Experimental reconstruction of spatial Schmidt modes for a wide-field SU(1,1) interferometer
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Abstract. We study the spatial mode content at the output of a wide-field SU(1,1) interferometer, i.e. a nonlinear interferometer comprising two coherently-pumped spatially-multimode optical parametric amplifiers placed in sequence with a focusing element in between. This device is expected to provide a phase sensitivity below the shot-noise limit for multiple modes over a broad angular range. To reconstruct the spatial modes and their weights, we implement a simple method based on the acquisition of only intensity distributions. The eigenmode decomposition of the field is obtained through the measurement of the covariance of intensities at different spatial points. We investigate both the radial and azimuthal (orbital angular momentum) modes and show that their total number is large enough to enable applications of the interferometer in spatially-resolved phase measurements.
1. Introduction

A lot of interest is currently attracted to the so-called SU(1,1) interferometer [1–4]. This is a sequence of two coherently pumped optical parametric amplifiers, based on four-wave mixing (FWM) [2, 4] or parametric down-conversion (PDC) [3]. The second amplifier amplifies or de-amplifies the radiation from the first one depending on the phase shift in between, and the interferometer provides sub-shot-noise sensitivity to this phase. Recently a wide-angle version of such an interferometer has been realized in experiment [5], aimed at various applications, among them testing the spatially-distributed phase shifts. In particular, sub-shot-noise sensitivity to the orbital angular momentum (OAM) is expected [6, 7]. All these applications require a large number of spatial modes covered by the interferometer.

Experimentally quantifying the spatial mode content of a multimode radiation can be a challenging task. In general, the measurement of the spatial intensity distribution is not sufficient because of the overlapping of different modes at each point. For this reason, more advanced techniques need to be used to access the information about the radiation and its orthogonal spatial components.

In particular, the measurement of the OAM spectrum has raised special interest since its discovery [8]. The promise of increased capacity for quantum communication due to the discrete high-dimensional degree of freedom of OAM (unlike polarization) can be only fulfilled if an efficient detection of the mode spectrum is implemented [9–12]. Different modes are separated by exploiting the periodical dependence of the phase of the OAM field on the azimuthal angle, either directly or with interferometric setups.

One direct strategy is to flatten this dependence with a spatial light modulator (SLM) for one OAM mode at a time and measure the coupling of the diffracted beam into a single-mode fiber [13, 14]. This strategy provides the distribution of the mode weights, but with some limitations [15]. Alternatively, the OAM charge of a beam can be converted into the transverse position with two phase elements [10, 16, 17], which can be replaced by SLMs [18]. In this case, different OAM modes focus through a lens into separate spots, whose intensity can be simultaneously measured. It is even possible to profit from the conservation of OAM in frequency upconversion to detect the modes [19].

The information about the OAM spectrum of a beam can be also indirectly determined by Fourier transforming the mutual angular coherence function, i.e. the first-order angular correlation function (CF) [20]. Experimentally, one extracts the CF from the measurement of the visibility from the interference of the beam under study and its rotated replica [21, 22]. However, such experiments rely on the fragile stability of interferometers and coincidence techniques over time. A novel version of this technique demonstrates the measurement of the CF through single-shot acquisition of interferograms [23, 24], therefore eliminating stability problems. Similarly, this issue does not play a role if the interference is observed between two different azimuthal parts of the same beam, like in a double-slit experiment [25].

All these techniques involve a special detection setup with several optical elements. In this work, we use a technique for reconstructing the shapes and weights of eigenmodes by only acquiring a sufficient number of 2D intensity distributions. Our method is valid for any radiation with Gaussian statistics including thermal light and bright twin beams generated through high-gain PDC or FWM. The technique relies on the Siegert relation between the first- and second-order CFs. Indeed, we reconstruct the eigenmodes starting from the measurement of the second-order CF, i.e. from the spatial correlations of intensity fluctuations. The main advantage for such a method is that the
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detection stage contains only a camera. Moreover, under reasonable conditions on the symmetry of the intensity 2D distribution, one can reconstruct independently the modes in the two degrees of freedom involved. For example, in the case of cylindrical symmetry one can reconstruct OAM and radial modes. A similar method can be applied to the time/frequency domain if the detection device is fast enough to follow the intensity fluctuations in time. The only limitation of our method is that the phases of radial modes cannot be recovered.

