WILLMORE LEGENDRIAN SURFACES IN $\mathbb{S}^5$ ARE MINIMAL LEGENDRIAN SURFACES

YONG LUO AND LINLIN SUN

Abstract. In this paper we continue to consider Willmore Legendrian surfaces and csL Willmore surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^5$, notions introduced by Luo in [11]. We will prove that every complete Willmore Legendrian surface in $\mathbb{S}^5$ is minimal and construct nontrivial examples of csL Willmore surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^5$.

1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface, $(M^n, g) = \mathbb{S}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) the unit sphere or the Euclidean space with standard metrics and $f$ an immersion from $\Sigma$ to $M$. Let $B$ be the second fundamental form of $f$ with respect to the induced metric, $H$ the mean curvature vector field of $f$ defined by

$$H = \text{trace } B,$$

$\kappa_M$ the Gauss curvature of $df(T\Sigma)$ with respect to the ambient metric $g$ and $d\mu_f$ the area element on $f(\Sigma)$. The Willmore functional of the immersion $f$ is then defined by

$$W(f) = \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{1}{4} |H|^2 + \kappa_M \right) d\mu_f,$$

For a smooth and compactly supported variation $f : \Sigma \times I \mapsto M$ with $\phi = \partial_t f$ we have the following first variational formula (cf. [24, 25])

$$\frac{d}{dt} W(f) = \int_{\Sigma} \left( \overline{W}(f), \phi \right) d\mu_f,$$

with $\overline{W}(f) = \sum_{\alpha=3}^{n} \overline{W}(f)^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$, where $\{ e_{\alpha} : 3 \leq \alpha \leq n \}$ is a local orthonormal frame of the normal bundle of $f(\Sigma)$ in $M$ and

$$\overline{W}(f)^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta H^\alpha + \sum_{i,j} h_i^{\alpha} h_j H^\beta - 2 |H|^2 H^\alpha \right), \quad 3 \leq \alpha \leq n,$$

where $h_i^{\alpha}$ is the component of $B$ and $H^\alpha$ is the trace of $h_i^{\alpha}$.

A smooth immersion $f : \Sigma \mapsto M$ is called a Willmore immersion, if it is a critical point of the Willmore functional $W$. In other words, $f$ is a Willmore immersion if and only if it
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satisfies

\begin{equation}
\Delta H^\alpha + \sum_{i,j} h_i^\alpha h_j^\beta H^{ij} - 2 |H|^2 H^\alpha = 0, \quad 3 \leq \alpha \leq n.
\end{equation}

When \((M, g) = \mathbb{R}^3\), Willmore [27] proved that the Willmore energy of closed surfaces are larger than or equal to \(4\pi\) and equality holds only for round spheres. When \(\Sigma\) is a torus, Willmore conjectured that the minimum is \(2\pi^2\) and it is attained only by the Clifford torus, up to a conformal transformation of \(\mathbb{R}^3\) [6, 26], which was verified by Marques and Neves in [15]. When \((M, g) = \mathbb{R}^n\), Simon [22], combined with the work of Bauer and Kuwert [1], proved the existence of an embedded surface which minimizes the Willmore functional among closed surfaces of prescribed genus. Motivated by these mentioned papers, Minicozzi [16] proved the existence of an embedded torus which minimizes the Willmore functional in a smaller class of Lagrangian tori in \(\mathbb{R}^4\). In the same paper Minicozzi conjectured that the Clifford torus minimizes the Willmore functional in its Hamiltonian isotropic class, which he verified has a close relationship with Oh’s conjecture [19, 20]. We should also mention that before Minicozzi, Castro and Urbano proved that the Whitney sphere in \(\mathbb{R}^4\) is the only minimizer for the Willmore functional among closed Lagrangian sphere. This result was further generalized by Castro and Urbano in [4] where they proved that the Whitney sphere is the only closed Willmore Lagrangian sphere (a Lagrangian sphere which is also a Willmore surface) in \(\mathbb{R}^4\). Examples of Willmore Lagrangian tori (Lagrangian tori which also are Willmore surfaces) in \(\mathbb{R}^4\) were constructed by Pinkall [21] and Castro and Urbano [5]. Motivated by these works, Luo and Wang [13] considered the variation of the Willmore functional among Lagrangian surfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^4\) or variation of a Lagrangian surface of the Willmore functional among its Hamiltonian isotropic class in \(\mathbb{R}^4\), whose critical points are called LW or HW surfaces respectively. We should also mention that Willmore type functional of Lagrangian surfaces in \(\mathbb{CP}^2\) were studied by Montiel and Urbano [18] and Ma, Mironov and Zuo [14].


