The Godbillon-Vey invariant occurs in homology theory, and algebraic topology, when conditions for a co-dimension 1, foliation of a 3D manifold are satisfied. The magnetic Godbillon-Vey helicity invariant in magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is a higher order helicity invariant that occurs for flows, in which the magnetic helicity density $h_m = A \cdot B = A \cdot (\nabla \times A) = 0$, where $A$ is the magnetic vector potential and $B$ is the magnetic induction. This paper obtains evolution equations for the magnetic Godbillon-Vey field $\eta = A \times B / |A|^2$ and the Godbillon-Vey helicity density $h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta)$ in general MHD flows in which either $h_m = 0$ or $h_m \neq 0$. A conservation law for $h_{gv}$ occurs in flows for which $h_m = 0$. For $h_m \neq 0$ the evolution equation for $h_{gv}$ contains a source term in which $h_m$ is coupled to $h_{gv}$ via the shear tensor of the background flow. The transport equation for $h_{gv}$ also depends on the electric field potential $\psi$, which is related to the gauge for $A$, which takes its simplest form for the advected $A$ gauge in which $\psi = A \cdot u$ where $u$ is the fluid velocity. An application of the Godbillon-Vey magnetic helicity to nonlinear force-free magnetic fields used in solar physics is investigated. The possible uses of the Godbillon-Vey helicity in zero helicity flows in ideal fluid mechanics, and in zero helicity Lagrangian kinematics of three-dimensional advection are discussed.

### 1. Introduction

In ideal fluid dynamics and magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), there is a class of invariants that are Lie dragged by the flow (e.g. [Moiseev et al. (1982); Tur and Yanovsky (1993); Katz (2003); Moffatt (1969, 1978); Salmon (1982, 1988); Moffatt and Ricca (1992); Cotter et al. (2007); Holm et al. (1998); Padhye and Morrison (1996a,b); Yahalom (2013, 2017a,b); Webb et al. (2014a,b)]). These Lie dragged invariants in many cases are related to fluid relabelling symmetries and Casimirs for non-canonical Hamiltonian brackets (e.g. [Morrison (1982); Holm and Kupershmidt (1983a,b); Padhye and Morrison (1996a,b); Holm et al. (1985); Morrison (1998); Homei (2004); Tanahashi and Yoshida (2015); Besse and Frisch (2017)]. [Anco and Dar (2009) have classified conservation laws for compressible isentropic ideal fluids in $n > 1$ spatial dimensions, and for the case of non-isentropic flows in [Anco and Dar (2010)]. [Anco and Webb (2018) describe hierarchies of vorticity invariants related to conserved helicity and cross helicity integrals for ideal fluids, using familiar vector calculus operations (and their extension to tensor calculus).

Magnetic helicity is an important quantity in MHD describing the magnetic field topology (e.g. [Elsässer (1956); Woltjer (1958); Kruskal and Kulsrud (1958); Berger and Field].
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$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u \cdot \omega) + \nabla \cdot \left[(u \cdot \omega)u + \omega \left(h + \Phi - \frac{1}{2}u^2\right)\right] = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $h$ is the gas enthalpy, $u$ is the fluid velocity and $\Phi(x)$ is an external gravitational potential (e.g. the gravitational potential of the Sun for the Solar Wind flow). The conserved integral:

$$H_f = \int_{V_m} u \cdot \omega \, d^3x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

for a volume $V_m$ moving with the fluid is known as the fluid helicity (e.g. Moffatt (1966)). If $\omega \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary $\partial V_m$ moving with the flow, then $H_f$ is conserved following the flow (e.g., Moffatt (1966)), i.e. $dH_f/dt = 0$ where $d/dt = \partial/\partial t + u \cdot \nabla$ is the Lagrangian time derivative following the flow. The volume integral $H_f$ describes the linking of the poloidal and toroidal vorticity fluxes. It is used to describe topological features of the vortex tubes (e.g. whether they are knotted or otherwise).

In ideal MHD, the magnetic helicity conservation law for a non-dissipative fluid is given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (A \cdot B) + \nabla \cdot [(A \cdot B)u + B (\psi - A \cdot u)] = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

where $E = -\nabla \psi - \partial A/\partial t$ and $B = \nabla \times A$ is not a fluid relabelling symmetry. It is due to a gauge symmetry, involving the Lagrange multipliers that enforce Faraday’s equation and Gauss’s equation ($\nabla \cdot B = 0$) in the variational principle (Webb and Anco (2017)).

In fluid dynamics, the kinetic fluid helicity density $h_k = u \cdot (\nabla \times u) = u \cdot \omega$ for a barotropic flow (i.e. the gas pressure: $p = p(\rho)$), satisfies the local conservation law:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u \cdot \omega) + \nabla \cdot \left[(u \cdot \omega)u + \omega \left(h + \Phi - \frac{1}{2}u^2\right)\right] = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $h$ is the gas enthalpy, $u$ is the fluid velocity and $\Phi(x)$ is an external gravitational potential (e.g. the gravitational potential of the Sun for the Solar Wind flow). The conserved integral:

$$H_f = \int_{V_m} u \cdot \omega \, d^3x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

for a volume $V_m$ moving with the fluid is known as the fluid helicity (e.g. Moffatt (1966)). If $\omega \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary $\partial V_m$ moving with the flow, then $H_f$ is conserved following the flow (e.g., Moffatt (1966)), i.e. $dH_f/dt = 0$ where $d/dt = \partial/\partial t + u \cdot \nabla$ is the Lagrangian time derivative following the flow. The volume integral $H_f$ describes the linking of the poloidal and toroidal vorticity fluxes. It is used to describe topological features of the vortex tubes (e.g. whether they are knotted or otherwise).

In ideal MHD, the magnetic helicity conservation law for a non-dissipative fluid is given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (A \cdot B) + \nabla \cdot [(A \cdot B)u + B (\psi - A \cdot u)] = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

where $E = -\nabla \psi - \partial A/\partial t$ and $B = \nabla \times A$ is the electric field in the MHD approximation and $\psi$ is electric field potential (e.g. Berger and Field (1984)). The magnetic helicity for a volume $V_m$ moving with the fluid is defined as:

$$H_m(A, B) = \int_{V_m} A \cdot B \, d^3x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

If $B \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary $\partial V_m$ then $H_m$ is conserved moving with the flow, i.e. $dH_m/dt = 0$. The helicity integral (1.4) is independent of the gauge of $A$, i.e. $H_m(A + \nabla \psi, B) = H_m(A, B)$ provided that $\psi$ is smooth and single valued within the volume $V_m$, and provided $B \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary $\partial V_m$.

For magnetic fields in which $B \cdot n \neq 0$ on the boundary surface $\partial V$, a gauge independent definition of relative helicity (Finn and Antonsen (1985, 1988)) is defined as:

$$H_r = \int_V d^3x (A + A_p) \cdot (B - B_p),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

(see also Berger and Field (1984) for an equivalent definition) where $B = \nabla \times A$ describes the magnetic field of interest and $B_p = \nabla \times A_p$ describes a comparison magnetic field, with the same normal flux as $B$ on the boundary $\partial V$ (in many instances it is useful to
choose $\mathbf{B}_p$ to be a potential magnetic field, with the same normal magnetic flux as $\mathbf{B}$ on $\partial V$.

More recent efforts by Low (2006, 2011) and Berger and Hornig (2018) discuss the concept of absolute magnetic helicity which is analogous to the the linkage of the toroidal and poloidal magnetic fluxes. Kruskal and Kulsrud (1958) obtained a similar interpretation of magnetic helicity for Tokamak fusion devices. The work by Berger and Hornig (2018) invokes the Gauss-Bonnet theorem as part of the discussion and does not at the outset assume that the field splits cleanly into toroidal and poloidal components.

There are other conservation laws in MHD. In particular, the cross helicity density $h_c = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ conservation law for barotropic flows is important in MHD turbulence theory (e.g. Zhou and Matthaeus (1990a)) and in MHD (e.g. Webb et al. (2014a)). The cross helicity integral is defined as $H_c = \int_{V_m} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, d^3x$ where $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial V_m$. In ideal barotropic MHD $dH_c/dt = 0$. A generalized, nonlocal cross helicity applies for non-barotropic MHD (e.g. Webb et al. (2014a), Yahalom (2017a,b)). Cross helicity describes the linkage of the vortex tubes and magnetic flux tubes. This definition of cross helicity is that conventionally used in plasma physics, but it has a wider definition in terms of the cross helicity density $\mathbf{V} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{W})$ for two vector fields $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{W}$. Yahalom (2013, 2017a,b) has described magnetic helicity, barotropic cross helicity and nonlocal (non-barotropic) cross helicity in terms of MHD Aharonov-Bohm effects.

Tur and Yanovsky (1993), Webb et al. (2014a), Webb (2018), and Anco and Webb (2018) give discussions of Lie dragged vector fields $\mathbf{b} \cdot \partial / \partial \mathbf{x}$, one forms $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{C} \cdot dx$, two forms $\mathbf{J} \cdot dS$ and three forms $\mathbf{D} \cdot d^3x$ and scalars, $R$. An example of a Lie dragged two-form in MHD is the magnetic flux $\mathbf{B} \cdot dS$. Faraday’s equation can then be expressed in terms of the Lie dragging of the two-form $\mathbf{B}$ with the flow (i.e. Faraday’s law is equivalent to the statement that the magnetic flux $\mathbf{B}$ is conserved moving with the flow). Entropy $S$ is an advected scalar, and $[\mathbf{B} / \rho] \cdot \partial / \partial \mathbf{x}$ is an invariant vector field which is Lie dragged with the flow.

Tur and Yanovsky (1993) in their study of Lie dragged invariants in MHD flows, asked the question: Given $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, is there a higher order magnetic, Lie dragged integral invariant (i.e. volume integral analogous to $H_m$, e.g. Tur and Yanovsky (1993) and Webb (2018)). The answer to this question is that in general, there is a higher order topological invariant known as the Godbillon-Vey invariant for flows with $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. The condition $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ is the condition that the Pfaffian equation $\mathbf{A} \cdot dx = 0$ is integrable (e.g. Sneddon (1957), Ch. 1). The Pfaffian is integrable means that there exists an integrating factor $\mu$ such that $\mu \mathbf{A} \cdot dx = \nabla \lambda \cdot dx = d\lambda$ for some potential function $\lambda$. In this case, the family of surfaces $\lambda(x) = c_1 = \text{const.}$ forms a solution family of 2D surfaces (a foliation) with normal $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} / |\mathbf{A}| \propto \nabla \lambda$ which fill up 3D space (see Sneddon (1957) for a proof of both the necessity and sufficiency of the condition for integrability). This same idea was used by Godbillon and Vey (1971) to describe foliations of co-dimension 1, in 3D space, in homology theory. Homology theory has wide applications in algebraic topology, which is concerned with the genus (number of holes in a surface) and other topological invariants in the geometry of manifolds (e.g. Thurston (1972), Arnold and Khesin (1998), Fulton (1995), Lee (1997)).

The Godbillon-Vey one-form $\eta \cdot dx$ and the Godbillon Vey helicity 3-form: $\eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \cdot d^3x$, are also defined for flows with $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$ (but in that case the space does not consist of a family of 2D surfaces filling up 3D space). The integral form of the Godbillon Vey helicity for a finite volume $V_m$ moving with the flow is defined as

$$H_{GV} = \int_{V_m} \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \cdot d^3x \quad \text{where} \quad \eta = \frac{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{A}|^2}. \quad (1.6)$$
is the Godbillon-Vey vector field ([Godbillon and Vey 1971]; [Reinhart and Wood 1973]). If \( \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \) on \( \partial \mathbf{V}_m \) and if \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \), the Godbillon-Vey helicity \( H_{gv} \) is conserved following the flow, i.e. \( dH_{gv}/dt = 0 \). This result is not true if \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0 \).

The Godbillon-Vey helicity studied by [Reinhart and Wood 1973] corresponds to using a unit vector for \( \mathbf{A} \), \( \hat{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}/|\mathbf{A}| \), and the Godbillon-Vey field is given by \( \hat{\eta} = \hat{\mathbf{A}} \times (\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \) and the Godbillon-Vey magnetic helicity density is given by \( \hat{\eta} \cdot \nabla \times \hat{\eta} \) (see also discussion in Appendix E). The [Reinhart and Wood 1973] meaning of \( \hat{\mathbf{A}} \) is just the unit normal to the foliation, and does not have any connection to MHD.

For the one-form \( \alpha = \mathbf{A} \cdot dx \), the Reeb vector field \( \mathbf{R} \) satisfies \( \mathbf{R} \cdot \alpha = 1 \) and \( \mathbf{R} \cdot (d\alpha) = 0 \). Because \( d\alpha = \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = B_x dy \wedge dz + B_y dz \wedge dx + B_z dx \wedge dy \) we require that \( \mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S}) = -\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B} \cdot dx = 0 \). Thus, the two conditions for the Reeb vector are that \( \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 1 \) and \( \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \). One solution of the above equations is \( \mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{R} = \lambda \mathbf{B} = \lambda \beta \mathbf{A} \). These conditions lead to the equation \( \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \beta \mathbf{A} \) where \( \beta = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}/A^2 \) and \( \lambda = 1/\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \). The equation for \( \mathbf{A} \) is that for a Beltrami flow, i.e. the Reeb vector \( \mathbf{R} \) corresponds to a Beltrami flow. The MHD topological soliton ([Kamchatnov 1981] and [Semenov et al 2002]) satisfies \( \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \beta \mathbf{A} \) where \( \beta = kA \) and \( k \) is a constant. Similarly, the well known ABC flow (Arnold, Beltrami, Childress flows) studied by [Dombre et al 1986] are examples of Beltrami flows, which exhibit both chaotic and integrable flows.

