A FORMULA FOR INVERSE MATRIX
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Abstract. We give a formula for the inverse matrix to an infinite matrix with possibly noncommutative entries, generalizing the Newton interpolation formula and the Taylor formula.

0. Introduction. Many important problems of classical analysis can be interpreted as the problems of inverting a linear operator between infinite dimensional vector spaces. This viewpoint has been emphasized already in the classical book [1]. If we choose infinite bases of the vector spaces in question, the problem is to solve an infinite system of linear equations with infinitely many variables, or to invert a matrix of infinite order.

For example, let $x_0, x_1, \ldots$ be pairwise distinct numbers, and let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ be an analytical function. The interpolation problem, i.e. the problem of finding the function $f(x)$ from the equalities $f(x_i) = f_i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, is equivalent to the problem of inverting the infinite matrix $(x^k_i)_{i,k=0}^{\infty}$.

The answer to the interpolation problem is given by the classical Newton interpolation formula. Define the difference derivatives (or simply differences) $\Delta^m f(x_0, \ldots, x_m)$ by the formulas

$$\Delta^m f(x_0, \ldots, x_m) = \frac{\Delta^{m-1} f(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) - \Delta^{m-1} f(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-2}, x_m)}{x_{m-1} - x_m}.$$  

Then the Newton formula (for a modern account, see e.g. [2]) is

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (x - x_0) \ldots (x - x_{m-1}) \Delta^m f(x_0, \ldots, x_m) + R_n(x),$$  

$$R_n(x_0) = \ldots = R_n(x_n) = 0.$$  

A remarkable and somewhat unexpected property of the differences $\Delta^m f(x_0, \ldots, x_m)$ is that they are symmetric functions of $x_0, \ldots, x_m$. The present work arose from an attempt to understand and find a
natural context for this property. The result is a formula for the inverse matrix generalizing the Newton formula.

Another remarkable property of the difference derivatives

$$\Delta^m f(x_0, \ldots, x_m)$$

is that they have finite limits as the points $x_0, \ldots, x_m$ merge. For example, when $x_1, \ldots, x_m \to x_0$, one has

$$\Delta^m f(x_0, \ldots, x_m) \to \frac{f^{(m)}(x_0)}{m!},$$

where $f^{(m)}(x)$ is the $m$-th derivative of $f$, and the Newton formula tends to the Taylor formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (x - x_0)^m \frac{f^{(m)}(x_0)}{m!} + R_n(x),$$

$$R_n(x_0) = R'_n(x_0) = \ldots = R''_n(x_0) = 0.$$  

The Taylor formula is also a particular case of our formula.

It turns out that the most simple and natural formulas arise if we allow the product operation to be noncommutative, and use the theory of quasideterminants due to I. M. Gelfand, V. S. Retakh et al. [3].

1. Notations. Let $D = (y^i_k)$ be a matrix of infinite order, $i, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, let $f = (f_i)$ be a column vector, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, and let $g = (g^k)$ be a row vector, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, with possibly noncommutative entries.

Denote by $y^k_i = (y^0_0, y^1_1, \ldots)$ the $k$-th column of $D$, and by $y_i = (y^0_i, y^1_i, \ldots)$ the $i$-th row of $D$.

Denote by $D^{i_0, \ldots, i_m}_{k_0, \ldots, k_m}$ the $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$-submatrix of $D$ with the rows $i_0, \ldots, i_m$ and the columns $k_0, \ldots, k_m$.

