EXCEPTIONAL SEQUENCES AND IDEMPOTENT FUNCTIONS
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ABSTRACT. We prove that there is one to one correspondence between the following three: idempotent functions on the set of size \( n \), exceptional sequences of linear radical square zero Nakayama algebras of rank \( n \) and number of rooted labeled forests with \( n \) nodes and height of at most one. Therefore, the number of exceptional sequences is given by the sum \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{n}{j} j^{n-j} \).

1. INTRODUCTION

An indecomposable module \( M \) of mod-\( A \) is called exceptional if \( \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M) \cong k \), \( \operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M, M) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \) where \( A \) is an algebra over algebraically closed field \( k \).

The notion of exceptional sequences was described by Gorodentsev and Rudakov [GR+87] in the study of exceptional vector bundles over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). This was carried into quiver representation set up by Crawley-Boevey [CB93]. Studying exceptional sequences of either coherent sheaves and their derived categories or quiver representations and their combinatorics are important. There are many works in those directions such as [RBG+90], [Mel95], [LM09], [IS10], [HP17] to name a few.

Enumerative problem is also of interest. For instance, number of complete exceptional sequences of hereditary algebras of types \( A_n \) and \( D_n \) are given by \((n+1)^{n-1}\) and \(2(n-1)^n\) [Sei01]. Combinatorial interpretations of those can be found in the papers [Ara13], [ONS+13] and [GIMO15] for instance.

Brief account of this work is: first we give combinatorial description of exceptional sequences of certain Nakayama algebras by using certain functions. Then by using it, we count the number of exceptional sequences. Our main result is:

**Theorem 1.1.** There is a bijection between the following two sets: idempotent functions from the set of size \( n \) to itself and complete exceptional sequences of linear, radical square zero Nakayama algebra of rank \( n \).

We recall an old result which appears in [HS67]: the enumeration of idempotent functions is equivalent to the enumeration of forests of rooted labeled trees of height at most one. We use this equivalence to relate all three:

**Theorem 1.2.** The following are equivalent:

i) the enumeration of complete exceptional sequences of linear radical square zero Nakayama algebras

ii) the enumeration of idempotent functions

iii) the enumeration of forests of rooted labeled trees of height at most one.

We give answer to enumeration problem of exceptional sequences by using equivalences in the previous theorem:
Theorem 1.3. The number of complete exceptional sequences of linear, radical square zero Nakayama algebra of rank \( n \) is given by the sum \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{n}{j} j^{n-j} \).

The organization of the paper is: in the following section 2 we state some properties of exceptional sequences of Nakayama algebras. In section 3, by using results of section 2, we will assign idempotent function to each exceptional sequence and vice versa. This will be the proof of the main theorem 1.1. In the last section 4 we will investigate relationship between exceptional sequences and certain forests. Possible future directions will be given there. The list of all exceptional sequences for \( n = 4 \) which is 41 is given at the end of the paper.

2. Exceptional Sequences of Nakayama Algebras

2.1. Nakayama Algebras. Let \( \Lambda_n \) be radical square zero Nakayama algebra of rank \( n \) with oriented quiver \( Q \):

\[ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \ldots \quad \bullet \]

\[ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \quad n-1 \quad n \]

We denote simple \( \Lambda_n \) module at vertex \( i \) of \( Q \) by \([i]\) or \( S_i \) and nonsimple indecomposable projective \( \Lambda_n \) module starting at vertex \( i \) by \([i, i+1]\). For a given module \( X \), \( P(X) \), \( I(X) \), \( \Omega^i(X) \) and \( \Sigma^i(X) \) means projective cover, injective envelope, \( i \)th syzygy of projective resolution of \( X \) and \( i \)th syzygy of injective resolution of \( X \) respectively. Throughout the text all modules are over \( \Lambda_n \) for some \( n \) if algebra is not specified. Algebra \( \Lambda_n \) has the following Auslander-Reiten quiver:

\[ [n-1, n] \quad [n-2, n-1] \quad \ldots \]

\[ [n] \quad [n-1] \quad [n-2] \]

\[ [2] \quad [1] \]

Notice that \([1]\) is simple injective module and \([n]\) is simple projective module. Consider projective resolution of module \([1]\):

\[ (2.1) \quad [n-1, n] \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow [2, 3] \rightarrow [1, 2] \rightarrow [1] \]

\[ [n] \rightarrow [n-1] \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow [2] \]

Indeed, injective resolution of the module \([n]\) gives the same diagram.

