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Abstract. We characterize all signed Minkowski sums that define generalized permutahedra, extending results of Ardila-Benedetti-Doker (2010). We use this characterization to give a complete classification of all positive, translation-invariant, symmetric Minkowski linear functionals on generalized permutahedra. We show that they form a simplicial cone and explicitly describe their generators. We apply our results to prove that the linear coefficients of Ehrhart polynomials of generalized permutahedra, which include matroid polytopes, are non-negative, verifying conjectures of De Loera-Haws-Koeppe (2009) and Castillo-Liu (2018) in this case. We also apply this technique to give an example of a solid angle polynomial of a generalized permutahedron that has negative linear term and obtain inequalities for beta invariants of contractions of matroids.

1. Introduction

Generalized permutahedra form a combinatorially rich class of polytopes that naturally appear in many areas of mathematics such as combinatorics, geometry, representation theory, optimization and statistics (see, e.g., [3, 11, 18, 20, 24, 30, 31, 33, 34]). Introduced by Postnikov [34] as deformations of the permutahedron, they comprise many other significant classes of polytopes, such as matroid polytopes, associahedra and Stanley-Pitman polytopes, and have been shown to be equivalent to \( M \)-convex polyhedra in discrete analysis [32] and polymatroids in optimization which have been intensively studied since the 1970s [16, 19]. Generalized permutahedra are sufficiently special to admit a thorough description of their geometry as witnessed for instance by the discovery of Aguiar-Ardila of a Hopf monoid structure on generalized permutahedra [1], but also general enough to be widely applicable and to serve as useful test cases for conjectures in polyhedral combinatorics. In recent years, different groups of authors have explored generalizations of this class, leading to generalized nested permutahedra [10] and generalized Coxeter permutahedra [4].

Recall that the (standard) permutahedron \( \Pi_d \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is the \((d-1)\)-dimensional polytope

\[
\Pi_d = \text{conv}\{(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \ldots, \sigma(d)) : \sigma \in S_d\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d
\]

where \( S_d \) denotes the group of permutations on \([d] = \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}\). There are many equivalent ways of defining generalized permutahedra, the most concise one being via Minkowski summands of the permutahedron. The Minkowski sum of two polytopes \( P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is the polytope defined as the vector sum

\[
P + Q = \{p + q : p \in P, q \in Q\}.
\]
A polytope \( R \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is called a Minkowski summand of another polytope \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) if there is a polytope \( P \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( P + R = Q \). We also call \( R \) the Minkowski difference of \( Q \) and \( P \) and use the notation \( R = Q - P \). Further, the polytope \( R \) is called a weak Minkowski summand of \( Q \) if it is a Minkowski summand of a dilate \( \lambda Q \) for some \( \lambda > 0 \).

**Definition 1.1.** A polytope \( P \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is called a generalized permutahedron if it is a weak Minkowski summand of the permutahedron \( \Pi_d \).

In [34], Postnikov studied the subclass of generalized permutahedra consisting of Minkowski sums of dilated standard simplices. Let \( \Delta_\emptyset = \{0\} \) and for \( \emptyset \neq I \subseteq [d] \) let

\[
\Delta_I = \text{conv}\{e_i : i \in I\}
\]

be the standard simplices where \( e_1, \ldots, e_d \) are the standard basis vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Extending [34, Proposition 6.3], Ardila, Benedetti and Doker [3, Proposition 2.4] proved that every generalized permutahedron is a Minkowski difference of sums of dilated standard simplices and can be uniquely represented as a signed Minkowski sum \( \sum_{I \subseteq [d]} y_I \Delta_I \). Here, a signed Minkowski sum is a formal linear combination with coefficients \( y_I \in \mathbb{R} \) that describes a Minkowski difference.

Not every set of coefficients \( \{y_I\}_{I \subseteq [d]} \) defines a generalized permutahedron, though, as we see, the set of all possible coefficients forms a polyhedral cone. In Theorem 2.4 we give an explicit inequality description of this cone, thereby characterizing all coefficients \( \{y_I\}_{I \subseteq [d]} \) that define generalized permutahedra. We moreover prove that this cone is equal to the cone of supermodular functions, up to a change of coordinates. We then use this characterization to investigate Minkowski linear functionals on generalized permutahedra. In Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 we explicitly describe the rays of the cone of positive Minkowski linear functionals and provide an explicit geometric construction of the ray functionals.

