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Abstract. In this paper, we extend the Goldman-Millson Theorem for $L_\infty$ algebras. We consider $L$ and $\tilde{L}$, two $L_\infty$ algebras endowed with descending, bounded above and complete filtrations compatible with the $L_\infty$ structures and $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ an $\infty$-morphism respecting the filtrations. We prove that in the setting of the linear part of $U$, say $\psi$, being a quasi-isomorphism on the $r$-1st page of the spectral sequences and $H^i((\mathfrak{F}_1 L)/\mathfrak{F}_q L)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $q$ every power of 2 smaller than $r$ and $q = r$ this induces a weak homotopy equivalence of the simplicial sets $\mathcal{MC}_\bullet(L)$ and $\mathcal{MC}_\bullet(\tilde{L})$.
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1. Introduction

The Goldman-Millson Theorem was first introduced in [5], where it was formulated in the context of differential graded Lie algebras. Later, the foundational paper [4] by Getzler and the subsequent paper [2] by Dolgushev and Rogers generalised the Goldman-Millson Theorem to $L_\infty$ algebras. They showed that for $L$ and $\tilde{L}$, two $L_\infty$ algebras equipped with descending, bounded above and complete filtrations compatible with the $L_\infty$ structures and $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ an $\infty$-morphism compatible with the filtrations, there is the following implication: If the linear term $\psi$ of $U$ gives a quasi-isomorphism $\psi|_{\mathfrak{F}_n L} : \mathfrak{F}_n L \to \mathfrak{F}_n \tilde{L}$ for every $n \geq 1$, then $U$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{MC}_\bullet(U) : \mathcal{MC}_\bullet(L) \to \mathcal{MC}_\bullet(\tilde{L})$. In this paper, we prove an analogue of this Statement with a weaker quasi-isomorphism
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condition for the linear part of $U$, say $ψ$. Instead of demanding $ψ\mid_{F_n}$ to be a quasi-isomorphism for every $n$, we merely need $ψ$ (no restriction) to be a quasi-isomorphism on the r-1st page of the spectral sequences induced by the filtered complexes $(L, d_L)$ and $(\tilde{L}, d_{\tilde{L}})$ for some arbitrary $r$.

At the cost of being able to weaken the quasi-isomorphism condition, we have to account for the additional requirement of vanishing co-homologies $H^i((\mathcal{F}_1 L)/((\mathcal{F}_q L)) = 0 = H^i((\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{L})/((\mathcal{F}_q \tilde{L}))$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $q$ every power of 2 smaller than $r$ and $q = r$.

The main result of this paper is:

**Theorem 1.1.**

Let $L$ and $\tilde{L}$ be two $L_\infty$ algebras equipped with descending, bounded above $L = \mathcal{F}_1 L \supset \mathcal{F}_2 L \supset \mathcal{F}_3 L \supset \ldots$ and complete filtrations compatible with the $L_\infty$ structures. Let $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ be an $\infty$-morphism of $L_\infty$ algebras compatible with the filtrations and has its linear part, say $ψ$, being a quasi-isomorphism on the r-1st page of the spectral sequences induced by the filtered complexes $(L, d_L)$ and $(\tilde{L}, d_{\tilde{L}})$ for some arbitrary $r$.

Moreover, let us assume $H^i((\mathcal{F}_1 L)/((\mathcal{F}_q L)) = 0 = H^i((\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{L})/((\mathcal{F}_q \tilde{L}))$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $q$ every power of 2 smaller than $r$ and $q = r$.

Then, $U$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets

\[ \text{MC}_\bullet(U) : \text{MC}_\bullet(L) \to \text{MC}_\bullet(\tilde{L}). \]

This Theorem is a direct consequence of the following two.

**Theorem 1.2.**

Let $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ be an $\infty$-morphism satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1. Then, $\text{MC}_\bullet(U)$ induces a bijection amongst the connected components

\[ \pi_0(\text{MC}_\bullet(L)) \cong \pi_0(\text{MC}_\bullet(\tilde{L})). \]

**Theorem 1.3.**

Let $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ be an $\infty$-morphism satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1, except $H^i((\mathcal{F}_1 L)/((\mathcal{F}_q L)) = 0$, which no longer has to be imposed.

Then, for every Maurer-Cartan element $τ \in \text{MC}(L)$, $\text{MC}_\bullet(U)$ induces group isomorphisms of higher ($n \geq 1$) homotopy groups

\[ \pi_n(\text{MC}_\bullet(L), τ) \cong \pi_n(\text{MC}_\bullet(\tilde{L}), U_\bullet(τ)). \]

To facilitate the notation, we prove the Theorems in the setting of shifted $L_\infty$ algebras. Nevertheless, due to the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, they are still applicable to $L_\infty$ algebras.

In Section 3, we introduce some basic notation. Theorem 1.2 constitutes the main part of this paper, so we dedicate Sections 4 and 5 to its preparation and proof. We deal with higher homotopy groups in Section 6.
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3. Preliminaries

Following [2], we work in the category of shifted $L_\infty$ algebras, denoted by $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ (cf. [3]): In short, an $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ structure on a co-chain complex $V$ can be thought as an $L_\infty$ structure on the suspension $sV$. We will extensively borrow the notation from the two aforementioned papers.

In the category of $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebras, the Maurer-Cartan elements are defined by

$$\text{MC}(L) := \{ \alpha \in L^0 | \text{curv}(\alpha) = 0 \},$$

where curv is given by

$$\text{curv}(\alpha) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \{\alpha, \ldots, \alpha\}_{k}.$$

An advantage of working in $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ is the simpler form of the Maurer-Cartan equation (compare equation (3.1) with e.g. equation (1.7) from [2]).

In the Theorems, we work with $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebras endowed with descending, bounded above and complete filtrations compatible with the $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ structures. This means for $L$ being of such type it must satisfy

$$L = \lim_{\leftarrow} L/F_p L$$

and the degree of filtration must add under $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ brackets.

Furthermore, we deal with $\infty$-morphisms compatible with the filtrations. Since an $\infty$-morphism $U : L \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ is uniquely determined by its composition with the projection

$$U' := \pi_\tilde{L} \circ U : S^+(L) \rightarrow \tilde{L},$$

it is sufficient to work with $U'$ exclusively.

In this manner, compatibility means

$$U'(\mathcal{F}_{i_1}L \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i_2}L \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i_p}L) \subset \mathcal{F}_{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_p}L,$$

i.e. the degree of filtration adds in the argument of $U'$.

We denote the restriction of $U'$ to $L$ by

$$\psi := U'|_L : L \rightarrow \tilde{L}$$

and due to the multilinearity of $U$ (as $\infty$-morphism) it is reasonable to call this map the linear term of $U$.

Eventually, we define the map

$$U_* : L^0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}^0$$

$$\alpha \mapsto U_*(\alpha) := \lim_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} U''(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha),$$

On several occasions, we will come across the notions of twisted $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebras and twisted morphisms.

Let $L$ be a filtered $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebra with the $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ brackets denoted by $\{\ldots\}$ and let $\tau \in \text{MC}(L)$ be a Maurer-Cartan element.

Then, there is a filtered $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebra $L^\tau$, given by the underlying vector space $L$ and the brackets

$$\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}^\tau := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \{\tau, \ldots, \tau, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}_{k+n}.$$
The filtration on $L^\tau$ is the one inherited from $L$ as they both have the same underlying filtered and graded vector space.

In an analogous manner, an $\infty$-morphism $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ compatible with the filtrations induces an $\infty$-morphism
\[(3.10) \quad U^\tau : L^\tau \to \tilde{L}^U(\tau)\]
of the corresponding twisted $\mathcal{S}L_{\infty}$ algebras which is also compatible with the filtrations.

By the notion of degree and from $d_L$ being a differential, it is clear that $(L, d_L)$ and $(\tilde{L}, d_{\tilde{L}})$ can also be considered as filtered complexes. In this sense, $\psi : L \to \tilde{L}$ can be seen as a chain map.

Furthermore,
\[
\text{cone}(\psi) := (L \oplus \tilde{L}[-1], d),
\]
with the differential $d$ given by
\[
d : L \oplus \tilde{L}[-1] \to L \oplus \tilde{L}[-1] \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto d_L(\alpha) + (-d_{\tilde{L}}(\beta) + \psi(\alpha))
\]
defines a chain complex on $L \oplus \tilde{L}[-1]$.

Following the same line as [6], we consider the spectral sequences on $(L, d_L)$, $(\tilde{L}, d_{\tilde{L}})$ and $(L \oplus \tilde{L}[-1], d)$, whereby from now on we denote the latter by cone$(\psi)$.

One can show that $\psi$ being a quasi-isomorphism on the r-1st page of the spectral sequences is equivalent to $E_r(\text{cone}(\psi)) = 0$.