Remark 2.1. Resolution (2.1) has immediate consequences:

- Indecomposable modules are exceptional.
- Global dimension of \( \Lambda_n \) is \( n - 1 \).
- For distinct \( x \) and \( y \), \( \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_n}(\{x, x+1\}, \{y, y+1\}) \neq 0 \) if and only if \( x = y + 1 \).
- For given \( 1 \leq x < y \leq n \), \( \text{Ext}^i_{\Lambda_n}(\{x\}, \{y\}) \neq 0 \) if and only if \( i = y - x \).
- If \( 1 \leq x < y \leq n \), then \( \text{Ext}^i_{\Lambda_n}(\{y\}, \{x\}) = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq i \).
2.2. Exceptional Sequences. A pair of modules \((M, N)\) is called exceptional pair if 
\(\text{Hom}(N, M) = 0, \text{Ext}^i(N, M) = 0, i \geq 1\) for exceptional \(\Lambda_n\) modules \(M, N\). A sequence of \(\Lambda_n\) modules \((M_1, \ldots, M_t)\) is called exceptional sequence if for all \(1 \leq i < j \leq t\), 
\((M_i, M_j)\) is an exceptional pair. Moreover it is called complete if \(t = n\). Here we want to emphasize that elements of exceptional sequences are isomorphism classes of \(\Lambda_n\) modules, not the object itself.

Proposition 2.2. Let \(E\) be an exceptional sequence, containing two simples \(S_x\) and \(S_y\). Only one of \((S_x, S_y)\) or \((S_y, S_x)\) can be an exceptional pair.

Proof. By projective resolution 2.1 and remark 2.1, only one of \((S_x, S_y)\) and \((S_y, S_x)\) can be an exceptional pair, because of nontrivial higher extensions. \(\square\)

It is easy to check that pairs \((P(S), S)\) and \((S, I(S))\) are exceptional where \(S\) is a simple module. We generalize this fact below:

**Definition 2.3.** Let \(S\) be a simple \(\Lambda_n\) module.

\[
(P(\Omega^t(S)), P(\Omega^{t-1}(S)), \ldots, P(\Omega(S)), P(S), S)
\]

is called *projective* \(t\)-chain of \(S\) where \(0 \leq t \leq \text{pdim } S - 1\) and denoted by \(P_t(S)\). Similarly, the sequence:

\[
(S, I(S), I(\Sigma(S)), \ldots, I(\Sigma^{t-1}(S)), I(\Sigma^t(S)))
\]

is called *injective* \(t\)-chain of \(S\) where \(0 \leq t \leq \text{indim } S - 1\) and denoted by \(I_t(S)\).

Proposition 2.4. Let \(S\) be a simple \(\Lambda_n\) module. The following sequences are exceptional:

1) Projective \(t\)-chain of \(S\) i.e. \(P_t(S)\)
2) Injective \(t\)-chain of \(S\) i.e. \(I_t(S)\).
3) \((P(\Omega^t(S)), \ldots, P(\Omega(S)), P(S), S, I(S), I(\Sigma(S)), \ldots, I(\Sigma^t(S)))\) for 
\(0 \leq t \leq \text{pdim } S - 1\) and \(0 \leq t' \leq \text{indim } S - 1\)

Proof. It is trivial that there is no nontrivial extension between projective modules and simple module. By AR quiver 2.1 and remark 2.1 there is no nontrivial homomorphism in one direction. \(\square\)

If there is no need to specify \(t\) in 2.3 or 2.2 we call them simply chain, and drop subscript \(t\). Notice that if \(t = \text{pdim } S - 1\), modules in 2.2 are projective modules of the projective resolution in the given order with reversed maps. We call the third sequence as projective-injective chain.

Let \(E\) be a complete exceptional sequence.