We then consider Minkowski linear functionals that are symmetric, that is, invariant under permutations of the coordinates. Minkowski linear functionals are valuations and structural results on valuations under the action of a group have been a focal point of research in classical convex geometry ever since Hadwiger’s seminal classification of continuous, rigid-motion invariant valuations on convex bodies [22]. In Theorem 3.3 we provide a complete classification of all positive, translation-invariant, symmetric Minkowski linear functionals: they form a simplicial cone and we explicitly determine the rays of this cone. We then apply our results to Ehrhart polynomials of generalized permutahedra which are lattice polytopes.

The Ehrhart polynomial of a lattice polytope counts the number of lattice points in integer dilates of the polytope [17]. It is appealing to view Ehrhart polynomials as discrete analogues of the classical Minkowski volume polynomials of convex bodies [7, 24, 29], but unlike volume polynomials, the coefficients of Ehrhart polynomials need not be nonnegative. Understanding when we do have positivity is a fundamental question in Ehrhart theory (see, e.g., [5, 23]) and the study of Ehrhart positive polytopes, namely those that have only nonnegative coefficients is of current particular interest.

Known examples of Ehrhart positive polytopes include zonotopes [37] and integral cyclic polytopes [26]. However, there are elementary examples of non-Ehrhart positive polytopes, the most classical being the Reeve tetrahedron [35]. In recent work, it has been shown that order polytopes [2] and smooth polytopes [13] need not be Ehrhart positive. For a comprehensive survey on Ehrhart positivity see [27].
In [11] Castillo and Liu conjectured Ehrhart positivity for generalized permutahedra expanding on a conjecture of De Loera, Haws and Koepp on matroid polytopes [15]. The conjecture is known to hold for all sums of standard simplices by an explicit combinatorial formula given in [34]. Using a valuation theoretic approach Castillo and Liu [11] proved that generalized permutahedra are Ehrhart positive in up to six dimensions and moreover showed that the third and the fourth highest coefficient are nonnegative for generalized permutahedra of any dimension. Furthermore, in [9, 11] computational evidence is given that also the linear coefficient is always nonnegative by explicit calculations for \( d \leq 500 \).

Towards the conjecture we prove in Theorem 4.5 that the linear coefficient for any generalized permutahedron is indeed nonnegative. As an application, we then obtain an inequality among beta invariants of contractions of any given matroid in Corollary 4.7 using a result of Ardila, Benedetti and Doker [3]. We also apply our results to solid-angle polynomials and show the existence of a three dimensional generalized permutahedron whose solid-angle polynomial has negative linear term.

2. Signed Minkowski sums

In the following we assume familiarity with the basics of polyhedral geometry and lattice polytopes. For further reading we recommend [5, 21, 39].

Let \( P_1, \ldots, P_m \) be polytopes. A **signed Minkowski sum** is a formal sum \( \sum_i y_i P_i \) with real coefficients \( y_1, \ldots, y_m \). We say that \( \sum_i y_i P_i \) defines a polytope if \( P = \sum_{i : y_i < 0} (-y_i) P_i \) is a Minkowski summand of \( Q = \sum_{i : y_i \geq 0} y_i P_i \), in which case \( \sum_{i : y_i \geq 0} y_i P_i \) represents the Minkowski difference \( Q - P \). In [3], Ardila, Benedetti and Doker showed that every generalized permutahedron has a unique expression as a signed Minkowski sum of standard simplices.

**Proposition 2.1 (3, Proposition 2.4).** For every generalized permutahedron \( P \) there are uniquely determined real numbers \( y_I \) for all \( \emptyset \neq I \subseteq [d] \) and \( y_\emptyset = 0 \) such that

\[
P = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [d]} y_I \Delta_I.
\]

Equivalently, \( \sum_{I : y_I < 0} (-y_I) \Delta_I \) is a Minkowski summand of \( \sum_{I : y_I \geq 0} y_I \Delta_I \) and

\[
(1) \quad P + \sum_{I : y_I < 0} (-y_I) \Delta_I = \sum_{I : y_I \geq 0} y_I \Delta_I.
\]

Not every choice of coefficients \( \{y_I\}_{I \subseteq [d]} \) yields a generalized permutahedron. The goal of this section is to complete the picture and to give a complete characterization of all coefficients \( \{y_I\}_{I \subseteq [d]} \) for which \( \sum_{I \subseteq [d]} y_I \Delta_I \) defines a generalized permutahedron.

