ORDER STATISTICS ON THE SPACINGS BETWEEN ORDER STATISTICS FOR THE UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION

IOSIF PINELIS

Abstract. Closed-form expressions for the distributions of the order statistics on the spacings between order statistics for the uniform distribution are obtained. This generalizes a result by Fisher concerning tests of significance in the harmonic analysis of a series.
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1. Summary and discussion

For any natural $n$, let $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ be independent random variables (r.v.'s) each uniformly distributed on the interval $[0, 1]$. As usual, let $U_{n:1} \leq \cdots \leq U_{n:n}$ denote the corresponding order statistics. Consider

\begin{equation}
G_i := U_{n:i} - U_{n:i-1} \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \overline{1, n+1},
\end{equation}

where $U_{n:0} := 0$ and $U_{n+1:n+1} := 1$. Here and in what follows, $\alpha, \beta := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \alpha \leq k \leq \beta\}$.

One may refer to the $G_i$'s as the gaps or, as it is usually done in the literature, the spacings between the consecutive order statistics. See e.g. the paper by Pyke [8], containing a review of known results for the spacings for the underlying uniform distribution and other distributions as well; see also [3] for later updates.

Let now $G_{n+1:1} \leq \cdots \leq G_{n+1:n+1}$ denote the ordered gaps $G_1, \ldots, G_{n+1}$, so that the ransom sets $\{G_{n+1:1}, \ldots, G_{n+1:n+1}\}$ and $\{G_1, \ldots, G_{n+1}\}$ are the same. Let also $G_{n+1:0} := 0$ and $G_{n+1:n+2} := 1$, so that

\begin{equation}
0 = G_{n+1:0} \leq G_{n+1:1} \leq \cdots \leq G_{n+1:n+1} \leq G_{n+1:n+2} = 1.
\end{equation}

The main result of this note describes the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of each of the ordered gaps $G_{n+1:1}, \ldots, G_{n+1:n+1}$:
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Theorem 1.1. For all $k \in \overline{1, n+1}$ and $x \in [0,1]$

\[ P(G_{n+1:k} > x) = (-1)^{k+1}(n+1) \left( \frac{n}{k-1} \right) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^r}{n-r+1} \binom{k-1}{r} (1-(n-r+1)x)_+^n. \]  

Everywhere here, $u_+ := 0 \vee u = \max(0,u)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on

Theorem 1.2. Take any $k \in \overline{0, n+1}$ and $x \in (0,1)$. Then

\[ P(G_{n+1:k} \leq x < G_{n+1:k+1}) = (-1)^k \binom{n+1}{k} \sum_{r=0}^{k} (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} (1-(n-r+1)x)_+^n. \]

In particular, choosing $k = n+1$ in (1.3) or (1.4), we immediately get

\[ P(G_{n+1:n+1} > x) = \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{s-1} \binom{n+1}{s} (1-sx)_+^n. \]

Remark 1.3. It has now been long a textbook fact (see e.g. [1, Exercise 20, page 103]) that the joint distribution of the gaps $G_1, \ldots, G_{n+1}$ is the same as that of $R_1, \ldots, R_{n+1}$, where

\[ R_i := \frac{X_i}{X_1 + \cdots + X_{n+1}} \]

and the $X_i$’s are independent (say standard) exponential random variables. Moran [7, page 93] ascribes mentioning of this fact to Fisher [4], and a proof of it – without a specific reference – to Clifford.

In fact, Fisher [4] used geometric arguments to obtain the following formula for the distribution of $R_{n+1:n+1} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} R_i$:

\[ P(R_{n+1:n+1} > x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n+1}{j} (1-jx)_+^n. \]

In view of Remark 1.3, (1.5) is equivalent to (1.7).

Accordingly, one may replace $G_{n+1:k}$ and $G_{n+1:k+1}$ in (1.3) and (1.4) by $R_{n+1:k}$ and $R_{n+1:k+1}$, and thus obtain generalizations of (1.7).