Force free magnetic fields satisfying \( \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \alpha \mathbf{B} \) are Beltrami fields which are used to model solar magnetic field structures in highly conducting, low beta photospheric plasmas (e.g. [Chandrasekhar and Kendall 1957], [Low and Lou 1990], [Prasad et al 2014]). [Prasad et al 2014] have shown that the [Low and Lou 1990] force free magnetic fields have zero magnetic helicity \( h_m = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \) in an appropriate gauge. This class of fields are clearly examples of magnetic fields that can in principle have a non-zero Godbillon-Vey helicity, but have zero helicity in the gauge used by [Prasad et al 2014]. [Prasad et al 2014] show that the [Low and Lou 1990] solutions have non-trivial relative magnetic helicity.

The aim of the present paper is to derive an evolution equation for the Godbillon-Vey helicity density \( h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \), for general MHD flows, in which \( h_m = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0 \). We show, that there is an intimate connection between the Godbillon-Vey helicity \( h_{gv} \) evolution and the magnetic helicity density \( h_m = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \) in which \( h_m \) acts as a source in the \( h_{gv} \) equation, in which the shear tensor of the flow, acts as a coupling agent between the two types of helicity.

In Section 2 we introduce the usual MHD equations and the magnetic helicity transport equation derived by [Berger and Field 1983] and others. In Section 3 we derive (a) the magnetic helicity transport equation and (b) describe the Godbillon-Vey one-form and helicity. In Section 4, we derive the transport equation for the Godbillon-Vey helicity \( h_{gv} \) based on a decomposition of the magnetic field induction \( \mathbf{B} \) in the form:

\[
\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_\| + \mathbf{B}_\perp = \beta \mathbf{A} + \eta \times \mathbf{A},
\]

where

\[
\eta = \frac{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{A}|^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{h_m}{|\mathbf{A}|^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad h_m = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}.
\]

Equation (1.7) can also be written in the form:

\[
\mathbf{B}_\| = \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{A}} \equiv \beta \mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{B}_\perp = \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{A}} \equiv \eta \times \mathbf{A},
\]

are the components of \( \mathbf{B} \) parallel and perpendicular to \( \mathbf{A} \), and \( \hat{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}/|\mathbf{A}| \) is the unit vector parallel to \( \mathbf{A} \).

Section 5 determines the Godbillon-Vey magnetic helicity density for the [Low and Lou]}
nonlinear, force-free magnetic fields used to describe photospheric magnetic fields in solar physics.

Section 6 concludes with a summary and discussion.

In appendix A, we provide a detailed derivation of the conservation law for the Godbillon helicity density \( h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \) for the case \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \) using the Lie dragging of differential forms (see also Tur and Yanovsky (1993), Webb et al. (2014a), Webb (2018)). Appendix B, provides a vector calculus derivation of the Godbillon-Vey helicity evolution equation for general MHD flows, both for the case \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \) and for the case \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0 \) (We also discuss the gauge potential used for \( \mathbf{A} \)). In Appendix C, we explore the use of Clebsch potential representations for \( \mathbf{A} \) which are related to the integrability of \( \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \) in the case \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \). We obtain the form of \( h_{gv} \) in terms of Clebsch variables or Euler potentials, which are advected with the flow. The analysis in Appendix C can be further developed using the differential geometry of surfaces in three space dimensions (e.g. Lipschutz (1969), Boozer (1983, 2004), Kobayashi and Nomizu (1963), Lee (1997)). Appendix D discusses gauge transformations for the magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) which are compatible with the condition \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \) and co-dimension one foliations. Appendix E derives the Reinhart and Wood (1973) formula for the Godbillon-Vey invariant for a co-dimension 1 foliation in three-dimensional geometry (i.e. a family of two dimensional surfaces or foliation), in terms of the curvature and torsion of the curves normal to the foliation, and in terms of the second fundamental form for the surface. The connection between the differential geometry formulation of the Godbillon-Vey invariant by Reinhart and Wood (1973) and the Godbillon-Vey invariant used in this paper is described. Appendix F describes Clebsch potential representations for the Low and Lou (1990) nonlinear force-free magnetic fields. Appendix G describes the Reinhart and Wood (1973) form of the Godbillon-Vey invariant for the Low and Lou (1990) force-free magnetic field using spherical polar coordinates.

2. The MHD Equations

The ideal MHD equations, consist of the mass continuity equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0; \tag{2.1}
\]

the momentum equation:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \left[ \rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} + \left( p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) I - \frac{\mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} \right] = 0; \tag{2.2}
\]

the entropy advection equation:

\[
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla S = 0; \tag{2.3}
\]

Faraday’s equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0; \tag{2.4}
\]

and Gauss’s equation:

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0; \tag{2.5}
\]

supplemented by the first law of thermodynamics, which is related to the equation of state for the gas in ideal MHD (e.g. \( p = p(\rho, S) \)). Here \( \rho, \mathbf{u}, p, S, \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) are the gas density, fluid velocity, pressure, entropy and magnetic field induction respectively. Faraday’s equation (2.4) is sometimes written with the addition of an extra term
of $\mathbf{u}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}$ on the left-hand side. This is useful in numerical MHD, where numerically generated $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} \neq 0$ can cause numerical errors and instabilities in the MHD system. The problem of the effects of $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} \neq 0$, and the methods used to reduce numerically generated $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}$ have been extensively discussed in the numerical MHD literature (e.g. Evans and Hawley (1988), Powell et al. (1999), Janhunen (2000), Dedner et al. (2002), Balsara (2004), Stone and Gardiner (2009), Webb et al. (2010)).

Because $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} = 0$ (Gauss’s equation), we can express $\mathbf{B}$ in terms of the magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ as:

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}. \quad (2.6)$$

Faraday’s equation (with $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} = 0$) in ideal MHD is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (2.7)$$

is the electric field in the fixed inertial frame. From $(2.6)$–$(2.7)$,

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{A}_t + \mathbf{E}) = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

implying:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \psi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{E} + \nabla \psi = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Here $\psi$ is an arbitrary gauge potential obtained by solving $(2.8)$ for $\mathbf{E}$. Equations $(2.7)$–$(2.9)$ and Gauss’s equation $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} = 0$ are used below to derive the local conservation law for the magnetic helicity density $h_m = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$.

3. Magnetic helicity and Godbillon-Vey invariant

In this section we derive the magnetic helicity transport equation, and the Godbillon-Vey helicity transport equation.

3.1. Magnetic helicity

Using the two forms of Faraday’s equation $(2.7)$ and $(2.9)$ in the combination:

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{B}_t + \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) + \mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{A}_t + \mathbf{E} + \nabla \psi) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

results in the magnetic helicity transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{A} + \psi \mathbf{B} \right] = -2\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \quad (3.2)$$

In ideal MHD, $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = -(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, and in this limit, $(3.2)$ reduces to the magnetic helicity conservation equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{u} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + (\psi - \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{B}] = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

For the case of a non-ideal plasma, with finite conductivity $\sigma$, the simplest form of Ohm’s law for the plasma has the form:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{\mathbf{J}}{\sigma} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{J}}{\sigma}, \quad (3.4)$$

in which $\mathbf{E}'$ is the electric field in the fluid frame (e.g. Boyd and Sanderson (1969), equation (3.61)). The magnetic helicity transport equation $(3.2)$ reduces to the equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + (\psi - \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{B} + \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{A}}{\sigma} \right] = -\frac{2(\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{B})}{\sigma}. \quad (3.5)$$
By integrating (3.5) over a volume $V_m$ moving with the flow gives the equation:

$$\frac{dH_m}{dt} = \int_{\partial V_m} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \left( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \psi \right) dS - \int_{\partial V_m} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{A})}{\sigma} dS - \int_{V_m} \frac{2 \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\sigma} d^3 x. \quad (3.6)$$

The surface term involving $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ vanishes as $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ is assumed on $\partial V_m$. The second term represents the transport of helicity flux across $\partial V_m$ and the volume integral represents dissipation of the helicity due to the finite conductivity of the plasma.

Taylor (1986) developed a theory for the decay of magnetic helicity in a high conductivity plasma by hypothesizing that at lowest order the magnetic helicity for the whole volume $V_m$ is conserved, but locally there could be magnetic reconnection of the field $\mathbf{B}$. Taylor’s theory leads to a much faster decay rate for the magnetic energy density of the field in a weakly dissipative plasma than for the magnetic helicity.

The total magnetic helicity for a volume $V_m$ moving with the flow is defined as the integral:

$$H_m = \int_{V_m} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, d^3 x. \quad (3.7)$$

In the ideal MHD limit $(\sigma \to \infty)$ $H_m$ is conserved following the flow, i.e. $dH_m/dt = 0$, provided $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on the boundary surface $\partial V_m$ of the volume $V_m$.

The magnetic helicity integral (3.7) can be written as:

$$H_m = \int_{V_m} \omega^1_A \wedge d\omega^1_A, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\omega^1_A = \mathbf{A} \cdot dx \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^2_A = d\omega^1_A = \mathbf{B} \cdot dS \quad (3.9)$$

are the magnetic vector potential one-form $\omega^1_A$ and the magnetic flux two-form $\omega^2_A = d\omega_A$. The symbol $\wedge$ denotes the wedge product used in the algebra of exterior differential forms (e.g. Flanders (1963)). The integral form (3.8) is known as the Hopf invariant which was developed in topological field theory by Hopf in the 1930’s.

The proof that $dH_m/dt = 0$ for the case where the volume consists of flux tubes, in which $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial V_m$ was derived by Moffatt (1978) (see also Woltjer (1958) and Elsässer (1956) for more discussion).

### 3.2. The Godbillon-Vey invariant

The Godbillon-Vey invariant was introduced by Godbillon and Vey (1971) and later studied by Reinhart and Wood (1973), Hurder (2002) and others. In 3D geometry, one can imagine the space as being filled with a family of 2 dimensional surfaces in which the surfaces are solutions of the Pfaffian equation $\omega^1_A = \mathbf{A} \cdot dx = 0$. In the present paper the Godbillon-Vey field is defined as:

$$\eta = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} / |\mathbf{A}|^2. \quad (3.10)$$

The reason for this choice for $\eta$ is outlined below. The Godbillon-Vey 3-form is the three-form:

$$\omega^3_\eta = \omega^1_\eta \wedge d\omega^1_\eta \equiv \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \, d^3 x, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\eta$ is the Godbillon-Vey field. The Godbillon-Vey invariant for the volume $V_m$ is the helicity integral

$$H_{gv} = \int_{V_m} \omega^1_\eta \wedge d\omega^1_\eta, \quad (3.12)$$
Thurston (1972) described the Godbillon-Vey invariant as the wobble of a foliation or a pyramid of discs lying on top of each other (see Figure 1). A similar wobble can be seen in the sculpture illustrated in Figure 2 (from Ghys (2014) lecture on ‘Foliations: What’s next after Thurston’).

The meaning of ‘wobble’ used above is presumably related to the wobble of spinning objects, due to the tilt between the axis of symmetry and its angular momentum (this in solid body dynamics involves the moments of inertia of the spinning body and the rotation axis of spin). A description of this phenomenon for rigid bodies is quite complicated (see e.g. Goldstein (1980); Holm (2008), Marsden and Ratiu (1994), Chapter 15, and also the webpage http://www/mathpages.com/home/kmath/kmath116.htm).

3.3. Reinhart and Wood (1973) formula for Godbillon-Vey invariant

Reinhart and Wood (1973) (see also appendix E) show that the Godbillon-Vey invariant can be written in the form:

\[
H_{gV}^{RW} = \int_{V_g} \hat{n} \wedge d\hat{n} = \int_{V_g} \kappa^2 (\tau - h_{BN}) \, d^3 x,
\]  

where \(\kappa\) and \(\tau\) are the curvature and torsion of a curve (or family of curves) with tangent vector \(T = \hat{A}\) normal to the foliation (here \(\hat{n} = \hat{A} \times (\nabla \times \hat{A}) = -\hat{A} \cdot \nabla \hat{A} = -k\) where \(k\) is the curvature vector of the curve. \(h_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2)\) defines the second fundamental form for the surface, which describes the curvature of the foliation surface \(\Phi = \text{const.}\). Here \(T, N, B\) is the moving tri-hedron for the curve normal to the foliation, with tangent vector \(T\), principal normal \(N\) and bi-normal \(B\). These vector fields are governed by the
Serret-Frenet formulae (or suitable equivalent formulae), and we use the notation $T = e_3$, $N = e_1$ and $B = e_2$ for the orthonormal moving tri-hedron $T, N, B$, so that $h_{21} = h_{BN}$. The main point of the \textit{Reinhart and Wood (1973)} formula is that the curve with tangent vector $T$ normal to the surface is a non-planar curve as it has both non-zero curvature ($\kappa$) and torsion ($\tau$), and it in general wobbles out of the original plane of the curve (for example if $h_{BN}$ is small then both $\kappa$ and $\tau$ must be non-zero in order for the differential invariant $\hat{\eta} \wedge d\hat{\eta}$ to be non-zero).