When neglecting degrees in our notation (but not neglecting filtration degrees), we find the $n$th page of the spectral sequence of cone$(\psi)$ to be
\[
E^p_n(\text{cone}(\psi)) = \{ u \in \mathfrak{F}_p(L \oplus \tilde{L}) | d(u) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+n}(L \oplus \tilde{L}) \} / \{ \mathfrak{F}_{p+1}(L \oplus \tilde{L}) + \{ d(w) | w \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-n+1}(L \oplus \tilde{L}) \text{ and } d(w) \in \mathfrak{F}_p(L \oplus \tilde{L}) \} \}.
\]

Hence, $E_r(\text{cone}(\psi)) = 0$ translates to
\[
\{ \{ (a, b) \in \mathfrak{F}_p(L \oplus \tilde{L}) | d(a, b) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+r}(L \oplus \tilde{L}) \} - \{ d(x, y) | (x, y) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-r+1}(L \oplus \tilde{L}) \text{ and } d(x, y) \in \mathfrak{F}_p(L \oplus \tilde{L}) \} \} \subset \mathfrak{F}_{p+r}(L \oplus \tilde{L}) \forall p.
\]

Unravelling the definitions of both cone$(\psi)$ and its differential results in "$\psi$ is a quasi-isomorphism on the r-1st spectral page" being equivalent to the following Statement to be valid for all $p$:

If $a \in \mathfrak{F}_pL$ and $b \in \mathfrak{F}_p\tilde{L}$ satisfy:
\[(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} d_L(a) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+r}L \\ \psi(a) - d_{\tilde{L}}(b) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+r}L \end{cases}
\]
then $\exists x \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-r+1}L$, $\exists y \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-r+1}\tilde{L}$ such that:
\[(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} d_L(x) \in \mathfrak{F}_pL \\ \psi(x) - d_{\tilde{L}}(y) \in \mathfrak{F}_p\tilde{L} \\ a - d_L(x) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1}L \\ b - \psi(x) + d_{\tilde{L}}(y) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1}\tilde{L} \end{cases}
\]

We use the convention of co-homology. That is by $H^k$ we denote the $k$th cohomology group and the differentials are supposed to raise the degrees by one.

We continue by introducing the Maurer-Cartan functor
\[(3.13) \quad \mathcal{MC}_* : \{ \text{complete } \mathcal{S}L_{\infty}\text{-algebras} \} \to \text{sSet}.
\]
\( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) denotes the simplicial set originating from

\[
(3.14) \quad \mathfrak{MC}_n(L) := \text{MC}(L \otimes \Omega_n), \quad \text{with} \quad L \otimes \Omega_n := \lim_{\to p} (L \otimes \Omega)/\langle \partial_p L \otimes \Omega_n \rangle,
\]

where elements in \( \mathfrak{MC}_n(L) \) will be called n-cells. In particular, 1-cells of the form \( \beta = \beta_0(t) + d \tau_1 \), i.e. no time dependence in \( \beta_1 \), are said to be rectified.

An \( \infty \)-morphism \( U : L \to \hat{L} \) induces a collection of \( \infty \)-morphisms via

\[
(3.15) \quad U^{(n)} : L \otimes \Omega_n \to \hat{L} \otimes \Omega_n,
\]

where \( v_i \in L, \omega_i \in \Omega_n \) and \( \epsilon \) denotes the Koszul sign. The \( G L_\infty \) structures on \( L \otimes \Omega_n \) and \( \hat{L} \otimes \Omega_n \) emerge from the \( G L_\infty \) structures on \( L \) and \( \hat{L} \) in similar manners. Remarkably enough, the differential on this completion consists of the usual \( d_L \) and an additional \( d_{\Omega_n} \).

With \( U_\ast^{(n)} \) defined as in equation \( (3.8) \), we have

\[
(3.16) \quad \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(U) := U_\ast^{(n)} : \mathfrak{MC}_n(L) \to \mathfrak{MC}_n(\hat{L}),
\]

which forms a morphism of simplicial sets

\[
(3.17) \quad \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(U) : \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \to \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(\hat{L}).
\]

According to Proposition 4.1 from [3], \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) is a Kan complex. Therefore, it is sensible to introduce the concept of homotopy groups.

Let \( \tau \in \text{MC}(L) \) be a Maurer-Cartan element.

The elements of the nth homotopy group \( \pi_n(\mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L), \tau) \) are given by the equivalence classes \([a]\), where a representative \( a \) is an n-cell in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \), satisfying \( \partial_i a = \tau \) for all \( i \), when \( \tau \) is considered as a degenerate \((n - 1)\)-simplex. The notion of equivalence relation is such that \( a \equiv b \) iff there is a \((n + 1)\)-simplex \( \omega \) fulfilling \( \partial_i \omega = (\partial_i a, \ldots, \partial_{n+1} a) = (\tau, \ldots, \tau, a, b) \).

The group structure emerges by defining \( [a] \cdot [b] := [\partial_s s] \), where \( s \) is any \((n + 1)\)-simplex satisfying \( \partial s = (\tau, \ldots, \tau, a, -b) \). This definition makes sense, as from \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) being Kan, such a \((n + 1)\)-simplex exists.

From this definition it is clear that \( \text{MC}(L)/\sim \), i.e. the quotient space of Maurer-Cartan elements with gauge-equivalence induced by 1-cells in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \), and the connected components \( \pi_0(\mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L)) \) are the same.

Eventually, \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(U) : \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \to \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(\hat{L}) \) being a weak homotopy equivalence means:

1. \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(U) \) induces an isomorphism of connected components

\[
\pi_0(\mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L)) \cong \pi_0(\mathfrak{MC}_\ast(\hat{L}))
\]

in \textbf{Set}.

2. For all Maurer-Cartan elements \( \tau \in \text{MC}(L) \) and for all \( n \geq 1 \), \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(U) \) induces an isomorphism

\[
\pi_n(\mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L), \tau) \cong \pi_n(\mathfrak{MC}_\ast(\hat{L}), U_\ast(\tau))
\]

in \textbf{Grp}.

4. Preparation for Bijection on the Level of \( \pi_0 \)

Before stating the main theorem of this paper, we have to give three technical lemmata. The first one describes an interplay between 1-cells in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) and initial value problems (IVP). The second one allows, under some conditions, for a 1-cell in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) connecting two Maurer-Cartan elements to find another 1-cell in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) connecting the same Maurer-Cartan elements and have its \( dt \) term adjusted by an exact term. Eventually, the third describes how every Maurer-Cartan element is
found to be gauge-equivalent to a Maurer-Cartan element having at least a specific degree of filtration, subject to certain pre-conditions.

**Lemma 4.1** (1-Cell in \(MC_\bullet(L)\) iff solution to IVP).

Let \(L\) be an \(\mathcal{G}L_\infty\) algebra endowed with a descending, bounded above and complete filtration compatible with the \(\mathcal{G}L_\infty\) structure.

Let us assume

\[
\beta = \beta_0(t) + dt \beta_1(t)
\]

for some \(\beta_0(t), \beta_1(t)\) in \(\mathfrak{g}_1L \otimes K[t]\).

Let \(\beta_0 \in MC(L)\) be a Maurer-Cartan element.

Then \(\beta_0(t) + dt \beta_1(t)\) is a 1-cell in \(MC_\bullet(L)\) with starting point \(m_0\) iff \(\beta_0(t)\) is the (unique) solution to the IVP

\[
(4.1) \begin{cases}
\frac{\partial \beta_0(t)}{\partial t} = d^\beta_0(t)(\beta_1(t)) \\
\beta_0(0) = m_0.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof of Lemma 4.1**

In very much the same vein as in Section 6.2.3 from [7] for \(\beta\) to be a 1-cell in \(MC_\bullet(L)\), we need \(\text{curv}(\beta) = 0\) to hold.

Exploiting \(dt^2 = 0\) and the definition of \(\mathcal{G}L_\infty\) brackets, we rewrite this as

\[
\text{curv}(\beta) = (d_L + d_{\Omega_1})(\beta_0(t) + dt \beta_1(t)) + \sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{1}{m!}(\beta, \ldots, \beta)_m =
\]

\[
d_L(\beta_0(t)) + \sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{1}{m!}(\beta_0(t), \ldots, \beta_0(t)) \frac{\partial \beta_0(t)}{\partial t} dt +
\]

\[
= \text{curv}(\beta_0(t))
\]

\[
d_L(\beta_1(t)) dt + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m!}(\beta_0(t), \ldots, \beta_0(t), \beta_1(t))_{m+1} dt = 0.
\]

So, using the definition of the twisted differential (cf. equation (3.9)) \(\beta\) being a 1-cell in \(MC_\bullet(L)\) is equivalent to

\[
(4.2) \frac{\partial \beta_0(t)}{\partial t} = d^\beta_0(t)(\beta_1(t))
\]

and

\[
(4.3) \text{curv}(\beta_0(t)) = 0 \text{ for all } t.
\]

The first implication of the Statement follows directly from equation (4.2).

For the second implication, equation (4.2) is obviously satisfied, hence it remains to confirm equation (4.3).

We have

\[
(4.4) \begin{cases}
\frac{\partial (\text{curv}(\beta_0(t)))}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k!}(\beta_0(t), \ldots, \beta_0(t))_k \\
\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!}(\beta_0(t), \ldots, \beta_0(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_0(t))_{k+1} = d^\beta_0(t) \left( \frac{\partial \beta_0(t)}{\partial t} \right)_{(4.1)}
\end{cases}
\]

and \(\beta_0(0) = m_0\).

As \(m_0 \in MC(L)\) yields \(\text{curv}(m_0) = 0\), we find \(\text{curv}(\beta_0(0)) = 0\) and together with equation (4.4) this ensures equation (4.3) to hold. □
Lemma 4.2.
Let $L$ be an $\mathcal{S}_{L_{\infty}}$ algebra endowed with a descending, bounded above and complete filtration compatible with the $\mathcal{S}_{L_{\infty}}$ structure.

Let
\[ \beta = \beta_0(t) + dt \beta_1(t) \]
be a 1-cell in $\mathfrak{MC}_*(L)$ connecting the two Maurer-Cartan elements $m_0, m_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_q L$.

Let us assume both $y \in \mathfrak{F}_{p_1} L$ and $\beta_1(t) + d_L(y) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} L \otimes K[t]$ to hold.

