Characteristic foliation on vertical hypersurfaces on holomorphic symplectic manifolds with Lagrangian Fibration
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Abstract

Let $Y$ be a smooth hypersurface on a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold $X$ of dimension $2n$. The characteristic foliation $F$ is the kernel of the symplectic form restricted to $Y$. Assume $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is a Lagrangian fibration and $Y = \pi^{-1}D$, where $D$ is a hypersurface on $\mathbb{P}^n$. It is easy to see that the leaves of $F$ are contained in the fibers of $\pi$. We prove that a general leaf is Zariski dense in a fiber of $\pi$.

Introduction

In this paper we are going to study the characteristic foliation $F$ on a smooth hypersurface $Y$ on a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold $(X, \sigma)$ of dimension $2n$. The characteristic foliation $F$ on a hypersurface $Y$ is the kernel of the symplectic form $\sigma$ restricted to $T_Y$. If the leaves of a rank one foliation are quasi-projective curves, this foliation is called algebraically integrable. Jun-Muk Hwang and Eckart Viehweg showed in [15] that if $Y$ is of general type, then $F$ is not algebraically integrable. In paper [1] Ekaterina Amerik and Frédéric Campana completed this result to the following.

**Theorem 0.1** ([1]). Let $Y$ be a smooth hypersurface on an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold $X$ of dimension at least 4. Then the characteristic foliation on $Y$ is algebraically integrable if and only if $Y$ is uniruled i.e. covered by rational curves.

The next step is to ask what could be dimension of the Zariski closure of a general leaf of $F$. In dimension 4 the situation is understood thanks to Theorem [0.2].

**Theorem 0.2** ([2]). Let $X$ be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic fourfold and let $Y$ be an irreducible smooth hypersurface on $X$. Suppose that a general leaf of the characteristic
foliation \( F \) on \( Y \) is not algebraically integrable, but there exist a meromorphic fibration on \( p : Y \rightarrow C \) by invariant under \( F \) (see Definition 1.11) surfaces. Then there exist a rational Lagrangian fibration \( X \rightarrow B \) extending \( p \). In particular, the Zariski closure of a general fiber is an abelian surface.

This leads to the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 0.3.** Let \( Y \) be a smooth hypersurface on an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold \( X \) and let \( q \) be the Beauville-Bogomolov form on \( H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \). Then one of the following holds.

1. A general leaf of \( F \) is Zariski dense in \( Y \), \( q(Y, Y) > 0 \);
2. Dimension of the Zariski closure of \( F \) is \( n \), \( q(Y, Y) = 0 \);
3. \( F \) is algebraically integrable and \( Y \) is uniruled, \( q(Y, Y) < 0 \).

The third case is easy. By [7] \( Y \) is uniruled, if \( q(Y, Y) < 0 \). By [11] there is a dominant rational map \( f : Y \rightarrow W \), such that \( W \) is non-uniruled and the fibers of \( f \) are rationally connected. Rationally connected varieties do not have non-zero holomorphic differential forms. Thus, the form \( \sigma|_Y \) is the pull-back of some form \( \omega \in H^0(W, \Omega^2 W) \) and the tangent spaces to the fibers of \( f \) are the kernels of the form \( \sigma|_Y \). As we know dimension of the kernel of \( \sigma|_Y \) is one. So, \( f : Y \rightarrow W \) is a fibration in rational curves and these rational curves are the leaves of \( F \).

For a hypersurface \( Y \) with Beauville-Bogomolov non-negative square the problem is more difficult. In this paper we are going to focus on the case of \( q(Y, Y) = 0 \). Such a hypersurface \( Y \) is conjectured to be the preimage of a hypersurface from the base of a rational Lagrangian fibration (this conjecture was proved for manifolds of K3 type in [3]). A rational Lagrangian fibration can be replaced with a regular Lagrangian fibration, if we assume the divisor \( Y \) is numerically effective. We consider an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold \( X \) equipped with a Lagrangian fibration \( \pi : X \rightarrow B \) and assume that the base \( B \) is smooth. Which means \( B \cong \mathbb{P}^n \) by [13]. The characteristic foliation on the discriminant hypersurface of a Lagrangian fibration was studied in [14]. Our main subject of study is the smooth preimage of a hypersurface \( D \subset \mathbb{P}^n \).

**Theorem 0.4.** Let \( X \) be a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and \( \pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \) be a Lagrangian fibration. Consider a hypersurface \( D \) on \( \mathbb{P}^n \) such that its preimage \( Y \) is a smooth irreducible hypersurface on \( X \). Then the closure of a general leaf of the characteristic foliation on \( Y \) is a fiber of \( \pi \).

