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Abstract. Haviv (European Journal of Combinatorics, 2019) has recently proved that some topological lower bounds on the chromatic number of graphs are also lower bounds on their orthogonality dimension over \( \mathbb{R} \). We show that this holds actually for all known topological lower bounds and all fields. We also improve the topological bound he obtained for the minrank parameter over \( \mathbb{R} \) – an important graph invariant from coding theory – and show that this bound is actually valid for all fields as well. The notion of independent representation over a matroid is introduced and used in a general theorem having these results as corollaries.

1. Introduction

Given a field \( \mathbb{F} \), an assignment of a vector \( x_v \in \mathbb{F}^t \) to each vertex \( v \) of a graph \( G \) is a \( t \)-dimensional orthogonal representation of \( G \) over \( \mathbb{F} \) if \( \langle x_u, x_u \rangle \neq 0 \) for every vertex \( u \) and \( \langle x_u, x_v \rangle = 0 \) for every edge \( uv \). Here \( \langle y, z \rangle \) stands for \( \sum_i y_i z_i \) except when the field is \( \mathbb{C} \) for which we can also understand \( \langle y, z \rangle \) as \( \sum_i y_i \overline{z_i} \); none of our results depends on which definition is chosen for complex vector spaces.

The orthogonality dimension of a graph \( G \) over \( \mathbb{F} \), denoted by \( \xi_{\mathbb{F}}(G) \), is the smallest \( t \) for which there exists a \( t \)-dimensional orthogonal representation of \( G \) over \( \mathbb{F} \). This definition is sometimes given for the complement of \( G \). We follow the setting proposed by Haviv [5], whose work [6] was the starting point of this paper.

The orthogonality dimension of a graph over \( \mathbb{R} \) was originally introduced by Lovász [9] for his work on the Shannon capacity of a graph. Peeters [12] extended the notion over any field, and it is now a well-studied notion. The orthogonality dimension of a graph \( G \) is always at least its clique number and at most its chromatic number, i.e., \( \chi(G) \geq \xi_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \geq \omega(G) \).

The clique number is one of the most natural lower bounds on the chromatic number. After the celebrated work of Lovász on the chromatic number of Kneser graphs [8], topology has also been a way to get lower bounds on this parameter. Recently, Haviv [6] proved that, similarly, two of these topological bounds, the “2-colorability defect of a Kneser representation of \( G \)” denoted by \( \operatorname{cd}_2(\mathcal{F}_G) \) and the so-called “Bárány’s bound”, are lower bounds on the orthogonality dimension over \( \mathbb{R} \).

Another geometric parameter associated to a graph is the minrank. A \( V \times V \) matrix \( A \) represents a graph \( G = (V, E) \) over a field \( \mathbb{F} \) if \( A_{u,u} \neq 0 \) for every vertex \( u \) and if \( A_{u,v} = 0 \) for every distinct nonadjacent vertices \( u \) and \( v \). The minrank of \( G \) over \( \mathbb{F} \) is defined as \( \minrk_{\mathbb{F}}(G) = \min\{\text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}}(A) : A \text{ represents } G \text{ over } \mathbb{F}\} \). Haviv also proved the inequality \( \minrk_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{cd}_2(\mathcal{F}_G)}{2}} \) (where \( \overline{G} \) is the complement of \( G \)).
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We improve both results of Haviv. First, we prove that whatever the field, all known topological lower bounds on the chromatic number are also lower bounds on the orthogonality dimension. Second, we prove that the square root is not necessary in the lower bound of the minrank, and that this lower bound is again valid for any field $\mathbb{F}$. These improvements are consequences of our main result, which we state in the more general setting of matroids to emphasize the role played by independence.

Let $G$ be a graph and $M$ a matroid. Consider an assignment of an element $x_v \in M$ to each vertex $v$ of $G$ such that $x_v$ is not in the span of the elements assigned to the neighbors of $v$, i.e., $x_v \notin \text{span}\{x_u : u \in N(v)\}$ for every vertex $v$. In particular, $x_v$ is never a loop. We call such an assignment an independent representation of $G$ over $M$. The special case when $M$ is the linear matroid built from a vector space $U$ – which we call then also an independent representation of $G$ over $U$ – was already studied in the context of linear index codings; see the beginning of Section C of the paper by Shanmugam, Dimakis, and Langberg [13]. This special case is also close to the notion of independence-preserving representation introduced by Lovász and Vesztergombi [10, Chapter 10].

