1. Introduction

The transcendental constant $\pi$ has piqued human curiosity throughout history and many formulae and approximations have been given for it. A particularly fascinating family of formulae was first discovered by Ramanujan [21, (28)–(44)] where he derived 17 series for $1/\pi$. Of note is the rapid convergence of some of these series and the fact that his derivations were rooted in the theory of modular functions, which has stimulated and played a central role in modern number theory. Later, Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky [13, 14] derived an additional such series based on the modular $j$-function, which is often used in practice for the computation of the digits of $\pi$ due to its rapid convergence. We recall their formula (in a slightly different form) below for later reference.

$$213440\sqrt{10005\over 272570067\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6n)!}{(3n)!n!^3} \left( \frac{13591409}{545140134} + n \right)(-640320)^{-3n}.$$  

We note that the coefficients of the power series in (1) are a product of a coefficient of a generalized hypergeometric function and a linear function of the summation index. In addition, the value of the variable of the power series is rational.
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The proofs of Ramanujan’s series have traditionally relied on specialized knowledge of modular functions and their functional equations. For surveys of such results and methods, we mention [2, Chapter 18], [4], [29]. For example, in [8], all 17 of Ramanujan’s series were proven. See also [5], [6] for proofs of Ramanujan’s identities and additional series.

Finally, we mention the impressive work of Chan and Cooper [10], where 186 series are derived for $1/\pi$ using methods similar in spirit to Ramanujan’s original approach. While such methods have been successful in proving Ramanujan’s original formulae and in discovering additional formulae of a similar nature, they have been somewhat less satisfactory in giving a transparent conceptual explanation for these formulae and evidence that the known lists are exhaustive.

Motivated by the search for such a method, the first two authors [11] established a generalization of [13], [14], and used it to derive a complete list of Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formulae for the modular $j$-function, even near elliptic points. For example, we have listed below a formula which is obtained by evaluation of a precursor formula involving $1/\pi$ near the elliptic point $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$:

$$
\frac{3-\sqrt{-3}}{\pi \eta(\rho)^4} 163^{1/6} 185801^{1/3} \frac{533600}{817710201} = \\
-\frac{11363838226240}{455794119168000} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}; 151931373056000\right)^2 \\
+ \frac{9495710816000}{9892775193720748560006619429} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}; 151931373056000\right)^2 \\
\times 2F_1\left(\frac{13}{12}, \frac{19}{12}, \frac{5}{3}; 151931373056000\right)^2.
$$

Here, $\eta(\tau)$ denotes the Dedekind eta function and $2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ denotes the classical hypergeometric function which is a solution of the hypergeometric differential equation. Associated to the parameters $a, b, c$ are the angles $\lambda \pi, \mu \pi, \nu \pi$ of the triangle group which is the monodromy group of the hypergeometric differential equation. We can write the angles in the form $\pi/p, \pi/q, \pi/r$, where the triple $(p, q, r)$ is known as the signature of the triangle group.

In order to obtain a Ramanujan type series such as (1) from a precursor formula, the hypergeometric parameters $a, b, c$ must satisfy $c = a + b + 1/2$ so that we may use Clausen’s identity to simplify the precursor formula into a single summation series (henceforth, we refer to such a situation as a Clausen case).

The method gives an explanation of the different formulae for the signature $(2, 3, \infty)$ case in terms of Kummer’s 24 solutions to the hypergeometric differential equation, and the theory of elliptic curves and modular curves, and suggests applicability to any arithmetic triangle group of genus zero.

The purpose of this paper is to show how the method in [11] can be further developed to derive a complete list of Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formulae arising from modular curves corresponding to non-compact arithmetic triangle groups. The arithmetic triangle groups have been classified in both compact and non-compact cases in [23]. The non-compact cases were studied in [19], where more detailed information concerning their uniformizers is obtained and related to the replicable functions of Moonshine Theory. In particular, most of the cases listed in [19, Table 1] will be treated in this paper, but the remaining cases can, in principle, be approached by the methods of this paper.

The method starts with a family of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with three singular fibers, which is associated to a modular curve. The Picard-Fuchs differential equation is derived for this family and its solutions are expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions. This allows one to give expressions for the periods of the above family of elliptic curves in terms of hypergeometric functions, using Kummer’s list of 24 solutions to the hypergeometric differential equation (cf. [16]). By the method in [11], we obtain a precursor formula for $1/\pi$ which is valid in a certain simply connected domain of the fundamental domain near each elliptic or cusp point of the modular curve. These formulae can be found in Theorems 7.1–7.12. After specialization of the precursor formula to specific rational singular value, we obtain the identities (50)–(54), (57)–(88) for $1/\pi$.

We remark that we only evaluate the precursor formulae at rational values of the uniformizer; however, one can also evaluate at singular values with higher class number to obtain further formulae [9]. While this
can increase the convergence rate, it uses higher degree algebraic values in the power series variable. Of Ramanujan’s 17 series for $1/\pi$, we are able to derive all formulae using the point of view suggested in [11], except those corresponding to signature $(2,4,\infty)$ and $(2,6,\infty)$.

For signatures $(2,4,\infty)$ and $(2,6,\infty)$, the relevant arithmetic triangle subgroup is not contained in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. However, the method can be modified by constructing a suitable relation between hypergeometric functions, and reducing to a previously derived precursor formula for a congruence subgroup. This method is suggested in [14, p. 46] and can also be applied to the previous signatures above. For signature $(2,4,\infty)$ and $(2,6,\infty)$, we carry out the method only for the Clausen cases.

The signatures $(4,4,\infty)$ and $(6,6,\infty)$ do not give rise to Clausen cases; however, it should be possible to carry out the methods in this paper to find precursor formulae near the singular points for these cases.

As a result, this paper derives in a uniform way all previously known Ramanujan type series for $1/\pi$ whose coefficients are linear in the summation index of the series. Our list of Clausen cases coincides exactly with series in [10] involving a single summation (see Section 10).

The conceptual nature of the method gives evidence that the list of single summation series for $1/\pi$ in [10] is complete in the following sense. The choice of $\ell$ corresponds to the non-compact arithmetic triangle groups which give rise to Clausen cases of a Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formula. The choice of $N$ is justified by requiring a rational value of the uniformizer at an imaginary quadratic irrational. This can be completely enumerated by using known lists of imaginary quadratic orders of class number $\leq 2$. Furthermore, if one is interested in Ramanujan type series involving more general algebraic values of the uniformizer, these can be obtained simply by specializing the Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type precursor formula at the desired imaginary quadratic irrational of small class number. This property of the method is used in the last section of this paper, where we explain some uniqueness results for Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type precursor formulae.

The condition that the triangle group is arithmetic is related to the well-known theorem of Schneider [22] from transcendence theory, which states that the exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ of the $j$-function is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{H} : \exists \tau \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } j(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q} \} = \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{H} : E_{\tau} \text{ has complex multiplication} \},$$

where $\mathfrak{H}$ is the complex upper half plane. Since the precursor formulae involve expressions in $\tau$ and the value of the uniformizer at $\tau$, the condition of arithmeticity is a natural one to consider.

For the compact arithmetic triangle groups listed in [23], there are no further Clausen cases. However, it would be still interesting to carry out the method to determine the Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formulae. But we note that due to the lack of cusps, these cases are more difficult and less studied in the literature.

It would also be interesting to explore to what extent the method can be generalized to an arbitrary triangle group, though we can only speculate at this point as to what form this generalization would take. A further natural question would be to explain, using the point of view taken in this paper, the double summation series in [10], and the series cited in [2, Chapter 18] and [29], which have coefficients in higher degree of the summation index.

2. Modular curves

We will first consider the case where the triangular congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ is contained in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and apply the method suggested in [11] as developed further in this paper. There are four cases for which we use the labels $2a$, $2B$, $2C$, and $3B$, respectively, given in [19, Table 1] (see also [15]). They are all of genus zero and hence there exists a uniformizer $\xi$ which gives an isomorphism $\xi : \Gamma / \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ which is unique up to a Möbius transformation. Here, $\mathfrak{H}^* = \mathfrak{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$.

For the cases $2A$ and $3A$, we use a different method since the associated arithmetic triangle subgroup $\Gamma$ is no longer a subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Since the cases $4a$ and $6a$ do not contain any Clausen cases, we do not carry out the method for these cases, although it would be possible to do so.

Throughout, let $J = J(\tau)$ denote the absolute Klein invariant, where $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$. By a special point, we mean a cusp or elliptic point of a Fuchsian group.
2.1. Case 2a. This case corresponds to the cycloidal congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_2 \) whose reduction modulo 2 is cyclic and given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

There is a choice of uniformizer \( s \) such that we have the modular relation \([19]\)

\[
(3) \quad J = 4s(1 - s).
\]

The congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_2 \) has three special points:

\( \tau = \infty, \quad \rho, \quad \rho + 1, \)

where \( \rho = e^{2\pi i/3} \), which evaluate under the uniformizer \( s \) to

\( s = \infty, \quad 0, \quad 1, \)

respectively. The stabilizers of these points are generated by the matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

respectively, and the corresponding transformations \([24, p. 130]\) of \( \eta(\tau) \) are

\[
\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\pi i/12} \eta(\tau),
\]

\[
\eta\left(\frac{-1}{\tau + 1}\right) = e^{-\pi i/6} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{\tau + 1},
\]

\[
\eta\left(\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}\right) = e^{-\pi i/6} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{\tau}.
\]

2.2. Case 2B. This case corresponds to the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(2) \). There is a choice of uniformizer \( t \) such that we have the modular relation

\[
(4) \quad J = \frac{(4 - t)^3}{27t^2},
\]

and an expression for \( t \) in terms of the \( \eta \) function is given by \([24, p. 250]\)

\[
(5) \quad t = -\frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)}\right)^{24}.
\]

The congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(2) \) has three special points:

\( \tau = \infty, \quad 0, \quad \frac{1 + i}{2}, \)

which evaluate under the uniformizer \( t \) to

\( t = \infty, \quad 0, \quad 1, \)

respectively. The stabilizers of these points are generated by the matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

respectively, and the corresponding transformations \([24, p. 130]\) of \( \eta(\tau) \) are

\[
\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\pi i/12} \eta(\tau),
\]

\[
\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{1 - 2\tau}\right) = e^{-\pi i/6} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{1 - 2\tau},
\]

\[
\eta\left(\frac{\tau - 1}{2\tau - 1}\right) = e^{-\pi i/4} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{2\tau - 1}.
\]
2.3. **Case 2C.** This is the classical Legendre family given by
\[ y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda) \]
where \( \lambda = \lambda(\tau) \) is the lambda function with \( \tau \in \mathfrak{H} \). The corresponding subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the principal congruence subgroup \( \Gamma(2) \). It is well known that

\[
J = \frac{4}{27} \frac{(1-\lambda(1-\lambda))^3}{\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2}.
\]

The congruence group \( \Gamma(2) \) has three special points:
\[ \tau = \infty, \quad 0, \quad 1, \]
which evaluate under the uniformizer \( \lambda \) to
\[ \lambda = 0, \quad 1, \quad \infty, \]
respectively. The stabilizers of these points are generated by the matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix},
\]
respectively, and the corresponding transformations [24, p. 130] of \( \eta(\tau) \) are
\[
\eta(\tau + 2) = e^{\pi i/6} \eta(\tau),
\]
\[
\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2\tau + 1}\right) = e^{-\pi i/6} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{2\tau + 1},
\]
\[
\eta\left(\frac{\tau - 2}{2\tau - 3}\right) = e^{-\pi i/3} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{2\tau - 3}.
\]

2.4. **Case 3B.** This case corresponds to the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(3) \). There is a choice of uniformizer \( u \) such that we have the modular relation

\[
J = \frac{(u - 9)^3(1 - u)}{64u^3},
\]
and an expression for \( u \) in terms of the \( \eta \) function is given by [24, p. 251]

\[
u = -\frac{1}{27} \left( \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)} \right)^{12}.
\]

The congruence group \( \Gamma_0(3) \) has three special points:
\[ \tau = \infty, \quad 0, \quad \mu + 1, \]
where \( \mu = -3\sqrt{-3}/6 \), which evaluate under the uniformizer \( u \) to
\[ u = \infty, \quad 0, \quad 1, \]
respectively. The stabilizers of these points are generated by the matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix},
\]
respectively, and the corresponding transformations [24, p. 130] of \( \eta(\tau) \) are
\[
\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\pi i/12} \eta(\tau),
\]
\[
\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{3\tau + 1}\right) = e^{-\pi i/4} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{3\tau + 1},
\]
\[
\eta\left(\frac{\tau - 1}{3\tau - 2}\right) = e^{-\pi i/3} \eta(\tau) \sqrt{3\tau - 2}.
\]
2.5. **Case 2A.** This case corresponds to the Fricke group \( \Gamma_0(2) = \Gamma_0(2) \cup w_2 \Gamma_0(2) \), where \( w_2 \) is the Fricke involution which normalizes \( \Gamma_0(2) \). There is a choice of Hauptmodul \( v \) such that we have the relation [19] (9) 
\[
v = -\frac{(1-t)^2}{4t},
\]
where \( t \) is the Hauptmodul for the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(2) \). The Fricke group \( \Gamma_0^+(2) \) has 3 special points:
\[
\tau = \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{2}, \quad -\frac{1+i}{2}, \quad \infty,
\]
which evaluate under the Hauptmodul \( v \) to
\[
v = 1, \quad 0, \quad \infty,
\]
respectively.

**Remark.** Although the relation between \( v \) and \( t \) is derived in [19] using a hypergeometric identity in [18], it is possible to derive the relation in an elementary way from the degree 2 cover associated to the quotient \( \Gamma_0(2)/\Gamma_0^+(2) \) (i.e. by specifying the uniformizers for each copy of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) and solving for the covering map using the branching information).

2.6. **Case 3A.** This case corresponds to the Fricke group \( \Gamma_0^+(3) = \Gamma_0(3) \cup w_3 \Gamma_0(3) \), where \( w_3 \) is the Fricke involution which normalizes \( \Gamma_0(3) \). There is a choice of Hauptmodul \( w \) such that we have the relation [19] (10) 
\[
w = -\frac{(1-u)^2}{4u},
\]
where \( u \) is the Hauptmodul for the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(3) \). The Fricke group \( \Gamma_0^+(3) \) has 3 special points:
\[
\tau = \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3}, \quad \frac{-3+\sqrt{-3}}{6}, \quad \infty,
\]
which evaluate under the Hauptmodul \( w \) to
\[
w = 1, \quad 0, \quad \infty,
\]
respectively.

**Remark.** Although the relation between \( w \) and \( u \) is derived in [19] using a hypergeometric identity in [18], it is possible to derive the relation in an elementary way from the degree 2 cover associated to the quotient \( \Gamma_0(3)/\Gamma_0^+(3) \) (i.e. by specifying the uniformizers for each copy of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) and solving for the covering map using the branching information).

3. **Families of elliptic curves**

Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{C} \) given by \( y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3 \) in (classical) Weierstrass form. The quantity \( \Delta(E) = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 \neq 0 \) is called the (normalized) discriminant of \( E \). The \( j \)-invariant of \( E \) is defined by
\[
j(E) = 12^3 \frac{g_2^3}{\Delta(E)},
\]
and its absolute Klein invariant \( J(E) \) is defined by
\[
J(E) = \frac{j(E)}{12^3}.
\]

For \( r \neq 0 \), the map \( \varphi_r : (x, y) \mapsto (r^2 x, r^3 y) \) gives an isomorphism from \( E \) to the elliptic curve \( E' \), where
\[
E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3 \quad \text{and} \quad E' : y^2 = 4x^3 - g'_2 x - g'_3,
\]
and
\[
g'_2 = r^4 g_2, \quad g'_3 = r^6 g_3, \quad \Delta(E') = r^{12} \Delta(E).
\]
By the uniformization theorem, \( E(\mathbb{C}) = E_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \) for some lattice \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C} \). We then have \( E'(\mathbb{C}) = E_{r^{-1}\Lambda}(\mathbb{C}) \) and the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}/\Lambda & \longrightarrow & E_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) = E(\mathbb{C}) \\
\downarrow_{z \mapsto r^{-1}z} & & \downarrow_{\varphi_r} \\
\mathbb{C}/r^{-1}\Lambda & \longrightarrow & E_{r^{-1}\Lambda}(\mathbb{C}) = E'(\mathbb{C})
\end{array}
\]

so that the isomorphism \( \varphi_u \) corresponds to scaling \( \Lambda \) by \( r^{-1} \).

We now introduce three families of elliptic curves: \( E_\tau, \tilde{E}, E_j \), and compare their discriminants, associated lattices, and periods. Consider the elliptic curve \( E_\tau \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) given by

\[
E_\tau : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(\tau)x - g_3(\tau), \quad \Delta(E_\tau) = \Delta(\tau) = g_2(\tau)^3 - 27g_3(\tau)^2, \quad \Lambda(E_\tau) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau,
\]

with discriminant \( \Delta(E_\tau) \) and associated lattice \( \Lambda(E_\tau) \). Let the \( j \)-invariant \( j(E_\tau) \) and absolute Klein invariant \( J(E_\tau) \) of \( E_\tau \) be denoted by \( j = j(\tau) \) and \( J = J(\tau) \), respectively.