\textit{Godbillon and Vey (1971)} and \textit{Reinhart and Wood (1973)}, describe the Godbillon-Vey invariant for a co-dimension 1 foliation as a co-homology class defined by the 3-form (3.11). This theory is important in algebraic topology in describing the topology of the distinct classes of closed curves that can be drawn on hypersurfaces in terms of the so-called Betti numbers and other topological invariants (see e.g. \textit{Fulton (1995), Hatcher (2002), Pontryagin (1952)} describes simplexes and combinatorial topology).

3.4. The MHD Godbillon-Vey Field and Invariant

The reason for the choice of $\eta$ in (3.10) is given below. The condition for the Pfaffian equation $\omega^1_A = A \cdot dx = 0$ to be integrable defines a co-dimension 1 foliation, is that:

$$A \cdot (\nabla \times A) \equiv A \cdot B = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

In this case, the Pfaffian equation $\omega^1_A = A \cdot dx = 0$ has an integrating factor $\mu$ such that $\mu A = \nabla \lambda$ in which the foliation is described by the family of surfaces $\lambda(x, y, z) = c_1$. Each member of the family has unit normal $\hat{A} = A/|A|^2$ (i.e. the normal to the surfaces are parallel to $A$). The integrability condition (3.14) can be expressed as:

$$\omega^1_A \wedge d\omega^1_A \equiv A \cdot B \, d^3x = 0. \quad (3.15)$$
The condition (3.15) is satisfied if there exists a 1-form:

$$\omega^1_{\eta} = \eta \cdot dx$$

such that

$$d \omega^1_A = \omega^1_{\eta} \wedge \omega^1_A.$$  (3.16)

In that case,

$$\omega^1_A \wedge d \omega^1_A = \omega^1_A \wedge (\omega^1_{\eta} \wedge \omega^1_A) = 0.$$  (3.17)

Condition (3.16) can be written as:

$$B \cdot dS = (\nabla \times A) \cdot dS = (\eta \cdot dx) \wedge (A \cdot dx) = (\eta \times A) \cdot dS.$$  (3.18)

Equation (3.18) is satisfied if

$$B \perp = \eta \times A \quad \text{where} \quad B \parallel = B \cdot \hat{A} \hat{A} \quad \text{and} \quad B \perp = B - B \cdot \hat{A} \hat{A},$$  (3.19)

where the subscripts $\parallel$ and $\perp$ denote components of $B$ parallel and perpendicular to $A$. Taking the cross product of $A$ on the left with (3.19) gives:

$$A \times B \perp = A \times (\eta \times A) = (A \cdot A)\eta - (A \cdot \eta) A,$$  (3.20)

Choosing $\eta$ such that $\eta \cdot A = 0$, (3.20) gives:

$$\eta = \frac{A \times B}{|A|^2}.$$  (3.21)

This is the form of the Godbillon-Vey field that was adopted by Tur and Yanovsky (1993) and Webb et al. (2014a). From (3.19) we obtain:

$$B = \beta A + \eta \times A = B \parallel + B \perp,$$  (3.22)

where

$$\beta = \frac{A \cdot B}{|A|^2} = \frac{h_m}{|A|^2}, \quad h_m = A \cdot B.$$  (3.23)

The formulas (3.21)–(3.23) play an essential role in the formulation of a transport equation for the Godbillon-Vey magnetic helicity for both the cases $h_m = 0$ and $h_m \neq 0$.

It is interesting to note that:

$$A \times B = A \times (\nabla \times A) = \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} |A|^2 \right) - A \cdot \nabla A.$$  (3.24)

This result is analogous to the $J \times B$ force on the plasma, except that $B$ has been replaced by $A$ and there is a sign change. The first term is analogous to the gradient of a uniform pressure gradient of $A^2$ and the second term is analogous to the tension force of the magnetic field in the $J \times B$ force.

However, if we use normalized base vectors (i.e. unit vectors $\hat{A} = A/A$) to describe the field, then we obtain:

$$\eta = \frac{A \times B}{|A|^2} = \frac{A \nabla A}{A^2} - \frac{A \hat{A} \cdot \nabla (A \hat{A})}{A^2} = (1 - A \hat{A}) \cdot \nabla \ln(A) - \hat{A} \cdot \nabla \hat{A},$$  (3.25)

The first term in (3.25) is the gradient of $\ln(A)$ perpendicular to $A$ and the second term is minus the curvature vector of $\hat{A}$. The $A$ field line curvature term in (3.25) can be written in the form:

$$-\hat{A} \cdot \nabla \hat{A} = -\kappa^{(A)} n^{(A)},$$  (3.26)

where $n^{(A)}$ is the principal normal to the $A$ field lines, and $\kappa^{(A)}$ is the curvature of the $A$ field lines. $\hat{A}$ can be thought of as the tangent vector to a curve with principal normal $n^{(A)}$ pointed towards the center of curvature of the $\hat{A}$ field (e.g. Lipschutz (1969)).
3.5. Gauge Transformations

For the case $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, (3.25) for $\eta$ implies $\eta \cdot \mathbf{A} = \eta \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\eta$ are mutually orthogonal vectors, in which $\mathbf{A}$ is normal to the foliation $\lambda = \text{const.}$. Note that

$$\mu \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = d\lambda = \nabla \lambda \cdot d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.27}$$

where $\mu$ is an integrating factor. It is necessary to keep in mind that the use of $\mathbf{A}$ in (3.27) depends on the gauge for $\mathbf{A}$. If for example, $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \phi$, this will induce a change in the function $\lambda$. In other words, (3.27) in the new gauge leads to the equation $\tilde{\mu} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = d\tilde{\lambda}$. If we fix the gauge of $\mathbf{A}$, then the solution of (3.27) is:

$$\mathbf{A} = \nu \nabla \lambda, \tag{3.28}$$

where $\nu = 1/\mu$ and $\mu$ is the integrating factor for the Pfaffian equation $\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0$. In this case the vectors $\eta$, $\mathbf{B}$ lie in the $\lambda = \text{const.}$ surface and $\mathbf{A}$ is normal to the surface (i.e. $\mathbf{N} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{A}} = \nabla \lambda / |\nabla \lambda|$ is the unit normal to the surface). The geometrical configuration of $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\eta$ and the surface $\lambda = \text{const.}$ are depicted schematically in Figure 3. Note that the vectors $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\eta$ are mutually orthogonal, and with $\mathbf{B}$ and $\eta$ lying within the surface $\lambda = \text{const.}$.

The magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ can admit a gauge potential transformation $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \phi$, i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \tilde{\nu} \nabla \tilde{\lambda} = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \phi = \nu \nabla \lambda + \nabla \phi \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}. \tag{3.29}$$
In this case we obtain:

\[
\tilde{A} \cdot B = (\nu \nabla \lambda + \nabla \phi) \cdot (\nabla \nu \times \nabla \lambda) = \nabla \phi \cdot (\nabla \nu \times \nabla \lambda) = J = \frac{\partial (\phi, \nu, \lambda)}{\partial (x, y, z)}.
\] (3.30)

The zero Jacobian case \(J = 0\) or \(A \cdot B = 0\) implies that

\[
\phi = \phi(\nu, \lambda) \quad \text{for} \quad J = 0.
\] (3.31)

The gauge transformations (3.29) are discussed in Appendix D.

If \(\phi\) has discontinuous jumps across some surface, the integral of \(A \cdot B\) over a volume containing the discontinuity surface leads to a non-zero magnetic helicity integral over the volume. This implies that there is not a global single valued, smooth magnetic vector potential for \(A\), and that a complicated magnetic field topology can arise due to the discontinuity surface for \(A\). This possibility is used by Semenov et al. (2002) to describe the MHD topological soliton using Euler potentials.

In the case \(A \cdot B \neq 0\), the space is not foliated into a family of surfaces. One could use Boozer coordinates (Boozer 1983, 2004) to describe the magnetic field, in which case we write:

\[
A = \nu \nabla \lambda + \psi \nabla \phi \quad \text{and} \quad B = \nabla \nu \times \nabla \lambda + \nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi.
\] (3.32)

The Clebsch representations (3.28)-(3.32) for the Godbillon-Vey helicity density \(H_{gv}\) are discussed in Appendix C.

### 3.6. Godbillon-Vey Conservation Law

**Proposition 3.1.** Using the advected \(A\) gauge in which the electric field potential \(\psi = A \cdot u\) (Gordin and Petviashvili 1987, 1989), the Godbillon-Vey helicity density \(h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta)\) for MHD flows in which \(A \cdot B = 0\) satisfies the conservation law:

\[
\frac{\partial h_{gv}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [u h_{gv} + \alpha B] = 0,
\] (3.33)

where

\[
\eta = \frac{A \times B}{|A|^2}, \quad h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta),
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{2A \cdot \sigma \cdot \eta}{|A|^2} \equiv \frac{A \cdot \sigma \cdot \eta + \eta \cdot \sigma \cdot A}{|A|^2},
\]

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla u + (\nabla u)^T - \frac{2}{3} (\nabla \cdot u) I \right].
\] (3.34)

Here, \(\alpha\) depends on the shear tensor of the fluid \(\sigma\). It describes the coupling of the fields \(A\) and \(\eta\) due to shear in the flow. For shear-free flows, \(\alpha = 0\). The Godbillon-Vey helicity \(H_{gv} = \int_{V_m} h_{gv} d^3x\) for a volume \(V_m\) moving with the flow is conserved, i.e. \(dH_{gv}/dt = 0\) where it is assumed that \(B \cdot n = 0\) on the boundary \(\partial V_m\) of \(V_m\).

**Proof.** The detailed proof follows as a consequence of the analysis of Tur and Yanovsky (1993) and Webb et al. (2014a). A proof is given in appendix A.

### 4. Godbillon-Vey helicity for \(A \cdot B \neq 0\)

In this section, we generalize the Godbillon-Vey helicity transport equation in two ways, namely (a) we determine the form of the transport equation for the case where the magnetic helicity \(h_m = A \cdot B \neq 0\) and (b) we allow for a general electric field potential.
ψ (i.e. we allow for more general gauges for \( \mathbf{A} \), other than the advected \( \mathbf{A} \) gauge for which \( \psi = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} \)). The underlying idea is that Faraday's equation for \( \mathbf{B} \) can be split up into components parallel and perpendicular to \( \mathbf{A} \) as in (3.22), i.e.

\[
\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^\parallel + \mathbf{B}^\perp,
\]

in which \( \mathbf{B}^\parallel = h_m \mathbf{A}/|\mathbf{A}|^2 \), where \( h_m = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \), and \( \mathbf{B}^\perp \) is related to the Godbillon-Vey field \( \eta = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}/|\mathbf{A}|^2 \) by the formula

\[
\mathbf{B}^\perp = \eta \times \mathbf{A}.
\]

**Proposition 4.1.** The transport equation for the Godbillon-Vey helicity \( h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \) where \( \eta = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}/|\mathbf{A}|^2 \), for the general case where \( h_m = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0 \), and for a general gauge for \( \mathbf{A} \) has the form:

\[
\frac{\partial h_{gv}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h_{gv} \mathbf{u}) = Q,
\]

where the source term \( Q \) in (4.1) is given by:

\[
Q = \mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \times \eta + \eta \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{S},
\]

\[
\mathbf{S} = \frac{h_m}{|\mathbf{A}|^3} \mathbf{A} \times (2\sigma \cdot \mathbf{A} + \nabla \zeta) + \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \zeta}{|\mathbf{A}|^2} \eta + \alpha \mathbf{A},
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{(2\mathbf{A} \cdot \sigma \cdot \eta + \eta \cdot \nabla \zeta)}{|\mathbf{A}|^2}, \quad \zeta = \psi - \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u},
\]

and \( \sigma \) is the fluid velocity shear tensor in (3.34). Here, \( \mathbf{S} \) is the source term in the Godbillon-Vey field evolution equation:

\[
\eta_t - \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \eta) + \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \eta) = \mathbf{S}.
\]

In the special case \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \) and \( \zeta = 0 \) (\( \psi = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} \)) the Godbillon-Vey transport equation (4.1) reduces to the conservation law (3.33). In the advected \( \mathbf{A} \) gauge (\( \zeta = 0 \) and \( \psi = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} \)), (4.2) gives the simplified formulae:

\[
\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^0 = \frac{2h_m}{|\mathbf{A}|^3} \mathbf{A} \times (\sigma \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \alpha \mathbf{A}, \quad \alpha \equiv \alpha_0 = \frac{2(\mathbf{A} \cdot \sigma \cdot \eta)}{|\mathbf{A}|^2}.
\]

**Proof.** The proof is given in Appendix B.

5. **The Low and Lou (1990) Force Free Magnetic Fields**

In this section we investigate the Godbillon-Vey helicity of the Low and Lou (1990) force free magnetic fields. Both Low and Lou (1990) and Prasad et al. (2014) used these fields to discuss solar photospheric magnetic fields. Prasad et al. (2014) investigated models of force free magnetic fields in order to describe solar magnetic fields observed by the Hinode spectro-polarimeter. They studied the relative magnetic helicity and magnetic free energy of magnetically active regions (AR’s) on the Sun, both before and after solar flares.

