MOCHIZUKI-TROOSSHIN TYPE THEOREM FOR STURM-LIOUVILLE PROBLEM ON TIME SCALES
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Abstract. In this paper, we consider an interior inverse Sturm-Liouville problem on time scale $T = [0, a_1] \cup [a_2, l]$ and give a Mochizuki-Trooshin type theorem.

1. Introduction

Time scale theory was introduced by Hilger in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis [22]. This approach has applied quickly to various area in mathematics. Sturm-Liouville theory on time scales was studied first by Erbe and Hilger [15] in 1993. Some important results on the properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a Sturm-Liouville problem on time scales were given in various publications (see e.g. [2]-[5], [12]-[14], [16], [19], [20], [22], [23], [26]-[34] and the references therein).

Inverse spectral problems consist in recovering the coefficients of an operator from their spectral characteristics. The first results on inverse theory of classical Sturm-Liouville operator were given by Ambarzumyan and Borg [1], [9]. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems which appear in mathematical physics, mechanics, electronics, geophysics and other branches of natural sciences have been studied for about ninety years (see [6], [11] and [17]).

Specially, the inverse problem for interior spectral data of the differential operator consists in reconstruction of this operator from the given eigenvalues and some information on eigenfunctions at an internal point. This kind of problems for the Sturm Liouville operator were studied firstly by Mochizuki and Trooshin [46]. Similar results to Mochizuki and Trooshin have been studied in various paper until today [50]-[52].

Although the literature for inverse Sturm–Liouville problems on a continuous interval is vast, there is only a few studies about this subject on time scales [31], [30] and [47]. Such problems is useful in many applied problems, for example in string theory, in dynamics of population, in spatial networks problems etc.

In this paper, we consider an interior inverse Sturm–Liouville problem on a time scale and give a Mochizuki and Trooshin-type theorem. We hope that our results will contribute to the development of inverse spectral theory on time scales and to obtain stronger results in some applied sciences.

For basic concepts of the time scale theory we refer to the textbooks [7] and [8].

In this paper we give an Mochizuki-Trooshin type theorem in [46] on a time scale. Throughout this paper we assume that $T = [0, a_1] \cup [a_2, l]$ is a bounded time scale for $a_1 < a_2$. Let us consider the boundary value problem. We consider following boundary value problem $L$ on $T = [0, a_1] \cup [a_2, l]$

(1) $\ell y := -y^\Delta(t) + q(t)y^\sigma(t) = \lambda y^\sigma(t), \quad t \in T^k$

(2) $U(y) := y^\Delta(0) - hy(0) = 0$

(3) $V(y) := y^\Delta(l) + Hy(l) = 0$
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where \( q(t) \) is real valued continuous function on \( \mathbb{T} \), \( h, H \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \lambda \) is the spectral parameter.

Together with \( L \), we consider a boundary value problem \( \tilde{L} = L(\tilde{q}(t), h, H) \) of the same form but with different coefficients \( \tilde{q}(t) \). We assume that if a certain symbol \( s \) denotes an object related to \( L \), then \( \tilde{s} \) will denote an analogous object related to \( \tilde{L} \).

A function \( y \), defined on \( \mathbb{T} \), is called a solution of equation (1) if \( y \in C^2_{\text{rd}}(\mathbb{T}) \) and \( y \) satisfies (1) for all \( t \in \mathbb{T} \). The values of the \( \lambda \) parameter, for which (1)-(3) has nonzero solutions are called eigenvalues, and the corresponding nontrivial solutions are called eigenfunctions [2]. It is proven in [2] that the problem (1)-(3) has countable many eigenvalues which are real, simple and bounded below, and can be ordered as \( -\infty < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < ... < \lambda_n < ... \).

\[ \varphi_{0, \lambda} = 1, \varphi_{0, \lambda}^\triangle = 0 \]

2. Main Result

Prior to calculations we need some preliminaries.

Let \( \varphi(t, \lambda) \) be the solution of (1) under the initial conditions

\begin{equation}
\varphi(0, \lambda) = 1, \varphi_{0, \lambda}^\triangle(0, \lambda) = h
\end{equation}

The zeros of the function \( \Delta(\lambda) = \varphi_{0, \lambda}^\triangle(t, \lambda) + H \varphi(t, \lambda) \) coincide with the eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(3). It is proven in [29] that the functions \( \varphi(t, \lambda), \varphi_{0, \lambda}^\triangle(t, \lambda) \) and so \( \Delta(\lambda) \) are entire on \( \lambda \).

