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Abstract. In previous work ([GKLR19]), we noted that the known cases of hyper-Kähler manifolds satisfy a natural condition on the LLV decomposition of the cohomology; informally, the Verbitsky component is the dominant representation in the LLV decomposition. Assuming this condition holds for all hyper-Kähler manifolds, we obtain an upper bound for the second Betti number in terms of the dimension.

1. Introduction

A fundamental open question in the theory of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds is the boundedness question: are there finitely many diffeomorphism types of hyper-Kählers in a given dimension? In accordance with the Torelli principle, Huybrechts [Huy03, Thm 4.3] proved that there are finitely many diffeomorphism types of hyper-Kähler manifolds once the dimension and the (unnormalized) Beauville–Bogomolov lattice \((H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), q_X)\) are fixed. Thus, bounding the hyper-Kähler manifolds is equivalent to bounding the second Betti number \(b_2\), and then the Beauville–Bogomolov form (e.g., the discriminant). In dimension 2, a compact hyper-Kähler manifold is always a K3 surface, thus \(b_2 = 22\). In dimension 4, Beauville and Guan [Gua01] gave a sharp bound \(b_2 \leq 23\) (in fact, Guan showed \(3 \leq b_2 \leq 8\) or \(b_2 = 23\)). Beyond these, we are not aware of any other boundedness result on \(b_2\) (see Remark 1.5). The purpose of this note is to give a conjectural bound on \(b_2\) for an arbitrary compact hyper-Kähler manifold \(X\) of dimension \(2n\). Our bound depends on a natural conjectural condition satisfied by the Looijenga–Lunts–Verbitsky (LLV) decomposition of the cohomology \(H^*(X)\) for hyper-Kähler manifolds \(X\).

To state our results, let us recall that Verbitsky [Ver95] and Looijenga–Lunts [LL97] noted that the cohomology \(H^*(X)\) of a hyper-Kähler manifold admits a natural action by the Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(b_2 + 2)\), generalizing the usual hard Lefschetz theorem. As a \(\mathfrak{g}\)-module, the cohomology of a hyper-Kähler manifold \(X\) decomposes as

\[ H^*(X) = \bigoplus_{\mu} V^\mu_{\mu} \]

where \(V^\mu_{\mu}\) indicates an irreducible \(\mathfrak{g}\)-module of highest weight \(\mu = (\mu_0, \cdots, \mu_r)\), with \(r = \left\lfloor \frac{b_2(X)}{2} \right\rfloor = \text{rk } \mathfrak{g} - 1\).

We refer to \(\mathfrak{g}\) as the LLV algebra of \(X\), and to (1.1) as the LLV decomposition of \(H^*(X)\) (see [GKLR19] for further discussion). Motivated by the behavior of the LLV decomposition in the known cases of hyper-Kähler manifolds [GKLR19], we have made the following conjecture.

Conjecture ([GKLR19]). Let \(X\) be a compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension \(2n\). Then the weights \(\mu = (\mu_0, \cdots, \mu_r)\) occurring in the LLV decomposition (1.1) of \(H^*(X)\) satisfy

\[ \mu_0 + \cdots + \mu_{r-1} + |\mu_r| \leq n. \]  

The conjecture holds for all currently known examples of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds (cf. [GKLR19, Thm 1.14]). Furthermore, the equality in (1.2) always holds for the Verbitsky component \(V_{(n)}\), the irreducible component corresponding to the subring generated by \(H^2(X)\), which shows that (1.2) is sharp. Beyond the evidence given by the validity of (1.2) in the known cases, we have some partial arguments of motivic nature (and depending on standard conjectures) showing that at least (1.2) is plausible. This will be discussed elsewhere.