The force-free magnetic fields arise in low beta magnetic fields in a highly conducting plasma when the dominant force in the magneto-static force balance is the \( \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \) force. In this case, the approximate force balance equation reduces to \( \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0 = 0 \). For force-free fields, \( \mathbf{B} \) is to lowest order parallel to the current \( \mathbf{J} \) so that:

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \alpha \mathbf{B}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \alpha = 0.
\]

For linear force free fields \( \alpha \) is taken to be constant (e.g. Chandrasekhar 1956). For nonlinear force free fields, the function \( \alpha \) can be a nonlinear function of the magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \), or of a component of \( \mathbf{A} \). From (5.1) we obtain the condition:

\[
\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \cdot (\alpha \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \alpha = 0.
\]
Thus $\alpha$ is constant along a field line.

The nonlinear force-free magnetic fields of Low and Lou (1990) have the form:

$$B = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi + \frac{Q e_\phi}{r \sin \theta},$$

(5.3)

where $(r, \theta, \phi)$ are spherical polar coordinates, and

$$e_r = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad e_\theta = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad e_\phi = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

(5.4)

are orthonormal unit vectors in the $r$, $\theta$, and $\phi$ directions. In (5.3) $A = A(r, \theta)$ and $Q = Q(r, \theta)$.

Using the magnetic field representation (5.3), (5.1) give the equations:

$$\frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} - \alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0,$$

(5.5)

$$\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r} + \alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) = 0,$$

(5.6)

$$\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\alpha Q}{r \sin \theta} = 0,$$

(5.7)

as the components of the force balance equation in the $r$, $\theta$ and $\phi$ directions respectively.

From (5.5) and (5.6) the compatibility condition:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} - \alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r} - \alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) \equiv \frac{\partial (Q, \psi)}{\partial (\theta, r)} = 0,$$

(5.8)

implies $Q = Q(\psi)$. Similarly, the condition $B \cdot \nabla \alpha = 0$ in (5.1) requires:

$$B_r \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r} + B_\theta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta} \equiv \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial (\psi, \alpha)}{\partial (\theta, r)} = 0.$$

(5.9)

Equation (5.9) requires that $\alpha = \alpha(\psi)$. (5.5) and (5.6) gives the equations:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{dQ}{d\psi} - \alpha \right) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \left( -\frac{dQ}{d\psi} + \alpha \right) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{dQ}{d\psi}.$$

(5.10)

Using $\alpha = dQ/d\psi$ and $Q = Q(\psi)$ in (5.7) gives the equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1 - \mu^2}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \mu^2} + \frac{dQ(\psi)}{d\psi}Q(\psi) = 0,$$

(5.11)

where $\mu = \cos \theta$. Thus, the nonlinear force-free magnetic field equation (5.1) reduces to the second order partial differential equation (5.11) for $\psi$, with ignorable spherical polar coordinate $\phi$. Equation (5.11) is analogous to the Grad-Shafranov equation for MHD equilibria with ignorable coordinate $\phi$.

From Low and Lou (1990) and Prasad et al. (2014) (5.11) admits separable solutions for $\psi$ of the form:

$$\psi = \frac{P(\mu)}{r^n}, \quad Q = a \psi^{1+1/n},$$

(5.12)

where $a$ is a constant and $P(\mu)$ satisfies the nonlinear second order differential equation:

$$(1 - \mu^2) \frac{d^2 P}{d\mu^2} + n(n+1)P + a^2 \left( \frac{n+1}{n} \right) P^{1+2/n} = 0.$$

(5.13)
For $a = 0$, the solution of (5.13), which is regular at $\mu = \pm 1$ is:

$$P(\mu) = (1 - \mu^2)^{1/2}P_n^1(\mu), \quad (5.14)$$

where $P_n^m(\mu)$ is Legendre’s associated function.

Here $a = 0$ implies $Q = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$, which represent the potential field (untwisted) solutions. For the non-potential cases ($a \neq 0$), the above nonlinear equation has to be solved numerically as an eigenvalue problem (for different values of $a$) subject to the boundary conditions $P = 0$ at $\mu = \pm 1$. The solutions of (5.13) for the restrictive case of $n = 1$ were presented in [Low and Lod (1990)], which were constrained to due to an inherent singularity in $P$ at $\mu = 0$ for higher values of $n$. These solutions were later extended for higher odd values of $n$ by Prasad et al. (2014) through the transformation $P(\mu) = \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)}F(\mu)$ and then solving the (5.13) in terms of $F$ where

$$(1 - \mu^2) \frac{d^2 F}{d\mu^2} - 2\mu \frac{dF}{d\mu} + \left[ n(n + 1) - \frac{1}{(1 - \mu^2)} \right] F(\mu) + a^2 \frac{(n + 1)}{n} F^{(n+2)/n}(1 - \mu^2)^{1/n} = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

with the boundary conditions $F(\mu) = 0$ at $\mu = \pm 1$.

Following Prasad et al. (2014) we search for a two-dimensional magnetic vector potential of the form:

$$\mathbf{A} = A_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + A_\phi \mathbf{e}_\phi, \quad (5.16)$$

where $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$. Using (5.3), (5.12), (5.13), and (5.16) we obtain the equations:

$$B_r = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (A_\phi \sin \theta) - \frac{\partial A_\theta}{\partial \phi} \right] = \frac{dP/d\mu}{r^{n+2}},$$

$$B_\theta = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rA_\phi) = \frac{nP(\mu)}{r^{n+2} \sin \theta},$$

$$B_\phi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rA_\theta) = \frac{a[P(\mu)]^{(n+1)/n}}{r^{n+2} \sin \theta}. \quad (5.17)$$

Integration of (5.17) gives the solutions:

$$A_\theta = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{a[P(\mu)]^{(n+1)/n}}{r^{n+1} \sin \theta}, \quad A_\phi = \frac{P(\mu)}{r^{n+1} \sin \theta}. \quad (5.18)$$

for $A_\theta$ and $A_\phi$.

Using (5.17) and (5.18) it follows that:

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = A_\theta B_\theta + A_\phi B_\phi = 0. \quad (5.19)$$

Thus $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = 0$, which implies that the Pfaffian $\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0$ admits an integrating factor, $\mu$ such that $\mu \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = d\Phi$ where $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \text{const.}$ is a foliation with normal $\mathbf{A}$. The magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ and the Godbillon-Vey field $\eta = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} / |\mathbf{A}|^2$ lie on the foliation. Using (5.17)-(5.18), the components of $\eta$ are given by the equations:

$$\eta_r = \frac{-n}{r}, \quad \eta_\theta = -\frac{(dP/d\mu) \sin \theta}{rP[1 + (a^2/n^2)P^{2/n}]}, \quad \eta_\phi = -\frac{aP^{(1/n-1)}(dP/d\mu) \sin \theta}{nrP[1 + (a^2/n^2)P^{2/n}]} . \quad (5.20)$$

In the evaluation of (5.20) we used the formula:

$$|\mathbf{A}|^2 = \frac{[1 + (a^2/n^2)P^{2/n}]P^2}{r^{2n+2} \sin^2 \theta}. \quad (5.21)$$

Figure 4: Low and Lou (1990) force free magnetic field eigen-functions $P(n, m)$ for $n = 1$ versus $\mu$ where $\mu = \cos \theta$ and $(r, \theta, \phi)$ are spherical polar coordinates. $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Calculating $\nabla \times \eta$ we obtain:

$$ (\nabla \times \eta)_r = \frac{a}{nr^2} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( P^{(1/n-1)}(dP/d\mu)(1 - \mu^2) \right), \quad (\nabla \times \eta)_\theta = (\nabla \times \eta)_\phi = 0. \quad (5.22) $$

Using (5.20)-(5.22) we obtain the Godbillon-Vey helicity density $h_\eta$ as:

$$ h_\eta = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) = -\frac{a}{r^3} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{P^{(1/n-1)}(dP/d\mu)(1 - \mu^2)}{[1 + (a^2/n^2)P^{2/n}]} \right). \quad (5.23) $$

Note that for the potential field with $a = 0$, the Godbillon-Vey helicity density $h_{gv} \equiv h_\eta$ is zero. Only for $a \neq 0$ is there a non-zero Godbillon Vey helicity. The parameter $a$ is an eigen-value in the nonlinear force free fields obtained by Low and Lou (1990) and Prasad et al. (2014). The eigenfunctions $P(\mu)$ are characterized by the label $n$ (see 5.13), which determines the radial dependence of the solution for $\psi$. A similar classification applies to the $F(\mu)$, where $P(\mu) = \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)}$. The eigen-functions are also labelled by the index $m$ where $m = 1$ corresponds to the lowest possible value of the eigenvalue $a$ that fits the boundary conditions $F(\mu) = 0$ at $\mu = \pm 1$.

Figure 4 shows the eigen-functions $P(n, m; \mu)$ versus $\mu$ for $-1 < \mu < 1$, for $n = 1$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The case $m = 0$ corresponds to the potential field case where $P(\mu) = 1 - \mu^2$. For $m = 1$ and $m = 3$ $P(\mu)$ is even in $\mu$, but for $m = 2$ $P(\mu)$ is odd in $\mu$ (see also Low and Lou (1990) and Prasad et al. (2014)).

Figure 5 shows the Godbillon-Vey helicity $h \equiv h_\eta$ (equation (5.23) versus $\mu$ ($-1 \leq \mu \leq 1$) for $r = 1$, for $n = 1$ and for $m = 1, 2, 3$. The panels corresponds to $m = 1$ (top panel) $m = 2$ (middle panel) and $m = 3$ (bottom panel). The helicity densities $h(1, m)$ versus $\mu$ are shown for $m = 1, 2, 3$ from top to bottom. For $m = 1$ and $m = 3$ the helicity densities $h(1, m)$ are even in $\mu$ but $h(1, 2)$ for $m = 2$ is odd in $\mu$. Note the existence of positive and negative values of $h \equiv h_{gv}$ as a function of $\mu$. The maximum and minimum values of $h$ increases with $m$.

Perhaps of more physical interest is the net Godbillon-Vey helicity integral for $r = 1$,
Figure 5: Godbillon-Vey helicity density $h(n, m)$ versus $\mu$ for the Low and Lou (1990) force free magnetic field (5.17). $h(n, m) \equiv h_\eta$ is given by (5.23). The parameter $n = 1$ and $m = 1, 2, 3$ (top to bottom). Note $h(1, 1)$ and $h(1, 3)$ are even in $\mu$ but $h(1, 2)$ (middle panel) is odd in $\mu$.

namely:

$$g(\mu) = \int_{\mu=-1}^{\mu} d\mu' h_\eta(\mu') = -\alpha \left[ \frac{P(1/n-1)(\mu')dP(\mu')/d\mu'(1-\mu'^2)}{1 + (a^2/n^2)P(\mu')^{2/n}} \right]_{\mu'=-1}^{\mu'} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.24)

The plots of $g(\mu)$ in Figure 6 show that $g(\mu) = 0$ at $\mu = 1$, i.e. the net Godbillon Vey helicity integral is zero. Note that $g(\mu)$ is odd in $\mu$ for $m = 1$ and $m = 3$ but is even in $\mu$ for $m = 2$.

In general $h_\eta \neq 0$, but its integral over the spherical shell $r_1 < r < r_2$ is zero. The
Figure 6: Cumulative Godbillon-Vey helicity $g(n, m)$ versus $\mu$ for the \textit{Low and Lou} (1990) force free magnetic field (5.17). $g(n, m) \equiv g(\mu)$ is given by (5.24). The parameter $n = 1$ and $m = 1, 2, 3$ (top to bottom). Note $g(1, 1)$ and $g(1, 3)$ are odd in $\mu$ but $g(1, 2)$ (middle panel) is even in $\mu$.

integral of $h_\eta$ over the northern hemisphere $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ is minus that over the southern hemisphere $-\pi/2 < \theta < 0$.