Taking \( r = \Delta(\tau)^{-1/12} \), we see that \( E_\tau \) is isomorphic to

\[
\tilde{E} : y^2 = 4x^3 - \gamma_2x - \gamma_3, \quad \Delta(\tilde{E}) = 1, \quad \Lambda(\tilde{E}) = \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\omega}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\omega}_2,
\]

with discriminant \( \Delta(\tilde{E}) \) and associated lattice \( \Lambda(\tilde{E}) \), where

\[
\gamma_2 = \Delta(\tau)^{-1/3}g_2(\tau) = J^{1/3}, \quad \gamma_3 = \Delta(\tau)^{-1/2}g_3(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{J - 1}{27}},
\]

and

\[
\tilde{\omega}_1 = \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_2 = \tau\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]

Taking \( r = \sqrt{g_2(\tau)/g_3(\tau)} \), we see that \( E_\tau \) is isomorphic to

\[
E_j : y^2 = 4x^3 - gx - g, \quad \Delta(E_j) = \frac{3^9J^2}{16(1+J)^2}, \quad \Lambda(E_j) = \mathbb{Z}\Omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\Omega_2,
\]

with discriminant \( \Delta(E_j) \) and associated lattice \( \Lambda(E_j) \), where

\[
g = \frac{g_2(\tau)^3}{g_3(\tau)^2} = \frac{27J}{J - 1},
\]

and

\[
\Omega_1 = \sqrt{\frac{g_3(\tau)}{g_2(\tau)}}, \quad \Omega_2 = \tau\sqrt{\frac{g_3(\tau)}{g_2(\tau)}}.
\]

It is natural to study \( E_j \) with \( J \) given by the corresponding modular relation; therefore, below we describe the families of elliptic curves obtained by using the modular relation in each of the four cases under consideration.

### 3.1. Case 2a

We consider \( E_j \) with

\[
J = 4s(1 - s).
\]

Taking

\[
r = \sqrt{2s - 1},
\]

we see that \( E_j \) is isomorphic to

\[
E_s : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(s)x - g_3(s), \quad \Delta(E_s) = g_2(s)^3 - 27g_3(s)^2, \quad \Lambda(E_s) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1(s) + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2(s),
\]

with discriminant \( \Delta(E_s) \) and associated lattice \( \Lambda(E_s) \), where

\[
g_2(s) = g_2 = 108s(s - 1), \quad g_3(s) = g_3 = 108s(s - 1), \quad \Delta(E_s) = \Delta = -2^43^9s^2(s - 1)^2(2s - 1),
\]

and

\[
\omega_1(s) = \omega_1 = \Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}, \quad \omega_2(s) = \omega_2 = \tau\Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]
3.2. **Case 2B.** We consider $E_j$ with

\[ J = \frac{(4-t)^3}{27t^2}. \]

Taking

\[ r = \sqrt{\frac{(t-1)(t+8)}{(t-4)}}, \]

we see that $E_j$ is isomorphic to

\[ E_t : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(t)x - g_3(t), \quad \Delta(E_t) = g_2(t)^3 - 27g_3(t)^2, \quad \Lambda(E_t) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1(t) + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2(t), \]

with discriminant $\Delta(E_t)$ and associated lattice $\Lambda(E_t)$, where

\[ g_2(t) = g_2 = 27(t-1)(t-4), \quad g_3(t) = g_3 = 27(t-1)^2(t+8), \quad \Delta(E_t) = \Delta = -3^{12}t^2(t-1)^3, \]

and

\[ \omega_1(t) = \omega_1 = \Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}, \quad \omega_2(t) = \omega_2 = \tau\Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}. \]

3.3. **Case 2C.** We consider $E_j$ with

\[ J = \frac{4}{27} \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2}. \]

Taking

\[ r = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{9(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)}}, \]

we see that $E_j$ is isomorphic to

\[ E_\lambda : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(\lambda)x - g_3(\lambda), \quad \Delta(E_\lambda) = g_2(\lambda)^3 - 27g_3(\lambda)^2, \quad \Lambda(E_\lambda) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1(\lambda) + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2(\lambda), \]

with discriminant $\Delta(E_\lambda)$ and associated lattice $\Lambda(E_\lambda)$, where

\[ g_2(\lambda) = g_2 = \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1), \quad g_3(\lambda) = g_3 = \frac{4}{27}(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2), \quad \Delta(E_\lambda) = \Delta = 16\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2, \]

and

\[ \omega_1(\lambda) = \omega_1 = \Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}, \quad \omega_2(\lambda) = \omega_2 = \tau\Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}. \]

**Remark.** Note that under the change of variables

\[ x \mapsto x - \frac{\lambda+1}{3}, \quad y \mapsto 2y, \]

the above family becomes the classical Legendre family:

\[ C_\lambda : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda). \]

This defines an isomorphism $\Phi : E_\lambda \to C_\lambda$.

3.4. **Case 3B.** We consider $E_j$ with

\[ J = \frac{(u-9)^3(1-u)}{64u^3}. \]

Taking

\[ r = \sqrt{\frac{u^2 + 18u - 27}{u-9}}, \]

we see that $E_j$ is isomorphic to

\[ E_u : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(u)x - g_3(u), \quad \Delta(E_u) = g_2(u)^3 - 27g_3(u)^2, \quad \Lambda(E_u) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1(u) + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2(u), \]

with discriminant $\Delta(E_u)$ and associated lattice $\Lambda(E_u)$, where

\[ g_2(u) = g_2 = 27(u-1)(u-9), \quad g_3(u) = g_3 = 27(u-1)(u^2 + 18u - 27), \quad \Delta(E_u) = \Delta = -2^63^9u^3(u-1)^2, \]

and

\[ \omega_1(u) = \omega_1 = \Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}, \quad \omega_2(u) = \omega_2 = \tau\Delta^{-1/12}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}. \]
To summarize the discussion on the families of elliptic curves described, we provide the following commutative diagram which depicts the isomorphisms between these families of elliptic curves:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E_\tau(C) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & E_J(C) \\
\varphi_{\Delta_\tau} \downarrow \hspace{1cm} & & \downarrow \varphi_{\Delta_J} \\
\tilde{E}(C) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\Delta_\xi^{1/12}}} & E_\xi(C)
\end{array}
\]

Here \(E_\xi\) corresponds to the family of curves parametrized by \(\xi\), and \(r_\xi\) refers to the value of \(r\) (given in the respective subsections) for which \(\varphi_r\) gives an isomorphism from \(E_J\) to \(E_\xi\).

In the previous cases, which correspond to a triangular congruence subgroup of \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\), we were able to write down a suitable family of elliptic curves \(E_\xi\) over \(\mathbb{C}(\xi)\); this must be modified to deal with the remaining cases.

Let \(\Gamma\) be a triangular arithmetic group. Recall that a uniformizer \(\xi\) for \(\Gamma\) is an isomorphism \(\xi : \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(C)\). Let \(\tau = \tau(\xi)\) be a local inverse to the uniformizer \(\xi\). Given a simply-connected fundamental domain \(\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}^*\) for \(\Gamma\), we may extend \(\tau\) to a local isomorphism \(\tau : \mathbb{P}^1(C) - \xi(\partial \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\).

In cases 2A and 3A, we introduce a new family of elliptic curves \(E_\xi\) over \(\mathbb{C}(\frac{d\xi}{d\tau}, g_2(\tau), g_3(\tau))\). Taking \(r = \sqrt{\frac{d\xi}{d\tau}}\) and using the fact that \(\tau = \tau(\xi)\) is a local isomorphism as above, we see that \(E_\tau = E_{\tau(\xi)}\) is isomorphic to

\[
E_\xi : y^2 = 4x^3 - \overline{g}_2(\xi)x - \overline{g}_3(\xi), \quad \Delta(E_\xi) = \left(\frac{d\xi}{d\tau}\right)^6 \Delta(\tau), \quad \Lambda(E_\xi) = \mathbb{Z}\overline{\omega}_1(\xi) + \mathbb{Z}\overline{\omega}_2(\xi),
\]

with discriminant \(\Delta(E_\xi)\) and associated lattice \(\Lambda(E_\xi)\), where

\[
\overline{g}_2(\xi) = \left(\frac{d\xi}{d\tau}\right)^2 g_2(\tau), \quad \overline{g}_3(\xi) = \left(\frac{d\xi}{d\tau}\right)^3 g_3(\tau),
\]

and

\[
\overline{\omega}_1(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{d\xi}{d\tau}}, \quad \overline{\omega}_2(\xi) = \tau \sqrt{\frac{d\xi}{d\tau}}.
\]

4. **The Picard-Fuchs Differential Equation**

We now prove a theorem that will allow us to systematically derive Picard-Fuchs differential equations for elliptic curves in Weierstrass form. We begin with the following elementary result:

**Lemma 1.** Any system of two first order differential equations

\[
\begin{align*}
X' &= aX + bY, \\
Y' &= cX + dY,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(X, Y, a, b, c, d\) are functions of \(x\), can be written as a second order differential equation:

\[
X'' = \left\{a + d + \frac{b'y}{b}\right\}X' + \left\{bc - ad + a' - \frac{ab'}{b}\right\}X.
\]

**Proof.** Differentiating the first equation and using the second, we get

\[
X'' = aX' + a'X + bY' + b'Y = aX' + a'X + b(cX + dY) + b'Y,
\]

but from the first equation

\[
Y = \frac{X' - aX}{b},
\]
provided \( b \neq 0 \), so

\[
X'' = aX' + a'X + b \left( cX + \frac{dX' - aX}{b} \right) + \frac{b'X' - aX}{b}
\]

\[
= aX' + a'X + bcX + d(X' - aX) + \frac{b'X' - aX}{b}
\]

\[
= aX' + a'X + bcX + dX' - adX + \frac{b'X' - aX}{b} X.
\]

Collecting like terms yields

\[
X'' = \left( a + d + \frac{b'}{b} \right) X' + \left( bc - ad + a' - \frac{ab'}{b} \right) X.
\]

\[
\square
\]

**Lemma 2.** Suppose \( A, B \) are analytic functions of a parameter \( \xi \) and are the invariants of the elliptic curve \( E \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) given by

\[
E : y^2 = 4x^3 - Ax - B, \quad A^3 - 27B^2 \neq 0.
\]

Let \( \gamma = \gamma_i = \gamma_i(\xi) \in H_1(E, \mathbb{Z}) \) be elements which are continuous functions of \( \xi \), and let

\[
p = p_i = 2 \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{dx}{y}, \quad q = q_i = 2 \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{x}{y} dx.
\]

be the associated periods and quasi-periods, respectively, where \( k = 1, 2 \). Let

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= \frac{-36BA' + 24AB'}{8(A^3 - 27B^2)}, \\
Q &= \frac{2A^2A' - 36BB'}{8(A^3 - 27B^2)}, \\
R &= \frac{-3ABA' + 2A^2B'}{8(A^3 - 27B^2)},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
A' = \frac{dA}{d\xi}, \quad B' = \frac{dB}{d\xi},
\]

then

\[
\frac{d^2p}{d\xi^2} - \frac{P'}{P} \frac{dp}{d\xi} - \left( Q^2 - PR - Q' + \frac{QP'}{P} \right) p = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Write

\[
(15) \quad p = 2 \int_{\gamma} \frac{dx}{y}, \quad q = -2 \int_{\gamma} \frac{x}{y} dx,
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a loop around two and only two roots of \( y^2 = 4x^3 - Ax - B = 0 \). Then

\[
\frac{dp}{d\xi} = A' \int_{\gamma} \frac{x}{y^3} dx + B' \int_{\gamma} \frac{dx}{y^3}, \quad \frac{dq}{d\xi} = -A' \int_{\gamma} \frac{x^2}{y^3} dx - B' \int_{\gamma} \frac{x}{y^3} dx.
\]

For brevity define

\[
I_0 = \int_{\gamma} \frac{dx}{y^3}, \quad I_1 = \int_{\gamma} \frac{x}{y^3} dx, \quad I_2 = \int_{\gamma} \frac{x^2}{y^3} dx,
\]

so that

\[
\frac{dp}{d\xi} = A'I_1 + B'I_0, \quad \frac{dq}{d\xi} = -A'I_2 - B'I_1.
\]

Now, by the fundamental theorem of complex integration

\[
\int_{\gamma} d(y^{-1}) = -12 \int_{\gamma} \frac{x^2}{2y^3} dx + A \int_{\gamma} \frac{dx}{2y^3} = 0.
\]
Likewise,
\[
\int \gamma \, d(xy^{-1}) = \int \gamma \, \frac{dx}{y} - 12 \int \gamma \, \frac{x^3}{2y^3} \, dx + A \int \gamma \, \frac{x}{2y^3} \, dx
\]
\[
= 2 \int \gamma \, \frac{4x^3 - Ax - B}{2y^3} \, dx - 12 \int \gamma \, \frac{x^3}{2y^3} \, dx + A \int \gamma \, \frac{x}{2y^3} \, dx
\]
\[
= -4 \int \gamma \, \frac{x^3}{2y^3} \, dx - A \int \gamma \, \frac{x^2}{2y^3} \, dx - 2B \int \gamma \, \frac{x}{2y^3} \, dx
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
Moreover,
\[
\int \gamma \, d(x^2y^{-1}) = 2 \int \gamma \, \frac{dx}{y} - 12 \int \gamma \, \frac{x^4}{2y^3} \, dx + A \int \gamma \, \frac{x^2}{2y^3} \, dx
\]
\[
= 4 \int \gamma \, \frac{4x^3 - Ax - B}{2y^3} \, dx - 12 \int \gamma \, \frac{x^4}{2y^3} \, dx + A \int \gamma \, \frac{x^2}{2y^3} \, dx
\]
\[
= 4 \int \gamma \, \frac{x^4}{2y^3} \, dx - 3A \int \gamma \, \frac{x^2}{2y^3} \, dx - 4B \int \gamma \, \frac{x}{2y^3} \, dx
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
We thus arrive at a system of three equations:
\[
0 = 12I_2 - AI_0,
\]
\[
-\frac{p}{2} = 2AI_1 + 3BI_0,
\]
\[
-\frac{q}{2} = 2AI_2 + 3BI_1.
\]
Solving this system of equations leads to
\[
I_0 = \frac{3(3Bp - 2Aq)}{2(A^3 - 27B^2)},
\]
\[
I_1 = \frac{18Bq - A^2p}{4(A^3 - 27B^2)},
\]
\[
I_2 = \frac{A(3Bp - 2Aq)}{8(A^3 - 27B^2)},
\]
and consequently
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dp}{d\xi} &= -Qp - Pq, \\
\frac{dq}{d\xi} &= Rp + Qq.
\end{align*}
\]
Using (16) and Lemma 1 leads to
\[
\frac{d^2p}{d\xi^2} - \frac{P'}{P} \frac{dp}{d\xi} - \left( Q^2 - PR - Q' + \frac{QP'}{P} \right) p = 0.
\]

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose \(\gamma_2, \gamma_3\) are analytic functions of a parameter \(\xi\) and are the invariants of the elliptic curve \(\tilde{E}\) over \(\mathbb{C}\) given by
\[
\tilde{E} : y^2 = 4x^3 - \gamma_2x - \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_3^2 - 27\gamma_2^3 = 1,
\]
with periods \(\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2\). If \(\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_k\) with \(k = 1, 2\), then
\[
\frac{d^2\tilde{\omega}}{d\xi^2} + \left( \frac{7J - 4}{6(J - 1)} \frac{J'}{J} - \frac{J''}{J} \right) \frac{d\tilde{\omega}}{d\xi} + \left( \frac{J'^2}{144J(J - 1)} \right) \tilde{\omega} = 0.
\]
Proof. Note that
\[ Q^2 - PR = \frac{(A^3 - 27B^2)(AA'^2 - 12B'^2)}{16} \]
Thus
\[ \frac{d^2p}{d\xi^2} - \frac{X'dp}{X'd\xi} - \left\{ \frac{Z - Y' + Y'}{X} \right\} p = 0, \]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
X &= -72\gamma_3\gamma_2' + 48\gamma_2\gamma_3', \\
Y &= 4\gamma_2^2\gamma_2' - 72\gamma_3\gamma_3', \\
Z &= \gamma_2^2\gamma_2' - 12\gamma_3'.
\end{aligned}
\]
However, a labourious calculation shows that
\[
\begin{aligned}
X &= \frac{8}{J^{2/3}\sqrt{3(J - 1)}} J', \\
Y &= 0, \\
Z &= \frac{J^2}{9J(1 - J)}.
\end{aligned}
\]
Therefore,
\[
\frac{X'}{X} = \frac{J''}{J'} + \frac{(7J - 4)J'}{6(1 - J)J'},
\]
and thus
\[
\frac{d^2\bar{\omega}}{d\xi^2} + \left\{ \frac{7J - 4}{6(J - 1)} \frac{J'}{J} - \frac{J''}{J'} \right\} \frac{d\bar{\omega}}{d\xi} + \left\{ \frac{J^2}{144J(J - 1)} \right\} \bar{\omega} = 0.
\]

Theorem 4.2. Suppose \( \overline{g}_2(\xi), \overline{g}_3(\xi) \) are analytic functions of a parameter \( \xi \) and are the invariants of the elliptic curve \( \overline{E}(\xi) \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) given by
\[
\overline{E}(\xi) : y^2 = 4x^3 - \overline{g}_3(\xi)x - \overline{g}_3(\xi),
\]
with periods \( \overline{\omega}_1(\xi), \overline{\omega}_2(\xi) \). If \( \overline{\omega}(\xi) = \overline{\omega}_k(\xi) \) with \( k = 1, 2 \), then
\[
\frac{d^2\overline{\omega}(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + R(\xi)\overline{\omega}(\xi) = 0,
\]
where \( R(\xi) \) is an algebraic function of \( \xi \). Moreover, \( R(\xi) \) in the above equation is given by
\[
R(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{\xi^2} + \frac{1 - \mu^2}{(\xi - 1)^2} + \frac{\lambda^2 + \mu^2 - \nu^2 - 1}{\xi(\xi - 1)} \right),
\]
where \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \) are the exponents at the regular singular points 0, 1, \( \infty \), respectively, which determine the angles \( \lambda\pi, \mu\pi, \nu\pi \) at the respective vertices.