If
\[ q \geq l + 1 \text{ and } p \geq l + 1, \]
then there exists a rectified 1-cell
\[ \gamma = \gamma_0(t) + dt \gamma_1 \]
in $\mathfrak{MC}_*(L)$ connecting $m_0$ and $m_1$ and having $\gamma_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} L$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2
We set
\[ \mu := \beta + d_L^2(y dt). \]
When denoting
\[ U := d_L^2(y dt), \]
equation (4.6) takes the form
\[ \mu = \beta + U. \]

For $\mu$ being a 1-cell in $\mathfrak{MC}_*(L)$, it must satisfy $\text{curv}(\mu) = 0$.
A short calculation proves
\[ \text{curv}(\mu) = \text{curv}(\beta + U) = (2.20) \text{ from } [3] \]
\[ \text{curv}(\beta) + d_L^2(U) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \{U, \ldots, U\}^\beta_m = 0, \]
where $\beta \in \mathfrak{MC}_*(L)$.

Unravelling the definition of the twisted differential and once more using the fact that $d^2 = 0$, we can rewrite equation (4.6) as
\[ \mu = \beta + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \{\beta_0(t), \ldots, \beta_0(t), y\}_{k+1} dt. \]

It is obvious, that $\mu|_{t=0} = \beta_0(0) = m_0$ and $\mu|_{t=1} = \beta_0(1) = m_1$, thus $\mu$ also connects $m_0$ with $m_1$.

By the means of Lemma 4.1, $\beta$ being a 1-cell in $\mathfrak{MC}_*(L)$ implies $\beta_0(t)$ to solve the IVP
\[ \frac{\partial \beta_0(t)}{\partial t} = d_L^2(\beta_1(t)), \]
\[ \beta_0(0) = m_0. \]
Integrating and using the assumptions about the degrees of filtration yields
\[
\beta_0(t) = m_0 + \int_0^t dt \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \{\beta_0(t_1), \ldots, \beta_0(t_1), \beta_1(t_1)\}_{k+1},
\]
i.e.
\[
(4.10) \quad \beta_0(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\min(q,p)} L \mathcal{O}[t].
\]
Explicitly expanding equation (4.9) and grouping terms leads to
\[
(4.11) \quad \mu = \beta_0(t) + \left( \beta_1(t) + d_L(y) + \{\beta_0(t), y\}_2 + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_{s+1} L \mathcal{O}[t]) \right) dt
\]
for some to be determined \( s \).
If we can show that \( s \geq p + 1 \), then we are done.
But we have
\[
\{\beta_0(t), y\}_2 \leq \mathfrak{F}_{(p-1)+(\min(q,p))} L \mathcal{O}[t] \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} L \mathcal{O}[t],
\]
hence \( \mu = \mu_0(t) + dt \mu_1(t) \) is a 1-cell in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) connecting \( m_0 \) with \( m_1 \) and having \( \mu_1(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} L \mathcal{O}[t] \).
By the means of Lemma B2 from [2], there exists a rectified 1-cell in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \), which connects \( m_0 \) and \( m_1 \) and also has the coefficient of its \( dt \) part in \( \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} L \).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 4.3.**
Let \( L \) be an \( \mathfrak{S}L_\infty \) algebra endowed with a descending, bounded above and complete filtration compatible with the \( \mathfrak{S}L_\infty \) structure.
Let us assume \( H^i((\mathfrak{F}_1 L)/\mathfrak{F}_q L)) = 0 \) for \( i = -1, 0 \) and \( q \) every power of 2 smaller than \( r \) and \( q = r \).
Then, for every Maurer-Cartan element \( a \in MC(L) \), there exists a Maurer-Cartan element \( a_r \in MC(L) \) which is gauge equivalent to the initial one \( a_r \sim a \) for the gauge equivalence induced by 1-cells in \( \mathfrak{MC}_\ast(L) \) and satisfies \( a_r \in \mathfrak{F}_r L \).

**Proof of Lemma 4.3.**
It is sufficient to solely require the \(-1\)st and \(0\)th co-homology groups of the specific quotient spaces to vanish. The reason for that is because the vanishing of the co-homology will be used for adjusting degree \(-1\) elements and degree 0 elements only.
From \( a_u \in \mathfrak{F}_{2u} L \) to \( a_{u+1} \in \mathfrak{F}_{2u+1} L \) for \( 2^{u+1} < r \).
Because of the Maurer-Cartan equation, it is clear that \( a_u \in \mathfrak{F}_{2u} L \) and \( a_u \in MC(L) \) lead to
\[
d_L(a_u) = -\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \{a_u, \ldots, a_u\}_m \in \mathfrak{F}_{2u+1} L.
\]
Due to the assumption, \( H^0((\mathfrak{F}_1 L)/\mathfrak{F}_{2u+1} L)) = 0 \) holds and we find
\[
(4.12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\exists q_{u+1} \in \mathfrak{F}_1 L \text{ s.t.} \\
a_u - d_L(q_{u+1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2u+1} L.
\end{array} \right.
\]
As we know \( a_u \in \mathfrak{F}_{2u} L \), we may deduce
\[
d_L(q_{u+1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2u} L.
\]
Because, having its starting point to \( a_u \) and demanding

\[
\sigma_1^{(u+1)} := -(q_{u+1} - d_L(r_{u+1})).
\]

By means of Lemma 4.3, this describes a 1-cell in \( \mathfrak{MC}_*(L) \) if \( \sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t) \) is said to be the solution to the IVP

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{d\sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t)}{dt} = d_L^{(u+1)}(t)(\sigma_1^{(u+1)}) \\
\sigma_0^{(u+1)}(0) = a_u.
\end{cases}
\]

We set \( a_{u+1} \) to be the endpoint of this so-constructed 1-cell, i.e.

\[
a_{u+1} := \sigma^{(u+1)}|_{t=1} = \sigma_0^{(u+1)}(1).
\]

Exploiting equation (4.13) and integrating equation (4.15) results in

\[
\sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t) = a_u + \int_0^t dt \left( d_L^{(u+1)}(t)(\sigma_1^{(u+1)}) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m!} \{ \sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t), \ldots, \sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t), -(q_{u+1} - d_L(r_{u+1})) \}_m \right) \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^u} L \otimes \mathbb{K}[t],
\]

i.e.

\[
\sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^u} L \otimes \mathbb{K}[t].
\]

This, in turn, leads to the following estimate of the degree of filtration of \( a_{u+1} \):

\[
a_{u+1} := \sigma_0^{(u+1)}(1) = a_u + \int_0^1 dt \left( d_L^{(u+1)}(t)(\sigma_1^{(u+1)}) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m!} \{ \sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t), \ldots, \sigma_0^{(u+1)}(t), -(q_{u+1} - d_L(r_{u+1})) \}_m \right) \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^{u+1}} L \otimes \mathbb{K}[t] + O(\mathfrak{g}_{2^{u+1}} L) \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^{u+1}} L.
\]

We continue with this procedure until we eventually arrive at the situation in which we find a Maurer-Cartan element \( a_s \sim \ldots \sim a \) which has \( a_s \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^s} L \) for some \( s \) with \( 2^s \leq r < 2^{s+1} \).

From \( a_s \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^s} L \) to \( a_r \in \mathfrak{g}_r L \) for \( 2^s < r < 2^{s+1} \):

With some minor adjustments the same steps can be used to construct an \( a_r \in \mathfrak{g}_r L \) having \( a_r \sim a_s \) from such an \( a_s \).

Because, \( a_s \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^s} L \) is a Maurer-Cartan element,

\[
d_L(a_s) = -\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{1}{m!} \{ a_s, \ldots, a_s \}_m \in \mathfrak{g}_{2^{s+1}} L \subset \mathfrak{g}_r L
\]
Moreover, we set
\[ a \quad (4.22) \]
leads to (cf. equation (4.17))
\[ \sigma \quad (4.20) \]
and find its degree of filtration to be
\[ a \quad (4.21) \]
By using \( H^{-1}((\mathcal{S}_1 L)/\mathcal{S}_2 L)) = 0 \), we obtain
\[ a \quad (4.20) \]
Constructing a rectified 1-cell \( \sigma^{(s)} = \sigma^{(s+1)}_0(t) + dt \sigma^{(s+1)}_1 \) in \( \mathfrak{MC}_*(L) \) in much the same way as before by setting its starting point to \( a_s \) and demanding
\[ \sigma \quad (4.21) \]
leads to (cf. equation (4.17))
\[ \sigma \quad (4.22) \]
Moreover, we set \( a_r \) to be the endpoint of this 1-cell, i.e.
\[ a \quad (4.23) \]
and find its degree of filtration to be
\[ a \quad (4.24) \]

\[ \mathbf{Theorem 5.1} \] (Bijection of the connected components).
Let \( L \) and \( \bar{L} \) be two \( \mathfrak{S}_L \) algebras equipped with descending, bounded above and complete filtrations compatible with the \( \mathfrak{S}_L \) algebra structures.
Let \( U : L \to \bar{L} \) be an \( \infty \)-morphism of \( \mathfrak{S}_L \) algebras compatible with the filtrations.
Let \( \psi \) be the linear term of \( U \) as in equation (3.7) and let \( U_* \) be defined as in equation (3.3).
Let \( \psi \) be a quasi-isomorphism on the \( r \)-1st page of the spectral sequences of the filtered complexes \((L, d_L) \) and \((\bar{L}, d_{\bar{L}})\).
Let us assume \( H^i((\mathcal{S}_1 L)/\mathcal{S}_2 L)) = 0 = H^i((\mathcal{S}_1 \bar{L})/(\mathcal{S}_q \bar{L})) \) for \( i = -1, 0 \) and \( q \) every power of \( 2 \) smaller than \( r \) and \( q = r \).
Then,
\[
U_* : MC(L) / \sim \rightarrow MC(\tilde{L}) / \sim
\]
is a bijection, where \(\sim\) denotes gauge equivalence induced by 1-cells in \(MC_\bullet(L)\) and \(MC_\bullet(\tilde{L})\), respectively.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1**

We show surjectivity and injectivity separately.