5.1. Clebsch Potential Representations

Because $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = 0$, it follows that the Pfaffian $\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0$ admits an integrating factor $\mu$, such that $\mu \mathbf{A} = \nabla \Phi$ where $\Phi = \text{const.}$ is a foliation. This means that $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ have the Clebsch representation:

$$\mathbf{A} = \chi \nabla \Phi, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \chi \times \nabla \Phi,$$

(5.25)
where
\[ \Phi = \int \xi \frac{d\xi'}{G(\xi')}, \quad \xi = \phi + \frac{a}{n} \int^\mu \frac{P(\mu')^{1/n}}{(1 - \mu'^2)} d\mu', \quad \chi = \frac{P(\mu) G(\xi)}{r^n}. \]

A derivation of the formulas \((5.25)-(5.26)\) are given in Appendix F. Note that \(B\) is independent of the choice of the arbitrary function \(G(\xi)\) in the Clebsch representation. Also note that \(A_r = 0\) for the solution \((5.25)-(5.26)\). Both \(B\) and \(\eta\) lie on the foliation \(\Phi = \text{const.}\). The magnetic field lines are located on the intersection of the \(\Phi = \text{const.}\) surfaces and the \(\chi = \text{const.}\) surfaces. Note that:

\[ A = \chi \nabla \Phi = \nabla (\chi \Phi) - \Phi \nabla \chi \equiv -\Phi \nabla \chi, \]

In this latter representation, \(A\) is normal to the \(\chi = \text{const.}\) surface and \(\psi = \chi \Phi\) is a gauge potential. It is clear that the latter form of \(A\) in \((5.27)\) is also a valid representation for \(A\), that gives the \(\text{Low and Lou} (1990)\) nonlinear force free magnetic field \(B\) \((5.17)\) in the form \((5.25)\).

The simplest form for \(G(\xi)\) in \((5.26)\) is \(G(\xi) = 1\). In this case

\[ A = \chi \nabla \xi = \frac{P(\mu)}{r^{n+1} \sin \theta} \left[ e_\phi - \frac{a}{n} P(\mu)^{1/n} e_\theta \right], \]

which gives:

\[ F = \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \chi = \frac{P(\mu)}{r^n}, \]

which gives:

\[ A = \chi \nabla \xi = \frac{P(\mu)}{r^{n+1} \sin \theta} \left[ n P(\mu) e_\nu + \frac{dP}{d\mu} \sin \theta e_\theta \right], \]

also gives rise to the \(\text{Low and Lou} (1990)\) force free field.

From \((5.31)\) it follows that \(A^{(2)}\) is normal to the \(\chi = \text{const.}\) foliation:

\[ r = \left( \frac{P(\mu)}{\chi} \right)^{1/n}, \]

where

\[ x = r (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta). \]

To plot the foliation \(\xi = \text{const.}\), with normal \(n = \nabla \xi / |\nabla \xi|\), note that

\[ x = X(\theta, \chi) = r (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta). \]

is a parametric form of the surface, where:

\[ \phi = \xi - \int^\mu \frac{\gamma(\mu)}{1 - \mu'^2} d\mu, \quad \gamma(\mu) = \frac{a}{n} P(\mu)^{1/n}, \]

\[ r = \left( \frac{P(\mu)}{\chi} \right)^{1/n}, \quad \mu = \cos \theta. \]

Thus, the \(\xi = \text{const.}\) surface can be described by the two independent parameters \((\theta, \chi)\), where \(r = r(\mu, \chi)\) and \(\phi = \phi(\xi, \mu)\) are given by \((5.33)\). The parametric representation of the \(\xi = \text{const.}\) surface is a standard approach in differential geometry (e.g., Lipschutz (1969)), from which one can extract the metric, or first fundamental form \(I\):

\[ I = g_{11}(dq^1)^2 + 2g_{12}dq^1dq^2 + g_{22}(dq^2)^2, \]
Figure 7: The surface $\xi = 1$ for the Low and Lou (1990) solution, where $\xi$ and $\chi$ are the Euler potentials defining $A$ in (5.29). The surface is described by (5.33) et seq. where $x = X(\mu, \chi)$. The parameters $n = m = 1$. 
where
\[ q^1 = \theta, \quad q^2 = \chi, \quad g_{ij} = x_{q^i} \cdot x_{q^j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \tag{5.36} \]
is the metric for the surface.

Figure 7 shows the surface \( \xi = 1 \) generated by varying \( \mu = \cos \theta, (-1 < \mu < 1) \), and by varying the parameter \( \chi \) in the range \( 1 < \chi < 2 \), where \( \mathbf{A} = \chi \nabla \xi \) in (5.29).

The surface from (5.33) has the form \( \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X}(\mu, \chi) \). The parameters \( n = m = 1 \). The surface apparently several branches which all pass through the origin and which fan out at larger \( (x, y, z) \). The magnetic field in fact diverges as \( r \to 0 \) at the origin. This means that for a realistic field, it is necessary to exclude the origin (e.g. limit the field to a \( \phi = \theta, \xi \) surface are \( \chi < 1 \)). For small \( |z| \) and by varying the parameter \( x, y, z \) at larger \( |z| \) and cup like structures which extend along the \( z \)-axis both for \( z > 0 \) and for \( z < 0 \).

Figure 8 shows the magnetic field line formed by the intersection of the \( \xi = 1 \) and \( \chi = 1 \) Euler potential surfaces displayed in Figures 7 and 8.

Figure 9 shows the magnetic field line formed at the intersection of the \( \xi = c \) and \( \chi = c \) Euler potential surfaces, for the cases \( c = 1, 2, 3 \). This is a complicated complex of field lines. For \( \mathbf{B} \) to be finite, the origin \( r = 0 \) should be excluded, because \( B \to \infty \) as \( r \to 0 \).

6. Conclusions and Discussion
In this paper, we studied the Godbillon-Vey invariant which arises in magnetohydrodynamics in the case where \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \) where \( \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \) is the magnetic induction and \( \mathbf{A} \) is the magnetic vector potential (Tur and Yanovsky (1993), Webb et al. (2014a); Webb (2018)). The condition \( \mathbf{A} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0 \), is a necessary and sufficient condition for the Pfaffian \( \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0 \) to be integrable (Sneddon (1957)), which implies that there exists an integrating factor \( \mu \) such that \( \mu \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \nabla \lambda \cdot d\mathbf{x} = d\lambda \), for some potential function \( \lambda \). This means that the \( \mathbf{B} \) lies on the foliation \( \lambda = \text{const.} \) and the normal to each leaf of the foliation is parallel to \( \mathbf{A} \). The Godbillon-Vey one-form \( \omega^1 = \eta \cdot d\mathbf{x} \) arises from the requirement that \( \omega^1_A = \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \) satisfies \( \omega^1_A \wedge d\omega^1_A = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \) \( d^3x = 0 \). This will be satisfied if there exists a one form \( \omega^1_\eta \) such that \( d\omega^1_\eta = \omega^1_A \wedge \omega^1_\eta \). Setting
\[ \omega^3 = \omega^1_\eta \wedge d\omega^1_\eta = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \quad d^3x, \tag{6.1} \]
the above integrability conditions lead to the formulas:
\[ \eta = \frac{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{A}|^2}, \quad h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta), \quad H_{gv} = \int_V \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \quad d^3x, \tag{6.2} \]
for the Godbillon-Vey field \( \eta \) and Godbillon-Vey helicity \( H_{gv} \).

It was shown (proposition 3.1) that if one chooses the electric field gauge potential \( \psi \) such that \( \psi = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} \) for which the one-form \( \omega^1_A = \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \) is Lie dragged with the fluid,
Figure 8: The surface $\chi = 1$ for the Low and Lou (1990) solution, where $\xi$ and $\chi$ are Euler potentials for $A$ in (5.29) and (5.30). The surface is described by (5.33) et seq. where $x = X(\mu, \xi)$. $-1 < \mu < 1$ and $1 < \xi < 4$. The parameters $n = m = 1$. 
Figure 9: The magnetic field line which is described by the intersection of the $\xi = 1$ and $\chi = 1$ Euler potential surfaces, where $\xi$ and $\chi$ are Euler potentials for $A$ for the force free magnetic field in (5.29) and (5.30). The parameters $n = m = 1$.

then the Godbillon-Vey helicity density $h_{gv} = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta)$ satisfies the conservation law:

$$\frac{\partial h_{gv}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (uh_{gv} + \alpha B) = 0,$$

where the scalar parameter $\alpha$ depends on the fluid shear tensor $\sigma$ via the equations:

$$\alpha = \frac{2A \cdot \sigma \cdot \eta}{|A|^2}, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla u + (\nabla u)^T - \frac{2}{3} |\nabla \cdot u| \right],$$

(6.4)
Figure 10: The magnetic field lines which are described by the intersection of the $\xi = c$ and $\chi = c$ Euler potential surfaces, where $c = 1, 2, 3$. Here $\xi$ and $\chi$ are Euler potentials for $A$ for the force free magnetic field in \cite{Low_1990} and \cite{Low_1990}. The parameters $n = m = 1$.

where $I$ is the unit $3 \times 3$ dyadic or identity matrix. From \cite{Low_1990} it follows that

$$\frac{dH_{gv}}{dt} = 0,$$

i.e. $H_{gv}$ is conserved for a volume $V_m$ moving with the flow, where it is assumed that $B_n = B \cdot n$, vanishes on the boundary $\partial V_m$. The Godbillon-Vey helicity conservation laws \cite{Low_1990} and \cite{Low_1990} only hold if one uses the advected $A$ gauge for $A$ (e.g. \cite{Gordin_1987}, \cite{Webb_2014}). Note that $\alpha = 0$ for a shear free flow for which $\sigma = 0$.

In Section 4, an evolution equation for $h_{gv}$ was developed for the case where $h_m = A \cdot B \neq 0$, for which the magnetic field does not lie on a foliated family of surfaces. The Godbillon-Vey helicity density $h_{gv}$ defined in \cite{Low_1990} satisfies a modified evolution equation
of the form:
\[
\frac{\partial h_{gv}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (u h_{gv}) = Q,
\]
where \(Q\) describes the coupling of the magnetic helicity density \(h_m\) with the Godbillon-Vey field \(\eta\) via the shear tensor of the flow.

In ideal, barotropic, incompressible fluid mechanics, the fluid helicity density:
\[
h_f = u \cdot \omega = u \cdot (\nabla \times u),
\]
is the analogue of the magnetic helicity density \(h_m = A \cdot (\nabla \times A)\), but the analogy is not precise (i.e. there are some caveats on the Godbillon-Vey helicity in the ideal fluid context). The condition \(u \cdot (\nabla \times u) = 0\) implies that there is a foliation of the flow, such that \(\mu u \cdot dx = d\lambda\) where \(\mu\) is an integrating factor. The fluid vorticity \(\omega\) lies on the foliation surfaces \(\lambda = \text{constant}\), and \(u\) is normal to the surfaces. One can define a Godbillon-Vey vector field \(\eta = u \times \omega / |u|^2\) and set \(h_\eta = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta)\) as the Godbillon-Vey helicity density. However the equation for \(u\), for incompressible fluid flows, is the momentum or Euler equation:
\[
u_t - u \times \omega + \nabla \left( \rho + \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 \right) = 0,
\]
where \(F = (\rho + |u|^2/2)\) is the Bernoulli function. The Euler flow has been the subject of many investigations on knotted vortex tubes in fluids. Steady solutions of (6.8) for \(F = \text{const}\). give rise to Beltrami flows, which in most cases give rise to chaotic streamlines (e.g. The ABC flow is an example: [Dombre et al. (1986)]). This is not the exact analogue of the magnetic vector potential equation for \(A\) in MHD, namely:
\[
A_t - u \times (\nabla \times A) + \nabla (u \cdot A) = 0,
\]
where we use the advected \(A \cdot dx\) gauge. The net upshot of this analysis is that one can derive an advection type equation for the Godbillon-Vey helicity density \(h_\eta\) for ideal fluids, but in general it is not a conservation law.

Examples of the nonlinear force free magnetic fields of [Low and Lou (1990) and Prasad et al. (2014)] were illustrated in Section 5. The magnetic field induction \(B = \nabla \times A\) were shown to admit a vector potential \(A\) which satisfies \(A \cdot B = 0\), which in turn implies that the magnetic field \(B\) lies on a foliation with normal \(\hat{A} = A / |A|\). The Godbillon-Vey helicity density for the [Low and Lou (1990)] nonlinear force-free magnetic fields in general is non-zero (Section 5). Note that not all force-free magnetic fields have \(A \cdot B = 0\). Force free magnetic fields are widely used in modelling solar magnetic fields in the solar chromosphere and corona (e.g. [Sakurai (1979), Wiegelmann and Sakurai (2012), Prasad et al. (2014)]).