By a result of Shephard, Minkowski summands of polytopes can be characterized in terms of their edge directions and edge lengths (see [21, p. 318]). For any polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) and any direction \( u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \) let

\[
P^u = \{ x \in P \mid u^T x = \max_{y \in P} u^T y \}
\]

be the **face of \( P \) in direction of \( u \).**

\[1\] This has independently also been proved by Castillo and Liu [12] using different techniques from those developed in the present article.
Theorem 2.2 ([21, p. 318]). Let \( P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be polytopes. Then \( P \) is a Minkowski summand of \( Q \) if and only if the following two conditions hold for all \( u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \).

(i) If \( Q^u \) is a vertex then so is \( P^u \).
(ii) If \( Q^u = [p, q] \) is an edge with endpoints \( p \) and \( q \) then up to translation, \( P^u = \lambda [p, q] \) for some \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \).

From Theorem 2.2 it follows that the possible edge directions of a Minkowski summand \( P \) of \( Q \) are given by the edge directions of \( Q \). Since the permutahedron \( \Pi_d \) equals, up to translation, the Minkowski sum over all line segments \([e_i, e_j]\), \( i \neq j \) (See, e.g., [38, Exercises 4.63 and 4.64]), all edge directions of \( \Pi_d \) are of the form \( e_i - e_j \) for \( i \neq j \). This property characterizes generalized permutahedra.

Theorem 2.3 ([33, Proposition 3.2]). A polytope is a generalized permutahedron if and only if all edge directions are of the form \( e_i - e_j \) for \( i \neq j \).

The following theorem characterizes all signed Minkowski sums that define generalized permutahedra.

Theorem 2.4. Let \( \{y_I\}_{I \in [d]} \) be a vector of real numbers. Then the following are equivalent.

(i) The signed Minkowski sum \( \sum_{I \subseteq [d]} y_I \Delta_I \) defines a generalized permutahedron.
(ii) For all 2-element subset \( E \in \binom{[d]}{2} \) and all \( T \subseteq [d] \) such that \( E \subseteq T \)

\[
\sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T} y_I \geq 0.
\]

In particular, the collection of all coefficients \( \{y_I\}_{I \in [d]} \) such that \( \sum_{I \subseteq [d]} y_I \Delta_I \) defines a generalized permutahedron is a polyhedral cone. The inequalities (2) are facet-defining.

Proof. Let \( \alpha_I = -\min\{y_I, 0\} \) and \( \beta_I = \max\{y_I, 0\} \) and let \( P = \sum_I \alpha_I \Delta_I \) and \( Q = \sum_I \beta_I \Delta_I \).

Then, by (1), we need to show that \( P \) is a Minkowski summand of \( Q \) if and only if

\[
\sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T} \alpha_I \leq \sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T} \beta_I
\]

for all 2-element subsets \( E \) of \([d]\) and all \( T \subseteq [d] \) such that \( E \subseteq T \).

We first prove the necessity of the inequality. Let \( E = \{i, j\} \) and let \( T \supseteq E \). Let \( u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \) be a vector such that

- \( u_i = u_j \) and \( u_k \neq u_l \) for \( k \neq l \) with \( \{k, l\} \neq \{i, j\} \), and
- further, \( \min_{k \notin T} u_k > u_i = u_j > \max_{k \in T \setminus E} u_k \).

A calculation shows that for such a vector \( u \), the face \( \Delta_I^u \) is either a point or an edge,

\[
\Delta_I^u = \begin{cases} [e_i, e_j], & \text{if } E \subseteq I \subseteq T, \\ e_k, & \text{if otherwise, where } k = \arg\max_{k \in I} u^T e_k. \end{cases}
\]
Therefore up to translation,
\[ P^u = \sum_I \alpha_I \Delta_I^u = \sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T} \alpha_I [e_i, e_j] \]
and
\[ Q^u = \sum_I \beta_I \Delta_I^u = \sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T} \beta_I [e_i, e_j] \]
Thus the desired inequality follows from Theorem 2.2.

For the converse direction, assume that \( u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \) is a vector such that \( Q^u \) is either a vertex or an edge. Let us first assume that \( Q^u \) is a vertex. We claim that \( P^u \) must also then be a vertex. To see this, assume otherwise there is an \( I \) with \( \alpha_I > 0 \) and \( \dim \Delta_I^u > 0 \). Then \([e_i, e_j] \subseteq \Delta_I^u\) for some \( i, j \in I, i \neq j \). This further implies that \([e_i, e_j] \subseteq \Delta_I^u\) for all \( \{i, j\} \subseteq J \subseteq I \). By (3),
\[ 0 < \alpha_I \leq \sum_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J \subseteq I} \alpha_I \leq \sum_{\{i,j\} \subseteq J \subseteq I} \beta_I \]
Thus there must be a \( \{i, j\} \subseteq J \subseteq I \) with \( \beta_J > 0 \) and therefore \( \dim Q^u \geq \dim \Delta_J^u > 0 \), a contradiction.