**Lemma 2.5.** \(E\) contains at least one simple module.

**Proof.** Since, a complete exceptional sequence of \(\Lambda_n\) has \(n\) modules and there are \(n - 1\) nonsimple indecomposable modules, \(E\) has to contain at least one simple module. \(\square\)

**Definition 2.6.** For any module \(X\) appearing in exceptional collection \(E\), we define its *index* as its position in \(E\). It is denoted by \(\text{ind}_E(X)\).
Lemma 2.7. Let $M \in E$ be a nonsimple module where $E$ is a complete exceptional sequence of $\Lambda_n$. We have:

i) If $M$ is an element of projective chain $\mathcal{P}(S)$ of simple module $S$ in $E$, then $\text{ind}_E(M) < \text{ind}_E(S)$.

ii) If $M$ is an element of injective chain $\mathcal{I}(S)$ of simple module $S$ in $E$, then $\text{ind}_E(S) < \text{ind}_E(M)$.

Proof. Consider projective resolution of simple module $S$:

$$P_{\text{pdim} S} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow P_1 \hookrightarrow P_0 \hookrightarrow S$$

Since $M \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, we can choose $t$ so that $M \cong P_t$. Moreover, all $P_i$ of the resolution $0 \leq i \leq t$ are elements of exceptional sequence $E$ by definition of projective chain. Existence of map $P_t \hookrightarrow P_{t-1}$ implies $\text{ind}_E(P_t) < \text{ind}_E(P_{t-1})$, because $(P_{t-1}, P_t)$ is not an exceptional pair. This holds for all consecutive pairs of projective modules:

$$\text{ind}_E(P_t) < \text{ind}_E(P_{t-1})$$
$$\text{ind}_E(P_{t-1}) < \text{ind}_E(P_{t-2})$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\text{ind}_E(P_1) < \text{ind}_E(P_0)$$
$$\text{ind}_E(P_0) < \text{ind}_E(S)$$

Hence $\text{ind}_E(M) = \text{ind}_E(P_t) < \text{ind}_E(S)$.

By using analogous arguments, the second part of the lemma can be shown. \qed

Proposition 2.8. Let $M \in E$ be a nonsimple module where $E$ is a complete exceptional sequence of $\Lambda_n$. Then $M$ belongs to exactly one chain (either projective or injective) of a simple module $S$ in $E$.

Proof. Proof has two parts: existence and then uniqueness of the chain containing $M$. We will show that each nonsimple module appearing in a complete exceptional collection belongs to at least one chain by induction on rank $n$ of $\Lambda_n$.

If $n = 2$, there are two exceptional sequences containing nonsimple modules: $([2], [1, 2])$ and $([1, 2], [1])$. It is clear that they form chains.

We assume that for complete exceptional sequences of $\Lambda_{n-1}$ claim hols. Now we analyze the case where rank is $n$. Assume to the contrary that $M$ is not an element of any chain. Therefore simple modules top and socle of $M$ i.e $\text{top}(M)$, $\text{soc}(M)$ are not elements of $E$. Because pairs $(M, \text{top}(M))$ and $(\text{soc}(M), M)$ form projective 1-chain and injective 1-chain respectively.

Case 1: $M$ is either isomorphic to module $[1, 2]$ or $[n - 1, n]$. Let $M \cong [n - 1, n]$. By assumption, $M$ is not an element of any chain, module $[n - 2, n - 1]$ is also not an element of sequence $E$. Since, by induction hypothesis $[n - 2, n - 1]$ belongs to some chain in complete exceptional sequence of $\Lambda_{n-1}$. Therefore modules appearing in $E$ except $M$ can only come from $\Lambda_{n-2}$ exceptional sequence $E'$. But this implies cardinality of $E$: $\#(E) = 1 + \#(E')$ is $n - 1$. Therefore $E$ cannot be complete. Similar arguments hold for $M \cong [1, 2]$. 

Case 2: $M$ is nonsimple projective module not isomorphic to $[1, 2]$ or $[n-1, n]$. Modules appearing in $E$ except $M$ can be viewed as modules over $\Lambda_a$ and $\Lambda_b$ where $a+b = n-2$, since $\text{top}(M), I(\text{top}(M)), \text{soc}(M), P(\text{soc}(M))$ are not in $E$ by assumption. Cardinality of $E$: $\#(E) = 1 + a + b < a + b + 2 = n$ cannot be $n$, so $E$ is not complete.

Therefore $M$ is an element of at least one chain in $E$.