It is clear that \( \varphi(t, \lambda) \) satisfies the following integral equation on \( (0, a_1) \)

\begin{equation}
\varphi(t, \lambda) = \cos \sqrt{\lambda} t + \frac{h}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^t \sin \sqrt{\lambda}(t - \xi) q(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d\xi.
\end{equation}

On the other hands, \( \varphi_{0, \lambda}^\triangle(t, \lambda) \) is continuous at \( a_1 \), and so the relation

\begin{equation}
\varphi(t, \lambda) = \frac{a}{\lambda} \varphi(t, \lambda) - \varphi(t, \lambda) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \varphi(t, \lambda)
\end{equation}

holds, where \( a = a_2 - a_1 \). From (5) and (6), we have the next lemma [30].

**Lemma 1.** The following asymptotic formula holds for \( |\lambda| \to \infty \):

\begin{equation}
\varphi(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\cos \sqrt{\lambda} t + \frac{h}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} t + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp |\tau| t \right), & t \in [0, a_1] \\
\frac{a}{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} a_1 \sin \sqrt{\lambda}(t - a_2) + O \left( \sqrt{\lambda} \exp |\tau| (t - a_2 + a_1) \right), & t \in [a_2, a_1]
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

where \( \tau := \text{Im} \sqrt{\lambda} \).

The zeros of the function

\begin{equation}
\Delta(\lambda) = \varphi_{0, \lambda}^\triangle(t, \lambda) + H \varphi(t, \lambda) = \varphi(t, \lambda) + H \varphi(t, \lambda)
\end{equation}

coincide with the eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(3). From Lemma 1 we have the following asymptotic relation.

\begin{equation}
\Delta(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda} \frac{3}{2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} a_1 \cos \sqrt{\lambda}(l - a_2) + O \left( \lambda \exp |\tau| (l - a_2 + a_1) \right)
\end{equation}

If we assume \( l - a_2 = a_1 \), then we can prove by using well-known Rouche’s theorem that \( \{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) satisfies the following asymptotic formula for \( n \to \infty \):

\begin{equation}
\sqrt{\lambda_n} = \frac{(n - 1) \pi}{2a_1} + \sqrt{\lambda_n} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)
\end{equation}

**Lemma 2.** The system of functions \( \{\cos 2\sqrt{\lambda_n} t\}_{n=1}^\infty \) is complete in \( L_2(0, a_1) \).
Proof. Let \( \{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) be the eigenvalues of the classical Sturm-Liouville problem
\[
-y'' + q(t)y = \lambda y, \quad t \in (0, a_1)
\]
\[
y'(0) - hy(0) = y'(a_1) + Hy(a_1) = 0.
\]
Then the asymptotic equality \( \sqrt{\mu_n} = \frac{(n-1)\pi}{a_1} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \) holds for sufficiently large \( n \). Taking care of (11) we get
\[
(10)
\]
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left|2\sqrt{\lambda_n} - \sqrt{\mu_n}\right|^2 < \infty.
\]
It is known \([35, 36]\) that \( \{\cos \sqrt{\mu_n} t\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is complete in \( L_2(0, a_1) \). By using Lemma 3.1. in \([36]\), the proof is completed. \(\square\)

We state the main result of this article.
Let \( \Lambda := \{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) and \( \tilde{\Lambda} := \{\tilde{\lambda}_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) be the eigenvalues sets of \( L \) and \( \tilde{L}, \varphi(t, \lambda_n) \) and \( \tilde{\varphi}(t, \lambda_n) \) are eigenfunctions related to this eigenvalues, respectively.

**Theorem 1.** If \( \Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda} \), \( a_1 + a_2 = l \) and
\[
\varphi^\Delta(a_1, \lambda_n) = \frac{\varphi(a_1, \lambda_n)}{\varphi(a_1, \lambda_n)}
\]
then \( q(t) = \tilde{q}(t) \) on \( \mathbb{T} \).

**Remark 1.** Let \( x = \left\{ t, t \in [a_1, l], t \in [a_2, l] \right\}. \) From (5) and (6), the problem (1)-(3) can be replaced by the following boundary value problem
\[
-y'' + q_1(x)y = \lambda y, \quad x \in (0, 2a_1)
\]
\[
y'(0) - hy(0) = y'(2a_1) + H y(2a_1) = 0,
\]
\[
y(a_1 + 0) - y(a_1 - 0) = ay'(a_1 - 0),
\]
\[
y'(a_1 + 0) - y'(a_1 - 0) = \frac{1}{a} (a \lambda^2 + b + 1) y(a_1 + 0),
\]
where \( a := a_2 - a_1 > 0 \), \( b := -a^2 q(a_1) - 1 \). Thus the eigenvalue problem considered in Theorem 1 can be transformed into the Sturm-Liouville problem with an interior discontinuity. The interior inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with various discontinuity conditions are discussed in \([37-45]\).