Proof. The first part of the statement follows directly from the proof of [17, Theorem 15, pp. 99-101] and the expressions for \( \overline{g}_2(\xi), \overline{g}_3(\xi) \). The expression for \( R(\xi) \) is given in [19, p. 7].

5. Solutions to the Picard-Fuchs differential equation

Applying Theorem 4.1 or Theorem 4.2 will often lead to differential equations with three singularities, so let us discuss this scenario. As a general reference for the material in this section and hypergeometric functions, see [1].

Let
\[
\frac{d^2u}{dz^2} + p(z)\frac{du}{dz} + q(z)u = 0
\]
have three, and only three singularities. The indicial equation for a finite regular singular point \( z_0 \) is defined by
\[
r(r - 1) + p_0r + q_0 = 0,
\]
where
where
\[ p_0 = \lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0)p(z), \quad q_0 = \lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0)^2 q(z), \]
which is the same as
\[ p_0 = \lim_{w \to w_0} wp(w + z_0), \quad q_0 = \lim_{w \to w_0} w^2 q(w + z_0). \]
If \( z_0 = \infty \), set \( z = w^{-1} \), so that the differential equation transforms into
\[ \frac{d^2u}{dw^2} + P(w) \frac{du}{dw} + Q(w) u = 0, \]
where
\[ P(w) = \frac{2w - p(w^{-1})}{w^2}, \quad Q(w) = \frac{q(w^{-1})}{w^4} \]
since
\[ p(z) \frac{d}{dz} = -w^2 p(w^{-1}) \frac{d}{dw}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dz^2} = w^4 \frac{d^2}{dw^2} + 2w \frac{d}{dw}. \]
Then, the indicial equation for the regular singular point at infinity is defined by
\[ r(r - 1) + p_0 r + q_0, \]
where
\[ p_0 = \lim_{w \to 0} wQ(w), \quad q_0 = \lim_{w \to 0} w^2 Q(w). \]
The roots of the indicial equation are called the exponents of \( z_0 \), which we order from smallest to largest.

We call a singular point \( z_0 \) false if both exponents \( \alpha \leq \alpha' \) are non-negative integers and there are no logarithmic terms in the local expansions near \( z_0 \).

Let
\[ (17) \quad \frac{d^2u}{dz^2} + p(z) \frac{du}{dz} + q(z) u = 0 \]
have three, and only three regular singularities, \( a, b, c \) with respective exponents being \( \alpha, \alpha'; \beta, \beta'; \gamma, \gamma' \), which satisfy
\[ \alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta' + \gamma + \gamma' = 1. \]
To express the fact that \( u \) satisfies an equation of this type, Riemann wrote
\[ u = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c & \alpha \alpha' \beta \beta' \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma & z & \gamma' \end{pmatrix}. \]
The differential equation of this type is called Riemann’s \( P \)-equation.

The hypergeometric equation
\[ z(1 - z) \frac{d^2u}{dz^2} + [c - (a + b + 1)z] \frac{du}{dz} - abu = 0 \]
has three regular singular points \( 0, 1, \infty \) and is defined by the Riemann scheme
\[ P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & z & b \end{pmatrix}. \]
To see this, note that if \( z_0 = 0 \), then the indicial equation is
\[ r(r - 1) + cr = 0 \]
and its roots are \( r = 0, 1 - c \); if \( z_0 = 1 \), then the indicial equation is
\[ r(r - 1) + (1 + a + b - c)r = 0 \]
and its roots are \( r = 0, c - a - b \); if \( z_0 = \infty \), then the indicial equation is
\[ r(r - 1) + (1 - a - b)r + ab = 0 \]
and its roots are \( r = a, b \).
The classical hypergeometric function is given by
\[ _2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]
where
\( (\alpha)_n = \alpha(\alpha + 1)\cdots(\alpha + n - 1) \)
is the Pochhammer symbol.

There are 24 possible hypergeometric solutions to the hypergeometric differential equation and they are known as Kummer’s solutions [20]. For completeness, we list these solutions in the Appendix and label them in a consistent way, so it is clear which cases we consider in the examples. In general, there are 8 solutions around each singular point; each of these breaks up into two equivalence classes under Pfaff and Euler transformations.

If the differential equation (17) is defined by the Riemann scheme
\[ P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha' & \beta' & \gamma' \end{array} \right\}, \]
then [27, Section 10.7]
\[ u = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha' & \beta' & \gamma' \end{array} \right\} = z^\alpha (1-z)^\gamma P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 0 & \beta + \alpha + \gamma & 0 \\ \alpha' - \alpha & \beta' + \alpha + \gamma & \gamma' - \gamma \end{array} \right\}, \]
where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(a, b, c) \) with parameters
\[ a = \beta + \alpha + \gamma, \quad b = \beta' + \alpha + \gamma, \quad c = 1 + \alpha - \alpha', \]
respectively. In other words, if \( F(z) \) is one of Kummer’s solutions, then
\[ u = z^\alpha (1-z)^\gamma F(z). \]

5.1. Case 2a. Consider \( \tilde{E} \) with
\[ J = J(s) = 4s(1-s). \]
From Theorem 4.1, we obtain
\[ \frac{d^2 \tilde{\omega}}{ds^2} + \frac{2(2s-1)}{3s(s-1)} \frac{d \tilde{\omega}}{ds} + \frac{1}{36s(s-1)} \tilde{\omega} = 0, \]
which is defined by Riemann’s scheme
\[ \tilde{\omega} = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 0 & 1/6 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/6 & 1/3 \end{array} \right\}. \]

The solution to the above differential equation belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/6, 1/6, 2/3) \).

5.2. Case 2B. Consider \( \tilde{E} \) with
\[ J = J(t) = \frac{(4-t)^3}{27t^2}. \]
From Theorem 4.1, we obtain
\[ \frac{d^2 \tilde{\omega}}{dt^2} + \frac{7t-4}{6t(t-1)} \frac{d \tilde{\omega}}{dt} + \frac{t-4}{144t^2(t-1)} \tilde{\omega} = 0, \]
which is defined by Riemann’s scheme
\[ \tilde{\omega} = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 1/6 & 1/12 & 0 \\ 1/6 & 1/12 & 1/2 \end{array} \right\}. \]

The solution in terms of Riemann’s \( P \)-function is
\[ \tilde{\omega} = t^{1/6} P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 1/2 \end{array} \right\}. \]
where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/4,1/4,1) \).

5.3. **Case 2C.** Consider \( \bar{E} \) with

\[
J = J(\lambda) = \frac{4}{27} \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^2}{\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2}.
\]

From Theorem 4.1, we obtain

\[
\frac{d^2 \bar{\omega}}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{2(2\lambda - 1)}{3\lambda(\lambda - 1)} \frac{d\bar{\omega}}{d\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1}{36\lambda^2(\lambda - 1)^2} \bar{\omega} = 0,
\]

which is defined by Riemann’s scheme

\[
\bar{\omega} = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\
1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

The solution in terms of Riemann’s \( P \)-function is

\[
\bar{\omega} = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\
1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\
\end{array} \right\} = \lambda^{1/6}(1-\lambda)1/6 \cdot P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
0 & 1/2 & 0 \\
0 & 1/2 & 0 \\
\end{array} \right\},
\]

where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/2,1/2,1) \).

5.4. **Case 3B.** Consider \( \bar{E} \) with

\[
J = J(u) = \frac{(u - 9)^3(1-u)}{64u^3}.
\]

From Theorem 4.1, we obtain

\[
\frac{d^2 \omega}{du^2} + \frac{7u-3}{6u(u-1)} \frac{d\omega}{du} + \frac{u-9}{144u^2(u-1)} \omega = 0,
\]

which is defined by Riemann’s scheme

\[
\omega = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
1/4 & 1/12 & 0 \\
1/4 & 1/12 & 1/3 \\
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

The solution in terms of Riemann’s \( P \)-function is

\[
\omega = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
1/4 & 1/12 & 0 \\
1/4 & 1/12 & 1/3 \\
\end{array} \right\} = u^{1/4} \cdot P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
0 & 1/3 & 0 \\
0 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\
\end{array} \right\},
\]

where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/3,1/3,1) \).

5.5. **Case 2A.** Consider \( \bar{E}_\xi \) with \( \xi = t \). For \( \Gamma_0(2) \), we have \( (\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (0,1/2,0) \). From Theorem 4.2, we get

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \bar{\xi}(t) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2}{4(t-1)^2} - \frac{3}{4t(t-1)} \right) \bar{\xi}(t) = 0,
\]

which is defined by Riemann’s scheme

\[
\bar{\xi}(t) = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
1/2 & -1/2 & 1/4 \\
1/2 & -1/2 & 3/4 \\
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

The solution in terms of Riemann’s \( P \)-function is

\[
\bar{\xi}(t) = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
1/2 & -1/2 & 1/4 \\
1/2 & -1/2 & 3/4 \\
\end{array} \right\} = t^{1/2}(1-t)^{1/4} \cdot P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \infty & 1 \\
0 & -1/2 & 0 \\
0 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\
\end{array} \right\},
\]

where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/4,1/4,1) \).

Consider \( \bar{E}_\xi \) with \( \xi = v \). For \( \Gamma_0(2) \), we have \( (\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (1/4,1/2,0) \). From Theorem 4.2, we get

\[
\frac{d^2}{dv^2} \bar{\xi}(v) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{15}{16v^2} + \frac{3}{4(v-1)^2} - \frac{11}{16v(v-1)} \right) \bar{\xi}(v) = 0,
\]
which is defined by Riemann’s scheme

\[ \varpi (v) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 3/8 & -1/2 & 1/4 \\ 5/8 & -1/2 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix} \).

The solution in terms of Riemann’s \( P \)-function is

\[ \varpi (v) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 3/8 & -1/2 & 1/4 \\ 5/8 & -1/2 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix} = v^{3/8} (1-v)^{1/4} P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 1/4 & -1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/8, 1/8, 3/4) \).

5.6. **Case 3A.** Consider \( \mathcal{E}_\xi \) with \( \xi = u \). For \( \Gamma_0(3) \), we have \( (\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (0, 1/3, 0) \). From Theorem 4.2, we get

\[ \frac{d^2}{du^2} \varpi(u) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{8}{9(u-1)^2} - \frac{8}{9(u-1)} \right) \varpi(u) = 0, \]

which is defined by Riemann’s scheme

\[ \varpi (u) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix} \).

The solution in terms of Riemann’s \( P \)-function is

\[ \varpi (u) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix} = u^{1/2} (1-u)^{1/3} P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/3, 1/3, 1) \).

Consider \( \mathcal{E}_\xi \) with \( \xi = w \). For \( \Gamma_0(3) \), we have \( (\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (1/6, 1/2, 0) \). From Theorem 4.2, we get

\[ \frac{d^2}{d w^2} \varpi(w) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{35}{36 w^2} + \frac{3}{4(w-1)^2} - \frac{13}{18w(w-1)} \right) \varpi(w) = 0, \]

which is defined by Riemann’s scheme

\[ \varpi (w) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 5/12 & -1/2 & 1/4 \\ 7/12 & -1/2 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix} \).

The solution in terms of Riemann’s \( P \)-function is

\[ \varpi (w) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 5/12 & -1/2 & 1/4 \\ 7/12 & -1/2 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix} = w^{5/12} (1-w)^{1/4} P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 1/6 & -1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where the latter \( P \)-function belongs to the set of Kummer’s solutions \( K(1/6, 1/6, 5/6) \).

6. Hypoelliptic representations of periods

From Section 5, we know that near a singular point, \( \varpi \) is expressible in terms of hypergeometric functions. In this section, we explicitly calculate this period expression with known constants up to a 12th root of unity.

The period expression is valid in a certain simply-connected domain, and by evaluation numerically, one can compute the 12th root of unity precisely. However, for simplicity, we will delay specifying the exact root of unity until the final examples where we specialize \( \tau \) to specific values.

For the first four cases 2a, 2B, 2C, 3B, we obtain a hypergeometric representation of the first period of elliptic curve \( E_\xi \). If \( \varpi \) is a solution of the Picard-Fuchs differential equation for \( \mathcal{E} \), then there are constants \( A \) and \( B \) such that

\[ \varpi = A \varpi_1 + B \varpi_2 = (A + B \tau) \Delta (\tau)^{1/12}. \]

Equating this with a hypergeometric solution locally near a special point, we can solve for the constants \( A, B \) by using functional identities under the stabilizers of the special point. In this section, we use the convention that \( \tau \to \infty \) means \( \text{Im}(\tau) \to \infty \).
Let $\Gamma$ be an arithmetic triangle group associated to one of the cases $2a, 2B, 2C, 3B, 2A, 3A$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ and $\xi$ be the uniformizer specified in Section 2. Let $\nu(\xi)$ be one of the following six expressions
\[ \xi, 1/\xi, 1 - \xi, 1/(1 - \xi), (\xi - 1)/\xi, \xi/(\xi - 1) \]
and
\[ C_{\nu(\xi)} = \{ \tau \in \mathcal{F} : |\nu(\xi)| < 1 \} \, . \]
By the argument in [11, Lemma 3.1], $C_{\nu(\xi)}$ is the union of at most two connected components, each of which is simply-connected. As a result, we can define uniquely the periods of the elliptic curve $E_{\xi}$ on one of these simply-connected components using the discussion in [11, §3.2]. For a special point $\tau$ lying in the closure of $\mathcal{F}$, we choose a connected component $C_{\nu(\xi)}$ of $C_{\nu(\xi)}$ which contains $\tau$ in its closure.

The covers to which we apply the path lifting lemma as in [11, §3.2] to uniquely define the periods are listed below:

1. Case 2a: $X(2) \to X_2 := \Gamma_2 \backslash \mathfrak{H}^*$
2. Case 2B: $X(2) \to X_0(2)$
3. Case 2C: $X(2) \to X(2)$
4. Case 3B: $X(2) \times_{X(1)} X_0(3) \to X_0(3)$
5. Case 2A: $X(2) \to X_0(2) \to X_0^*(2)$
6. Case 3A: $X(2) \times_{X(1)} X_0(3) \to X_0(3) \to X_0^*(3)$,

where $X \times_2 Y$ denotes the fiber product of $X$ and $Y$ over $Z$.

For the cases $2a, 2B, 2C, 3B$, we use the family $E_{\xi}$. For the cases $2A, 3A$, we use the family $E_{\xi}$, keeping in mind that $\tau = \tau(\xi)$ is a local inverse to $\xi$.

6.1. **Case 2a.** The fundamental domain we take in this case is
\[ \mathcal{F}_{2a} = \{ z \in \mathfrak{H} : |z| > 1, |z - 1| > 1, |\text{Re}(z - 1/2)| < 1 \} \, . \]

Note that the transformation $\tau \mapsto \tau + 1$ stabilizes the cusp at $\tau = \infty$, and $s$ is invariant under the action of the congruence group $\Gamma_2$. Hence
\[ (A + B\tau)\Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = (A + B(\tau + 1))\Delta(\tau + 1)^{1/12} \]
\[ = (A + B(\tau + 1))e^{\pi i/6}\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}, \]
that is, around $\tau = \infty$,
\[ (A + B\tau) = (A + B(\tau + 1))e^{\pi i/6}. \]

Letting $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain $B = 0$. Since around $s = \infty$, one of the solutions is $(K \infty, A | B)$
\[ \tilde{\omega} = s^{-1/6} \text{$_2F_1$} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right), \]
we see that around $\tau = \infty$,
\[ s^{-1/6} \text{$_2F_1$} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right) = A\Delta(\tau)^{1/12} \, . \]

Using the modular relation (3) and the well-known $q$-expansion
\[ J(\tau) = \frac{1}{1728} q^{-1} + \frac{31}{72} q + O(q^2), \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}, \]
we find that
\[ A = \frac{3^{1/4}}{24^1/3\pi} e^{-\pi i/12} \]
up to a 6th root of unity.