In Fisher’s setting, r.v.’s of the form $cX_i$ with an unknown real parameter $c > 0$ were certain test statistics in independent tests of significance in the harmonic analysis of a series; these tests are labeled by $1, \ldots, n+1$. To remove the unknown parameter $c$, the test statistics $cX_i$ were then normalized in [4] by the observable quantity $cX_1 + \cdots + cX_{n+1}$, yielding the ratios $R_i$, as in (1.6), with a known joint distribution. Thus, $P(R_{n+1:n+1} > x)$ is the probability that at least one of the $n+1$ normalized test statistics $R_i$ will exceed the critical value $x$.

Accordingly, the probability $P(G_{n+1:k} > x)$ in (1.3), which equals $P(R_{n+1:k} > x)$, is the probability that at least $\ell := (n+1) - (k-1) = n-k+2$ of the $n+1$ normalized test statistics $R_i$ will exceed the critical value $x$; note that here $\ell$ can take any value in $1, n+1$. Similarly, the probability $P(G_{n+1:k} \leq x < G_{n+1:k+1})$ in (1.4) is the probability that exactly $m := n+1-k$ of the $n+1$ normalized test
statistics \( R_i \) will exceed the critical value \( x \); note that here \( m \) can take any value in \( 0, n + 1 \).

Thus, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 above provide useful additional information concerning the independent tests considered by Fisher.

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based on certain geometric, combinatorial, and analytic considerations. The method of proof of (1.7) in [4] does not seem to work for the more general results presented in the present note.

The following result is also based on Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 1.4.** Take any \( k \in 0, n + 1 \). Then

\[
\mathbb{E} G_{n+1:k} = \frac{H_{n+1} - H_{n+1-k}}{n+1},
\]

where

\[
H_j := 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{j}
\]

is the \( j \)th harmonic number, with \( H_0 := 0 \).

In particular, since \( \mathbb{E} G_{n+1:k} \sim \frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{n}{n-k} \) if \( n-k \to \infty \), it follows from (1.8) that

\[
\mathbb{E} G_{n+1:k} \sim \frac{k}{n^2}
\]

so that \( \mathbb{E} G_{n+1:k} \) is asymptotically linear in \( k \). Further, taking \( k = 1 \), we also see that the expectation of the smallest among the gaps \( G_1, \ldots, G_{n+1} \) is \( \mathbb{E} G_{n+1:1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \), which is \( n+1 \) times as small as the average \( \frac{1}{n+1} \) of these \( n+1 \) gaps.

On the other hand, the expectation of the largest among the gaps \( G_1, \ldots, G_{n+1} \) is

\[
\mathbb{E} G_{n+1:n+1} = \frac{H_{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{\ln n}{n}
\]

as \( n \to \infty \), so that the largest gap is about \( \ln n \) times as large on the average as the average of the gaps.

2. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We begin with the following simple observation. Let \( \mu \) be a measure defined on the Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra over \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with a finite joint tail function \( T_\mu \) defined by the formula

\[
T_\mu(x) := \mu(Q(x)),
\]

where

\[
Q(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \infty)
\]

is the “tail” orthant with the vertex \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

For any \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) and \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( a_i \leq b_i \) for all \( i \in 1, n \), consider the parallelepiped

\[
\Pi_{a,b} := \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i].
\]
Also, let 

\[ h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) := b - a \]

and, for each \( \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n \), let \( a + \varepsilon h := (a_1 + \varepsilon_1 h_1, \ldots, a_n + \varepsilon_n h_n) \) and \( |\varepsilon| := \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n \); note that the \( i \)-th coordinate \( a_i + \varepsilon_i h_i \) of the vector \( a + \varepsilon h \) equals \( a_i \) or \( b_i \) depending on whether \( \varepsilon_i \) equals 0 or 1. As usual, let \( I_A \) denote the indicator function of a set \( A \). Then

\[
\mu(\Pi_{a,b}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mu \prod_{i=1}^n (1_{(a_i, \infty)} - 1_{(b_i, \infty)})
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mu \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} I_Q(a + \varepsilon h),
\]

whence

\[
\mu(\Pi_{a,b}) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} T_\mu(a + \varepsilon h).
\tag{2.1}
\]

