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Abstract
We show examples of α-sub-exponential random variables for any positive α. We define standard α-normal random variables (2-normal are classic Gaussians). We generalize the concentration of ψ₂-norm of random vectors with independent sub-gaussian coordinates to the concentration of ψₐ-norms of vectors with independent α-sub-exponential coordinates, for α ≥ 2.
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1 Introduction
Let α be a positive number. We consider random variables with α-sub-exponential tail decay, i.e. random variables X for which there exists two positive constants c, C such that
\[ \mathbb{P}(|X| \geq t) \leq c \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{C}\right)^\alpha\right) \]
for all t ≥ 0. Such random variables we will call α-sub-exponential.

Example 1.1. The exponentially distributed random variable X ∼ Exp(1) has exponential tail decay that is \( \mathbb{P}(X \geq t) = \exp(-t) \). It is the example of random variable with 1-sub-exponential tail decay; \( c = C = 1 \). Consider a random variable \( Y_\alpha = \theta X^{1/\alpha} \) for some \( \alpha, \theta > 0 \). Observe that for \( t \geq 0 \)
\[ \mathbb{P}(Y_\alpha \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(\theta X^{1/\alpha} \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(X \geq (t/\theta)^\alpha) = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^\alpha\right). \]
The random variable \( Y_\alpha \) has α-sub-exponential tail decay; \( c = 1 \) and \( C = \theta \). Let us note that \( Y_\alpha \) has the Weibull distribution with the shape parameter \( \alpha \) and the scale parameter \( \theta \). One can say that random variables with Weibull distributions form model examples of r.v.s with α-sub-exponential tail decay.

Because it is know that random variables with the Poisson and the geometric distributions have 1-sub-exponential tail decay then, in similar way as above, we can form another families of α-sub-exponential random variables for any α > 0.

The more interesting case occurs when we start with Gaussian distributions.
Example 1.2. Let $g$ denote a random variable with the standard normal distribution. It is know that for tails of such variables hold the estimate:

$$P(\{|g| \geq t\}) \leq \exp\left(-t^2/2\right),$$

for $t \geq 0$ (see for instance [2, Prop.2.2.1]). Defining now $Y_\alpha = \theta g^{2/\alpha}$, by the above estimate, we get

$$P(Y_\alpha \geq t) = P(\theta |g|^{2/\alpha} \geq t) = P(\{|g| \geq (\theta t^{1/\alpha})^{\alpha/2}\}) \leq \exp\left(-[t/(2^{1/\alpha}\theta)]^{\alpha}\right).$$

In other words we obtain another family of r.v.s with $\alpha$-sub-exponential tail decay; $c = 1$ and $C = 2^{1/\alpha}\theta$.

Define now a symmetric random variable $g_\alpha$ such that $|g_\alpha| = |g|^{2/\alpha}$. One can calculate that its density function has the form

$$f_\alpha(x) = \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}|x|^\alpha e^{-|x|^\alpha/2}.$$

Let us emphasize that for $\alpha = 2$ we get the density of the standard normal distribution. Observe that $Eg_\alpha = 0$ and $E|g_\alpha|^\alpha = Eg_2 = 1$. For any $\alpha > 0$ we will call the random variable $g_\alpha$ the standard $\alpha$-normal ($\alpha$-gaussian) and write $g_\alpha \sim N_\alpha(0,1)$, where the first parameter denote the mean value but the second one the absolute $\alpha$-th moment of $g_\alpha$.

The $\alpha$-sub-exponential random variables can be characterized by finiteness of $\psi_\alpha$-norms defined as follows

$$\|X\|_{\psi_\alpha} := \inf\{K > 0 : E\exp(|X/K|^\alpha) \leq 2\};$$

according to the standard convention $\inf\emptyset = \infty$. We will call the above functional $\psi_\alpha$-norm but let us emphasize that only for $\alpha \geq 1$ it is a proper norm. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ it is so-called quasi-norm. It do not satisfy the triangle inequality (see Appendix A in [3] for more details).

