On the inertia index of a mixed graph with the matching number
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Abstract

A mixed graph \( \tilde{G} \) is obtained by orienting some edges of \( G \), where \( G \) is the underlying graph of \( \tilde{G} \). The positive inertia index, denoted by \( p^+(G) \), and the negative inertia index, denoted by \( n^-(G) \), of a mixed graph \( \tilde{G} \) are the integers specifying the numbers of positive and negative eigenvalues of the Hermitian adjacent matrix of \( \tilde{G} \), respectively. In this paper, we study the positive and negative inertia index of the mixed unicyclic graph. Moreover, we give the upper and lower bounds of the positive and negative inertia index of the mixed graph, and characterize the mixed graphs which attain the upper and lower bounds respectively.
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only graphs without multiedges and loops. A undirected graph \( G \) is denoted by \( G = (V, E) \), where \( V \) is the vertex set and \( E \) is the edge set. A mixed graph \( \tilde{G} \) is obtained by orienting some edges of \( G \), where \( G \) is the underlying graph of \( \tilde{G} \). Denote by \( \tilde{P}_n, \tilde{S}_n \) and \( \tilde{C}_n \) a mixed path, mixed star and mixed cycle on \( n \) vertices, respectively. We refer to [14] for terminologies and notations undefined here.

A vertex of a mixed graph \( \tilde{G} \) is called a pendant vertex if it is a vertex of degree one in \( \tilde{G} \), whereas a vertex of \( \tilde{G} \) is called a quasi-pendant vertex if it is adjacent to a vertex of degree one in \( \tilde{G} \) unless it is a pendant vertex. An induced subgraph \( \tilde{H} \) of \( \tilde{G} \) is a mixed graph such that the underlying graph of \( \tilde{H} \) is an induced subgraph of the underlying graph of \( \tilde{G} \) and each edge of \( \tilde{H} \) has the same orientation (or non-orientation) as that in \( \tilde{G} \). For \( X \subseteq V(\tilde{G}) \), \( \tilde{G} - X \) is the mixed subgraph obtained from \( \tilde{G} \) by deleting all vertices in \( X \) and all incident edges or arcs. In particular, \( \tilde{G} - \{x\} \) is usually written as \( \tilde{G} - x \) for simplicity. For the sake of clarity, we use the notation \( \tilde{G} - \tilde{H} \) instead of \( \tilde{G} - V(\tilde{H}) \) if \( \tilde{H} \) is an induced subgraph of \( \tilde{G} \).

For an undirected graph, denote by \( c(G) \) the dimension of cycle space of \( G \), that is \( c(G) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + \omega(G) \), where \( \omega(G) \) is the number of connected components of \( G \). Two distinct edges in a graph \( G \) are independent if they do not have common end-vertex in \( G \). A set of pairwise independent edges of \( G \) is called a matching, while a matching with the maximum cardinality is a maximum matching of \( G \). The matching number of \( G \), denoted by \( m(G) \), is
the cardinality of a maximum matching of $G$. For a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$, the dimension of cycle space, denoted by $c(\tilde{G})$, and matching number, denoted by $m(\tilde{G})$, are defined to be the dimension of cycle space and matching number of its underlying graph, respectively.

The Hermitian-adjacency matrix of a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$ of order $n$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $H(\tilde{G}) = (h_{kl})$, where $h_{kl} = -h_{lk} = i$ if there is a directed edge (or an arc) from $v_k$ to $v_l$, where $i$ is the imaginary number unit and $h_{kl} = h_{lk} = 1$ if $v_k$ is connected to $v_l$ by an undirected edge, and $h_{kl} = 0$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $H(\tilde{G})$ is a Hermitian matrix, i.e., its conjugation and transposition is itself, that is $H = H^* := \overline{H}^T$. Thus all its eigenvalues are real. The positive inertia index (respectively, the negative inertia index) of a mixed graph $G$, denoted by $p^+(\tilde{G})$ (resp. $n^-(\tilde{G})$), is defined to be the number of positive eigenvalues (resp. negative eigenvalues) of $H(\tilde{G})$. The rank of a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$, denoted by $\text{rank}(\tilde{G})$, is exactly the sum of $p^+(\tilde{G})$ and $n^-(\tilde{G})$. The nullity of a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$, denoted by $\eta(\tilde{G})$, the algebraic multiplicity of the zero eigenvalues of $H(\tilde{G})$. It is obviously that $\eta(\tilde{G}) = n - p^+(\tilde{G}) - n^-(\tilde{G})$, where $n$ is the order of $\tilde{G}$. The inertia index and nullity of graph have been studied by many researchers, we refer to [8, 9, 10, 13].