### 5.1. Surjectivity.

We prove by induction on \(p\) the following statement, from which surjectivity directly follows.

**Statement:**

Let \(b \in MC(\tilde{L})\) be arbitrary. Then there exists a sequence \(\{a_p\}_{p \geq r+1}\) of degree 0 elements in \(L\), a sequence \(\{b_p\}_{p \geq r+1}\) of Maurer-Cartan elements in \(MC(\tilde{L})\) and a sequence \(\{\gamma(p)\}_{p \geq r+1}\) of rectified 1-cells in \(MC_\bullet(\tilde{L})\), such that

1. \(b_{r+1} \sim b\),
2. \(a_p \in \mathfrak{g}_r L\) and \(a_p - a_{p-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{p-r} L\).
3. \(\text{curv}(a_p) \in \mathfrak{g}_p L\).
4. \(b_p \in \mathfrak{h}_r \tilde{L}\) and \(\gamma(p) = \gamma_0(p)(t) + dt\gamma_1(p)\) satisfies \(\gamma_1(0) = b_{p-1}\) and \(\gamma_1(1) = b_p\) (thus \(b_p \sim b_{p-1}\)), as well as \(\gamma_0(p) \in \mathfrak{g}_{p-r+1} \tilde{L}\).
5. \(U_*(a_p) = b_p \mod \mathfrak{g}_p L\).

**Proof of Statement:**

#### 5.1.1. Base of Induction:

**Constructing \(a_{r+1}^\ast\):**

Because of Lemma 4.3, there exists a Maurer-Cartan element \(b_r \in MC(\tilde{L})\) of filtration degree \(b_r \in \mathfrak{g}_r L\), which is gauge equivalent to \(b\).

Applying the Maurer-Cartan equation on it shows

\[
d_L(b_r) = -\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\left\{b_r, \ldots, b_r\right\}_m \in \mathfrak{g}_{r+1} \tilde{L}.
\]

But then equation (5.2) makes sure that all the requirements of equation (3.11), in the case of \(p = r\), \(b_r\) in the role of \(b\) and \(a\) set to zero, are satisfied.

Thus, equation (3.12) yields:

\[
\exists a \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L \quad \exists y \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \tilde{L}
\]

s.t.

\[
d_L(a) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L
\]

\[
\psi(a) - d_L(y) \in \mathfrak{g}_r \tilde{L}
\]

and

\[
d_L(a) \in \mathfrak{g}_{r+1} L
\]

\[
b_r - \psi(a) + d_L(y) \in \mathfrak{g}_{r+1} \tilde{L}.
\]

Because of \(H^0((\mathfrak{g}_1 L)/(\mathfrak{g}_r L)) = 0\) and equation (5.4), we recognise \(\exists \tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L\) s.t.

\[
a - d_L(\tilde{a}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L,
\]

\[
\text{as required.}
\]
which, in turn, allows us to set
\[ a_{r+1} := a - d_L(\bar{a}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L. \]
This so-constructed \( a_{r+1} \) satisfies
\[ \text{curv}(a_{r+1}) = d_L(a_{r+1}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \{ a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_{r+1} \}_m \in \mathfrak{g}_{r+1} L. \]

Constructing \( b_{r+1} \):
Recalling the fact that \( \psi \) is linear and commutes with the differential leads to
\[ \left\{ \begin{align*}
\psi(a_{r+1}) &= \psi(a) - d_L(\psi(\bar{a})) = b_r + d_L(y) - d_L(\psi(\bar{a})) + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}_{r+1} L) \\
\end{align*} \right. \]
where we set
\[ \hat{y} := y - \psi(\bar{a}). \]
On the other hand, compatibility with filtrations shows
\[ d_L(\hat{y}) = \psi(a_{r+1}) - b_r + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}_{r+1} L) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L, \]
and so making use of \( H^{-1}((\mathfrak{g}_1 L)/(\mathfrak{g}_1 L)) = 0 \) indicates that
\[ \exists \hat{y} \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L \text{ s.t.} \]
\[ \hat{y} - d_L(\hat{y}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L. \]

We then set
\[ y_{\text{new}} := \hat{y} - d_L(\hat{y}) \]
and realise, that equation (5.10) still holds for \( a \) replaced by \( a_{r+1} \) and \( y \) changed to \( y_{\text{new}} \), since
\[ \left\{ b_r - \psi(a_{r+1}) + d_L(y_{\text{new}}) = \right. \]
\[ \left. b_r - \left( b_r + d_L(\hat{y}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}_{r+1} L) \right) + d_L(\hat{y}) = 0 \mod \mathfrak{g}_{r+1} L. \right. \]
Next, we construct a rectified 1-cell \( \gamma = \gamma_0(t) + dt \gamma_1 \) in \( \mathfrak{M}_*(L) \) in the usual manner by setting its starting point to \( b_r \) and demanding
\[ \gamma_1 := y_{\text{new}}. \]
Due to Lemma 4.1, \( \gamma_0(t) \) is found to be
\[ \gamma_0(t) = b_r + \int_0^t dt_1 \left( d_L(y_{\text{new}}) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \{ \gamma_0(t_1), \ldots, \gamma_0(t_1) \} \right) \]
i.e.
\[ \gamma_0(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]. \]
We set \( b_{r+1} \) to be the endpoint of this 1-cell, i.e.
\[ b_{r+1} := \gamma|_{t=1} = \gamma_0(1). \]
Thus, it satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
  b_r + \int_0^1 dt \left( d_L(y_{new}) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \{ \gamma_0(t), \ldots, \gamma_0(t) \} \right)_{m+1} = \\
  \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^r L \otimes \mathbb{K}[t] \\
  b_{r+1} := \gamma_0(1) = \\
  (5.16)
\end{align*}
\]
so particularly
\[
(5.17) \quad b_{r+1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^r L
\]
holds.
Proving \( U_\star(a_{r+1}) = b_{r+1} \mod \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{r+1} L \):
Due to the definition of \( U_\star \) (cf. equation (3.8)) and \( a_{r+1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_r L \), it is obvious that
\[
(5.18) \quad U_\star(a_{r+1}) = \psi(a_{r+1}) + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{r+1} L).
\]
Hence, collecting equations (5.12), (5.16) and (5.18) eventually results in
\[
U_\star(a_{r+1}) - b_{r+1} = \psi(a_{r+1}) - (b_r + d_L(y_{new})) + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{r+1} L),
\]
\[
\in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{r+1} L
\]
i.e.
\[
(5.19) \quad U_\star(a_{r+1}) = b_{r+1} \mod \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{r+1} L.
\]
5.1.2. Induction Step:
Preparation:
We start by setting
\[
\tilde{b} := U_\star(a_p) - b_p \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_p L,
\]
which holds due to the assumption.
We observe that
\[
d_L(curv(a_p)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \{ a_p, \ldots, a_p, curv(a_p) \}_{k+1}^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{p+r} L} = 0,
\]
i.e.
\[
(2.17) \quad d_L(curv(a_p)) = 0 \mod \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{p+r} L
\]
holds.
We continue by computing
\[
\psi(\text{curv}(a_p)) = \text{curv}(U_\ast(a_p)) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} U' \left( a_p, \ldots, a_p, \text{curv}(a_p) \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} U' \left( a_p, \ldots, a_p, \text{curv}(a_p) \right) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+1}^{p+1} L
\]
(2.18) from \[3\]
\[
\text{curv}(U_\ast(a_p)) + O(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+r} L) = \text{curv}(\tilde{b} + b_p) + O(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+r} L) = 0
\]
(2.20) from \[4\]
\[
\text{curv}(b_p) + d_L^p(\tilde{b}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left\{ b_p, \ldots, b_p, \tilde{b} \right\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+r} L
\]
\[
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ b_p, \ldots, b_p, \tilde{b} \right\} k \right] \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+1} L
\]
\[
\in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+1} L \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+1} L
\]
i.e.
\[
(5.22)
\]
\[
\psi(\text{curv}(a_p)) - d_L^p(\tilde{b}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+r} L.
\]

Due to equations (5.21) and (5.22), all the requirements of equation (3.11), in the setting of \( p = p \), \( \text{curv}(a_p) \) playing the role of \( a \) and \( \tilde{b} \) playing the role of \( b \), are satisfied.

Thus, equation (3.12) implies
\[
(5.23)
\]
\[
\exists \tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p-r+1} L \quad \exists y \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p-r+1} L
\]
s.t.
\[
(5.24)
\]
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d_L(\tilde{a}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p} L \\ \psi(\tilde{a}) - d_L(y) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p} L \end{array} \right.
\]
and
\[
(5.25)
\]
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{curv}(a_p) - d_L(\tilde{a}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+1} L \\ \tilde{b} - \psi(\tilde{a}) + d_L(y) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p+1} L. \end{array} \right.
\]

We continue by distinguishing the two cases \( r \leq p < 2r - 1 \) and \( p \geq 2r - 1 \).