Our main result is the following theorem which is a counterpart for independent representations of the “zig-zag” theorem by Simonyi and Tardos [15] and of its generalizations [1, 14]. The quantity $\text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G))$, which appears in its statement, is the “cross-index of the Hom-complex” that provides a lower bound on the chromatic number that dominates all known topological lower bounds on it [14, Section 3]. Its definition is rather technical and is postponed to a section where we discuss these topological bounds. We simply emphasize that $\text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$ is nonsmaller than $\text{cd}_2(\mathcal{F}_G)$ and nonsmaller than “Bárány’s bound”.

**Theorem 1.** Let $G$ be a graph with at least one edge and let $t = \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$. For every independent representation of $G$ over a matroid $M$, there is a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor, \lceil t/2 \rceil}$ such that the elements assigned to every side are independent.

Since the elements assigned to the side with $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ vertices are independent and since any element on the other side is not in their span, we have $\text{rk} M \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$ for every representation as in the statement of this theorem.

Our improvements over Haviv’s results are formulated as the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** For every graph $G$ with at least one vertex and every field $\mathbb{F}$, the following inequality holds: $\xi_{\mathbb{F}}(G) \geq \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$.

**Proof.** Consider an $s$-dimensional orthogonal representation over $\mathbb{F}$. It is an independent representation over $\mathbb{F}^s$. Let $t = \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$.

If $G$ has no edge, then $t = 1$ by definition (see Section 2.1.3) and the inequality to be proved is obvious.

So suppose that $G$ has at least one edge. According to Theorem 1, there is a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor, \lceil t/2 \rceil}$ such that the vectors assigned to every side are linearly independent. Denote by $U$ and $W$ the vector spaces spanned by the vectors assigned respectively to one side of the complete bipartite graph and to the other. Since we are given an $s$-dimensional representation, we have $s = \dim U + \dim U^\perp$. (This property is true as soon as we work with a nondegenerate bilinear form on a finite-dimensional vector space.) This representation being orthogonal, we have $W \subseteq U^\perp$. Combined with the previous equality, it leads to $s \geq \dim U + \dim W = t$. □
Corollary 1 shows in particular that if the chromatic number of a graph matches one of the topological lower bounds known in the literature, then the orthogonal dimension of this graph does not depend on the field and is equal to the chromatic number. There are many graphs like this, such as Kneser graphs, Schrijver graphs, some Mycielski graphs, Borsuk graphs; see [11] for the definition of these graphs and other examples.

**Corollary 2.** For any graph $G$ with at least one edge and any field $\mathbb{F}$, the following inequality holds: $\text{minrk}_F(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$.

*Proof.* Consider an independent representation over $\mathbb{F}^s$. According to Theorem 1, there is a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{\lceil t/2 \rceil, \lceil t/2 \rceil}$ such that the vectors assigned to every side are linearly independent. The vectors assigned to the side with $\lceil t/2 \rceil$ vertices are independent, and any vector on the other side is not in their span. Thus, $s \geq t/2 + 1$.

The conclusion comes from the following fact: the minimum $s$ such that there exists an independent representation of a graph over $\mathbb{F}^s$ is the minrank of its complement over $\mathbb{F}$; see Lemma 2 in Section 2.3. □

2. Tools

2.1. Topological lower bounds on the chromatic number.

2.1.1. The 2-colorability defect lower bound. Given a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$, the graph $\text{KG}(\mathcal{H})$ has $E$ as vertex set, and two vertices of $\text{KG}(\mathcal{H})$ are adjacent if the corresponding edges in $\mathcal{H}$ are disjoint. The hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a Kneser representation of $G$ if $G$ and $\text{KG}(\mathcal{H})$ are isomorphic. All simple graphs have a Kneser representation. The 2-colorability defect of $\mathcal{H}$ is the minimum vertices to remove from $\mathcal{H}$ so that it becomes 2-colorable. Dol’nikov [4] proved that we always have $\chi(G) \geq \text{cd}_2(\mathcal{H})$ when $\mathcal{H}$ is a Kneser representation of $G$. This is one of the two topological lower bounds used in the paper by Haviv.

2.1.2. Bárány’s bound. Bárány [3] proposed an alternate way to compute the chromatic number of Kneser graphs. As noted by Matoušek and Ziegler [11], his argument relies on the following claim: Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be a Kneser representation of a graph $G$. If $V$ can be placed into the $(t-2)$-dimensional sphere in such a way that for every open hemisphere $H$ there exists an edge $e \in E$ with $e \subseteq H$, then $\chi(G) \geq t$. (Kneser representations have been defined in Section 2.1.1.) This is the other topological lower bound used by Haviv.