The value of a mixed walk $\tilde{W} = v_1v_2v_3\cdots v_l$ is $h(\tilde{W}) = h_{12}h_{23}\cdots h_{(l-1)l}$. A mixed walk is positive or negative if $h(\tilde{W}) = 1$ or $h(\tilde{W}) = -1$, respectively. Note that for one direction the value of a mixed walk or a mixed cycle is $\alpha$, then for the reversed direction its value is $\overline{\alpha}$. Thus, if the value of a mixed cycle is $1$ (resp. $-1$) in a direction, then its value is $1$ (resp. $-1$) for the reversed direction. In these situations, we just termed this mixed cycle as a positive (resp. negative) mixed cycle without mentioning any direction. A mixed graph is positive (resp. negative) if each its mixed cycle is positive (resp. negative). An elementary graph is a mixed graph such that every component is an edge or a mixed cycle, and every its edge-component is defined to be positive. A real elementary subgraph of a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$ is an elementary subgraph such that all its mixed cycles are positive or negative. For a mixed cycle $\tilde{C}$ of a real elementary subgraph $\tilde{G}'$, the signature of $\tilde{C}$, denoted by $\sigma(\tilde{C})$, is defined as $|f - b|$, where $f$ denotes the number of forward-oriented edges and $b$ denotes the number of backward-oriented edges of $\tilde{C}$. The signature of a real elementary subgraph $\tilde{G}'$, denoted by $\sigma(\tilde{G}')$, is defined as the sum of the signatures of all the cycles in $\tilde{G}'$. Obviously, if $G'$ is a real elementary subgraph of a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$, then $\sigma(\tilde{C}')$ is even for each cycle $\tilde{C}'$ in $\tilde{G}'$.

In recent years, the study on the Hermitian adjacent matrix and the characteristic polynomial of mixed graphs received increased attention. In [7], Liu and Li investigated the properties of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of mixed graphs and cospectral problems among mixed graphs. Mohar [11] characterized all the mixed graphs with rank equal to 2. Wang et al. [12] studied the graphs with $H$-rank 3. Chen et al. researched the bounds relationship between the rank and the matching number of a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$ and the mixed graphs which attained the upper and lower bounds are characterized, respectively in [1]. Daugherty [3] studied the inertia index of undirected unicyclic graphs and the implications for closed-shells. In [1], Fan and Wang studied bounds of the positive inertia index and negative inertia index of the adjacent matrix of an undirected graph.

In this paper, we study the positive and negative inertia index of the mixed unicyclic graph. Moreover, we give the upper and lower bounds of the positive and negative inertia index of the mixed graph, and characterize the mixed graphs which attain the upper and lower bounds.
respectively.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we show some lemmas which will be useful in the following section.

Lemma 2.1. (Descartes' sign rule) The number of positive roots of a polynomial $f(x) = f_0x^n + f_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + f_n$ with all real roots is equal to the number of sign changes of $f_i$ proceeding from $f_0$ to $f_n$, ignoring $f_i = 0$.

Suppose that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_{n-t}$ (where $t \geq 1$ is an integer) be two sequences of real numbers. We say that the sequences $\lambda_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $\kappa_j (1 \leq j \leq n-t)$ interlace if for every $s = 1, 2, \cdots, n-t$, we have

$$\lambda_s \geq \kappa_s \geq \lambda_{s+t}.$$

The usual version of the eigenvalue interlacing property states that the eigenvalues of any principal submatrix of a Hermitian matrix interlace those of the whole matrix.

Lemma 2.2. If $H$ is a Hermitian matrix and $B$ is a principal submatrix of $H$, then the eigenvalues of $B$ interlace those of $H$.

The characteristic polynomial of the Hermitian-adjacent matrix of a mixed graph $\tilde{G}$ is defined as

$$\phi(\tilde{G}, \lambda) = \det(\lambda I_n - H(\tilde{G})) = \lambda^n + a_1\lambda^{n-1} + a_2\lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + a_n,$$

where $I_n$ denoted the unit matrix of order $n$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{B}_j$ be the set of real elementary subgraph with $j$ vertices of $\tilde{G}$. Then the coefficient $a_j$ of the characteristic polynomial of the Hermitian-adjacent matrix of $\tilde{G}$ is

$$a_j = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_j} (-1)^{2^{q(B)}+\omega(B)} \cdot 2^c(B), j = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

where $\omega(B)$ denotes the number of components of $B$ and $c(B)$ is the number of cycles in $B$.

The graph $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ if $\tilde{G}$ has the following properties: (1) $\tilde{G}$ contains at least one cycle but is not the disjoint union the disjoint cycles, (2) any two cycles of $\tilde{G}$ share no common vertices if $\tilde{G}$ contains more than one cycle. Contracting each cycle of a graph $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ into a vertex (called cyclic vertex), we obtain a forest denoted by $T_\tilde{G}$. Denote by $[T_\tilde{G}]$ the subgraph of $T_\tilde{G}$ induced by all non-cyclic vertices. For a graph $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{G}$, let $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ be the set of edges of $\tilde{G}$ which has an endpoint on a cycle and the other endpoint outside the cycle.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a undirected unicyclic graph containing the cycle $C_q$. Then

$$(p^+(G), n^-(G)) = \begin{cases} (m(G) - 1, m(G) - 1), & \text{if } q = 4k \text{ and } M \cap \mathcal{F}(G) = \emptyset \text{ for any maximum matching } M \text{ of } G; \\ (m(G) + 1, m(G)), & \text{if } q = 4k + 1 \text{ and } m(G) = m(G - C_q) + \frac{q-1}{2}; \\ (m(G), m(G) + 1), & \text{if } q = 4k + 3 \text{ and } m(G) = m(G - C_q) + \frac{q-1}{2}; \\ (m(G), m(G)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
Lemma 2.5. Let $\tilde{C}_n$ be a mixed cycle with $n$ vertices. Then

$$
\text{rank}(\tilde{C}_n) = \begin{cases} 
  n - 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd, } \sigma(\tilde{C}_n) \text{ is odd;} \\
  n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd, } \sigma(\tilde{C}_n) \text{ is even;} \\
  n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } \sigma(\tilde{C}_n) \text{ is odd;} \\
  n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } n + \sigma(\tilde{C}_n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\
  n - 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } n + \sigma(\tilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed tree. Then $p^+(\tilde{G}) = n^-(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G})$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed graph. If $\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2, \ldots, \tilde{G}_k$ are connected components of $\tilde{G}$. Then $p^+(\tilde{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p^+(\tilde{G}_i)$ and $n^-(\tilde{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n^-(\tilde{G}_i)$.