**Theorem 6.1 (s = \infty case).** Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{1/s, \infty}$ of the open set $|s| > 1$. Then
\[ \omega_1 = \frac{\pi}{3} s^{-1/3} (s - 1)^{-1/6} \text{$_2F_1$} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right) \]
up to a 12th root of unity, where $s = s(\tau)$.
Proof. Using the identity $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_s)$ in (18), we obtain
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{21/3}{3} \pi \varepsilon^{\pi i/12} s^{-1/6} \Delta(E_s)^{-1/12} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right) \\
= \frac{\pi}{3} s^{-1/3} (s - 1)^{-1/6} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right).
\]

\[\square\]

Similar to the above case, note that the transformation $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau + 1}$ stabilizes the point at $\tau = \rho$. Hence
\[
(A + B\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = \left( A + B \left( -\frac{1}{\tau + 1} \right) \right) \Delta \left( -\frac{1}{\tau + 1} \right)^{1/12} = \left( A + B \left( -\frac{1}{\tau + 1} \right) \right) e^{-\pi i/3} (\tau + 1) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},
\]
that is, around $\tau = \rho$,
\[
(A + B\tau) = (A(\tau + 1) - B) e^{-\pi i/3}.
\]
Letting $\tau \to \rho$, we obtain $A = (\rho + 1) B$. Since around $s = 0$, one of the solutions is (K0.A)
\[
\tilde{\omega} = 2 F\left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}; \frac{2}{3}; s \right),
\]
we see that around $\tau = \rho$,
\[
2 F\left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}; \frac{2}{3}; s \right) = B(1 + \rho + \tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]
Letting $\tau \to \rho$ in the above equation, we find that
\[
B = \frac{1}{2\pi(2\rho + 1) \eta(\rho)^2} = \frac{2\pi}{3^{1/2}(2\rho + 1) \Gamma(1/3)^4} e^{\pi i/12},
\]
using [11, Proposition 6.1].

**Theorem 6.2** ($s = 0$ case). Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{s, \rho}$ of the open set $|s| < 1$. Then
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{\Gamma(1/3)^3 (2\rho + 1)}{2^{1/3} 3^{1/2} \pi (1 + \rho + \tau)} e^{-\pi i/6} s^{-1/6} (s - 1)^{-1/6} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}; \frac{2}{3}; s \right)
\]
up to a 12th root of unity, where $s = s(\tau)$.

**Proof.** Using the identity $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_s)$ in (19), we obtain
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{3^{1/4} \Gamma(1/3)^3 (2\rho + 1)}{2\pi(1 + \rho + \tau)} e^{-\pi i/12} \Delta(E_s)^{-1/12} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}; \frac{2}{3}; s \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{(2\rho + 1) \Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{1/3} 3^{1/2} \pi (1 + \rho + \tau)} e^{-\pi i/6} s^{-1/6} (s - 1)^{-1/6} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}; \frac{2}{3}; s \right).
\]

\[\square\]

Similar to the above case, note that the transformation $\tau \mapsto \frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}$ stabilizes the point at $\tau = \rho + 1$. Hence
\[
(A + B\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{\tau} \right) \right) \Delta \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{\tau} \right)^{1/12} = \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{\tau} \right) \right) e^{-\pi i/3} \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},
\]
that is, around $\tau = \rho + 1$,
\[
(A + B\tau) = (A\tau + B(\tau - 1)) e^{-\pi i/3}.
\]
Letting $\tau \to \rho + 1$, we obtain $A = \rho B$. Since around $s = 1$, one of the solutions is (K1.A.P1|P2)
\[
\tilde{\omega} = s^{-1/6} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{s - 1}{s} \right).
\]
we see that around \( \tau = \rho + 1 \),

\[
(20) \quad s^{-1/6} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{s-1}{s} \right) = B(\rho + \tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]

Letting \( \tau \to \rho + 1 \) in the above equation, we find that

\[
B = \frac{1}{2\pi(2\rho + 1)\eta(\rho + 1)^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi(2\rho + 1)\eta(\rho)^2} e^{-\pi i/6} = \frac{2\pi}{3^{1/4}(2\rho + 1)\Gamma(1/3)^3} e^{-\pi i/12}
\]

up to a 6th root of unity, again using \([11], \text{Proposition 6.1}\).

**Theorem 6.3** \((s = 1\text{ case})\). Suppose \( \tau \) is in the connected component \( C_{(s-1)/s, \rho+1} \) of the open set \(|(s-1)/s| < 1\). Then

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{(2\rho + 1)\Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{3/4}3^{1/2}\pi(\rho + \tau)} s^{-1/3}(s-1)^{-1/6} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{s-1}{s} \right)
\]

up to a 12th root of unity, where \( s = s(\tau) \).

**Proof.** Using the identity \( \Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_s) \) in \((20)\), we obtain

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{3^{1/4}(2\rho + 1)\Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{3/4}3^{1/2}\pi(\rho + \tau)} e^{\pi i/12} \Delta(E_s)^{-1/12} s^{-1/6} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{s-1}{s} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(2\rho + 1)\Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{3/4}3^{1/2}\pi(\rho + \tau)} s^{-1/3}(s-1)^{-1/6} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{s-1}{s} \right).
\]

\( \square \)

6.2. **Case 2B.** The fundamental domain we take in this case is

\[ \mathcal{F}_{2B} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z + 1/2| > 1/2, |z - 1/2| > 1/2, |\text{Re}(z)| < 1/2 \}. \]

Note that the transformation \( \tau \mapsto \tau + 1 \) stabilizes the cusp at \( \tau = \infty \), and \( t \) is invariant under the action of \( \Gamma_0(2) \). Hence

\[
(A + B\tau)\Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = (A + B(\tau + 1))\Delta(\tau+1)^{1/12} = (A + B(\tau + 1)) e^{\pi i/6} \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},
\]

that is, around \( \tau = \infty \),

\[
(A + B\tau) = (A + B(\tau + 1)) e^{\pi i/6}.
\]

Letting \( \tau \to \infty \), we obtain \( B = 0 \). Since around \( t = \infty \), one of the solutions is \((K\infty.A|B)\)

\[
\omega = t^{-1/12} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{t} \right),
\]

we see that around \( \tau = \infty \),

\[
(21) \quad t^{-1/12} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{t} \right) = A\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]

Using expression (5) for \( t \) and the well-known \( q \)-expansion

\[
\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} (1 - q + O(q^2)), \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau},
\]

we find that

\[
A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\pi i/12}
\]

up to a 12th root of unity.
Theorem 6.4 ($t = \infty$ case). Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{t, \infty}$ of the open set $|t| > 1$. Then

$$\omega_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3} t^{-1/4} (t - 1)^{-1/4} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{t} \right)$$

up to a 12th root of unity, where $t = t(\tau)$.

Proof. Using the identity $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_t)$ in (21), we obtain

$$\omega_1 = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\pi i/12} t^{-1/12} \Delta(E_t)^{-1/12} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{t} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3} t^{-1/4} (t - 1)^{-1/4} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{t} \right).$$

$\square$

Similar to the above case, note that the transformation $\tau \mapsto \tfrac{\tau}{1 - 2\tau}$ stabilizes the cusp at $\tau = 0$. Hence

$$(A + B\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau}{1 - 2\tau} \right) \right) \Delta \left( \frac{\tau}{1 - 2\tau} \right)^{1/12}$$

$$= \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau}{1 - 2\tau} \right) \right) e^{\pi i/3} (1 - 2\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},$$

that is, around $\tau = 0$,

$$(A + B\tau) = \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau}{1 - 2\tau} \right) \right) e^{\pi i/3} (1 - 2\tau).$$

Letting $\tau \to 0$, we obtain $A = 0$. Since around $t = 0$, one of the solutions is $(K0.A|B)$

$$\tilde{\omega} = t^{1/6} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right),$$

we see that around $\tau = 0$,

$$t^{1/6} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right) = B\tau \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.$$

Using expression (5) for $t$ and the identity

$$\eta \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau),$$

followed by the $q$-expansion

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} (1 - q + O(q^2)), \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau},$$

we find that

$$B = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\pi i/3}$$

up to a 6th root of unity.

Theorem 6.5 ($t = 0$ case). Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{t, 0}$ of the open set $|t| < 1$. Then

$$\omega_1 = \frac{\pi}{3\tau} (1 - t)^{-1/4} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right)$$

up to a 12th root of unity, where $t = t(\tau)$.

Proof. Using the identity $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_t)$ in (22), we obtain

$$\omega_1 = \frac{\pi}{\tau} e^{\pi i/3} t^{1/6} \Delta(E_t)^{-1/12} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{3\tau} (1 - t)^{-1/4} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right).$$

$\square$
Similar to the above case, note that the transformation \( \tau \mapsto \frac{\tau - 1}{2\tau - 1} \) stabilizes the point at \( \tau = \frac{1+i}{2} \). Hence

\[
(A + B\tau)\Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{2\tau - 1} \right) \right) \Delta \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{2\tau - 1} \right)^{1/12} \]

\[
= \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{2\tau - 1} \right) \right) e^{-\pi i/2(2\tau - 1)} \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},
\]

that is, around \( \tau = \frac{1+i}{2} \),

\[
(A + B\tau) = \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{2\tau - 1} \right) \right) e^{-\pi i/2(2\tau - 1)}.
\]

Letting \( \tau \to \frac{1+i}{2} \), we obtain \( B = -(1+i)A \). Since around \( t = 1 \), one of the solutions is (K1.A.E)

\[
\bar{c} = t^{1/6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; 1-t ; \right)
\]

we see that around \( \tau = \frac{1+i}{2} \),

\[
t^{1/6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; 1-t \right) = A(1-(1+i)\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]

Letting \( \tau \to \frac{1+i}{2} \) in the above equation, we find that

\[
A = \frac{1}{2\pi(1-i)\eta\left( \frac{1+i}{2} \right)^2} \]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2\pi(1-i)^2\eta(i)^2} e^{\pi i/3}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(1/4)^2(1-i)} e^{-\pi i/12}
\]

up to a 6th root of unity, using the well-known value of \( \eta(i) \) [11, pp. 481, 517].

**Theorem 6.6** \((t = 1 \text{ case})\). Suppose \( \tau \) is in the connected component \( C_{1-t,(1+i)/2} \) of the open set \( |1-t| < 1 \). Then

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{2\Gamma(1/4)^2}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{(1+i-2i\tau)^{1/4}} \Delta(E_t)^{1/12} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; 1-t \right)
\]

up to a 12th root of unity, where \( t = t(\tau) \).

**Proof.** Using the identity \( \Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^2 \Delta(E_t) \) in (23), we obtain

\[
\omega_1 = 2\Gamma(1/4)^2 e^{\pi i/12} \frac{t^{1/6}}{(1+i-2i\tau)} \Delta(E_t)^{1/12} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; 1-t \right)
\]

\[
= 2\Gamma(1/4)^2 \frac{(t-1)^{-1/4}}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{(1+i-2i\tau)^{1/4}} \Delta(E_t)^{1/12} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; 1-t \right).
\]

\[\square\]

6.3. Case 2C. The fundamental domain we take in this case is

\[
\mathcal{F}_{2C} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z+1| > 1, |z-1/3| > 1/3, |z-2/3| > 1/3, |\text{Re}(z-1/2)| < 1 \}.
\]

Note that the transformation \( \tau \mapsto \tau + 2 \) stabilizes the cusp at \( \tau = \infty \), and \( \lambda \) is invariant under the action of the congruence group \( \Gamma(2) \). Hence

\[
(A + B\tau)\Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = (A + B(\tau + 2))\Delta(\tau + 2)^{1/12}
\]

\[
= (A + B(\tau + 2)) e^{\pi i/3} \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},
\]

that is, around \( \tau = \infty \),

\[
(A + B\tau) = (A + B(\tau + 2)) e^{\pi i/3}.
\]
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Letting $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain $B = 0$. Since around $\lambda = 0$, one of the solutions is $(K0.A|B.E)$

$$\tilde{\omega} = \lambda^{1/6}(1 - \lambda)^{1/6}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right),$$

we see that around $\tau = \infty$,

$$\lambda^{1/6}(1 - \lambda)^{1/6}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right) = A\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}. \tag{24}$$

Using the well-known $q$-expansion

$$\lambda(\tau) = 16q^{1/2} - 128q + 704q^{3/2} - O(q^2), \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau},$$

we find that

$$A = \frac{2^{2/3}}{2\pi}$$

up to a $6$th root of unity.

**Theorem 6.7 ($\lambda = 0$ case).** Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{\lambda, \infty}$ of the open set $|\lambda| < 1$. Then

$$\omega_1 = \pi_2 F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right)$$

up to a $12$th root of unity, where $\lambda = \lambda(\tau)$.

**Proof.** Using the identity $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12}\Delta(E_\lambda)$ in (24), we obtain

$$\omega_1 = 2^{1/3} \pi \lambda^{1/6}(1 - \lambda)^{1/6}\Delta(E_\lambda)^{-1/12}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right)$$

$$= \pi_2 F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right). \quad \square$$

Now, we use the fact that the Galois covering $X(2) \to X(1)$ has Galois group $GL_2(F_2)$, which is isomorphic to $S_3$. Explicitly, we have generators:

$$\left\{
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\tau \pm 1) &= \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau) - 1}, \\
\lambda(-1/\tau) &= 1 - \lambda(\tau).
\end{aligned}
\right. \tag{25}$$

Applying these transformations to the above period expression around $\lambda = 0$ gives all period expressions around $\lambda = 0, 1, \infty$. We also require the following functional equations of the Dedekind eta function:

$$\left\{
\begin{aligned}
\eta(\tau \pm 1) &= e^{\pi i /12}\eta(\tau), \\
\eta(-1/\tau) &= \eta(\tau)\sqrt{-i\tau}.
\end{aligned}
\right. \tag{26}$$

**Theorem 6.8 ($\lambda = 1$ case).** Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{1-\lambda,0}$ of the open set $|1 - \lambda| < 1$. Then

$$\omega_1 = \frac{\pi i}{\tau} F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; 1 - \lambda\right)$$

up to a $12$th root of unity, where $\lambda = \lambda(\tau)$.

**Proof.** This is proven by applying the transformation $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ in Theorem 6.7 and using (25) and (26). \quad \square

**Theorem 6.9 ($\lambda = \infty$ case).** Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{1/\lambda,1}$ of the open set $|\lambda| > 1$. Then

$$\omega_1 = -\frac{\pi i}{\tau + 1} (1 - \lambda)^{-1/2}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}\right)$$

up to a $12$th root of unity, where $\lambda = \lambda(\tau)$.

**Proof.** This is proven by applying the transformation $\tau \mapsto \tau + 1$ in Theorem 6.7 and using (25) and (26). \quad \square
6.4. Case 3B. The fundamental domain we take in this case is
\[ \mathcal{F}_{3B} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z + 1/3| > 1/3, |z - 1/3| > 1/3, |\text{Re}(z)| < 1/2 \}. \]

Note that the transformation \( \tau \mapsto \tau + 1 \) stabilizes the cusp at \( \tau = \infty \), and \( u \) is invariant under the action of the congruence group \( \Gamma_0(3) \). Hence
\[
(A + B\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = (A + B(\tau + 1)) \Delta(\tau + 1)^{1/12} = (A + B(\tau + 1)) e^{\pi i/6} \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},
\]
that is, around \( \tau = \infty \),
\[
(A + B\tau) = (A + B(\tau + 1)) e^{\pi i/6}.
\]
Letting \( \tau \to \infty \), we obtain \( B = 0 \). Since around \( u = \infty \), one of the solutions is \((K \infty. A)|B)\)
\[
\bar{\omega} = u^{-1/12} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{u},
\]
we see that around \( \tau = \infty \),
\[
(27) \quad u^{-1/12} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{u} = A \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]
Using expression (8) for \( u \) and the well-known \( q \)-expansion
\[
\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} (1 - q + O(q^2)), \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau},
\]
we find that
\[
A = \frac{3^{1/4}}{2\pi} e^{-\pi i/12}
\]
up to a 12th root of unity.

**Theorem 6.10** (\( u = \infty \) case). Suppose \( \tau \) is in the connected component \( C_{1/u, \infty} \) of the open set \( |u| > 1 \). Then
\[
\omega_1 = \sqrt[3]{2\pi} u^{-1/3} (u - 1)^{-1/6} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{u}
\]
up to a 12th root of unity, where \( u = u(\tau) \).