In the following main theorem we generalize the concentration of $\psi_2$-norm of random vectors with independent sub-gaussian coordinates (see Vershynin [5, Th.3.1.1]) to the case of $\psi_\alpha$-norm of vectors with $\alpha$-sub-exponential coordinates, for $\alpha \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\alpha \geq 2$ and $X = (X_1, ..., X_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a random vectors with independent $\alpha$-sub-exponential coordinates that satisfy $E|X_i|^\alpha = 1$. Then

$$\|\|X\|_\alpha - n^{1/\alpha}\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq CK_\alpha^\alpha,$$

where $|X|_\alpha = (\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$, $K_\alpha = \max_i \|X_i\|_{\psi_\alpha}$ and $C$ is some universal constant.

Before we proceed to the proof of this theorem (Section 3) we first describe more precisely spaces of $\alpha$-sub-exponential random variables (Section 2).
2 Spaces of $\alpha$-sub-exponential random variables

The $\alpha$-sub-exponential random variables characterize the following lemma whose proof, for $\alpha \geq 1$, one can find in [6, Lem.2.1]. Let us emphasize that this proof is valid for any positive $\alpha$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $X$ be a random variable and $\alpha > 0$. There exist positive constants $K, L, M$ such that the following conditions are equivalent:
1. $\mathbb{E} \exp(\frac{|X|}{K\alpha}) \leq 2$ ($K \geq \|X\|_{\psi_\alpha}$);
2. $\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp(-(t/L)^\alpha)$ for all $t \geq 0$;
3. $\mathbb{E}|X|^p \leq 2M^\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha} + 1\right)$ for all $p > 0$.

**Remark 2.2.** The definition of $\psi_\alpha$-norm is based on condition 1. Let us notice that if condition 2 is satisfied with some constant $L$ then $\|X\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq 3^{1/\alpha} L$ (compare [6, Rem.2.2]).

Let us emphasize that if we known the moment generating function of a given random variable $|X|$ then we can calculate the $\psi_\alpha$-norm of $|X|^{1/\alpha}$.

**Example 2.3.** Let us calculate first the $\psi_2$-norm of the standard normal random variable $g$. Because $g^2$ has $\chi_1^2$-distribution with one degree of freedom whose moment generating function is $\mathbb{E} \exp(tg^2) = (1 - 2t)^{-1/2}$ for $t < 1/2$ then
$$\mathbb{E} \exp(g^2/K^2) = (1 - 2/K^2)^{-1/2},$$
which is less or equal 2 if $K \geq \sqrt{8/3}$. It gives that $\|g\|_{\psi_2} = \sqrt{8/3}$. Let us observe now that $\psi_\alpha$-norm of $g_\alpha$ is equal to $\psi_\alpha$-norm of $|g_\alpha| = |g|^{2/\alpha}$. Similarly as above one can show that
$$\|g_\alpha\|_{\psi_\alpha} = \||g|^{2/\alpha}\|_{\psi_\alpha} = \inf \{ K > 0; \mathbb{E} \exp(g^2/K^\alpha) \leq 2 \} = (8/3)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Let $L_0$ denote the space of all random variables defined on a given probability space. By $L_{\psi_\alpha}$ we will denote the space of random variables with finite $\psi_\alpha$-norm:
$$L_{\psi_\alpha} := \{ X \in L_0 : \|X\|_{\psi_\alpha} < \infty \}.$$

Only for $\alpha \geq 1$, $L_{\psi_\alpha}$ are Banach spaces. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, $L_{\psi_\alpha}$ are spaces with quasi-norms. For $\psi_\alpha$-norms one can formulate the following

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $X \in L_{\psi_{\alpha,\beta}}$ then $|X|^\alpha \in L_{\psi_\beta}$ and $\||X|^\alpha\|_{\psi_\beta} = \|X\|_{\psi_{\alpha,\beta}}^\alpha$.