Proof. It can be checked that $\phi(\tilde{G}, \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \phi(\tilde{G}_i, \lambda)$. Thus, we have the results.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed graph with a vertex $u$. Then

$$
p^+(\tilde{G}) - 1 \leq p^+(\tilde{G} - u) \leq p^+(\tilde{G}), n^-(\tilde{G}) - 1 \leq n^-(\tilde{G} - u) \leq n^-(\tilde{G}).
$$

Moreover,

$$
\text{rank}(\tilde{G}) - 2 \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{G} - u) \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{G}).
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, the eigenvalues of the Hermitian-adjacent matrix of $\tilde{G}$ interlace those of $\tilde{G} - u$. The result follows.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed graph with a pendant vertex $u$ and a quasi-pendant vertex $v$ which is adjacent to $u$. Let $\tilde{G}' = \tilde{G} - \{u, v\}$, then

$$
p^+(\tilde{G}') = p^+(\tilde{G}) - 1, n^-(\tilde{G}') = n^-(\tilde{G}) - 1.
$$

Proof. Let $H(\tilde{G})$ and $H(\tilde{G}')$ be the Hermitian adjacency matrix of $\tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{G}'$, respectively. Then, $H(\tilde{G})$ can be written as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \alpha & 0 \\
\alpha^* & 0 & \beta \\
0^T & \beta^T & H(\tilde{G}')
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

where $\alpha \in \{0, 1, i, -i\}$. It can be checked that $H(\tilde{G})$ is congruent to the following type matrix:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \alpha & 0 \\
\alpha^* & 0 & 0 \\
0^T & 0^T & H(\tilde{G}')
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The result follows.
3 The positive and negative inertia index of the mixed graphs

In this section, we study the positive and negative inertia index of the mixed cycle with \( n \) vertices firstly in Theorem 3.1. In what follows, we present the positive and negative inertia index of the mixed unicyclic graph in Theorem 3.5 by the results of Lemmas 3.2-3.4.

Theorem 3.1. Let \( \widetilde{C}_n \) be a mixed cycle with \( n \) vertices. Then

\[
(p^+(\widetilde{C}_n), n^-(\widetilde{C}_n)) = \begin{cases}
\left( \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \text{ is odd}; \\
\left( \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \text{ is even and } n + \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\
\left( \frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \text{ is even and } n + \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\
\left( \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd, } \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \text{ is odd}; \\
\left( \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\
\left( \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\
\left( \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\
\left( \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. Let \( \phi(\widetilde{C}_n, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \lambda^{n-i} \) be the characteristic polynomial of the Hermitian-adjacent matrix of \( \widetilde{C}_n \).

If \( n \) is even. It is obviously that there is no real elementary subgraph with odd order. By Lemma 2.3, \( a_j = 0 \) if \( j \) is odd, then \( p^+(\widetilde{C}_n) = n^-(\widetilde{C}_n) \). By Lemma 2.5, we have the desired results when \( n \) is even.

If \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \) is odd. It can be checked that there is no real elementary subgraph with odd order. By Lemma 2.3, \( a_j = 0 \) when \( l \) is odd and \( a_j \neq 0 \) when \( j \) is even. Thus \( \phi(\widetilde{C}_n, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda^{n-1} + a_2 \lambda^{n-3} + \cdots + a_{n-1}) \) and \( p^+(\widetilde{C}_n) = n^-(\widetilde{C}_n) = \frac{n+1}{2} \).

If \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \). It can be checked that the characteristic polynomial of \( H(\widetilde{C}_n) \) is same as the characteristic polynomial of the adjacent matrix of the undirected \( n \)-cycle. Moreover, \( \widetilde{C}_n \) is the only real elementary subgraph with order \( n \). By Lemma 2.3, \( a_j = 0 \) for odd \( j \) with \( j \leq n \) and \( a_n = -2 \) and \( \phi(\widetilde{C}_n, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda^{n-1} + a_2 \lambda^{n-3} + \cdots + a_{n-1}) - 2 \). Then by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have the results if \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \).

If \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \). By similar discussion with the case that \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), it can be checked that \( a_n = 2 \) and \( \phi(\widetilde{C}_n, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda^{n-1} + a_2 \lambda^{n-3} + \cdots + a_{n-1}) + 2 \) (here the coefficient \( a_i \) is same as the case that \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \) for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1 \)). Then if \( x \) is a characteristic eigenvalue of the case that \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), \(-x\) is a characteristic eigenvalue of the case that \( n \) is odd and \( \sigma(\widetilde{C}_n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \). Thus, we have the desired results.