Now we prove that $M$ belongs to at most one chain. Assume to the contrary that let $M$ be an element of both $S_x$ and $S_y$ chains with $S_x, S_y \in E$. By proposition 2.2 we can assume that $x < y$. There are there possibilities with respect to their positions in $E$:

Case 1: $\text{ind}_E(M) < \text{ind}_E(S_x) < \text{ind}_E(S_y)$. Notice that $M$ cannot appear in injective chains of both $S_x, S_y$ by lemma 2.7. Therefore $M \in \mathcal{P}(S_x)$ and $M \in \mathcal{P}(S_y)$. This is equivalent to existence of some integers $i, j$ with $i < j$ so that:

$$M \cong P(\Omega^i(S_x)) \cong P(\Omega^i(S_y)) \iff$$

$$\Omega^i(S_x) \cong \Omega^i(S_y) \iff$$

$$S_x \cong \Omega^{i-j}(S_y) \iff$$

$$\Ext^{i-j}(S_y, S_x) \neq 0$$

Contradiction, since we presumed that $(S_x, S_y)$ is an exceptional pair.

Case 2: $\text{ind}_E(S_x) < \text{ind}_E(M) < \text{ind}_E(S_y)$ By lemma 2.7, $M$ is an element of $\mathcal{P}(S_x)$ and $\mathcal{I}(S_y)$. This is equivalent to existence of some integers $i, j$ so that:

$$M \cong I(\Sigma^i(S_x)) \cong P(\Omega^i(S_y)) \iff$$

$$P(\Sigma^{i+1}(S_x)) \cong \Omega^i(S_y) \iff$$

$$\Sigma^{i+1}(S_x) \cong \Omega^i(S_y) \iff$$

$$\Ext^{i+j+1}(S_y, S_x) \neq 0$$

Notice that we used $I(\Sigma^i(S_x)) \cong P(\Sigma^{i+1}(S_x))$ which is clear from resolution 2.1.

Case 3: $\text{ind}_E(S_x) < \text{ind}_E(S_y) < \text{ind}_E(M)$. $M$ cannot be an element of projective chains of both $S_x$ and $S_y$ by lemma 2.7. Therefore $M \in \mathcal{I}(S_x)$ and $M \in \mathcal{I}(S_y)$. This implies the following isomorphisms for some integers $i, j$ with $i > j$:

$$M \cong I(\Sigma^i(S_x)) \cong I(\Sigma^i(S_y)) \iff$$

$$\Sigma^i(S_x) \cong \Sigma^i(S_y) \iff$$

$$\Sigma^{i-j}(S_x) \cong S_y \iff$$

$$\Ext^{i-j}(S_y, S_x) \neq 0$$

□

3. Bijection with idempotent functions

We will construct a map and its inverse between set of complete exceptional sequences and set of idempotent functions to show that the map is a bijection. We recall definition 2.6 of index $\text{ind}_E(M)$ of a module $M$ in $E$ which is simply its position.
3.1. Description of Map. Let $E = (E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n)$ be a complete exceptional sequence of $\Lambda_n$. For each $E_i$, define the map $\Phi_E$ as:

$$
\Phi_E(E_i) = \begin{cases}
\text{ind}_E(E_i) = i & \text{if } E_i \text{ is a simple module} \\
\text{ind}_E(S) & \text{if } E_i \in \mathcal{P}(S) \text{ or } E_i \in \mathcal{I}(S) \text{ where } S \text{ is simple module in } E
\end{cases}
$$

For any exceptional sequence $E$, we make assignment $E \mapsto \Phi(E)$ where:

$$
\Phi(E) := (\Phi_E(E_1), \Phi_E(E_2), \ldots, \Phi_E(E_n))
$$

Since $\Phi(E)$ is an array of size $n$, its $i$th component is denoted by $\Phi(E)_i := \Phi_E(E_i)$.

**Definition 3.1.** For a given complete exceptional sequence $E$ of $\Lambda_n$, consider the following function from the set of size $n$:

$$
f : \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}
$$

$$
f(i) := \Phi(E)_i
$$

where $\Phi(E)_i = \Phi_E(E_i)$ defined in 3.1

**Proposition 3.2.** Function $f$ defined in 3.1 is an idempotent function on the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

**Proof.** Since $E$ is exceptional sequence, fixed points of $f$ i.e. $f(x) = x$ are exactly indices of simples in $E$ i.e. $\text{ind}_E(S)$. For the remaining points, assume that $f(x) = y$. Because of definition of $f$ in 3.1 $y$ has to be an index of a simple module in $E$ by the map $\Phi_E$. Hence $f(y) = y$. We combine this by composition of $f$:

$$
f^2(x) = f(f(x)) = f(y) = y
$$

Since $f(x) = y$, we get $f^2(x) = f(x)$. Therefore for each element of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $f$ is an idempotent function. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.3.** To denote those functions we can use the assignment given in 3.1. For example $(2, 2, 2)$ is the idempotent function with $f(1) = f(2) = f(3) = 2$. Therefore for each complete exceptional sequence $E$, we view $n$-tuple $\Phi(E)$ as an idempotent function.