Definition 1.1. A Willmore and Legendrian surface in \(\mathbb{S}^5\) is called a Willmore Legendrian surface.

Definition 1.2. A Legendrian surface in \(\mathbb{S}^5\) is called a contact stationary Legendrian Willmore surface (in short, a csL Willmore surface) if it is a critical point of the Willmore functional under contact deformations.

Luo [11] proved that Willmore Legendrian surfaces in \(\mathbb{S}^5\) are csL surfaces (see Definition 2.6). In this paper, we continue to study Willmore Legendrian surfaces and csL Willmore surfaces in \(\mathbb{S}^5\). Surprisingly we will prove that every complete Willmore Legendrian surface in \(\mathbb{S}^5\) must be a minimal surface (Theorem 3.2). We will also construct nontrivial examples of csL Willmore surfaces from csL surfaces in \(\mathbb{S}^5\) for the first time, by exploring relationships between them (Proposition 4.1).

The method here we used to construct nontrivial csL Willmore surfaces in \(\mathbb{S}^5\) in Section 4 should also be useful in constructing nontrivial HW surfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^4\) introduced by Luo and Wang in [13]. We will consider this problem in a forthcoming paper.
2. Basic material and formulas

In this section we record some basic material of contact geometry. We refer the reader to consult [7] and [2] for more materials.

2.1. Contact Manifolds.

Definition 2.1. A contact manifold $M$ is an odd dimensional manifold with a one form $\alpha$ such that $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n \neq 0$, where $\dim M = 2n + 1$.

Assume now that $(M, \alpha)$ is a given contact manifold of dimension $2n + 1$. Then $\alpha$ defines a $2n$-dimensional vector bundle over $M$, where the fibre at each point $p \in M$ is given by

$$\xi_p = \ker \alpha_p.$$ 

Since $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$ defines a volume form on $M$, we see that $\omega := d\alpha$ is a closed nondegenerate 2-form on $\xi \oplus \xi$ and hence it defines a symplectic product on $\xi$, say $\omega$, such that $(\xi, \omega|_{\xi \oplus \xi})$ becomes a symplectic vector bundle. A consequence of this fact is that there exists an almost complex bundle structure $\tilde{J}$:

1. $\tilde{J}^2 = -\text{id}_\xi$, 
2. $d\alpha(\tilde{J}X, \tilde{J}Y) = d\alpha(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \xi$, 
3. $d\alpha(X, \tilde{J}X) > 0$ for $X \in \xi \setminus 0$.

Since $M$ is an odd dimensional manifold, $\omega$ must be degenerate on $TM$, and so we obtain a line bundle $\eta$ over $M$ with fibres

$$\eta_p := \{ V \in TM | \omega(V, W) = 0, \forall W \in \xi \}.$$

Definition 2.2. The Reeb vector field $R$ is the section of $\eta$ such that $\alpha(R) = 1$.

Thus $\alpha$ defines a splitting of $TM$ into a line bundle $\eta$ with the canonical section $R$ and a symplectic vector bundle $(\xi, \omega|_{\xi \oplus \xi})$. We denote the projection along $\eta$ by $\pi$, i.e.

$$\pi : TM \mapsto \xi, \quad V \mapsto \pi(V) := V - \alpha(V)R.$$ 

Using this projection we extend the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$ to a section $J \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes TM)$ by setting

$$J(V) = \tilde{J}(\pi(V)),$$

for $V \in TM$.

We have special interest in a special class of submanifolds in contact manifolds.

Definition 2.3. Let $(M, \alpha)$ be a contact manifold, a submanifold $\Sigma$ of $(M, \alpha)$ is called an isotropic submanifold if $T_x\Sigma \subseteq \xi$ for all $x \in \Sigma$.

