DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON ALMOST-HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS AND HARMONIC FORMS
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Abstract. We consider several differential operators on compact almost-complex, almost-Hermitian and almost-Kähler manifolds. We discuss Hodge Theory for these operators and a possible cohomological interpretation. We compare the associated spaces of harmonic forms and cohomologies with the classical de Rham, Dolbeault, Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies.

1. Introduction

On a complex manifold $X$ the exterior derivative $d$ decomposes as the sum of two other cohomological differential operators, namely $d = \partial + \overline{\partial}$ satisfying $\partial^2 = 0$, $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$ and $\partial \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \partial = 0$. Once fixed a Hermitian metric on $X$ one can associate to $\partial$ a natural elliptic differential operator, the Dolbeault Laplacian; if $X$ is compact the Kernel of this operator has a cohomological interpretation, i.e., it is isomorphic to the Dolbeault cohomology of $X$. If we do not assume the integrability of the almost-complex structure, i.e., $(X, J)$ is an almost-complex manifold, the $\overline{\partial}$ operator is still well-defined but it has no more a cohomological meaning. However, we can define some natural differential operators.

In this paper we are interested in studying the properties of such operators, their harmonic forms and possibly their cohomological meaning on compact manifolds endowed with a non-integrable almost-complex structure. More precisely, in the non-integrable case $d$ decomposes as

$$d : A^{p,q}(X) \to A^{p+2,q-1}(X) \oplus A^{p+1,q}(X) \oplus A^{p,q+1}(X) \oplus A^{p-1,q+2}(X)$$

and we set

$$d = \mu + \partial + \overline{\partial} + \overline{\mu}.$$ 

Then we define a 2-parameter family of differential operators $\{D_{a,b}\}_{a,b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}}$ whose squares are zero and interpolating $d$ and $d^c := J^{-1}dJ$. In general $d$ and $d^c$ do not anticommute and so in Proposition 3.3 we give necessary and sufficient conditions on the parameters in order to have $D_{a,b}D_{c,e} + D_{c,e}D_{a,b} = 0$; in such a case we define the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups. Moreover, if we fix a $J$-Hermitian metric we develop a Hodge theory for these cohomologies together with the cohomology of $D_{a,b}$ (see Theorems 3.8, 3.9, Proposition 3.10 and Theorems 3.11, 3.12). In particular we show that if $|a| = |b|$ then the cohomology of $D_{a,b}$ is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology (cf. Proposition 3.13). Moreover, in Example 3.16 we...
compute explicitly the invariant $D_{a,b}$-cohomology on the Kodaira-Thurston manifold endowed with an almost-complex structure, showing that it is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology independently on the parameters. Nevertheless, the considered parametrized cohomology groups do not generalize (except for the almost-Kähler case) the classical Dolbeault, Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups of complex manifolds. To the purpose of finding a possible generalization of these cohomologies we consider the operators (cf. [1])

$$\delta := \partial + \bar{\mu}, \quad \bar{\delta} := \bar{\partial} + \mu.$$ 

These two operators anticommute but their squares are zero if and only if $J$ is integrable. In Section 5 we define a generalization of the Dolbeault, Bott-Chern and Aeppli Laplacians and develop a Hodge theory for these operators studying their Kernels.

In the almost-Kähler setting considered in Section 6 we derive some further relations among the Kernels of these operators, involving also the Betti numbers and the dimension of $\delta$-harmonic forms (see Corollary 6.3). An Hard-Lefschetz type Theorem is also discussed (cf. Theorem 6.12).

Finally, in the last Section we compute explicit examples on the two 4-dimensional non-toral nilmanifolds and the Iwasawa manifold showing that a bigraded decomposition for the $\bar{\delta}$-harmonic forms cannot be expected and that the equalities in Theorem 6.6 and the inequalities in Corollary 6.3 are peculiar of the almost-Kähler case, giving therefore obstructions to the existence of a symplectic structure compatible with a fixed almost-complex structure on a compact manifold. In particular, we show in Example 7.1 that even if in the bigraded case the spaces we consider coincide with the spaces considered in [3], this fails on total degree.
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2. Preliminaries

Let $(X, J)$ be an almost-complex manifold then the almost-complex structure $J$ induces a natural bi-gradation on the space of forms $A^\bullet(X) = \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} A^{p,q}(X)$. If $J$ is non-integrable the exterior derivative $d$ acts on forms as

$$d : A^{p,q}(X) \to A^{p+2,q-1}(X) \oplus A^{p+1,q}(X) \oplus A^{p,q+1}(X) \oplus A^{p-1,q+2}(X)$$

and so it splits into four components

$$d = \mu + \partial + \bar{\partial} + \bar{\mu},$$

where $\mu$ and $\bar{\mu}$ are differential operators that are linear over functions. In particular, they are related to the Nijenhuis tensor $N_J$ by

$$(\mu \alpha + \bar{\mu} \alpha)(X,Y) = \frac{1}{4} N_J(X,Y)$$

where $\alpha \in A^1(X)$. Since $d^2 = 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^2 &= 0 \\
\mu \partial + \partial \mu &= 0 \\
\bar{\partial}^2 + \mu \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \mu &= 0 \\
\bar{\partial} \partial + \partial \bar{\partial} + \mu \bar{\mu} + \bar{\mu} \mu &= 0 \\
\bar{\partial}^2 + \mu \bar{\partial} + \partial \bar{\mu} &= 0 \\
\bar{\mu} \partial + \bar{\partial} \bar{\mu} &= 0 \\
\mu^2 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$
Consider the following differential operators (cf. [4])

\[ \delta := \partial + \bar{\mu}, \quad \bar{\delta} := \bar{\partial} + \mu \]

with \( \delta : A^\pm (X) \to A^\pm (X) \) and \( \delta : A^\pm (X) \to A^\mp (X) \), where \( A^\pm (X) \) are defined accordingly to the parity of \( q \) in the \( J \)-induced bigraduation on \( A^\bullet (X) \).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( (X, J) \) be an almost-complex manifold, the following relations hold

- \( d = \delta + \bar{\delta} \),
- \( \delta^2 + \bar{\delta}^2 = 0 \),
- \( \delta^2 = \partial^2 - \bar{\partial}^2 \),
- \( \delta \bar{\delta} + \bar{\delta} \delta = 0 \).

**Proof.** The first statement follows immediately from the definitions. The second and third points follow from direct computation

\[ \bar{\delta}^2 = (\bar{\partial} + \mu) (\bar{\partial} + \mu) = \bar{\partial}^2 + \bar{\partial} \mu + \mu \bar{\partial} + \mu^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 - \bar{\partial}^2 \]

and, similarly, \( \delta^2 = \partial^2 - \bar{\partial}^2 \).

Finally, for the last statement we have

\[ \delta \bar{\delta} + \bar{\delta} \delta = \bar{\partial} \partial + \partial \mu + \bar{\partial} \bar{\mu} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\mu} + \mu \partial + \mu \mu = 0. \]

\[ \square \]

If \( D = d, \partial, \delta, \bar{\delta}, \mu, \bar{\mu} \) we set \( D^c := J^{-1} DJ \), then \( \delta^c = -i \delta \) and \( \bar{\delta}^c = i \bar{\delta} \) and

\[ d^c = i(\delta - \bar{\delta}) = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial + \bar{\mu} - \mu), \]

in particular if \( J \) is integrable \( d^c = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial) \).

Notice that in general if \( J \) is not integrable \( d \) and \( d^c \) do not anticommute, indeed we have

\[ dd^c + d^c d = 2i(\delta^2 - \bar{\delta}^2) = 4i(\bar{\partial}^2 - \partial^2). \]

Let \( g \) be a \( J \)-Hermitian metric and denote with * the associated anti-linear Hodge-* operator. If \( D = d, \partial, \delta, \mu, \bar{\mu} \) we set \( D^* := -* D* \) and it turns out that \( D^* \) is the adjoint of \( D \) with respect to the \( L^2 \)-pairing induced on forms (cf. [4], [2]).