**Example 3.4.** We give here exceptional sequences of $\Lambda_2$ and $\Lambda_3$ and corresponding idempotent functions due to the map $\Phi$ to be more illustrative.

- There are 3 exceptional sequences of $\Lambda_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$\Phi(E)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(1, 2)$</td>
<td>(1, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1, 2)$</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(2, 1)$</td>
<td>(1, 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- There are 10 exceptional sequences of $\Lambda_3$
3.2. **Inverse map.** We will construct a one to one map from idempotent functions to exceptional sequences. Since two sets are finite, existence of inverse $\Phi$ map is equivalent to bijection between two sets.

Let $A$ be a $n$-tuple corresponding to an idempotent function. One can show that there exists at least one fixed point of $A$, \[HS67\]. Assume that there are $x$ many distinct ordered fixed points, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_x$ i.e. $A(p_i) = p_i$, with $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_x$. Since $A$ is idempotent, each $p_i$ has to appear in $A$ $c_i$ times, where $1 \leq c_i$. Moreover, we can count number of appearances of each $p_i$ comparing its relative position to $p_i$, i.e. $c_i = a_i + b_i + 1$ where $a_i$ is number of appearances of nonfixed $p_i$’s after fixed $p_i$ and $b_i$ is the number of appearances of nonfixed $p_i$’s before fixed $p_i$.

By using array $A$ we will construct a unique exceptional sequence of size $n$. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_x$ be numbers such that $[T_1], \ldots, [T_x]$ are all simple modules of $E$ satisfying $ind_E([T_i]) = p_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq x$. We can interpret numbers $a_i, b_i$ in terms of chains i.e. $a_i$ is the number of nonsimple modules in injective chain $I([T_i])$ of $[T_i]$. Similarly, $b_i$ is the number of nonsimple modules in projective chain $P([T_i])$ of $[T_i]$. Both chains have transparent structure: by using $a_i$ and $b_i$ we can describe all modules in them.

Consider the following inequalities for $i$:

\[ T_i - a_i < T_i - a_i + 1 < \ldots < T_i - 1 < T_i < T_i + 1 < \ldots < T_i + b_i \]

Without loss of generality, here we assume that both $a_i$ and $b_i$ are nonzero. Interpretation of those in terms of the representations of $\Lambda_n$ is:

\[ [T_i + j] \cong soc(P(\Omega^{j-1}[T_i])) \]
\[ [T_i - j] \cong top(I(\Sigma^{j-1}[T_i])) \]

Now, we construct inequalities involving distinct $T_i$’s. By proposition 2.2 there is a unique choice for the ordering in $E$ i.e.

\[ T_1 < T_2 < \ldots < T_x \]
Since each chain associated to distinct simple $[T_i]$’s are disjoint, we obtain the following inequalities:

\begin{align*}
T_1 + b_1 &< T_2 - a_2 \\
T_2 + b_2 &< T_3 - a_3 \\
& \vdots \\
T_{x-1} + b_{x-1} &< T_x - a_x
\end{align*}

These inequalities are consequences of proposition 2.8 and isomorphisms 3.3. By simple observation, we obtain two more inequalities:

\begin{align*}
0 &< T_1 - a_1 \\
T_x + b_x &< n + 1
\end{align*}

To find indices $T_1, \ldots, T_x$ we need to find a common solution to system of inequalities given in 3.2, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6. This is relatively easy, because there are $n$ terms in total, unique solution is $1 < 2 < \ldots < n$. Therefore, we get:

\begin{align*}
T_1 &= 1 + a_1 \\
T_2 &= T_1 + a_2 + b_1 + 1 \\
T_3 &= T_2 + a_3 + b_2 + 1 \\
& \vdots \\
T_x &= T_{x-1} + a_x + b_{x-1} + 1
\end{align*}

After placing each simple module $[T_i]$ to position $p_i$, one can place nonsimple modules according to positions of $a_i$’s and $b_i$’s. We did not specify them to avoid complications, however structure of those modules are clear from isomorphisms 3.3. Moreover existence of unique solution implies that exceptional sequence $E$ is unique, hence the assignment $\Gamma : A \mapsto E$ is injection for distinct idempotent functions.