**Proof.** Let $K = \|X\|_{\psi_{\alpha,\beta}} > 0$. Then
$$2 = \mathbb{E} \exp(|X/K|^{\alpha\beta}) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \|X\|_{\psi_{\alpha,\beta}}^{\beta}\right),$$
which is equivalent to the conclusion of the lemma.
Let us notice that by Jensen’s inequality we get, for \( \alpha \geq 1 \), that the \( \psi_\alpha \)-norm of the expected value of \( \alpha \)-sub-exponential random variable is not less than the \( \psi_\alpha \)-norm of this random variable itself, since

\[
2 = \E \exp \left( \frac{|X|}{\|X\|_{\psi_\alpha}}^\alpha \right) \geq \exp \left( \|\E X\|_{\psi_\alpha}^\alpha \right) = \E \exp \left( \|\E X\|_{\psi_\alpha}^\alpha \right),
\]

which means that \( \|\E X\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq \|X\|_{\psi_\alpha} \). In consequence, for \( \alpha \)-sub-exponential random variable, we have

\[
\|X - \E X\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq 2 \|X\|_{\psi_\alpha} \quad (\alpha \geq 1).
\]

1-sub-exponential (simply sub-exponential) random variables will play a special role in our considerations. Sub-exponential r.v. with mean zero can be defined by condition:

\[
\exists K > 0 \quad \E \exp(tX) \leq \exp(\varphi_\infty(Kt)),
\]

where \( \varphi_\infty(x) = x^2/2 \) for \( |x| \leq 1 \) and \( \varphi_\infty(x) = \infty \) otherwise; see the definition of \( \tau_{\psi_\alpha} \) norm in [6], compare Vershynin [5, Prop.2.7.1]. Let us emphasize that the norms \( \|\cdot\|_{\psi_1} \) and \( \tau_{\psi_1} \) are equivalent on the space of centered sub-exponential random variables (compare [6, Th.2.7]). From now on let \( C_1 \) denote the absolute constant such that \( \tau_{\psi_1}(X) \leq C_1\|X\|_{\psi_1} \) for any zero-mean sub-exponential random variable \( X \).

The proof of the following proposition is similar to the proof of the upper bound in the large deviation theory (see for instance [4, 5.11(4)Theorem. Large deviation]) but with one difference. Instead of the cumulant generating function of a given random variable we use its upper estimate by the function \( \varphi_\infty \) and, in consequence, the convex conjugate \( \varphi_\infty^* = \varphi_1 \) on its tail estimate (see [6, Lem. 2.6]), where

\[
\varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}x^2 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\
|x| - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |x| > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \( X_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \), be independent centered sub-exponential random variables and let \( K := \max_i \|X_i\|_{\psi_1} \). Then

\[
\P \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right| \geq t \right) \leq 2 \exp \left( -n\varphi_1 \left( \frac{t}{C_1K} \right) \right).
\]

**Proof.** The moment generating function of \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \) can be estimated as follows

\[
\E \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \E \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} X_i \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left( \varphi_\infty \left( \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\psi_1}(X_i)u \right) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left( \varphi_\infty \left( \frac{1}{n} C_1 \|X_i\|_{\psi_1} u \right) \right) \leq \exp \left( n\varphi_\infty \left( \frac{1}{n} C_1 K u \right) \right).
\]
The convex conjugate of the function \( f(u) := n\varphi_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}C_1Ku\right) \) equals
\[
f^*(t) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ tu - n\varphi_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}C_1Ku\right) \right\} = n\sup_{u > 0} \left\{ \frac{t}{C_1K}u - \varphi_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}C_1Ku\right) \right\} = n\varphi_1\left(\frac{t}{C_1K}\right);
\]
the second equality holds since \( \varphi_{\infty} \) is the even function, the fourth one by the substituting \( v = \frac{1}{n}C_1Ku \) and the last one by definition of the convex conjugate for even functions and the equality \( \varphi_{\infty}^* = \varphi_1 \). Thus we get \( f^*(t) = n\varphi_1\left(\frac{t}{C_1K}\right) \).