As usual one can consider the following differential operators

\[ \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} := \bar{\partial} \partial + \partial \bar{\partial}, \]

\[ \Delta_\partial := \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \partial, \]

\[ \Delta_{\bar{\mu}} := \bar{\mu} \mu^* + \bar{\mu}^* \mu, \]

\[ \Delta_\mu := \mu \mu^* + \mu^* \mu. \]

While on compact almost-Hermitian manifolds the operators \( \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, \Delta_\partial \) are elliptic, and so the associated spaces \( \mathcal{H}^\bullet_{\bar{\partial}} (X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, \mathcal{H}^\bullet_\partial (X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_\partial \) of harmonic forms are finite dimensional, in case of \( \Delta_{\bar{\mu}}, \Delta_\mu \) the spaces \( \mathcal{H}^\bullet_{\bar{\mu}} (X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{\bar{\mu}} \) and \( \mathcal{H}^\bullet_\mu (X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_\mu \) are infinite-dimensional in general (recall that \( \bar{\mu} \) and \( \mu \) are linear over functions). In the following we will consider several spaces of harmonic forms and we will discuss the relations with these ones.
3. Differential operators on almost-complex manifolds

Let \((X, J)\) be an almost-complex manifold and consider a linear combination of the differential operators \(\partial, J, \mu, \bar{\mu}\),
\[ D_{a,b,c,e} := a \partial + b \partial + c \mu + e \bar{\mu}, \]
with \(a, b, c, e \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\). Clearly \(D_{a,b,c,e}\) satisfies the Leibniz rule but in general, if \(J\) is non-integrable, its square is not zero. From now on \(J\) will always be assumed to be non-integrable if not stated otherwise. In fact we have

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \((X, J)\) be an almost-complex manifold. Then \(D_{a,b,c,e}^2 = 0\) if and only if
\[ e = \frac{a^2}{b} \] and \(c = \frac{b^2}{a}\).

**Proof.** By a direct computation one has
\[ D_{a,b,c,e}^2 = \partial^2 (a^2 - bc) + \partial^2 (b^2 - ac) + (\partial J + \partial \bar{J})(ab - ce). \]
\[ \square \]

We set
\[ D_{a,b} := a \partial + b \partial + \frac{b^2}{a} \mu + \frac{a^2}{b} \bar{\mu}, \]
with \(a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\). Since \(D_{a,b}^2 = 0\) we define the associated parametrized cohomology
\[ H^*_a(X) := \frac{\text{Ker} D_{a,b}}{\text{Im} D_{a,b}}. \]
Notice that if \(a = b\), one has \(D_{a,a} = d\) i.e., a multiple of the exterior derivative. In general, \(D_{a,b}\) is not a real operator, indeed by a straightforward computation one gets

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \((X, J)\) be an almost-complex manifold. Then, \(D_{a,b} = D_{b,a}\) if and only if \(a = \bar{b}\).

We set
\[ D_a := a \partial + \bar{a} \partial + \frac{\bar{a}^2}{a} \mu + \frac{a^2}{\bar{a}} \bar{\mu}. \]
Notice that the family of operators \(\{D_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}}\) contains the operators
\[ D_1 = D_{1,1} = d \quad \text{and} \quad D_i = D_{i,-i} = d^c. \]
In particular,
\[ H^*_a(X) = H^*_{2R}(X) \simeq H^*_c(X) = H^*_a(X). \]
Moreover, recall that if \(J\) is non-integrable, \(D_1 D_i + D_i D_1 \neq 0\), therefore we show when two real differential operators \(D_a\) and \(D_b\) anticommute.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \((X, J)\) be an almost-complex manifold. Then, \(D_a D_b + D_b D_a = 0\) if and only if \(\bar{a} b = \bar{b} a\).

**Proof.** Set
\[ D_a := a \partial + \bar{a} \partial + \frac{\bar{a}^2}{a} \mu + \frac{a^2}{\bar{a}} \bar{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad D_b := b \partial + \bar{b} \partial + \frac{\bar{b}^2}{b} \mu + \frac{b^2}{\bar{b}} \bar{\mu}. \]
Then, \(D_a D_b + D_b D_a = 0\) if and only if
\[ \begin{cases} a \bar{b} + b \bar{a} &= \frac{a^2 b^2}{ab} + \frac{\bar{a} \bar{b}^2}{\bar{a} b} \\ 2 \bar{a} \bar{b} &= \frac{\bar{b}^2}{b} + \frac{\bar{a} \bar{b}^2}{a} \end{cases} \]
if and only if \(\bar{b} a = \bar{a} b\) concluding the proof. \[ \square \]
In fact, with the same argument, more generally one has

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \((X, J)\) be an almost-complex manifold. Then, \(D_{a,b}D_{c,e} + D_{c,e}D_{a,b} = 0\) if and only if \(ae = bc\).

**Proof.** Set \(D_{a,b} := a\bar{\theta} + b\theta + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z}\) and \(D_{c,e} := c\bar{\theta} + e\theta + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z}\).

Then, \(D_{a,b}D_{c,e} + D_{c,e}D_{a,b} = 0\) if and only if

\[
\begin{align*}
ae + bc &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z} \\
2ac &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z} \\
2be &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z}
\end{align*}
\]

if and only if \(ae = bc\) concluding the proof. \(\Box\)

**Remark 3.5.** Notice that when \(J\) is integrable, then two arbitrary operators of the form \(D_{a,b} := a\bar{\theta} + b\theta + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z}\) and \(D_{c,e} := c\bar{\theta} + e\theta + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z}\) anticommute. Indeed,

\[
D_{a,b}D_{c,e} + D_{c,e}D_{a,b} = 0
\]

**Remark 3.6.** If \(b = 1\), namely \(D_{b} = d\) then

\(D_{a,d} + dD_{a} = 0\)

if and only if \(a \in \mathbb{R}\). Namely, the only operators anticommuting with the exterior derivative in \(\{D_{a}\}_{a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}}\) are those with the parameter \(a\) real.
If \(b = i\), namely \(D_{b} = d^{c}\) then

\(D_{a}d^{c} + d^{c}D_{a} = 0\)

if and only if \(ia \in \mathbb{R}\). Namely, the only operators anticommuting with \(d^{c}\) in \(\{D_{a}\}_{a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}}\) are those with the parameter \(a\) purely imaginary.

As a consequence of the previous considerations, if \(ae = bc\) and \((a, b) \neq (c, e)\) then \((A^{*}(X), D_{a,b}, D_{c,e})\) is a double complex since

\[
\begin{align*}
D_{a,b}^{2} &= 0 \\
D_{c,e}^{2} &= 0 \\
D_{a,b}D_{c,e} + D_{c,e}D_{a,b} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

hence one can define the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies respectively as

\[
H^{*}_{D_{a,b} + D_{c,e}}(X) := \frac{\text{Ker} D_{a,b} \cap \text{Ker} D_{c,e}}{\text{Im} D_{a,b} D_{c,e}}, \quad H^{*}_{D_{a,b} D_{c,e}}(X) := \frac{\text{Ker} D_{a,b} D_{c,e}}{\text{Im} D_{a,b} + \text{Im} D_{c,e}}.
\]

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \((X, J)\) be an almost-complex manifold. The differential operators \(D_{a,b}\) are elliptic.
In particular, the Bott-Chern and Aeppli Laplacians can be defined as
\[ g = \theta^i \otimes \bar{\theta}^i + \bar{\theta}^i \otimes \theta^i. \]
Using Einstein notations, a \((p, q)\)-form \(\alpha\) locally can be written as
\[ \alpha = \alpha_{j_1 \cdots j_q} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{i_p} \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_q}. \]
Then \(\overline{\partial}\) acts as
\[ (\overline{\partial} \alpha)_{p,q+1} = \overline{\partial}_{j_q+1} \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p j_q} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{i_p} \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_q}. \]
and \(\mu\) acts as
\[ \mu \alpha = \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p j_q} \mu \left( \theta^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{i_p} \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_q} \right) \]
and similarly for \(\overline{\partial}\) and \(\mu\). In computing the symbol of \(\Delta_{a,b}\) we are only interested in the highest-order differential acting on the coefficients \(\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p j_q}\). Denoting with \(\simeq\) the equivalence of the symbol of the operators we get
\[ \Delta_{a,b} \simeq |a|^2 \Delta_{\overline{\partial}} + |b|^2 \Delta_{\partial} + ab(\overline{\partial} \overline{\partial}^* + \partial \partial^*) + ab(\overline{\partial} \partial^* + \overline{\partial}^* \partial) \simeq |a|^2 \Delta_{\overline{\partial}} + |b|^2 \Delta_{\partial} \]
hence \(\Delta_{a,b}\) is elliptic. \(\Box\)

We denote with \(\mathcal{H}^k_{D_{a,b}}(X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{a,b}(\mathcal{A}^k(X))\), the space of \(D_{a,b}\)-harmonic \(k\)-forms. By the elliptic operators theory we get the following

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then the following Hodge decompositions holds, for every \(k\),
\[ A^k(X) = \mathcal{H}^k_{D_{a,b}}(X) \oplus D_{a,b} A^{k-1}(X) \oplus D^*_{a,b} A^{k+1}(X). \]
Moreover, the space \(\mathcal{H}^*_D_{a,b}(X)\) is finite-dimensional.