For algebraic reasons the dimension of an isotropic submanifold of a $2n + 1$ dimensional contact manifold can not bigger than $n$.

Definition 2.4. An isotropic submanifold $\Sigma \subseteq (M, \alpha)$ of maximal possible dimension $n$ is called a Legendrian submanifold.
2.2. Sasakian manifolds. Let \((M, \alpha)\) be a contact manifold. A Riemannian metric \(g_\alpha\) defined on \(M\) is said to be associated, if it satisfies the following three conditions:

1. \(g_\alpha(R, R) = 1\),
2. \(g_\alpha(V, R) = 0\), \(\forall V \in \xi\),
3. \(\omega(V, JW) = g_\alpha(V, W), \forall V, W \in \xi\).

We should mention here that on any contact manifold there exists an associated metric on it, because we can construct one in the following way. We introduce a bilinear form \(b\) by

\[b(V, W) = \omega(V, JW),\]

then the tensor \(g := b + \alpha \otimes \alpha\)
defines an associated metric on \(M\).

Sasakian manifolds are the odd dimensional analogue of Kähler manifolds.

**Definition 2.5.** A contact manifold \(M\) with an associated metric \(g_\alpha\) is called Sasakian, if the cone \(CM\) equipped with the following extended metric \(\bar{g}\)

\[(CM, \bar{g}) = (\mathbb{R}^+ \times M, dr^2 + r^2 g_\alpha)\]
is Kähler with respect to the following canonical almost complex structure \(J\) on \(TCM = \mathbb{R} \oplus \langle R \rangle \oplus \xi:\)

\[J(r\partial r) = -R, \quad J(R) = r\partial r.\]

Furthermore if \(g_\alpha\) is Einstein, \(M\) is called a Sasakian Einstein manifold.

We record more several lemmas which are well known in Sasakian geometry. These lemmas will be used in the subsequent sections.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \((M, \alpha, g_\alpha, J)\) be a Sasakian manifold. Then

\[(2.1) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X R = -JX,\]

and

\[(2.2) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X J)(Y) = g(X, Y)R - \alpha(Y)X,\]

for \(X, Y \in TM\), where \(\bar{\nabla}\) is the Levi-Civita connection on \((M, g_\alpha)\).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \(\Sigma\) be a Legendrian submanifold in a Sasakian Einstein manifold \((M, \alpha, g_\alpha, J)\), then the mean curvature form \(\omega(H, \cdot)|_{\Sigma}\) defines a closed one form on \(\Sigma\).

For a proof of this lemma we refer to [10, Proposition A.2], and [23, lemma 2.8]. In fact they proved this result under the weaker assumption that \((M, \alpha, g_\alpha, J)\) is a weakly Sasakian Einstein manifold, where weakly Einstein means that \(g_\alpha\) is Einstein only when restricted to the contact hyperplane.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \(\Sigma\) be a Legendrian submanifold in a Sasakian manifold \((M, \alpha, g_\alpha, J)\) and \(A\) be the second fundamental form of \(\Sigma\) in \(M\). Then we have

\[g_\alpha(A(X, Y), R) = 0.\]
\[ \langle A(X, Y), R \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, R \rangle = -\langle Y, \nabla_X R \rangle = \langle Y, JX \rangle = 0, \]

where in the third equality we used (2.1). □

In particular this lemma implies that the mean curvature \( H \) of \( \Sigma \) is orthogonal to the Reeb field \( R \). This fact is important in our following argument.

**Lemma 2.4.** For any \( Y, Z \in \ker \bar{\alpha}, \) we have
\[ \bar{g}_\alpha(\bar{\nabla}_X (JY), Z) = \bar{g}_\alpha(J\bar{\nabla}_Y Y, Z). \]

A canonical example of Sasakian Einstein manifolds is the standard odd dimensional sphere \( \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \).