In order to prove Theorem 0.4 we are going to study the topology of \( Y \).

**Theorem 0.5.** Let \( X \) be a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and \( \pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \) be a Lagrangian fibration. Consider a hypersurface \( D \) on \( \mathbb{P}^n \) such that its preimage \( Y \) is a smooth irreducible hypersurface on \( X \). Let \( X_b \subset Y \) be a smooth fiber of \( \pi \), then the morphism \( H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X_b, \mathbb{Q}) \) has rank one.
The paper is organized as follows. In the subsection [1.1] we recall some results about Lagrangian fibrations. Further we give an algebraic interpretation of foliations (subsection [1.2]) and illustrate Theorem [0.4] on the examples (subsection [1.3]). Next, in the section [2] we study the topology of $Y$ and prove Theorem [0.5]. In the section [3] we prove Theorem [0.4]. In the end of the paper (Section [4]) we discuss, when a vertical hypersurface is singular.
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1 Preliminaries

1.1 Lagrangian fibrations on irreducible holomorphic manifolds

Theorem 1.1 ([18]). Let $X$ be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and $\pi : X \to B$ be a regular morphism with connected fibers. Assume that $B$ is a normal variety and $0 < \dim B < 2n$. Then:

- $B$ has dimension $n$
- Every fiber of $\pi$ is a Lagrangian subvariety i.e. the restriction of $\sigma$ is zero.
- Moreover, if a fiber is smooth, it is an abelian variety.

Definition 1.2. The morphism $\pi$ as in the previous Theorem is called a Lagrangian fibration.

Theorem 1.3 ([13]). If $B$ is smooth, then $B \cong \mathbb{P}^n$

The base is conjectured to be always smooth. For $n = 2$ this conjecture was proved recently in [5] and [12]. In the present paper we will assume this conjecture. Keiji Oguiso in [20] remarked the following consequence of the results of [22] and [17].

Theorem 1.4. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a Lagrangian fibration of a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold $X$ and let $X_b$ be a smooth fiber of $\pi$. Then $\text{rank} \text{im}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(X_b, \mathbb{Q})) = 1$.

Proposition 1.5 (Proposition 2.2, [14]). Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a set of points $b \in \mathbb{P}^n$ such that the fiber $X_b$ is singular. Then:

1. The set $\Delta$ is a hypersurface on $\mathbb{P}^n$. We call it the discriminant hypersurface.
2. The normalization of a general fiber of $\pi$ over $\Delta$ is smooth
3. The singular locus of a general singular fiber is a disjoint union of $(n - 1)$-dimensional complex tori.

Remark 1.6. In other words, there is a subvariety $X_1 \subset X$, such that the morphism of sheaves $\pi^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} \to \Omega_X$ restricted to $X_1$ is not injective and $\pi(X_1)$ is the discriminant hypersurface $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Moreover, a fiber $X_b$ over a point $b \in \Delta$ is singular along the its intersection with $X_1$ and $\pi^{-1}\Delta$ is singular along $X_1$. 

3
Definition 1.7. Let $Y$ be a hypersurface on $X$. If the image of $Y$ under a Lagrangian fibration $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is a hypersurface $D$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $Y$ is called a vertical hypersurface.

Since the smooth fibers of $\pi$ are irreducible, when an irreducible hypersurface $D$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is not contained in $\Delta$, $\pi^{-1}D$ is also irreducible. So, we will assume $Y = \pi^{-1}D$.

Example 1.8. Let $S$ be a $K3$ surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$. This fibration induces a morphism

$$S^{(n)} \to \mathbb{P}^n; s_1 + s_2 + ... + s_n \mapsto \pi(s_1) + \pi(s_2) + ... + \pi(s_n),$$

where $\mathbb{P}^n$ is considered as $n$–th symmetric power of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Composing this morphism with the Hilbert-Chow map, we obtain a morphism with connected fiber to a variety of dimension $n$. Thus, by Theorem 1.1 it is a Lagrangian fibration. Let $b_1, b_2, ..., b_m \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be the points such that $p^{-1}(b_i)$ is singular. The discriminant locus of the fibration $\pi$ is the union of the hyperplanes $H_i := b_i + x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_{n-1}$ and of the hypersurface $\Delta_0 := 2x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_{n-1}$, which is tangent to each $H_i$. In particular, for $n = 2$ the discriminant hypersurface is the union of the diagonal conic and of the lines tangent to this conic at the points $2b_i$.