**Case 1:** \( p \geq 2r - 1 \):

**Constructing \( a_{p+1} \):**

We set
\[
(5.26)
\]
\[
a_{p+1} = a_p - \tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{p} L,
\]
by the virtue of equation (5.23) and \( p \geq 2r - 1 \).
Further, we compute the degree of filtration of \( \text{curv}(a_{p+1}) \) via

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{curv}(a_{p+1}) &= \text{curv}(a_{p - \tilde{a}}) \quad (2.20) \text{ from [3]} \\
\text{curv}(a_{p}) + d_{L}^{p}(-\tilde{a}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \{-\tilde{a}, \ldots, -\tilde{a}\}_{m}^{a_{p}} =
\end{align*}
\]

(5.27)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{curv}(a_{p}) + d_{L}(-\tilde{a}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ a_{p}, \ldots, a_{p}, \ldots, -\tilde{a} \right\}_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{p+1}L \\
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \{ a_{p}, \ldots, a_{p}, -\tilde{a}, \ldots, -\tilde{a} \}_{m+k} \in \mathfrak{g}_{p+1}L \\
\end{align*}
\]

Constructing \( b_{p+1}:\)

Next, we define a rectified 1-cell

(5.28)

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{(p+1)} &= (a_{0})^{(p+1)}(t) + dt \gamma_{1}^{(p+1)} \in \mathcal{MC}_{\ast}(\mathcal{L}) \text{ by setting}
\end{align*}
\]

its starting point to \( b_{p} \) and demanding

Integrating the corresponding IVP (cf. Lemma 4.1) and analysing its degree of filtration yields

(5.29)

We set \( b_{p+1} \) to be the endpoint, i.e.

(5.30)

and compute

(5.31)

Proving \( U_{\ast}(a_{p+1}) = b_{p+1} \mod \mathfrak{g}_{p+1}\mathcal{L}:\)

The difference between \( U_{\ast}(a_{p+1}) \) and \( b_{p+1} \) is found to be

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{\ast}(a_{p+1}) - b_{p+1} &= -\psi(\tilde{a}) + U_{\ast}(a_{p}) - b_{p} + d_{L}(y) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} U''(a_{p} - \tilde{a}, \ldots, a_{p} - \tilde{a}) + \psi(a_{p}) - U_{\ast}(a_{p}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{p+1}L.
\end{align*}
\]
By unravelling the definition of $U_*$ and repeated use of the multilineality of $U'$, we recognise the bracket lying in $\mathfrak{g}_{p+1}\tilde{L}$.

Thus, we may deduce

(5.32) \[ U_*(a_{p+1}) = b_{p+1} \mod \mathfrak{g}_{p+1}\tilde{L} \]

to hold.

**Case 2: $r + 1 \leq p < 2r - 1$:**

Constructing $a_{p+1}$:

Equation (5.24) together with $H^0((\mathfrak{g}_1\tilde{L})/(\mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L})) = 0$ implies

(5.33) \[
\begin{cases}
\exists \hat{a} \in \mathfrak{g}_1\tilde{L} \text{ s.t.} \\
\tilde{a} - d_L(\hat{a}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L}.
\end{cases}
\]

We then set

(5.34) \[ a_{p+1} := a_p - (\tilde{a} - d_L(\hat{a})) \in \mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L}. \]

Similar calculations as in equation (5.27) prove

(5.35) \[ \text{curv}(a_{p+1}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{p+1}\tilde{L} \]

to hold.

Constructing $b_{p+1}$:

It is clear from equations (5.24) and (5.33) that

(5.36) \[ d_L(y - \psi(\hat{a})) \in \mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L}. \]

Setting

(5.37) \[ \hat{y} := y - \psi(\hat{a}) \]

and using $H^{-1}((\mathfrak{g}_1\tilde{L})/(\mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L})) = 0$ leads to

(5.38) \[ \exists \hat{q} \in \mathfrak{g}_1\tilde{L} \text{ s.t.} \\
\hat{y} - d_L(\hat{q}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L}. \]

Let $\gamma^{(p+1)}$ be the rectified 1-cell $\gamma^{(p+1)} = \gamma_0^{(p+1)}(t) + dt$ determined by the starting point $b_p$ and the condition

(5.39) \[ \gamma_1^{(p+1)} := - (\hat{y} - d_L(\hat{q})). \]

Once more, we construct $b_{p+1}$ as the endpoint of this 1-cell, i.e.

(5.40) \[ b_{p+1} := \gamma_0^{(p+1)}(1). \]

According to Lemma 4.1, $\gamma_0^{(p+1)}(t)$ is the solution of the corresponding IVP. Integration results in

(5.41) \[ \gamma_0^{(p+1)}(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]. \]

This can be used for estimating the degree of filtration in the expression of $b_{p+1}$ and so we get

(5.42) \[ b_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{g}_r\tilde{L}. \]
Proving $U_\ast(a_{p+1}) = b_{p+1} \mod \mathfrak{F}_{p+1}\tilde{L}$:

Equation (5.41) together with the definitions of $a_{p+1}$ and $U_\ast$ allows us to calculate

$$U_\ast(a_{p+1}) - b_{p+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} U'_m (a_p - (\tilde{a} - d_L(\tilde{a})), \ldots, a_p - (\tilde{a} - d_L(\tilde{a}))) + \psi(a_p) - U_\ast(a_p) \right) + O(\mathfrak{F}_{2r}\tilde{L}).$$

A closer investigation reveals the bracket to lie in $\mathfrak{F}_{p+1}\tilde{L}$ and so we get

$$U_\ast(a_{p+1}) = b_{p+1} \mod \mathfrak{F}_{p+1}\tilde{L}.$$  

5.2. Injectivity.

By induction on $p$, we assert the following Statement, from which injectivity follows.

Statement:

Let $a \in \MC(L)$ be an arbitrary Maurer-Cartan element which satisfies $U_\ast(a) \sim 0$. Then there exist a sequence $\{a_p\}_{p \geq r}$ of Maurer-Cartan elements in $\MC(L)$ and sequences $\{\gamma^{(p)}\}_{p \geq r}$ and $\{\xi^{(p)}\}_{p \geq r}$ of rectified 1-cells in $\MC_\ast(L)$ and $\MC_\ast(L)$, respectively, such that

1. $a_r \sim a$.
2. $a_p \in \mathfrak{F}_p L$.
3. $\xi^{(p)} = \xi^{(p)}_0(t) + dt \xi^{(p)}_1$ satisfies $\xi^{(p)}_0(0) = a_{p-1}$ and $\xi^{(p)}_0(1) = a_p$ (thus $a_p \sim a_{p-1}$ and hence $a_p \in \MC(L)$), as well as $\xi^{(p)}_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-r} L$.
4. $\gamma^{(p)} = \gamma^{(p)}_0(t) + dt \gamma^{(p)}_1$ satisfies $\gamma^{(p)}_0(0) = 0$ and $\gamma^{(p)}_0(1) = U_\ast(a_p)$ (thus $0 \sim U_\ast(a_p)$).

In addition, $\gamma^{(p)}_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_p L$ holds.

Proof of Statement:

5.2.1. Base of induction:

Due to Lemma 4.3 there exists a Maurer-Cartan element $a_r \in \MC(L)$ which has filtration degree $\mathfrak{F}_r L$ and is gauge equivalent to $a$.

This particularly implies

$$U_\ast(a_r) \sim U_\ast(a) \sim 0$$

to hold.

Therefore, there exists a 1-cell $\gamma^{(1)} = \gamma^{(1)}_0(t) + dt \gamma^{(1)}_1$ in $\MC_\ast(L)$ (due to Lemma B2 from [2] we can w.l.o.g. assume $\gamma^{(1)}$ to be rectified) with some $\gamma^{(1)}_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_1 L$ such that

$$\gamma^{(1)}_0(0) = 0$$

and

$$\gamma^{(1)}_0(1) = U_\ast(a_r) \in \mathfrak{F}_r L.$$  

According to Lemma 4.1 for $\gamma^{(1)}$ being a 1-cell in $\MC_\ast(L)$ connecting 0 with $U_\ast(a_r)$, it must solve

$$\frac{\partial \gamma^{(1)}_0(t)}{\partial t} = d_L(\gamma^{(1)}_1)$$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_0(0) = 0.$$  
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On one hand, integration up to some general $t$ yields

$$
\gamma_0^{(1)}(t) = 0 + td_L(\gamma_1^{(1)}) + \int_0^t dt_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}(\gamma_0^{(1)}(t_1), \ldots, \gamma_0^{(1)}(t_1), \gamma_1^{(1)})_{k+1}.
$$

On the other hand, we can also integrate up to $t = 1$ and replace $\gamma_0^{(1)}(1)$ by $U_r(a_r)$ and find

$$
\mathcal{U}_r(a_r) = 0 + d_L(\gamma_1^{(1)}) + \int_0^1 dt \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}(\gamma_0^{(1)}(t), \ldots, \gamma_0^{(1)}(t), \gamma_1^{(1)})_{k+1}.
$$

From the expression in the latter integral at least consisting of a $\{\ldots\}_2$ bracket, it is obvious that

$$
d_L(\gamma_1^{(1)}) \in \mathfrak{g}_2 L.
$$

In turn, this can be plugged into equation (5.44), where by the same arguments the r.h.s. is of filtration degree $\mathfrak{g}_2 L \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]$, hence

$$
\gamma_0^{(1)}(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_2 L \otimes \mathbb{K}[t].
$$

But this can then again be used in (5.45) and so on and so forth. This procedure can be run several times until eventually we arrive at

$$
d_L(\gamma_1^{(1)}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L.
$$

As it turns out, equation (5.46) is a good starting point for repeated application of Lemma 4.2. By assumption we have $H^{-1}((\mathfrak{g}_1 L)/\langle \mathfrak{g}_r L \rangle) = 0$. Together with equation (5.46), this implies

$$
\exists \gamma^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L \text{ s.t. } \gamma_1^{(1)} - d_L(\gamma^{(1)}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L.
$$

It is clear, that all the requirements of Lemma 4.2 with $\gamma^{(1)}$ in the role of $\beta$ connecting the two Maurer-Cartan elements 0 and $U_r(a_r)$, both lying in $\mathfrak{g}_r L$ (so $q = r \geq 1$), $\gamma_1^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L$ (so $p = 1$) and $\gamma^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L$ (so $l = 0$) acting as $y$ in the notation of the Lemma, are satisfied.