Denote by $|A|^j_i$ the $(i, j)$-quasideterminant of an $n \times n$-matrix $A$ [3]. In the commutative case, one has $|A|^j_i = (-1)^{i+j} \det A / \det A^j_i$, where $A^j_i$ is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$-submatrix obtained from $A$ by removing the $i$-th row and the $j$-th column.


$$\begin{align*}
(\Delta^0_L f)_i &= (y^0_i)^{-1} f_i, \\
(\Delta^1_L f)_{i'v} &= ((\Delta^0_L y^1)_i - (\Delta^0_L y^1)_{i'})^{-1} ((\Delta^0_L f)_i - (\Delta^0_L f)_{i'}) \\
(\Delta^m_L f)_{i_0, \ldots, i_m} &= ((\Delta^{m-1}_L y^m)_{i_0, \ldots, i_m - 1} - (\Delta^{m-1}_L y^m)_{i_0, \ldots, i_m - 2i_m})^{-1} \\
&\times ((\Delta^{m-1}_L f)_{i_0, \ldots, i_m - 1} - (\Delta^{m-1}_L f)_{i_0, \ldots, i_m - 2i_m}).
\end{align*}$$
b. Right difference derivatives. Put

\[(\Delta^1_R g)^{kk'} = ((\Delta^0_R g)^k - (\Delta^0_R y_1)^k)((\Delta^0_R y_1)^{k'} - (\Delta^0_R y_1)^{k'})^{-1},\]

\[(\Delta^m_R g)^{k_0...k_m} = ((\Delta^m_R y_1)^{k_0...k_m-1} - (\Delta^m_R y_1)^{k_0...k_m-2})\times((\Delta^m_R y_1)^{k_0...k_m-1} - (\Delta^m_R y_1)^{k_0...k_m-2})^{-1}.\]

Explicit formulas for difference derivatives.

**Theorem 1.**

a) \((\Delta^m_L f)_{i_0...i_m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (|D_{i_0...i_m}|^m_j)^{1} f_{i_j}.\)

b) \((\Delta^m_R g)^{k_0...k_m} = \sum_{l=0}^{m} g_l (|D_{k_0...k_m}|^m_l)^{1}.\)

**Corollary.**

a) \((\Delta^m_L f)_{i_0...i_m}\) is symmetric with respect to \(i_0, \ldots, i_m.\)

b) \((\Delta^m_R g)^{k_0...k_m}\) is symmetric with respect to \(k_0, \ldots, k_m.\)

Denote \(D^0_{i_0...i_m} = D^m; \quad (\Delta^m_L f)_{0...m} = \Delta^m_L f; \quad (\Delta^m_R g)^{0...m} = \Delta^m_R g.\)

By Theorem 1, we have

\[\Delta^m_L f = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (|D_m|^m_i)^{-1} f_i,\]

\[\Delta^m_R g = \sum_{k=0}^{m} g_k (|D_m|^m_k)^{-1}.\]

**Theorem 2.**

a) \(\Delta^m_L f\) is the unique left linear combination of \(f_0, \ldots, f_m\) with the properties

\[\Delta^m_L y_i^k = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m - 1; \quad \Delta^m_L y^m = 1.\]

b) \(\Delta^m_R g\) is the unique right linear combination of \(g^0, \ldots, g^m\) with the properties

\[\Delta^m_R y_i = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m - 1; \quad \Delta^m_R y^m = 1.\]


\[S_n(f, g) = \sum_{i,k=0}^{n} g_k (z_i^k)_n f_i,\]

where \((z_i^k)_n\) is the inverse matrix to the matrix \(D_n,\)

\[(z_i^k)_n = (|D_n|^k)^{-1}.\]
We have
\[ S_n(f, y_i) = f_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n; \]
\[ S_n(y^k, g) = g^k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \]

4. Formula for the inverse matrix. Theorem 3.

\[ \sum_{m=\max(i,k)}^n (|D_m|_m^{-1})^k |D_{m|m}|^m (|D_m|_i^m)^{-1}. \]