If \( Q^u \) is an edge, by Theorem 2.3, we may assume that \( Q^u = \lambda [e_i, e_j] \) for some \( \lambda > 0 \), up to translation. Then necessarily, \( u_i = u_j \). Let \( M \) be the subset of all 2-element subsets \( \{k, l\} \) for which \( u_k = u_l \). For all \( F = \{k, l\} \in M \) let \( T_F = \{i \in [d] : u_i \leq u_k = u_l\} \). We observe that \([e_k, e_l] \subseteq \Delta_F^u\) if and only if \( F \subseteq I \subseteq T_F \). Therefore, for all \( F \neq E \) in \( M \) and all \( I \) with \( F \subseteq I \subseteq T_F \) we must have \( \beta_I = 0 \) since \( Q^u = \lambda [e_i, e_j] \). Thus we also obtain
\[ \sum_{F \subseteq I \subseteq T_F} \beta_I = 0, \]
and by (3) this equality remains true if we replace all \( \beta_I \) by \( \alpha_I \). This, in turn, implies that \( P^u \) equals \( \mu [e_i, e_j] \) with \( \mu = \sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T_F} \alpha_I \) which by (3) is smaller than \( \lambda = \sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T_F} \beta_I \). Thus \( P \) is a Minkowski summand of \( Q \) by Theorem 2.2.

Proposition 3.1 below together with its proof via cone duality imply that the inequalities (2) are facet-defining.

The previous proof of Theorem 2.2 made use of the characterization of the edge directions of generalized permutohedra given in Theorem 2.3. We now give a second proof that will display that the inequalities (2) given in Theorem 2.2 are exactly the defining inequalities of the cone of supermodular functions after a change of variables.

For every vector \( \{z_I\}_{I \subseteq [d]} \in \mathbb{R}^{2^d} \) with \( z_\emptyset = 0 \) let
\[ P(\{z_I\}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d x_i = z_{[d]}, \sum_{i \in I} x_i \geq z_I \text{ for all } \emptyset \subseteq I \subseteq [d] \right\}, \]
where we assume that all \( z_I \) are chosen maximally, that is, all defining inequalities of the polytope \( P(\{z_I\}) \) are tight. Every generalized permutohedra is a polytope of the form \( P(\{z_I\}) \), but not every such polytope is a generalized permutohedra. The following theorem characterizes all vectors \( \{z_I\} \) for which \( P(\{z_I\}) \) is a generalized permutohedron [10, 31, 34, 36].
Theorem 2.5. The polytope $P\{\{z_I\}\}$ is a generalized permutahedron if and only if
\begin{equation}
  z_I + z_J \leq z_{I\cup J} + z_{I\cap J}
\end{equation}
for all $I, J \subseteq [d]$, that is, $\{z_I\}_{I \subseteq [d]}$ defines a supermodular function $2^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

In \cite{3}, Ardila, Benedetti and Doker explicitly described the representation of $P\{\{z_I\}\}$ as signed Minkowski sum.

Proposition 2.6 (\cite{3} Proposition 2.4). For every generalized permutahedron $P\{\{z_I\}\}$ there are uniquely determined real numbers $y_I$ for all $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [d]$ and $y_\emptyset = 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
  P\{\{z_I\}\} = \sum_{I \subseteq [d]} y_I \Delta_I,
\end{equation}
namely $y_I = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|I| - |J|} z_J$. 

Second proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $U$ be the linear transformation defined by
\begin{equation}
  U : \mathbb{R}^{2^d} \to \mathbb{R}^{2^d}
  \quad z_I \mapsto y_I = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|I| - |J|} z_J.
\end{equation}