1.2 Foliations and invariant subvarieties

In this section we recall some definitions related to foliations and prove a preliminary result about the Zariski closure of the leaves. The results of this section are essentially contained in [8], [6] and [9].

Definition 1.9. Let $X$ be a smooth algebraic variety. A regular foliation is an involutive (i.e. closed under the Lie bracket) subbundle $F$ of the tangent bundle to $X$.

Remark 1.10. By definition, if $F$ is a subbundle of $T_X$, then the quotient sheaf $T_X/F$ is locally free.

By Frobenius Theorem, locally $X$ is a product $L_s \times S$ (where $L_s$ is an integral submanifold for $s$) and such decompositions into a product agree on intersection. Therefore the local integral curves glue together to a topological subspace of $X$. We call this subspace the leaf of $F$ through the point $x$. In general, a leaf is not necessarily an algebraic subvariety. If all leaves of a foliation are algebraic we call this foliation algebraically integrable. For instance, let $\pi : X \to B$ be a smooth fibration. Obviously, that $T_{X/B}$ is a regular foliation on $X$.

Definition 1.11. One calls $Y$ a smooth subvariety of $X$ invariant under foliation $F$ or $F$–invariant if $T_Y$ contains $F|_Y$. In other words, $Y$ is invariant under $F$ if it is a union of leaves.

For the purpose of our work we need to extend these definitions, but we will consider only the rank one foliations. Thus, we can think they are automatically involutive.
Definition 1.12. Let $X$ be a smooth variety. A rank one foliation (not necessarily regular) on $X$ is just a line bundle $F$ on $X$ with a non-zero homomorphism $f : F \to T_X$.

We denote by $\text{Sing}(f)$ the set of the singular points of $F$ i.e. the points such that the morphism $f$ has rank 0. It is easy to see, that $F$ is a regular foliation outside of $\text{Sing}(f)$.

Definition 1.13. Let $Y$ be a closed subscheme $X$, one calls it invariant under the foliation $f : F \to T_X$ when there is the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_X|_Y \\
\downarrow \quad f^\lor \quad \downarrow \\
F^*|_Y \\
\end{array}
$$

(1)

In particular, if $Y$ is a smooth subvariety not intersecting $\text{sing}(f)$, $Y$ is an invariant subscheme as in definition 1.11.

Lemma 1.14. The intersection of two invariant subschemes $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ of $X$ under foliation $F$ is an invariant subscheme under $F$.

Proof. For a subscheme $Z$ of $X$ the existence of a morphism $\Omega_Z \to F^*|_Z$ as in diagram (1) is equivalent to the vanishing of the sheaf $\ker(\Omega_X|_Z \to \Omega_Z)$ under $f^\lor$. Applying the exact sequence of the Kähler differentials $\mathcal{I}_Z/\mathcal{I}_Z^2 \to \Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \to \Omega_Z \to 0$, we can replace this sheaf with the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_Z$ of $Z$. So, we know that $\mathcal{I}_{Y_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are vanishing under $f^\lor : \Omega_X|_{Y_1 \cap Y_2} \to F^*|_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}$. Thus, $\mathcal{I}_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}$ also vanishes under $f^\lor$. □

It is easy to see, that the Zariski closure $\text{Leaf}^\text{Zar}(x, F)$ of a leaf through the point $x \in X$ is the minimal invariant subvariety containing $x$. Clearly, it has dimension at least rank $F$.

Let $M$ be a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, and let $pr_1$ and $pr_2$ be the projections of $X \times M$ to $X$ and $M$. One can define the foliation $(f, 0) : \Omega_{X \times M} = pr_1^*\Omega_X \oplus pr_2^*\Omega_M \to pr_1^*F^*$. Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset X \times M$ be a flat family, we call it invariant family under $F$, if there is the following commutative diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
pr_1^*\Omega_X|_\mathcal{Y} \\
\downarrow \quad f^\lor \\
pr_1^*F^*|_\mathcal{Y} \\
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 1.15. The contravariant functor from the category of all locally noetherian schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ to the category of sets:

$$
M \mapsto \{ \mathcal{Y} \subset M \times X | \mathcal{Y} \text{ is flat with the Hilbert polynomial } p \text{ over } S \text{ and } F - \text{invariant} \}
$$

is representable by a closed subscheme $\text{Hilb}^p_{\text{inv}}X$ of $\text{Hilb}^pX$.