Thus, there exists a rectified 1-cell $\gamma^{(2)} = \gamma_0^{(2)}(t) + dt \gamma_1^{(2)}$ in $\mathfrak{MC}_r(L)$ which connects 0 with $U_r(a_r)$ and has $\gamma_1^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{g}_2 L$.

We may replace $\gamma^{(1)}$ by $\gamma^{(2)}$ and perform the same steps as before. At this end, we arrive at the analogue of equation (5.46), i.e. $d_L(\gamma_1^{(2)}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L$.

Exploiting $H^{-1}((\mathfrak{g}_1 L)/\langle \mathfrak{g}_r L \rangle) = 0$ leads to

$$
\exists \gamma^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L \text{ s.t. } \gamma_1^{(2)} - d_L(\gamma^{(2)}) \in \mathfrak{g}_r L.
$$

But this time we know $\gamma_1^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{g}_2 L$, wherefore we can apply Lemma 4.2 with $p = 2$ instead.

So, after several runs, we eventually arrive at a rectified 1-cell $\gamma^{(r)} = \gamma_0^{(r)}(t) + dt \gamma_1^{(r)}$ in $\mathfrak{MC}_r(L)$ which connects 0 with $U_r(a_r)$ and has $\gamma_1^{(r)} \in \mathfrak{g}_r L$. 

5.2.2. Induction Step:
Construction of $a_{p+1}$:
From $a_p \in \Phi_p L$ and $a_p \in \text{MC}(L)$, we may deduce
\begin{equation}
(5.47) \quad d_L(a_p) \in \Phi_{p+1} L
\end{equation}
in the usual manner.

$\gamma^{(p)}$ is known to be a rectified 1-cell in $\mathcal{MC}_*(\tilde{L})$ connecting 0 with $U_*(a_p)$ and having $\gamma_1^{(p)} \in \Phi_p \tilde{L}$.

According to Lemma 4.1, $\gamma_0^{(p)}(t)$ can be seen as the solution of an IVP. Integration up to some arbitrary $t$ and a short analysis of the degree of filtration implies
\begin{equation}
(5.48) \quad \gamma_0^{(p)}(t) \in \Phi_p \tilde{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t].
\end{equation}

By the definition of $U_*$ and because of $a_p \in \Phi_p L$, clearly $U_*(a_p) = \psi(a_p) + O(\Phi_{p+1} \tilde{L})$ has to hold.

Moreover, we have $U_*(a_p) - \gamma_0^{(p)}(1) = 0$ from $U_*(a_p)$ being the endpoint of $\gamma^{(p)}$.

Rewriting $\gamma_0^{(p)}(1)$ as the integral of the corresponding IVP and expressing $\psi(a_p)$ in terms of $\psi(a_p)$ yields
\begin{equation}
0 = \left( \psi(a_p) + O(\Phi_{p+1} \tilde{L}) \right) - \left( 0 + \int_0^1 dt \left( d_L(\gamma_1^{(p)}) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left\{ \gamma_0^{(p)}(t), \ldots, \gamma_0^{(p)}(t), \gamma_1^{(p)} \right\}_{m+1} \right) \right) = \left. \psi(a_p) - d_L(\gamma_1^{(p)}) + O(\Phi_{p+1} \tilde{L}), \right|_{\Phi_p \tilde{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]}
\end{equation}
i.e.
\begin{equation}
(5.49) \quad \psi(a_p) - d_L(\gamma_1^{(p)}) \in \Phi_{p+1} \tilde{L}.
\end{equation}

Due to equations (5.47) and (5.49), all the requirements of equation (3.11) are satisfied and so infer from equation (3.12) that
\begin{equation}
(5.50) \quad \begin{cases}
\exists x \in \Phi_{p+1} L \\
\exists \tilde{y} \in \Phi_{p+1} \tilde{L}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
s.t.
\begin{equation}
(5.51) \quad \begin{cases}
d_L(x) \in \Phi_p L \\
\psi(x) - d_L(\tilde{y}) \in \Phi_p \tilde{L}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
(5.52) \quad \begin{cases}
a_p - d_L(x) \in \Phi_{p+1} L \\
\gamma_1^{(p)} - \psi(x) + d_L(\tilde{y}) \in \Phi_{p+1} \tilde{L}.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

We construct a rectified 1-cell $\xi^{(p+1)} = \xi^{(p+1)}_0(t) + dt \xi^{(p+1)}_1(t)$ in $\mathcal{MC}_*(L)$ by means of setting the starting point to $a_p$ and requiring
\begin{equation}
(5.53) \quad \xi^{(p+1)}_1 := -x \in \Phi_{p+1} L.
\end{equation}

Integration of the corresponding IVP (cf. Lemma 4.1) results in
\begin{equation}
(5.54) \quad \xi^{(p+1)}_0(t) = \int_0^t dt \left( d_L(-x) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left\{ \xi^{(p+1)}_0(t), \ldots, \xi^{(p+1)}_0(t), -x \right\}_{m+1} \right) \in \Phi_{p+1} \tilde{L}.
\end{equation}
Plugging $\xi_0^{(p+1)}(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 L \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]$ into equation (5.54) directly leads to $\xi_0^{(p+1)}(t) \in \mathfrak{f}_{\text{min}(p,p-r+2)} L \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]$. But this can again be plugged into equation (5.54), resulting in $\xi_0^{(p+1)}(t) \in \mathfrak{f}_{\text{min}(p,2p-2r+3)} L \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]$ and et cetera.

We continue in the same manner and eventually arrive at
\begin{equation}
\xi_0^{(p+1)}(t) \in \mathfrak{f}_p L \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t].
\end{equation}
Next, we set $a_{p+1}$ to be the endpoint of $\xi^{(p+1)}$, i.e.
\begin{equation}
a_{p+1} := \xi_0^{(p+1)}(1).
\end{equation}
Of course, this can also be rewritten as the integral of the IVP, so we find
\begin{equation}
a_{p+1} := \xi_0^{(p+1)}(1) = \int_0^1 dt \left( d_L(-x) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left\{ \xi_0^{(p+1)}(t), \ldots, \xi_0^{(p+1)}(t), \vdots, x \right\}_{m+1} \right) \in \mathfrak{f}_{p+1} R \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]
\end{equation}
i.e.
\begin{equation}
a_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{p+1} L.
\end{equation}
Connecting 0 and $U_+(a_{p+1})$:
Next, we construct a 1-cell in $\mathfrak{M} C_+(\hat{L})$ which connects 0 and $U_+(a_{p+1})$. For doing so, we apply Lemma 7.1 with $\xi^{(p+1)}$ in the role of $\xi$ and $\beta = \beta_0(t) + dt \beta_1$ given by
\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
\beta_0(t) := \gamma_0^{(p)} (1 - t) \\
\beta_1 := -\gamma_1^{(p)}.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
As a result, we obtain a 1-cell $\kappa = \kappa_0(t) + dt \kappa_1(t)$ in $\mathfrak{M} C_+(\hat{L})$ connecting 0 and $U_+(a_{p+1})$ satisfying
\begin{equation}
\kappa_1(t) = \gamma_1^{(p)} - \psi(x) + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{f}_{p+1} L \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]).
\end{equation}
Adjusting the degree of filtration of the connection of 0 and $U_+(a_{p+1})$:
We continue by adjusting the $dt$ part of $\kappa_0(t) + dt \kappa_1(t)$ by $d_L(\tilde{y})$ with $\tilde{y}$ as in equation (5.50) (in the sense of Lemma 4.2). By means of equations (5.52) and (5.55), such a 1-cell would clearly have its $dt$ coefficient carrying filtration degree $\mathfrak{f}_{p+1} L \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]$.

Using the fact that both the starting and the end point of $\kappa$ lie in $\mathfrak{g}_{p+1} \hat{L}$, we can follow the same ideas as in Lemma 4.2 even though $\kappa_1(t)$ can only be said to be of filtration degree $\mathfrak{g}_{p+1} \hat{L} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]$ by the means of equation (5.59) and $\psi(x) \in \mathfrak{g}_{p+1} \hat{L}$.

Because of equation (5.59), we can rewrite $\kappa_1(t)$ as
\begin{equation}
\kappa_1(t) = s + q(t)
\end{equation}
with some
\begin{equation}
q(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_{p+1} \hat{L} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[t]
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
s := \gamma_1^{(p)} - \psi(x) \in \mathfrak{g}_p \hat{L} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{p-1+1} \hat{L}.
From $\kappa_0(t) + dt \kappa_1(t)$ being a 1-cell in $\mathcal{MC}_s(\bar{L})$, Lemma 4.1 indicates $\kappa_0(t)$ to be the solution of the corresponding IVP.