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

The function \( \text{sgn}(x) \) is the standard signum function, i.e., \( \text{sgn}(x) = 1 \) if \( x \) is positive and \( \text{sgn}(x) = -1 \) if \( x \) is negative. A matching which using \( i \) edges is called an \( i \)-matching. Let \( m_i(\widetilde{G}) \) be the number of \( i \)-matchings of \( \widetilde{G} \). We say an edge incident to a cycle means that there is only one vertex of this edge on the cycle. Let \( \widetilde{G} \) be a mixed graph with the characteristic polynomial of its Hermitian-adjacent matrix \( \phi(\widetilde{G}, \lambda) = \lambda^n + a_1 \lambda^{n-1} + a_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + a_n \). By Lemma 2.7, we only need to consider the case that \( \widetilde{G} \) is connected.
Lemma 3.2. Let \( \tilde{G} \) be a mixed unicyclic connected graph with a cycle \( \tilde{C}_q \). Then for \( 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \), one has that

\[
\text{sgn}(a_{2i}) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^i, & \text{if } q \text{ is odd or } \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \text{ is odd for } 0 \leq i \leq m(\tilde{G}); \\
(-1)^i, & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq m(\tilde{G}); \\
(-1)^i, & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq m(\tilde{G}) \text{ and there exists an } i\text{-matching containing an edge incident to the cycle}; \\
(-1)^i, & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} \text{ and there exists no } i\text{-matching containing an edge incident to the cycle}; \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Where \( a_{2i} \) is the coefficient of \( \lambda^{n-2i} \) in the characteristic polynomial \( \phi(\tilde{G}, \lambda) \).

Proof. It is obviously that \( a_0 = 1 \), which is equal to the stated result \((-1)^0\) for any value of \( q \) as \( m(\tilde{G}) \geq 0 \).

If \( q \) is odd. Then any real elementary subgraph on an even number of vertices consists only of copies of \( K_2 \). Such a matching of \( 2i \) vertices exists only if \( i \leq m(\tilde{G}) \). Therefore, for \( i > m(\tilde{G}) \), no real elementary subgraph exists, it implies that \( \text{sgn}(a_{2i}) = 0 \). Otherwise, each real elementary subgraph contributes \((-1)^i\) to the sum of \( a_{2i} \), so we have \( \text{sgn}(a_{2i}) = (-1)^i \) for \( i \leq m(\tilde{G}) \).

If \( q \) is even and \( i \geq 1 \). Since any even cycle can be decomposed into two matchings, we again have \( \text{sgn}(a_{2i}) = 0 \) when \( i > m(\tilde{G}) \). Suppose \( 2i < q \), then any real elementary subgraph on \( 2i \) vertices only contains copies of \( K_2 \), it implies that \( \text{sgn}(a_{2i}) = (-1)^i \). Suppose \( q \leq 2i \leq 2m(\tilde{G}) \).

We will deal with the following two subcases according to the parity of \( \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \).

Subcase 1. \( \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \) is odd.

Then any real elementary subgraph on \( 2i \) vertices only contains copies of \( K_2 \), it implies that \( \text{sgn}(a_{2i}) = (-1)^i \).

Subcase 2. \( \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \) is even.

Then some real elementary subgraphs on \( 2i \) vertices contain \( \tilde{C}_q \) and \( i - q/2 \) copies of \( K_2 \) and some real elementary subgraphs contain only \( i \) copies of \( K_2 \). By Lemma 2.3 one has that

\[
a_{2i} = (-1)^i(m_{i-q/2}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)))(-1)^{i\frac{1}{2}}(m(\tilde{C}_q) + i - \frac{i+1}{2})^2 + m_i(\tilde{G})(-1)^i
\]

Since \( \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \) and \( q \) are even, \( |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \) is even. If \( |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \). Then \( (-1)^{i\frac{1}{2}}(m_{i-q/2}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)))(-1)^{i\frac{1}{2}}(m(\tilde{C}_q) + i - \frac{i+1}{2})^2 + m_i(\tilde{G})(-1)^i \)

The results follows.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a mixed unicyclic connected graph with a cycle $\widetilde{C}_q$. Let

$$k = \begin{cases} 
    m(\widetilde{G}) - 1, & \text{if } q \text{ and } \sigma(\widetilde{C}_q) \text{ are even and } |\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \text{ and no maximum} \\
    m(\widetilde{G}), & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$

Then for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$,

$$\text{sgn}(a_{2i}) = \begin{cases} 
    (-1)^i, & \text{if } i \leq k; \\
    0, & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$

Proof. If $q$ is odd or $\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q)$ is odd, or $q$ and $\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q)$ are even and $|\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m(\widetilde{G})$, by Lemma 3.2 the statements follow immediately. For theremainder of the proof, we assume that $q$ and $\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q)$ are even and $|\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and $0 \leq i \leq m(\widetilde{G})$. If there exists a maximum matching which contains an edge incident to the cycle of $\widetilde{G}$, by Lemma 3.2 the statement follows immediately. So one can assume that there exists no maximum matching which contains an edge incident to the cycle of $\widetilde{G}$, and we just need to prove that there exists an $(m(\widetilde{G}) - 1)$-matching which contains an edge incident to the cycle.

Notice that if there exists an $i$-matching which containing an edge incident to the cycle for $i > 1$, then such an $(i - 1)$-matching also exists. Likewise, if there exists no $i$-matching which containing an edge incident to the cycle, then there exists no $(i + 1)$-matching which containing such an edge. Thus, there is some maximum value $k$ such that there exists a $k$-matching containing an edge incident to the cycle, but no such $(k + 1)$-matching exists. Clearly, $k \leq m(\widetilde{G})$. Also, $k = 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{C}_q$.