The purpose of this note is to show that conjecture (1.2) implies a general bound on \(b_2(X)\).
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Main Theorem. Let $X$ be a compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$. If the condition (1.2) holds for $X$, then

$$b_2(X) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{21 + \sqrt{96n + 433}}{2} & \text{if } H^\odd_2(X) = 0 \\ 2k + 1 & \text{if } H^k(X) \neq 0 \text{ for some odd } k \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.4. A slightly weaker version of (1.3) is

$$b_2(X) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{21 + \sqrt{96n + 433}}{2}, 4n - 1 \right\},$$

which reads explicitly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>$\geq 8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$b_2(X)$</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In low dimensions, our bounds agree with the known results and seem fairly sharp. For instance, we know K3 type hyper-Kähler manifolds have $b_2 = 23$ and OG10 manifolds have $b_2 = 24$. These examples almost reach our maximum bound of $b_2$ for low dimensions. Similarly, Kum type hyper-Kähler manifolds have $H^3(X) \neq 0$ and $b_2 = 7$, showing also that the second inequality in (1.3) is sharp.

Remark 1.5. Sawon [Saw15] has previously obtained the same bound for $n = 3, 4$, and moreover predicted the general formula (1.3) when $H^\odd_2(X) = 0$. However, the results in loc. cit. were based on the assumption $\mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_r = 0$ for the highest weights $\mu$ appearing in the LLV decomposition (1.1). This is a too strong assumption, which is rarely satisfied (e.g., [GKLR19, Cor 3.2, 3.6]).

A few words about the proof of our conjectural bound. First, in [GKLR19, (3.31)], we have already noticed that the condition (1.2) has consequences on the odd cohomology (specifically, if $b_2 \geq 4n$ then there should be no odd cohomology). A slight generalization of the argument in loc. cit. then gives the second inequality in (1.3). The main content of this note is the control of the even cohomology under the assumption (1.2). Essentially, our argument is a representation theoretic refinement of Beauville’s argument that $b_2 \leq 23$ for hyper-Kähler fourfolds. Namely, the starting point is the Salamon’s relation [Sal96], a linear relation satisfied in (1.3). The main content of this note is the control of the even cohomology under the assumption (1.2).

We briefly review some relevant results on the cohomology of hyper-Kähler manifolds. Let $X$ be a compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$ and $H^*(X) = H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$. Let $g \subset \mathfrak{gl}(H^*(X))$ be the Lie algebra generated by all the Lefschetz and dual Lefschetz operators associated to elements in $H^2(X)$ (cf. [Ver95], [LL97]). We call this the Looijenga–Lunts–Verbitsky (LLV) algebra of $X$. Let

$$(V, q) = (H^2(X), q_X) \oplus U$$

be the Mukai completion of $H^2(X)$ equipped with the Beauville–Bogomolov form, and set $r = \left\lfloor \frac{b_2(X)}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Then $g$ is isomorphic to the special orthogonal Lie algebra $so(V, q) \cong so(b_2 + 2, \mathbb{C})$ of rank $r + 1$. The cohomology $H^*(X)$ of a hyper-Kähler manifold $X$ admits a $g$-module structure, generalizing the hard Lefschetz theorem. We refer to the $g$-module irreducible decomposition (1.1) of $H^*(X)$ as the LLV decomposition of the cohomology (see [GKLR19, Thm 1.1] for some examples). Finally, the subring of $H^*(X)$ generated by $H^2(X)$ becomes an irreducible $g$-module component of $H^*(X)$. We refer to it as the Verbitsky component and denote it by $V_{(n)}$. It always occurs with multiplicity 1 in the LLV decomposition.
Fix a Cartan and a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Representation theory of $\mathfrak{so}(V,q)$ depends on the parity of $\dim V = b_2 + 2$. If $b_2 = 2r$ is even, then we can fix a suitable basis $\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_r$ of the dual Cartan subalgebra such that the associated weights of the standard module $V$ are $\pm \varepsilon_0, \ldots, \pm \varepsilon_r$. Similarly, if $b_2 = 2r + 1$ is odd, then we can choose $\varepsilon_i$ such that $V$ has the associated weights $0, \pm \varepsilon_0, \ldots, \pm \varepsilon_r$. (Note that the index of the basis starts from 0.) Any dominant integral weight $\mu$ can be expressed in this basis as

$$\mu = (\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_r) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \mu_i \varepsilon_i.$$ 

Here if $b_2 = 2r$ is even, then $\mu_i$ satisfy the condition $\mu_0 \geq \cdots \geq |\mu_r| \geq 0$ and all $\mu_i$ are either mutually integers or half-integers. If $b_2 = 2r + 1$ is odd, then $\mu_0 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_r \geq 0$ and all $\mu_i$ are again either mutually integers or half-integers. It will be important whether $\mu_i$ are integers or half-integers, so we define:

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\mu = (\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_r)$ be a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V,q)$.