**Example 2.1** (The standard sphere \( \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \)). Let \( \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \) be the Euclidean space with coordinates \( (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}) \) and \( \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \) be the standard unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \). Define
\[ \alpha_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (x_j dy_j - y_j dx_j), \]
then
\[ \alpha := \alpha_0|_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} \]
defines a contact one form on \( \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \). Assume that \( g_0 \) is the standard metric on \( \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \) and \( J_0 \) is the standard complex structure of \( \mathbb{C}^n \). We define \( g_\alpha = g_0|_{\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} \), then (\( \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \alpha, g_\alpha \)) is a Sasakian Einstein manifold. The contact hyperplane is characterized by
\[ \ker \alpha_x = \{ Y \in T_x \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} | \langle Y, J_0 x \rangle = 0 \}. \]

### 2.3. Legendrian submanifolds in the unit sphere.

Assume \( \phi : \Sigma^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \) is a Legendrian immersion. Let \( B \) be the second fundamental form, \( A^r \) be the shape operator with respect to the norm vector \( v \in T^+ \Sigma \) and \( H \) be the mean curvature vector. The shape operator \( A^r \) is a symmetric operator on the tangent bundle and satisfies the following Weingarten equations
\[ \langle B(X, Y), v \rangle = \langle A^r(X), Y \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y \in T \Sigma, v \in T^+ \Sigma. \]

The Gauss equations, Codazzi equations and Ricci equations are given by
\begin{align}
(2.3) \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) &= \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle + \langle B(X, Z), B(Y, W) \rangle - \langle B(X, W), B(Y, Z) \rangle, \\
(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) &= (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z), \quad R^+(X, Y, \mu, v) = \langle A^\mu(X), A^r(Y) \rangle - \langle A^r(Y), A^\mu(X) \rangle,
\end{align}
where \( X, Y, Z, W \in T \Sigma, \mu, v \in T^+ \Sigma. \)

Let \( \{ e_1, e_2 \} \) be a local orthonormal frame of \( \Sigma \). Then \( \{ J e_1, J e_2, J \phi \} \) is a local orthonormal frame of the normal bundle \( T^\perp \Sigma \), where \( J \) is the complex structure of \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \). Set
\[ \sigma_{ijk} := \langle B(e_i, e_j), J e_k \rangle, \quad \mu_j := \langle H, J e_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ijj}, \]
then by Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and the Codazzi equation (2.4) we have
\[
\sigma_{i j k} = \sigma_{j i k} = \sigma_{i k j}, \quad \sigma_{i j k l} = \sigma_{j i k l},
\]
\[
d\mu = 0, \quad \delta\mu = \text{div}(JH).
\]

Recall that

**Definition 2.6.** \(\Sigma\) is a csL submanifold if it is a critical point of the volume functional among Legendrian submanifolds.

CsL submanifolds satisfy the following Euler-Lagrangian equation ([3, 8]):
\[
\text{div}(JH) = 0.
\]

It is obvious that \(\Sigma\) is csL when \(\Sigma\) is minimal. The following observation is very important for the study of csL submanifolds.

**Lemma 2.5.** \(\Sigma\) is csL iff \(\mu\) is a harmonic 1-form iff \(JH\) is a harmonic vector field.

By using the Bochner formula for harmonic vector fields (cf. [9]), we get

**Lemma 2.6.** If \(\Sigma\) is csL, then
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |H|^2 = |\nabla(JH)|^2 + \text{Ric}(JH, JH).
\]

From (2.6) it is easy to see that we have

**Lemma 2.7.** If \(\Sigma \subset S^5\) is csL and non-minimal, then the zero set of \(H\) is isolate and
\[
\Delta \log |H| = \kappa
\]
provided \(H \neq 0\), where \(\kappa\) is the Gauss curvature of \(\Sigma\).

### 3. Willmore Legendrian surfaces in \(S^5\)

In this section we prove that every complete Willmore Legendrian surface in \(S^5\) must be a minimal surface. Firstly, we rewrite the Willmore operator acting on Legendrian surfaces, i.e., we prove the following

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume that \(\Sigma\) is a Legendrian surface in \(S^5\), then its Willmore operator can be written as
\[
\tilde{W}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -J\nabla \text{div}(JH) + B(JH, JH) - \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 H - 2 \text{div}(JH)R \right\}.
\]

In particular, the Euler-Lagrangian equation of Willmore Legendrian surfaces in \(S^5\) is
\[
-J\nabla \text{div}(JH) + B(JH, JH) - \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 H - 2 \text{div}(JH)R = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\{v_1, v_2, R\}\) be a local orthonormal frame of the normal bundle of \(\Sigma\), then the Willmore equation (1.1) can be rewritten as
\[
\Delta' H + \sum_{\alpha} \langle A^\alpha, A^H \rangle v_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 H = 0.
\]