Suppose $0 < k < m(\widetilde{G})$. That is, no maximum matching which containing an edge incident to the cycle. Consider a maximum matching $M$ and an edge $e = (u, v)$ incident to the cycle such that $u \in \widetilde{C}_q$ and $v \notin \widetilde{C}_q$. Hence, one has that $e \notin M$. Furthermore, since $M$ is a maximum matching and $q$ is even, every vertex on the cycle is incident to a matched edge on the cycle. Let $f$ be the matched edge in the cycle which is incident to $u$. There also must exist an edge $g \in M$ incident to $v$, otherwise the matching $M - f + e$ would be a maximum matching that contradicts $k \neq m(\widetilde{G})$. Note that $M - f - g + e$ is a matching of size $m(\widetilde{G}) - 1$ which containing an edge incident to the cycle and hence $k = m(\widetilde{G}) - 1$.

Finally, suppose $k = 0$ and hence $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{C}_q$. The argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that $a_{2i} = (-1)^i$ for $2i < q$. When $2i = q$, there are exactly three real elementary subgraphs: two perfect matchings and one containing only $\widetilde{C}_q$. By Lemma 2.3 we have $a_q = 2(-1)^{\frac{q}{2}} + 2(-1)^{\frac{\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q)}{2} + 1} = 0$ as $q$ and $\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q)$ are even and $|\sigma(\widetilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

The result follows.

Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a mixed graph with the characteristic polynomial of its Hermitian-adjacent matrix $\phi(\widetilde{G}, \lambda) = \lambda^n + a_1\lambda^{n-1} + a_2\lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + a_n$. By Lemma 2.1 the number of positive eigenvalues of $H(\widetilde{G})$ can be determined by counting the number of sign changes of the $a_i's$. Let $k$ be the maximum value such that $a_{2k} \neq 0$. Then it does not matter what the values of $a_{2i+1}$ are for $0 \leq i < k$, as they do not affect the number of sign changes since the sign of $a_{2i+1}$ is either the same as $a_{2i}$ or $a_{2i+2}$, or $a_{2i+1} = 0$. Thus, we only consider the odd coefficients $a_{2i+1}$ when $i \geq k$. We characterize those now.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed unicyclic graph with the cycle $\tilde{C}_q$. Let $k$ be the maximum value such that $a_{2k} \neq 0$. Then $a_{2i+1} = 0$ for all $i > k$.

Proof. This is trivial in the case where $q$ is even, because there exists no real elementary subgraph on an odd number of vertices. Assume $q$ is odd. If there exists a real elementary subgraph on $2i + 1$ vertices, then there exists a real elementary subgraph on $2i$ vertices, which is found by replacing $\tilde{C}_q$ (which must be included) in the real elementary subgraph with $(q-1)/2$ copies of $K_2$ to get a matching of size $i$ which implies that $a_{2i} \neq 0$ for $i > k$. Thus, $a_{2i+1} = 0$ for $i > k$.

Our research for the number of sign changes has now been reduced to finding the sign of $a_{2k+1}$. All the odd coefficients are 0 when $q$ is even or $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is odd. The only case needed to consider is that $q$ is odd and $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is even, and note that $k$ has been defined in this case which equal to $m(\tilde{G})$. A real elementary subgraph on $2m(\tilde{G}) + 1$ vertices must contain $\tilde{C}_q$ and $(2m(\tilde{G}) + 1 - q)/2$ copies of $K_2$ from $\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)$. Therefore, we have

$$a_{2m(\tilde{G})+1} = 2m_{m(\tilde{G})-\frac{q+1}{2}}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q))(-1)^\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\tilde{C}_q)^{+2m(\tilde{G})-q+1} + 1$$

$$= 2m_{m(\tilde{G})-\frac{q+1}{2}}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q))(-1)^{\frac{\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)^{+2m(\tilde{G})-q+3}}{2}}$$

$$= (-1)^{m(\tilde{G})} 2m_{m(\tilde{G})-\frac{q+1}{2}}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q))(-1)^{\frac{\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)^{+q+1}}{2}}.$$

So,

$$\text{sgn}(a_{2m(\tilde{G})+1}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m(\tilde{G})} + \frac{|\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)-q+1|}{2}, & \text{if } q \text{ is odd and } \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\text{sgn}(a_{2m(\tilde{G})+1})$ differs from $\text{sgn}(a_{2m(\tilde{G})}) = (-1)^{m(\tilde{G})}$ when $q$ is odd, $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is even, $m_{m(\tilde{G})-\frac{q+1}{2}}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)) > 0$ and $|\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. And $\text{sgn}(a_{2m(\tilde{G})+1})$ and $\text{sgn}(a_{2m(\tilde{G})})$ are same if $q$ is odd, $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is even, $m_{m(\tilde{G})-\frac{q+1}{2}}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)) > 0$ and $|\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The requirement $m_{m(\tilde{G})-\frac{q+1}{2}}(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)) > 0$ means that there exists a maximum matching of $\tilde{G}$ that does not use any edge between $\tilde{C}_q$ and $\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)$. This is equivalent to $2m(\tilde{G}) + 1 = 2m(\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)) + q$.