(i) If all $\mu_i$ are integers, we say $\mu$ is even. If all $\mu_i$ are half-integers (i.e., $\mu_i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$), we say $\mu$ is odd.

(ii) An irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module $V_\mu$ of highest weight $\mu$ is called even (resp. odd) if $\mu$ is even (resp. odd).

(iii) A $\mathfrak{g}$-module $W$ is called even (resp. odd) if all of its irreducible components $V_\mu$ are even (resp. odd).

By [GKLR19, Prop 2.35], the even (odd) cohomology $H^{\ast}_{\text{even}}(X)$ is always an even (resp. odd) $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

Let $h$ be the degree operator on $H^{\ast}(X)$, the operator acting as multiplication by $k$ on $H^{2n+k}(X)$. For a suitable choice of a Cartan and a Borel subalgebra, we can assume $h = \varepsilon^\vee_0$ (e.g., [GKLR19, (2.28)]). By definition, the degree decomposition of the cohomology

$$H^{\ast}(X) = \bigoplus_{k=-2n}^{2n} H^{2n+k}(X)$$

is the $h$-eigenspace decomposition. In general, an arbitrary $\mathfrak{g}$-module admits the $h$-eigenspace decomposition

$$W = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} W_k,$$

where $W_k$ denotes the eigenspace of $W$ with eigenvalue $k$, which is always an integer because $h = \varepsilon^\vee_0$.

Consider the LLV decomposition of the cohomology

$$H^{\ast}(X) = \bigoplus_{\mu} V_{\mu}^{\oplus m_\mu}.$$  (1.1 (restated))

If $V_\mu$ is contained in the odd cohomology, then $\mu$ is odd by the above discussion. Hence all $\mu_i$ are half-integers, and in particular we have $\mu_i \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (possibly except for the last $|\mu_r| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, if $b_2$ is even). If we specifically assume $H^{k}(X) \neq 0$ for odd $k < 2n$, then there exists at least one irreducible component $V_\mu$ with $(V_\mu)_{-2n} \neq 0$. This means $h = \varepsilon^\vee_0$ acts on some part of $V_\mu$ by $k - 2n$, so $V_\mu$ has an associated weight $\theta = \theta_0 \varepsilon_0 + \cdots + \theta_r \varepsilon_r$ with $\theta_0 = \frac{k}{2} - n$. This forces $\mu_0 \geq n - \frac{k}{2}$. Summarizing, we have

$$\mu_0 \geq n - \frac{k}{2}, \quad \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{r-1}, |\mu_r| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

which gives the following.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let $X$ be a compact hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$. Assume $H^{k}(X) \neq 0$ for some odd integer $k < 2n$. Then there exists a weight $\mu$ in (1.1) with $\mu_0 + \cdots + \mu_{r-1} + |\mu_r| \geq n - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$. □

Finally, let us recall the Salamon’s relation. Let $b_k = b_k(X)$ the $k$-th Betti number of $X$. Salamon [Sal96] proved that the Betti numbers of hyper-Kähler manifolds $X$ satisfy a linear relation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} (-1)^{k}(6k^2 - 2n)b_{2n+k} = nb_{2n}.$$  

One can manipulate the identity into the following form

$$\sum_{k=-2n}^{2n} (-1)^{k}k^2b_{2n+k} = \frac{n}{3} e(X),$$

where $e(X) = \sum_{k=-2n}^{2n} (-1)^{k}b_{2n+k}$ is the topological Euler characteristic of $X$. 