Note that by (2.2) we have
\[
\nabla_X(JY) = J\nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)R
\]
Lemma 2.2

2.3

2.5

2.3

Claim.
The Proposition is then a consequence of the following Claim together with above two identi-

cations. Choose a local orthonormal frame field around \( p \) with \( \nabla e_i|_p = 0 \), then

\[
J \nabla e_i(JH) = \nabla^e_i(J(HH)) - g(e_i, JH)R \\
= -\nabla^e_i H - g(e_i, JH)R
\]

and

\[
J \nabla e_i(\nabla^e_i(JH)) = \nabla^e_i(J \nabla e_i(JH)) - g(e_i, \nabla e_i JH)R \\
= \nabla^e_i(-\nabla^\nu_i H - g(e_i, JH)R) - g(e_i, \nabla e_i JH)R \\
= -\nabla^e_i \nabla^\nu_i H - 2g(e_i, \nabla e_i(JH)R - g(e_i, JH)\left(\nabla e_i \nu\right)^\nu \\
= -\nabla^e_i \nabla^\nu_i H - 2g(e_i, \nabla e_i(JH)R - g(H, JH)Je_i,
\]

where in the last equality we also used (2.1). Therefore we obtain

\[
\Delta'' H = -J \Delta(JH) - H - 2 \operatorname{div}(JH)R,
\]

which implies that \( \Sigma \) satisfies the following equation

\[
-J \Delta(JH) + \sum_\alpha \left\langle A^\alpha, A^{\nu}\right\rangle \nu = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 + |H|^2\right) H - 2 \operatorname{div}(JH)R = 0.
\]

In addition, by Lemma 2.2, the dual one form of \( JH \) is harmonic. By the Ricci identity we have

\[
\Delta(JH) = \nabla \operatorname{div}(JH) + \kappa JH.
\]

The Proposition is then a consequence of the following Claim together with above two identi-

ties.

Claim.

\[
2\kappa = 2 + |H|^2 - |B|^2, \\
\sum_\alpha \left\langle A^\alpha, A^{\nu}\right\rangle \nu = \frac{1}{2} |B|^2 H = B(JH, JH) - \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 H.
\]

Proof: The first equation is obvious by the Gauss equation (2.3). The second equation can be

proved by the Gauss equation (2.3) and the tri-symmetry of the tensor \( \sigma \) (see (2.5)). To be

precise, for every tangent vector field \( Z \in T\Sigma \) we have

\[
\left\langle B(JH, JH), JZ\right\rangle - \sum_\alpha \left\langle A^\alpha, A^{\nu}\right\rangle \nu, JZ\right\rangle \\
= -\left\langle B(Z, JH), H\right\rangle - \sum_{i,j} \left\langle B(e_i, e_j), JZ\right\rangle \left\langle B(e_i, e_j), H\right\rangle \\
= \sum_{i,j} \left\langle B(Z, e_j), Je_i\right\rangle \left\langle B(JH, e_j), e_i\right\rangle - \left\langle B(Z, JH), H\right\rangle \\
= \sum_j \left\langle B(Z, e_j), B(JH, e_j)\right\rangle - \left\langle B(Z, JH), H\right\rangle \\
= \operatorname{Ric}(Z, JH) - \langle Z, JH\rangle \\
= (\kappa - 1)\langle Z, JH\rangle
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\left(\langle H^2 - |B|^2\rangle(Z, JH)\right) &= \\
\left(\langle B^2 - |H|^2\rangle(H, JZ)\right) &= 
\end{align*}
\]
This completes the proof of the second equation. 