Similarly as in \[1, \text{Lem. 2.4.3}\] (formally \( f \) and \( f^* \) are not \( N \)-function, but the proof is the same also for these functions), we get
\[
P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-n\varphi_1\left(\frac{t}{C_1K}\right)\right).
\]

Remark 2.6. Let us emphasize that because \( \varphi_1(t/(C_1K)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{t^2/(C_1^2K^2), t/(C_1K)\} \), then the above estimate implies a form of Bernstein’s inequality for averages:
\[
P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \min\left\{\frac{t^2}{C_1^2K^2}, \frac{t}{C_1K}\right\}\right);
\]
compare Vershynin \[5, \text{Cor.2.8.3}\].

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The structure of this proof is similar to the proof in Vershynin \[5, \text{Th. 3.1.1}\] but, apart from Proposition \[2.5\] and Lemma \[2.1\] we also use the following two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let \( x, \delta \geq 0 \) and \( \alpha \geq 1 \). If \( |x - 1| \geq \delta \) then \( |x^\alpha - 1| \geq \max\{\delta, \delta^\alpha\} \).

Proof. Under the above assumption on \( x \) and \( \alpha \) we have: \( |x^\alpha - 1| \geq |x - 1| \). It means that if \( |x - 1| \geq \delta \) then \( |x^\alpha - 1| \geq \delta \). For \( 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \) we have \( \delta^\alpha \leq \delta \). In consequence \( |x^\alpha - 1| \geq \max\{\delta, \delta^\alpha\} \) for \( 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \).

Suppose now that \( \delta > 1 \). The condition \( |x - 1| \geq \delta \) is equivalent to \( x \geq \delta + 1 \) if \( x \geq 1 \) or \( x \leq 1 - \delta \) if \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \). Let us observe that the second opportunity is not possible for \( \delta > 1 \) and \( x \geq 0 \). The first one gives \( x^\alpha \geq (\delta + 1)^\alpha \geq \delta^\alpha + 1 \) \((\alpha \geq 1)\) that is equivalent to \( x^\alpha - 1 \geq \delta^\alpha \) for \( x \geq 1 \). Summing up we get \( |x^\alpha - 1| \geq \max\{\delta, \delta^\alpha\} \) for \( x, \delta \geq 0 \) and \( \alpha \geq 1 \).
Lemma 3.2. If \( \alpha \geq 2 \) then \( \varphi_1(\max\{\gamma, \gamma^\alpha\}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\alpha \) for \( \gamma \geq 0 \).

Proof. By the definition of \( \varphi_1 \) we have

\[
\varphi_1(\max\{\gamma, \gamma^\alpha\}) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \\
\gamma^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 1 < \gamma.
\end{cases}
\]

If \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \) then \( \varphi_1(\max\{\gamma, \gamma^\alpha\}) = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\alpha \) for \( \alpha \geq 2 \).

If \( 1 < \gamma \) then the inequality \( \varphi_1(\max\{\gamma, \gamma^\alpha\}) = \gamma^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\alpha \) also holds. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us observe that the expression

\[
\frac{1}{n} |X|_\alpha^\alpha - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} (|X_i|_\alpha^\alpha - 1)
\]

is the sum of independent and centered 1-sub-exponential random variables. Moreover, by condition (1) and Lemma 2.4, we have

\[
|||X_i|_\alpha^\alpha - 1||_{\psi_1} \leq 2||X_i|_\alpha^\alpha||_{\psi_1} = 2||X_i||_{\psi_\alpha} \leq 2K_\alpha.
\]

Now, by virtue of Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.5, we get

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \left| |X|_\alpha^\alpha - 1 \right| \geq \delta \right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left( \left| \frac{1}{n} |X|_\alpha^\alpha - 1 \right| \geq \max\{\delta, \delta^\alpha\} \right) \leq 2 \exp\left( -n \varphi_1\left( \frac{\max\{\delta, \delta^\alpha\}}{CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right) \right), \tag{2}
\]

for any \( C \geq 2C_1 \).