**Proof.** Using the identity \( \Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_u) \) in (27), we obtain
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{2\pi}{3^{1/4}} e^{\pi i/12} u^{-1/12} \Delta(E_u)^{-1/12} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{u}
\]
\[
= \frac{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}}{3} u^{-1/3} (u - 1)^{-1/6} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{u}.
\]
\( \square \)

Similar to the above case, note that the transformation \( \tau \mapsto \frac{\tau}{3\tau + 1} \) stabilizes the cusp at \( \tau = 0 \). Hence
\[
(A + B\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau}{3\tau + 1} \right) \right) \Delta \left( \frac{\tau}{3\tau + 1} \right)^{1/12}
\]
\[
= \left( A + B \left( \frac{\tau}{3\tau + 1} \right) \right) e^{-\pi i/2} (3\tau + 1) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},
\]
that is, around \( \tau = 0 \),
\[
(A + B\tau) = (A(3\tau + 1) + B\tau) e^{-\pi i/2}.
\]
Letting \( \tau \to 0 \), we obtain \( A = 0 \). Since around \( u = 0 \), one of the solutions is \((K0. A)|B)\)
\[
\bar{\omega} = u^{1/4} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{u},
\]
we see that around \( \tau = 0 \),
\[
(28) \quad u^{1/4} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{3} \binom{1}{u} = B\tau \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.
\]
Using expression (8) for $u$ and the identity
$$\eta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau}\eta(\tau),$$
followed by the $q$-expansion
$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24}(1-q + O(q^2)), \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau},$$
we find that
$$B = \frac{3^{3/4}}{2\pi} e^{-\pi i/4}$$
up to a 4th root of unity.

**Theorem 6.11** ($u = 0$ case). Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{u,0}$ of the open set $|u| < 1$. Then
$$\omega_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3^{3/4}2\pi} (1-u)^{-1/6} F_1\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{3};u\right)$$
up to a 12th root of unity, where $u = u(\tau)$.

**Proof.** Using the identity $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_u)$ in (28), we obtain
$$\omega_1 = \frac{2\pi}{3^{3/4}2\pi} e^{\pi i/4} \Delta(E_u)^{-1/12} F_1\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{3};u\right)$$
$$\omega_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3^{3/4}2\pi} (1-u)^{-1/6} F_1\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{3};u\right).$$

Similar to the above case, note that the transformation $\tau \mapsto \frac{\tau + 1}{\tau - 1}$ stabilizes the point at $\tau = \mu + 1$. Hence
$$(A + B\tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12} = \left(A + B\left(\frac{\tau - 1}{3\tau - 2}\right)\right) \Delta\left(\frac{\tau - 1}{3\tau - 2}\right)^{1/12}$$
$$= \left(A + B\left(\frac{\tau - 1}{3\tau - 2}\right)\right) e^{-2\pi i/3} \Delta(\tau)^{1/12},$$
that is, around $\tau = \mu + 1$,
$$(A + B\tau) = (A(3\tau - 2) + B(\tau - 1)) e^{-2\pi i/3}.$$
Letting $\tau \to \mu + 1$, we obtain $A = \mu B$. Since around $u = 1$, one of the solutions is (K1.A.E)
$$\omega = u^{1/4} F_1\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3};\frac{2}{3};1-u\right),$$
we see that around $\tau = \mu + 1$,
$$(29) \quad u^{1/4} F_1\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3};\frac{2}{3};1-u\right) = B(\mu + \tau) \Delta(\tau)^{1/12}.$$ 
Letting $\tau \to \mu + 1$ in the above equation, we find that
$$B = \frac{1}{2\pi(2\mu + 1)\eta(\mu + 1)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \eta(\mu)^2} e^{-2\pi i/3}$$
$$= \frac{2\pi}{3^{3/4}12\pi(1/3)^3} e^{-\tau i/12}$$
up to a 4th root of unity, using [11, Proposition 6.1].

**Theorem 6.12** ($u = 1$ case). Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{1-u,\mu+1}$ of the open set $|1-u| < 1$. Then
$$\omega_1 = \frac{i\Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{2/3}3^{1/2}2\pi(\mu + \tau)(u - 1)^{-1/6}} F_1\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3};\frac{2}{3};1-u\right)$$
up to a 12th root of unity, where $u = u(\tau)$. 
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Proof. Using the identity $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta(E_u)$ in (29), we obtain
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{3^{1/4} \Gamma(1/3)^3}{2\pi(\mu + \tau)} e^{\tau \pi i/12} \Delta(E_u)^{-1/12} u^{1/4} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1 - u \right) = \frac{i \Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{3/2} 3^{1/2} \pi(\mu + \tau)} (u - 1)^{-1/6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1 - u \right).
\]
\[\square\]

6.5. Case 2A. If $\varpi(t)$ is a solution of the Picard-Fuchs differential equation for $\Gamma_0(2)$, then there are constants $A$ and $B$ such that
\[
\varpi(t) = A\varpi_1(t) + B\varpi_2(t) = (A + B\tau) \sqrt{\frac{dt}{d\tau}}.
\]
Note that the transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ stabilizes the cusp at $\tau = \infty$, and $t$ is invariant under the action of the congruence group $\Gamma_0(2)$. Hence
\[
(A + B\tau) \sqrt{v'(\tau)} = (A + B(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{v'(\tau + 1)} = -(A + B(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{v'(\tau)},
\]
that is, around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
(A + B\tau) = -(A + B(\tau + 1)).
\]
Letting $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain $B = 0$. Since around $t = \infty$, one of the solutions is $(\infty, A|B)$
\[
\varpi(t) = t^{1/4}(1 - t)^{1/4} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{t} \right),
\]
we see that around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
t^{1/4}(1 - t)^{1/4} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{t} \right) = A \sqrt{\frac{dt}{d\tau}}.
\]
If $\varpi(v)$ is a solution of the Picard-Fuchs differential equation for $\Gamma_0^+(2)$, then there are constants $C$ and $D$ such that
\[
\varpi(v) = C\varpi_1(v) + D\varpi_2(v) = (C + D\tau) \sqrt{\frac{dv}{d\tau}}.
\]
Similar to the above case, note that the transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ stabilizes the cusp at $\tau = \infty$, and $v$ is invariant under the action of the congruence group $\Gamma_0^+(2)$. Hence
\[
(C + D\tau) \sqrt{v'(\tau)} = (C + D(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{v'(\tau + 1)} = -(C + D(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{v'(\tau)},
\]
that is, around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
(C + D\tau) = -(C + D(\tau + 1)).
\]
Letting $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain $D = 0$. Since around $v = \infty$, one of the solutions is $(\infty, A|B)$
\[
\varpi(v) = v^{1/4}(1 - v)^{1/4} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{v} \right),
\]
we see that around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
v^{1/4}(1 - v)^{1/4} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{v} \right) = D \sqrt{\frac{dv}{d\tau}}.
\]

Lemma 3. The domain $C_{1/\varepsilon, \infty}$ is a subset of domain $C_{1/\varepsilon}$ for the following choice of fundamental domains for $\Gamma_0(2)$ and $\Gamma_0^+(2)$, respectively.

1. $\mathcal{F}_{2B} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z + 1/2| > 1/2, |z - 1/2| > 1/2 \}$ and $|\Re(z)| < 1/2$
2. $\mathcal{F}_{2A} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 1/2 \}$ and $|\Re(z)| < 1/2$
Proof. The value of the uniformizer $t$ transforms according to the identity
\[(32) \quad t \left( \frac{1}{2\tau} \right) = \frac{1}{t(\tau)},\]
under the Fricke involution $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{2\tau}$. From the definition of $\eta(\tau)$, we have that
\[\eta(-\tau) = \eta(\tau).\]
Combining this with expression (5) for $t(\tau)$, we obtain
\[(33) \quad t(-\tau) = t(\tau).\]
Let us define $\gamma$ as the closed arc $\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z|^2 = 1/2 \text{ and } \text{Re}(z) \leq 1/2 \}$. Using (32) and (33), we see that for $\tau \in \gamma$, we have
\[|t(\tau)| = 1.\]
Let $\mathfrak{H}^* = \mathfrak{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathbb{D} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$. The uniformizer $t$ gives a complex analytic isomorphism of Riemann surfaces $t : \Gamma_0(2) / \mathfrak{H}^* \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Identifying $\gamma$ as a simple closed curve $\bar{\gamma}$ in $\Gamma_0(2) / \mathfrak{H}^*$, we observe that the uniformizer $t$ restricted to $\bar{\gamma}$ defines a continuous map $t|_{\bar{\gamma}}$ from $\bar{\gamma}$ to the unit circle $\mathbb{D}$. The map must have a non-zero degree since it is injective. Therefore, the map is surjective. Thus, $t|_{\bar{\gamma}}$ is a homeomorphism from $\bar{\gamma}$ to the unit circle. This implies that $t(F_{2A}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ or $t(F_{2A}) = \mathbb{D}$. But since $t(\tau) \to \infty$ as $\tau \to \infty$, $t(F_{2A}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and therefore $C_{1/v, \infty} \subseteq F_{2A} = C_{1/v, \infty}$.

**Theorem 6.13 (v = \infty case).** Suppose $\tau$ is in the connected component $C_{1/v, \infty}$ of the open set $|v| > 1$. Then
\[
\left( \frac{t}{t-1} \right)^{1/4} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}; \frac{1}{1}; \frac{1}{v} \right) = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{t} \right)
\]
up to a 4th root of unity, where $v = v(\tau)$ and $t = t(\tau)$.

**Proof.** Dividing (31) by (30), we obtain
\[v^{1/4}(1-v)^{1/4} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}; \frac{1}{1}; \frac{1}{w} \right) = H t^{1/4}(1-t)^{1/4} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{t} \right) \sqrt{\frac{dv}{dt}},\]
where $H = D/A$ is a constant. Using expression (9) for $v$ and simplifying, we obtain
\[
\left( \frac{t}{t-1} \right)^{1/4} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}; \frac{1}{1}; \frac{1}{v} \right) = H 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{t} \right).
\]
Letting $\tau \to \infty$ in the above equation, we get
\[
H = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \left( \frac{t}{t-1} \right)^{1/4} = 1.\]

6.6. **Case 3A.** If $\bar{w}(u)$ is a solution of the Picard-Fuchs differential equation for $\Gamma_0(3)$, then there are constants $A$ and $B$ such that
\[
\bar{w}(u) = A\bar{w}_1(u) + B\bar{w}_2(u) = (A + B\tau) \sqrt{\frac{du}{d\tau}}.
\]
Note that the transformation $\tau \mapsto \tau + 1$ stabilizes the cusp at $\tau = \infty$, and $u$ is invariant under the action of the congruence group $\Gamma_0(3)$. Hence
\[
(A + B\tau) \sqrt{u'(\tau)} = (A + B(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{u'((\tau + 1)}
\]
\[
= - (A + B(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{u'(\tau)},
\]
that is, around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
(A + B\tau) = -(A + B(\tau + 1)).
\]
Letting $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain $B = 0$. Since around $u = \infty$, one of the solutions is $(K\infty.A|B)$
\[
\tilde{\varpi}(u) = u^{1/6}(1 - u)^{1/3} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{u} \right),
\]
we see that around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
(34) \quad u^{1/6}(1 - u)^{1/3} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{u} \right) = A \sqrt{\frac{du}{d\tau}}.
\]

If $\tilde{\varpi}(w)$ is a solution of the Picard-Fuchs differential equation for $\Gamma_0^+(3)$, then there are constants $C$ and $D$ such that
\[
\tilde{\varpi}(w) = C \tilde{\varpi}_1(w) + D \tilde{\varpi}_2(w) = (C + D\tau) \sqrt{\frac{d\tau}{d\tau}}.
\]

Similar to the above case, note that the transformation $\tau \mapsto \tau + 1$ stabilizes the cusp at $\tau = \infty$, and $w$ is invariant under the action of the congruence group $\Gamma_0^+(3)$. Hence
\[
(C + D\tau) \sqrt{h'/(\tau)} = (C + D(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{w'(\tau + 1)}
\]
\[
= -(C + D(\tau + 1)) \sqrt{w'(\tau)},
\]
that is, around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
(C + D\tau) = -(C + D(\tau + 1)).
\]
Letting $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain $D = 0$. Since around $w = \infty$, one of the solutions is $(K\infty.A|B)$
\[
\tilde{\varpi}(w) = w^{1/4}(1 - w)^{1/3} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{w} \right),
\]
we see that around $\tau = \infty$,
\[
(35) \quad w^{1/4}(1 - w)^{1/2} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{w} \right) = D \sqrt{\frac{dw}{d\tau}}.
\]

**Lemma 4.** The domain $C_{1/w,\infty}$ is a subset of domain $C_{1/u,\infty}$ for the following choice of fundamental domains for $\Gamma_0(3)$ and $\Gamma_0^+(3)$, respectively.

1. $\mathcal{F}_{3B} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - 1/3| > |1/3|, |z - 1/3| > |1/3|, |z - 1/3| > |1/3|, |z - 1/3| > |1/3| \}$
2. $\mathcal{F}_{3A} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 1/3, |z| > 1/3, |z| > 1/3, |z| > 1/3 \}$

**Proof.** The value of the uniformizer $u$ transforms according to the identity
\[
\tilde{u}(z) = \frac{1}{u(z)},
\]
under the Fricke involution $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{3\tau}$. From the definition of $\eta(\tau)$, we have that
\[
\eta(-\tau) = \overline{\eta(\tau)}.
\]
Combining this with expression (3) for $u(\tau)$, we obtain
\[
(36) \quad u(-\tau) = \overline{u(\tau)}.
\]
Let us define $\gamma$ as the closed arc $\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1/3 \}$. Using (36) and (37), we see that for $\tau \in \gamma$, we have
\[
|u(\tau)| = 1.
\]
Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$. The uniformizer $u$ gives a complex analytic isomorphism of Riemann surfaces $u: \Gamma_0(3) \backslash \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Identifying $\gamma$ as a simple closed curve $\gamma$ in $\Gamma_0(3) \backslash \mathcal{H}$, we observe that the uniformizer $u$ restricted to $\gamma$ defines a continuous map $u|\gamma$ from $\gamma$ into the unit circle. The map must have a non-zero degree since it is injective. Therefore, the map is surjective. Thus, $u|\gamma$ is a homeomorphism from $\gamma$ to the unit circle. This implies that $u(\mathcal{F}_{3A}) = C \setminus \mathcal{D}$ or $u(\mathcal{F}_{3A}) = \mathcal{D}$. But since $u(\tau) \to \infty$ as $\tau \to \infty$, $u(\mathcal{F}_{3A}) = C \setminus \mathcal{D}$ and therefore $C_{1/w,\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{3A} = C_{1/u,\infty}$. \qed
Theorem 6.14 \((w = \infty \text{ case})\). Suppose \(\tau\) is in the connected component \(C_{1/w, \infty}\) of the open set \(|w| > 1\). Then
\[
\left(\frac{u}{u-1}\right)^{1/3} F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{w}\right) = F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{w}\right)
\]
up to a 3rd root of unity, where \(w = w(\tau)\) and \(u = u(\tau)\).

Proof. Dividing (35) by (34), we obtain
\[
u^{1/4}(1-\nu)^{1/4} F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{w}\right) = H u^{1/6}(1-u)^{1/2} F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{u}\right) \sqrt{\frac{dw}{du}},
\]
where \(H = D/A\) is a constant. Using expression (10) for \(w\) and simplifying, we obtain
\[
\left(\frac{u}{u-1}\right)^{1/3} F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{w}\right) = H_2 F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{u}\right).
\]

Letting \(\tau \to \infty\) in the above equation, we get

\[
H = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \left(\frac{u}{u-1}\right)^{1/3} = 1.
\]

\(
\square
\)

7. Chudnovsky-Ramanujan Type Precursor Formulae

Suppose \(\tau\) satisfies \(a\tau^2 + b\tau + c = 0\) for mutually coprime integers \(a, b, c\) such that \(a > 0\) and \(-d = b^2 - 4ac\), that is,
\[
\tau = \frac{-b + \sqrt{-d}}{2a}.
\]

Let \(q = e^{2\pi i \tau}\) with \(\text{Im}(\tau) > 0\), and define
\[
E_2^*(\tau) = E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi \text{Im}(\tau)}, \quad s_2(\tau) = \frac{E_4(\tau)}{E_6(\tau)} E_2^*(\tau),
\]
where
\[
E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n q^n}{1-q^n},
E_4(\tau) = 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^3 q^n}{1-q^n},
E_6(\tau) = 1 - 504 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^5 q^n}{1-q^n}.
\]

It is known that \(E_2^*(\tau)\) is an almost holomorphic modular form of weight 2 \([28, \text{Section 5.3}]\). And \(E_4(\tau)\) and \(E_6(\tau)\) are modular forms of weight 4 and 6, respectively. Therefore, \(s_2(\tau)\) satisfies
\[
s_2\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = s_2(\tau)
\]
for \(\tau \in \{z \in \mathcal{H} : E_6(z) \neq 0\}\) and \(\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\).

