Giant pressure-enhancement of multiferroicity in CuBr$_2$
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Type-II multiferroic materials, in which ferroelectric polarization is induced by inversion non-symmetric magnetic order, promise new and highly efficient multifunctional applications based on mutual control of magnetic and electric properties. However, to date this phenomenon is limited to low temperatures. Here we report giant pressure-dependence of the multiferroic critical energy calculations provide a quantitative explanation in terms of dramatically pressure-enhanced interactions between CuBr$_2$ chains. These large, pressure-tuned magnetic interactions motivate structural control in cuprous halides as a route to applied high-temperature multiferroicity.

The search for application-suitable multiferroics has advanced significantly over the last decade in both type-I and type-II materials. Type-I multiferroics have independent magnetic and ferroelectric transitions, meaning that even when both transition temperatures are high, the magnetoelectric coupling, and hence the scope for mutual control, is usually weak. The physics of most type-II multiferroics involves frustrating magnetic interactions that give rise to a spiral magnetic order, which immediately generates a ferroelectric polarization by the inverse Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya mechanism. However, an intrinsic drawback of magnetic frustration is that it suppresses the onset of long-range order, and hence most currently available type-II multiferroics operate only at low temperatures.

A generic route to higher operating temperatures in type-II multiferroics is to increase the strength of the magnetic interactions. This can, in principle, be achieved through structural alterations, for which perhaps the cleanest method is an applied pressure. Pressure, broadly construed to include chemical pressure and substrate pressure, acts to increase electronic hybridization without introducing disorder. In the most minimal model for a magnetic insulator, the antiferromagnetic (AF) exchange interaction is given by $J = 4t^2/U$, where $t$ is the orbital hybridization and $U$ the on-site Coulomb repulsion. However, excessive $t$ risks driving the system metallic, thus losing its magnetic and ferroelectric properties. The most scope for achieving large $J$ values is offered by large initial values of both $t$ and $U$, making the spin-1/2 Cu$^{2+}$ ion particularly promising in view of its often strong on-site correlations and significant orbital hybridization with ligands. It is not a coincidence that complex copper oxides become high-temperature superconductors after charge-carriers are introduced into the Mott-insulating parent compounds, or that CuO is a type-II multiferroic with highest transition temperature ($T_C \approx 230$ K) known to date.

CuBr$_2$ is a non-oxide type-II multiferroic material with a CdI$_2$-type monoclinic structure. The structural units are CuBr$_4$ squares, which form edge-sharing chains in the $b$ direction (insets, Fig. 1). These chains have a $C_2$-centered stacking in the $a$ direction and coincidentally form nearly coplanar units in the $b(a+c)$ plane. Early first-principles calculations of the magnetic interactions indicated that the dominant coupling ($J_5$ in Fig. 1) is that between next-neighbour Cu$^{2+}$ ions within the chains, which is AF. Other strong interactions are expected to be the ferromagnetic (FM) nearest-neighbor in-chain bond ($J_1$) and the AF coupling between sites in coplanar chains ($J_7$); additional weak interactions were suggested to be responsible for the formation of long-
ranged three-dimensional (3D) magnetic order. Frustration between $J_1$ and $J_5$ suggests spiral order along the chains, with the spin rotation angle given classically by

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}(-J_1/4J_5)$$

which approaches 90° when $J_5$ significantly exceeds $|J_1|$. At ambient pressure, a spiral magnetic order does indeed develop below $T_N = 73.5$ K, with an incommensurate propagation wavevector $(1, 0.2350, 0.5)$ which agrees with the expected $[29, 30]$. For $P > 0.2350$, $0.5$ fully consistent with the expected $\theta$. Spontaneous electric polarization is detected immediately below $T_N$, defining a rather high ferroelectric transition temperature $[29]$, while $T_C = T_N$ by definition in a type-II multiferroic, below we distinguish between the two according to our method of experimental detection. Similar properties have been found in the isostructural compound CuCl$_2$, albeit at considerably lower temperatures $[31]$. 