One has the following

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then there exists an isomorphism, for every \(k\),
\[ H^k_{D_{a,b}}(X) \simeq \mathcal{H}^k_{D_{a,b}}(X). \]
In particular, the space \(\mathcal{H}^*_D_{a,b}(X)\) is finite-dimensional and we will denote with \(h^k_{D_{a,b}}(X)\) its dimension.

As a consequence we have the analogue of the Poincaré duality for the cohomology groups \(h^*_D_{a,b}(X)\).

**Proposition 3.10.** Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold of dimension \(2n\), then the Hodge+-operator induces a duality isomorphism, for every \(k\),
\[ * : H^k_{D_{a,b}}(X) \rightarrow H^{2n-k}_{D_{a,b}}(X). \]
In particular, for every \(k\), one has the equalities \(h^k_{D_{a,b}}(X) = h^{2n-k}_{D_{a,b}}(X)\).

Similarly, one could develop a Hodge Theory for the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies of \((\mathcal{A}^*(X), D_{a,b}, D_{c,e})\) (with \(ac = bc\) and \((a, b) \neq (c, e)\)) following for instance [5].

In particular, the Bott-Chern and Aeppli Laplacians can be defined as
\[
\Delta_{BC_{a,b,c,e}} = D_{a,b} D_{c,e} D^*_{c,e} D^*_{a,b} + D^*_{c,e} D_{a,b} D^*_{a,b} D_{c,e} + D^*_{c,e} D_{a,b} D_{a,b} D_{c,e} + D^*_{a,b} D_{c,e} D_{c,e} D_{a,b} + D^*_{a,b} D_{c,e} D_{c,e} D_{a,b} + D^*_{a,b} D_{a,b} D_{c,e} + D^*_{a,b} D_{a,b} D_{c,e}.
\]

\[
\Delta_{A_{a,b,c,e}} := D_{a,b} D^*_{a,b} + D_{c,e} D^*_{c,e} + D^*_{c,e} D_{a,b} D_{c,e} + D_{a,b} D_{c,e} D^*_{a,b} + D_{a,b} D^*_{a,b} D_{c,e} + D_{a,b} D^*_{a,b} D_{c,e}.
\]
These operators are elliptic and we denote with \( \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}+D_{c,e}}^k(X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{BC_{a,b,c,e}^k(X)} \) the space of BC-harmonic k-forms and with \( \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}D_{c,e}}^k(X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{A_{a,b,c,e}^k(X)} \) the space of A-harmonic k-forms. By the elliptic operators theory we get the following

**Theorem 3.11.** Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then the following Hodge decompositions hold, for every \( k \),

\[
A^k(X) = \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}+D_{c,e}}^k(X) \oplus D_{a,b}D_{c,e}A^{k-2}(X) \oplus (D_{c,e}^*A^{k+1}(X) + D_{a,b}^*A^{k+1}(X)).
\]

\[
A^k(X) = \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}D_{c,e}}^k(X) \oplus (D_{a,b}A^{k-1}(X) + D_{c,e}A^{k-1}(X)) \oplus ((D_{a,b}D_{c,e})^*A^{k+2}(X)).
\]

Moreover, the spaces \( \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}+D_{c,e}}^k(X) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}D_{c,e}}^k(X) \) are finite-dimensional.

One has the following

**Theorem 3.12.** Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then there exist isomorphisms, for every \( k \),

\[
H_{D_{a,b}+D_{c,e}}^k(X) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}+D_{c,e}}^k(X),
\]

and

\[
H_{D_{a,b}D_{c,e}}^k(X) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{D_{a,b}D_{c,e}}^k(X).
\]

In particular, the spaces \( H_{D_{a,b}+D_{c,e}}^k(X) \) and \( H_{D_{a,b}D_{c,e}}^k(X) \) are finite-dimensional.

However, under some hypothesis on the parameters \( a, b \) we can write down an explicit isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.13.** Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold of dimension \( 2n \). Let \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \) such that \(|a| = |b|\), then there exists an isomorphism

\[
\text{Ker} \Delta_d \simeq \text{Ker} \Delta_{a,b}
\]

given by

\[
\alpha \mapsto \sum_{p+q=k} \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^q \alpha^{p,q} \]

where \( \alpha^{p,q} \) denotes the \((p, q)\)-component of a k-form \( \alpha \).

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha = \sum_{p+q=k} \alpha^{p,q} \) be a d-closed k-form, namely \( \mu \alpha + \partial \alpha + \bar{\partial} \alpha + \bar{\mu} \alpha = 0 \). Then, by bi-degree reasons

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu \alpha^{p,q,0} &= 0 \\
\partial \alpha^{p+q,0} &= -\mu \alpha^{p+q-1,1} \\
\bar{\partial} \alpha^{p+q-1,1} &= -\bar{\partial} \alpha^{p+q,0} - \mu \alpha^{p+q-2,2} \\
\bar{\partial} \alpha^{p+q-2,2} &= -\bar{\partial} \alpha^{p+q-1,1} - \mu \alpha^{p+q-3,3} - \bar{\mu} \alpha^{p+q,0} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\bar{\partial} \alpha^{1,p+q-1} &= -\bar{\partial} \alpha^{2,p+q-2} - \mu \alpha^{0,p+q} - \bar{\mu} \alpha^{3,p+q-3} \\
\bar{\partial} \alpha^{0,p+q} &= -\bar{\partial} \alpha^{1,p+q-1} - \mu \alpha^{2,p+q-2} \\
\bar{\partial} \alpha^{0,p+q} &= -\bar{\mu} \alpha^{1,p+q-1} \\
\bar{\mu} \alpha^{0,p+q} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu \alpha^{p+q,0} &= 0 \\
bd \alpha^{p+q,0} &= -\frac{b^2}{a} \mu \left( \frac{a}{b^2} \alpha^{p+q-1,1} \right) \\
b\partial \left( \frac{a^2}{b} \alpha^{p+q-1,1} \right) &= -a \partial \alpha^{p+q,0} - \frac{b^2}{a} \mu \left( \frac{a}{b^2} \alpha^{p+q-2,2} \right) \\
b\partial \left( \frac{a^2}{b^2} \alpha^{p+q-2,2} \right) &= -a \partial \alpha^{p+q,0} - \frac{b^2}{a} \mu \left( \frac{a}{b^2} \alpha^{p+q-3,3} \right) - \frac{a^2}{b} \mu \alpha^{p+q,0} \\
\vdots &= \vdots \\
b\partial \left( \frac{a^p+q-1}{b^2} \alpha^{1,p+q-1} \right) &= -a \partial \left( \frac{a^p+q-2}{b^2} \alpha^{2,p+q-2} \right) - \frac{b^2}{a} \mu \left( \frac{a}{b^2} \alpha^{0,p+q} \right) - \frac{a^2}{b} \mu \alpha^{p+q-3,3} \\
b\partial \left( \frac{a^p+q-1}{b^2} \alpha^{0,p+q} \right) &= -a \partial \left( \frac{a^p+q-1}{b^2} \alpha^{1,p+q-1} \right) - \frac{b^2}{a} \mu \left( \frac{a}{b^2} \alpha^{2,p+q-2} \right) \\
a \partial \left( \frac{a^p+q-1}{b^2} \alpha^{0,p+q} \right) &= -a \partial \left( \frac{a^{p+q-1}}{b^2} \alpha^{1,p+q-1} \right) \\
\mu \alpha^{0,p+q} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Namely, if \( da = 0 \) then

\[
D_{a,b} \left( \alpha^{p+q,0} + \frac{a}{b} \alpha^{p+q-1,1} + \frac{a^2}{b^2} \alpha^{p+q-2,2} + \ldots + \frac{a^{p+q}}{b^{p+q}} \alpha^{0,p+q} \right) = 0.
\]

Similarly, if \( d^* \alpha = 0 \) then

\[
D_{a,b}^* \left( \alpha^{p+q,0} + \frac{a}{b} \alpha^{p+q-1,1} + \frac{a^2}{b^2} \alpha^{p+q-2,2} + \ldots + \frac{a^{p+q}}{b^{p+q}} \alpha^{0,p+q} \right) = 0.
\]

Therefore if \( |a|^2 = |b|^2 \) and \( \Delta_d \alpha = 0 \) then

\[
\alpha^{p+q,0} + \frac{a}{b} \alpha^{p+q-1,1} + \frac{a^2}{b^2} \alpha^{p+q-2,2} + \ldots + \frac{a^{p+q}}{b^{p+q}} \alpha^{0,p+q}
\]

is \( \Delta_{a,b} \)-harmonic.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.14.** Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold of dimension \(2n\). Let \(a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\) such that \(|a| = |b|\), then there exists an isomorphism

\[
H^*_\mathbb{R}(X) \simeq H^*_\mathbb{R}(X).
\]

Notice that in case of \( D_{i,-i} = d^c \) the isomorphism becomes

\[
\alpha \mapsto \sum_{p+q=k} (-1)^q \alpha^{p,q} = i^{-k} J \alpha.
\]

**Remark 3.15.** If \( D_a \) is a real operator, namely \( D_a = D_{a,a} \), then by previous corollary there is an isomorphism

\[
H^*_\mathbb{R}(X) \simeq H^*_\mathbb{R}(X)
\]

for any \( a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\).