3
3. Proof of Main Theorem

Inspired by Salamon’s relation (2.4), we define a constant \( s(W) \) associated to an arbitrary \( \mathfrak{g} \)-module \( W \).

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( W \) be a \( \mathfrak{g} \)-module and \( \mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_k W_k \) its \( h \)-eigenspace decomposition in (2.2). Assume \( \sum_k (-1)^k \dim W_k \neq 0 \) (N.B. This is automatic if \( W \) is either even or odd). Then we define a constant \( s(W) \in \mathbb{Q} \) associated to \( W \) by

\[
s(W) = \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k k^2 \dim W_k}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \dim W_k}.
\]

In particular, if \( e(X) \neq 0 \), Salamon’s relation (2.4) reads

\[
s(H^*(X)) = \frac{n}{3}.
\]

The case of odd cohomology will be easily handled by Corollary 2.3. Thus, we can focus on the case of vanishing odd cohomology (in particular, \( e(X) \neq 0 \)). The main content then is to bound the value \( s(H^*(X)) \) in terms of \( b_2 \) and the LLV decomposition (1.1). Once this is done, assuming our conjecture (1.2), Salamon’s relation (3.2) leads to the desired inequality (1.3) between \( b_2 \) and \( n \). Let us start from some straightforward properties of the constant \( s(W) \).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \{ W_i \}_{i \in I} \) be a finite set of \( \mathfrak{g} \)-modules with well-defined \( s(W_i) \).

(i) If all \( W_i \) are simultaneously even or odd, then \( \min \{ s(W_i) \} \leq s(\bigoplus_i W_i) \leq \max \{ s(W_i) \} \).

(ii) \( s(\bigotimes_i W_i) = \sum_i s(W_i) \).

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the proposition for two \( \mathfrak{g} \)-modules \( W \) and \( W' \). Assume without loss of generality \( s(W) \leq s(W') \), and let us consider the case when \( W \) and \( W' \) are even (the odd case is similar). In this case, all eigenvalues \( k \) of \( W \) are even, so we have

\[
\sum_k k^2 \dim W_k = s(W) \dim W, \quad \sum_k k^2 \dim W'_k = s(W') \dim W'.
\]

Adding the two equalities and using \( s(W) \leq s(W') \) gives us the first item.

For the second item, we compute

\[
\sum_k (-1)^k k^2 \dim (W \otimes W')_k = \sum_k (-1)^k k^2 \left( \sum_{i+j=k} \dim W_i \dim W'_j \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} (i^2 + 2ij + j^2) \dim W_i \dim W'_j
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_i (-1)^i i^2 \dim W_i \right) e(W') + \left( \sum_j (-1)^j j^2 \dim W'_j \right) e(W)
\]

\[
+ 2 \left( \sum_i (-1)^i i \dim W_i \right) \left( \sum_j (-1)^j j \dim W'_j \right).
\]

Here we used the notation \( e(W) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim W_i \) and \( e(W') = \sum_j (-1)^j \dim W'_j \) for simplicity. Notice that \( \sum_i (-1)^i \dim W_i = 0 \), since by Weyl symmetry we always have \( \dim W_i = \dim W_{-i} \). This proves \( \sum_k (-1)^k k^2 \dim (W \otimes W')_k = \left( \sum_i (-1)^i i^2 \dim W_i \right) e(W') + \left( \sum_j (-1)^j j^2 \dim W'_j \right) e(W) \). Dividing both hand sides by \( e(W \otimes W') = e(W)e(W') \) gives us the result. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.4.** In fact, we can associate to an arbitrary \( \mathfrak{g} \)-module \( W \) the following formal power series

\[
S(W) = \sum_k (-1)^k \dim W_k \cdot \exp(kt) \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].
\]

One can easily show

\[
S(W \oplus W') = S(W) + S(W'), \quad S(W \otimes W') = S(W) \cdot S(W'),
\]

\[

\]
so that \( S \) defines a ring homomorphism from the representation ring \( K(\mathfrak{g}) \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \)

\[
S : K(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{Q}[\![ t ]\!].
\]