[Holm and Kimura (1991)] studied zero helicity Lagrangian kinematics for 3D advection. Okhitani (2018) has investigated the 3D Euler equation for incompressible fluids, using Clebsch potentials for zero helicity flows, with the aim in mind of elucidating singularity formation in ideal fluids (e.g. he studies both the Taylor Green vortex and the Kida vortex). The role of Godbillon-Vey helicity in these flows is an interesting possibility for further research. [Berger and Hornig (2018)] have investigated the absolute magnetic helicity, which uses a poloidal and toroidal decomposition of the field and uses the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. These problems pose open questions beyond the scope of the present paper.
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In this appendix we derive the Godbillon-Vey helicity conservation equation (3.33) for ideal MHD flows with $A \cdot B = 0$. The analysis roughly follows that of Tur and Yanovsky (1993) and Webb et al. (2014a). From Webb et al. (2014a), equation (4.95), Faraday’s equation (2.6) can be written in the form:

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + L_u \right) d\omega^1_A \equiv \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + L_u \right) \left( \omega^1_\eta \wedge \omega^1_A \right) = 0,
$$

where $d\omega^1_A = B \cdot dS$ is the magnetic flux two form, and the decomposition $d\omega^1_A = \omega^1_\eta \wedge \omega^1_A$ from (3.16) implies $A \cdot B = 0$. Because we use the advected $A$ gauge with $\psi = A \cdot u$,

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + L_u \right) \omega^1_A = \frac{d}{dt} (A \cdot dx) = \left[ \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - u \times (\nabla \times A) + \nabla (u \cdot A) \right] \cdot dx = 0,
$$

is equivalent to the un-curled form of Faraday’s equation. Taking into account (A 2), (A 1) simplifies to:

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + L_u \right) \omega^1_\eta \wedge \omega^1_A = 0.
$$

Equation (A 3) implies:

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + L_u \right) \omega^1_\eta = \alpha \omega^1_A,
$$

where the function $\alpha(x, t)$ is yet to be determined. (A 4) may be written as:

$$
\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} - u \times (\nabla \times \eta) + \nabla (u \cdot \eta) = \alpha A.
$$

Taking the scalar product of (A 5) with $A$ gives the equation:

$$
\alpha |A|^2 = A \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} - u \times (\nabla \times \eta) + \nabla (u \cdot \eta) \right].
$$

To obtain a simpler formula for $\alpha$, we use the fact that $\omega^1_A = A \cdot dx$ is Lie dragged with the flow in (A 2). Taking the scalar product of (A 2) with $\eta$ gives the equation:

$$
0 = \eta \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - u \times (\nabla \times A) + \nabla (u \cdot A) \right].
$$

Adding (A 6) and (A 7) and noting that $A \cdot \eta = 0$ (note $\eta = A \times B / |A|^2$), results in the formula:

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{|A|^2} [\eta \cdot (u \cdot \nabla A + A \cdot \nabla u) + A \cdot (u \cdot \nabla \eta + \eta \cdot \nabla u)].
$$

Using the result $\eta \cdot A = 0$, (A 8) reduces to:

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{|A|^2} \left[ A^i \eta^i \left( \nabla_i u^s + \nabla_s u^i \right) \right].
$$

Using the Cauchy-Stokes formula (Mihalas and Mihalas 1984, Webb et al. 1994):

$$
u^i_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{ij} + \sigma_{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla \cdot u,
$$

(A 10)
where
\[\omega_{ij} = u^i_j - u^j_i,\]
\[\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( u^i_j + u^j_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right)\]
are the rotation tensor \((\omega_{ij})\) and shear tensor \((\sigma_{ij})\) of the flow. \(A 11\) for \(\alpha\) reduces to:
\[\alpha = \frac{1}{|A|^2} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \sigma \cdot \mathbf{n} + \eta \cdot \sigma \cdot \mathbf{A}) \equiv \frac{2(\mathbf{A} \cdot \sigma \cdot \mathbf{n})}{|A|^2},\]  
\(A 12\) which is the result \((3.34)\) for \(\alpha\).

Next we show that the Godbillon-Vey helicity 3-form:
\[\omega^3_\eta = \omega^1_\eta \wedge d\omega^1_\eta \equiv \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \ d^3x,\]
satisfies the equation:
\[\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_u \right) \omega^3_\eta = -d \left( \alpha d\omega^1_\mathbf{n} \right).\]  
\(A 14\)

The result \((A 14)\) follows by noting that:
\[\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_u \right) \omega^3_\eta = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_u \right) \omega^1_\eta \right] \wedge d\omega^1_\eta + \omega^1_\eta \wedge \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_u \right) d\omega^1_\eta \right] = \alpha \omega^1_\mathbf{A} \wedge d\omega^1_\mathbf{A} + \omega^1_\eta \wedge d(\omega^1_\eta \wedge \alpha \omega^1_\mathbf{A}) = 0 + \omega^1_\eta \wedge d(\omega^1_\eta \wedge \alpha \omega^1_\mathbf{A}) = -d \left( \alpha d\omega^1_\mathbf{A} \right),\]  
\(A 15\) which proves \((A 14)\). In the derivation of \((A 15)\), the result \(C^1 \wedge C^1 = 0\) was used, which implies \(d\omega^1_\eta \wedge \omega^1_\mathbf{A} = 0\), because:
\[dd\omega^1_\mathbf{A} = 0 = d(\omega^1_\eta \wedge \omega^1_\mathbf{A}) = d\omega^1_\eta \wedge \omega^1_\mathbf{A} - \omega^1_\eta \wedge d\omega^1_\mathbf{A},\]  
\(A 16\) where we used \((3.16)\).

From \((A 14)\) and \((A 13)\), \((A 14)\) reduces to:
\[\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_u \right) \left[ \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \ d^3x \right] = -d \left( \alpha \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \right) = -\nabla \cdot (\alpha \mathbf{B}) \ d^3x.\]  
\(A 17\)

Using Cartan’s magic formula, gives:
\[\mathcal{L}_u (\eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) \ d^3x) = \mathbf{u} \cdot d \left( h_{gv} d^3x \right) + d \left( \mathbf{u} \cdot h_{gv} d^3x \right) = 0 + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} h_{gv}) \ d^3x.\]  
\(A 18\)

Using \((A 18)\), \((A 17)\) reduces to the Godbillon-Vey helicity conservation law \((3.33)\).

Appendix B

In this appendix we provide a proof of proposition \((4.1)\) on the form of the Godbillon-Vey transport equation described in \((4.1)-(4.4)\). Faraday’s equation takes the form:
\[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\beta \mathbf{A} + \eta \times \mathbf{A}) - \nabla \times [\mathbf{u} \times (\beta \mathbf{A} + \eta \times \mathbf{A})] = 0.\]  
\(B 1\) Equation \((B 1)\) can then be expressed in the form:
\[\eta \times (\mathbf{A}_t - \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) + \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{A})) + \left[ \eta_t - \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \eta) + \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \eta) \right] \times \mathbf{A} + \left[ (\beta \mathbf{A})_t - \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \beta \mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{u} \nabla \cdot (\beta \mathbf{A}) \right] = 0.\]  
\(B 2\)
The un-curled form of Faraday’s equation (2.9) can be written in the form:

\[ A_t - u \times (\nabla \times A) + \nabla (u \cdot A) = -\nabla \zeta, \quad (B3) \]

where

\[ \zeta = \psi - A \cdot u \quad (B4) \]

For the advected A gauge, \( \zeta = 0 \) and \( \psi = A \cdot u \). Substitute of (B3) into (B2) gives:

\[
- \eta \times \nabla \zeta + [\eta_t - u \times (\nabla \times \eta) + \nabla (u \cdot \eta)] \times A \\
+ [\beta A_t - \nabla \times (u \times \beta A) + u \nabla \cdot (\beta A)] = 0. \quad (B5)
\]

In general, the vectors

\[ e_1 = A, \quad e_2 = \eta, \quad e_3 = \eta \times A, \quad (B6) \]

are orthogonal vectors, and in principle, could be used to describe B and u.

To further simplify (B5) we make use of the magnetic helicity conservation law (3.3) in the form:

\[
\frac{\partial h_m}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (uh_m + B \zeta) = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad h_m = A \cdot B, \quad (B7)
\]

is the magnetic helicity density. We use the notation:

\[
F = \eta_t - u \times (\nabla \times \eta) + \nabla (u \cdot \eta) \quad (B8) \\
G = (\beta A)_t - \nabla \times (u \times \beta A) + u \nabla \cdot (\beta A). \quad (B9)
\]

Using this notation, (B5) may be written as:

\[- \eta \times \nabla \zeta + F \times A + G = 0. \quad (B10)\]

By using (B7), the expression for G reduces to:

\[
G = -\frac{A}{|A|^2} B \cdot \nabla \zeta + \frac{h_m}{|A|^2} \left( \frac{dA}{dt} - A \cdot \nabla u - 2 \hat{A} \cdot \frac{dA}{dt} \right), \quad (B11)
\]

where \( \hat{A} = A / |A| \) and \( dA/dt = A_t + u \cdot \nabla A \). To further reduce (B11) we use the identity:

\[
\nabla (A \cdot u) = A \cdot \nabla u + u \cdot \nabla A + u \times (\nabla \times A) + A \times (\nabla \times u), \quad (B12)
\]

in the un-curled form of Faraday’s equation (B3) to obtain:

\[
\frac{dA}{dt} + A \cdot \nabla u + A \times (\nabla \times u) + \nabla \zeta = 0. \quad (B13)
\]

G can be split up into components perpendicular and parallel to A as \( G = G_\parallel + G_\perp \), by noting that:

\[
G = -\frac{A}{|A|^2} B \cdot \nabla \zeta + \frac{h_m}{|A|^2} \left[ (1 - \hat{A} \hat{A}) \left( \frac{dA}{dt} - A \cdot \nabla u \right) - \hat{A} \hat{A} \cdot \left( \frac{dA}{dt} + A \cdot \nabla u \right) \right], \quad (B14)
\]

where the projection tensor \( P_A = (1 - \hat{A} \hat{A}) \) annuls vectors parallel to A, i.e. \( P_A A = 0 \). Thus, \( G_\parallel \) and \( G_\perp \) are given by:

\[
G_\parallel = -\frac{\hat{A}}{|A|} B \cdot \nabla \zeta - \frac{h_m}{|A|^2} \hat{A} \hat{A} \cdot \left( \frac{dA}{dt} + A \cdot \nabla u \right), \quad (B15) \\
G_\perp = \frac{h_m}{|A|^2} \left( 1 - \hat{A} \hat{A} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{dA}{dt} - A \cdot \nabla u \right). \quad (B16)
\]
Using $dA/dt$ from (B.13) in (B.15) gives:

$$G_\parallel = -\frac{A}{|A|^2} B_\perp \cdot \nabla \zeta = -\frac{A}{|A|^2} (\eta \times A) \cdot \nabla \zeta. \quad (B.17)$$

Similarly, (B.16) reduces to:

$$G_\perp = \frac{h_m}{|A|^4} A \times [A \times (2A \cdot \nabla u + A \times \omega + \nabla \zeta)], \quad (B.18)$$

where $\omega = \nabla \times u$ is the fluid vorticity. Using the Cauchy-Stokes formula (A.10) results in the formula:

$$A \cdot \nabla u = \frac{1}{2} \omega \times A + \sigma \cdot A + \frac{1}{3} (\nabla \cdot u) A. \quad (B.19)$$

Substituting (B.19) in (B.18) gives the formula:

$$G_\perp = \frac{h_m}{|A|^4} A \times [A \times (2\sigma \cdot A + \nabla \zeta)]. \quad (B.20)$$

Taking the scalar product of (B.10) with $\hat{A}$ results in the equation:

$$\left(G_\parallel - \eta \times \nabla \zeta\right) \cdot \hat{A} = 0. \quad (B.21)$$

Using (B.17) for $G_\parallel$ in (B.21), results in the balance equation:

$$-\frac{\nabla \zeta}{|A|} \cdot (\eta \times A + A \times \eta) = 0, \quad (B.22)$$

which is identically satisfied.

The component of (B.10) perpendicular to $A$ gives the vector equation:

$$G_\perp + F \times A + \frac{A}{|A|^2} \times [A \times (\eta \times \nabla \zeta)] = 0, \quad (B.23)$$

which reduces to the equation:

$$\left\{ F - \frac{h_m}{|A|^4} A \times [2(\sigma \cdot A) + \nabla \zeta] - \frac{A \times (\eta \times \nabla \zeta)}{|A|^2} \right\} \times A = 0. \quad (B.24)$$

Equation (B.24) is satisfied if:

$$F - \frac{h_m}{|A|^4} A \times [2(\sigma \cdot A) + \nabla \zeta] - \frac{A \times (\eta \times \nabla \zeta)}{|A|^2} = \alpha A, \quad (B.25)$$

where $\alpha(x, t)$ is a scalar function of $x$ and $t$, which is yet to be determined.

Using (B.25) and (B.8) for $F$ gives the equation:

$$A \cdot [\eta_t - u \times (\nabla \times \eta) + \nabla (u \cdot \eta)] = \alpha |A|^2. \quad (B.26)$$

To obtain a more useful form for $\alpha$ take the dot product of (B.3) with $\eta$ gives the equation:

$$\eta \cdot [A_t - u \times (\nabla \times A) + \nabla (u \cdot A) + \nabla \zeta] = 0. \quad (B.27)$$

Adding (B.26) and (B.27), and using $A \cdot \eta = 0$, we obtain the equation:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{|A|^2} \left[ \eta \cdot (u \cdot \nabla A + A \cdot \nabla u) + A \cdot (u \cdot \nabla \eta + \eta \cdot \nabla u) + \eta \cdot \nabla \zeta \right]. \quad (B.28)$$

Using $\eta \cdot A = 0$, (B.28) can be written in the form:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{|A|^2} \left[ A \eta : (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) + \eta \cdot \nabla \zeta \right]. \quad (B.29)$$
Using the Cauchy-Stokes formula (A 10) then gives expression (4.2) for $\alpha$, namely:

$$\alpha = \frac{(2 \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{\eta} + \mathbf{\eta} \cdot \nabla \zeta)}{|\mathbf{A}|^2}. \quad (B 30)$$

Next, using (B 8) for $\mathbf{F}$, (B 25) reduces to the equation:

$$\mathbf{\eta}_t - \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{\eta}) + \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\eta}) = \mathbf{S}, \quad (B 31)$$

where the source term $\mathbf{S}$ is given by (4.2).