Here we apply this method to test a wide-angle SU(1,1) interferometer consisting of two quadratically nonlinear crystals with a focusing element in between. Both crystals generate high-gain PDC, and the second crystal amplifies or de-amplifies the radiation generated in the first one, depending on the phase introduced into the pump beam. At the output, the radiation is multimode both spatially and temporally, and each mode is populated by bright squeezed vacuum (BSV). If signal and idler beams can be distinguished (for instance, by considering non-degenerate frequencies), the BSV manifests twin-beam photon-number correlations. Each beam taken separately manifests thermal photon statistics.

Below, we present a detailed study of the angular (radial and azimuthal) eigenmodes and their weights for the output radiation of the wide-angle SU(1,1) interferometer. The OAM modes are related to the azimuthal angle but their spectrum also depends on the radial angle selected. We focus our attention on the dependence of the OAM content on the radial angle, but also on the spectrum averaged over all possible radial angles. We show, in particular, that for larger radial angles there is a richer spectrum of OAM modes.

2. Covariance of intensities and the Schmidt decomposition

We derive in this section the general result that links the measurement of the correlations of the intensity fluctuations of any Gaussian field with its eigenmode decomposition. For a Gaussian field, all high-order CFs can be expressed as a function of the first-order one. The normally-ordered first- and second-order spatial CFs in the far field are defined as

\[ G^{(1)}(\vec{q}, \vec{q}^{'}) = \langle E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle, \]

\[ G^{(2)}(\vec{q}, \vec{q}^{'}) = \langle E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) E^{(-)}(\vec{q}^{'}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle, \]

with \( E^{(+/-)} \) being the positive- and negative-frequency fields, while \( \vec{q} \) and \( \vec{q}^{'} \) the transverse wavevectors of two points in the far field. The link between the two CFs can be found by using the moment theorem for complex Gaussian processes,

\[ \langle E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) E^{(-)}(\vec{q}^{'}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle = \]

\[ = \langle E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle \langle E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle + \]

\[ \langle E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle \langle E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) E^{(+)}(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle. \]

Indeed, the substitution of Eq. (3) into Eq. (2) and the definition in Eq. (1) leads to the well-known Siegert relation

\[ G^{(2)}(\vec{q}, \vec{q}^{'}) = \langle I(\vec{q}) \rangle \langle I(\vec{q}^{'}) \rangle + \left| G^{(1)}(\vec{q}, \vec{q}^{'}) \right|^2, \]

where \( I(\vec{q}) = E^{(+)}(\vec{q}) E^{(-)}(\vec{q}) \) is the intensity.
In Sec. 3 we measure a related quantity, namely the covariance \(\text{Cov}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}') = G^{(2)}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}') - \langle I(\tilde{q}) \rangle \langle I(\tilde{q}') \rangle\). The square root of this quantity is then directly proportional to the absolute value of the first-order CF and this result links the covariance with the coherent modes of the system.

Indeed, according to the classical coherence theory, the first-order CF for a multimode beam can be written in the form

\[
G^{(1)}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}') = \sum_{m,n} \tilde{\lambda}_{mn} \tilde{u}_{mn}(\tilde{q})\tilde{u}_{mn}(\tilde{q}'),
\]

(5)

where \(\tilde{u}_{mn}\) are the eigenfunctions and \(\tilde{\lambda}_{mn}\) the eigenvalues \([30]\).

Another possibility of introducing eigenmodes is the Schmidt decomposition. Such a modal decomposition describes efficiently the correlations in a bipartite system. In particular, it can be used to describe twin beams (idler and signal) at the output of high-gain PDC \([31]\). Although a deeper consideration shows that the shapes of the Schmidt modes change with the parametric gain \([32]\), this effect is small. Accordingly, one can obtain these modes from the decomposition of the so-called two-photon amplitude (TPA), i.e. the probability amplitude of signal/idler photons being emitted into plane-wave monochromatic modes with transverse wave-vectors \(\tilde{q}_s, \tilde{q}_i\). Provided that the PDC generation has an axial symmetry (for instance, spatial walk-off is small), the TPA can be written as

\[
F(\tilde{q}_s, \tilde{q}_i) = \sum_{l,p} \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} \frac{u_{lp}(\theta_s)}{\sqrt{\theta_s}} \frac{v_{lp}(\theta_i)}{\sqrt{\theta_i}} e^{-il\phi},
\]