2.1.3. The cross-index lower bound. A free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset is a poset with a fixed-point free involution $\nu$ that preserves the order. A map $\phi : P \to Q$ between two free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-posets $P$ and $Q$ is an order-preserving $\mathbb{Z}_2$-map if it is order-preserving and if $\phi(\nu \cdot p) = \nu \cdot \phi(p)$ for all $p \in P$. For a nonnegative integer $n$, we define $Q_n$ to be the free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset with elements $\{\pm 1, \ldots, \pm (n+1)\}$ and whose partial order $p < q_n$ is given by $p < q_n$ if $|p| < |q|$. Note that $-i$ and $+i$ are not comparable in $Q_n$. For a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset $P$, the cross-index of $P$, denoted by $\text{Xind}(P)$, is the minimum $n$ such that there is an order-preserving $\mathbb{Z}_2$-map from $P$ to $Q_n$. Note that if $P$ is nonempty, then $\text{Xind}(P) \geq 0$. By convention, the value of $\text{Xind}(P)$ is $-1$ when $P$ is empty.

The $\text{Hom}$ complex of a graph $G = (V, E)$, denoted by $\text{Hom}(K_2, G)$, is a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset consisting of all pairs $(X, Y)$ such that $X$ and $Y$ are nonempty disjoint subsets of $V$ and such that $G[X, Y]$ is a complete bipartite graph. The partial order of this poset is the
inclusion \( \subseteq \) extended to pairs of subsets of \( V \): the relation \((X, Y) \subseteq (X', Y')\) holds when \( X \subseteq X' \) and \( Y \subseteq Y' \). The involution \( \nu \) on \( \text{Hom}(K_2, G) \) is defined by \( \nu \cdot (X, Y) = (Y, X) \).

Simonyi, Tardif, and Zsák [14] proved that we always have \( \chi(G) \geq \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2 \).

2.1.4. Comparison. Simonyi, Tardif, and Zsák also explain why this provides a better lower bound than the other topological lower bounds like \( \text{ind}(B_0(G)) \) or \( \text{coind}(B_0(G)) \); see the aforementioned paper for the definitions. Matoušek and Ziegler [11] have provided a hierarchy on the various topological lower bounds on the chromatic number. This shows that the cross-index lower bound of Simonyi, Tardif, and Zsák is the best topological lower bound. In particular, we have \( \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2 \geq \text{cd}_2(\mathcal{H}) \) when \( \mathcal{H} \) is a Kneser representation of \( G \), and the same inequality with Bárány’s bound.

2.2. A Fan lemma for the cross-index. There is a “Fan lemma” for the cross-index, proved by the present two authors and Hossein Hajiabolhassan [1, Lemma 4].

**Lemma 1.** Let \((P, \prec)\) be a free \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-poset and \( r = \text{Xind}(P) + 1 \). Consider a map \( \phi : P \to \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \) such that

- \( \phi(p) = -\phi(q) \) implies that \( p \) and \( q \) are not comparable.
- \( |\phi(p)| \leq |\phi(q)| \) when \( p \preceq q \).
- \( \phi(v \cdot p) = -\phi(p) \) for every \( p \).

Then there exists a chain \( p_1 \prec p_2 \prec \cdots \prec p_r \) such that

\[ 0 < -\phi(p_1) < +\phi(p_2) < -\phi(p_3) < \cdots < (-1)^r\phi(p_r). \]

2.3. Minrank and independent representations. In the proof of Corollary 2, we use the following fact, which seems to be common knowledge in the coding theory community (and which is used implicitly in the paper by Shanmugam, Dimakis, and Langberg [13]). It has been communicated to us together with a proof by Ishay Haviv.

**Lemma 2.** The minimum \( s \) for which there exists an independent representation of a graph \( G \) over \( \mathbb{F}^s \) is the minrank of the complement of \( G \) over \( \mathbb{F} \).

**Proof.** Let \( r = \text{minrk}_\mathbb{F}(\overline{G}) \) and \( s \) the minimum integer such that there exists an independent representation of \( G \) over \( \mathbb{F}^s \).