3. **Proof**

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Consider the following equalities
\[
(11) \quad - \varphi^\Delta(t, \lambda) + q(t) \varphi^\sigma(t, \lambda) = \lambda \varphi^\sigma(t, \lambda)
\]
\[
(12) \quad - \tilde{\varphi}^\Delta(t, \lambda) + \tilde{q}(t) \tilde{\varphi}^\sigma(t, \lambda) = \lambda \tilde{\varphi}^\sigma(t, \lambda).
\]
From (11) and (12) one can obtain
\[
(13) \quad \left[ \varphi(t, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}^\Delta(t, \lambda) - \varphi^\Delta(t, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(t, \lambda) \right]^\Delta = [q(t) - \tilde{q}(t)] \varphi^\sigma(t, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}^\sigma(t, \lambda).
\]
By \( \Delta \)-integrating both sides of (13) on \( [0, a_1] \), we get
\[
\left[ \varphi(t, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}^\Delta(t, \lambda) - \varphi^\Delta(t, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(t, \lambda) \right]_{a_1}^{a_1} = \int_{0}^{a_1} [q(t) - \tilde{q}(t)] \varphi^\sigma(t, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}^\sigma(t, \lambda) \Delta t.
\]
\[ \phi \] satisfies the equation
\[ -q(t,\lambda)\varphi(t,\lambda) = \varphi(t,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}(t,\lambda) \] (16)

Taking into account (15) we conclude that

From the assumption of theorem and initial conditions (4) we have \( H(\lambda_n) = 0 \) for all \( \lambda_n \in \Lambda \) and so \( \chi(\lambda) := \frac{H(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \) is entire on \( \lambda \). Additionally, it follows from (8) and Lemma 1 that

\[ |\chi(\lambda)| \leq C |\lambda|^{-1/2}. \] (14)

Thus \( \chi(\lambda) = 0 \) for all \( \lambda \). Hence, \( H(\lambda) \equiv 0 \).

From (13), the equality \( \int_0^{a_1} [q(t) - \bar{q}(t)] \varphi(t,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}(t,\lambda) dt = 0 \) is valid on the whole \( \lambda \)-plane. On the other hand, the following representation holds on \([0,a_1]\)

\[ \varphi(t,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}(t,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda t} + \int_0^t V(t,\tau) \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda \tau} d\tau \right], \]

where \( V(t,x) \) is a continuous function which does not depend on \( \lambda \). This is used to get

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{a_1} [q(t) - \bar{q}(t)] \left( 1 + \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda t} \right) dt + \int_0^{a_1} [q(t) - \bar{q}(t)] \left[ \int_0^t V(t,\tau) \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda \tau} d\tau \right] dt = 0. \] (15)

Taking into account (15) we conclude that

\[ \int_0^{a_1} \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda t} \left[ q(t) - \bar{q}(t) + \int_0^t [q(\tau) - \bar{q}(\tau)] V(t,\tau) d\tau \right] dt = 0 \] (16)

Therefore, it follows from the completeness of the functions \( \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda t} \) in Lemma 2 that

\[ q(t) - \bar{q}(t) + \int_0^{a_1} [q(\tau) - \bar{q}(\tau)] V(t,\tau) d\tau = 0, \quad t \in [0,a_1) \] (17)

Since the equation (17) is a homogenous Volterra integral equation, it has only trivial solution. Thus \( q(t) = \bar{q}(t) \) on \([0,a_1]\). To prove that \( q(t) = \bar{q}(t) \) on \([a_2,l]\), we will consider the supplementary problem \( L_1 \):

\[ -y^{\Delta\nabla} + q_1(t)y^\rho = \lambda y^\rho, \quad t \in T, \]
\[ y^{\nabla}(0) - Hy(0) = y^{\nabla}(l) + hy(l) = 0, \]

where \( q_1(t) = q(l - t) \). By using chain rule in (10), we have \( \varphi(t,\lambda) = \varphi(l - t,\lambda) \) satisfies the equation \( -\varphi^{\Delta\nabla} + q_1(t)\varphi^\rho = \lambda\varphi^\rho \) and the initial conditions \( \varphi(1,\lambda) = 1, \varphi^{\nabla}(t,\lambda) = -h \). Furthermore, the assumption \( \frac{\varphi^{\nabla}(a_2,\lambda)}{\varphi_1(a_2,\lambda)} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}^{\nabla}(a_2,\lambda)}{\tilde{\varphi}_1(a_2,\lambda)} \) holds.