(6)

where \(l, p\) are, respectively the azimuthal and radial indices, \(\theta = q/k\) the radial angle, \(k\) the wavevector modulus, and \(\phi = \tan^{-1} q_b/q_s\) the azimuthal angle. The coefficients \(\lambda_{lp}\) are the Schmidt eigenvalues with the normalization condition \(\sum_{l,p} \lambda_{lp} = 1\) and \(u_{lp}, v_{lp}\) are the orthonormal radial modes for signal and idler, considered to be equivalent in the degenerate regime. We would like to point out that if \(l \neq 0\), the azimuthal eigenmodes are periodic functions of \(\phi\) and a mode with number \(l\) carries an OAM charge \(l\).

One can show that the coherent modes \([5]\) and eigenvalues of one of the twin beams (signal or idler) coincide with the Schmidt modes and Schmidt coefficients given in Eq. (6), i.e. \(\lambda_{lp} = \lambda_{lp}\) and \(\tilde{u}_{lp}(\tilde{q}) = \frac{u_{lp}(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} e^{il\phi}\) \([33][34]\).

Consider the covariance of intensities measured at angles \(\theta, \phi\) and \(\theta', \phi'\), defined as

\[
\text{Cov}(\theta, \theta', \phi, \phi') \equiv \langle I(\theta, \phi) I(\theta', \phi') \rangle - \langle I(\theta, \phi) \rangle \langle I(\theta', \phi') \rangle.
\]

(7)

In terms of the Schmidt modes introduced in Eq. (6), this covariance has the form \([9][27]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cov}(\theta, \theta', \phi, \phi') &= \left[\sum_{l,p} \lambda_{lp} \frac{u_{lp}(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{v_{lp}(\theta')}{\sqrt{\theta'}} e^{il\phi} \right]^2 \left[\sum_{l,p} \lambda_{lp} \frac{u_{lp}(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{v_{lp}(\theta')}{\sqrt{\theta'}} e^{-il\phi'} \right]^2 \\
&+ \left[\sum_{l,p} \lambda_{lp} \frac{u_{lp}(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{v_{lp}(\theta')}{\sqrt{\theta'}} e^{il\phi} \right]^2 \left[\sum_{l,p} \lambda_{lp} \frac{u_{lp}(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{v_{lp}(\theta')}{\sqrt{\theta'}} e^{-il\phi'} \right]^2. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

To simplify the reconstruction, in experiment we eliminate one of the twin beams by using frequency filtering that is asymmetric with respect to the degenerate wavelength. In this way, we transmit the signal photons and remove their idler matches to study only the autocorrelations of the intensity fluctuations. Then the last two terms in Eq. (8) disappear, and the covariance takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cov}(\theta, \theta', \phi, \phi') &= \left[\sum_{l,p} \lambda_{lp} \frac{u_{lp}(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{v_{lp}(\theta')}{\sqrt{\theta'}} e^{il\phi} \right]^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(9)
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This relation allows the mode shapes to be reconstructed from the square root of the covariance using the singular value decomposition.

3. Radiation eigenmodes at the output of the SU(1,1) interferometer

In this Section, we focus our attention on the eigenmode decomposition of the radiation at the output of the wide-field SU(1,1) interferometer [5].

3.1. Theory

We derive the Schmidt decomposition for this device using the following theoretical approach. The emission from first crystal is considered as the input for the second crystal, i.e. an optical parametric amplifier [28]. We do not have to take into account the diffraction of the emission because of the focusing element. The parametric gain values in the two crystals are assumed to be different, \( G_1 \neq G_2 \), and the phase of the SU(1,1) interferometer is \( \Phi \). We describe the state evolution by using the squeezing operators \( \hat{S}_1, \hat{S}_2 \), respectively, for the first and second amplifier. The state at the output of the system is

\[
|\psi\rangle = \hat{S}_2 \hat{S}_1 |0\rangle ,
\]

where \( |0\rangle \) is the vacuum state.