In particular, for \( y \in \mathbb{R} \), \( \alpha \in [0, \infty) \), and \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in (0, \infty)^n \), consider now the measure \( \mu_{y,\alpha,\gamma} \) that has the density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) given by the formula

\[
\frac{d\mu_{y,\alpha,\gamma}}{dx} = (y - \gamma \cdot x)_+^\alpha
\]

for \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where \( \gamma \cdot x := \sum^n_i \gamma_i x_i \) and (concerning the case \( \alpha = 0 \)) \( 0^0 := 0 \). Then, using induction on \( n \) or, more specifically, iterated integration, it is easy to see that

\[
T_{\mu_{y,\alpha,\gamma}}(x) = \frac{(y - \gamma \cdot x)^{n+\alpha}}{\prod_i ((\alpha + i) \gamma_i)^{n+\alpha}}
\]

for \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

Choosing now \( \alpha = 0 \), we get

\[
\text{vol}_n(\Pi_{a,b} \cap H_{\gamma,y}) = \frac{1}{n!} \prod^n_i \gamma_i \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} (y - \gamma \cdot (a + \varepsilon h))^n_+,
\]

where \( \text{vol}_n \) denotes the volume in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (that is, the Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \)) and \( H_{\gamma,y} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \gamma \cdot x \leq y \} \). For \( a = (0, \ldots, 0) \) and \( b = (1, \ldots, 1) \), formula \( (2.2) \) was given in [2] and [6]. (The condition that \( \gamma_i > 0 \) for all \( i \) was missing in [2].)

Next, note that the joint probability density function (pdf), say \( f \), of the order statistics \( U_{n:1}, \ldots, U_{n:n} \) is given by the formula

\[
f(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = n! \mathbb{1}\{0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_n < 1\}
\]

for \((y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n\); see e.g. [3 page 12]. Since, in view of \( (1.1) \), the r.v.’s \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) are obtained from \( U_{n:1}, \ldots, U_{n:n} \) by a linear transformation with determinant 1, we see that the joint pdf, say \( g \), of the gaps \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) is given by the formula

\[
g(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = n! \mathbb{1}\{z_1 > 0, \ldots, z_n > 0, z_1 + \cdots + z_n < 1\}
\]

for \((z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n\).

Take now any \( j \in \overline{0,n}, \ x \in (0,1), \) and \( y \in (0,1] \), and let

\[
p_{n,j}(x,y) := P \left( G_i \leq x \ \forall i \in \overline{1,j}, \ G_i > x \ \forall i \in \overline{j+1,n}, \ \sum^n_{i=1} G_i < y \right).
\]
Then, by (2.4),
\[ p_{n,j}(x, y) = n! \text{vol}_n(\Pi_{a_{j}^{x},b_{j}^{x}} \cap H_{\gamma_{1},y}), \]
where \( a_{i}^{x} := 0 \) and \( b_{i}^{x} := x \) for \( i \in [1,j] \), \( a_{j+1}^{x} := x \) and \( b_{j+1}^{x} := 1 \) for \( i \in [j+1,n] \), and \( \gamma_{1} := (1,\ldots,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \).

So, letting \( |\varepsilon|_{\ast} := \sum_{i}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \), and \( |\varepsilon|_{\ast\ast} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \) for \( \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^{n} \) and using (2.2), we have
\[
 p_{n,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^{n}} (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} (y - (n - j)x - |\varepsilon|_{\ast}x - |\varepsilon|_{\ast\ast}(1 - x))^{n}_{+}
 = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{j} \sum_{\beta=0}^{n-j} (-1)^{n+j} \binom{j}{\alpha} \binom{n-j}{\beta} (y - (n - j)x - \alpha x - \beta (1 - x))^{n}_{+}.
\]

Note also that \((n - j - \beta + \alpha)x \geq 0 \) for \( \alpha \in 0, j \), \( \beta \in 0, n - j \), and \( x \in (0,1) \), so that \((y - (n - j)x - \alpha x - \beta (1 - x))^{n}_{+} = (y - \alpha - (n - j - \beta + \alpha)x)_{+} = 0 \) for \( y \in (0,1) \) and \( \beta \in 1, n - j \). Therefore, the latter displayed expression for \( p_{n,j}(x, y) \) greatly simplifies:
\[
 p_{n,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{j} (-1)^{\alpha} \binom{j}{\alpha} (y - (n - j + \alpha)x)^{n}_{+}
 = \sum_{r=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-r} \binom{j}{r} (y - (n - r)x)^{n}_{+}
 = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-r} \binom{j}{r} (y - (n - r)x)^{n}_{+}.
\]