Then, by M"obius inversion, $U$ is a bijection with $z_I = U^{-1}(y_I) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} y_J$ for all $I$. By Theorem 2.5, $P\{\{z_I\}\}$ is a generalized permutahedron if and only if $\{z_I\}$ satisfies the supermodularity condition (4). On the other hand, by Theorem 2.6, $P\{\{z_I\}\} = \sum y_I \Delta_I$ where $y_I = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|I| - |J|} z_J = U(z_I)$. In particular, a signed Minkowski sum $\sum y_I \Delta_I$ defines a generalized permutahedron if and only if $\{y_I\} = U(\{z_I\})$ where $\{z_I\}$ satisfies the supermodularity condition (4). In other words, the set of all vectors $\{y_I\}$ such that $\sum y_I \Delta_I$ defines a generalized permutahedron is a polyhedral cone, namely the image of the cone of supermodular functions under the linear bijection $U$. The cone of supermodular function is well-studied. An equivalent definition of supermodularity (see, e.g., \cite{3} Theorem 44.1), guarantees that $\{z_I\}$ satisfies the supermodularity condition if and only if for all $K \subseteq [d]$ and all $i, j \in [d] \setminus K$, $i \neq j$,
\begin{equation}
  z_{K \cup \{i\}} + z_{K \cup \{j\}} \leq z_{K \cup \{i, j\}} + z_K.
\end{equation}

These inequalities are facet-defining and equivalent to
\begin{equation}
  \sum_{J \subseteq K \cup \{i\}} y_J + \sum_{J \subseteq K \cup \{j\}} y_J \leq \sum_{J \subseteq K \cup \{i, j\}} y_J + \sum_{J \subseteq K} y_J \iff
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
  0 \leq \sum_{J \subseteq K} y_{J \cup \{i, j\}}.
\end{equation}

We conclude by observing that the inequality (6) is equivalent to condition (2) when interchanging $K$ with $T \setminus \{i, j\}$.

\section{3. Minkowski linear functionals}

Let $P_d$ denote the set of generalized permutahedra in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We call a function $\varphi : P_d \to \mathbb{R}$ Minkowski linear if $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ and
\begin{equation}
  \varphi(\lambda P + \mu Q) = \lambda \varphi(P) + \mu \varphi(Q)
\end{equation}
for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_d$ and all $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$. The function $\varphi$ is \textbf{positive} if $\varphi(P) \geq 0$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and \textbf{translation-invariant} if $\varphi(P + t) = \varphi(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If $\varphi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Minkowski linear functional then by linearity we obtain

$$\varphi\left(\sum_i y_i \Delta_i\right) = \sum_i y_i \varphi(\Delta_i)$$

and $\varphi(\Delta_\emptyset) = 0$. By Theorem 2.6, every generalized permutahedron has a unique representation as a signed Minkowski sum $\sum_i y_i \Delta_i$ given $y_\emptyset = 0$. Consequently, we may identify every Minkowski linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_d \to \mathbb{R}$ with the vector $\{\varphi(\Delta_i)\}_{I \in [d]} \in \mathbb{R}^{2^d \setminus \emptyset}$.

For any 2-element subset $E \in \binom{[d]}{2}$ and any $T \subseteq [d]$ such that $E \subseteq T$ let $v_E^T$ be the Minkowski linear functional defined by

$$v_E^T(\Delta_I) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E \subseteq I \subseteq T, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following theorem characterizes all positive, translation-invariant Minkowski linear functionals on $\mathcal{P}_d$.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Minkowski linear functional. Then $\varphi$ is positive and translation-invariant if and only if there are nonnegative real numbers $c_E^T$ such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{E \in \binom{[d]}{2}} \sum_{T \supseteq E} c_E^T v_E^T.$$

In particular, the family of positive, translation-invariant Minkowski linear functionals is a polyhedral cone with rays $v_E^T$.

**Proof.** Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2^d \setminus \emptyset}$ be the set of all vectors $\{y_I\}$ such that $\sum y_I \Delta_I$ defines a generalized permutahedron. Then, by Theorem 2.4, $C$ is a polyhedral cone with inequality description

$$C = \cap_{E \in \binom{[d]}{2}} \cap_{T \supseteq E} \{\{y_I\} : \sum_{E \subseteq I \subseteq T} y_I \geq 0\}.$$

Thus, by cone duality, a Minkowski functional $\varphi$ is positive if and only if $\varphi = \sum_{E \in \binom{[d]}{2}} \sum_{T \supseteq E} c_E^T v_E^T$ for some nonnegative numbers $c_E^T$. Since $v_E^T(\Delta_I) = 0$ for all 1-element subsets $I \subseteq [d]$ the functional $\varphi$ is also translation-invariant in this case. To see that the functionals $v_E^T$ are rays of the cone of positive, translation-invariant Minkowski functionals we observe that none of them can be expressed as a positive linear combination of the others. For that assume that $v_E^T = \sum \lambda_{E'}^T v_{E'}^T$ for some nonnegative $\lambda_{E'}^T$. Then $\lambda_{E'}^T = 0$ for all $E \neq E'$ and all $T' \not\subseteq T$. From evaluating $v_E^T$ at $\Delta_T$ it follows that $\lambda_{E'}^T = 1$. Then evaluating at $\Delta_E$ yields $\lambda_{E'}^T = 0$ for all $(E', T') \neq (E, T)$. This finishes the proof. \qed