The number of positive eigenvalues can now be determined by counting the number of sign changes of the $a_i$’s. The number of zero eigenvalues is $n - i$, where $i$ is the largest value such that $a_i \neq 0$. Hence the inertia index of a mixed unicyclic graph can then be computed by considering the size of a maximum matching of $\tilde{G}$ and, if necessary, $\tilde{G} - V(\tilde{C}_q)$. From the above analysis, the following Theorem 3.5 is proved.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed unicyclic graph with the cycle $\tilde{C}_q$. Then we have

\[ (p^+(\tilde{G}), n^-(\tilde{G})) = \begin{cases} 
(m(\tilde{G}) - 1, m(\tilde{G}) - 1), & \text{if } q \text{ and } \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \text{ are even, } |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\
(m(\tilde{G}) + 1, m(\tilde{G})), & \text{if } q \text{ is odd, } \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \text{ is even, } |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\
(m(\tilde{G}), m(\tilde{G}) + 1), & \text{if } q \text{ is odd, } \sigma(\tilde{C}_q) \text{ is even, } |\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\
(m(\tilde{G}), m(\tilde{G})), & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \]

Theorem 3.6. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed graph. Then

\[ m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G}) \leq p^+(\tilde{G}) \leq m(\tilde{G}) + c(\tilde{G}), m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G}) \leq n^-(\tilde{G}) \leq m(\tilde{G}) + c(\tilde{G}). \]

Proof. The proof is by induction on $c(\tilde{G})$. If $c(\tilde{G}) = 0$, then $\tilde{G}$ is a tree. By Lemma 2.6, we have $p^+(\tilde{G}) = n^-(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G})$. If $c(\tilde{G}) = 1$, then $\tilde{G}$ is a unicyclic graph. By Theorem 3.3, the result holds immediately. In the following, suppose $c(\tilde{G}) \geq 2$. Let $v$ be a vertex lying on a cycle of $\tilde{G}$ and denote $\tilde{H} = \tilde{G} - v$. Thus, $c(\tilde{H}) \leq c(\tilde{G}) - 1$. Applying the induction to $\tilde{H}$, we have

\[ m(\tilde{H}) - c(\tilde{H}) \leq p^+(\tilde{H}) \leq m(\tilde{H}) + c(\tilde{H}). \]

By Lemma 2.8, we have

\[ p^+(\tilde{G}) \leq p^+(\tilde{H}) + 1 \leq m(\tilde{H}) + c(\tilde{H}) + 1 \leq m(\tilde{H}) + c(\tilde{G}) \leq m(\tilde{G}) + c(\tilde{G}) \]

and

\[ p^+(\tilde{G}) \geq p^+(\tilde{H}) \geq m(\tilde{H}) - c(\tilde{H}) \geq (m(\tilde{G}) - 1) - (c(\tilde{G}) - 1) = m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G}). \]

The discussion for $n^-(\tilde{G})$ is similar and is omitted. \qed

Corollary 3.7. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed graph which contains at least one cycle. If $p^+(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) + c(\tilde{G})$, then for any vertex $v$ lying on a cycle of $\tilde{G}$, one has

(i) $p^+(\tilde{G} - v) = p^+(\tilde{G}) - 1$;
(ii) $p^+(\tilde{G} - v) = m(\tilde{G} - v) + c(\tilde{G} - v)$;
(iii) $m(\tilde{G} - v) = m(\tilde{G})$;
(iv) $c(\tilde{G} - v) = c(\tilde{G}) - 1$;
(v) $\text{rank}(\tilde{G}) - 2 \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{G} - v) \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{G}) - 1$;
(vi) $v$ is not a quasi- pendant vertex;
(vii) Any two cycles of $\tilde{G}$ are vertex disjoint.

Corollary 3.8. Let $G$ be a mixed graph which contains at least one cycle. If $p^+(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G})$, then for any vertex $v$ lying on a cycle of $G$, one has

(i) $p^+(\tilde{G} - v) = p^+(\tilde{G})$;
(ii) $p^+(\tilde{G} - v) = m(\tilde{G} - v) + c(\tilde{G} - v)$;
(iii) $m(\tilde{G} - v) = m(\tilde{G}) - 1$;
(iv) $c(\tilde{G} - v) = c(\tilde{G}) - 1$;
(v) $\text{rank}(\tilde{G}) - 1 \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{G} - v) \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{G})$;
(vi) $v$ is not a quasi- pendant vertex;
(vii) Any two cycles of $\tilde{G}$ are vertex disjoint.
By Theorem 3.5 and the similar methods in [4] which used for discussing the positive and negative inertia index of undirected graph, we can get the following theorems. Here we only give the proofs of Theorems 3.9 and 3.12 in the appendix.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed connected graph. Then $p^+(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) + c(\tilde{G})$ if and only if the following three conditions all hold.

(i) Any two cycles of $\tilde{G}$ share no common vertices;

(ii) For each cycle $\tilde{C}_q$ of $\tilde{G}$, $q$ is odd, $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is even and $|\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 1$ (mod 4);

(iii) $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$.

If $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{G}$ contains only odd cycles, it can be checked that $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$ if and only if there exists a maximum matching $M(\tilde{G})$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $M(\tilde{G}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}) = \emptyset$. Then we have an alternative version of Theorem 3.9 in the following.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed connected graph. Then $p^+(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) + c(\tilde{G})$ if and only if the following three conditions all hold.

(i) Any two cycles of $\tilde{G}$ share no common vertices;

(ii) For each cycle $\tilde{C}_q$ of $\tilde{G}$, $q$ is odd, $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is even and $|\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 1$ (mod 4);

(iii) There exists a maximum matching $M(\tilde{G})$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $M(\tilde{G}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}) = \emptyset$.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed connected graph. Then $n^-(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) + c(\tilde{G})$ if and only if $\tilde{G}$ satisfies both of the first two conditions and either one of the last two conditions;

(i) Any two cycles of $\tilde{G}$ share no common vertices;

(ii) For each cycle $\tilde{C}_q$ of $\tilde{G}$, $q$ is odd, $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is even and $|\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 3$ (mod 4);

(iii) There exists a maximum matching $M(\tilde{G})$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $M(\tilde{G}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}) = \emptyset$;

(iv) $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$.