□

Now we are in position to prove the following

**Theorem 3.2.** Every complete Willmore Legendrian surface in \( S^5 \) is a minimal surface.

**Proof.** We prove by a contradiction argument. Assume that \( \Sigma \) is a complete Willmore Legendrian surface in \( S^5 \) which is not a minimal surface. If \( H \neq 0 \), then let \( \{e_1 = \frac{JH}{|H|}, e_2\} \) be a local orthonormal frame field of \( \Sigma \). From (3.1) we have

\[
B(e_1, e_1) = -\frac{1}{2} |H| J e_1,
\]

which also implies that

\[
B(e_2, e_2) = -\frac{1}{2} |H| J e_1, \quad h_{11}^2 = 0.
\]

Then by the Gauss equation (2.3) we have

\[
\kappa = 1 + \langle B(e_1, e_1), B(e_2, e_2)\rangle - |B(e_1, e_2)|^2
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{1}{4} |H|^2 - |h_{12}|^2 - |h_{12}|^2
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{1}{4} |H|^2 - |h_{12}|^2
\]

\[
= 1.
\]

Since \( \Sigma \) is a Willmore Legendrian surface, from (3.1) we see that \( \text{div}(JH) = 0 \). By Lemma 2.7 the minimal points of \( \Sigma \) are discrete and so the Gauss curvature of \( \Sigma \) equals 1 everywhere on \( \Sigma \), therefore \( \Sigma \) is compact by Bonnet-Myers theorem. Apply Lemma 2.6 to obtain that on \( \Sigma \)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |H|^2 = |\nabla(JH)|^2 + |H|^2.
\]

Then the maximum principle implies that \( H \equiv 0 \) which is a contradiction. Therefore \( \Sigma \) is a minimal surface. □

4. Examples of csL Willmore surfaces in \( S^5 \)

From the definition we see that complete Willmore Legendrian surfaces, which are minimal surface by Theorem 3.2 in the last section, are trivial examples of csL Willmore surfaces in \( S^5 \). Thus it is very natural and important to construct nonminimal csL Willmore surfaces in \( S^5 \). This will be done in this section by analyzing a very close relationship between csL Willmore surfaces and csL surfaces in \( S^5 \).

Assume that \( \Sigma \) is a csL Willmore surface in \( S^5 \), then since the variation vector field on \( \Sigma \) under Legendrian deformations can be written as \( J\nabla u + \frac{1}{2} u R \) for smooth function \( u \) on \( \Sigma \) (cf.
Therefore, we can find the following examples of csL Willmore surfaces from csL surfaces in \( S^5 \) and trace \( \langle B(\cdot, \nabla(JH)), H \rangle = 0 \), then \( \Sigma \) is a csL Willmore surface.

With the aid of Proposition 4.1, we can find the following examples of csL Willmore surfaces from csL surfaces in \( S^5 \). Firstly, according to Proposition 4.1, all closed Legendrian surfaces with parallel tangent vector field \( JH \), which are exactly minimal surfaces or the Calabi tori (cf. [12, Proposition 3.2]), are csL Willmore surfaces. For reader’s convenience, we give some detailed computations as follows.

**Example 4.1 (Calabi tori).** For every four nonzero real numbers \( r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \) with \( r_1^2 + r_2^2 = r_3^2 + r_4^2 = 1 \), the Calabi torus \( \Sigma \) is a csL surface in \( S^5 \) defined as follows.

\[
F : S^1 \times S^1 \mapsto S^5, \quad (t, s) \mapsto \left( r_1 r_3 \exp \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} t + \frac{r_4}{r_3} s \right) \right), t r_4 \exp \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} t - \frac{r_3}{r_4} s \right) \right), t r_2 \exp \left( -\sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_4}{r_2} t \right) \right) \right).
\]

Denote
\[
\phi_1 = \exp \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} t + \frac{r_4}{r_3} s \right) \right), \quad \phi_2 = \exp \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} t - \frac{r_3}{r_4} s \right) \right), \quad \phi_3 = \exp \left( -\sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_4}{r_2} t \right) \right),
\]