Next, we provide a geometric description of the ray generators $v_E^T$. Let $E = \{i, j\} \in \binom{[d]}{2}$ and $T \subseteq [d]$ such that $E \subseteq T$. We say that a vector $u \neq 0$ is \textbf{compatible} with $(E, T)$ if $u_i = u_j$, all other coordinates of $u$ are different and distinct from each other, and

$$\min_{k \notin T} u_k > u_i = u_j > \max_{k \in T} u_k.$$
**Proposition 3.2.** Let $E \in \binom{[d]}{2}$ and $T \subseteq [d]$ such that $E \subseteq T$. Let $u \neq 0$ be compatible with $(E,T)$. Then for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$, $P^u$ is one dimensional and

$$v^T_E(P) = \text{vol}_1(P^u),$$

where $\text{vol}_1$ denotes the normalized volume where $\text{vol}_1([e_i,e_j]) = 1$.

**Proof.** Let $E = \{i,j\}$. Since $u$ is compatible we have that, up to translation, $\Pi^u_d = \{\sum [e_i,e_j]u = [e_i,e_j]\}$. Since every generalized permutahedron is a weak Minkowski summand of $\Pi_d$, by Theorem 2.3, $P^u = \lambda[e_i,e_j]$, and $\text{vol}_1(P^u)$ is therefore well-defined. Since $(\lambda P + \mu Q)^u = \lambda P^u + \mu Q^u$ for all polytopes $P,Q$ and all $\lambda,\mu \geq 0$, equation (7) defines a Minkowski linear functional on $\mathcal{P}_d$. We observe that since $u$ is compatible with $(E,T)$ we have $\Delta^u_i = [e_i,e_j]$ if and only if $E \subseteq I \subseteq T$. In this case $\text{vol}_1(\Delta^u_i) = 1$. Otherwise, $\Delta^u_i$ is a vertex and $\text{vol}_1(\Delta^u_i) = 0$. Since every Minkowski linear functional is uniquely defined by its values on $\Delta_i$ for all $I \subseteq [d]$ this finishes the proof. \(\square\)

### 3.1. Symmetric Minkowski linear functionals

We conclude this section by classifying all positive Minkowski linear functionals that are invariant under coordinate permutations. We call such functionals **symmetric**. The natural action of the symmetric group $S_d$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which acts by permuting the coordinates induces an action on the class of generalized permutahedra which, in turn, induces an action on Minkowski linear functionals on generalized permutahedra by $(\sigma \cdot \varphi)(P) = \varphi(\sigma(P))$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$. Let $\Delta_i = \Delta_{[i]}$. Then every symmetric translation-invariant Minkowski linear functional $\varphi$ can be identified with the $(d-1)$-dimensional vector $\{\varphi(\Delta_{i+1})\}_{1 \leq i \leq d-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. For all $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ let $f_k : \mathcal{P}_d \to \mathbb{R}$ be the symmetric, translation-invariant Minkowski linear functional defined by

$$f_k(\Delta_{i+1}) = \binom{i+1}{2} \binom{d-i-1}{k-i},$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Minkowski linear functional. Then $\varphi$ is positive, translation- and symmetric if and only if there are real numbers $c_1, \ldots, c_{d-1} \geq 0$ such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} c_k f_k.$$

In particular, the family of all positive, Minkowski linear, translation- and symmetric functionals form a simplicial cone of dimension $d-1$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1, $\varphi$ is a positive, Minkowski linear and translation invariant linear functional if and only if $\varphi = \sum_{E \in \binom{[d]}{2}} \sum_{T \supseteq E} c^T_E v^T_E$ for nonnegative numbers $v^T_E$. If $\varphi$ is moreover invariant under permutation of the coordinates we obtain

$$d! \cdot \varphi = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma \cdot \varphi$$

$$= \sum_{E \in \binom{[d]}{2}} \sum_{T \supseteq E} c^T_E \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma \cdot v^T_E$$