By Weyl symmetry, we have \( \dim W_k = \dim W_{-k} \), giving that all the odd degree terms of \( S(W) \) vanish. Thus, we can write

\[
S(W) = s_0 + s_2 t^2 + s_4 t^4 + \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}[\![ t ]\!], \quad s_i = \frac{1}{i!} \sum_k (-1)^k k^i \dim W_k.
\]

From this perspective, our constant \( s(W) \) is the ratio between the first two coefficients

\[
s(W) = \frac{2s_2}{s_0}
\]

of the formal power series \( S(W) \).

A more interesting result is the explicit computation of \( s(W) \) for irreducible \( \mathfrak{g} \)-modules \( W = V_\mu \).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( V_\mu \) be an irreducible \( \mathfrak{g} \)-module of highest weight \( \mu \). If \( \mu_r \geq 0 \), then

\[
s(V_\mu) = 8 \cdot \frac{(\sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i) b_2 + (\sum_{i=0}^r (\mu_i - i)^2 - i^2))}{(b_2 + 1)(b_2 + 2)}.
\]

If \( b_2 \) is even and \( \mu_r < 0 \), then \( s(V_\mu) = s(V_\mu') \) where \( \mu' = (\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_{r-1}, -\mu_r) \).

We postpone the proof of Theorem 3.5 to the following section. For now let us conclude the proof of our Main Theorem using this result. First, we note the following consequence of Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 3.6.**

(i) \( s(V(m)) = \frac{8n(b_2 + m)}{(b_2 + 1)(b_2 + 2)} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

(ii) If \( \mu \) is even, then \( s(V_\mu) \leq s(V(m)) \) for \( m = \mu_0 + \cdots + \mu_{r-1} + |\mu_r| \).

(iii) \( s(V(m)) \leq s(V(n)) \) for \( m \leq n \).

**Proof.** The first item is immediate from letting \( m = m \varepsilon_0 \) in Theorem 3.5. The third item follows from it directly. For the second item, since \( s(V_\mu) = s(V_\mu') \), we can assume without loss of generality \( \mu_r \geq 0 \). Let us temporarily define a function \( A(\mu) \) of a dominant integral weight \( \mu \) by

\[
A(\mu) = \sum_{i=0}^r (\mu_i - i)^2.
\]

The second item is equivalent to the inequality \( A(\mu) \leq A(m \varepsilon_0) \). For it, one first proves an inequality

\[
(\mu_i - i)^2 + (\mu_j - j)^2 < (\mu_i + 1 - i)^2 + (\mu_j - 1 - j)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i < j \leq r,
\]

which easily follows from \( \mu_i \geq \mu_j \). The desired \( A(\mu) \leq A(m \varepsilon_0) \) follows from inductively applying the inequality (3.7) to modify the dominant integral weight \( \mu \) until it reaches \( m \varepsilon_0 \).

**Proof of Main Theorem.** Assume \( H^k(X) \neq 0 \) for some odd integer \( k \). By Corollary 2.3, there exists at least one component \( V_\mu \subset H^*_{\text{odd}}(X) \) with \( \mu_0 + \cdots + \mu_{r-1} + |\mu_r| \geq n - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{r}{2} \). Thus, under the condition (1.2), we get \( r \leq k \) and hence \( b_2 = (2r or 2r + 1) \leq 2k + 1 \).