Here we report our investigation of ferroelectricity and magnetism in CuBr$_2$ under hydrostatic pressure. By combined dielectric-constant, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), Raman-scattering and x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements in three different types of pressure cell, as detailed in the Methods section, we have established the $(P,T)$ phase diagram up to pressures of 4.5 GPa. As shown in Fig. 1 we find a rapid and massive pressure-enhancement of the multiferroic transition temperature. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations based on the XRD structure establish that the equally rapid rise of the two-magnon Raman energy is a consequence of the dramatic pressure-sensitivity of the Cu-Br-Cu $J_2$ interaction, while $T_N$ and hence $T_C$ are driven primarily by the inter-plane coupling (most strongly by $J_2$). There is no evidence for saturation of this behaviour up to the highest pressures studied, where the material remains highly insulating, confirming that there is plenty of room at the top for pressure tuning of $T_C$ in CuBr$_2$. 

**High-pressure dielectric measurements**

The dielectric constant is extracted from the capacitance between two electrodes attached to the $ab$ surfaces of a single crystal (Methods). Because the sample dimensions change under pressure, we present the capacitance rather than the dielectric constant. Results from measurements in a piston cell (PC) with no applied magnetic field are shown in Fig. 2, and in a cubic anvil cell (CAC) in Fig. 2a. At $P = 0.05$ GPa, the capacitance at 80 K is $1.07 \, \mu$F, which gives a dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r \approx 8.1$, close to the value reported previously at ambient pressure $[29]$. The onset of ferroelectricity is shown by a sudden increase in capacitance on cooling below $T_C \approx 75$ K, which is slightly higher than the ambient-pressure value, $T_C = 73.5$ K. The capacitance decreases monotonically with further cooling, because of reduced charge fluctuations, and increases with rising pressure, as might be expected on compression (reduced inter-layer separations). The remarkable feature of these data is the dramatic rise of $T_C$ to 118.5 K at 2.4 GPa in the PC and further to 162 K at 4.5 GPa in the CAC (Fig. 2). The latter $T_C$ represents a 120% increase over the ambient-pressure value, or an average growth rate $dT_C/dP \approx 19.7$ K/GPa. Equally surprisingly, $T_C$ continues to rise nearly linearly, with no evidence at 4.5 GPa for a saturation of the effect.

To verify the presence of a magnetoelectric coupling, we applied an external magnetic field in the $ab$ plane in our PC measurements. This is expected to distort the spiral magnetic structure and hence to affect the ferroelectric properties. As shown in Fig. 2, the capacitance at 2.4 GPa in fields $B = \mu_0H = 0, 5$ and $10$ T is constant above $T_C = 118.5$ K, and so is $T_C$ itself. However, the magnitudes of both the capacitance and the capacitance anomaly increase monotonically with decreasing field, providing direct evidence both for a significant magnetoelectric coupling and for magnetic-order-induced ferroelectricity $[29]$. The dielectric loss, $\tan \delta$, is an important figure of merit for the practical application of ferroelectric materials. In Fig. 2 of our data we observe that $\tan \delta = 0.013 \pm 0.001$ above $T_C$ at all pressures reached in the PC; this value is again consistent with ambient-pressure data $[29]$. At all pressures, $\tan \delta$ increases weakly when the sample is cooled below $T_C$, forming a broad low-$T$ peak whose centre scales with $T_C$. Although we do not fully understand
FIG. 2. Dielectric response under pressure. a&b Capacitance between two copper plates attached to single crystals (see text), shown as a function of temperature. Measurements were performed with PC (panel a) and CAC apparatus (panel b; Methods) to reach pressures up to 4.5 GPa. The kink features (arrows) indicate the ferroelectric transitions. c Capacitance at 2.4 GPa, measured under an external magnetic field, B, applied perpendicular to the electric field, E. Inset: field-dependence of capacitance measured at 2 K and 2.4 GPa. d Dielectric loss, tanδ, as a function of temperature at different pressures. tanδ shows almost no variation with the excitation level or frequency.

the origin of this feature, one possibility is that the spiral spin configuration continues to fluctuate until the sample is cooled substantially below $T_C$, allowing for a dissipation of electrical energy into the spin system through the magnetoelectric coupling. These very small values of tanδ at all pressures nonetheless constitute an extremely low dielectric loss, reflecting both the strongly insulating nature of CuBr₂, at least up to 2.5 GPa, and the considerable potential for device applications.