**Example 3.16.** Let \( \mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{R}) \) be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and \( \mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{Z}) \) be the subgroup of matrices with entries in \( \mathbb{Z} \). The Kodaira-Thurston manifold is defined as the quotient

\[
X := (\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}) / (\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z})
\]

The manifold \( X \) is a 4-dimensional nilmanifold which admits both complex and symplectic structures. We consider the non-integrable almost-complex structure \( J \) defined by the structure equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\varphi^1}{d\varphi^2} &= 0 \\
\frac{d\varphi^2}{d\varphi^1} &= \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{12} + \frac{1}{2} (\varphi^{12} - \varphi^{21}) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{12}
\end{align*}
\]
where $\{\varphi^1, \varphi^2\}$ is a global co-frame of $(1,0)$-forms on $X$.
Hence, directly we get, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$
\[
\begin{align*}
D_{a,b} \varphi^1 &= 0 \\
D_{a,b} \varphi^2 &= \frac{1}{2a} (\varphi^{12} - \varphi^{21}) + \frac{1}{2b} \varphi^{12} + \frac{1}{2a} \varphi^{12}
\end{align*}
\]
We fix the Hermitian metric $\omega := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \varphi^j \wedge \bar{\varphi}^j$, and by a direct computation one gets on invariant 2-forms
\[
\text{Ker} D_{a,b,\text{inv}} = \mathbb{C} \left\langle \varphi^{11}, \varphi^{22}, \frac{b}{a} \varphi^{12} + \varphi^{12}, \frac{b}{a} \varphi^{12}, \varphi^{21}, -\frac{b^2}{ab} \varphi^{12}, \varphi^{12} \right\rangle
\]
and
\[
\text{Ker} D_{a,b,\text{inv}}^* = \mathbb{C} \left\langle \varphi^{11}, \varphi^{22}, \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}} \varphi^{12} + \varphi^{12}, \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}} \varphi^{12}, \varphi^{21}, -\frac{\bar{a}^2}{\bar{a}b} \varphi^{12}, \varphi^{12} \right\rangle.
\]
Therefore, one gets
\[
H^2_{a,b,\text{inv}} \simeq \mathbb{C} \left\langle \varphi^{11}, \varphi^{22}, \varphi^{12}, \varphi^{21}, \varphi^{12}, -\frac{|a|^2}{ab} \varphi^{12}, \varphi^{12} \right\rangle,
\]
where we listed the harmonic representatives with respect to $\omega$. In particular, for $a = b = 1$ we get the harmonic representatives for the de Rham cohomology and for $a = -b = i$ we get the harmonic representatives for the $d^*$-cohomology $H^2_{d^*}(X)$.

4. Differential Operators on Symplectic Manifolds

In the above considerations $J$ was always assumed to be non-integrable and the parametrized cohomologies do not generalize the well-known complex cohomologies. However, if $J$ is compatible with a symplectic structure we can generalize the symplectic cohomologies introduced in [12].

Let $(X, J, g, \omega)$ be a compact almost-Kähler manifold that is an almost-Hermitian manifold with fundamental form $\omega$ $d$-closed. Let
\[
L := \omega \wedge - : A^{\bullet}(X) \to A^{\bullet+2}(X)
\]
and
\[
\Lambda := - * L * : A^{\bullet}(X) \to A^{\bullet-2}(X),
\]
where $* = J * = * J$ is the symplectic-Hodge-$*$-operator. Denote with
\[
d^\Lambda = [d, \Lambda] = (-1)^{k+1} * d_* |_{A^{\bullet}(X)}
\]
the Brylinski-codifferential ([11]), namely the symplectic adjoint of $d$. Then, it is well known that $(d^*)^* = -d^\Lambda$, indeed on $k$-forms
\[
(d^*)^* = - * d^* = - * J^{-1} d J * = (-1)^{k+1} * J d * = (-1)^k * d * = -d^\Lambda.
\]
By the almost-Kähler identities (cf. Lemma 3.1)
\[
\begin{align*}
[\partial, \Lambda] &= i \overline{\partial}^* \\
[\bar{\partial}, \Lambda] &= -i \partial^* \\
[\partial^*, \Lambda] &= i \bar{\partial}^* \\
[\bar{\partial}^*, \Lambda] &= -i \partial^*.
\end{align*}
\]

One has the following

Lemma 4.1. Let $(X, J, g, \omega)$ be a compact almost-Kähler manifold, then
\[
\begin{align*}
[D_{a,b}, L] &= 0, \\
[D_{a,b}, \Lambda] &= -i D_{a,b}^*.
\end{align*}
\]
Moreover, $[D_{a,b}, \Lambda] = (-1)^{k+1} * D_{a,b} *$ on $k$-forms if and only if $D_{a,b}$ is a real operator.
Proof. By direct computations using the almost-Kähler identities

\[ [D_{a,b}, \Lambda] = a[\overline{\partial}, \Lambda] + b[\partial, \Lambda] + \frac{b^2}{a}[\mu, \Lambda] + \frac{a^2}{b}[\overline{\mu}, \Lambda] = -ia\overline{\partial}^* + ib\overline{\partial}^* - i\frac{b^2}{a}\overline{\mu}^* + i\frac{a^2}{b}\mu^* = -iD_{a,b}^\ast. \]

Moreover, notice that

\[ \ast D_{a,b} = \bar{a} \ast \overline{\partial} \ast + b \ast \partial \ast + \frac{b^2}{a} \ast \mu \ast + \frac{a^2}{b} \ast \overline{\mu} \ast \]

hence, \( [D_{a,b}, \Lambda] = (-1)^{k+1} \ast D_{a,b} \ast \) if and only if \( a = \bar{b} \) if and only if \( D_{a,b} \) is a real operator by Lemma 3.2.

As a consequence, we denote

\[ D^\Lambda_a := [D_a, \Lambda] = (-1)^{k+1} \ast D_a \ast |_{A^{k}(X)}. \]

This operator generalizes the Brylinski co-differential, indeed

\[ D^\Lambda_1 = d^\Lambda. \]

In fact using \( D^\Lambda_a := [D_a, \Lambda] \) and \( D^2_\lambda = 0 \) we have that

\[ D_a D^\Lambda_a + D^\Lambda_a D_a = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (D^\Lambda_a)^2 = 0. \]

In particular, for \( a = 1 \) we recover the standard relations

\[ dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (d^\Lambda)^2 = 0. \]

Therefore, one can define

\[ H^\ast_{D^\Lambda_a} := \frac{\text{Ker} D^\Lambda_a}{\text{Im} D^\Lambda_a}, \quad H^\ast_{D_a + D^\Lambda_a}(X) := \frac{\text{Ker} D_a \cap \text{Ker} D^\Lambda_a}{\text{Im} D_a D^\Lambda_a}, \quad H^\ast_{D_a D^\Lambda_a}(X) := \frac{\text{Ker} D_a D^\Lambda_a}{\text{Im} D_a + \text{Im} D^\Lambda_a}. \]

The symplectic cohomologies defined in [12] correspond to the parameter \( a = 1 \).

5. Harmonic Forms on Almost-Hermitian Manifolds

As seen above the considered parametrized cohomology groups do not generalize (except for the almost-Kähler case) the classical Dolbeault, Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups of complex manifolds, indeed the almost-complex structure needed to be non-integrable in order to describe the operators \( D_{a,b} \) and \( D_a \). Hence, the parametrized cohomology groups are genuinely almost-complex invariants. In the following instead we try to generalize the spaces of harmonic forms for the Dolbeault, Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups of complex manifolds. However, in this case, we do not have a cohomological counterpart (cf. also [2, 3]).