**Example 3.5.** Let $A = (7, 2, 4, 4, 7, 7)$ be an idempotent function. There are 3 fixed points: $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 4$ and $p_3 = 7$. Other ingredients are $a_1 = 1, b_1 = 0, a_2 = 1, b_2 = 0, a_3 = 0, b_3 = 2$. We want to find simple modules $[T_1], [T_2], [T_3]$ satisfying:

\begin{align*}
0 &< T_1 - 1 < T_2 - 1 < T_2 - 2 < T_2 < T_3 < T_3 < T_3 + 1 < T_3 + 2 < 8
\end{align*}

The unique solution is: $T_1 = 2, T_2 = 4$ and $T_3 = 5$. Therefore at first we place simple modules into the exceptional sequence $E$:

\[
(-, [2], -, [4], -, -, [5])
\]

By using $A(3) = 2, A(5) = 5$ and $A(1) = A(6) = 7$ we get: $E_3 = [1, 2], E_5 = [3, 4], E_1 = [6, 7]$ and $E_6 = [5, 6]$. Hence the exceptional sequence $E$ obtained by function $A$ is:

\[
([6, 7], [2], [1, 2], [4], [3, 4], [5, 6], [5])
\]

**Proposition 3.6.** *For each idempotent function $A$, let $\Gamma$ be map:*

\begin{equation}
\Gamma : A \mapsto E
\end{equation}
obtained by construction in subsection 3.2. Then \(\Phi\) and \(\Gamma\) are inverse functions of each other.

**Proof.** First, we want to show that \(\Gamma \circ \Phi\) is identity. Let \(E\) be complete exceptional sequence of \(\Lambda_n\). If we apply \(\Gamma\) to idempotent function \(\Phi(E)\), we observed that solution to system of inequalities 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 is unique. Exceptional sequence \(E\) satisfies those, therefore \(\Gamma \circ \Phi\) is identity on the set of complete exceptional sequences of size \(n\).

For the other direction, assume that simple modules in \(\Gamma(A)\) are \([T_1], \ldots, [T_x]\) which obtained by construction in 3.2. This means, the idempotent function we started has \(p_1, \ldots, p_x\) fixed points satisfying \(p_i = \text{ind}_{\Gamma(A)}([T_i])\) and \(a_i + 1 = |\mathcal{I}([T_i])|\) i.e. number of elements appearing in the injective chain, \(b_i + 1 = |\mathcal{P}([T_i])|\) i.e. number of elements appearing in the projective chain. Therefore \(\Phi \circ \Gamma\) is identity on the set of idempotent functions. The result \(\Phi = \Gamma^{-1}\) follows. \(\square\)

Now we restate and prove the main result 1.1 of the paper:

**Theorem 3.7.** There is a bijection between the set of complete exceptional sequences of linear radical square zero Nakayama algebras \(\Lambda_n\) and the set of idempotent functions on the set \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) which is given by the map \(\Phi\) defined in 3.1.

**Proof.** In the previous proposition we constructed an inverse to the map \(\Phi\). Since two sets are finite, \(\Phi\) and \(\Gamma\) are bijections. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.8.** The number of exceptional sequences over linear radical square zero Nakayama algebra \(\Lambda_n\) is given by \(\sum_{j \geq 1} \binom{n}{j} j^{n-j}\).

**Proof.** By the theorem 3.7, it is equivalent to counting the number idempotent functions. Here we give a sketch of proof which gives number of idempotent functions. Detailed version can be found at [HS67]. Let \(f\) be an idempotent function. The fixed point set is nonempty. Assume that there are \(j\) fixed points for \(f\) and \(n - j\) nonfixed points. The total number of ways to choose fixed points is \(\binom{n}{j}\). The number of maps which does not have any fixed point is given by \(j^{n-j}\). Therefore we get \(\sum_{j \geq 1} \binom{n}{j} j^{n-j}\). \(\square\)

### 4. Height at most one Forests

In the work of Riordan [Rio68] table 1 includes the number of height at most one forests with \(n\) roots. Its relation with idempotent functions explicitly stated in [HS67]. We rely on those to state extended result:

**Theorem 4.1.** The following three sets are equivalent to each other:

- The set of labeled height at most one forests with \(n\) labeled vertices
- The set of idempotent endofunctions on the set \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\)
- The set of complete exceptional sequences of linear radical square zero Nakayama algebra \(\Lambda_n\).