By using the decomposition of the TPA in Eq. (6), one can define the photon annihilation operators for the collective spatial Schmidt modes [31]. In our case, these Schmidt-mode operators at the output of the second crystal can be expressed in terms of the initial (vacuum) photon annihilation operators \( \hat{A}_{lp}^{in} \) and \( \hat{B}_{lp}^{in} \) in the corresponding \((l,p)\) Schmidt modes as

\[
\hat{A}_{lp}^{out} = \hat{S}_1^\dagger \hat{S}_2^\dagger \hat{A}_{lp}^{in} \hat{S}_2 \hat{S}_1 = \hat{S}_1^\dagger (\hat{A}_{lp}^{in} \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2 + \left[ \hat{B}_{lp}^{in} \right]^\dagger e^{i\phi} \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2) \hat{S}_1 =
\]

\[
= (\hat{A}_{lp}^{in} \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1 + \left[ \hat{B}_{lp}^{in} \right]^\dagger \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1) \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2 +
\]

\[
+(\left[ \hat{B}_{lp}^{in} \right]^\dagger \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1 + \hat{A}_{lp}^{in} \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1) e^{i\phi} \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2.
\]

By defining the quantities

\[
w_{1,lp}^{eff}(G_1, G_2, \Phi) = \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1 \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2 + e^{i\phi} \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1 \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2,
\]

\[
w_{2,lp}^{eff}(G_1, G_2, \Phi) = \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1 \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2 + e^{i\phi} \cosh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_1 \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_{lp}} G_2,
\]

Eq. (11) can be simplified to

\[
\hat{A}_{lp}^{out} = w_{1,lp}^{eff}(G_1, G_2, \Phi) \hat{A}_{lp}^{in} + w_{2,lp}^{eff}(G_1, G_2, \Phi) \left[ \hat{B}_{lp}^{in} \right]^\dagger .
\]

With this result, it is possible to calculate the average number of signal photons in the plane-wave mode \( \vec{q} \) [31],

\[
\langle \hat{N}_s(\vec{q}) \rangle = \sum_{l,p} \left| w_{2,lp}^{eff}(G_1, G_2, \Phi) \right|^2 \frac{|w_{1,lp}(\theta)|^2}{\cos \theta} .
\]

By integrating over the radial angle \( \theta \), we get the average total number of signal photons,

\[
\langle \hat{N}_s^{tot} \rangle = \sum_{l,p} \left| w_{2,lp}^{eff}(G_1, G_2, \Phi) \right|^2 ,
\]
as a sum of the terms $|w_{2lp}^{\text{eff}}(G_1, G_2, \Phi)|^2$ that we identify as the number of photons in the $(l,p)$ Schmidt mode. In this fashion, we can denote the signal Schmidt eigenvalues as

$$\Lambda_{lp} = |w_{2lp}^{\text{eff}}(G_1, G_2, \Phi)|^2.$$  \hfill (16)

If the gains are large enough to satisfy the relations $\sqrt{\Lambda_{lp}} G_1/2 \gg 1$ and provided that $\Phi$ is not close to $\pi$, we can approximate

$$\Lambda_{lp} \approx \frac{1}{4} \sinh^2(\sqrt{\Lambda_{lp}} (G_2 + G_1)) |1 + e^{i\Phi}|^2.$$  \hfill (17)

This approximation is valid as long as

$$\sinh \left( \sqrt{\Lambda_{lp}} (G_1 + G_2) \right) |1 + e^{i\Phi}| \gg \sinh \left( \sqrt{\Lambda_{lp}} |G_1 - G_2| \right),$$  \hfill (18)

hence the condition of $\Phi \neq \pi$.