Let \((X, J, g)\) be an almost Hermitian manifold that means \( X \) is a smooth manifold endowed with an almost complex structure \( J \) and a \( J \)-Hermitian metric \( g \). As above denote with \( * \) the associated Hodge-\( * \)-operator. Consequently,

\[ \delta^* = \partial^* + \overline{\mu}^*, \quad \partial^* = \overline{\partial}^* + \mu^* \]

and

\[ (d^\ast)^* = i(\delta^* - \partial^*) = i(\partial^* + \overline{\mu}^* - \overline{\partial}^* - \mu^*). \]

We define the following differential operators

\[ \Delta_\delta := \overline{\delta \delta}^* + \delta^* \overline{\delta}, \quad \Delta_\partial := \delta \partial^* + \partial^* \delta, \quad \Delta_{\delta \partial} := \delta \delta^* \delta^* + \delta^* \delta \delta^* + \delta^* \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta, \]

\[ \Delta_{\partial \delta} := \delta \partial^* + \delta^* \delta^* + \delta^* \partial^* \delta + \delta \partial^* \delta + \partial \delta^* \delta + \partial \delta^* \delta + \delta \partial \delta + \delta \partial \delta. \]
Moreover, a differential operators coincide with the classical Laplacian operators on complex manifolds, namely the Dolbeault Laplacians
\[ \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} := \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial, \]
and the Bott-Chern and Aeppli Laplacians
\[ \Delta_{BC} = \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \partial + \partial \partial^* \partial + \partial^* \partial \partial, \]
\[ \Delta_{A} := \partial \partial^* + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \partial^* + \partial \partial^* \bar{\partial} + \partial^* \partial \bar{\partial}. \]

Remark 5.1. Notice that if \( J \) is an integrable almost-complex structure then these differential operators coincide with the classical Laplacian operators on complex manifolds, namely the Dolbeault Laplacians

\[ \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} := \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial, \]
and the Bott-Chern and Aeppli Laplacians

\[ \Delta_{BC} = \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \partial + \partial \partial^* \partial + \partial^* \partial \partial, \]
\[ \Delta_{A} := \partial \partial^* + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \partial^* + \partial \partial^* \bar{\partial} + \partial^* \partial \bar{\partial}. \]

We have the following

Proposition 5.2. Let \((X, J, g)\) be an almost-Hermitian manifold, then the operators \(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\) and \(\Delta_{\partial}\) are elliptic differential operators of the second order.

Proof. The operator \(\Delta_{\partial}\) is elliptic indeed we can compute its symbol as follows. We work in a local unitary frame of \(T^*X\) and choose a basis \(\{\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^n\}\) such that the metric can be written as
\[ g = \theta^i \otimes \bar{\theta}^i + \bar{\theta}^i \otimes \theta^i. \]
Using Einstein notations, a \((p, q)\)-form \(\alpha\) locally can be written as
\[ \alpha = A_{i_1 \cdots i_p j_1 \cdots j_q} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{i_p} \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_q}. \]
Then \(\delta\) acts as
\[ \delta \alpha = (\partial A)_{p,q+1} + A_{i_1 \cdots i_p j_1 \cdots j_q} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{i_p} \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_q}, \]
where
\[ (\partial A)_{p,q+1} = \partial_{j_1 \cdots j_q} A_{i_1 \cdots i_p j_1 \cdots j_q} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{i_p} \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\theta}^{j_q}. \]
In computing the symbol of \(\Delta_{\partial}\) we are only interested in the highest-order differential acting on the coefficients \(A_{i_1 \cdots i_p j_1 \cdots j_q}\). Therefore, only the first term is relevant and so the symbol of \(\Delta_{\partial}\) is equal to the symbol of \(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\) which is an elliptic operator. Similar considerations can be done for \(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\).

We denote with \(H^k_\partial(X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{\partial, k+1}(X)\) the space of \(\delta\)-harmonic \(k\)-forms and with \(H^{p,q}_\partial(X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{\partial, p+q}(X)\) the space of \(\delta\)-harmonic \((p, q)\)-forms, and similarly for the operator \(\partial\). We get the following

Theorem 5.3. Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then the following Hodge decompositions hold
\[ A^k(X) = H^k_\partial(X) \oplus \bar{\delta} A^{k-1}(X) \oplus \delta^* A^{k+1}(X) \]
and
\[ A^k(X) = H^k_\partial(X) \oplus \delta A^{k-1}(X) \oplus \delta^* A^{k+1}(X) \]
Moreover, a \((p, q)\)-form \(\alpha \in H^{p,q}_\partial(X)\) if and only if \(\alpha \in H^{p,q}_\partial \cap H^{p,q}_\mu\). Similarly, a \((p, q)\)-form \(\alpha \in H^{p,q}_\partial(X)\) if and only if \(\alpha \in H^{p,q}_\partial \cap H^{p,q}_\mu\).

Proof. The Hodge decompositions follow form the classical theory of elliptic operators. Notice that a \(k\)-form \(\beta\) is \(\delta\)-harmonic if and only if
\[
\begin{cases}
\delta \beta &= 0 \\
\delta^* \beta &= 0
\end{cases}
\Leftrightarrow
\begin{cases}
\bar{\partial} \beta + \mu \beta &= 0 \\
\bar{\partial} \beta + \mu^* \beta &= 0
\end{cases}.
\]

Hence let \(\alpha \in A^{p,q}(X)\), then \(\alpha \in \text{Ker} \Delta_{\partial}\) if and only if \(\bar{\partial} \alpha = 0\), \(\bar{\partial} \alpha = 0\), \(\mu \alpha = 0\), \(\mu^* \alpha = 0\) concluding the proof.

\(\square\)
Remark 5.4. Since the operator $\Delta_3$ is elliptic the associated space of harmonic forms $\mathcal{H}^\bullet_3(X)$ is finite-dimensional on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold. In particular, we denote with $h^\bullet_3(X)$ its dimension. The same goes for the operator $\delta$.

Proposition 5.5. Let $(X, J, g)$ be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then

$$\Delta_3 = \Delta_\text{tr} + \Delta_\mu + [\overline{\partial}, \mu^*],$$

and

$$\Delta_\delta = \Delta_\delta + \Delta_\mu + [\overline{\partial}, \mu^*].$$

In particular, $\mathcal{H}^\bullet_3(X) \cap \mathcal{H}^\bullet_\mu(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\bullet_\delta(X)$.

Proof. We prove only the first equality since the second one can be easily obtained by conjugation. We have

$$\Delta_3 = (\overline{\partial} + \mu)(\overline{\partial}^* + \mu^*) =$$

$$\overline{\partial}\partial^* + \overline{\partial}\mu^* + \mu\overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}\mu.$$
Proposition 5.9. Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then the following Hodge decomposition holds
\[
A^k(X) = H^k_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X) \oplus \delta A^{k-2}(X) \oplus (\delta^* A^{k+1}(X) + \bar{\delta} A^{k+1}(X)).
\]
Moreover, a \((p, q)\)-form \(\alpha \in H^{p,q}_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X)\) if and only if
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial \alpha &= 0 \\
\bar{\partial} \alpha &= 0 \\
\mu \alpha &= 0 \\
\bar{\mu} \alpha &= 0 \\
(\delta \overline{\partial} + \bar{\mu})\mu \alpha &= 0 \\
\delta \mu (\ast \alpha) &= 0 \\
\bar{\mu} \overline{\partial} (\ast \alpha) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. The Hodge decomposition follows from the ellipticity of \(\Delta_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}\).
Now let \(\alpha \in A^k(X)\), then \(\alpha \in \text{Ker} \Delta_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}\) if and only if
\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \alpha &= 0 \\
\bar{\delta} \alpha &= 0 \\
\delta \ast \alpha &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
if and only if
\[
\begin{align*}
(\partial + \bar{\mu})\alpha &= 0 \\
(\bar{\partial} + \mu)\alpha &= 0 \\
(\partial \overline{\partial} + \bar{\mu} \overline{\partial} + \bar{\mu})\mu \alpha &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
In particular, if \(\alpha\) is a \((p, q)\)-form we obtain the thesis. \(\square\)

Similarly, if we denote with \(H^k_{\delta \bar{\delta}}(X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{\delta \bar{\delta}}: A^k(X)\) the space of \((\delta \bar{\delta})\)-harmonic \(k\)-forms and with \(H^{p,q}_{\delta \bar{\delta}}(X) := \text{Ker} \Delta_{\delta \bar{\delta}}: (A^p \oplus A^q)(X)\) the space of \((\delta \bar{\delta})\)-harmonic \((p, q)\)-forms we get the following

Proposition 5.10. Let \((X, J, g)\) be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold, then the following Hodge decomposition holds
\[
A^k(X) = H^k_{\delta \bar{\delta}}(X) \oplus (\delta A^{k-1}(X) + \bar{\delta} A^{k-1}(X)) \oplus (\delta^* A^{k+2}(X)).
\]
Moreover, a \((p, q)\)-form \(\alpha \in H^{p,q}_{\delta \bar{\delta}}(X)\) if and only if
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial \ast \alpha &= 0 \\
\bar{\partial} \ast \alpha &= 0 \\
\mu \ast \alpha &= 0 \\
\bar{\mu} \ast \alpha &= 0 \\
(\partial \overline{\partial} + \bar{\mu})\alpha &= 0 \\
\delta \mu \alpha &= 0 \\
\bar{\mu} \overline{\partial} \alpha &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 5.11. Since the operators \(\Delta_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}\) and \(\Delta_{\delta \bar{\delta}}\) are elliptic, the associated spaces of harmonic forms \(H^*_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X), H^*_{\delta \bar{\delta}}(X)\) are finite-dimensional on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold. In particular, we denote with \(h^*_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X)\) and \(h^*_{\delta \bar{\delta}}(X)\) their dimensions.