If we repeat the above arguments then we replace equation (13) by

\[ \left[ \varphi(t,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}(t,\lambda) - \varphi_1(t,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(t,\lambda) \right]^{\nabla} = [q_1(t) - \bar{q_1}(t)] \varphi^\rho(t,\lambda)\tilde{\varphi}^\rho(t,\lambda). \] (18)
By integrating (in the sense of $\nabla$-integral) both sides of this equality on $[0,a_2]$, we obtain
\[
\left[\varphi_1(t,\lambda)\varphi_1^\nabla(t,\lambda) - \varphi_1^\nabla(t,\lambda)\varphi_1(t,\lambda)\right]_{0}^{a_2} = \int_{0}^{a_2} [q_1(t) - \tilde{q}_1(t)] \varphi_1(t,\lambda)\varphi_1(t,\lambda)\nabla t
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{a_1} [q_1(t) - \tilde{q}_1(t)] \varphi_1(t,\lambda)\varphi_1(t,\lambda)dt + \int_{a_1}^{a_2} [q_1(t) - \tilde{q}_1(t)] \varphi_1(t,\lambda)\varphi_1(t,\lambda)\nabla t
\]
Since $q(a_1) = \tilde{q}(a_1)$, then $q_1(a_2) = \tilde{q}_1(a_2)$ and
\[
\int_{a_1}^{a_2} [q_1(t) - \tilde{q}_1(t)] \varphi_1(t,\lambda)\varphi_1(t,\lambda)\nabla t = [q_1(a_2) - \tilde{q}_1(a_2)] \varphi_1(a_2,\lambda)\varphi_1(a_2,\lambda) (a_2 - a_1) = 0.
\]
Therefore
\[
(19) \quad \varphi_1^\nabla(a_2,\lambda)\varphi_1(a_2,\lambda) - \varphi_1(a_2,\lambda)\varphi_1^\nabla(a_2,\lambda) = \int_{0}^{a_1} [q_1(t) - \tilde{q}_1(t)] \varphi_1(t,\lambda)\varphi_1(t,\lambda)dt.
\]
Let
\[
(20) \quad K(\lambda) := \int_{0}^{a_1} [q_1(t) - \tilde{q}_1(t)] \varphi_1(t,\lambda)\varphi_1(t,\lambda)dt.
\]
It is obvious that $K(\lambda_n) = 0$ for all $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ and so $\omega(\lambda) := \frac{K(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$ is entire on $\Lambda$. On the other hand, from asymptotics $\varphi_1^\nabla(a_2,\lambda)$ and $\varphi_1(a_2,\lambda)$, $K(\lambda) = O(\lambda \exp 2 |\tau| a_1)$ and $|\Delta(\lambda)| \geq C_\gamma |\lambda|^{3/2} \exp 2 |\tau| a_1$ for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$. Thus
\[
(21) \quad |\omega(\lambda)| \leq C |\lambda|^{-1/2}.
\]
From Liouville’s Theorem $\omega(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda$. Hence, $K(\lambda) \equiv 0$.

By integrating again both sides of the equality (18) on $[0,a_1]$, we get
\[
(22) \quad \varphi_1'(a_1,\lambda)\varphi_1(a_1,\lambda) = \varphi_1(a_1,\lambda)\varphi_1'(a_1,\lambda)
\]
Put $\psi(t,\lambda) := \varphi_1(a_1 - t,\lambda)$. It is clear that $\psi(t,\lambda)$ is the solution of the following initial value problem
\[
-y'' + q_1(a_1 - t)y = \lambda y, \ t \in (0,a_1)
\]
\[
y(a_1) = 1, \ y'(a_1) = -H
\]
It follows from (22) that
\[
(23) \quad \psi'(0,\lambda)\psi(0,\lambda) = \psi(0,\lambda)\psi'(0,\lambda).
\]
Taking into account Theorem 1.4.7. in [17] it is concluded that $q_1(t) = \tilde{q}_1(t)$ on $[0,a_1]$, that is $q(t) = \tilde{q}(t)$ on $[0,a_2]$. This completes the proof. $\square$
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