To obtain (4.1), take the curl of (B 31) to obtain the equation:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{\eta}_t - \nabla \times \left[\mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{\eta})\right] = \nabla \times \mathbf{S}. \quad (B 32)$$

Take the scalar product of (B 31) with $\nabla \times \mathbf{\eta}$ and add the resultant equation to the scalar product of (B 32) with $\mathbf{\eta}$ to obtain the Godbillon-Vey helicity transport equation (4.1).

**Appendix C**

In this appendix we discuss Clebsch potentials representations for $\mathbf{A}$ in calculating the Godbillon-Vey helicity $h_\eta = \mathbf{\eta} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{\eta})$ of Section 3. If we choose the Clebsch representation (3.29):

$$\mathbf{A} = \nu \nabla \lambda + \nabla \phi, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \nu \times \nabla \lambda, \quad (C 1)$$

we obtain:

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = (\nu \nabla \lambda + \nabla \phi) \cdot (\nabla \nu \times \nabla \lambda) = \nabla \phi \cdot (\nabla \nu \times \nabla \lambda) = \frac{\partial (\phi, \nu, \lambda)}{\partial (x, y, z)}. \quad (C 2)$$

Thus, $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ if $\phi = \phi(\nu, \lambda)$.

The Godbillon-Vey field $\mathbf{\eta}$ defined in (3.25) is given by:

$$\mathbf{\eta} = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = (\nu \nabla \lambda + \nabla \phi) \times (\nabla \nu \times \nabla \lambda) / |\mathbf{A}|^2 = \eta_1 \mathbf{e}^1 + \eta_2 \mathbf{e}^2, \quad (C 3)$$

where we use the notation:

$$\mathbf{e}^1 = \nabla \nu, \quad \mathbf{e}^2 = \nabla \lambda. \quad (C 4)$$

Below, we obtain a third independent Clebsch variable $\gamma$. The Clebsch variables $\nu$, $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ are independent Lagrange labels.

From [Golovin (2011)] the Lie derivative operators:

$$X_1 = \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla, \quad X_2 = \mathbf{b} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\rho} \cdot \nabla, \quad (C 5)$$

commute because of the frozen in field theorem and the mass continuity equation. Thus:

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}, \mathbf{b}\right] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla, \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\right] \equiv [X_1, X_2] = 0. \quad (C 6)$$

Condition (C 6) implies that $X_1$ and $X_2$ form a 2D Lie algebra. The integrability conditions (C 6) by Frobenius theorem, implies that $X_1$ and $X_2$ have the representations:

$$X_1 = \frac{d}{dt} x_0 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)_{x_0}, \quad X_2 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}\right)_t, \quad (C 7)$$

where $x_0$ correspond to $\nu$, $\lambda$, and $\gamma$ which are advected with the flow, i.e.

$$\frac{d\nu}{dt} = \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \gamma = 0. \quad (C 8)$$
Using the Lagrangian map:
\[ x^s = x^s(t, \nu, \lambda, \gamma) = (t, x, y, z), \quad s = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{C 9} \]
and using the notation:
\[ (\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3) = (\nu, \lambda, \gamma), \tag{C 10} \]
for the independent Lagrange labels \( \nu, \lambda, \gamma \), it follows that:
\[ e^i \times e^j = \frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{\sqrt{g}} e^k, \quad e_i \times e_j = \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{ijk} e^k, \tag{C 11} \]
where \( e_i = \partial x / \partial \xi^i \) is the basis that is dual to the base \( \{e^i\} \), i.e. \( \langle e^i, e_j \rangle = \delta^i_j \). The metric tensor \( g \) has covariant \( (g_{ij}) \) and contravariant \( (g^{ij}) \) components defined by
\[ g_{ij} = e_i \cdot e_j, \quad g^{ij} = e_i \cdot e_j, \quad g = \det (g_{ij}) = J^2, \tag{C 12} \]
where \( J = \det \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi^j} \right) = e_1 \cdot (e_2 \times e_3) = \sqrt{g} \).

Note from (C 11) that:
\[ B = e^1 \times e^2 = \frac{e_3}{\sqrt{g}}. \tag{C 13} \]
The coefficients \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) in (C 3) are given by:
\[ \eta_1 = \left[ (\nu + \phi_\lambda) g^{22} + \phi_\nu g^{12} + \phi_\gamma g^{32} \right] / |A|^2, \]
\[ \eta_2 = - \left[ (\nu + \phi_\lambda) g^{21} + \phi_\nu g^{11} + \phi_\gamma g^{31} \right] / |A|^2. \tag{C 14} \]
Note that the Lagrangian mass continuity equation \( \rho d^3x = \rho_0 d^3x_0 \) reduces to \( \rho J \equiv \rho \sqrt{g} = \rho_0 \). Choosing \( \rho_0 = 1 \) we find:
\[ X_2 = \frac{B}{\rho} \nabla = \frac{e_3}{\sqrt{g} \rho} \nabla = e_3 \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}, \tag{C 15} \]
which verifies (C 7).

A straightforward calculation gives:
\[ h_\eta = \eta \cdot (\nabla \times \eta) = (e^1 \times e^2) \cdot [\eta_2 \nabla \eta_1 - \eta_1 \nabla \eta_2] = B \cdot (\eta_2)^2 \nabla (\eta_1 / \eta_2). \tag{C 16} \]
In the special case where \( \phi = 0 \) (C 16) simplifies to:
\[ h_\eta = -(\eta_2)^2 B \cdot \nabla \left( g^{22} / g^{21} \right). \tag{C 17} \]
If \( \phi_\gamma = 0 \), i.e. \( \phi = \phi(\nu, \lambda) \) then \( A \cdot B = 0 \) and the space is then foliated \( \{\text{Reinhart and Wood (1973)}\} \) and \( \{\text{Rovenski and Walczak (2018, 2019)}\} \).
The integrability conditions of (D.2) are:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda \partial \nu} = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\lambda}}{\partial \nu \partial \lambda}. \]  

(D 3)

The integrability equations (D.3) are satisfied if \( \tilde{\nu} \) satisfies the first order partial differential equation:

\[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}}{\partial \nu} \left( -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda} - \nu \right) + \tilde{\nu} = 0. \]  

(D 4)

The first order partial differential equation for \( \tilde{\nu} \) may be solved in principle by integrating the characteristics:

\[ \frac{d\lambda}{\phi_{\nu}} = \frac{d\nu}{-\phi_{\lambda} - \nu} = -\frac{d\tilde{\nu}}{\tilde{\nu}}, \]  

(D 5)

(Sneddon (1957)). After the solution of (D 4)-(D 5) is established, the solution for \( \tilde{\lambda} \) can be obtained by integrating, the guaranteed integrable equation system (D 2).

**Appendix E**

In this appendix we obtain the Reinhart and Wood (1973) version of the Godbillon Vey helicity of a co-dimension 1 foliation in 3D geometry (see also Rovenski and Walczak (2018, 2019)). We use both differential forms and more classical approaches to the geometry of foliations in our analysis. The Reinhart and Wood (1973) formula could in principle be obtained by using the method of moving frames (e.g. Flanders (1963) Chapter 4).

The Godbillon-Vey invariant \( h_{gv} \) is defined as:

\[ H_{gv} = \int_{V_m} \eta \wedge d\eta, \]  

(E 1)

where \( \eta \) is the Godbillon-Vey 1-form defined below.

The Serret-Frenet equations for the normal curve to the surface \( \Phi(x) = \text{const.} \) of the foliation have the form:

\[ \nabla_T T = \kappa N, \quad \nabla_T N = -\kappa T + \tau B, \quad \nabla_T B = -\tau N, \]  

(E 2)

where

\[ \nabla_T = \frac{d}{ds} = T \cdot \nabla, \]  

(E 3)

is the directional derivative along the tangent vector to the normal curve (i.e. \( T \) is the normal to each of the surfaces of the foliation \( \Phi(x) = \text{const.} \)). Here we assume \( A \cdot \nabla \times A = 0 \) from which it follows that \( A \cdot dx = 0 \) is integrable, i.e. there exists an integrating factor \( \mu \) where \( \mu A = \nabla \Phi \) and

\[ T = \hat{A} = \frac{A}{|A|} \equiv \frac{\nabla \Phi}{|\nabla \Phi|} \quad \text{and} \quad A = |A|. \]  

(E 4)

The base vectors \( (T, N, B) \) form an orthonormal triad where

\[ T \times N = B, \]  

(E 5)

where \( N \) is the principal normal and \( B \) is the binormal to the curve. \( \kappa \) and \( \tau \) are the curvature and torsion of the curve. To simplify the notation we write (E 2) in the form:

\[ \nabla e_3 e_3 = \kappa e_1, \quad \nabla e_3 e_1 = -\kappa e_3 + \tau e_2, \quad \nabla e_3 e_2 = -\tau e_1, \]  

(E 6)
where \((\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) \equiv (\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{T})\). We could use a more general orthonormal triad \((\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3)\) to frame the curve, which does not have ambiguity if the curve is a straight line (e.g. [Bishop (1973)]). We use the standard notation
\[
g_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = g(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j), \quad g^{ij} = \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{e}^j,
\]
for the covariant \((g_{ij})\) and contravariant \((g^{ij})\) components of the metric tensor. \((\mathbf{e}^i)\) is dual to the base \(\{\mathbf{e}_i\}\), i.e. \(\langle \mathbf{e}^i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle = \delta_j^i\) where \(\delta_j^i\) is the Kronecker-delta symbol. We also use the affine connection formulae:
\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_i}{\partial q^j} \equiv (\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{e}_i = \Gamma_{ij}^s \mathbf{e}_s, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^i}{\partial q^j} = -\Gamma_{ij}^s \mathbf{e}^s,
\]
where \(\Gamma_{ij}^s\) are the affine connection coefficients. Because \((\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)\) are orthonormal we obtain:
\[
g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j, \quad g^{ij} = \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{e}^j = \delta^{ij},
\]
The coordinates \(\{q^i\}\) are local and not global coordinates, but they suffice for the local description of the foliation. Differentiation of [E9] with respect to the \(q^a\) gives the equations:
\[
(\nabla_{q^a} \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_i \cdot (\nabla_{q^a} \mathbf{e}_j) = 0,
\]
which using [E8] reduces to the relations:
\[
\Gamma_{ia}^j + \Gamma_{ja}^i = 0.
\]
Using [E8]-[E11] we obtain the results:
\[
\Gamma_{33}^1 = \kappa, \quad \Gamma_{33}^2 = \Gamma_{33}^3 = 0, \\
\Gamma_{13}^3 = -\kappa, \quad \Gamma_{23}^1 = \tau, \quad \Gamma_{13}^2 = 0, \\
\Gamma_{23}^3 = -\tau, \quad \Gamma_{23}^2 = 0.
\]
The second fundamental form \(\Pi\) for the surface is given by:
\[
\Pi = \kappa d^2x \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 = \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^3 dq^\alpha dq^\beta \\
= \Gamma_{11}^3 (dq^1)^2 + \Gamma_{22}^3 (dq^2)^2 + (\Gamma_{12}^3 + \Gamma_{21}^3) dq^1 dq^2 \\
= h_{11}(dq^1)^2 + h_{22}(dq^2)^2 + (h_{21} + h_{12}) dq^1 dq^2,
\]
where
\[
h_{ij} = \Gamma_{ji}^3 \equiv \kappa (\nabla_{q^i} \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_3), \quad (i, j = 1, 2),
\]
define the coefficients for the second fundamental form (e.g. [Lipschutz (1969)]).

The Godbillon-Vey one form is given by:
\[
\eta = \kappa \mathbf{e}_1, \quad (e.g. [Rovenski and Walczak (2018, 2019)]).\]
Taking the exterior derivative of [E15] gives:
\[
d\eta = -\kappa \Gamma_{ps}^3 \mathbf{e}^p \wedge \mathbf{e}^s + \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial q^s} \mathbf{e}^1 \wedge \mathbf{e}^s.
\]
The Godbillon-Vey 3-form is given by
\[
h_{gV}^{RW} dV_g = \eta \wedge d\eta = -\kappa^2 \Gamma_{ps}^3 \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}^p \wedge \mathbf{e}^s = -\kappa^2 (\Gamma_{ps}^3 - \Gamma_{33}^3) dV_g \\
= \kappa^2 (\tau - h_{21}) dV_g \equiv \kappa^2 (\tau - h_{BN}) dV_g,
\]
where the superscript \(RW\) in [E17] refers to [Reinhart and Wood (1973)] and
\[
dV_g = dq^1 \wedge dq^2 \wedge dq^3 \equiv \mathbf{e}^1 \wedge \mathbf{e}^2 \wedge \mathbf{e}^3,
\]
is the volume element for the 3-form \( E_{17} \). The Godbillon-Vey 3-form \( E_{17} \) is the formula given by \( \text{Reinhart and Wood} \) (1973) and \( \text{Rovenski and Walczak} \) (2018, 2019) (note \( h_2 = h_{BN} \) in \( \text{Rovenski and Walczak} \) (2018, 2019)).