3.2. Experimental setup

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. The basic parts of the interferometer are a $\beta$-barium borate (BBO) crystal and mirrors M1 and M2. The pump is a Nd:YAG laser emitting 18 ps pulses at the wavelength 354.67 nm with a repetition rate of 1 kHz. We produce type-I PDC radiation in the collinear degenerate regime at 709.33 nm. The dichroic mirror DM1 separates the pump and the down-converted radiation. The pump is reflected back to the crystal by the mirror M1 mounted on the piezoelectric actuator PA in order to control the phase of the interferometer. The PDC radiation is imaged by the spherical mirror M2 ($R = 100$ mm) back onto the crystal. With an average pump power of 60 mW and a FWHM of $300 \pm 10 \mu m$, the PDC emission in the first pass of the pump beam is multimode even if the parametric gain is high, i.e. $G_1 = 2.1 \pm 0.3$ (measured as in Ref. [29]). We select a higher gain in the second pass, $G_2 = 3.3 \pm 0.3$, by focusing the pump beam to a FWHM of $180 \pm 10 \mu m$ and controlling the polarisation with the waveplates HWP and QWP. Indeed, the polarisation is rotated from horizontal to 27 deg by the half-wave plate HWP.
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in order to reduce the contribution in the first pass and rotated back to horizontal with the double pass through the quarter wave-plate QWP.

In the second pass, if the pulses meet again at the crystal, the multimode structure undergoes amplification/de-amplification depending on the relative phase between pump, signal and idler beams \( \Phi = \Phi_p - \Phi_s - \Phi_i \). The resulting multimode radiation is very similar to the one of a single crystal with the effective gain \( G_1 + G_2 \), as shown in Eq. (17). The dichroic mirror DM2 reflects the amplified PDC emission to the detection part, while the dichroic mirror DM3 rejects any residual pump beam. The band-pass filter BPF (central wavelength 700 nm, bandwidth 10 nm) selects a frequency band slightly shifted from the degeneracy, so that only one of the twin beams is detected. At the same time, the shift from degeneracy is so small that we do not expect the signal and idler eigenmodes to be different. A charge-coupled device (CCD) camera is placed in the far field of the lens L (focal length \( f = 4 \) cm) and has a long-pass filter LPF attached to reduce the contribution of the stray light to the intensity distributions.

Figure 2. Single-shot far-field 2D intensity distribution at the output of the wide-field SU(1,1) interferometer. Two speckles of intensity fluctuations are shown by circles. The phase of the interferometer \( \Phi \) is fixed to the value 3.82 rad.

Figure 2 shows the far-field intensity distribution recorded in a single pulse. The distribution is over two Cartesian angles defined as \( q_x/k \) and \( q_y/k \) and it has a FWHM of \( \sim 22 \) mrad. In this case, the phase \( \Phi \) is fixed to the value 3.82 rad, i.e. approximately \( \pi/5 \) away from the dark fringe. This is achieved with a phase-locking arrangement not shown for simplicity in the setup in Fig. 1. Typically, the spectrum of high-gain PDC radiation would contain correlated intensity fluctuations at points symmetric with respect to the pump direction (center). Here, these correlations are not present because only one of the twin beams is selected by non-degenerate filtering. The black and brown circles highlight especially bright areas (‘speckles’) of the PDC emission.

[35]
3.3. Orbital angular momentum spectrum

For the measurement of the OAM spectrum at different radial angles, we keep the phase $\Phi = 3.82$ rad. We acquire 500 single-shot 2D intensity distributions and extract the azimuthal intensity 1D distribution $I(\phi)$ by integrating $I(\theta, \phi)$ over a range of $\pm 1.1$ mrad around each radial angle $\theta_0$. For the resulting 1D distributions, the covariance for the intensities $I(\phi)$, $I(\phi')$ at the azimuthal angles $\phi$ and $\phi'$ is experimentally obtained as

$$\text{Cov}_{\theta=\theta'=\theta_0}(\phi, \phi') = \langle I(\phi)I(\phi') \rangle - \langle I(\phi) \rangle \langle I(\phi') \rangle.$$  \hfill (19)

Then, the autocorrelation part in Eq. (9) takes the form

$$\text{Cov}_{\theta=\theta'=\theta_0}(\phi, \phi') = \left[ \sum_l L_l(\theta_0)e^{il(\phi-\phi')} \right]^2,$$  \hfill (20)

with $L_l(\theta_0) = \sum_p \Lambda_{lp} |u_{lp}(\theta_0)|^2 / \theta_0$, taking into account the high-gain weights given by Eq. (17). The covariance distribution turns out to be symmetric and depends only on the difference $\phi - \phi'$. The width of the distribution is larger for smaller radial angles.