Now assume \( H^*_{\text{odd}}(X) = 0 \). Among the irreducible components \( V_\mu \) of the LLV decomposition (1.1), we always have the Verbitsky component \( V(n) \). Thus, if we assume the condition (1.2) holds for \( X \), then combining Corollary 3.6 with Proposition 3.3 gives us

\[
s(H^*(X)) \leq \max\{s(V_\mu) : \mu \text{ appearing in (1.1)}\} = s(V(n)) = \frac{8n(b_2 + n)}{(b_2 + 1)(b_2 + 2)}.
\]

On the other hand, we have the Salamon’s relation \( s(H^*(X)) = \frac{4}{3} \) in (3.2). We conclude

\[
s(H^*(X)) = \frac{n}{3} \leq \frac{8n(b_2 + n)}{(b_2 + 1)(b_2 + 2)}
\]

giving the desired bound on \( b_2 \) in Main Theorem. \( \square \)
4. Computation of $s(W)$ for Irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-Modules

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.5 by using standard representation theoretic methods. Let us first fix the notation. Here, we simply write $b = b_2(X)$. Let $(V, q)$ be a quadratic space of dimension $b + 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V, q)$ be the associated simple Lie algebra of type $B_{r+1} / D_{r+1}$. We fix a Cartan and Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ so that the positive and simple roots are well defined. We also use the following notation:

- $\mathfrak{M}$ is the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$;
- $R_+$ is the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$;
- For $w \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\ell(w)$ is the length of $w$. That is, $\ell(w)$ is the minimum length of the decomposition of $w$ into a product of simple reflections $w = s_{\alpha_1} \cdots s_{\alpha_{\ell}}$ where all $\alpha_i$ are simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$;
- $\rho$ is the half sum of all the positive roots

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha.$$ (4.1)

Throughout, we consider an irreducible representation $W = V_\mu$ of highest weight $\mu$.

Our proof is inspired by the proof of Weyl dimension formula (following [Kir08, §8.5]). The Weyl dimension formula is a closed formula computing $\dim V_\mu$, which can be derived from the Weyl character formula.

**Theorem 4.2** (Weyl character formula). The formal character of the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module $V_\mu$ of highest weight $\mu$ can be computed from a formal power series expansion of the rational function

$$\text{ch}(V_\mu) = \frac{\sum_{w \in \mathfrak{M}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\mu + \rho)}}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2})}.$$

Due to its importance to our proof of Theorem 3.5, let us review first the proof of Weyl dimension formula. To start, we recall the formal character $\text{ch}(V_\mu)$ lives in the representation ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^{\mathfrak{M}} \subset \mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\Lambda$ is the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. We introduce a ring homomorphism "projection to $\rho$-direction"

$$\text{pr}_\rho : \mathbb{Q}[\Lambda] \to \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}], \quad e^\theta \mapsto q^{4(\rho, \theta)},$$

where $(,)$ is the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\rho$ is the one defined in (4.1). We then define a polynomial $f(q) = f_\mu(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]$ as the formal character $\text{ch}(V_\mu)$ applied by $\text{pr}_\rho$

$$f(q) = \sum_\theta \dim V_\mu(\theta) q^{4(\rho, \theta)}.$$ (4.3)

Clearly, the dimension of $V_\mu$ is encoded in $f$ by

$$\dim V_\mu = f(1).$$ (4.4)

If we apply $\text{pr}_\rho$ to the Weyl character formula above, then using Weyl denominator identity (e.g., [Kir08, Thm 8.39]), the Weyl character formula is translated into

$$f(q) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{q^{2(\mu + \rho, \alpha)} - q^{-2(\mu + \rho, \alpha)}}{q^{2(\rho, \alpha)} - q^{-2(\rho, \alpha)}}.$$ (4.5)

The Weyl dimension formula is obtained by computing $f(1) = \lim_{q \to 1} f(q)$ with the aid of (4.5).

Now let us begin the proof of Theorem 3.5. First, notice that for irreducible modules $V_\mu$, we can ignore the sign terms $(-1)^k$ in the definition of $s(V_\mu)$ (i.e., $V_\mu$ is either even or odd). Thus, we have

$$s(V_\mu) = \sum_{k} k^2 \dim V_\mu.$$ (4.6)

The following lemma expresses $s(V_\mu)$ in terms of $f(q)$, imitating (4.4) above.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $f = f(q)$ be as in (4.3). Then $s(V_\mu) = \frac{6}{(\ell + 1)(\ell + 2)} (\log f)^\nu(1)$.