The Godbillon-Vey 3-form \( E_{17} \) is equivalent to the Godbillon Vey 3-form used in the present paper in the sense that the helicity density \( h_{RV} = h_{GV} \) where \( h_{GV} \) is the Godbillon-Vey helicity density used in the present paper, modulo a pure divergence term, i.e., \( h_{RV} = h_{GV} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{R} \) (see below). The differences of these 2 forms are described below.

Following \( \text{Reinhart and Wood} \) (1973) and \( \text{Rovenski and Walczak} \) (2018, 2019) we first identify a one form \( \omega \) that is dual to the normal \( \mathbf{T} \) to the foliation, such that

\[
\omega(\mathbf{T}) \equiv \mathbf{T} \cdot \omega = 1.
\]  

(E 19)

The analog of the Serret-Frenet equation for \( \mathbf{T} \) in (E 2) using the dual one-form \( \omega \) is given by Cartan’s magic formula:

\[
\mathcal{L}_\mathbf{T}(\omega) = \mathbf{T} \cdot d\omega + d(\mathbf{T} \cdot \omega) \equiv \mathbf{T} \cdot d\omega,
\]  

(E 20)

because \( \mathbf{T} \cdot \omega = 1 \).

There is some freedom in the choice of \( \omega_i \) and \( T^i \) in (E 19). For example if we choose:

\[
\mathbf{T} = \frac{\mathbf{A}}{A^2}, \quad \text{then} \quad \omega = \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x}.
\]  

(E 21)

Here \( A^2 = |\mathbf{A}|^2 \). We find:

\[
d\omega = \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = B_x dy \wedge dz + B_y dz \wedge dx + B_z dx \wedge dy,
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_\mathbf{T}(\omega) = \frac{\mathbf{A}}{A^2} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S}) = - \frac{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{x}}{A^2} = -\eta \cdot d\mathbf{x},
\]  

(E 22)

where

\[
\eta = \frac{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}}{A^2},
\]  

(E 23)

which is the form of the Godbillon-Vey vector field used in the present paper.

Alternatively if we use the usual Serret-Frenet equations involving \( (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) \), we set

\[
\mathbf{T} = \hat{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{\mathbf{A}}{A}, \quad \omega = \hat{\mathbf{A}} \cdot d\mathbf{x},
\]  

(E 24)

and a similar calculation to that in (E 22) gives:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}} \left( \hat{\mathbf{A}} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \right) = -\hat{\mathbf{A}} \times (\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot d\mathbf{x} = -\hat{\eta} \cdot d\mathbf{x},
\]  

(E 25)

where

\[
\hat{\eta} = \hat{\mathbf{A}} \times (\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \equiv -\hat{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{A}}.
\]  

(E 26)

In (E 25) \( \hat{\eta} = -\kappa \mathbf{e}_1 \) which is \(-\nabla_T \mathbf{T} \) where \( \mathbf{T} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{A}} \) (We could have chosen \( \hat{\eta} \) to be \( \nabla_T \mathbf{T} \) which corresponds to the \( \text{Reinhart and Wood} \) (1973) formulation). From (E 23) and (E 26) we obtain:

\[
\hat{\eta} = \eta - \mathbf{w},
\]  

(E 27)

where

\[
\mathbf{w} = \hat{\mathbf{A}} \times (\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}) / A \equiv \left( 1 - \hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{A}} \right) \cdot \nabla \ln A.
\]  

(E 28)

Using the fact that \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \) in the analysis, and using (E 27) and (E 28) we obtain:

\[
\hat{\eta} \cdot \nabla \times \hat{\eta} = \eta \cdot \nabla \times \eta + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{R},
\]  

(E 29)
where
\[ \mathbf{R} = \frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}}{A^3} \mathbf{A} - 2\lambda \mathbf{B} + \lambda \nabla \ln A \times \mathbf{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla A}{A^3}. \quad (E \ 30) \]

Assuming that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{R} \) on the right handside of (E 29) when integrated over the whole volume \( V_g \) vanishes, we obtain the Godbillon-Vey invariant:
\[ \hat{H}_{gv} = \int_{V_m} \mathbf{\eta} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{\eta} \, d^3x = \int_{V_m} \mathbf{\eta} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{\eta} \, d^3x. \quad (E \ 31) \]

The Godbillon-Vey helicity integral \( \hat{H}_{gv} \) in (E 31) is that of Reinhart and Wood (1973) and Rovenski and Walczak (2018, 2019), which is equivalent to the Godbillon-Vey helicity \( H_{gv} \) used in the present paper, provided \( \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \) on the boundary \( \partial V_m \) of the volume \( V_m \).

**Appendix F**

In this appendix we derive the magnetic field representation (5.25) - (5.26) for \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) for the Low and Lou (1990) nonlinear force free magnetic fields. The condition \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \) implies that the Pfaffian \( \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \) is integrable, which in turn implies \( \mathbf{A} \) can be written in the form:
\[ \mathbf{A} = \chi \nabla \Phi, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \chi \times \nabla \Phi. \quad (F \ 1) \]

From (F 1) we obtain the equations:
\[ A_r = \chi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \frac{\chi \partial \Phi}{r} = A_\theta, \quad \frac{\chi}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} = A_\phi, \quad (F \ 2) \]

where \( A_\theta \) and \( A_\phi \) are given by (5.18). The integrability conditions for (F 2), i.e. \( \Phi_{\theta \phi} = \Phi_{\phi \theta} \), implies that \( \chi \) must satisfy the first order, linear partial differential equation:
\[ r \sin \theta \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} - r A_\theta \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \phi} + \chi \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}(r A_\theta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(r \sin \theta A_\phi) \right] = 0. \quad (F \ 3) \]

The characteristics of (F 3) are given by:
\[ \frac{dr}{ds} = 0, \quad \frac{d\theta}{ds} = r \sin \theta A_\phi, \quad \frac{d\phi}{ds} = -r A_\theta, \quad \frac{d\chi}{ds} = -W \chi, \quad (F \ 4) \]

where \( s \) is the affine parameter along the characteristics,
\[ W = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r A_\theta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r \sin \theta A_\phi) = -r^2 \sin \theta B_r = \frac{\sin \theta (dP/d\mu)}{\mu^n}. \quad (F \ 5) \]

Integrating the characteristics (F 4) gives the integrals:
\[ r = c_1, \quad \phi + \frac{a}{n} \int_{\mu}^{\mu_0} \frac{d\mu}{(1 - \mu^2)} P(\mu)^{1/n} = c_2 \equiv \xi, \quad \frac{\chi}{P(\mu)} = c_3, \quad (F \ 6) \]

where \( c_1, c_2, \text{ and } c_3 \) are integration constants. Thus, using the theory of characteristics for first order partial differential equations, the solution of (F 3) for \( \chi \) have the form:
\[ \chi = g(\xi, r) P(\mu), \quad \xi = \phi + \frac{a}{n} \int_{\mu}^{\mu_0} \frac{d\mu}{(1 - \mu^2)} P(\mu)^{1/n}, \quad (F \ 7) \]

where \( g(\xi, r) \), for the moment is an arbitrary function of \( \xi \) and \( r \).
Returning to (F.1)-(F.2) we require that \( \Phi \) satisfy the equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{\chi} A_r = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{g(\xi, r)} \frac{aP(\mu)^{1/n}}{r^{n(1-\mu^2)}},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} = \frac{1}{r^n g(\xi, r)}.
\]

**(F.8)**

Integrating (F.8) gives the solution for \( \Phi \) of the form:

\[
\Phi = \int_{\xi}^{\xi'} \frac{d\xi'}{G(\xi')} \quad \text{where} \quad G(\xi) = r^n g(\xi, r).
\]

**(F.9)**

In (F.9) the form of \( g(\xi, r) = r^{-n} G(\xi) \) is required because \( A_r = 0 \) and \( \partial \Phi / \partial r = 0 \) where \( G(\xi) \) is an arbitrary function of \( \xi \).

To summarize, the above analysis implies the solutions (5.26)-(5.27) for \( A, B, \Phi, \chi \) and \( \xi \) given in the text.

**Appendix G**

In this appendix we compute the curvature \( \kappa \), the torsion \( \tau \) of the normal curve to the foliation \( \xi = \text{const} \) for the Low and Lou (1990) nonlinear force free magnetic field for which the magnetic vector potential has the form:

\[
A = \chi \nabla \xi,
\]

where

\[
\xi = \phi + \frac{a}{n} \int_{\mu}^{\mu'} \frac{P(\mu'^{1/n})}{1-\mu'^2} \, d\mu',
\]

\[
\chi = \frac{P(\mu)}{r^n},
\]

(see (5.26) et seq.).

Using (G.1)-(G.3) we obtain (after some algebra, described below), the formulae:

\[
\kappa = \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \sin^2 \theta}{r \sin \theta} \right)^{1/2},
\]

**(G.4)**

\[
\tau = \frac{\gamma \sin^3 \theta}{(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2} \left( \zeta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \right)} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{\zeta}{\sin \theta} \right),
\]

**(G.5)**

\[
h_{21} = -\frac{\zeta \sin \theta}{r \left( \zeta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \right)} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{\gamma \sin \theta}{(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \right),
\]

**(G.6)**

\[
\hat{h}_{gv} = \kappa^2 (\tau - h_{21}) = \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{\gamma \zeta}{(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \right)
\]

**(G.7)**

where

\[
\zeta = \left( \mu + \gamma \gamma \mu (1-\mu^2) / (\gamma^2 + 1) \right) / (\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{a}{n} P(\mu)^{1/n}.
\]

**(G.8)**

Here \( h_{21} \) is the component of the second fundamental form for the foliation \( \xi = \text{const} \) described in Appendix E in (E.13)-(E.14) and (E.17), and \( \hat{h}_{gv} \) is the Godbillon-Vey helicity.
density described by the Reinhart and Wood (1973) form \( E_{17} \). The derivation of the formulae \( G_1 \)–\( G_8 \) are described below.

The basis of the above results \( G_1 \)–\( G_8 \) is the moving trihedron \( (T, N, B) \) describing the curve normal to the foliation with tangent vector \( T = \hat{A} \) where \( A \) is the magnetic vector potential \( 5.29 \) which is normal to the foliation. Here \( N \) is the principal normal to the curve and \( B \) is the bi-normal to the curve. \( (T, N, B) \) satisfy the Serret Frenet equations \( E_2 \). The tangent vector \( T \) is given by:

\[
T = \hat{A} = \frac{\nabla \xi}{|\nabla \xi|} = \frac{(e_{\phi} - \gamma e_{\theta})}{(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2}}.
\]

(G 9)

Calculating \( dT/ds = T \cdot \nabla T \) we obtain:

\[
\frac{dT}{ds} = \kappa N = -\frac{e_r}{r} - \frac{(e_{\theta} + \gamma e_{\phi})\zeta}{(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2} \sin \theta},
\]

(G 10)

from which we identify:

\[
N = -\frac{[\sin \theta e_r + \zeta(e_{\theta} + \gamma e_{\phi})]/(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2}]}{(\zeta^2 + \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}},
\]

(G 11)

as the principal normal to the curve and

\[
\kappa = \frac{(\zeta^2 + \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}}{r \sin \theta},
\]

(G 12)

as the principal curvature of the curve. The bi-normal to the curve is given by

\[
B = T \times N = \frac{\{\zeta e_r - \sin \theta(e_{\theta} + \gamma e_{\phi})/(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2}\}}{(\zeta^2 + \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}}.
\]

(G 13)

In the above calculations we used the formulas:

\[
\frac{d}{ds} = T \cdot \nabla = -\frac{1}{(\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2} \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \gamma \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right],
\]

\( e_r = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta) \),

\( e_{\theta} = (\cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, -\sin \theta) \),

\( e_{\phi} = (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0) \).

(G 14)

The derivatives of the spherical polar unit vectors \( e_r, e_{\theta} \) and \( e_{\phi} \) are:

\[
\frac{\partial e_r}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial e_r}{\partial \theta} = e_{\theta}, \quad \frac{\partial e_r}{\partial \phi} = \sin \theta e_{\phi},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial e_{\theta}}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial e_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} = -e_r, \quad \frac{\partial e_{\theta}}{\partial \phi} = \cos \theta e_{\phi},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial e_{\phi}}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial e_{\phi}}{\partial \theta} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial e_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} = -[\sin \theta e_r + \cos \theta e_{\theta}].
\]

(G 15)

The torsion \( \tau \) in \( G_5 \) follows by noting that:

\[
\tau = -N \cdot (T \cdot \nabla B) = -e_r \cdot (\nabla_{e_2} e_1) = -\Gamma_{23}^1.
\]

(G 16)

Also note that the coefficient \( h_{21} \) in the Reinhart and Wood (1973) formula in \( G_6 \)–\( G_7 \) is given by:

\[
h_{21} = \Gamma_{12}^3 = e_3 \cdot (\nabla_{e_2} e_1) = T \cdot (\nabla_B N).
\]

(G 17)

It is straightforward to calculate the other coefficients \( h_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2) \) defining the second fundamental form of the surface.
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