From
\[
g_2(\tau) = \frac{4\pi^4 E_4(\tau)}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad g_3(\tau) = \frac{8\pi^6 E_6(\tau)}{27},
\]
and the fact that \(g_2(\tau) = \omega_1^4 g_2\) and \(g_3(\tau) = \omega_1^6 g_3\), we obtain
\[
\frac{3 g_3}{2g_2} = \frac{\omega_1^2 \pi^2}{3} E_6(\tau).
\]

Consider the elliptic curve \(E\) over \(\mathbb{C}\) given by
\[
E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3, \quad g_2^3 - 27 g_3^2 \neq 0,
\]
whose invariants $g_2 = A$ and $g_3 = B$ are functions of a parameter $\xi$ and whose periods and quasi-periods are $\omega = \omega_k$, $\eta = \eta_k$, with $k = 1, 2$, respectively. We established earlier in (16) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\omega}{d\xi} &= -Q\omega - P\eta, \\
\frac{d\eta}{d\xi} &= R\omega + Q\eta.
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\eta = -\frac{\omega'}{P}, \quad \omega' = \frac{d\omega}{dt}.
$$

Combining this with [11, Theorem 3.6], we obtain

$$
\omega_1\eta\text{Im}(\tau) - \omega_1^2\left[\text{Im}(\tau)\frac{3g_3}{2g_2}s_2(\tau)\right] = \pi,
$$

or

$$
-\omega_1\frac{\omega'_1 + Q\omega_1}{P} - \omega_1^2\left[\frac{3g_3}{2g_2}s_2(\tau)\right] = \frac{\pi}{\text{Im}(\tau)}.
$$

If $\omega_1 = k(\xi)F(\xi)$, where $F(\xi)$ is one of Kummer’s solutions and $k(\xi)$ is some function of $\xi$, then $\omega_1' = kF' + k' F$.

Therefore, (40) can be recast as

$$
-k^2 F^2\left(\frac{kQ + k'}{kP}\frac{3g_3}{2g_2}s_2(\tau)\right) - \frac{k^2}{2P} \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{\pi}{\text{Im}(\tau)}
$$

since $2FF' = (F^2)'$. This is equivalent to

$$
-k^2 F^2\left(\frac{kQ + k'}{kP} + (kF)^2\frac{\pi^2}{3}\left(E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi\text{Im}(\tau)}\right)\right) - \frac{k^2}{2P} \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{\pi}{\text{Im}(\tau)}
$$

in view of equation (39).

### 7.1. Case 2a.

**Lemma 5.** We have

$$
\frac{s'}{s} = \frac{9\omega_1^2}{\pi i}(s - 1),
$$

where $s = s(\tau)$ and $s' = \frac{ds}{d\tau}$.

**Proof.** Differentiating the logarithm of both sides of the modular relation (3) with respect to $\tau$, we obtain

$$
\frac{J'}{J} = \left(\frac{2s - 1}{s - 1}\right) \frac{s'}{s}.
$$

The logarithmic derivative of $J$ is given by [11, Section 2.4]

$$
\frac{J'}{J} = -2\pi i \frac{E_6(\tau)}{E_4(\tau)}.
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
\frac{s'}{s} = -2\pi i \left(\frac{s - 1}{2s - 1}\right) \frac{E_6(\tau)}{E_4(\tau)}.
$$

Using (39) in the above equation, we obtain

$$
\frac{s'}{s} = \frac{9\omega_1^2}{\pi i} \left(\frac{s - 1}{2s - 1}\right) \frac{g_3}{g_2}.
$$

Lastly, it follows from the expressions for $g_2$ and $g_3$ that

$$
\frac{s'}{s} = \frac{9\omega_1^2}{\pi i}(s - 1).
$$
Theorem 7.1 \((s = \infty \text{ case})\). If \(\tau\) is as in (38) and lies in \(C_{1/s, \infty}\), then
\[
F^2 \frac{2(2s-1) - (2s-1)s_2(\tau)}{6} - s(s-1) \frac{d}{ds}F^2 = \frac{2a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} s^{2/3}(s-1)^{1/3},
\]
where \(F = \psi(x + \tau ; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; s)\) and \(s = s(\tau)\).
\(\text{Proof.}\) Recall from Theorem 6.1 that
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{\pi}{3} s^{-1/3} (s-1)^{-1/6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right).
\]
Substituting the above expression for \(\omega_1\) into (40) gives the desired result. \(\square\)

Theorem 7.2 \((s = 0 \text{ case})\). If \(\tau\) is as in (38) and lies in \(C_{s, \rho}\), then
\[
F^2 \frac{2(2s-1)s_2(\tau) + s(s-1) \frac{d}{ds}F^2}{6} = \frac{2\pi^3 (1 + \rho + \tau)^3}{3\Gamma(1/3)\rho(2\rho + 1)^3} s^{1/3}(s-1)^{1/3} \left( \frac{1 + \rho + \tau}{\sqrt{d}} - i \right),
\]
where \(F = \psi(x + \tau ; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; s)\) and \(s = s(\tau)\).
\(\text{Proof.}\) Recall from Theorem 6.2 that
\[
\omega_0 = \frac{\pi}{3} s^{-1/3} (s-1)^{-1/6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right).
\]
Substituting the above expression for \(\omega_1\) into (40) gives
\[
F^2 \frac{2(2s-1)s_2(\tau) - 12(s-1)s}{6} + s(s-1) \frac{d}{ds}F^2 = \frac{2\pi^3 (1 + \rho + \tau)^3}{3\sqrt{d\Gamma(1/3)\rho(2\rho + 1)^3}} s^{1/3}(s-1)^{1/3}.
\]
Using Lemma 5 leads to the desired result. \(\square\)

Theorem 7.3 \((s = 1 \text{ case})\). If \(\tau\) is as in (38) and lies in \(C_{(s-1)/s, \rho, s+1}\), then
\[
F^2 \frac{2(2s-1)s_2(\tau) - 2(s-1)}{6} + s(s-1) \frac{d}{ds}F^2 = \frac{2\pi^3 (1 + \rho + \tau)^3}{3\sqrt{d\Gamma(1/3)\rho(2\rho + 1)^3}} s^{2/3}(s-1)^{1/3} \left( i - s \right),
\]
where \(F = \psi(x + \tau ; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; s)\) and \(s = s(\tau)\).
\(\text{Proof.}\) Recall from Theorem 6.3 that
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{(2s-1)s_2(\tau) - 2(s-1)}{2\pi^3 (1 + \rho + \tau)^3} s^{1/3} (s-1)^{-1/6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{s} \right).
\]
Substituting the above expression for \(\omega_1\) into (40) gives
\[
F^2 \frac{2(2s-1)s_2(\tau) - 12(s-1)s}{6} + s(s-1) \frac{d}{ds}F^2 = \frac{2\pi^3 (1 + \rho + \tau)^3}{3\sqrt{d\Gamma(1/3)\rho(2\rho + 1)^3}} s^{2/3}(s-1)^{1/3}.
\]
Using Lemma 5 leads to the desired result. \(\square\)

7.2. Case 2B.

Lemma 6. We have
\[
\frac{t'}{t} = \frac{9\omega_1^2}{\pi i} (t - 1),
\]
where \(t = t(\tau)\) and \(t' = \frac{dt}{d\tau}\).
\(\text{Proof.}\) Differentiating the logarithm of both sides of the modular relation (4) with respect to \(\tau\), we obtain
\[
\frac{J'}{J} = \left( \frac{t + 8}{t - 4} \right) \frac{t'}{t}.
\]
The logarithmic derivative of \(J\) is given by [11, Section 2.4]
\[
\frac{J'}{J} = -2\pi i \frac{E_0(\tau)}{E_4(\tau)}.
\]
Hence, it follows that
\[
\frac{t'}{t} = -2\pi i \left( \frac{t - 4}{t + 8} \right) E_6(\tau) E_4(\tau).
\]
Using (39) in the above equation, we obtain
\[
\frac{t'}{t} = \frac{9\omega^2}{\pi i} \left( \frac{t - 4}{t + 8} \right) g_3.
\]
Lastly, it follows from the expressions for \( g_2 \) and \( g_3 \) that
\[
\frac{t'}{t} = \frac{9\omega^2}{\pi i}(t - 1).
\]

\[\square\]

**Theorem 7.4** \((t = \infty \text{ case})\). If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{1/t, \infty} \), then
\[
\frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{t + 8}{t - 4} \right) s_2(\tau) \right] - t \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{t - 1}} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}} - i \right),
\]
where \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{t} \right) \) and \( t = t(\tau) \).

**Proof.** Recall from Theorem 6.4 that
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{t - 1/4}} \left( t - 1 \right)^{-1/4} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right).
\]
Substituting the above expression for \( \omega_1 \) into (40) gives the desired result.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 7.5** \((t = 0 \text{ case})\). If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{t,0} \), then
\[
\frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 2 + \left( \frac{t + 8}{t - 4} \right) s_2(\tau) \right] + t \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{2\pi}{\pi \sqrt{1 - t}} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}} - i \right),
\]
where \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right) \) and \( t = t(\tau) \).

**Proof.** Recall from Theorem 6.5 that
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{\pi}{3\tau} \left( 1 - t \right)^{-1/4} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; 1; t \right).
\]
Substituting the above expression for \( \omega_1 \) into (40) gives
\[
\frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 2 + \left( \frac{t + 8}{t - 4} \right) s_2(\tau) - \frac{12t}{\pi \sqrt{a}} \right] + t \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{2a}{\pi \sqrt{a}} \frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{1 - t}}.
\]
Using Lemma 6 leads to the desired result.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 7.6** \((t = 1 \text{ case})\). If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{1-t, (1+i)/2} \), then
\[
\frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 2 + \left( \frac{t + 8}{t - 4} \right) s_2(\tau) \right] + t \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{\pi^2(1 + i - 2i\tau)}{\Gamma(1/4)^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - 1}} \left( 2 - a \frac{1 + i - 2i\tau}{\sqrt{a}} \right),
\]
where \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; 1; 1 - t \right) \) and \( t = t(\tau) \).

**Proof.** Recall from Theorem 6.6 that
\[
\omega_1 = \frac{2\Gamma(1/4)^2}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( 1 - t \right)^{-1/4} \left( 1 + i - 2i\tau \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; 1; 1 - t \right).
\]
Substituting the above expression for \( \omega_1 \) into (40) gives
\[
\frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 2 + \left( \frac{t + 8}{t - 4} \right) s_2(\tau) + \frac{24t}{(1 - i - 2\tau) \sqrt{a}} \right] + t \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = -\frac{\pi^2 a}{\Gamma(1/4)^4 \sqrt{a}} \frac{(1 + i - 2i\tau)^2}{\sqrt{t - 1}}.
\]
Using Lemma 6 leads to the desired result.

\[\square\]
7.3. Case 2C.

**Theorem 7.7** \( \lambda = 0 \) case. If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{\lambda, \infty} \), then

\[
F = {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda \right) \text{ and } \lambda = \lambda(\tau).
\]

**Proof.** Recall from theorem 6.7 that

\[
\omega_1 = {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1; \lambda \right).
\]

Substituting the above expression for \( \omega_1 \) into (40) gives the desired result. \( \Box \)

7.4. Case 3B.

**Lemma 7.** We have

\[
\frac{u'}{u} = \frac{9\omega_1^2}{\pi i}(u - 1),
\]

where \( u = u(\tau) \) and \( u' = \frac{du}{d\tau} \).

**Proof.** Differentiating the logarithm of both sides of the modular relation (7) with respect to \( \tau \), we obtain

\[
\frac{J'}{J} = \frac{u'^2 + 18u - 27}{u(u - 9)} u'.
\]

The logarithmic derivative of \( J \) is given by [11, Section 2.4]

\[
\frac{J'}{J} = -2\pi i \frac{E_6(\tau)}{E_4(\tau)}.
\]

Hence, it follows that

\[
\frac{u'}{u} = -2\pi i \frac{(u - 1)(u - 9) E_6(\tau)}{u^2 + 18u - 27 E_4(\tau)}.
\]

Using (39) in the above equation, we obtain

\[
\frac{u'}{u} = \frac{9\omega_1^2}{\pi i} \frac{(u - 1)(u - 9) g_3}{u^2 + 18u - 27 g_2}.
\]

Lastly, it follows from the expressions for \( g_2 \) and \( g_3 \) that

\[
\frac{u'}{u} = \frac{9\omega_1^2}{\pi i}(u - 1).
\]

\( \Box \)

**Theorem 7.8** \( u = \infty \) case. If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{1/u, \infty} \), then

\[
F = {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{u-1} \right) \text{ and } u = u(\tau).
\]

**Proof.** Recall from Theorem 6.10 that

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3} u^{-1/3}(u - 1)^{-1/6} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{u} \right).
\]

Substituting the above expression for \( \omega_1 \) into (40) gives the desired result. \( \Box \)

**Theorem 7.9** \( u = 0 \) case. If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{u, 0} \), then

\[
F = {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; u \right) \text{ and } u = u(\tau).
\]

\[32\]
Proof. Recall from Theorem 6.11 that

\[ \omega_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3\sqrt[3]{\tau}} (1-u)^{-1/3} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; u \right). \]

Substituting the above expression for \( \omega_1 \) into (40) gives

\[ \frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 3(u-1) + \frac{u^2 + 18u - 27}{u-9} s_2(\tau) - \frac{12(u-1)u}{\tau} \frac{du}{d\tau} \right] + u(u-1) \frac{du}{du} F^2 = \frac{3\pi^2}{\pi \sqrt{d}} (1-u)^{1/3}. \]

Using Lemma 7 leads to the desired result. \( \square \)

**Theorem 7.10** (\( u = 1 \) case). If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{1-u,\mu+1} \), then

\[ \frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 3(u-1) + \frac{u^2 + 18u - 27}{u-9} s_2(\tau) \right] + u(u-1) \frac{du}{du} F^2 = \frac{16\pi^3 (\mu + \tau)}{3\Gamma(1/3)^6} (u-1)^{1/3} \left( a \frac{\mu + \tau}{\sqrt{d}} - \frac{3}{\sqrt{d}} \right), \]

where \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; 1-u \right) \) and \( u = u(\tau) \).

Proof. Recall from Theorem 6.12 that

\[ \omega_1 = \frac{i\Gamma(1/3)}{2^{3/2} 3^{1/3} \sqrt{\pi (\mu + \tau)}} (u-1)^{-1/3} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; 1-u \right). \]

Substituting the above expression for \( \omega_1 \) into (40) gives

\[ \frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 3(u-1) + \frac{u^2 + 18u - 27}{u-9} s_2(\tau) - \frac{12(u-1)u}{\mu + \tau} \frac{du}{d\tau} \right] + u(u-1) \frac{du}{du} F^2 = \frac{16\pi^3 a (\mu + \tau)^2}{3\sqrt{d \Gamma(1/3)^6}} (u-1)^{1/3}. \]

Using Lemma 7 leads to the desired result. \( \square \)

7.5. Case 2A.

**Theorem 7.11** (\( v = \infty \) case). If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{1/v,\infty} \), then

\[ \frac{F^2}{6} \left[ \frac{t + 2}{t + 1} - \frac{(t-1)(t+8)}{(t-4)(t+1)} s_2(\tau) \right] - v \frac{dv}{dv} F^2 = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} \frac{t-1}{t+1}, \]

where \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{v} \right) \), \( v = v(\tau) \), and \( t = t(\tau) \).

Proof. Let \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{v} \right) \) and \( G = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{v} \right) \). Recall from Theorem 6.13 that

\[ \left( \frac{t}{t-1} \right)^{1/4} F = G. \]

Squaring both sides gives

\[ \left( \frac{t}{t-1} \right)^{1/2} F^2 = G^2. \]

Differentiating both sides of the above equation with respect to \( t \), we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{t(t-1)^3} \right)^{1/2} F^2 + \left( \frac{t}{t-1} \right)^{1/2} \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{d}{dt} G^2. \]

We have

\[ v \frac{dv}{dt} F^2 = \frac{dv}{dt} \left( v \frac{dv}{dv} F^2 \right), \]

which is equivalent to

\[ \frac{d}{dt} F^2 = \frac{t + 1}{t(t-1)} \left( v \frac{dv}{dv} F^2 \right). \]

Using this in (43), we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{2(t+1)} F^2 + v \frac{dv}{dv} F^2 = \left( \frac{t(t-1)^3}{t+1} \right)^{1/2} \frac{d}{dt} G^2. \]
From Theorem 7.4, we know that
\[
\frac{G^2}{6} \left[ 1 - \frac{(t + 8)}{t - 4} \right] s_2(\tau) - t \frac{d}{dt} G^2 = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{t - 1}}.
\]
Multiplying the above equation by \( \frac{t - 1}{t + 1} \left( \frac{1}{2 - t} \right) \) and using (44), we obtain
\[
\frac{t - 1}{t + 1} \frac{F^2}{6} \left[ 1 - \frac{(t + 8)}{t - 4} \right] s_2(\tau) + \frac{1}{2(t + 1)} F^2 - w \frac{d}{dv} F^2 = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} \frac{t - 1}{t + 1},
\]
which can be recast to obtain the desired result.

\[\square\]

7.6. Case 3A.

**Theorem 7.12** \((w = \infty\) case). If \( \tau \) is as in (38) and lies in \( C_{1/w,\infty} \), then
\[
\frac{F^2}{6} \left[ \frac{u + 3}{u + 1} - \frac{u^2 + 18u - 27}{(u - 9)(u + 1)} \right] s_2(\tau) - w \frac{d}{dv} F^2 = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} \frac{u - 1}{u + 1},
\]
where \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{w} \right) \), \( w = w(\tau) \), and \( u = u(\tau) \).