\[
\phi_4 = \exp \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_3}{r_4} t - \frac{r_2}{r_1} s \right) \right), \quad \phi_3 = \exp \left( -\sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{r_4}{r_2} t \right) \right).
\]
then \( F(t, s) = (r_1 r_3 \phi_1, r_1 r_4 \phi_2, r_2 \phi_3) \). Since
\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \left( \sqrt{-1} r_2 r_3 \phi_1, \sqrt{-1} r_2 r_4 \phi_2, -\sqrt{-1} r_1 \phi_3 \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial s} = \left( \sqrt{-1} r_1 r_4 \phi_1, -\sqrt{-1} r_1 r_3 \phi_2, 0 \right),
\]
the induced metric in \( \Sigma \) is given by
\[
g = dt^2 + r_1^2 ds^2.
\]
Let \( E_1 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}, E_2 = \frac{1}{r_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \), then \( \{E_1, E_2, v_1 = \sqrt{-1} E_1, v_2 = \sqrt{-1} E_2, R = -\sqrt{-1} F \} \) is a local orthonormal frame of \( S^5 \) such that \( \{E_1, E_2\} \) is a local orthonormal tangent frame and \( R \) is the Reeb field. A direct calculation yields
\[
\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} = \left( -\sqrt{-1} r_2 r_3 \phi_1, -\sqrt{-1} r_2 r_4 \phi_2, -\sqrt{-1} r_1 \phi_3 \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial s} = \left( -\sqrt{-1} r_2 r_3 \phi_1, \sqrt{-1} r_2 r_4 \phi_2, 0 \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} = \left( -\sqrt{-1} r_2 r_4 \phi_1, -\sqrt{-1} r_2 r_3 \phi_2, 0 \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial s} = \left( -\sqrt{-1} r_2 r_4 \phi_1, -\sqrt{-1} r_2 r_3 \phi_2, 0 \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = (r_2 r_3 \phi_1, r_2 r_4 \phi_2, -r_1 \phi_3),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial s} = (r_1 r_4 \phi_1, -r_1 r_3 \phi_2, 0).
\]
Hence,
\[
A^1 = -\Re \langle dF, dv_1 \rangle = \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} - \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right) dt^2 + r_1 r_2 ds^2,
\]
\[
A^2 = -\Re \langle dF, dv_2 \rangle = 2 r_2 dt ds + r_1 \left( \frac{r_4}{r_3} - \frac{r_3}{r_4} \right) ds^2,
\]
\[
A^R = 0.
\]
Thus
\[
H = \left( \frac{2 r_2}{r_1} - \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right) v_1 + \frac{1}{r_1} \left( \frac{r_4}{r_3} - \frac{r_3}{r_4} \right) v_2.
\]
Moreover \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are two parallel tangent vector field. It is obvious that \( \Sigma \) is a csL Willmore surface.

Secondly, we give some examples that \( JH \) is not parallel. Mironov [17] constructed some new csL surfaces in \( S^5 \). We can verify that Mironov’s examples are in fact csL Willmore surfaces.
Example 4.2 (Mironov’s examples [17]). Let $F : \Sigma^2 \hookrightarrow S^5$ be an immersion. Then $F$ is a Legendrian immersion iff

$$\langle F_x, F \rangle = \langle F_y, F \rangle = 0.$$ 

Here $\{x, y\}$ is a local coordinates of $\Sigma$ and $\langle , \rangle$ stands for the hermitian inner product in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Set

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} F \\ F_x \\ F_y \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$GG^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle F_x, F_x \rangle & \langle F_x, F_y \rangle \\ 0 & \langle F_y, F_x \rangle & \langle F_y, F_y \rangle \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g$ is a real positive matrix which is the induce metric of $\Sigma$. There is a hermitian matrix $\Theta$ such that

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{-1} \theta \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-1} \Theta}.$$

We compute

$$GG^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\langle F_x, F_y \rangle \\ \langle F_y, F_x \rangle & \langle F_y, F_y \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{g} \end{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} (e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta})^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{g} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{R} \left( \sqrt{-1} GG^T \right) = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} (e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta})^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{g} \end{pmatrix}.$$

which implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g^{-1/2} A \sqrt{-1} F_x g^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{R} \left( \sqrt{-1} e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} (e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta}) \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g^{-1/2} A \sqrt{-1} F_y g^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{R} \left( \sqrt{-1} e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} (e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta}) \right).$$