Proof. See [8]. □
Lemma 1.16. There is one and only one family $\mathcal{U}_{\text{gen}} \subset \Sigma_{\text{gen}} \times X$ of $F$–invariant subschemes of $X$ which satisfies the following properties:

1. There is a point $x_0 \in X$, such that $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (x_0, F)$ is a member of $\Sigma_{\text{gen}}$;

2. $\mathcal{U}_{\text{gen}}$ dominates $X$.

Moreover, for a very general point $x$ of $X$, $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (x, F)$ is member of $\Sigma_{\text{gen}}$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma_x$ be a component of the $F$–invariant Hilbert scheme containing the parameter point of $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (x, F)$ and $p_x : \mathcal{U}_x \to \Sigma_x$ be the corresponding universal family with the evaluation map $ev_x$. Then we can write that

$$X = \bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (x, F) = \bigcup_{x \in X} ev_x(\mathcal{U}_x).$$

There is only a countable set of the invariant families $\Sigma_x$. Hence, there exist $x_0 \in X$ such that $ev_{x_0}$ is surjective. Next we prove that this family is unique.

Let $x, y$ be points of $X$ and $\Sigma_x, \Sigma_y$ be the invariant families as above. Suppose that $ev_x$ and $ev_y$ are surjective. Let $k_x$ be dimension of $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (x, F)$ and $k_y$ be dimension of $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (y, F)$. Assume that these families are different. Consider the family of the intersections of their members

$$I = \{(t, u, z) \in \Sigma_x \times \Sigma_y \times X | z \in ev_x(p_x^{-1}(t)), z \in ev_y(p_y^{-1}(u))\}.$$ 

Since $\Sigma_x$ and $\Sigma_y$ dominate $X$, some irreducible component $I_0$ of $I$ also dominates $X$. Let $\Sigma_0$ be the image of $I_0$ in $\Sigma_x \times \Sigma_y$. By Lemma 1.14, $I_0 \to \Sigma_0$ is a family of invariant under $F$ subschemes of $X$. Since $\Sigma_x$ and $\Sigma_y$ are different, general member of $\Sigma_0$ is a proper subscheme of some member of $\Sigma_x$ and of some member of $\Sigma_y$.

This family is not necessarily flat. However, there is an open subset $U$ of $\Sigma_0$, such that $I_0|_U$ is flat over $U$. Thus, we can map $U$ to the invariant Hilbert scheme of $X$. Its closure $\overline{U}$ in the Hilbert scheme is a family dominating $X$ of invariant under $F$ subschemes of dimension less than $k_x$ and $k_y$. Take a member of $\overline{U}$ passing through $x$. It must contain $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (x, F)$, but has less dimension. This leads to a contradiction.

The set of the points $y \in X$ such that $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (y, F)$ is not a member of $\Sigma_{\text{gen}}$ is the union of a countable set of the proper subvarieties of $X$. □

When talking about a leaf though the general point we mean the leaves though points $x$ as in Lemma 1.16. It is easy to that the set of these points is Zariski dense in $X$. Thus, dimension of the Zariski closure of a general leaf is $k_x$. For these points $x$ we note by $\mathcal{U}_{\text{gen}} \to \Sigma_{\text{gen}}$ the family $\mathcal{U}_x \to \Sigma_x$.

Corollary 1.17. Let $y$ be a point $X$, then $\dim \overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (y, F)$ is not greater than dimension of the Zariski closure of a general leaf.

Proof. There is a member $Z$ of the family $\Sigma_{\text{gen}}$ containing $y$. Since $Z$ is $F$–invariant, $\dim \overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}} (y, F)$ is not greater than $\dim Z$. □
Proposition 1.18 ([6]). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n$ with a regular rank one foliation $F$. Assume the Zariski closure of a general leaf of $F$ has dimension $m < n$. Then there exist a rational map $X \dashrightarrow \Sigma_{\text{gen}}$ with $F$-invariant fibers of dimension $m$.

Proof. Let $U_{\text{gen}}$ be the universal family of $\Sigma_{\text{gen}}$ and $ev_{\text{gen}} : U_{\text{gen}} \to X$ be the evaluation map. Consider a point $x \in X$ such that $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}}(x, F)$ is a member of this family. There is no other member of this family passing though $x$, otherwise by Lemma 1.14 it must contain $\overline{\text{Leaf}_{\text{Zar}}}(x, F)$. Hence, $ev_{\text{gen}}^{-1}(x)$ is a point. Since the set of such $x$ is Zariski dense in $X$, $ev_{\text{gen}}$ is birational. The composition of the projection $U_{\text{gen}} \to \Sigma_{\text{gen}}$ and of the inverse to $ev_{\text{gen}}$ is the required rational map. □

1.3 Characteristic foliation

Note that a holomorphic symplectic form $\sigma$ on a smooth variety $X$ induces an isomorphism between vector bundles $T_X$ and $\Omega_X$. Indeed, one can map a vector field $v$ to the differential form $\sigma(v, *)$.