**Proof.** Let \( F = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{w} \right) \) and \( G = 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{w} \right) \). Recall from Theorem 6.14 that
\[
\left( \frac{u}{u - 1} \right)^{1/3} F = G.
\]

Squaring both sides gives
\[
\left( \frac{u}{u - 1} \right)^{2/3} F^2 = G^2.
\]
Differentiating the above equation on both sides with respect to \( u \), we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{du} F^2 = \left( \frac{u}{u - 1} \right) w \frac{d}{dw} F^2.
\]
We have
\[
w \frac{d}{du} F^2 = \frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{u + 1}{u(u - 1)} \right) \frac{d}{dw} F^2,
\]
which is equivalent to
\[
\frac{d}{du} F^2 = \frac{u + 1}{u(u - 1)} \left( \frac{w}{dw} F^2 \right).
\]
Using this in (45), we obtain
\[
- \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{1}{u(u - 1)^5} \right)^{1/3} F^2 + \left( \frac{u}{u - 1} \right)^{2/3} \frac{d}{du} G^2 = \frac{d}{du} G^2.
\]
We have
\[
w \frac{d}{du} F^2 = \frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{u + 1}{u(u - 1)} \right) \frac{d}{dw} F^2,
\]
which is equivalent to
\[
\frac{d}{du} F^2 = \frac{u + 1}{u(u - 1)} \left( \frac{w}{dw} F^2 \right).
\]
Using this in (45), we obtain
\[
- \frac{2}{3} \frac{F^2}{3(u + 1)} + w \frac{d}{dw} F^2 = \frac{(u(u - 1)^5)^{1/3}}{u + 1} \frac{d}{du} G^2.
\]
From Theorem 7.8, we know that
\[
\frac{G^2}{6} \left[ (u - 1) - \frac{u^2 + 18u - 27}{u - 9} \right] s_2(\tau) - \frac{u(u - 1)}{\pi \sqrt{d}} \frac{G^2}{u + 1} = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} (u - 1)^{2/3}.
\]
Multiplying the above equation by \( \frac{1}{u + 1} \left( \frac{u - 1}{u} \right)^{2/3} \) and using (46), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{u + 1} \frac{F^2}{6} \left[ (u - 1) - \frac{u^2 + 18u - 27}{u - 9} \right] s_2(\tau) + \frac{2}{3(u + 1)} F^2 - w \frac{d}{dw} F^2 = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} \frac{u - 1}{u + 1},
\]
which can be recast to obtain the desired result.

\[\square\]
8. Singular values

It is known that $s_2(\tau)$ is rational at $\tau = \sqrt{-N}$ for $N = 2, 3, 4, 7$ and at $\tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-N}}{2}$ for $N = 7, 11, 19, 27, 43, 67, 163$. This follows from [14, Lemma 4.1] which is based on [25, §3, Chapter 6]. See also [7] for an explicit proof.

More generally, for $\tau$ as in (38), it is shown in [7], [25, §3, Chapter 6] that $s_2(\tau)$ lies in the extension $L = K(j(\tau))$ which is a ring class field extension of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ explicitly generated by a Hilbert class polynomial. The explicit nature of the proof in [7] shows that there exists a positive integer $M$ such that $M s_2(\tau)$ is an algebraic integer in $L$, where $M$ is explicitly bounded in terms of $d$. Since the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_L$ of $L$ embeds into Minkowski space as a lattice, there is an effective procedure to prove that $s_2(\tau)$ coincides with a candidate algebraic value in $L$. The explicit nature of the proof in [Case 2B. 8.2.]

In what follows, we note that the rational value may not be attained by the branch of uniformizer we have selected. In such a case, we have translated $\tau$ by a suitable coset representative for the congruence subgroup in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that the branch we have chosen attains the rational value.

8.1. Case 2a. Using the modular relation (3) and rational values of $J$, we can determine which singular values of $J$ give rise to a rational value of $s$. Table 1 gives rational values of $s(\tau)$ and $s_2(\tau)$ for $\tau$ in the fundamental domain of $\Gamma_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$s(\tau)$</th>
<th>$s_2(\tau)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sqrt{-1}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1 + \sqrt{-27}}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{125}{3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{160}{253}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1 + \sqrt{-27}}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{128}{3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{160}{253}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{253}{1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Special values of $s$ and $s_2$

8.2. Case 2B. Using the modular relation (4) and rational values of $J$, we can determine which singular values of $J$ give rise to a rational value of $t$. Table 2 gives the rational values of $t(\tau)$ and $s_2(\tau)$ for $\tau$ in the fundamental domain of $\Gamma_0(2)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$t(\tau)$</th>
<th>$s_2(\tau)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sqrt{-1}$</td>
<td>$-8$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-27}}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{11}{127}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sqrt{2}$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1 + \sqrt{-13}}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1 + \sqrt{-27}}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{64}{67}$</td>
<td>$\frac{83}{133}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}$</td>
<td>$4$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1 + \sqrt{-7}}{2}$</td>
<td>$64$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{21}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Special values of $t$ and $s_2$
8.3. **Case 2C.** Using the modular relation (6) and rational values of \( J \), we can determine which singular values of \( J \) give rise to a rational value of \( \lambda \). Table 3 gives the rational values of \( \lambda(\tau) \) and \( s_2(\tau) \) for \( \tau \) in the fundamental domain of \( \Gamma(2) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \tau )</th>
<th>( \lambda(\tau) )</th>
<th>( s_2(\tau) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \sqrt{-1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 1 + \sqrt{-1} )</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1 + \sqrt{-1}}{2} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Special values of \( \lambda \) and \( s_2 \)

8.4. **Case 3B.** Using the modular relation (7) and rational values of \( J \), we can determine which singular values of \( J \) give rise to a rational value of \( u \). Table 4 gives the rational values of \( u(\tau) \) and \( s_2(\tau) \) for \( \tau \) in the fundamental domain of \( \Gamma_0(3) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \tau )</th>
<th>( u(\tau) )</th>
<th>( s_2(\tau) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3} )</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>( \frac{9}{11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{11} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{160} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Special values of \( u \) and \( s_2 \)

8.5. **Case 2A.** Using the relations (4), (9) and algebraic values of \( J \) (of degree \( \leq 2 \)), we can determine which singular values of \( J \) give rise to rational values of \( v \). Table 5 gives rational values of \( v(\tau) \) and the corresponding values of \( t(\tau) \) and \( s_2(\tau) \) for \( \tau \) in the fundamental domain of \( \Gamma_0^+ (2) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \tau )</th>
<th>( v(\tau) )</th>
<th>( t(\tau) )</th>
<th>( s_2(\tau) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{2} )</td>
<td>81/256</td>
<td>( \frac{47 - 45\sqrt{-3}}{128} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{21} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{3} )</td>
<td>-9/16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{5} )</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>9 + 4( \sqrt{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{139 - 45\sqrt{5}}{115} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{6} )</td>
<td>-3969/256</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{21} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{9} )</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>97 + 56( \sqrt{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{29 - 15\sqrt{3}}{16} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{13}}{2} )</td>
<td>-324</td>
<td>649 + 180( \sqrt{13} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2015 - 125\sqrt{13}}{154} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{25}}{2} )</td>
<td>-25920</td>
<td>51841 + 23184( \sqrt{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6263 - 375\sqrt{5}}{677} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{29}}{2} )</td>
<td>-777924</td>
<td>1555849 + 255780( \sqrt{37} )</td>
<td>( \frac{124170985 - 2054375\sqrt{37}}{23641422} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sqrt{-2} )</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-17 - 12( \sqrt{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{23 - 16\sqrt{2}}{46} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sqrt{-2} )</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>-161 - 72( \sqrt{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{103 - 12\sqrt{5}}{186} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sqrt{-2} )</td>
<td>2401</td>
<td>-4801 - 1960( \sqrt{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{712075 - 49230\sqrt{6}}{1078340} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sqrt{-2} )</td>
<td>9801</td>
<td>-19601 - 13860( \sqrt{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{25355 - 2625\sqrt{2}}{36498} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sqrt{-2} )</td>
<td>96059601</td>
<td>-192110201 - 35675640( \sqrt{29} )</td>
<td>( \frac{842183255 - 120803060\sqrt{29}}{10376469642} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sqrt{-2} )</td>
<td>81/32</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Special values of \( v \), \( t \), and \( s_2 \)

8.6. **Case 3A.** Using the relations (7), (10) and algebraic values of \( J \) (of degree \( \leq 2 \)), we can determine which singular values of \( J \) give rise to rational values of \( w \). Table 6 gives rational values of \( w(\tau) \) and the corresponding values of \( u(\tau) \) and \( s_2(\tau) \) for \( \tau \) in the fundamental domain of \( \Gamma_0^+ (3) \).
The entries marked - in the \( s_2(\tau) \) column in Tables 1–6 correspond to the points at which \( s_2(\tau) \) is not well defined, or equivalently \( E_6(\tau) = 0 \). We use the alternate form \((49)\) of precursor formula to obtain Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formulae at points where \( s_2(\tau) = 0 \) or undefined. The values of \( E_2'(\tau) \) (which are given with proof in the discussion below) are required to obtain the final formulae at such \( \tau \).

It is known that \( E_2(\tau) \) is a quasi-modular form that satisfies \([28, \text{Section 2.3}]\)

\[
E_2 \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = (c\tau + d)^2 E_2(\tau) - \frac{6i}{\pi} c(c\tau + d)
\]

for \( \tau \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). Setting \( g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) in \((47)\), we obtain

\[
E_2(\tau + 1) = E_2(\tau)
\]

for \( \tau \in \mathcal{H} \). And setting \( g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) in \((47)\), we obtain

\[
E_2 \left( -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \tau^2 E_2(\tau) - \frac{6i}{\pi} \tau
\]

for \( \tau \in \mathcal{H} \). We will now derive some special values of \( E_2(\tau) \) and \( E_2'(\tau) \) using the above identities which we will need later. Setting \( \tau = i \) in \((49)\) gives \( E_2(i) = 3/\pi \). Therefore, using \((48)\), we have \( E_2(i+1) = 3/\pi \). Setting \( \tau = \rho \) in \((49)\) and using \((48)\) gives \( E_2(\rho) = 2\sqrt{3}/\pi \) and \( E_2(\rho + 1) = 2\sqrt{3}/\pi \). Using these values of \( E_2(\tau) \) in the definition of \( E_2'(\tau) \), we find that \( E_2'(\tau) = 0 \) for \( \tau = i, i+1, \rho, \rho + 1 \).

9. Examples

The derivative of the hypergeometric function with \( z \) in its disc of convergence is given by

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \; _2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \frac{ab}{c} \; _2F_1(a+1, b+1; c+1; z).
\]

Therefore, the derivative term in the precursor formula can be written as

\[
\frac{d}{d\xi} \; _2F_1(a, b; c; \nu(\xi))^2 = 2 \left[ \frac{d}{d\xi} \nu(\xi) \right] \; _2F_1(a, b; c; \nu(\xi)) \frac{ab}{c} \; _2F_1(a+1, b+1; c+1; \nu(\xi)),
\]

where \( \nu(\xi) \) is one of the six possible expressions.
9.1. **Case 2a.** The values \( \tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{27}}{2} \) are such that \( s(\tau) \) is rational, are as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1/s,\infty} \). Thus, Theorem 7.1 holds for these values of \( \tau \). We state both possible identities below.

\[
\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{27}}{2} ;
\]

(50) \[
\frac{1}{8} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; 1; -\frac{3}{125} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{250} F_2 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; 1; -\frac{3}{125} \right) F_1 \left( \frac{7}{6}; \frac{3}{2}; 2; -\frac{3}{125} \right) = \frac{25}{2523\sqrt{24}4\pi} .
\]

\[
\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{27}}{2} ;
\]

(51) \[
\frac{3}{25} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{3}{128} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{250} F_2 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{3}{128} \right) F_1 \left( \frac{7}{6}; \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{3}{128} \right) = \frac{2^{21/3}27}{31^2754\pi} .
\]

Using (39) in Theorem 7.2 yields

\[
\frac{\pi^2 \omega_1^2 F^2}{27} E_2^*(\tau) + s(s-1) \frac{d}{ds} F^2 = \frac{2^{11/3}\pi^3(1+\rho+\tau)}{3\Gamma(1/3)^6\rho(2\rho+1)^2} s^{1/3}(s-1)^{1/3} \left( \frac{1+\rho+\tau}{\sqrt{d}} - i \right),
\]

where \( \omega_1 \) is as in Theorem 6.2. The value \( \tau = i \) is such that \( s(\tau) \) is rational, is as in (38), and lies in \( C_{s,\rho} \). Thus the above equation holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.

\[
\tau = i ;
\]

(52) \[
\frac{3}{16} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{3}; 2 \right) F_2 \left( \frac{7}{6}; \frac{5}{3}; \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{4\pi^3}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^6} .
\]

The value \( \tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{27}}{2} \) is such that \( s(\tau) \) is rational, is as in (38), and lies in \( C_{(s-1)/s,\infty} \). So Theorem 7.3 holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.

\[
\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{27}}{2} ;
\]

(53) \[
\frac{125}{512} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; 125 \right) F_2 \left( \frac{7}{6}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{128} \right) - 5 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{5}; 125 \right) = \frac{2^{11/3}2560\pi^3}{3^{1/2}27\pi} .
\]

Using (39) in Theorem 7.3 yields

\[
\frac{\pi^2 \omega_1^2 F^2}{27} E_2^*(\tau) - \frac{F^2}{3} (s-1) + s(s-1) \frac{d}{ds} F^2 = 2^{11/3}\pi^3(\rho+\tau)^2 s^{1/3}(s-1)^{1/3} \left( i - \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\alpha+\tau} \right),
\]

where \( \omega_1 \) is as in Theorem 6.3. The value \( \tau = i \) is such that \( s(\tau) \) is rational, is as in (38), and lies in \( C_{(s-1)/s,\rho} \). So the above equation holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.

\[
\tau = i ;
\]

(54) \[
\frac{1}{6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; -1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_2 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; -1 \right) F_1 \left( \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; -1 \right) = \frac{25^{5/3}\pi^3}{9\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^5} .
\]

9.2. **Case 2B.** Setting \( a = \frac{1}{4}, b = \frac{1}{3} \) in Clausen’s formula [1, p. 116], we obtain

\[
2 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4} \right) = 3 F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

Using the above identity, Theorem 7.4 reduces to

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6} \right) s_2(\tau) + n \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_n t^{-n} = \frac{a}{\pi\sqrt{d}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{t-1}} .
\]

The values \( \tau = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{27}}{2} \) are such that \( t(\tau) \) is rational, are as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1/t,\infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for these values of \( \tau \). We state both possible identities below.
\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}}{2}; \]

(57) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{4} + n \right) \left( \frac{2n}{n!} \right)^3 2^{-6n} = \frac{1}{2\pi}, \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{7}}{2}; \]

(58) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{5}{42} + n \right) \left( \frac{2n}{n!} \right)^3 2^{-12n} = \frac{8}{21\pi}, \]

Using (39) in (56) yields

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\pi^2 \omega_1^2}{27(t-1)} E_2'(\tau) + n \right) \left( \frac{1}{n!} \right)^3 \tau^n = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{\tau}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{t-1}} \]

where \( \omega_1 \) is as in Theorem 6.4. The values \( \tau = \sqrt{-1}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{7}}{2} \) are such that \( t(\tau) \) is rational, are as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1/0,\infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for these values of \( \tau \). We state both possible identities below.

\[ \tau = \sqrt{-1}; \]

(59) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{6} + n \right) \left( \frac{2n}{n!} \right)^3 2^{-9n} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\pi}, \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{7}}{2}; \]

(60) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} + n \right) \left( \frac{2n}{n!} \right)^3 2^{-8n} = \frac{2}{3\pi}. \]

After using (55), Theorem 7.5 reduces to

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{t+8}{t-4} \right) s_2(\tau) + n \right) \left( \frac{1}{n!} \right)^3 \tau^n = \frac{2\tau}{\pi \sqrt{1+\tau}} \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{\tau}} - i \right). \]

The values \( \tau = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{8} \) are such that \( t(\tau) \) is rational, are as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1/0,\infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for these values of \( \tau \). The identities obtained in this case are the same as those obtained in the \( t = \infty \) case. We obtain (59), (57), (60), (58) for \( \tau = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{8} \), respectively.