Integrating up to $t$ and 1, using $\kappa_0(1) = U_*(a_{p+1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} \bar{L}$ and pulling out time-independent terms leads to

\[(5.63)\]

$$\kappa_0(t) = 0 + td_{\bar{L}}(s) + \int_0^t dt_1 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \kappa_0(t_1), \ldots, \kappa_0(t_1), \kappa_1(t_1) \right)_{k+1}$$

and

\[(5.64)\]

$$U_*(a_{p+1}) = 0 + d_{\bar{L}}(s) + \int_0^1 dt \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \kappa_0(t), \ldots, \kappa_0(t), \kappa_1(t) \right)_{k+1}$$

Plugging $\kappa_1(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-r+1} \bar{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]$ into equation (5.64) directly yields

$$d_{\bar{L}}(s) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-r+2} \bar{L}.$$ 

In turn, we can insert this into equation (5.63) and find

$$\kappa_0(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-r+2} \bar{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t].$$

This can then again be used in equation (5.64), and so on and so forth. Eventually, this procedure leads to

\[(5.65)\]

$$d_{\bar{L}}(s) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} \bar{L}$$

and

\[(5.66)\]

$$\kappa_0(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} \bar{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t].$$

Following the same line as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, it is clear that

\[(5.67)\]

$$\mu := (\kappa_0(t) + dt \kappa_1(t)) + d_{\bar{L}}^{\kappa_0(t) + dt \kappa_1(t)}(dt \tilde{y}),$$

with $\tilde{y}$ as in equation (5.56), defines a 1-cell in $\mathcal{MC}_s(\bar{L})$ connecting 0 with $U_*(a_{p+1})$, too.

Unravelling the notation and using $dt^2 = 0$, equation (5.67) amounts to (cf. equation (4.11))

$$\mu = \kappa_0(t) + \left( \kappa_1(t) + d_{\bar{L}}(\tilde{y}) + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \kappa_0(t), \ldots, \tilde{y} \right)_{k+1} + \text{higher filtration degrees} \right) dt.$$

By writing out $\kappa_1(t)$ in the sense of equation (5.59), we see that

$$\kappa_1(t) + d_{\bar{L}}(\tilde{y}) = \gamma_1^{(p+1)}(t) + \tilde{y} = (p+1) - \psi(x) + d_{\bar{L}}(\tilde{y}) + O(\mathfrak{F}_{p+1} \bar{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]) \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} \bar{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]$$

and so it becomes immediate that the dt coefficient of the 1-cell $\mu$ lies in $\mathfrak{F}_{p+1} \bar{L} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t]$.

Applying Lemma B2 from [2], yields that there exists a rectified 1-cell $\gamma^{(p+1)} = \gamma_0^{(p+1)}(t) + dt \gamma_1^{(p+1)}$ in $\mathcal{MC}_s(\bar{L})$, which connects 0 with $U_*(a_{p+1})$ and satisfies $\gamma_1^{(p+1)} \in \mathfrak{F}_{p+1} \bar{L}$. 

\[\square\]
6. Higher Homotopy Groups

**Theorem 6.1** (Isomorphism on higher Homotopy Groups).
Let $L$ and $\tilde{L}$ be two $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebras endowed with descending, bounded above and complete filtrations compatible with the $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebra structures.
Let $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ be an $\infty$-morphism of $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebras compatible with the filtrations.
Let $\psi$ be the linear term of $U$ as in equation (3.7) and let $U_*$ be defined as in equation (3.8).
Let $\psi$ be a quasi-isomorphism on the $r$-1st page of the spectral sequences of the filtered complexes $(L,d_L)$ and $(\tilde{L},d_{\tilde{L}})$.
Let us assume $H^i((\mathfrak{g}_pL)/(\mathfrak{g}_nL)) = 0$ for $i = -1,0$ and $q$ every power of 2 smaller than $r$ and $q = r$.
Then, for every Maurer-Cartan element $\tau \in MC(L)$ and for every $n \geq 1$, $MC_\bullet(U)$ induces a group isomorphism
\[
\pi_n(MC_\bullet(L),\tau) \cong \pi_n(MC_\bullet(\tilde{L}),U_*(\tau)).
\]

As it turns out, by virtue of Theorem 5.5 from [1], this is an immediate consequence of the following Lemma.

**Lemma 6.2.**
Let the situation be as in Theorem 6.1.
Let $\tau \in MC(L)$ be a Maurer-Cartan element such that $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}_r L$.
Then, the linear part $\psi^r : L^r \to \tilde{L}^r,\psi(\tau)$ of the twisted $\infty$-morphism $U^r : L^r \to \tilde{L}^r,\psi(\tau)$ induces an isomorphism on the level of co-homology
\[
H(\psi^r) : H(L^r) \to H(\tilde{L}^r,\psi(\tau)).
\]

**Proof of Lemma 6.2.**
We start by showing that the linear part of the twisted morphism also is a quasi-isomorphism on the $r$-1st page of the spectral sequences.
As we have seen, it suffices to prove that $\psi^r$ satisfies equation (3.12).
The composition $U^r : S^+(L^r) \to \tilde{L}^r,\psi(\tau)$ of the twisted morphism $U^r : L^r \to \tilde{L}^r,\psi(\tau)$ (cf. equation (3.10)) with the projection (cf. equation (3.5)) is given by
\[
U^r(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} U^r(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k; v_1, \ldots, v_n).
\]
The linear part $\psi^r$ is defined, analogously as in equation (3.7), as the restriction $\psi^r := U^r|_{L^r}$ and so we find
\[
\psi^r(a) = \psi(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} U^r(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k; a).
\]
Due to equation (3.9), the twisted differential $d_L^r$, i.e. the differential on $L^r$, can be rewritten as
\[
d_L^r(a) = d_L(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)_{k+1},
\]
and the similar holds for $d_{\tilde{L}}^r,\psi(\tau)$, too.
We also notice that from having the same underlying filtered and graded vector spaces, the filtrations are the same on the twisted and untwisted $\mathcal{S}L_\infty$ algebras, hence $a \in \mathfrak{g}_p L$ is equivalent to $a \in \mathfrak{g}_p L^r$.
Due to equation (6.3), it is immediate, that for $q \in \mathfrak{g}_p L^r$
\[
\psi^r(q) = \psi(q) \mod \mathfrak{g}_{p+r} \tilde{L}
\]
holds.

Similarly, $s \in \mathfrak{F}_p L^r$ and $t \in \mathfrak{F}_p \mathcal{L}_{U_\tau}$ imply

$$d^r_L(s) = d_L(s) \mod \mathfrak{F}_p + r L$$

and

$$d^{U_\tau}_L(t) = d_L(t) \mod \mathfrak{F}_p + r L,$$

respectively.

As a result of that, we can safely replace all the expressions in equation (3.12) with its twisted analogues and hence $\psi^\tau$ is a quasi-isomorphism on the r-1st page, too.

Moreover, according to the Eilenberg-Moore Comparison Theorem (cf. Theorem 5.5.11 from [8]), $\psi^\tau$ induces an isomorphism

$$(6.4) \quad H(\psi^\tau) : H(L\tau) \to H(\tilde{L}_{U_\tau}(\tau)).$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1.

According to Lemma 4.3, for every Maurer-Cartan element $\tau \in MC(L)$ there exists a Maurer-Cartan element $\tilde{\tau} \in MC(L)$, such that $\tilde{\tau} \in \mathfrak{F}_r L$ and $\tau$ is gauge-equivalent to $\tilde{\tau}$.

In the language of homotopy, $\tau$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ being gauge equivalent (with equivalence induced by 1-cells in $MC_L$) means $\tau$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ lie in the same connected component. Therefore, we can w.l.o.g. assume $\tau \in \mathfrak{F}_r L$.

According to Theorem 5.5 from [1] for every $n \geq 1$ there is a group isomorphism

$$(6.5) \quad B^\tau_{n-1} : H^{-n+1}(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_n(MC_\bullet(U), \tau).$$

Here, we use superscripts for indicating that we work in co-homology.

In addition, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{-n+1}(L) & \xrightarrow{B^\tau_{n-1}} & \pi_n(MC_\bullet(U), \tau) \\
\downarrow H^{-n+1}(\psi^\tau) & & \downarrow MG_\bullet(U) \\
H^{-n+1}(\tilde{L}_{U_\tau}(\tau)) & \xrightarrow{B^\tau_{n-1}} & \pi_n(MC_\bullet(\tilde{L}), U_\tau(\tau))
\end{array}
$$

As a result of that, we are allowed to apply Lemma 6.2 and deduce the vertical left arrow to be a group isomorphism. Additionally, from the fact that in the above diagram both horizontal arrows are indeed group isomorphisms, clearly the right vertical arrow $MC_\bullet(U)$ is a group isomorphism as well.

7. Appendix

7.1. A Lemma for concatenating 1-Cells.

The following Lemma is a slightly adjusted version of Proposition 3.3 from [2].

Lemma 7.1.

Let $L, \tilde{L}$ be two $\mathcal{S}_L\infty$ algebras endowed with descending, bounded above and complete filtrations compatible with the $\mathcal{S}_L\infty$ structures.

Let $U : L \to \tilde{L}$ be an $\infty$-morphism of $\mathcal{S}_L\infty$ algebras compatible with the filtrations and denote its linear part by $\psi$.

Let $\tilde{m}_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ be Maurer-Cartan elements in $L$ and denote their images under $U_\tau$, which are also Maurer-Cartan elements in $\tilde{L}$, by $\tilde{m}_i$. Moreover, let $\tilde{m}_1$ satisfy $\tilde{m}_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_p \tilde{L}$.

Let $\beta = \beta_0(t) + dt \beta_1$ be a rectified 1-cell in $MC_\bullet(\tilde{L})$ which connects the two Maurer-Cartan elements $\tilde{m}_1$ and $\tilde{m}_0$ and has $\beta_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_p \tilde{L}$.
Let $\xi = \xi_0(t) + dt \xi_1$ be a rectified 1-cell in $\mathcal{MC}_\star(L)$ which connects the two Maurer-Cartan elements $m_1$ and $m_2$.

In addition, let us assume $d_L(\xi_1) \in \mathcal{F}_p L$ and $\xi_0(t) \in \mathcal{F}_p L \otimes K[t]$.