**Theorem 3.12.** Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed connected graph. Then $p^+(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G})$ (or $n^-(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G})$) if and only if the following three conditions all hold.

(i) Any two cycles of $\tilde{G}$ share no common vertices;

(ii) For each cycle $\tilde{C}_q$ of $\tilde{G}$, $q$ is even, $\sigma(\tilde{C}_q)$ is even and $|\sigma(\tilde{C}_q) - q| \equiv 0$ (mod 4);

(iii) $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$.
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Appendix: Proof of Theorem 3.9

Lemma 3.13. H Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. If $m(T_G) = m([T_G])$, then $T_G$ contains a non-cyclic pendant vertex. If $v$ is the vertex in $T_G$ adjacent to such pendant vertex, then $v$ is also non-cyclic. In other words, $G$ contains at least one pendant vertex, and any quasi-pendant vertex of $G$ lies outside of cycles.

Lemma 3.14. H Let $G$ be a graph with at least one cycle. Suppose that all cycles of $G$ are pairwise-disjoint and each cycle is odd, then $m(T_G) = m([T_G])$ if and only if $m(G) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{L}(G)} m(C) + m([T_G])$, where $\mathcal{L}(G)$ denotes the set of all cycles in $G$.

Lemma 3.15. H Let $G$ be a graph with a quasi-pendant vertex $v$. Then $m(G - v) = m(G) - 1$.

Lemma 3.16. H Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. If there exists a maximum matching $M(G)$ of $G$ such that $M(G) \cap \mathcal{F}(G) = \emptyset$, then $m(G) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{L}(G)} m(C) + m([T_G])$, where $\mathcal{L}(G)$ denotes the set of all cycles in $G$. If addition, each cycles of $G$ has odd length, then $m(T_G) = m([T_G])$. 


Lemma 3.17. [1] Let $K$ be a graph such that any two cycles share no common vertices. Let $G$ be a graph obtained from $K$ and a cycle $C_s$ (disjoint to $K$) by adding an edge between a vertex $x$ of $C_s$ and a vertex $y$ of $K$. If $p(G) = m(G) - c(G)$, then

(i) $s$ is a multiple of 4;
(ii) the edge $xy$ does not belong to any maximum matching of $G$;
(iii) each maximum matching of $K$ covers $y$;
(iv) $m(K + x) = m(K)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, we have clearly satisfies the three conditions (i)-(iii). Assume that $G$ contains a pendant vertex $u$ and a quasi-pendant vertex $v$ adjacent to $u$, and $v$ lies outside any cycle of $G$. Let $H = G - \{u, v\}$.

By Lemma 3.15, $m(T_H) = m([T_H])$ and $H$ satisfies the three conditions (i)-(iii) of this theorem. By induction we have $p^+(H) = m(H) + c(H)$. So by Lemmas 2.9 and 3.15, one has that

$$p^+(G) = p^+(H) + 1 = m(H) + c(H) + 1 = m(G) + c(G).$$

(Necessity.) Let $G$ be a mixed graph such that $p^+(G) = m(G) + c(G)$. If $G$ is a forest then $G$ clearly satisfies the three conditions (i)-(iii). Assume that $G$ has at least one cycle. The assertion (i) follows from Corollary 3.7.

We assert that for each cycle $C_q$ of $G$, we have $q$ is odd, $\eta(C_q)$ is even and $|\eta(C_q) - q| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If $c(G) = 1$, the result holds by Theorem 3.5. Now assume $c(G) = l \geq 2$. If there exist a cycle, say $C_q$, which not satisfies the condition (ii), then by deleting an arbitrary vertex of each cycle of $G$ except $C_q$, we get a graph $\tilde{H}$ with $c(\tilde{H}) = 1$ and $p^+(\tilde{H}) \leq m(\tilde{H})$ by Theorem 3.5. By Theorem 3.6 we have

$$p^+(\tilde{G}) \leq p^+(\tilde{H}) + l - 1 \leq m(\tilde{H}) + l - 1 < m(G) + c(G),$$

a contradiction.

We prove the assertion (iii) by the induction on the order of $G$. If $G$ is a disjoint union of cycles, the result follows. So we assume that $G \in \mathcal{G}$. First suppose that $G$ contains a pendant vertex, say $x$, and a quasi-pendant vertex $y$ that is adjacent to $x$. By Corollary 3.7 $y$ is not lying on any cycle. Let $H = G - \{x, y\}$. Then by Lemmas 2.9 and 3.15, we have