Consider a matrix \( A \) of rank \( r \) representing \( \overline{G} \). Without loss of generality, assume that the first \( r \) columns of \( A \) are linearly independent. Let \( B \) be the submatrix of \( A \) whose columns are the first \( r \) columns of \( A \). Assign to each vertex \( v \) the \( v \) row of \( B \), say \( x_v^T \). Suppose for a contradiction that there is a vertex \( v \) such that \( x_v = \sum_{u \in N(v)} \lambda_u x_u \) for some \( \lambda_u \)'s in \( \mathbb{F} \). Let \( y \) be the \( v \) column of \( A \). Since \( y \) can be written as a linear combination of the columns of \( B \), there is a vector \( z \) such that \( Bz = y \). Note that \( y \) has 0 on its entries in \( N(v) \) and nonzero on its \( v \) entry. Hence,

\[ 0 \neq \langle x_v, z \rangle = \sum_{u \in N(v)} \lambda_u \langle x_u, z \rangle = 0, \]

which is a contradiction. Therefore, \( r \geq s \).

Conversely, consider an independent representation \((x_v)_{v \in V} \) of \( G = (V, E) \) over \( \mathbb{F}^s \). Let \( B \) be the \( V \times [s] \) matrix whose row \( v \) is \( x_v^T \). Since \( x_v \) is not in the span of the \( x_u \) with \( u \in N(v) \), there exists for each \( v \) a vector \( y_v \) in \( \mathbb{F}^s \) such that \( By_v \) is 0 on its entries in \( N(v) \) and nonzero on its \( v \) entry: look at the submatrix \( C \) corresponding to the rows in \( N(v) \cup \{v\} \); the rank of \( C \) is larger than the rank of the submatrix \( D \) without the \( v \) row and we can choose \( y_v \) in
Ker $D \setminus$ Ker $C$. The $V \times V$ matrix whose $v$ column is $By_v$ represents $G$ over $F$ and its rank is at most $s$. Therefore, $s \geq r$. \qed

3. Proof of the main result

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Consider $(x_v)_{v \in V}$ an independent representation of $G = (V, E)$ over a matroid $M$. For $U \subseteq V$, denote by $S(U)$ the span generated by the elements $x_v$ with $v \in U$. We consider the set of all possible such $S(U)$'s. We put on this set an arbitrary total order $\preceq$ that refines the order induced by the ranks, i.e., which is such that $S(U) \preceq S(U')$ if $\text{rk} S(U) \leq \text{rk} S(U')$.

We introduce now a map $\phi$ from $\text{Hom}(K_2, G)$ to $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $(X, Y) \in \text{Hom}(K_2, G)$. Since $X$ and $Y$ are both nonempty, we have $S(X) \neq S(Y)$: any $x_v$ with $v \in X$ is not in $S(Y)$. We can thus define unambiguously $\phi$ as

$$\phi(X, Y) = \begin{cases} +& (\text{rk} S(X) + \text{rk} S(Y)) \quad \text{if } S(X) \preceq S(Y), \\ -(\text{rk} S(X) + \text{rk} S(Y)) \quad \text{if } S(Y) \preceq S(X). \end{cases}$$

We have $\phi(X, Y) = -\phi(X, Y)$. For $(X, Y) \subseteq (X', Y')$, we have $|\phi(X, Y)| \leq |\phi(X', Y')|$, and if $|\phi(X, Y)| = |\phi(X', Y')|$, then $\text{rk} S(X) = \text{rk} S(Y)$ and $\text{rk} S(Y) = \text{rk} S(Y')$, which implies that $S(X) = S(X')$ and $S(Y) = S(Y')$, and hence $\phi(X, Y) = \phi(X', Y')$. The map $\phi$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 1. There exists thus in $\text{Hom}(K_2, G)$ a sequence $(X_1, Y_1) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_t, Y_t)$ such that the $|\phi(X_i, Y_i)|$'s form an increasing sequence of positive numbers, and such that the signs of the $\phi(X_i, Y_i)$'s alternate, starting from a minus sign. Since $G$ has at least one edge, we have $t \geq 2$, i.e., this sequence is not empty. Because of this alternation, we have $\text{rk} S(X_{t-1}) \geq \lceil t/2 \rceil$ and $\text{rk} S(Y_{t-1}) \geq \lceil t/2 \rceil$. We can thus choose a set $X^*$ of $\lceil t/2 \rceil$ vertices in $X_{t-1}$ such that the elements in $\{x_v : v \in X^*\}$ form an independent set, and a set $Y^*$ of $\lceil t/2 \rceil$ vertices in $Y_{t-1}$ such that the elements in $\{x_v : v \in Y^*\}$ form an independent set. The complete bipartite subgraph of $G$ with bipartition $X^*, Y^*$ is the sought subgraph. \qed

4. Further comments

4.1. A way to get the lower bound of Corollary 1 for independent representations over a matroid $M$ is to consider the independent representations over $M$ satisfying the following extra condition:

$$(*) \quad \text{rk} S(X) + \text{rk} S(Y) \leq \text{rk} M \quad \text{whenever } G[X,Y] \text{ is a complete bipartite graph,}$$

where $S(U)$ is the span generated by the elements $x_u$ with $u \in U$. The existence of the complete bipartite subgraph $K_{\lceil t/2 \rceil, \lceil t/2 \rceil}$ ensured by Theorem 1 implies then $\text{rk} M \geq \text{Xind}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$. However, this condition does not sound natural. In particular, while whether an assignment of elements from $M$ is an independent representation can be checked in polynomial time (for any natural complexity model), we do not know the complexity status of checking that such an assignment satisfies the extra condition.