Definition 1.19. Let $Y$ be a hypersurface on $X$. Consider the restriction of $T_X$ to $Y$. Consider its smooth locus $Y^{sm}$. The orthogonal complement of the bundle $T_{Y^{sm}}$ in $T_{X|Y^{sm}}$ is a line subbundle $F$ of $T_{X|Y^{sm}}$. We call the rank one subbundle $F \subset T_{Y^{sm}}$ the characteristic foliation.

Assume $Y$ is smooth. Since $\sigma$ is a symplectic form, $F$ is a subbundle of $T_Y$. Furthermore, $F$ is isomorphic to the bundle $O_Y(-Y)$. Indeed, consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to T_Y \to T_X|Y \to O_Y(Y) \to 0.$$ 

Applying the isomorphism $T_X \cong \Omega_X$, we obtain that $F \cong O_Y(-Y)$.

Let us compute several examples of the characteristic foliations.

Example 1.20. Let $p : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic K3 surface and $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the induced Lagrangian fibration on the Hilbert scheme of the subschemes of length 2 in $S$ (see example 1.8). The preimage of the diagonal conic has two component: the exceptional divisor of blow-up $S^{[2]} \to S^{(2)}$ and the relative symmetric square $S^{[2]}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ of our elliptic fibration. It is easy to see, that the both of them are uniruled. Thus, the characteristic foliations are algebraically integrable.

Example 1.21. For the same $X$ as above, consider a line $l$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ tangent to the conic $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. It can be defined as

$$\{b_1 + b_2 \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^{(2)} | b_1 = b \quad \text{or} \quad b_2 = b\}$$

for some fixed point $b$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $Y_l$ be the preimage of the line $l$. Considering the strict transform of $Y_l$ in the Cartesian square $S \times S$, one can compute that the leaves of the characteristic foliation on $Y_l$ are isomorphic to the elliptic curve $\pi^{-1}(b)$. By Lemma 4.3 $Y_l$ is singular. This example shows, that smoothness of $Y$ is a necessary condition in Theorems 0.4 and 0.1.

We refer to [21] for more examples.
2 Proof of Theorem 0.5

Lemma 2.1. Assume $D$ is a smooth hypersurface on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $D$ intersects the smooth locus $\Delta^{sm}$ of the discriminant hypersurface $\Delta$ transversely and the intersection of $D$ and of the singular locus $\Delta^{sing}$ of the discriminant hypersurface has dimension less than $n-2$. Then the morphism of the fundamental groups $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \Delta) \to \pi_1(D \setminus \Delta \cap D)$ is surjective.

Proof. To prove this Lemma we refer to the following variant of the Lefschetz hyperplane Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. ([10] [Part II Chapter 5.1]) Let $M$ be a purely $n$-dimensional nonsingular connected algebraic variety. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ and let $H \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ be a linear subspace of codimension $c$. Let $H_\delta$ be the $\delta$–neighborhood of $H$ with respect to some real analytic Riemannian metric. Define $\phi(k)$ to be dimension of the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N \setminus H$ such that the fiber $f^{-1}(z)$ has dimension $k$. (If this set is empty define $\phi(k) = -\infty$.) If $\delta$ is sufficiently small, then the homomorphism induced by inclusion, $\pi_i(f^{-1}(H_\delta)) \to \pi_i(X)$ is an isomorphism for all $i < \hat{n}$ and is a surjection for $i = \hat{n}$, where

$$\hat{n} = n - \sup_k(2k - (n - \phi(k)) + \inf(\phi(k), c - 1)) - 1.$$  

We put $M$ equal to $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \Delta$ and $f$ equal to the composition of its embedding to $\mathbb{P}^n$ and of the degree-$d$ Veronese map, where $d$ is the degree of the hypersurface $D$. One can check that $\hat{n} = n - 1$. Since $\dim D \cap \Delta^{sing} < n - 2$, $D \cap \Delta^{sing}$ has complex codimension at least 2 in $D$ and we can neglect it when computing the fundamental group of $D$. There is the natural homeomorphism between $D_\delta$ and the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/\mathbb{P}^n}$. Since $\Delta$ is transversal to $D$, $D_\delta \cap \Delta$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{Y/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{Y \cap \Delta}$. The zero-section induces an isomorphism on the fundamental groups. 