$$p^+(H) = p^+(G) - 1 = m(G) + c(G) - 1 = m(H) + c(H).$$

By induction we have $m(T_H) = m([T_H])$, hence by Lemma 3.15 one has that

$$m(T_G) = m(T_H) + 1 = m([T_H]) + 1 = m([T_G]).$$

Now suppose that $G$ contains no pendant vertices. Then $G$ contains a pendant cycle, say $C$ such that $\tilde{C}$ has exactly one vertex say $u$ that is adjacent to a vertex $v$ outside $\tilde{C}$. Let $K = G - \tilde{C}$. 
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By Corollary 3.7, we have $p^+(\tilde{G} - u) = m(\tilde{G} - u) + c(\tilde{G} - u)$ and $m(\tilde{G} - u) = m(\tilde{G})$, which implies that $p^+(\tilde{K}) = m(\tilde{K}) + c(\tilde{K})$ from the former equality $(p^+(\tilde{G} - u) = m(\tilde{G} - u) + c(\tilde{G} - u))$ and $m(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{C}) + m(\tilde{K})$ from the latter equality $(m(\tilde{G} - u) = m(\tilde{G}))$. By the induction, we have $m(T_{\tilde{K}}) = m([T_{\tilde{K}}])$. So $\tilde{K}$ has a maximum matching $M(\tilde{K})$ such that $M(\tilde{K}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\tilde{K}) = \emptyset$ by Lemma 3.14. Let $M(\tilde{C})$ be a maximum matching of $\tilde{C}$. Then, $M(\tilde{G}) = M(\tilde{K}) \cup M(\tilde{C})$ is a maximum matching of $\tilde{G}$, which satisfies $M(\tilde{G}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}) = \emptyset$. Again by Lemma 3.14, we get $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.12:**

Proof. (Sufficiency.) We use induction on the order of $\tilde{G}$. If $\tilde{G}$ is a disjoint union of trees and/or cycles satisfies the condition (ii), clearly the result holds by Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 3.1. So we assume $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{G}$. As $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$, by Lemma 3.13, $\tilde{G}$ contains a pendant vertex $x$ and a quasi-pendant vertex $y$ adjacent to $x$, and $y$ lies outside any cycle of $\tilde{G}$. Let $\tilde{H} = \tilde{G} - \{x, y\}$. By Lemma 3.15, $m(T_{\tilde{H}}) = m([T_{\tilde{H}}])$ and $\tilde{H}$ satisfies the three conditions (i)-(iii). By induction we have $p^+(\tilde{H}) = m(\tilde{H}) - c(\tilde{H})$. So by Lemmas 2.9 and 3.15, one has that

$$p^+(\tilde{G}) = p^+(\tilde{H}) + 1 = m(\tilde{H}) - c(\tilde{H}) + 1 = m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G}).$$

(Necessity.) Let $\tilde{G}$ be a mixed graph such that $p^+(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{G}) - c(\tilde{G})$. The proof for (i) and (ii) goes parallel as in Theorem 3.9, thus omitted. We now prove $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$ by the induction on the order of $\tilde{G}$. First assume that $\tilde{G}$ contains a pendant vertex $x$ that is adjacent to a vertex $y$. Then $y$ is lying outside any cycle of $\tilde{G}$ by Corollary 3.8. Let $\tilde{H} = \tilde{G} - \{x, y\}$. By Lemmas 3.15 and 2.9, $p^+(\tilde{H}) = m(\tilde{H}) - c(\tilde{H})$. So, by induction $m(T_{\tilde{H}}) = m([T_{\tilde{H}}])$, and hence $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m(T_{\tilde{H}}) + 1 = m([T_{\tilde{H}}]) + 1 = m([T_{\tilde{G}}])$ by Lemma 3.15.

If $\tilde{G}$ contains no pendant vertices, then there exists a pendant cycle $\tilde{C}$ of $\tilde{G}$, which contains exactly one vertex, says $u$ that is adjacent to a vertex $v$ outside $\tilde{C}$. Let $\tilde{K} = \tilde{G} - \tilde{C}$, and let $\tilde{H} = \tilde{K} + u$. Let $w$ be a vertex of $\tilde{C}$ adjacent to $u$. By Corollary 3.8, $p^+(\tilde{G} - w) = m(\tilde{G} - w) - c(\tilde{G} - w)$. Repeatedly deleting the pendant vertex and the quasi-pendant vertices of $\tilde{C} - w$ until we arrive at the graph $\tilde{H}$, we get $p^+(\tilde{H}) = m(\tilde{H}) - c(\tilde{H})$ by Lemmas 3.15 and 2.9. By the induction, $m(T_{\tilde{H}}) = m([T_{\tilde{H}}])$. Suppose that $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2, \ldots, \tilde{C}_l$ are all cycles contained in $\tilde{G}$. By Lemmas 3.18 and 3.19

$$m(\tilde{G}) = m([T_{\tilde{G}}]) + \sum_{i=1}^l |V(\tilde{C}_i)| - 2|E(\tilde{C}_i)|.$$

By a similar discussion, we also have

$$m(\tilde{H}) = m([T_{\tilde{H}}]) + \sum_{i=2}^l |V(\tilde{C}_i)| - 2|E(\tilde{C}_i)|.$$

Obviously, $T_{\tilde{G}}$ is isomorphic to $T_{\tilde{H}}$. Thus $m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m(T_{\tilde{H}})$. Noting that $m(\tilde{H}) = m(\tilde{K})$ and
\( m(\tilde{G}) = m(\tilde{C}_1) + m(\tilde{K}) \) by Lemma 3.17 we finally have

\[
m(T_{\tilde{G}}) = m(T_{\tilde{H}}) = m([T_{\tilde{H}}]) = m(\tilde{H}) - \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{l} |V(\tilde{C}_i)|}{2} \\
= m(\tilde{K}) - \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{l} |V(\tilde{C}_i)|}{2} = (m(\tilde{G}) - m(\tilde{C}_1)) - \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{l} |V(\tilde{C}_i)|}{2} \\
= m(\tilde{G}) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{l} |V(\tilde{C}_i)|}{2} \\
= m([T_{\tilde{G}}]).
\]