Proof follows from the equalities, for \( i, k < m \),
\[ (z^i_k)_m - (|D_m|_m^{-1})^k |D_{m|m}|^m (|D_m|_i^m)^{-1} \]
\[ = (z^i_k)_m - (z^m_k)_m (z^m_i)_m^{-1} (z^m_m)_m \]
\[ = \left| \begin{array}{c}
z^i_k_m \ z^m_k_m \\
z^m_k_m \ z^m_i_m
\end{array} \right|_1 = (|D_m|_i^m)^{-1} \text{ (see [3])} \]
\[ = (z^i_k)_m. \quad \square \]


\[ S_n(f, g) = \sum_{m=0}^n \Delta_R^m g |D_m|_m^m \Delta_L^m f. \]

Proof.
\[ S_n(f, g) = \sum_{i,k=0}^n g^k (z^i_k)_n f_i \]
\[ \overset{\text{Th.3}}{=} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{i,k=0}^m g^k (|D_m|_m^{-1})^k |D_m|_m^m (|D_m|_i^m)^{-1} f_i \]
\[ \overset{(7)}{=} \sum_{m=0}^n \Delta_R^m g |D_m|_m^m \Delta_L^m f. \quad \square \]

6. Example 1: the Newton formula. Let \( x_0, x_1, \ldots \) be pairwise different numbers, \( f(x) \) be a function, \( y_i^k = x_i^k \) (the \( k \)-th power of \( x_i \), \( f_i = f(x_i), g^k = x^k \).

By Theorem 2b, \( \Delta_R^m g = P_m(x) \) is the unique degree \( m \) polynomial in \( x \) with the properties
\[ P_m(x_0) = P_m(x_1) = \ldots = P_m(x_{m-1}) = 0, \]
\[ P_m(x_m) = 1. \]

Therefore, we have
\[ \Delta_R^m g = \frac{(x - x_0) \ldots (x - x_{m-1})}{(x_m - x_0) \ldots (x_m - x_{m-1})}. \]
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Further, $\Delta^m_L f = \Delta^m f(x_0, \ldots, x_m)$ is the $m$-th difference derivative (1); 
(17) $|D_m|_m^m = (x_m - x_0) \ldots (x_m - x_{m-1})$; 
and $S_n(f, g) = S_n(x)$ is the unique degree $n$ polynomial in $x$ with the properties 
(18) $S_n(x_i) = f_i, \ 0 \leq i \leq n$, 
i. e. it is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial. Hence, in this case the formula of Theorem 4 is the Newton formula (2).

7. Example 2: the Taylor formula. Let $x_0$ be a number variable, let $f(x)$ be a function, let $d^m f(x_0) = f^{(m)}(x_0)(dx_0)^m$ be its $m$-th differential, and let 

\[ y_i^k = x_0^k + dx_0^k + \ldots + \frac{1}{i!} d^i x_0^k, \]
\[ f_i = f(x_0) + df(x_0) + \ldots + \frac{1}{i!} d^i f(x_0), \]

$g^k = x^k$. By Theorem 2b, $\Delta^m_R g = P_m(x)$ is the unique degree $m$ polynomial in $x$ with the properties 
\[ P_m(x_0) = dP_m(x_0) = \ldots = d^{m-1} P_m(x_0) = 0, \]
\[ d^m P_m(x_0) = m!. \]

Therefore, 
(21) $\Delta^m_R g = (x - x_0)^m / (dx_0)^m$.

Further, by formula (7) we have $\Delta^m_L f = f^{(m)}(x_0)/m!$; 
(22) $|D_m|_m^m = (dx_0)^m$; 
and $S_n(f, g) = S_n(x)$ is the unique degree $n$ polynomial in $x$ with the properties 
(23) $d^i S_n(x_0) = d^i f(x_0), \ 0 \leq i \leq n$, 
i. e. it is the Taylor polynomial. Hence, in this case the formula of Theorem 4 is the Taylor formula (4).

As $x_1, \ldots, x_m \to x_0$, the polynomial $\Delta^m_R g|D_m|_m^m$ given by (16, 17) tends to the polynomial given by (21, 22). This yields an explanation of the limit formula (3).
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