The latter equality holds because
\[
 \binom{j}{r} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in 0, \infty \quad \text{and} \quad r \in -\infty, -1 \cup j + 1, \infty,
\]
with \( \binom{j}{r} \) understood in the combinatorial sense, as the cardinality of the set \( \binom{[j]}{r} \) of all subsets of cardinality \( r \) of the set
\[ [j] := \{1,j\}. \]

for instance, for all \( j \in 0, \infty \) we have \( \binom{j}{j} = 0 \) because \( \binom{[j]}{j} = \emptyset \). For another, analytic approach to generalized binomial coefficients \( \binom{j}{r} \), which leads to the same results for \( j \in 0, \infty \) and \( r \in -\infty, -1 \cup j + 1, \infty \), see e.g. [5].

After these preliminary observations, we are ready to consider the probability in (1.3):
\[
 P_{n,k} := P(G_{n+1:k} \leq x < G_{n+1:k+1}) = Q_{n,k} + R_{n,k},
\]
where
\[
Q_{n,k} := \sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{k}} P \left( G_i \leq x \ \forall i \in J, \ G_i > x \ \forall i \in [n] \setminus J, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i < 1 - x \right)
\]
\[
= \binom{n}{k} p_{n,k}(x, 1 - x),
\]
\[
R_{n,k} := \sum_{J \in \left( \binom{[n]}{k} \right)^c} P \left( G_i \leq x \ \forall i \in J, \ G_i > x \ \forall i \in [n] \setminus J, \ 1 > \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i \geq 1 - x \right)
\]
\[
= \left( \binom{n}{k-1} \right) (p_{n,k-1}(x, 1) - p_{n,k-1}(x, 1 - x));
\]
here we used the definition of \( p_{n,k}(x, y) \) in (2.5) and the fact that, in view of (2.4), the r.v.’s \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) are exchangeable.

In Theorem 1.2, \( k \) may take any value in the set \( 0, n + 1 \), whereas the expression in (2.6) for \( p_{n,j}(x, y) \) was established only for \( j \in 0, n \). However, \( Q_{n,n+1} = 0 \) because \( \binom{n+1}{n+1} = 0 \), and \( R_{n,0} = 0 \) because \( \binom{0}{k} = 0 \), whereas \( k - 1 \in 0, n \) for \( k \in 1, n + 1 \). It follows that, for all \( k \in 0, n + 1 \), we can replace all entries of \( p_{n,j}(x, \cdot) \) in the above expressions for \( Q_{n,k} \) and \( R_{n,k} \) by the corresponding expressions according to (2.6). Thus, letting now
\[
a_r := a_{n,r}(x) := (-1)^r (1 - (n - r)x)_+, \quad \text{for all } k \in 0, n + 1,
\]
we have
\[
(-1)^{k+1} P_{n,k} = \binom{n}{k} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \binom{k}{r} a_{r-1}
\]
\[
= \binom{n}{k-1} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \binom{k-1}{r} a_r
\]
\[
= \binom{n}{k-1} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \binom{k-1}{r} a_{r-1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{r} + \binom{n}{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \right) a_{r-1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{k}{r} a_{r-1} = \binom{n+1}{k} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \binom{k}{r} a_{r-1}. \right)
\]
Now (1.4) immediately follows, in view of (2.8) and (2.9). \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For all \( j \in 0, n + 1 \)
\[
P(G_{n+1:j+1} > x) - P(G_{n+1:j} > x) = P(G_{n+1:j} \leq x < G_{n+1:j+1})
\]
\[
= P_{n,j} = (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{r=0}^{j} \binom{n}{j} a_{r-1},
\]

(2.11)
the latter two equalities holding by virtue of (2.8) and (2.10). Also, in view of (1.2) and because \( x \in (0, 1) \), we have \( P(G_{n+1:0} > x) = 0 \). So, one can find \( P(G_{n+1:k} > x) \) by summation:

\[
P(G_{n+1:k} > x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_{n,j},
\]

and this is how the expression of \( P(G_{n+1:k} > x) \) in (1.3) was actually found.