High-pressure NMR and Raman scattering measurements

We have probed the magnetic system by zero-field NMR and Raman-scattering measurements performed over the same range of pressures as our dielectric measurements. The $^{81}$Br NMR spectra at all temperatures and pressures have a clearly identifiable $I_z = 1/2 \leftrightarrow 3/2$ peak [32], shown for a selection of pressures in Fig. 3c, whose position moves systematically. We focus on the resonance frequency, $f$, of this peak at each pressure and display its temperature-dependence in Fig. 4a). Below $T_N$, $f$ has two additive contributions, one due to the electric-field gradient (EFG), which is nearly pressure-independent, and one from the static local hyperfine field. The latter is proportional to the magnetic order parameter, as a result of which $f(T)$ decreases sharply as $T \rightarrow T_N$; a fit to the form $f(T) = f_0 + a(T_N - T)^{1/2}$ at each pressure (solid lines) allows us to deduce the values $T_N(P)$ up to 2.3 GPa shown in Fig. 1.

The dominant feature in the Raman spectrum is the “two-magnon” excitation [33, 34], which we show in Fig. 4b) for all temperatures at a fixed pressure of 2.0 GPa. While the sharp peaks are phonons, the two-magnon response is a broad feature that in ordered quantum magnets bears little resemblance to the density of states of 3D spin waves [33], and persists in the paramagnetic phase due to short-range magnetic correlations. Here we observe that this broad peak sharpens at low temperatures to a form quite similar to the well-characterized cuprate response (of Cu²⁺ spins in a planar quantum magnet)
Figure 3 shows the broad peak (shaded area) for a fixed low temperature of 40 K at several selected pressures. It is clear that the two-magnon energy scale increases rapidly under pressure, rising by 60% from ambient pressure to 3.6 GPa (Fig. 3f). Despite the complexities inherent to an accurate modelling of the two-magnon response, it is safe to conclude that the relevant magnetic interactions inherent to an accurate modelling of the two-magnon peak intensity.

A subsidiary piece of information may be extracted from the $T$-dependence of the two-magnon peak intensity, which is shown in Fig. 3d. Based on the empirical connection between $T_N$ and the intensity increase on cooling at ambient pressure [34], we follow $T_N(P)$ by averaging the Raman susceptibility over a fixed-percentage energy range (Fig. 3c) located slightly above the central energy of the two-magnon peak, in order to avoid multi-phonon scattering processes which overlap with the electronic signal at lower energies. This analysis allows us to extract values for $T_N(P)$, marked by the arrows in Fig. 3f, which again are fully consistent with the values of $T_C(P)$ shown in Fig. 1.

High-pressure structural analysis and DFT calculations

Our data in Fig. 2 confirm that CuBr$_2$ retains qualitatively the same type-II multiferroic properties at all pressures below 5 GPa. To explain the giant pressure sensitivity of $T_C$, in a quantitative manner, we investigate the structure of CuBr$_2$ by high-pressure XRD measurements and corresponding DFT calculations. XRD was performed up to 15 GPa using synchrotron radiation at the Advanced Photon Source, as detailed in the Methods.
FIG. 4. **Crystal structure and magnetic interactions under pressure.**  

**a** Crystal structure of CuBr$_2$ viewed nearly parallel to the $b$ axis. The inset displays the structure of two chains lying almost precisely in the $b(a+c)$ plane. The nine Cu-Cu superexchange interactions computed under pressure are indicated. 

Relative change of the lattice parameters $a$, $b$, and $c$, as well as of the angle $\beta$, determined by XRD at 300 K in a DAC and shown as functions of pressure. The lattice remains in a monoclinic structure at all pressures. The DAC measurements are normalized to the base-pressure dimensions obtained at $P = 0.49$ GPa, $a_0 = 7.0938$ Å, $b_0 = 3.4682$ Å, $c_0 = 6.9144$ Å and $\beta_0 = 119.27^{\circ}$. 

**b** Pressure-dependence of the magnetic interactions, $J_i$, deduced from the DFT calculations. 

Our first-principles calculations under pressure are a two-step process described in the Methods section. First we performed a structural optimization at selected experimental pressure values by fixing the lattice parameters to those of the corresponding XRD measurements and relaxing the internal ionic positions within the “GGA+U” approach. Then we compute the total energies of 27 different magnetic configurations and map these to a Heisenberg model with $i$ interaction parameters, $J_i$. We have identified $i = 9$ significant superexchange paths spanning the three spatial dimensions of the system, as shown in Fig. 4a, whose values can be expected to affect the physics of the $b$-axis chains, the $b(a+c)$ planes and 3D magnetic ordering. Calculated $J_i$ values for three representative pressures taken from the XRD study, namely 0.49, 5.65 and 14.73 GPa, are shown in Fig. 4c. 