Remark 5.12. Let \((X, J, g)\) be an almost-Hermitian manifold. Then, by definition, conjugation induces the following isomorphisms
\[
\overline{H^*_\delta(X)} = H^*_\delta(X), \quad \overline{H^*_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X)} = H^*_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X).
\]
In particular, for any \(p, q\)
\[
\overline{H^{p,q}_\delta(X)} = H^{p,q}_\delta(X) = H^{p,q}_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X).
\]
Therefore, we have the following dimensional equalities for every $k$

$$h^k_\delta(X) = h^k_\delta(X)$$

and for every $p, q$

$$h^{p,q}_\delta(X) = h^{q,p}_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X).$$

**Remark 5.13.** Let $(X, J, g)$ be a compact almost-Hermitian manifold of real dimension $2n$, then the Hodge-$*$-operator induces duality isomorphisms for every $k$

$$*: \mathcal{H}^k_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X) \to \mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{\delta}(X).$$

In particular, for every $p, q$

$$*: \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X) \to \mathcal{H}^{n-p,n-q}_{\delta}(X).$$

Therefore we have the usual symmetries for the Hodge diamonds, namely for every $k$

$$h^k_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X) = h^{2n-k}_{\delta}(X)$$

and for every $p, q$

$$h^{p,q}_{\delta+\bar{\delta}}(X) = h^{n-p,n-q}_{\delta}(X).$$

## 6. Harmonic forms on almost-Kähler manifolds

Let $(X, J, g, \omega)$ be a compact almost-Kähler manifold. With the usual notations, we have the following almost-Kähler identities (cf. [1], [2])

**Lemma 6.1.** Let $(X, J, g, \omega)$ be an almost-Kähler manifold then

- $[\delta, \Lambda] = i \delta^*, [\bar{\delta}, \Lambda] = i \bar{\delta}^*$ and $[\bar{\mu}, \Lambda] = i \mu^*$
- $[\delta, \Lambda] = -i \delta^*, [\bar{\delta}, \Lambda] = -i \bar{\delta}^*$ and $[\mu, \Lambda] = -i \mu^*$.

**Proof.** For the sake of completeness we recall here the proof. We have

$$d^A = [d, \Lambda] = [\delta + \bar{\delta}, \Lambda] = [\partial + \bar{\mu} + \bar{\delta} + \mu, \Lambda]$$

and

$$-(d^c)^* = i(\delta^* - \delta^*) = i(\bar{\delta} + \mu^* - \bar{\partial} - \bar{\mu}^*)$$

hence $[\delta, \Lambda] = i \delta^*$ and $[\bar{\delta}, \Lambda] = -i \delta^*$.

□

As a consequence one has the following (see [1] Lemma 3.6)

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $(X, J, g, \omega)$ be an almost-Kähler manifold, then $\Delta_{\delta}$ and $\Delta_{\bar{\delta}}$ are related by

$$\Delta_{\delta} = \Delta_{\bar{\delta}}$$

and

$$\Delta_d = \Delta_{\delta} + \Delta_{\bar{\delta}} + E_J$$

where

$$E_J = \delta \delta^* + \delta^* \delta + \bar{\delta} \bar{\delta}^* + \bar{\delta}^* \bar{\delta}.$$

In particular, their spaces of harmonic forms coincide, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^*_\delta(X) = \mathcal{H}^*_\bar{\delta}(X)$.

An immediate consequence is the following

**Corollary 6.3.** Let $(X, J, g, \omega)$ be a compact almost-Kähler manifold, then

$$\mathcal{H}^*_\delta(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*_d(X),$$

namely every $\delta$-harmonic form is harmonic. In particular,

$$h^*_\delta(X) \leq b_*(X).$$

We will see with an explicit example that the inequality $h^*_\delta(X) \leq b_*(X)$ does not hold for an arbitrary compact almost-Hermitian manifold.
Lemma 6.4. Let \((X, J, g, \omega)\) be an almost-Kähler manifold, then \(d^A = i(\delta^* - \delta^*)\). In particular, a \((p, q)\)-form is symplectic harmonic, i.e., it belongs to \(\text{Ker } d\cap \text{Ker } d^A\), if and only if it belongs to \(\delta^* \cap \text{Ker } \delta^* \cap \text{Ker } \delta^*\).

Proof. Since \(d^* = -i(-\overline{\partial} + \overline{\mu} - \mu) = i(\delta - \delta)\) we have that \((d^*)^* = i(-\overline{\partial} + \partial^* + \overline{\mu}^* - \mu^*) = i(\delta^* - \delta^*)\) and so the thesis follows from \(d^A = -(d^*)^*\).

In general, the existence of a symplectic harmonic representative in every de Rham cohomology class is equivalent to the Hard Lefschetz condition (cf. \([1], \[13], \[2], \[12]\)). Therefore, Tseng and Yau in \([12]\) introduced the space

\[
H^k_{d^A} (X) := \frac{\text{ker}(d + d^A) \cap A^k(X)}{\text{Im } dd^A \cap A^k(X)}
\]

and they study Hodge theory for it. It turns out that \(H^k_{d^A} (X) \simeq H^k_{d^A} (X)\) where

\[
H^k_{d^A} (X) = \text{Ker } d \cap \text{Ker } d^A \cap \text{Ker } (dd^A)^* .
\]

Let us denote with \(H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X)\) the \((d + d^A)\)-harmonic \((p, q)\)-forms.

Remark 6.5. Notice that on a compact almost-Kähler manifold \((X^{2n}, J, g, \omega)\) we have the inclusion

\[
H^k(X) \subseteq H^k_{d^A} (X),
\]

indeed if \(\alpha \in H^k(X)\) then, by Proposition 6.3, \(\alpha \in H^k_{d^A} (X)\), namely \(\delta \alpha = 0, \delta^* \alpha = 0, \delta \alpha = 0\) and \(\delta^* \alpha = 0\). Since \(d = \delta + \delta^*\) and \(d^k = -(d^*)^* = -(i(\delta - \delta))\) then we have the inclusion.

Moreover, if \(J\) is \(C^\infty\)-pure and full \([3]\) (e.g., this is always the case if \(n = 2\), see \([4]\)) by Corollary 6.3 and \([11]\) Theorem 4.2 one has

\[
H^2(X) \subseteq H^2_{d^A} (X) \subseteq H^2_{d^A} (X)
\]

and in particular, \(h^2(X) \leq b_2(X) \leq h^2_{d^A} (X)\). Recall that if \(n = 2\) by \([10]\) Theorem 4.5 (cf. also \([9]\) Section 3.2) \(b_2(X) < h^2_{d^A} (X)\) unless \((X, \omega)\), as a symplectic manifold, satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.

On bigraded forms we have a different situation from Corollary 6.3.

Theorem 6.6. Let \((X, J, g, \omega)\) be a compact almost-Kähler manifold, then on \((p, q)\)-forms

\[
H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) = H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) \cap H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) = H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) \cap H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) = H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) \cap H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) = H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) \cap H^{p,q}_{d^A} (X)
\]

Proof. Notice that the equality \(H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) = H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X)\) follows from Theorem 6.3. The equalities \(H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) = H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) = H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X)\) follow from \([3]\) Proposition 3.3, Theorem 4.3]. Indeed,

\[
H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) \cap H_0^{p,q}(X) = \text{Ker } (\Delta_\n + \Delta_\n + \Delta_\n + \Delta_\n)
\]

\[
= \text{Ker } (\Delta_\n + \Delta_\n) \cap \text{Ker } (\Delta_\n + \Delta_\n) = \text{Ker } (\Delta_\n + \Delta_\n) \cap \text{Ker } (\Delta_\n) = \text{Ker } (\Delta_\n)
\]

We just need to prove that \(H_0^{p,q}_{d^A} (X) = H_0^{p,q} (X) \cap H_0^{p,q} (X)\). Let \(\alpha \in H_0^{p,q}_{d^A} (X)\), then \(d\alpha = 0, d^A\alpha = 0\) and \(dd^A\alpha = 0\), or equivalently \(d\alpha = 0, d^A\alpha = 0\) and \(d^A d\alpha = 0\). Since on \((p, q)\)-forms \(d\alpha = 0\) implies \(d\alpha = 0\) the last condition is superfluous, and \(d\alpha = 0, d^A\alpha = 0\) is equivalent to \(\delta \alpha = 0, \delta^* \alpha = 0, \delta \alpha = 0, \delta^* \alpha = 0\).
Theorem 6.7. Let \((X, J, g, \omega)\) be an almost-Kähler manifold, then \(\Delta_{\delta+J}, \Delta_\delta\) are related by
\[
\Delta_{\delta+J} = \Delta_\delta^2 + \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta + F_J
\]
where
\[
F_J := -\delta (\bar{\delta} \delta^* + \delta^* \delta) \delta^* + (\bar{\delta} \delta^* + \delta^* \delta) \delta^* + \delta^* (\bar{\delta} \delta^* + \delta^* \delta) - \delta^* (\bar{\delta} \delta^* + \delta^* \delta) \delta.
\]