The inequality

\[
2 = \mathbb{E} \exp\left( \frac{|X_i|}{||X||_{\psi_\alpha}} \right)^\alpha \geq 1 + \mathbb{E}\left( \frac{|X_i|}{||X||_{\psi_\alpha}} \right)^\alpha
\]

implies that \( ||X_i||_{\psi_\alpha}^\alpha \geq \mathbb{E}|X_i|^\alpha = 1, i = 1, \ldots, n \), and, in consequence, \( K_\alpha \geq 1 \). Taking \( C = \max\{2C_1, 1\} \) we get \( CK_\alpha^\alpha \geq 1 \). Under this condition we have

\[
\max\{\delta, \delta^\alpha\} \geq \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha}, \left( \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right)^\alpha \geq \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha}, \left( \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right)^\alpha.
\]

By the definition of \( \varphi_1 \) and Lemma 3.2 with \( \gamma = \delta/(CK_\alpha^\alpha) \) we get

\[
\varphi_1\left( \frac{\max\{\delta, \delta^\alpha\}}{CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right) \geq \varphi_1\left( \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha}, \left( \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right)^\alpha.
\]
Rearranging (2) and applying the above estimate we obtain the following
\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \left| X_\alpha - n^{1/\alpha} \right| \geq n^{1/\alpha} \delta \right) = \mathbb{P}\left( \left| n^{-1/\alpha} X_\alpha - 1 \right| \geq \delta \right) \\
\leq 2 \exp \left( - \frac{n^{1/\alpha}}{2} \left( \frac{\delta}{CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right) \\
= 2 \exp \left( - \left( \frac{n^{1/\alpha} \delta}{2^{1/\alpha} CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right).
\]
Changing variables to \( t = n^{1/\alpha} \delta \), we get the following \( \alpha \)-sub-exponential tail decay
\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \left| X_\alpha - n^{1/\alpha} \right| \geq t \right) \leq 2 \exp \left( - \left( \frac{t}{2^{1/\alpha} CK_\alpha^\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right).
\]
By Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2 we obtain
\[
\| |X_\alpha| - n^{1/\alpha}\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq 6^{1/\alpha} CK_\alpha^\alpha \leq \sqrt{6} CK_\alpha^\alpha, \text{ for } \alpha \geq 2,
\]
which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Example 3.3. Let \( g_\alpha = (g_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, g_{\alpha,n}) \) be a random vector with independent standard \( \alpha \)-normal coordinates \( (g_{\alpha,i} \sim N_\alpha(0, 1)) \). Recall that \( \|g_{\alpha,i}\|_{\psi_\alpha} = (8/3)^{1/\alpha} \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Thus \( K_\alpha^\alpha = 8/3 \). By the proof of Theorem 1.3 we obtain
\[
\| |g_\alpha| - n^{1/\alpha}\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq \frac{8}{3} C 6^{1/\alpha} \text{ for } \alpha \geq 2.
\]

Remark 3.4. Many problems deal with sub-gaussian and sub-exponential random variables may be considered in the spaces of \( \alpha \)-sub-exponential random variables for any positive \( \alpha \). In the paper Götze et al. [3] one can find generalizations and applications of some concentrations inequalities for polynomials of such variables in cases of \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \).
In our paper we focus our attention on concentrations of norms of random vectors with independent \( \alpha \)-sub-exponential coordinates for \( \alpha \geq 2 \). There is a problem to find estimates of concentrations of these (quasi-)norms for \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \).
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