The Lagrangian angle is then given by $\theta = tr \mathbb{R} \Theta$. The above discussion implies that

$$J \nabla \theta = H.$$
Let $a, b, c$ are three positive constants and consider the following immersion

$$F : S^1 \times S^1 \to S^3,$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\phi(x)e^{-\sqrt{-1}a y}, \psi(x)e^{-\sqrt{-1}b y}, \zeta(x)e^{-\sqrt{-1}c y}),$$

where

\[
\phi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{a + c}} \sin x,
\]

\[
\psi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{b + c}} \cos x,
\]

\[
\zeta(x) = \sqrt{\frac{a \sin^2 x}{a + c} + \frac{b \cos^2 x}{b + c}} = \sqrt{\frac{ab + u(x)}{(a + c)(b + c)}},
\]

where

$$u(x) = \frac{c (a + b + (b - a) \cos(2x))}{2}.$$

One can check that $F$ is a Legendrian immersion. Denote $\Sigma := F \left( S^1 \times S^1 \right)$. Notice that

\[
F_x = \left( \sqrt{\frac{c}{a + c}} \cos x e^{-\sqrt{-1}a y}, -\sqrt{\frac{c}{b + c}} \sin x e^{-\sqrt{-1}b y}, \frac{-c(b - a) \sin(2x)}{2 \sqrt{(a + c)(b + c)(ab + u(x))}} e^{-\sqrt{-1}c y} \right),
\]

\[
F_y = \left( \sqrt{-1}a \phi(x)e^{-\sqrt{-1}a y}, \sqrt{-1}b \psi(x)e^{-\sqrt{-1}b y}, -\sqrt{-1}c \zeta(x)e^{-\sqrt{-1}c y} \right).
\]

The induced metric $g$ is given by

\[
g = \left[ \frac{c \cos^2 x}{a + c} + \frac{c \sin^2 x}{b + c} + \frac{c^2 (b - a)^2 \sin^2(2x)}{4(a + c)(b + c)(ab + u(x))} \right] dx^2
\]

\[
+ \left[ a^2 \times \frac{c \sin^2 x}{a + c} + b^2 \times \frac{c \cos^2 x}{b + c} + c^2 \left( \frac{a \sin^2 x}{a + c} + \frac{b \cos^2 x}{b + c} \right) \right] dy^2
\]

\[
= \frac{u(x)}{ab + u(x)} dx^2 + u(x) dy^2
\]

\[
: = e^{2p(x)} dx^2 + e^{2q(x)} dy^2.
\]

A straightforward calculation yields that

\[
A^\sqrt{-1}F_x = \Re \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \langle F_{xy}, F_x \rangle \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \langle F_{xy}, F_x \rangle \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c (1 - e^{2q(x)}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
A^\sqrt{-1}F_y = \Re \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} \langle F_{xy}, F_x \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \langle F_{xy}, F_x \rangle \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} c (1 - e^{2p(x)}) & 0 \\ 0 & (a + b - c) e^{2q(x)} - abc \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We get

\[
\Re \left( \sqrt{-1} e^{\sqrt{-1} \Theta} e^{-\sqrt{-1} \Theta} \right)_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{abc}{u \sqrt{ab + u}} \\ 0 & \frac{abc}{u \sqrt{ab + u}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\Re \left( \sqrt{-1} e^{\sqrt{-1} \Theta} e^{-\sqrt{-1} \Theta} \right)_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{abc}{u \sqrt{ab + u}} \\ 0 & \frac{abc}{u \sqrt{ab + u}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
\[
\mathcal{R} \left( \sqrt{-1} e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \left( e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta} \right)_y \right) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{abc}{u} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (a + b - c) - \frac{abc}{u}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Thus
\[
H^{\sqrt{-1}F_x} = 0, \quad H^{\sqrt{-1}F_y} = a + b - c.
\]

We get
\[
H = \frac{a + b - c}{u(x)} \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y},
\]

and
\[
\nabla_{\partial_x} \left( \sqrt{-1} H \right) = \frac{(a + b - c)u_x}{2u^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \nabla_{\partial_y} \left( \sqrt{-1} H \right) = \frac{(ab + u)(a + b - c)u_y}{2u^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.
\]

In particular
\[
\text{div} \left( \sqrt{-1} H \right) = 0.
\]

Hence \( \Sigma \) is csL. Moreover
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle B(e_i, \nabla_{e_i} (JH)), H \rangle = 0.
\]

Therefore, \( \Sigma \) is a csL Willmore surface in \( S^5 \).
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