For special independent representations, we get this extra condition for free. Orthogonal representations are like this. The usual proper coloring is also such an example: when $M$ is the $t$-uniform matroid over $[t]$, the independent representations over $M$ are exactly the proper colorings with $t$ colors, and clearly if $G[X,Y]$ is a complete bipartite graph, then $\text{rk} S(X \cup Y) \leq \text{rk} M$ is the total number of distinct elements assigned to $X \cup Y$, which is equal to the total number of distinct elements assigned to $X$ plus the total number of
distinct elements assigned to \( Y \); condition (\( \ast \)) is thus satisfied in such a representation, and we recover the inequality \( \chi(G) \geq \text{Xind(Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2 \).

Here is yet another example. Take \( M \) as the graphic matroid over a graph \( H \), and assign elements to the vertices of \( G \) such that adjacent vertices get disjoint edges of \( H \). We get then an independent representation over \( M \) satisfying the condition (\( \ast \)). Therefore, for such a matroid, the inequality \( \text{rk}\, M \geq \text{Xind(Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2 \) holds too.

4.2. The intersection of two orthogonal subspaces in a Hilbert space is \( \{0\} \). Therefore, if the \( s \)-dimensional orthogonal representation of \( G \) comes from a finite dimensional Hilbert space (like \( \mathbb{R}^s \) or \( \mathbb{C}^s \) with \( \langle y, z \rangle = \sum_i y_i \overline{z_i} \)), then all the vectors assigned to the vertices of the complete bipartite subgraph \( K_{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor,\lceil t/2 \rceil} \) in the statement of Theorem 1 are linearly independent.

A way to improve Theorem 1 for the special case of orthogonal representations over \( \mathbb{R} \) would be to show that we can find a large bipartite complete subgraph whose assigned vectors are pairwise orthogonal. It is unlikely to get a result in that direction, as shown by the following example.

The 5-cycle \( C_5 \) is such that \( \xi_{\mathbb{R}}(C_5) = \text{Xind(Hom}(K_2, C_5)) + 2 = 3 \). Assigning the following 5 vectors consecutively to the 5 vertices of \( C_5 \) leads to a 3-dimensional orthogonal representation over \( \mathbb{R} \) with no \( K_{1,2} \) having its 3 vectors pairwise orthogonal:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
2 
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
-1 \\
-1 \\
2 
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
3 \\
1 \\
5 
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
-1 \\
-1 \\
0 
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
-1 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

4.3. In the special case of the binary field \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), we always have \( \xi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(G) = \chi(G) \) when \( \chi(G) \leq 6 \). Indeed, define \( H_t \) to be the graph with vertices the vectors in \( \mathbb{Z}_2^t \) with an odd number of nonzero entries, and for which two vertices are adjacent if the corresponding vectors are orthogonal; a \( t \)-dimensional orthogonal representation of \( G \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is a homomorphism from \( G \) to \( H_t \) and it is easy to check that \( \chi(H_t) = t \) when \( t \leq 5 \).

Though, \( \chi(H_6) = 8 \) – computed with the help of the computer algebra system SageMath. The gap between \( t \) and \( \chi(H_t) \) can actually be exponentially large (a fact communicated to us by Ishay Haviv). Indeed, \( H_t \) is a graph with \( 2^{t-1} \) vertices and is an induced subgraph of a \( (2^{t-1} - 1) \)-regular graph \( G_t \) with \( 2^t - 1 \) vertices and a smallest eigenvalue equal to \( -\sqrt{2^{t-2}} \); see [2] where this latter graph is denoted by \( G(t-1, 2) \). Using the Delsarte-Hoffman-type bound for graphs with loops [7], we get \( \alpha(G_t) \leq O(2^{t/2}) \), which implies \( \chi(H_t) \geq \frac{|V(H_t)|}{\alpha(H_t)} \geq \frac{|V(H_t)|}{\alpha(G_t)} = \Omega(2^{t/2}) \).
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