**Proof.** Consider the derivatives of $f$

$$f'(q) = \sum_\theta 4(\rho, \theta) \dim V_\mu(\theta) q^{4(\rho, \theta) - 1}, \quad f''(q) = \sum_\theta 4(\rho, \theta)(4(\rho, \theta) - 1) \dim V_\mu(\theta) q^{4(\rho, \theta) - 2}.$$
The Weyl symmetry gives us \( \dim V_\mu(\theta) = \dim V_\mu(-\theta) \). From it, we obtain \( f'(1) = 0 \) and
\[
 f''(1) = 16 \sum_\theta (\rho, \theta)^2 \dim V_\mu(\theta).
\]
Let us now specialize the discussion to \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V, q) \) and use the precise value of \( \rho \). We have
\[
\rho = \begin{cases} 
 r \varepsilon_0 + (r - 1)\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{r-1} & \text{when } b = 2r \text{ is even} \\
 (r + \frac{1}{2})\varepsilon_0 + (r - \frac{1}{2})\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_r & \text{when } b = 2r + 1 \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\]
(4.7)
Assume \( b = 2r \) is even. Letting \( \theta = \sum_{i=0}^r \theta_i \varepsilon_i \), we have \( (\rho, \theta) = \sum_{i=0}^r (r - i)\theta_i \). This gives us
\[
 f''(1) = 16 \sum_\theta \left( \sum_{i=0}^r (r - i)^2 \theta_i^2 + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r} (r - i)(r - j)\theta_i\theta_j \right) \dim V_\mu(\theta).
\]
Again by Weyl symmetry, we have \( \dim V_\mu(\theta) = \dim V_\mu(w.\theta) \) for any \( w \in \mathfrak{W} \). Therefore we deduce
(1) \( \sum_\theta \theta_i^2 \dim V_\mu(\theta) = \sum_\theta \theta_i^2 \dim V_\mu(\theta) \); and
(2) \( \sum_\theta \theta_i\theta_j \dim V_\mu(\theta) = 0 \) for \( i \neq j \).
This finally leads us to the identity
\[
 f''(1) = 16 \cdot (r^2 + (r - 1)^2 + \cdots + 1^2) \sum_\theta \theta_k^2 \dim V_\mu(\theta)
\]
\[
 = 16 \cdot \frac{r(r+1)(2r+1)}{6} \sum_\theta \left( \frac{k}{2} \right)^2 \dim(V_\mu)_k \frac{b(b+1)(b+2)}{6} \sum_k k^2 \dim(V_\mu)_k.
\]
Combining it with \( f(1) = \dim V_\mu \) and \( f'(1) = 0 \), we have \( (\log f)'(1) = \frac{f''(1)}{f(1)} = \frac{b(b+1)(b+2)}{6} \dim(V_\mu) \), as claimed.
Next, assume \( b = 2r + 1 \) is odd. Similar argument gives us the computation
\[
 f''(1) = \frac{(r+1)(2r+1)(2r+3)}{3} \sum_k k^2 \dim(V_\mu)_k \frac{b(b+1)(b+2)}{6} \sum_k k^2 \dim(V_\mu)_k.
\]
Hence the same result follows, regardless of the parity of \( b \).

The next step is to use the Weyl character formula (4.5) and compute the value \( (\log f)'(1) \).

**Lemma 4.8.** Let \( f = f(q) \) be as in (4.3). If \( \mu_\nu \geq 0 \), then \( (\log f)'(1) = \frac{4b}{6} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i \right] b + \left( \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i^2 - 2i\mu_i \right) \).