One can construct a direct bijection between the first and the last items, however we want to emphasis another fact by using forests: it is relatively simpler to encode structure of the exceptional sequences by using structure of forests in the following way:
i) Roots correspond to simple modules in the exceptional sequence.
ii) The number of leaves coming out each distinct root corresponds to chain involving that root.

**Example 4.2.** If \( n = 3 \), there are 3 unlabeled forests:

```
• • •   |   • •   |   •
```

When \( n = 4 \), there are 5 unlabeled forests of height at most one.

```
• • • •   |   • • •   |   • • •   |   • •     |
```

For instance, both exceptional sequences \((2, [1, 2], [4], [3, 4])\) and \(([1], [4], [3, 4], [2, 3])\) have two simple modules. However, their forest types are 3rd and 4th respectively because length of the chains are different.

4.1. **Future Directions.** We recall the result of Seidel [Sei01], which states that the number of exceptional sequences of \( A_n \) type is given by \((n + 1)^n - 1\). Indeed it is the number of all rooted labeled forests with \( n \) labels, known as Cayley’s formula [Sta99]. By that result and results of this paper we consider the following:

**Question 4.3.** Is it possible to interpret complete exceptional sequences of connected linear Nakayama algebras of rank \( n \) by certain rooted labeled forests with \( n \) labels?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exceptional Seq. of $\Lambda_2$</th>
<th>$\Phi(E)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[1, [2, 3, [2, [4]]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 3, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, 3, [2, 3, [1, 2]]]$</td>
<td>$(2, 2, 2, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, [2, 3, [1, 2]]]$</td>
<td>$(3, 2, 3, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, 2, [1, 2, [3]]]$</td>
<td>$(4, 2, 2, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, 1, [3, [2, 3]]]$</td>
<td>$(3, 2, 3, 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, [1, [2, [3]]]$</td>
<td>$(4, 2, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, [1, [2, 3, [2]]$</td>
<td>$(4, 2, 4, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, 2, 3, [2, [1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(3, 3, 3, 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, 2, 3, 1, [2]]$</td>
<td>$(4, 4, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [4, [1, 2, [3, 4]]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 1, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [4, [3, 4, 1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 2, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [2, 3, [1, 2, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 1, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [2, 3, 4, [1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 3, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, [4, [2, 3, [1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 1, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[4, [3, 4, [2, 3, 3, 1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 1, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[[1, [3, 4, [2, 3, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 4, 4, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, [3, 4, [2, 3, [2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 4, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, [3, 4, [3, 2, 3]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 3, 3, 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[[1, [2, 3, 4, [3]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 4, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, [2, 4, [3, 4]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 3, 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, [3, 2, 3, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 2, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, [3, 4, [2, 3]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 3, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1, [4, 3, 4, 2, 3]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 2, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [1, 2, [3, 4, [3]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 4, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [1, 2, [3, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [3, 4, [1, 2, [3]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 4, 1, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [3, 4, [3, 1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 3, 3, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [3, 1, 2, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 1, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [3, 4, [1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 3, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[[3, 4, [2, 3, [1, 2, [1]]$</td>
<td>$(4, 4, 4, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[3, 4, [1, 2, 3, [1]]$</td>
<td>$(4, 3, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, 3, 2, [4, 1, 2]]$</td>
<td>$(2, 2, 3, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, 3, [2, 1, 2, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(2, 2, 2, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, 3, [1, 2, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(3, 2, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, 3, [1, 2, [1, 4]]$</td>
<td>$(3, 3, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, 2, [1, 4, [3, 4]]$</td>
<td>$(2, 2, 3, 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, 2, [1, [3, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(2, 2, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, 2, [1, [3, 4, [3]]$</td>
<td>$(2, 2, 4, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[1, 2, [3, 4, [1, 3]]$</td>
<td>$(3, 4, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[[1, [2, 3, [4]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 2, 3, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2, [1, 2, 4, [3, 4]]$</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 3, 3)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>