Proof. Using the almost-Kähler identities we have
\[
\Delta_\delta^2 = \Delta_\delta \Delta_\delta = i\delta \Lambda \bar{\delta} \Lambda \delta^* + i\bar{\delta} \Lambda \delta^2 \Lambda \delta^* + \delta^* \delta \delta \delta^* \delta + i\delta^2 \Lambda \delta^* \delta - i\Lambda \delta^2 \delta \delta^* \delta +
\]
\[
\delta \delta \delta \delta \delta^* \delta + i\delta \bar{\delta} \delta^2 \Lambda - i\bar{\delta} \delta \Lambda \delta^2 + \delta \delta^* \delta \delta - i\delta^* \delta \Lambda \delta + i\delta^* \Lambda \delta^2 \delta.
\]
Recall that when \(J\) is non integrable \(\delta^2 \neq 0\) and so many terms in the last expression do not cancel out in general. However, applying the almost-Kähler identities again one gets
\[
\Delta_\delta^2 = \delta \bar{\delta} \delta^* \delta^* + \bar{\delta} \delta \delta \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta \delta^* \delta
\]
\[
+ \delta \delta \delta \delta \delta^* \delta + \delta \delta \delta \delta \delta^* \delta + \delta \delta \delta \delta \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta \delta \delta \delta^* \delta.
\]
Finally, we use that
\[
\delta \delta \delta \delta \delta^* \delta = \delta \delta \delta \delta \delta^* \delta
\]
concluding the proof. We prove this last statement separately in the following proposition.

Clearly, if \(J\) is integrable we recover the classical relations between the Bott-Chern and Dolbeault Laplacians (cf. e.g., \([4]\)), namely on Kähler manifolds
\[
\Delta_{BC} = \Delta_\delta^2 + \bar{\delta} \bar{\delta} + \delta^* \delta.
\]
In particular, \(F_J = 0\) since by the Kähler identities \(\partial \bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial} \partial = 0\).

Proposition 6.8. Let \((X, J, g, \omega)\) be an almost-Kähler manifold, then
\[
\delta \delta^* + \delta^* \delta = \delta \bar{\delta} \delta^* + \bar{\delta} \delta \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta.
\]
In particular,
\[
\delta \delta^* + \delta^* \delta = \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial + \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial.
\]

Proof. We have
\[
\delta \delta^* + \delta^* \delta = (\partial + \mu)(\partial^* + \bar{\mu})^* + (\partial^* + \bar{\mu})(\bar{\partial} + \mu) =
\]
\[
\partial \partial^* + \partial^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial^* + \partial \bar{\partial} \mu + \mu \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} + \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial + \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial.
\]
Now, by \([4]\) Lemma 3.7] we have
\[
\mu \bar{\mu}^* + \bar{\mu}^* \mu = 0
\]
and
\[
\partial \partial^* + \partial^* \bar{\partial} = \partial \mu + \mu \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial + \partial \bar{\partial} \mu,
\]
therefore
\[
\delta \delta^* + \delta^* \delta = \delta \bar{\delta} \delta^* \delta^* + \bar{\delta} \delta \delta^* \delta + \delta^* \delta.
\]
Using conjugation we have
\[
\delta \delta^* + \delta^* \delta = \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \bar{\partial} + \partial \partial^* + \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial + \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial =
\]
\[
\partial \partial^* + \partial^* \bar{\partial} + \partial \partial^* + \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial + \partial \bar{\partial} \partial + \bar{\partial} \partial \partial.
\]

Corollary 6.9. Let \((X, J, g, \omega)\) be a compact almost-Kähler manifold, then
\[
\mathcal{H}^0_{\delta+J}(X) = \mathcal{H}^0(X).
\]


Proof. This follows by the previous result or can be proved directly using the almost-Kähler identities. Let \( \alpha \in \mathcal{H}_k^{\delta + \delta}(X) \), i.e., \( \delta \alpha = 0 \), \( \delta \alpha = 0 \) and \( \delta^* \delta \alpha = 0 \). We need to prove that \( \delta^* \alpha = 0 \). Using the almost-Kähler identities we have

\[
0 = \delta^* \delta \alpha = -i \delta^* [\delta, \Lambda] \alpha
\]

which means that \( \delta^* \delta \Lambda \alpha = 0 \). Therefore, pairing with \( \Lambda \alpha \),

\[
0 = (\delta^* \delta \Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \alpha) = |\delta \Lambda \alpha|^2
\]

hence \( \delta \Lambda \alpha = 0 \). This, means that \( \delta^* \alpha = -i [\delta, \Lambda] \alpha = \delta \Lambda \alpha = 0 \), giving the first inclusion \( \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast + \delta}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) \).

We now prove the other inclusion \( \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast + \delta}(X) \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) \), i.e., \( \delta \alpha = 0 \) and \( \delta^* \alpha = 0 \). Moreover, since \( \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) = \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) \) we also have that \( \delta \alpha = 0 \) and \( \delta^* \alpha = 0 \). Hence, putting these relations together we have that \( \delta \alpha = 0 \), \( \delta \alpha = 0 \) and \( \delta^* \alpha = 0 \), i.e., by definition \( \alpha \in \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast + \delta}(X) \) giving the second inclusion. \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.10.** Let \( (X, J, g, \omega) \) be a compact almost-Kähler manifold, then

\[
\mathcal{H}_d^{\ast + \delta}(X) = \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X).
\]

**Proof.** The thesis follows from the previous Corollary saying that \( \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast + \delta}(X) = \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) \), the fact that \( \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) = \mathcal{H}_d^{\ast}(X) \) and Theorem 6.6. \( \square \)

We prove the following Lemma

**Lemma 6.11.** Let \( (X, J, g, \omega) \) be an almost-Kähler manifold, then

1. \([L, \Delta] = 0 \) and \([L, \Delta] = 0 \),
2. \([\Lambda, \Delta] = 0 \) and \([\Lambda, \Delta] = 0 \).

**Proof.** We just need to prove the first equality

\[
[L, \Delta] = [L, [\delta, \delta^*]] = -[\delta, [\delta^*, L]] = i[\delta, \delta] = 0.
\]

As a consequence we have the following Hard-Lefschetz Theorem on the spaces of \( \delta \)– and \( (\delta + \delta) \)–harmonic forms.

**Theorem 6.12.** Let \( (X, J, g, \omega) \) be a compact almost-Kähler 2n-dimensional manifold, then, for any \( k \), the maps

\[
L^k : \mathcal{H}_d^{2n-k}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d^{2n+k}(X)
\]

and

\[
L^k : \mathcal{H}_d^{n-k}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d^{n+k}(X)
\]

are isomorphisms.

**Proof.** Since by the previous Lemma \([L, \Delta] = 0 \) and \([\Lambda, \Delta] = 0 \) and in general

\[
L^k : A^{n-k}(X) \rightarrow A^{n+k}(X)
\]

are isomorphisms, then the maps

\[
L^k : \mathcal{H}_d^{n-k}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d^{n+k}(X)
\]

are injective, and so isomorphisms by Remark 5.7. The maps

\[
L^k : \mathcal{H}_d^{n-k}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d^{n+k}(X)
\]

are clearly isomorphic by Corollary 6.9. \( \square \)

For the bigraded case the result holds and it is proven in [3 Theorem 5.1].
7. Examples

An important source of non-Kähler examples is furnished by nilmanifolds, namely compact quotients of a nilpotent connected simply-connected Lie group by a lattice. On almost-complex nilmanifolds, given a left-invariant Hermitian metric, one can look for left-invariant harmonic (with respect to some operator) forms but in general they do not exhaust the whole space of harmonic forms. In the following we compute some examples showing that even on almost-Kähler manifolds we do not have a decomposition of the form

$$H^*_d(X) \neq \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} H^p,q_d(X),$$

differently from the case (cf. [3, Theorem 4.1])

$$H^*_d(X) \cap \mathcal{H}_q^*(X) = \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} H^p,q_d(X) \cap \mathcal{H}_q^*(X).$$

Moreover, we will see that when the almost-Hermitian structure is not almost-Kähler the equalities in Theorem 6.6 fail and also the inequalities in Corollary 6.3 fail, in particular we construct an example where

$$h_2^j(X) > b_2(X).$$

Example 7.1. Let $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{R})$ be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and $\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{Z})$ be the subgroup of matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$. The Kodaira-Thurston manifold is defined as the quotient

$$X := (\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}) / (\mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}).$$

The manifold $X$ is a 4-dimensional nilmanifold which admits both complex and symplectic structures. We consider the non-integrable almost-complex structure $J$ defined by the structure equations

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{d\phi^1}{d\phi^2} & = 0 \\
\frac{d\phi^2}{d\phi^1} & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \phi^{12} + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\phi^{12} - \phi^{21}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \phi^{12}
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\phi^1, \phi^2\}$ is a global co-frame of $(1,0)$-forms on $X$. The $(1,1)$-form $\omega := \frac{1}{2\pi} (\phi^{11} + \phi^{22})$ is a compatible symplectic structure, hence the pair $(J, \omega)$ induces a almost-Kähler structure on $X$.