![Figure 3. Experimental 1D azimuthal covariance distributions as functions of the difference in the azimuthal angles $\phi - \phi'$ with fixed radial angles ranging from 4 to 16 mrad. The width of the distribution is larger for smaller radial angles.](image)

In experiment, we average the distribution along the $\phi + \phi'$ direction and the result of this procedure is shown in Fig. 3. Since the possible range for the azimuthal angle is between 0 and $2\pi$, the difference $\phi - \phi'$ spans between $-2\pi$ and $2\pi$. For $\phi = \phi'$, the intensity fluctuations are maximally correlated, as expected for the autocorrelation. At different azimuthal angles $\phi \neq \phi'$, the correlation drops to zero.

If we fix the radial angle $\theta_0$ to be small, i.e. choose points closer to the center, the covariance distribution is broader. Conversely, it gets tighter for large $\theta_0$. 


Figure 4. FWHM of the azimuthal covariance distribution shows a decrease as a function of the radial angle. By multiplying this width by each radial angle, we see that the speckle size in Cartesian angles is constant.

This trend is presented on the left y-axis in blue of Fig. 4. The covariance has a FWHM ranging from 2.7 to 0.7 mrad respectively for radial angles 4 and 16 mrad. As one can see in Fig. 2, the azimuthal size of the correlation area \( \frac{\theta_x}{k}, \frac{\theta_y}{k} \) is larger for smaller radial angles. At the same time, the correlation area size should be the same in Cartesian angles \( \frac{q_x}{k}, \frac{q_y}{k} \) as the speckle size in Fig. 2 is also the same everywhere. By multiplying the covariance FWHM by the selected radial angle, we see that the correlation area size is constant as expected. We plot the result in orange on the right y-axis of Fig. 4 and we find an average value of 11 \( \pm \) 1 mrad.

Figure 5. OAM spectrum obtained from the covariance measured at radial angles ranging from 4 to 16 mrad. The distributions show a larger number of azimuthal modes for larger radial angles.

By applying the Fourier decomposition to the square root of the covariance (20), we obtain the distribution of weights \( L_l(\theta_0) \) for the radial angle considered, as shown in Fig. 5. The part of negative OAM charge is not shown because it is symmetric with respect to \( l = 0 \). For larger radial
angles, the covariance has a steeper dependence on $\phi - \phi'$, which corresponds to a larger number of OAM modes \[37\]. Accordingly, the number of modes, calculated as $1/|L_l(\theta_0)|^2$, increases from $3.8 \pm 0.2$ to $18.2 \pm 0.6$ for $\theta_0$ increasing from 4 mrad to 14 mrad.

The weights $L_l(\theta_0)$ obtained from the covariance at different $\theta_0$ contain contributions from several radial modes. Therefore, we cannot determine the distribution of the weights $\Lambda_{lp}$ for a fixed $p$. Nevertheless, it is possible to obtain the average OAM weights over all radial modes, i.e. $\Lambda_l = \sum_p \Lambda_{lp}$, by integrating the square root of the covariance \[20\] over the radial angle,

$$\int \sqrt{\text{Cov}_{\theta=\theta'}(\phi,\phi') \theta_0} \text{d}$$. 

This procedure has been performed in Ref. \[5\] and resulted in 8 OAM modes.

It is interesting to note that according to Eq. \[17\], the OAM spectrum remains the same for different phases of the interferometer. This result has been experimentally confirmed in Ref. \[5\].

### 3.4. Radial modes

For reconstructing the radial modes, we integrate the measured distribution $I(\theta,\phi)$ within a range of $\pm 0.08$ rad around $\phi = 0$ to obtain the radial distribution of intensity $I(\theta)$. The radial covariance distribution is then obtained as

$$\text{Cov}_{\phi=\phi'}(\theta,\theta') = \langle I(\theta)I(\theta') \rangle - \langle I(\theta) \rangle \langle I(\theta') \rangle.$$

The results for $\Phi = 3.82$ rad are shown in Fig. 6. The correlation is distributed around the $\theta = \theta'$ direction. (b) Theoretical fit of the distribution.

