1\).

Special subsets of \(S_n\), for which Cellini’s cyclic descent set map is closed under cyclic rotation, thus determines a cyclic descent extension, are presented in [3]. However, it seems that there are only two conjugacy classes (2-cycles in \(S_3\) and 3-cycles in \(S_4\)) for which Cellini’s cyclic descent map is closed under cyclic rotation modulo \(n\). Hence this cyclic extension does not serve our purposes.

It is unsettled whether there are other conjugacy classes, for which Cellini’s CDES map is closed under cyclic rotation. Below are some partial results.

**Proposition 6.7.** For every \(n > 1\) and conjugacy class of type \((r^s)\), \((n = rs)\), Cellini’s cyclic descent map is not closed under cyclic rotation modulo \(n\).

**Proof.** Recall the notation \(C_\mu\) from Section 2. For \(r = 1\), \(C_1^n\) consists of the identity permutation only, whose Cellini’s cyclic descent set is \([n]\). Thus not closed under rotation. For \(r > 1\) let \(\sigma = [s + 1, s + 2, \ldots, n, 1, 2, \ldots, s]\), in other words \(\sigma\) is the permutation in \(S_n\) defined by
\[
\sigma(i) = i + s \pmod{n} \quad (\forall i \in [n]).
\]
Then \(\sigma\) is a product of \(s\) disjoint cycles of length \(r\) and Cellini’s CDES of \(\sigma\) is the singleton \([n-s]\). By equivariance property there must be a permutation of cycle type \((r^s)\) with cyclic
descent set \( \{n\} \). The only permutation in \( S_n \) with this property is the identity permutation, contradiction.

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 6.8.** For every conjugacy class of \( k \)-cycles, except of 2-cycles in \( S_3 \) and 3-cycles in \( S_4 \), Cellini’s cyclic descent map is not is closed under cyclic rotation modulo \( n \).

**Proof.** Letting \( r = n \) in Proposition 6.7, statement holds on \( n \)-cycles. For \( k < n \) let \( \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{k,1^{n-k}} \) be the permutation \( [k, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1, k+1, k+2, \ldots, n] \). Then \( \text{CDES}(\sigma) = \{1, n\} \). By equivariance property there must be a \( k \)-cycle \( \pi \) with cyclic descent set \( \{1, 2\} \). Then \( \pi(3) \) is the minimal value thus it is equal to 1, and \( \pi(1) \) is the maximal value thus it is equal to \( n \). Let \( \pi(2) = x \), thus

\[ \pi = [n, x, 1, 2, \ldots, x-1, x+1, \ldots, n-1], \]

namely, for every \( 3 < i \leq x+1, \pi(i) = i-2 \) and for every \( x+1 < i \leq n, \pi(i) = i-1 \). Thus \( \pi \) has no fixed points unless \( x = 2 \), in this case \( \pi \) has cycle type \( (n-1, 1) \). One deduces that statement holds for \( k < n-1 \).

For the \( n-1 \)-cycles an argument similar to the above works. For \( n > 4 \) the permutation \( \sigma = (1, n-1, n-2, \ldots, 5, 4, 2, 3)(n) \), that is, \( [n-1, 3, 1, 2, 4, 5, \ldots, n-2, n] \) has cyclic descent set \( \text{CDES}(\sigma) = \{1, 2, n\} \). Suppose a permutation \( \pi \) has cyclic descent set \( \{1, n-1, n\} \). Then \( \pi(2) \) is the minimal value thus it is equal to 1, and \( \pi(n-1) \) is the maximal value thus it is equal to \( n \). If \( \pi(n) = l \) and \( \pi(1) = k \) then \( 1 < k < l < n \) so the cycle \( (1, k, k-1, \ldots, 2) \) of \( \pi \) has length at least 2 and at most \( n-2 \), contradicting the equivariance property.

\[ \square \]

### 6.4. Open problems.

Our proof of Theorem 1.4 is not constructive.

**Problem 6.9.** Find an explicit combinatorial description of the cyclic descent extension for conjugacy classes of cycle type \( \mu \), not equal to \( r^s \) for some square free \( r \).

**Conjecture 6.10.** Confirm that Cellini’s cyclic extension on conjugacy class \( C \) is cyclic shift invariant only if \( n = 3, 4 \) and \( C \) is the class of \( n-1 \)-cycles.

Recall the notation

\[ m_k := \langle \psi^{r^s}, \chi^{(n-k, 1^k)} \rangle. \]

By Proposition 4.7, the series \( m_1, m_2, \ldots \) is unimodal in the case of the full cycle, that is, when \( s = 1 \).

**Conjecture 6.11.** For every positive integers \( r \) and \( s \), the series \( m_1, m_2, \ldots \) is unimodal.

This conjecture was verified for every \( r \leq 40 \) and \( s \leq 5 \).
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