However, once that expression has been obtained, it is sufficient – and much easier – to verify (1.3) by checking the identity

\[
(2.12) \quad V_{n,j+1} - V_{n,j} = P_{n,j}
\]

for all \( j \in \{0, n\} \), where

\[
(2.13) \quad V_{n,j} := (-1)^j (n+1) \binom{n}{j-1} \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \frac{a_{r-1}}{n-r+1} \binom{j-1}{r},
\]

the right-hand side of (1.3) with \( j \) in place of \( k \), taking also (2.9) into account; hence,

\[
(2.14) \quad V_{n,j+1} := (-1)^{j+1} (n+1) \binom{n}{j} \sum_{r=0}^{j} \frac{a_{r-1}}{n-r+1} \binom{j}{r}.
\]

Indeed, it will immediately follow from (2.11) and (2.12) that

\[
P(G_{n+1:j+1} > x) - P(G_{n+1:j} > x) = V_{n,j+1} - V_{n,j}
\]

for \( j \in \{0, n\} \). Since \( P(G_{n+1:0} > x) = 0 = V_{n,0} \), it will then follow by induction on \( k \), or, equivalently, by telescoping summation, that \( P(G_{n+1:k} > x) = V_{n,k} \) for all \( k \in \{0, n+1\} \), which will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Turning now back to identity (2.12), we see that each side of it equals \( -a_{-1} \) when \( j = 0 \).

Next, it is convenient to replace \( \sum_{r=0}^{j} \) in (2.11) and (2.13), as well as \( \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \) in (2.14), by \( \sum_{r=0}^{n} \); in view of (2.7) and the condition \( j \in \{0, n\} \), these replacements will not affect the values of the corresponding expressions for \( P_{n,j}, V_{n,j+1}, \) and \( V_{n,j} \).

Thus, it suffices to check that the coefficients of the \( a_{-1} \)'s on both sides of (2.12) are the same for all \( j \in \{0, n\} \) and \( r \in \{0, n\} \), which amounts to checking the identity

\[
\frac{n+1}{n-r+1} \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{r} + \binom{n}{j-1} \binom{j-1}{r} = \binom{n+1}{j} \binom{j}{r}
\]

for such \( j \) and \( r \), which in turn becomes immediately obvious on replacing \( \binom{n}{j} \), \( \binom{n}{j-1} \), and \( \binom{j}{r} \) there by the corresponding equal expressions \( \binom{n+1}{j} \frac{a_{j-r}}{n+1-r}, \binom{n+1}{j} \frac{a_{j-r}}{n-r}, \) and \( \binom{j}{r} \). Theorem 1.1 is now proved. □
Proof of Corollary 1.4. Take any \( j \in \{0, n \} \). Then, in view of (1.2) and Theorem 1.2,

\[
E(G_{n+1;j+1} - G_{n+1;j}) = E \int_0^1 dx \mathbb{1}\{G_{n+1;j} \leq x < G_{n+1;j+1}\} = \int_0^1 dx \ P(G_{n+1;j} \leq x < G_{n+1;j+1}) = \int_0^1 dx \ P(G_{n+1;j} \leq x < G_{n+1;j+1}) = \int_0^1 dx \ P(G_{n+1;j} \leq x < G_{n+1;j+1}) = (-1)^j \left( \begin{array}{c} n+1 \nonumber \\
 j \nonumber 
\end{array} \right) S = \frac{S}{n+1},
\]

where

\[
S := \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r \left( \begin{array}{c} j 
\nonumber \\
r \nonumber 
\end{array} \right) \frac{1}{n-r+1} = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r \left( \begin{array}{c} j 
\nonumber \\
r \nonumber 
\end{array} \right) \int_0^1 du u^{n-r} = \int_0^1 du u^n \sum_{r=0}^j (-u)^{-r} \left( \begin{array}{c} j 
\nonumber \\
r \nonumber 
\end{array} \right) = \int_0^1 du u^n (1 - 1/u)^j = (-1)^j (n-j)!j! (n+1)!. \]