To apply Lemma 2.1 we formulate the following results.

Theorem 2.3. (Deligne’s invariant cycle theorem, see e.g [23], Theorem 3.2, Book II) Let $X \to Y$ be a projective morphism of the quasi-projective varieties. Then for any point $y \in Y$ the space of the invariants under the monodromy action $\pi_1(Y, y) \to \text{Aut} H^k(X_y, \mathbb{Q})$ is equal to the image of the restriction map $H^k(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X_y, \mathbb{Q})$, for any smooth projective compactification $\bar{X}$ of $X$.

Combining this Theorem with Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 2.1 we obtain an immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.4. Theorem 0.5 is true if we add an assumption that $D$ is smooth, dimension of $D \cap \Delta^{sing}$ is less than $n - 2$ and $D$ intersects $\Delta^{sm}$ transversely.

Remark 2.5. In Section 4 we show that the smoothness of $Y$ implies that $D$ is smooth and $D$ intersects $\Delta^{sm}$ transversely. However, the condition that $\dim D \cap \Delta^{sing} < n - 2$ is not always satisfied even when $Y$ is smooth.

Finally, the following Lemma makes the proof work for an arbitrary smooth vertical hypersurface.
Lemma 2.6. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety and $D_0, D_1$ be the linearly equivalent smooth hypersurfaces on $X$. Let $Z$ be a smooth subvariety of $X$ contained in $D_1 \cap D_2$, then $H^i(D_0, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^i(D_1, \mathbb{Q})$ have the same images in $H^i(Z, \mathbb{Q})$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $I := [0, 1] \hookrightarrow |D_0 - Z| := \mathbb{P}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_0) \otimes \mathcal{J}_Z)$ be a path between the points $[D_0]$ and $[D_1]$, avoiding the points corresponding to singular hypersurfaces in the linear system $|D_0 - Z|$. Let $\mathcal{D}_I \subset X \times I = \{(x, t), x \in D_t\}$ and $Z_I = \{(x, t) | x \in Z\}$. Since all hypersurfaces $D_t$ are smooth, $\mathcal{D}_I$ is diffeomorphic to $D_t \times I$ and $Z_I$ is diffeomorphic to $Z \times I$. We have the following commutative squares for all $t \in I$:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
Z \\
\downarrow \\
D_t
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
Z_I \\
\downarrow \\
D_I
\end{array}
$$

Since the horizontal maps induce homeomorphisms on the cohomology groups, the images of the vertical maps are the same. □

Lemma 2.6 implies Theorem 0.5: take $D_1 = Y$ an arbitrary smooth vertical hypersurface, $Z = X_b$ a smooth fiber in $Y$ and $D_2 = Y'$ a smooth vertical hypersurface though $X_b$ linearly equivalent to $Y$, such that $Y'$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1.

3 Proof of Theorem 0.4

In the last section we apply Theorem 0.5 to prove Theorem 0.4.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a Lagrangian fibration, let $Y := \pi^{-1}(D)$ be a smooth irreducible vertical hypersurface on $X$, where $D$ is an irreducible hypersurface on $B$. Then every fiber of the fibration $\pi : Y \to D$ is an invariant subvariety under the characteristic foliation $F$ on $Y$.

Proof. Consider a smooth fiber $X_b$ of the fibration $\pi : Y \to D$ over a point $b \in D$. Let $x$ be a point in $X_b$. The tangent space to $X_b$ at the point $x$ is the orthogonal complement of itself in $T_{X,x}$. Since $T_{D,x}$ contains $T_{A,x}$, the space $T_{A,x}$ contains the orthogonal complement of $T_{D,x}$ i.e. $F_x$. The singular fibers are invariant as well because of the closedness of this property (Proposition 1.15). □

So, a leaf of the characteristic foliation on a vertical hypersurface has dimension of the Zariski closure no greater than $n$.