section, and the lattice parameters are shown in Fig. 4b. The key features of our results are first that the monoclinic structure is preserved for all pressures up to 15 GPa and second that, as may be expected to lowest order, the chain units remain rather rigid: there are only small relative changes to the $b$-axis dimension and the angle $\beta$, whereas the $a$ and $c$ lattice parameters, which correspond to the chains being compressed together, change by approximately 12%. We comment that, although one might expect the ionic displacement associated with the ferroelectric transition (inset Fig. 1) to lift the symmetry and interfere with the magnetic interactions, this value turns out to be truly vanishingly small (from the pyroelectric current [29] one may estimate it to be 0.4 fm on each Br$^-$ ion) and hence plays no role in the structure or magnetism of the low-$T$ phase.
Considering first the chain units, clearly $J_1$ and $J_5$ change rather little with pressure, which to lowest order may be expected from the small changes to the $b$-axis lattice parameter (Fig. 4a). In more detail, the FM $^{37}$ Cu-Br-Cu $J_1$ interaction is often very sensitive to the bond angle, but here this is found to change by less than 0.5° in a regime close to its optimal value $^{38}$. While the AF $J_3$ bond is a Cu-Br-Br-Cu “super-superexchange” path that also depends on the bond angle, this remains largely fixed by the rigidity of the chains.

The dominant physics of the system occurs in the $b(a+c)$ plane due to $J_7$, which increases from 10 to 18 meV up to 5.65 GPa and then to 35 meV at 14.73 GPa. This giant enhancement actually changes the nature of the planar magnetism from $b$-axis-dominated at ambient pressure to spatially isotropic at 5 GPa to $(a+c)$-axis-dominated at 15 GPa; however, in the absence of significant frustration it has no effect on the $b$-axis spiral order. The huge rise of $J_7$ under pressure may be understood completely from the fact that it is also a Cu-Br-Br-Cu path, with the same geometry as $J_5$ (Fig. 4a), and while the Cu-Br distance and angle are strongly constrained in the chain units, the Br-Br bond in the $(a+c)$ direction takes up most of the unit-cell compression. As Table I makes clear, it shrinks from being 0.18 Å longer than the comparable distance in $J_5$ at 0.49 GPa to 0.22 Å shorter at 14.73 GPa.

Physically, these 3 interactions create the dominant energy scales in the magnon dispersion, and $J_7$ would account completely for the rapid pressure enhancement observed in the two-magnon Raman signal (Figs. 3d and Fig. 4c). Our absolute parameter values are controlled by the effective $U$ in the calculations, but in CuBr$_2$ it is difficult to obtain an experimental benchmark due to sample decomposition issues in the measurement of the high-temperature susceptibility $^{29}$ and theoretical issues in interpreting the two-magnon Raman energy (above). Thus we adopt the value $U = 6$ eV typical for insulating inorganic Cu systems. Still, $J_1$, $J_5$ and $J_7$ span only two spatial dimensions, and to discuss the 3D magnetic order it is necessary to consider the inter-plane interactions. We find that the second-shortest path in the system, $J_2$, which creates a zig-zag interchain network in the $ab$ plane, also rises by a factor of 5 from 0.49 to 5.65 GPa (and a further factor of 4 to 14.73 GPa).

Similar rises can also be found in the slightly weaker $J_3$ and $J_6$ interactions. These results, which are easy to justify by considering the pressure-induced changes to interchain spin density in the $ab$ and $bc$ planes, account for the steep rise in $T_N$, and hence in $T_C$, over the pressure range of Fig. 1. It is clear from our XRD measurements and DFT calculations that this $T_C$ enhancement can continue to far higher pressures, where $J_7$ will also play an increasing role in raising $T_N$, with no intervening structural transition. These results raise the prospect of room-temperature multiferroicity in suitably strained CuBr$_2$.