So,

\[
E G_{n+1;j+1} - E G_{n+1;j} = E(G_{n+1;j+1} - G_{n+1;j}) = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+1-j)}. \]

Also, again in view of (1.2), \( G_{n+1;0} = 0 \) and hence \( E G_{n+1;0} = 0 \). Thus,

\[
E G_{n+1;k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (E G_{n+1;j+1} - E G_{n+1;j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+1-j)} = \frac{H_{n+1}-H_{n+1-k}}{n+1},
\]

which completes the proof of Corollary 1.4. \( \square \)

The following alternative proof of Corollary 1.4 is more direct, as it does not rely on Theorem 1.2. Instead, it uses the more elementary Remark 1.3.

"Direct" proof of Corollary 1.4. Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1} \) be as in Remark 1.3 and then let \( X_{n+1;1} \leq \cdots \leq X_{n+1;n+1} \) be the corresponding order statistics. Then for the r.v.'s \( R_1, \ldots, R_{n+1} \) defined by (1.6) and the corresponding order statistics \( R_{n+1;1} \leq \cdots \leq R_{n+1;n+1} \) we have

\[
(2.15) \quad R_{n+1;k} := \frac{X_{n+1;k}}{X_{n+1;n+1} + \cdots + X_{n+1;n+1}}.
\]

The joint pdf, say \( h \), of \( X_{n+1;1}, \ldots, X_{n+1;n+1} \) is given by the formula

\[
(2.16) \quad h(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = (n+1)! e^{-w_{n+1}} \mathbb{1}\{0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n+1}\}
\]

for \( (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), where

\[
w_j := x_1 + \cdots + x_j;
\]

see e.g. [3, page 12] again.
We will also need the following very simple but crucial observation: for any real $u > 0$

$$\frac{1}{u} = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-tu}. \tag{2.17}$$

One can view this as a decomposition of the inconvenient function $u \mapsto \frac{1}{u}$ into the nice “harmonics” $u \mapsto e^{-tu}$.

Now, introducing

$$L_{n+1,j} := \int_{S_{n+1}} dx_{1,n+1} x_j e^{-w_{n+1}},$$

where

$$S_j := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_j): 0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_j\} \quad \text{and} \quad dx_{1,j} := dx_1 \cdots dx_j$$

for natural $j$, and using Remark 1.3, (2.15), (2.16), and (2.17) (with $u = w_{n+1}$), we can write

$$\mathbb{E} G_{n+1:k} = \mathbb{E} R_{n+1:k}$$

$$= (n+1)! \int_{S_{n+1}} dx_{1,n+1} e^{-w_{n+1}} \frac{x_k}{w_{n+1}}$$

$$= (n+1)! \int_0^\infty dt \int_{S_{n+1}} dx_{1,n+1} e^{-w_{n+1}} x_k e^{-tw_{n+1}}$$

$$= (n+1)! \int_0^\infty dt \int_{S_{n+1}} dx_{1,n+1} x_k e^{-(1+t)w_{n+1}}$$

$$= (n+1)! \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{(1+t)^{n+2}} L_{n+1,k} = n! L_{n+1,k}. \tag{2.18}$$

It remains to evaluate $L_{n+1,k}$. Toward this end, for positive real $t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}$ consider

$$M(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}) := \int_{S_{n+1}} dx_{1,n+1} e^{-t_1 x_1 - \cdots - t_{n+1} x_{n+1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_{S_n} dx_1 e^{-t_1 x_1 - \cdots - t_{n-1} x_{n-1} - (t_{n+1} + t_n) x_n}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= \frac{1}{t_{n+1}(t_{n+1} + t_n) \cdots (t_{n+1} + \cdots + t_1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$L_{n+1,k} = -\frac{d}{dh} M(1, \ldots, 1, h, 1, \ldots, 1) \bigg|_{h=0}$$

$$= -\frac{d}{dh} \left(\frac{1}{(n+1-k)!(n+2-k+h) \cdots (n+1+h)}\right) \bigg|_{h=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{1}{n+2-k} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = \frac{H_{n+1} - H_{n+1-k}}{(n+1)!}.$$

Now Corollary 1.4 follows by (2.18). \qed
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