$$= \sum_{E \in \binom{[d]}{2}} \sum_{T \supseteq E} c^T_E \cdot |\text{Stab}(v^T_E)| \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{O}(v^T_E)} \psi,$$
where \( \text{Stab}(v_E^T) = \{ \sigma \in S_d : \sigma v_E^T = v_E^T \} \) denotes the stabilizer and \( \mathcal{O}(v_E^T) = \{ \sigma \cdot v_E^T : \sigma \in S_d \} \) denotes the orbit of \( v_E^T \). We observe that if \( |T| = k \) then \( \mathcal{O}(v_E^T) = \{ v_E^T : |T| = k \} \). Clearly, \( \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{O}(v_E^T)} \psi \) is symmetric. Therefore, since

\[
\sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{O}(v_E^T)} \psi(\Delta_{i+1}) = \sum_{E \in \left( \binom{d}{i} \right) \cap |T| = k} v_E^T(\Delta_{i+1}) = \sum_{E \subseteq [i+1]} 1 = \binom{i + 1}{2} \binom{d - i - 1}{k - i - 1}
\]

we see that \( f_{k-1} = \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{O}(v_E^T)} \psi \) whenever \( |T| = k \). Thus, by (11), every symmetric translation-invariant valuation is a nonnegative linear combination of the functionals \( f_1, \ldots, f_{d-1} \) which are easily seen to be linearly independent and positive by Proposition 3.1. This finishes the proof. \( \square \)

4. Applications

4.1. Ehrhart positivity. A lattice polytope is a polytope in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with vertices in the integer lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^d \). A famous result by Ehrhart states that the number of lattice points in integer dilates of a lattice polytope is given by a polynomial [17].

**Theorem 4.1 ([17]).** Let \( P \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice polytope. Then there is a polynomial \( E_P \) of degree \( \dim P \) such that

\[
E_P(n) = |nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|
\]

for all integers \( n \geq 1 \).

The polynomial \( E_P(n) = E_0(P) + E_1(P)n + \cdots + E_{\dim P}(P)n^{\dim P} \) is called the Ehrhart polynomial of \( P \). In this section we show that the linear coefficient \( E_1(P) \) of the Ehrhart polynomial of every generalized permutahedra \( P \) with vertices in the integer lattice is nonnegative. This has independently been proved by Castillo and Liu [12]. In [8], the authors make the felicitous observation that the linear coefficient is additive under taking Minkowski sums of lattice polytopes.

**Lemma 4.2 ([8, Corollary 23]).** Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be lattice polytopes and \( k, \ell \geq 0 \) be integers. Then

\[
E_1(kP + \ell Q) = kE_1(P) + \ell E_1(Q).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{E} : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be the symmetric Minkowski linear functional defined by

\[
\mathcal{E}(\Delta_{i+1}) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{i} =: h_i
\]

for all \( 1 \leq i \leq d - 1 \). Then \( \mathcal{E} \) agrees with \( E_1 \) on all generalized permutahedra that are lattice polytopes.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \( P \) be a generalized permutahedron with vertices in the integer lattice. Then \( \mathcal{E}(P) = E_1(P) \).
Proof. We recollect that
\[ E_{\Delta_{k+1}}(n) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \times \{0\}^{d-k-1} : \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i = n \right\} = \binom{n+k}{k}. \]
In particular, \( E_1(\Delta_{k+1}) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k} = E(\Delta_{k+1}) \). It follows from [3, Proposition 2.3] that every generalized permutahedron that is a lattice polytope is a signed Minkowski sum of standard simplices \( \Delta_I \) with integer coefficients. Furthermore, \( E_P(n) \) and therefore \( E_1(n) \) is invariant under permutations of the coordinates. Thus, the claim follows from Lemma 4.2. □

Thus, to prove that \( E_1(P) \) is always nonnegative for any generalized permutahedron \( P \), by Theorem 3.3, we are left to prove that \( E = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} c_k f_k \) for nonnegative real numbers \( c_1, \ldots, c_{d-1} \). Let \( A = (a_{ik}) = (f_1, \ldots, f_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) be the matrix with column vectors \( f_1, \ldots, f_{d-1} \). Then
\[ a_{ik} = \binom{i+1}{2} \binom{d-i-1}{k-i} \]
and
\[ c = A^{-1} h, \]
where \( h = (h_1, \ldots, h_{d-1})^T \).