Recall that $\mathcal{H}^{*,*}_d(X) = \mathcal{H}^d_*(X)$, but in general $\mathcal{H}^*_d(X) \subset \mathcal{H}^*_d(X)$. One can easily compute the spaces of left-invariant harmonic forms and one gets

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^1_{d,\text{inv}}(X) & = \langle \phi^1, \phi^1 \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}^2_{d,\text{inv}}(X) & = \langle \phi^{11}, \phi^{22}, \phi^{12} - \phi^{12}, \phi^{12} + \phi^{21} \rangle
\end{align*}$$

and (cf. also [3])

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^{1,0}_{d,\text{inv}}(X) & = \langle \phi^1 \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{d,\text{inv}}(X) & = \langle \phi^1 \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}^{2,0}_{d,\text{inv}}(X) & = 0, \\
\mathcal{H}^{0,2}_{d,\text{inv}}(X) & = 0, \\
\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{d,\text{inv}}(X) & = \langle \phi^{11}, \phi^{22}, \phi^{12} + \phi^{21} \rangle.
\end{align*}$$

The remaining spaces can be computed easily by duality. A first observation is that

$$\mathcal{H}^2_{d,\text{inv}}(X) \neq \bigoplus_{p+q=2} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{d,\text{inv}}(X).$$
In particular, by [3] Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 6.6
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) \cap \mathcal{H}^2_{\mu, \text{inv}}(X) &= \bigoplus_{p+q=2} \mathcal{H}^p_q(X) \cap \mathcal{H}^p_q(X) = \bigoplus_{p+q=2} \mathcal{H}^p_q(X) \subset \mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X),
\end{align*}
Therefore, also in the almost-Kähler case we can have (cf. Proposition 5.5)
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) \cap \mathcal{H}^2_{\mu, \text{inv}}(X) \neq \mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X).
\end{align*}
Moreover, since \( \dim \mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) = 4 = b_2(X) \), from Corollary 6.6 we have that
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) = \mathcal{H}^2_{\delta}(X).
\end{align*}
Since \( \dim \mathcal{H}^1_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) = 2 \) then
\begin{align*}
2 \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^1_{\delta}(X) \leq b_1(X) = 3,
\end{align*}
hence \( \dim \mathcal{H}^1_{\delta}(X) = 3 \) if and only if there exists a non-left-invariant \( \delta \)-harmonic 1-form.

**Example 7.2.** Let \( X \) be the 4-dimensional Filiform nilmanifold and consider the non-integrable almost-complex structure \( J \) defined by the following structure equations
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\, \, \, d\varphi^1 = 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\varphi^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^{12} - \varphi^{21}) - i\varphi^{11} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{12}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
where \( \{\varphi^1, \varphi^2\} \) is a global co-frame of \((1,0)\)-forms on \( X \). As observed in [3], \( J \) does not admit any compatible symplectic structure. We fix the diagonal metric \( \omega := \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^{11} + \varphi^{22}) \). One can easily compute the spaces of left-invariant harmonic forms and one gets
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^1_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^1, \varphi^1 \rangle,
\mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^{12} - \varphi^{12}, -\frac{1}{2}\varphi^{11} + \varphi^{12} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22}, \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{11} + \varphi^{21}, \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22} \rangle
\end{align*}
and
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^{1,0}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^1 \rangle,
\mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^1 \rangle,
\mathcal{H}^{2,0}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= 0,
\mathcal{H}^{0,2}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= 0,
\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle -\frac{1}{2}\varphi^{11} + \varphi^{12} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22}, \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{11} + \varphi^{21}, \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22} \rangle
\end{align*}
The remaining spaces can be computed easily by duality. Since \((J, \omega)\) is not an almost-Kähler structure we cannot apply Corollary 6.6 in particular in this case we have the opposite inequality
\begin{align*}
\dim \mathcal{H}^2_{\delta}(X) \geq \dim \mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) > 2 = b_2(X).
\end{align*}
Moreover, one can easily compute the \((\delta + \bar{\delta})\)-harmonic forms
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^1_{\delta + \bar{\delta}, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^1, \varphi^1 \rangle,
\mathcal{H}^2_{\delta + \bar{\delta}, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^{11}, \varphi^{12} - \varphi^{12}, \varphi^{12} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22}, \varphi^{21}, \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22} \rangle
\end{align*}
and

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{1,0}(X) = \langle \varphi^1 \rangle, \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{0,1}(X) = \langle \varphi^3 \rangle, \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{2,0}(X) = 0, \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{0,2}(X) = 0, \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{1,1}(X) = \left\langle \varphi^{11}, \varphi^{12} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22}, \varphi^{21} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{22} \right\rangle. \]

Notice that, unlike the almost-Kähler case

\[ \mathcal{H}_\delta^{2,\text{inv}}(X) \neq \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{1,1}(X). \]

**Example 7.3.** Let \( X := \mathbb{H}_3 \) be the Iwasawa manifold, namely the quotient of the complex 3-dimensional Heisenberg group \( \mathbb{H}(3; \mathbb{C}) \) by the subgroup of matrices with entries in \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \). The manifold \( X \) is a 6-dimensional nilmanifold admitting both complex and symplectic structures. Then there exists a global co-frame of 1-forms \( \{ e^i \}_{i=1, \ldots, 6} \) satisfying the following structure equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
    d e^1 &= 0 \\
    d e^2 &= 0 \\
    d e^3 &= 0 \\
    d e^4 &= 0 \\
    d e^5 &= -e^{13} + e^{24} \\
    d e^6 &= -e^{14} - e^{23}.
\end{aligned}
\]

We define the following non-integrable almost-complex structure

\[ J e^1 = -e^6, \quad J e^2 = -e^5, \quad J e^3 = -e^4 \]

and consider the compatible symplectic structure

\[ \omega := e^{16} + e^{25} + e^{34}. \]

Therefore, \( (X, J, \omega) \) is a compact 6-dimensional almost-Kähler manifold. We set

\[
\begin{aligned}
    \varphi^1 &= e^1 + i e^6 \\
    \varphi^2 &= e^2 + i e^5 \\
    \varphi^3 &= e^3 + i e^4
\end{aligned}
\]

then the structure equations become

\[
\begin{aligned}
    d \varphi^1 &= \left( -\frac{1}{4} \varphi^{13} - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{23} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{13} + \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{31} - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{23} + \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{32} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{13} - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{23} \right) \\
    d \varphi^2 &= \left( -\frac{1}{4} \varphi^{13} + \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{23} \right) + \left( -\frac{1}{4} \varphi^{13} + \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{31} - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{23} + \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{32} \right) + \left( -\frac{1}{4} \varphi^{13} - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{23} \right) \\
    d \varphi^3 &= 0
\end{aligned}
\]

One can compute the spaces of left-invariant harmonic forms and one gets

\[
\begin{aligned}
    \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{1}(X) &= \langle \varphi^3, \varphi^3 \rangle, \\
    \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{2}(X) &= \langle \varphi^{11} + \varphi^{22}, \varphi^{33}, -\varphi^{12} + \varphi^{21} \rangle, \\
    \mathcal{H}_{\delta,\text{inv}}^{3}(X) &= \langle \varphi^{13} + \varphi^{23}, \varphi^{13} + \varphi^{23} \rangle
\end{aligned}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^{1,0}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^3 \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \bar{\varphi}^3 \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}^{2,0}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{H}^{0,2}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^{11} + \varphi^{22}, \varphi^{33} \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}^{2,1}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^{133} + \varphi^{232} \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}^{1,2}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) &= \langle \varphi^{113} + \varphi^{223} \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

The remaining spaces can be computed easily by duality. In particular
\[
\mathcal{H}^2_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X) \neq \bigoplus_{p+q=2} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\delta, \text{inv}}(X).
\]
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