The values \( \tau = \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{4}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{8} \) are such that \( t(\tau) \) is rational, are as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1-t,(1+i)/2} \). Thus Theorem 7.6 holds for these values of \( \tau \). We state both possible identities below.

\[ \tau = \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{4}; \]

(61) \[ \frac{1}{16} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \right) F_1 \left( \frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{6} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \right)^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{3\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^2}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{8}; \]

(62) \[ \frac{1}{256} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{63}{64} \right) F_1 \left( \frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{63}{64} \right) - \frac{5}{42} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{63}{64} \right)^2 = \frac{16\pi^2}{7\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^2}. \]

9.3. Case 2C. Using (39) in Theorem 7.7 yields

\[ \frac{2a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} = \frac{F^2}{3} \left[ (1 - 2\lambda) - \pi^2 \omega_1^2 E_2'(\tau) \right] + \lambda (1 - \lambda) \frac{d F^2}{d\lambda}, \]

where \( \omega_1 \) is as in Theorem 6.7. The values \( \tau = \sqrt{-1}, 1 + \sqrt{-1} \) are such that \( \lambda(\tau) \) is rational, are as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1/0,\infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for these values of \( \tau \). We state both possible identities below.
\( \tau = \sqrt{-1}: \)

\[
2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -1\right) 2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; -1\right) = \frac{8}{\pi}.
\]  

\( \tau = 1 + \sqrt{-1}: \)

\[
2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -1\right)^2 - 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -1\right) 2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; -1\right) = \frac{1}{\pi}.
\]  

9.4. **Case 3B.** The value \( \tau = \sqrt{-3} \) is such that \( u(\tau) \) is rational, as in (38), and lies in \( C_{1/u, \infty} \). Thus Theorem 7.8 holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.

\( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} : \)

\[
\frac{2}{3} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; -1\right)^2 - \frac{4}{9} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; -1\right) 2F_1\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; 2; -1\right) = \frac{3^{1/2}}{2\sqrt{3} \pi}.
\]

Using (39) in Theorem 7.8 yields

\[
\frac{F^2}{6} \left( u(1) - \frac{2\pi^2 u^2}{9} E^2_1(\tau) \right) + u(1) \frac{d}{du} F^2 = a \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\pi} u^{2/3} (u - 1)^{1/3},
\]

where \( \omega_1 \) is as in Theorem 6.10. The value \( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \) is such that \( u(\tau) \) is rational, as in (38), and lies in \( C_{1/u, \infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.

\( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} : \)

\[
\frac{4}{3} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; -1\right)^2 + \frac{16}{81} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; -1\right) 2F_1\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; 2; -1\right) = \frac{6^{5/2}}{\pi}.
\]

The values \( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{6} \) are such that \( u(\tau) \) is rational, as in (38), and lie in \( C_{u, 0} \). Thus Theorem 7.9 holds for these values of \( \tau \). The identities obtained in this case are the same as those obtained in the \( u = \infty \) case. We obtain (65), (66) for \( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{6} \), respectively.

The value \( \tau = \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{6} \) is such that \( u(\tau) \) is rational, as in (38), and lies in \( C_{1-u, \nu+1} \). Thus Theorem 7.10 holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.

\( \tau = \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{6} : \)

\[
\frac{4}{27} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 2; 8\right)^2 + \frac{8}{243} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; 2; 8\right) 2F_1\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; 3; 8\right) = \frac{64\pi^3}{3^{1/6} 27\Gamma(1/3)^6}.
\]

9.5. **Case 2A.** Setting \( a = \frac{1}{8}, b = \frac{3}{4} \) in Clausen’s formula [1, p. 116], we obtain

\[
2F_1\left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}; 1; \frac{1}{v}\right)^2 = 3F_2\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; \frac{1}{v}\right).
\]

Using the above identity, Theorem 7.11 reduces to

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{n+1}\right) = \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{t - 1}{t + 1}\right) \frac{\xi_2(\tau)}{n^{1/3} \pi \sqrt{n + 1}} + \frac{t - 1}{n^{1/3} \pi \sqrt{n + 1}} t_1(\tau).
\]

The values \( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \) are such that \( u(\tau) \) is rational, as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1/v, \infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for these values of \( \tau \). We state all the possible identities below.

\( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} : \)

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\frac{3}{20} + n\right) \left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) n^{1/3} \pi = \frac{2}{5\pi}.
\]
\[ \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{7}}{2}; \]

(69) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \frac{8}{65} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} \left( \frac{3969}{256} \right)^n = \frac{9\sqrt{7}}{65\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{2}; \]

(70) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \frac{3}{28} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 48^{-n} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{21\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{15}}{2}; \]

(71) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \frac{23}{260} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 324^{-n} = \frac{18}{65\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{25}}{2}; \]

(72) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \frac{41}{644} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 25920^{-n} = \frac{72\sqrt{7}}{805\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}; \]

(73) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1123}{21460} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 777924^{-n} = \frac{882}{5365\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2}; \]

(74) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{8} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 2^{-n} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{18}}{2}; \]

(75) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{10} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 81^{-n} = \frac{9\sqrt{2}}{40\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{22}}{2}; \]

(76) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3}{40} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 2401^{-n} = \frac{49\sqrt{3}}{360\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{38}}{2}; \]

(77) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{19}{280} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 9801^{-n} = \frac{9\sqrt{11}}{140\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{52}}{2}; \]

(78) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1103}{26390} + n \right) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} 96059601^{-n} = \frac{9801\sqrt{2}}{105560\pi}. \]

Using (39) in (9.5) yields

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} \frac{t + 2}{t + 1} \right) - \frac{\pi^2 \omega_1^2}{27(t + 1)} E^2_{\tau}(\tau) + n \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} \frac{v^{-n}}{v} = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d}} \frac{t - 1}{t + 1}. \]

where \( \omega_1 \) is as in Theorem 6.4. The value \( \tau = \sqrt{-1} \) is such that \( v(\tau) \) is rational, as in (38), and lies in \( C_{1/v, \infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.
\[ \tau = \sqrt{-1}; \]

(79) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{7} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( \frac{81}{32} \right)^{-n} = \frac{9}{14\pi}. \]

9.6. Case 3A. Setting \( a = \frac{1}{b}, b = \frac{1}{w} \) in Clausen's formula [1, p. 116], we obtain

\[ 2F_{1}\left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{w} \right)^{2} = 3F_{2}\left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; 1, 1; \frac{1}{w} \right). \]

Using the above identity, Theorem 7.12 reduces to

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{u + 3}{u + 1} \right) - \frac{1}{u^{2}} + \frac{18}{6(u - 9)(u + 1)} \right) \frac{2\left(n\right)}{3} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{n^{13}} \frac{(\frac{2}{3})}{n^{-1}} \frac{(\frac{81}{32})}{n^{-1}} w^{-n} = \frac{a}{\pi\sqrt{d}} \frac{u - 1}{u + 1}. \]

The values \( \tau = \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3}, \frac{\sqrt{-12}}{3}, \frac{\sqrt{-36}}{3}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{-31}}{6}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{-77}}{6}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{-123}}{6}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{-167}}{6}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{-267}}{6} \) are such that \( w(\tau) \) is rational, are as in (38), and lie in \( C_{1/\nu, \infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for these values of \( \tau \). We state all the possible identities below.

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3}; \]

(80) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( \frac{81}{32} \right)^{-n} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{-12}}{3}; \]

(81) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{15} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( \frac{27}{2} \right)^{-n} = \frac{9}{20\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{\sqrt{-36}}{3}; \]

(82) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4}{33} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( \frac{125}{4} \right)^{-n} = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{22\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-3+\sqrt{-31}}{6}; \]

(83) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{7}{51} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( \frac{81}{16} \right)^{-n} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{17\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-3+\sqrt{-77}}{6}; \]

(84) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{9} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{37}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( \frac{250000}{80} \right)^{-n} = \frac{4\sqrt{15}}{45\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-3+\sqrt{-123}}{6}; \]

(85) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{53}{615} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{37}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( 1024 \right)^{-n} = \frac{32\sqrt{3}}{205\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-3+\sqrt{-167}}{6}; \]

(86) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{13}{165} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{37}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( 3024 \right)^{-n} = \frac{36\sqrt{7}}{385\pi}. \]

\[ \tau = \frac{-3+\sqrt{-267}}{6}; \]

(87) \[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{827}{14151} + n \right) \left( \frac{\frac{1}{37}}{n^{13}} \right) \left( \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{n} \right) \left( 250000 \right)^{-n} = \frac{500\sqrt{3}}{4717\pi}. \]
Using (39) in (9.6) yields

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} \frac{u+3}{u+1} \right) - \frac{\pi^2 \omega_1^2}{27(u+1)} E_n^2(\tau) + n \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n^3} - \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n^3} \right) \right) w^{-n} = \frac{a}{\pi \sqrt{d} u + 1},
\]

where \( \omega_1 \) is as in Theorem 6.10. The value \( \tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{2} \) is such that \( w(\tau) \) is rational, is as in (38), and lies in \( C_{1/w, \infty} \). Thus the above equation holds for this value of \( \tau \). We state the identity obtained below.

\[
\tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}}{2}:
\]

\[
(88) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{3} + n \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n^3} \right) \left( \frac{16}{9} \right) w^{-n} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{15\pi}
\]

10. Ramanujan Type Series and Uniqueness Results

In this section, we categorize the type of series and formulae for \( 1/\pi \) studied in this paper and the literature, and also give examples of uniqueness results for Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type precursor formulae. In [10], a rational series for \( 1/\pi \) is defined to be a series in rational numbers which converges to \( C/\pi \) for some algebraic number \( C \). This is rather general, so we define a (rational) Ramanujan type series for \( \pi \) to be a polynomial expression with rational coefficients in rational evaluations of generalized hypergeometric functions which equals \( C/\pi \) for some algebraic number \( C \).

As mentioned in the introduction, our list of Clausen cases coincides exactly with the list of single summation formulae for \( 1/\pi \) in [10]. The exact correspondence is

(1) Case 1A (Clausen cases only) ↔ [10, Table 3]
(2) Case 2A (Clausen cases only) ↔ [10, Table 4]
(3) Case 3A (Clausen cases only) ↔ [10, Table 5]
(4) Case 2B (Clausen cases only) ↔ [10, Table 6].

Let \( \xi = \xi(\tau) \) be a uniformizer for a non-compact arithmetic triangle group. A Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type precursor formula for transcendental constant \( K \) is an identity of the form

\[
(89) \quad \gamma + \beta F^2 + \alpha \frac{d}{d\xi} F^2 = K,
\]

which is valid for \( \tau \) in some simply-connected domain in \( \mathcal{S} \), where \( F = {}_2F_1(a, b; c; \nu(\xi)) \) and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) lie in the field \( \mathbb{Q}(\xi)(s_2(\tau), \tau, \bar{\tau}) \). A Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formula is the evaluation of a Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type precursor formula at an imaginary quadratic irrational.

Below we give two examples of uniqueness results which can be deduced from the determination of exceptional sets of hypergeometric functions.

**Proposition.** Let \( F = {}_2F_1(\frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}) \) where \( J = J(\tau) \) is the absolute Klein \( J \)-invariant. Fix \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(J)(s_2(\tau), \tau, \bar{\tau}) \). Then there is at most one Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type precursor formula for \( \frac{\pi^2}{\Gamma(1/4)} \) of the form

\[
\gamma + \beta F^2 + \alpha \frac{d}{dJ} F^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{\Gamma(1/4)}
\]

where \( \beta \in \mathbb{Q}(J)(s_2(\tau), \tau) \) and \( \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(J)(s_2(\tau), \tau, \bar{\tau}) \).

**Proof.** Suppose we have two such formulae. Then taking the difference leads to a relation of the form

\[
\gamma' + \beta' F^2 = 0.
\]

The exceptional set of \( F \) is determined in [3] as

\[
\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{Q}(i) \cap \mathcal{S} : \left| \frac{J(\tau) - 1}{J(\tau)} \right| < 1 \right\}.
\]

Evaluating at a suitable imaginary quadratic irrational not in \( \mathcal{E} \) leads to a contradiction. \( \square \)
**Proposition.** Let $F = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}; \frac{1}{3}; J\right)$ where $J = J(\tau)$ is the Klein $J$-invariant. Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(J)(s_2(\tau), \tau, \bar{\tau})$. Then there is at most one Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type precursor formula for $\frac{\pi^3}{\Gamma(1/3)^6}$ of the form

$$\gamma + \beta F^2 + \alpha \frac{d}{dJ} F^2 = \frac{\pi^3}{\Gamma(1/3)^6},$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(J)(s_2(\tau), \tau)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(J)(s_2(\tau), \tau, \bar{\tau})$.

**Proof.** Suppose we have two such formulae. Then taking the difference leads to a relation of the form

$$\gamma' + \beta' F^2 = 0.$$

The exceptional set of $F$ is determined in [3] as

$$E = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \cap \mathbb{S}: \left| \frac{J(\tau)}{J(\tau) - 1} \right| < 1 \right\}.$$

Evaluating at a suitable imaginary quadratic irrational not in $E$ leads to a contradiction. \hfill $\Box$

### 11. Appendix: Kummer’s 24 solutions

Below is the list of Kummer’s 24 solutions to the hypergeometric differential equation, which is labelled in a consistent way and grouped into equivalence classes under Euler and Pfaff transformations. We mention [16] for a modern account of this topic which has implications for the $p$-adic theory of the hypergeometric differential equation.

- (K0.A) $\quad {}_2F_1\left(a, b; c; \xi\right),$
- (K0.A.E) $\quad (1 - \xi)^{c - a - b} {}_2F_1\left(c - a, c - b; c; \xi\right),$
- (K0.A.P1) $\quad (1 - \xi)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, c - b; c; \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1}\right),$
- (K0.A.P2) $\quad (1 - \xi)^{-b} {}_2F_1\left(b, c - a; c; \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1}\right),$
- (K0.B) $\quad \xi^{1-c} {}_2F_1\left(a - c + 1, b - c + 1; 2 - c; \xi\right),$
- (K0.B.E) $\quad \xi^{1-c} (1 - \xi)^{c - a - b} {}_2F_1\left(1 - a, 1 - b; 2 - c; \xi\right),$
- (K0.B.P1) $\quad \xi^{1-c} (1 - \xi)^{c - a - 1} {}_2F_1\left(a - c + 1, 1 - b; 2 - c; \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1}\right),$
- (K0.B.P2) $\quad \xi^{1-c} (1 - \xi)^{c - b - 1} {}_2F_1\left(b - c + 1, 1 - a; 2 - c; \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1}\right),$
- (K∞.A) $\quad \xi^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, a - c + 1; a - b + 1; \frac{1}{\xi}\right),$
- (K∞.A.E) $\quad \xi^{b-c} (1 - \xi)^{c - a - b} {}_2F_1\left(1 - b, c - b; a - b + 1; \frac{1}{\xi}\right),$
- (K∞.A.P1) $\quad (1 - \xi)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, c - b; a - b + 1; \frac{1}{1 - \xi}\right),$
- (K∞.A.P2) $\quad \xi^{1-c} (1 - \xi)^{c - a - 1} {}_2F_1\left(a - c + 1, 1 - b; a - b + 1; \frac{1}{1 - \xi}\right),$
$$\xi^{-b} F_1\left(b, b-c+1; b-a+1; \frac{1}{\xi}\right),$$

$$\xi^{a-c} (1-\xi)^{c-a-b} F_2\left(1-a, c-a; b-a+1; \frac{1}{\xi}\right),$$

$$(1-\xi)^{-b} F_1\left(b, c-a; b-a+1; \frac{1}{1-\xi}\right),$$

$$\xi^{1-c} (1-\xi)^{c-b-1} F_2\left(b-c+1, 1-a; b-a+1; \frac{1}{1-\xi}\right),$$

$$2 F_1\left(a, b; a+b-c+1; 1-\xi\right),$$

$$\xi^{-a} F_1\left(a, a-c+1; a+b-c+1; \frac{\xi-1}{\xi}\right),$$

$$\xi^{-b} F_1\left(b, b-c+1; a+b-c+1; \frac{\xi-1}{\xi}\right),$$

$$(1-\xi)^{c-a-b} F_1\left(c-a, c-b; c-a-b+1; 1-\xi\right),$$

$$\xi^{1-c} (1-\xi)^{c-a-b} F_2\left(1-a, 1-b; c-a-b+1; 1-\xi\right),$$

$$\xi^{a-c} (1-\xi)^{c-a-b} F_2\left(1-a, c-a; c-a-b+1; \frac{\xi-1}{\xi}\right),$$

$$\xi^{b-c} (1-\xi)^{c-a-b} F_2\left(1-b, c-b; c-a-b+1; \frac{\xi-1}{\xi}\right).$$
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