Proposition 3.2. In the assumptions of the Lemma 3.1, let $Z$ be an invariant irreducible subvariety of a smooth fiber $X_b$. Fix a group law on $X_b$, such that $Z$ contains the zero point. For any $a \in X_b$, the translation of $Z$ by a point $a$ is an invariant subvariety. In particular, if $Z$ is a minimal invariant subvariety i.e. the Zariski closure of a leaf, it is an abelian variety.
Proof. Since the tangent bundle to $X_b$ is trivial, the characteristic foliations restricts to $X_b$ as a one-dimensional subspace of $H^0(X_b, T_{X_b})$. A translation acts trivially on $H^0(X_b, T_{X_b})$. Thus, we obtain the first statement. Let $a \in Z$ be point of $Z$. The translation $Z + a$ is an invariant subvariety. The intersection of $Z + a$ and $Z$ is a non-empty invariant subvariety. Because of the minimality of $Z$, $Z \cap Z + a = Z$. In other words, $Z = Z + a$. Hence, $Z$ is an abelian subvariety of $X_b$. □

Proposition 3.3. Assume that the Zariski closure of a general leaf of the characteristic foliation on $Y$ has dimension less than $n$. Then for a general fiber $X_b$ of $\pi$ the image of the restriction map $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(X_b, \mathbb{Q})$ has rank at least 2. In other words, it contradicts to Theorem 0.5.

Proof. Consider a rational fibration $p : Y \dashrightarrow \Sigma_{\text{gen}}$, which was constructed in Proposition 1.18. By Proposition 3.2 its fibers are abelian subvarieties of fibers of $\pi$. Thus, $\Sigma_{\text{gen}} \dashrightarrow D$ is a fibration in abelian varieties (the quotients of fibers of $\pi$). Let $G$ be a relatively ample divisor on the fibration $\Sigma_{\text{gen}} \dashrightarrow D$ and let $p^*G$ be the closure of its preimage in $Y$. The restriction of $p^*G$ to a general fiber is $X_b$ is a pull-back of an ample divisor from its quotient. Hence, $p^*G|_{X_b}$ is effective but not ample. Let $H$ be an ample divisor on $Y$. The restrictions of $G$ and $H$ are not proportional in $H^2(X_b, \mathbb{Q})$. □

4 Smoothness of vertical hypersurfaces

Lemma 4.1. In the notations as above, let $O_{\mathbb{P}^n,b}$ be the local ring of a point $b \in \mathbb{P}^n$ and $D$ be the closure in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of a regular function $f \in O_{\mathbb{P}^n,b}$. The hypersurface $Y = \pi^{-1}D$ is singular at a point $x$ in the fiber $X_b$ if and only if the differential form $\pi^*(df)$ is zero at $X$.

Proof. Write down the natural exact sequence:

$$\mathbb{C} \cdot \pi^*(df) = \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y) \longrightarrow \Omega_X|_Y \longrightarrow \Omega_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

Restricting this sequence to the point $x$, we obtain the proof. □

Corollary 4.2. If $D$ is singular, $Y$ is also singular.

Corollary 4.3. Consider a regular point $b$ of $\Delta$ and assume a hypersurface $D$ is tangent to $\Delta$ at $b$. Then $Y$ is singular along $\pi^{-1}\Delta \cap X_b \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $f \in O_{b,\mathbb{P}^n}$ be the function defining $\Delta$. By Lemma 4.1 $\pi^*(df)_x = 0$ for any $x \in \pi^{-1}\Delta \cap X_b$. Since $\Delta$ and $D$ are tangent at point $b$, $D$ is also singular at these points. □

Lemma 4.4. Assume $Z \subset \Delta$ is subvariety of $\Delta$ of codimension 1, such that one can choose the coordinates $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ at local analytic neighborhood of a general point of $Z$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$, such
that \( Z = \{ x_1 = x_2 = 0 \} \) and the hyperplanes \( x_1 = 0 \) and \( x_2 = 0 \) are contained in \( \Delta \). In the case \( n = 2 \), \( Z \) is a double point of \( \Delta \). Assume the hypersurface \( D := \{ f = 0 \} \) contains \( Z \), then \( Y := \pi^{-1}D \) is singular.

**Proof.** Let us continue to work in these analytic coordinates. Let \( X_i^j \) be the component of \( X_1 \) lying over \( \{ x_i = 0 \} \), \( i = 1, 2 \). By the Proposition 1.5, \( X_i^j \) has codimension not greater than one at any irreducible component of \( \pi^{-1}Z \). Hence the scheme \( X_i^1 \cap X_i^2 \cap \pi^{-1}Z \) is not empty. So, take some point \( P \) in this variety. The differential forms \( \pi'_1(dx_1) \) and \( \pi'_2(dx_2) \) vanish at \( P \). Since \( D \) contains \( Z \), the differential form \( df \) is a linear combination of \( dx_1 \) and \( dx_2 \). Thus, the form \( \pi^*(df) \) is zero at the point \( P \). Applying Lemma 4.1, we prove that \( Y \) is singular at \( P \).