**Proof.** From the \( q \)-polynomial version of the Weyl character formula (4.5), we derive
\[
\log f(q) = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \log \left( \frac{q^{2(\mu, \rho, \alpha)} - q^{-2(\mu, \rho, \alpha)}}{q - 1} \right) - \log \left( \frac{q^{2(\rho, \alpha)} - q^{-2(\rho, \alpha)}}{q - 1} \right).
\]
Notice that \( (\log f)'(1) \) is twice the coefficient of the term \( (q - 1)^2 \) in the Taylor series of \( \log f \). For a general positive integer \( a \), the Taylor series expansion of \( \log \left( \frac{q^a - q^{-a}}{q - 1} \right) \) at \( q = 1 \) is
\[
\log \left( \frac{q^a - q^{-a}}{q - 1} \right) = \log(2a) - \frac{1}{2}(q - 1) + \frac{1}{24}(4a^2 + 5)(q - 1)^2 + \cdots,
\]
which has a degree 2 coefficient \( \frac{1}{24}(4a^2 + 5) \). Since \( 2(\mu, \rho, \alpha) \) and \( 2(\rho, \alpha) \) are both positive integers for any \( \alpha \in R_+ \) (N.B. Here we used the fact \( \mu_\nu \geq 0 \)), we conclude
\[
(\log f)'(1) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{1}{24}(16(\mu + \rho, \alpha)^2 + 5) - \frac{1}{24}(16(\rho, \alpha)^2 + 5)
\]
\[
= \frac{4}{3} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} (\mu + \rho, \alpha)^2 - (\rho, \alpha)^2.
\]

Recalling (4.7), let us get into an explicit computation for $g = \mathfrak{so}(V, q)$. Assume $b = 2r$ is even. The positive roots are $R_+ = \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j : 0 \leq i < j \leq r\}$. We get
\[
\sum_{\alpha \in R_+} (\mu + \rho, \alpha)^2 - (\rho, \alpha)^2
\]
\[= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r} (\mu_i - \mu_j)^2 + 2(\mu_i - \mu_j)(j - i) + (\mu_i + \mu_j)^2 + 2(\mu_i + \mu_j)(2r - i - j)
\]
\[= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r} [2(\mu_i^2 + \mu_j^2) + 4r(\mu_i + \mu_j) - (i\mu_i + j\mu_j)]
\]
\[= 2r \left[ 2r \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i + \sum_{i=0}^r (\mu_i^2 - 2i\mu_i) \right] = b \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i \right) b + \left( \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i^2 - 2i\mu_i \right) \right].
\]
This proves the result in this case.

Similarly for $b = 2r + 1$ odd, the positive roots are $R_+ = \{\epsilon_i : 0 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j : 0 \leq i < j \leq r\}$, giving:
\[
\sum_{\alpha \in R_+} (\mu + \rho, \alpha)^2 - (\rho, \alpha)^2
\]
\[= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r} (\mu_i - \mu_j)^2 + 2(\mu_i - \mu_j)(j - i) + (\mu_i + \mu_j)^2 + 2(\mu_i + \mu_j)(2r + 1 - i - j)
\]
\[+ \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i^2 + 2\mu_i(r + \frac{1}{2} - i)
\]
\[= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r} [2(\mu_i^2 + \mu_j^2) + (4r + 2)(\mu_i + \mu_j) - (i\mu_i + j\mu_j)] + \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i^2 + (2r + 1)\mu_i - 2i\mu_i
\]
\[= (2r + 1) \left[ (2r + 1) \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i + \sum_{i=0}^r (\mu_i^2 - 2i\mu_i) \right] = b \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i \right) b + \left( \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i^2 - 2i\mu_i \right) \right].
\]
This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Proof of Theorem 3.5.** Combining Lemma 4.6 and 4.8, the theorem follows for the case $\mu_r \geq 0$. Now assume $b_2 = 2r$ is even and $\mu_r < 0$. In this case, $\rho$ does not have the $\epsilon_r$-coordinate by (4.7). Hence, the Weyl character formula (Theorem 4.2) implies that the weights associated to $V_\mu$ and $V_{\mu'}$ are bijective via the action $(\theta_0, \cdots, \theta_{r-1}, \theta_r) \mapsto (\theta_0, \cdots, \theta_{r-1}, -\theta_r)$. By definition, the constant $s(W)$ captures only the $h$-eigenspaces, i.e., only the $\epsilon_0$-coordinates of the weights associated to $W$. This means $s(V_\mu) = s(V_{\mu'})$.
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