The correlation of intensity fluctuations is the highest along the diagonal $\theta = \theta'$. Somewhat lower values at small radial angles are probably caused by a damaged spot on the optical elements. This cannot be usually recognized in the single-shot spectrum, but it becomes clear by averaging over several pulses. For this reason, we use a fitting function for the covariance as described in Ref. \[32\]. The fit requires prior knowledge about the shape of the intensity distribution and the nature of the correlations, in general not necessary for the reconstruction of the modes. The result of the fit is shown in Fig. 6 (b) and it shows good agreement with the experimental covariance.
In this case, the covariance in Eq. (9) takes the form

\[
\text{Cov}_{\phi=\phi'=0}(\theta, \theta') = \left[ \sum_p \Lambda_p \frac{u_p(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{u_p^*(\theta')}{\sqrt{\theta'}} \right]^2,
\]

with \( \Lambda_p = \sum_l \Lambda_{lp} \) and assuming that the radial modes do not depend strongly on \( l \) \cite{6}. By performing the singular value decomposition on the square root of Eq. (23), we obtain the shapes and the weights of the Schmidt radial modes.

**Figure 7.** Shapes (a) and weights (b) of the radial modes. Only the first four mode shapes are shown (first, second, third and fourth in the colors orange, red, green and blue). The weights are shown for three different phases of the interferometer, namely \( \Phi = 3.82, 4.02 \) and \( 4.22 \) rad correspondingly to the colors blue, green and yellow.

The result is shown in Fig. 7. The shapes of the first four modes resemble the Hermite-Gauss ones, as one would expect for a covariance distribution close to a Gaussian shape. The distribution of the weights is constant as the phase of the interferometer is changed between the value \( \Phi = 3.82, 4.02 \) and \( 4.22 \) rad (color blue, green and yellow). The number of modes in this case is \( 4.5 \pm 0.2 \). Accordingly, the total number of spatial modes can be estimated as \( 34 \pm 2 \), which roughly corresponds to the number of speckles within the intensity distribution at the output of the interferometer (Fig. 2).

4. Conclusion

We have demonstrated the experimental reconstruction of the eigenmodes of a multimode field with Gaussian intensity fluctuations by using the measurement of intensity distributions. To this end, we have justified theoretically the link between the measurement of intensity fluctuations correlation and the equivalent coherent-mode or Schmidt decomposition in the cases of thermal light and bright twin beams. For the experiment, we employed a wide-field SU(1,1) interferometer to generate twin beams and used one of the two beams as a state of light with thermal statistics. By acquiring 500 single-shot far-field intensity spectra we reconstructed the OAM spectrum of our source for several radial angles and the spectrum averaged over the radial degree of freedom. We also determined the shapes and weights of the radial modes.

The method we portrayed in our work has the advantage of a simple detection scheme, requiring only a camera measuring intensity distributions. Moreover, no prior knowledge about the modes
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of the system under study is needed, but simple considerations on the symmetry of the intensity distribution simplify the treatment. Since the reconstruction relies on the acquisition of several intensity spectra, instant changes of the mode composition cannot be detected. Another critical point is that the detection of intensity distributions leaves out the information about the space-dependent phases of the modes.

This technique should be suitable to quickly identify the mode structure of an unknown stable system. For instance, we believe one could measure the eigenmode decomposition of a fiber whose guiding properties are completely unknown. By measuring at the output the intensity distributions of thermal radiation launched into the fiber, the shapes of the modes can be obtained easily, while the relative strengths might depend on the coupling of the source. Currently, the most widely used method is the $S^2$ imaging, which relies on the group delay difference between different modes propagating and interfering in the fiber [38]. For such a method, a tunable source is essential to provide the mode shapes and spectrum [39], therefore our reconstruction could offer a valid alternative.

For the characterization of an SU(1,1) interferometer, an important conclusion is that the use of a focusing element provides a multimode spatial spectrum at the output: in total, more than 30 spatial modes were measured. This is not the case in a configuration where such an element is absent [6]. Moreover, the output number of OAM modes can be large (up to 18) if a large radial angle is selected. Although low-gain PDC provides even larger numbers of OAM modes [23], at high gain this number is usually reduced. The possibility to have an SU(1,1) interferometer covering a large number of OAM modes paves the way to sub-shot-noise OAM sensing.
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