At the end of the paper we briefly show why Lemma 2.6 is necessary to prove Theorem 0.4.

**Example 4.5.** Let \( S \) be a \( K3 \) surface with an ample line bundle \( L \). Assume \( \text{Pic} \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}L \) and \( c_1(L)^2 = 2 \). Thus, the surface \( S \) is a double cover of the projective plane \( \mathbb{P}(V) \) ramified at a smooth sextic curve \( R \), where \( V \) is the space dual to \( H^0(S, L) \). Denote by \( p \) the covering map. The projective plane \( \mathbb{P}(V^*) \) is the linear system of the preimages of the lines on \( \mathbb{P}(V) \).

Consider the Beauville-Mukai system introduced in [4] and [19]. Let \( S := M_v \) be the moduli space of stable sheaves on \( S \) with the Mukai vector \( v = (0, c_1(L), 1) \). It is an irreducible symplectic manifold. A point of \( S \) is the parameter point of a sheaf \( i_C F \), where \( C \subset S \) is a curve from the linear system \( \mathbb{P}(V) \), \( i_C \) is the injection of \( C \) to \( S \) and \( F \) is a reflexive sheaf of degree zero at \( C \). The support map is the Lagrangian fibration \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}(V^*) \) in Jacobians of the curves from the linear system \( \mathbb{P}(V^*) \). The fiber \( X_C \) of \( \pi \) is smooth iff \( C \) is smooth.

Hence, the discriminant hypersurface of \( \pi \) is the dual curve \( R^* \) to \( R \). One can compute that for a general sextic plane curve \( R \), the dual curve \( R^* \) is a curve of the geometric genus 10 of degree 30 with 324 double points and 72 cusps. There is a birational map

\[ f : S^{[2]} \to X; \ [Z] \mapsto i_{iC}(\mathcal{I}_Z) \otimes L \]

undefined along the subvariety \( \{ [Z] \in S^{[2]} \mid Z = p^{-1}P \text{ for } P \in \mathbb{P}(V) \} \) naturally isomorphic to projective plane \( \mathbb{P}(V) \). The indeterminacy locus of the inverse map is the zero section of Jacobian fibration \( \pi \). Moreover, \( X \setminus \mathbb{P}(V^*) \cong S^{[2]} \setminus \mathbb{P}(V) \).

Since the fibration is smooth along a section, to find singularities of \( \pi \) it enough to study the rational map \( \pi' := \pi \circ f \). The closure of the fiber of \( \pi' \) over a point of \( \mathbb{P}(V^*) \) corresponding to a curve \( C \) from the linear system \( \mathbb{P}(V)^* \) is \( C^{[2]} \). A double point \( [l] \) of \( R^* \) corresponds to a bitangent line \( l \) to \( R \). Its preimage in \( S \) is a rational curve \( B \) with two double point \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \). The Zariski tangent space to \( B^{[2]} \) at the point \( P_1 + P_2 \) has dimension 4. Thus, \( \pi^*\Omega_{p^n} \) vanishes at \( P_1 + P_2 \). By Lemma 4.1, the preimage \( Y \) of any hypersurface \( D \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*) \) is singular. That is an illustration of Lemma 4.4.

Let \( [l] \in \mathbb{P}(V^*) \) be a cusp of \( R^* \). This point corresponds to the line \( l \) tangent to \( R \) at an inflection point \( Q \in \mathbb{P}(V) \) of \( R \). The preimage of \( l \) in \( S \) is a curve \( C \) of genus 1 with a cusp \( Q_S = p^{-1}Q \). By [16], \( C^{[2]} \) is singular at the points \( Q_S + P \) for any point \( P \in C \) different to \( Q_S \) and at the point \( [Z] \) corresponding to the only scheme \( Z \) of length 2 with support
$Q_S$ with non-locally trivial sheaf of ideals. Moreover, the Zariski tangent space to $C^{[2]}$ at its singular points has dimension 3. Hence, the linear map $\Omega_{F(V),[l]} \rightarrow \Omega_{X,x}$ is of rank one for any singular point $x$ of $C^{[2]}$. One can compute that the kernel of this map is the conormal space $N^*_{l_Q/P(V^*)}[l]$ of the line $l_Q \subset P(V^*)$ corresponding to the inflection point $Q$ of $R$. Thus, by lemma [L1] if a hypersurface $D \subset P(V^*)$ though point $[l]$ is not tangent to $l_Q$, then the hypersurface $Y := \pi^{-1}D$ is smooth along the fiber over $[l]$.
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