**Discussion**

Figure 1 shows that the two intrinsically linked characteristic temperatures, $T_C$ and $T_N$, as measured by a range of probes and in a number of different pressure cells, rise strongly with pressure. Figure 3 shows a proportionally similar and equally quasi-linear rise in the central energy scale determined by two-magnon Raman scattering. To our knowledge, our maximal $T_C$ of 162 K, achieved at 4.5 GPa in a CAC, is unprecedentedly high for a non-oxide type-II multiferroic. Further, although it remains below that of some oxide type-II multiferroics, such as CuO ($T_C \approx 230$ K $^{28}$), many of these suffer from higher dielectric loss due to their semiconductor nature $^{28}$. The persistence of low dielectric loss in CuBr$_2$ under pressure, despite the increase in orbital hybridization that should move the system towards metallicity, constitutes a major advantage for electronic applications.

We stress that the characteristic magnetic energy scales in CuBr$_2$, reflected in the energy of the two-magnon mode, are much higher than $T_N$. This indicates that both frustration and dimensionality effects play a strong role in suppressing $T_N$ at ambient pressure, and that the effect of pressure is to reduce both. Indeed, our DFT calculations demonstrate that the primary change is caused by the interchain $(a+c)$-axis coupling, $J_7$, which enhances the 2D nature and makes chain frustration less energetically relevant. This said, it is important to note that neither the rising $J_7$ nor any of the other pressure-enhanced interactions has a significant effect on the existence of the in-chain frustration, which creates the helical $b$-axis spin state required for type-II multiferroicity.

Beyond $J_7$, we have shown that the interchain $ab$-plane coupling, $J_2$, plays the leading role in making the system 3D and hence governs the value of $T_N$: despite being very low at ambient pressure, its high pressure-sensitivity causes the strong rise of $T_N$ whose lower end we have characterized in the present work. We comment that such massive pressure effects on magnetism are known in Cu-based metal-organic materials $^{41}$, due to a combination of soft structures and highly directional ligand paths, but are uncommon in inorganic Cu systems and to date unknown in multiferroic ones.

**Table I** Cu-Br distance, $d_{\text{Cu-Br}}$, and Br-Br distances, respectively $d_{\text{Br-Br}}$ and $d_{\text{Br-Br}}$, for the $J_5$ and $J_7$ paths represented in Fig. 4a, computed by DFT for pressures of 0.49, 5.65 and 14.73 GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P$ [GPa]</th>
<th>$d_{\text{Cu-Br}}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$d_{\text{Br-Br}}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$d_{\text{Br-Br}}$ [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.43976</td>
<td>3.46816</td>
<td>3.65144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.42072</td>
<td>3.42251</td>
<td>3.38031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>2.37545</td>
<td>3.34958</td>
<td>3.12310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In summary, we have demonstrated how strongly the magnetic interactions in CuBr$_2$ are changed by pressure, and how this makes it possible to effect a giant enhancement of the multiferroic $T_C$ using any available methods for structural control. Dielectric investigations of CuBr$_2$ at pressures higher than our current limit of 4.5 GPa are certainly required. Alternatively, different methods of structural tuning, including chemical pressure [42] and epitaxial stress [43], also affect the magnetism of low-dimensional systems in ways similar to a hydrostatic pressure. Thin-film growth with epitaxial stress applied along the a- or c-direction, by the choice of a suitable substrate, should be a particularly valuable route to higher $T_C$ values in CuBr$_2$. We conclude by stressing once again that the pressures we have investigated remain far from saturating the $T_C$ increase in CuBr$_2$, and that they seem not to impair any of the significant magnetoelectric coupling, the dielectric loss or the insulating properties of the material, all of which present major technical advantages for application purposes.

**Methods**

Large single crystals of CuBr$_2$ were grown by slow evaporation from aqueous solutions [21]. Because ferroelectric transitions usually cause sudden changes in the dielectric constant, we attached two copper-plate electrodes to the opposing ab faces of a plate-like crystal to form a capacitor with the electric field applied perpendicular to the ab plane. Measuring the capacitance as a function of temperature ($T$), pressure ($P$) and magnetic field ($B$) indicates when a spontaneous ferroelectric polarization develops and how it is related to the magnetic order. A crystal with dimensions 4\times1.5\times0.4 mm$^3$ was used for dielectric measurements in the PC and one with dimensions 0.7\times0.7\times0.2 mm$^3$ in the CAC. The softness and propensity to chemical dissolution of the crystal meant that dielectric measurements above 2.4 GPa were possible only in the CAC, but not yet in anvil cells with smaller sample spaces as reported in the literature [44]; the larger error bars on the corresponding data points in Fig. 1 reflect the complexity of these measurements.