Then, there exists a 1-cell

$$\kappa = \kappa_0(t) + dt \kappa_1(t)$$

in $\mathcal{MC}_\star(\tilde{L})$, connecting $\tilde{m}_0$ with $\tilde{m}_2$ and satisfying

$$\kappa_1(t) = -\beta_1 + \psi(\xi_1) + O(\mathcal{F}_p L \otimes K[t]).$$

(7.2)

**Proof of Lemma 7.1**

We set

$$\chi = \chi_0(t) + dt \chi_1(t) = U^{(1)}(\xi_0(t) + dt \xi_1),$$

i.e. $\chi$ is a 1-cell in $\mathcal{MC}_\star(\tilde{L})$ which connects $\tilde{m}_1$ and $\tilde{m}_2$.

As a consequence, we have the horn

$$\chi = \chi_0(t) + dt \chi_1(t) \quad \tilde{m}_1 \quad \beta = \beta_0(t_0) + dt_0 \beta_1,$$

$$\tilde{m}_2 \quad \tilde{m}_0$$

which is the same as in the starting point of Proposition 3.3 from [2].

For our next considerations, we will heavily rely on the notation of Appendix A from [2], without elaborating further on the specifics of it.

According to Lemma A1 from [2], we know that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
MC(\tilde{L} \otimes \Omega_n) \cong MC(\tilde{L}) \times \text{Stub}^1(\tilde{L}) \\
\quad a \mapsto (\epsilon^1_n(a), (d_L + d_{\Omega_1}) \circ h^1_n(a))
\end{array} \right.$$  (7.4)

is a bijection.

For the 1-cell $\beta$ the corresponding (in the sense of equation (7.4)) $MC(\tilde{L})$ and $\text{Stub}^1(\tilde{L})$ elements are found to be

$$\epsilon^1_1(\beta) = \tilde{m}_1$$

and

$$(d_L + d_{\Omega_1})h^1_1(\beta) = (d_L + d_{\Omega_1})t_0 \beta_1.$$  

For $\chi$,

$$\epsilon^1_1(\chi) = \tilde{m}_1$$

is immediate.

For the $\text{Stub}^1(\tilde{L})$ element corresponding to $\chi$, explicit calculation is quite difficult due to the time dependence of its $dt$ coefficient.

Hence, most of the time we simply write

$$(d_L + d_{\Omega_1})h^1_1(\chi) = (d_L + d_{\Omega_1})\lambda(t_2).$$

However, having a second look unravels

$$\lambda(t_2) = h^1_1(\chi) = t_2 \int_0^1 du \chi_1(u t_2),$$

(7.5)

i.e. there is a $t_2$ pre-factor.

We define

$$\tilde{\nu} := (d_L + d_{\Omega_2})(t_0 \beta_1 + \lambda(t_2)),$$

which is exactly the sum of the stub elements associated to the 1-cells on the edges of the horn.
A short calculation confirms $\tilde{\nu}$ to lie in $\text{Stub}_1^2(\tilde{L})$.

Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be the 2-cell corresponding to $(\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{\nu})$ (in the sense of equation (7.4)).

By recalling the explicit form of $h_1^1(\beta)$ and the $t_2$ prefactor of $\lambda(t_2)$, it is clear that both

$$\tilde{\nu}|_{t_2=0} = (d_L + d_\Omega)(t_0\beta_1)$$

and

$$\tilde{\nu}|_{t_0=0} = (d_L + d_\Omega)(\lambda(t_2))$$

hold.

But, according to equation (7.4), this directly implies

$$\tilde{\eta}|_{t_2=0} = \beta$$

and

$$\tilde{\eta}|_{t_0=0} = \chi.$$ 

So, we have proved $\tilde{\eta}$ to be the filler of the horn.

Our next task is to analyse the filtration degree at the bottom edge ($t_1 = 0$).

According to Appendix A of [2], the 2-cell $\tilde{\eta}$ corresponding to $(\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{\nu})$ is found to be the limiting value of the sequence $\{\tilde{\eta}(k)\}_{k \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases}
\tilde{\eta}(0) := \tilde{m}_1 + \tilde{\nu} \\
\tilde{\eta}(k+1) := \tilde{\eta}(0) - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} h_2^1(\tilde{\eta}(k), \ldots, \tilde{\eta}(k))_m.
\end{cases}$$

Thus in particular,

$$\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{m}_1 + \tilde{\nu} - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} h_2^1(\tilde{\eta}, \ldots, \tilde{\eta})_m$$

holds.

Let us now prove that

$$\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{m}_1 - \tilde{\nu} \in \bar{S}_{p+1} \tilde{L} \otimes \Omega_2.$$ 

By equation (7.8), it is immediate that

$$\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{m}_1 - \tilde{\nu} = - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} h_2^1(\tilde{\eta}, \ldots, \tilde{\eta})_m.$$ 

From the definition of $h_2^1$, only terms involving a $dt_i$ survive.

So it boils down to an inspection of the filtration degrees of the possible combinations of terms emerging from $m_1$ and $\nu$, which have at least one $dt_i$ term.

A short investigation of $\tilde{\nu}$ shows that the only combination of terms involving a $dt_i$ and not trivially having filtration degree $\bar{S}_{p+1} \tilde{L}$ is the one of $d_L(\lambda(t_2))$ with $dt_i(\lambda(t_2))$.

We continue by discussing why $d_L(\lambda(t_2)) \in \bar{S}_p \tilde{L} \otimes \bar{K}[t_2]$ holds.

In equation (7.5), we have defined $\lambda(t_2)$ to be the integral of $\chi_1(t)$. As integration and $d_L$ can be swapped, it is clear that $d_L(\lambda(t_2)) \in \bar{S}_p \tilde{L} \otimes \bar{K}[t_2]$ would suffice.

In equation (7.3), we defined $\chi_1(t)$ to be the $dt$ coefficient of $U^{(1)}(\xi_0(t) + dt \xi_1)$ and so $\chi_1$ is of the form

$$\chi_1(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{i=1}^{m} U'(\xi_0(t), \ldots, \frac{\xi_1}{\text{ith position}} \ldots, \xi_0(t)).$$
On one hand, we know from equations (7.9) and (7.16) that \( d_L(\chi_1(t_2)) \) only consists of terms, where \( U' \) has in its argument a

\[
(7.12) \quad d_L(\xi_1)
\]

term, and/or a

\[
(7.13) \quad \xi_0(t)
\]

term or a \( d_L \) derivation thereof.

But by assumption both carry filtration degree \( \tilde{F}_p L \) and \( \tilde{F}_p L \otimes K[t] \), respectively. Consequently, this proves \( d_L(\lambda(t_2)) \in \tilde{F}_p L \otimes K[t_2] \) and thus the r.h.s of equation (7.10) in any case lies in \( \tilde{F}_{p+1} L \otimes \Omega \), i.e. equation (7.9) holds.

Due to equation (7.11) and (7.10) in any case lies in \( \tilde{F}_p L \otimes K[t] \), one can directly compute

\[
\chi_1(t_2) - \psi(\xi_1) \in \tilde{F}_{p+1} L \otimes K[t_2].
\]

Applying \( h^1 \) on both sides (formally, we should consider the whole expression carrying a \( dt_2 \) leads to

\[
(7.14) \quad \lambda(t_2) - t_2 \psi(\xi_1) \in \tilde{F}_{p+1} L \otimes K[t_2].
\]

This allows us to compute \( \tilde{\nu} \) evaluated at the bottom boundary:

\[
(7.15) \quad \tilde{\nu}|_{t_1=0} = (t_0 d_{L}(\beta_1) + dt_0 \beta_1 + (d_{L} + d_{\Omega})(\lambda(t_2)))|_{t_1=0} =
\]

\[
(1 - t_2) d_{L}(\beta_1) + t_2 d_{L}(\psi(\xi_1)) + dt_2 (\psi(\xi_1) - \beta_1) + O(\tilde{F}_{p+1} L \otimes \Omega_1).
\]

The bottom edge of the 2-cell \( \tilde{\eta} \) defines a 1-cell by means of

\[
(7.16) \quad \kappa = \kappa_0(t_2) + dt_2 \kappa_1(t_2) := \tilde{\eta}|_{t_1=0},
\]

i.e. we arrive at the situation

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi &= \chi_0(t_2) + dt_2 \chi_1(t_2) \\
\tilde{m}_1 &\quad \kappa = \kappa_0(t_2) + dt_2 \kappa_1(t_2) \\
\tilde{m}_2 &\quad \beta = \beta_0(t_0) + dt_0 \beta_1.
\end{align*}
\]

On one hand, we know from equations (7.9) and (7.16) that

\[
(7.17) \quad \kappa_0(t_2) + dt_2 \kappa_1(t_2) = \tilde{\eta}|_{t_1=0} = \tilde{m}_1 + \tilde{\nu}|_{t_1=0} + O(\tilde{F}_{p+1} L \otimes \Omega_1).
\]

On the other hand, we can make use of equation (7.15) for computing the right-hand side of equation (7.17), which leads to

\[
\tilde{m}_1 + (1 - t_2) d_{L}(\beta_1) + t_2 d_{L}(\psi(\xi_1)) + dt_2 (\psi(\xi_1) - \beta_1) + O(\tilde{F}_{p+1} L \otimes \Omega_1).
\]

Therefore, we may deduce

\[
(7.18) \quad \kappa_1(t) = -\beta_1 + \psi(\xi_1) + O(\tilde{F}_{p+1} L \otimes K[t]).
\]

So, we have found a 1-cell \( \kappa = \kappa_0(t) + dt \kappa_1(t) \) in \( \mathfrak{MC}(\hat{L}) \), connecting \( \tilde{m}_0 \) with \( \tilde{m}_2 \) and satisfying equation (7.18). \( \square \)
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