The sample and copper plates were connected using an inert epoxy and suspended in a Teflon capsule filled with Daphne oil as the pressure-transmitting medium. The pressure was calibrated at room temperature by monitoring the characteristic resistance changes of Bismuth (Bi). The capacitance was measured by an Agilent 4263B LCR meter with an excitation level of 1.0 V at 100 kHz.

The zero-field $^{81}\text{Br}$ ($I = 3/2$) NMR spectra were measured by the spin-echo method. The pressure was calibrated using the $^{65}\text{Cu}$ nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) frequency of Cu$_2$O in the pressure cell [45]. The feature whose $P$- and $T$-dependence we follow is the $I_z = 1/2 \leftrightarrow 3/2$ transition of the nuclear spin [32]. The electronic Raman scattering measurements were performed in a confocal backscattering geometry using a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR Evolution spectrometer, equipped with 600 gr/mm gratings and a liquid-nitrogen-cooled CCD detector. The 632.8 nm line of a He-Ne laser was used for excitation, and both the incident- and the scattered-photon polarizations were set to be parallel to the b-axis. The low-$T$ and high-$P$ conditions were realized using an Almax easyLab diamond anvil cell (DAC) integrated into a Janis ST-500 optical cryostat, using Argon as the pressure-transmitting medium. The pressure was calibrated by the fluorescence line of a ruby sphere loaded together with sample inside the DAC. The Raman susceptibility was obtained by dividing the recorded scattered photon intensities by the Bose factor.

The high-pressure diffraction experiments were performed at pressures up 14.73 GPa at beamline 16 BM-D of the HPCAT sector at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory, using a Mao-type symmetric DAC. CuBr$_2$ powder samples were loaded with ruby chips into a sample chamber confined in a pre-indented T301 stainless steel gasket and sealed between two diamond anvils. Neon gas was loaded as a pressure-transmission medium. The monochromatic x-ray beam was focused to a spot of size of approximately 5\times10$\mu$m$^2$ at the sample position and the incident x-ray energy was set at 29.2 keV ($\lambda = 0.4246 \AA$). Diffraction patterns were recorded on a MAR345 image plate and integrated by DIOPTAS software.

First-principles calculations of the structural and magnetic properties of CuBr$_2$ were carried out using density functional theory with the electronic correlations for the Cu 3$d$ states included at the mean-field level within the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA)+$U$ approach. In the first (structural) step, the lattice parameters at selected pressure values were taken from experiment and the internal positions of Br ions were optimized using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [46]. In this type of calculation, reliable results are obtained using a $k$-point mesh of size 10\times10\times10, a plane-wave cut-off energy of 800 eV and representative Cu-ion correlation parameters $U_{\text{VASP}} = 10$ eV and $J_H = 1$ eV; the crystal structures are relaxed until the calculated ionic forces fall below the threshold 10$^{-3}$ eV/Å. For correlated systems, it is in general necessary to include the spin degrees of freedom of the transition-metal cation to ensure reliable structural predictions that, however, are quite insensitive to the actual magnetic order; here a FM order was imposed, which resulted in a total magnetic moment of 1.0 $\mu_B$ per formula unit.

In the second step, the magnetic interaction parameters shown in Fig. 4 were estimated from the structures at each pressure by computing the total magnetic energies in 27 different spin configurations using the all-electron full-potential local-orbital (FPLO) basis code [47]. Two different supercells were used, with dimensions 2\times1\times2 and 1\times4\times2 and respective $k$-mesh
sizes $12 \times 12 \times 12$ and $7 \times 7 \times 7$. Electronic correlations were modelled using the GGA+$U$ functional with $U = 6$ eV and $J_H = 1$ eV; it is this (FPLO) value of $U$ which has a direct influence on the energy scale of the magnetic interactions. These were obtained by a total-energy mapping to a pure Heisenberg model with the 9 different bilinear parameters, $J_{ij}$, shown in Fig. 3. The fit to this spin model was performed by a least-squares regression analysis of the overdetermined system of 27 equations with 11 unknowns (9 superexchange parameters and 2 non-magnetic contributions to the total energy, one for each supercell). The mean-square total-energy deviation between the ab initio calculation and the spin model was 0.06 meV/Cu for $P = 0.49$ GPa, 0.16 meV/Cu for $P = 5.65$ GPa and 0.52 meV/Cu for $P = 14.73$ GPa, indicating the reliability of the spin model at all pressures.
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