Lemma 4.4.
\[ A^{-1} = \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{(j+1)^2} \binom{d-k-1}{j-k}, \quad (b_{kj}) = B \]

Proof. We calculate
\[ AB = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \binom{i+1}{2} \binom{d-i-1}{k-i} \binom{(-1)^{k+j}}{(j+1)^2} \binom{d-k-1}{j-k} \]
\[ = \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{(j+1)^2} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{k+j} \binom{d-i}{j-i} \binom{j-i}{k-i} \]
\[ = (-1)^{j-i} \binom{d-i}{j-i} \binom{(-1)^{i+1}}{(j+1)^2} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{j-i}{k-i} \]
\[ = (-1)^{j-i} \binom{d-i}{j-i} \binom{(-1)^{i+1}}{(j+1)^2} (1-1)^{j-i} \]
\[ = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

□

Theorem 4.5. Let \( P \in \mathcal{P}_d \) be a generalized permutahedron. Then \( E(P) \geq 0 \).

Proof. We consider the polynomial
\[ p_k = \sum_{j=k}^{d-1} b_{kj} t^j \]
and observe that
\[
\int_0^1 \frac{p_k(1) - p_k(t)}{1 - t} \, dt = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=k}^{d-1} b_{kj} (1 + t + \ldots + t^{j-1}) \, dt = (Bh)_k = c_k
\]
which we need to show is nonnegative. It therefore suffices to show that
\[
p''_k(t) \geq 0
\]
for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Let
\[
q_k(t) = \frac{t^2 p'_k(t)}{2} = \sum_{j=k}^{d-1} (-1)^{k+j} \binom{d-k-1}{j-k} \frac{t^{j+1}}{j+1}.
\]
Then
\[
q'_k(t) = \sum_{j=k}^{d-1} (-1)^{k+j} \binom{d-k-1}{j-k} t^j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1-k} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{d-k-1}{\ell} t^{\ell+k}.
\]
We conclude by observing that
\[
q_k(t) = \int_0^t q'_k(t) \, dt
\]
and
\[
q'_k(t) = t^k (1-t)^{d-k-1}
\]
which is nonnegative for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). \( \square \)

4.2. Matroid polytopes. In this section we apply our results to matroid polytopes and matroid independent set polytopes to obtain inequalities for the beta invariant of a matroid. Let \( M \) be a matroid on a groundset \( E \) with rank function \( r \). The matroid polytope \( P_M \) is a polytope that is defined as the convex hull of all indicator functions of bases of \( M \). The beta invariant \( [14] \) of \( M \) is defined as
\[
\beta(M) = (-1)^{r(M)} \sum_{X \subseteq E} (-1)^{|X|} r(X).
\]
In \( [3] \) a signed version, the signed beta invariant,
\[
\tilde{\beta}(M) = (-1)^{r(M)+1} \beta(M)
\]
was introduced in order to express the matroid polytope as a signed Minkowski sum of standard simplices

**Proposition 4.6 (\([3]\)).** Let \( M \) be a matroid of rank \( r \) on \( E \) and let \( P_M \) be its matroid polytope. Then
\[
P_M = \sum_{A \subseteq E} \tilde{\beta}(M/A) \Delta_{E-A}.
\]

As a consequence of Theorem 4.5 together with Proposition 4.6 and recollecting that \( E_1(\Delta_i) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{i-1} \) we obtain the following inequality for signed beta invariants of contractions.

**Corollary 4.7.** Let \( M \) be a matroid with groundset \( E \). Then
\[
\sum_{A \subseteq E} h_{|E-A|} \tilde{\beta}(M/A) \geq 0,
\]
where \( h_k := 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k} \).
The independent set polytope $I_M$ of a matroid $M$ is defined as the convex hull of indicator functions of all independent sets of $M$. For $I \subseteq E$ let

$$D_I = \text{conv}(\{0\} \cup \{e_i : i \in I\}).$$

In [3] these simplices were used to express the matroid independence polytope as signed Minkowski sum.

**Proposition 4.8 ([3]).** Let $M$ be a matroid of rank $r$ on $E$ and let $I_M$ be its independent set polytope. Then

$$I_M = \sum_{A \subseteq E} \tilde{\beta}(M/A)D_{E-A}.$$

**Corollary 4.9.** Let $M$ be a matroid with groundset $E$. Then

$$\sum_{A \subseteq E} h_{|E| - A} \tilde{\beta}(M/A) \geq 0,$$

where $h_k := 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k}$.

**Proof.** After a lattice preserving affine transformation $\mathbb{R}^{|E|} \to \mathbb{R}^{|E|+1}$, $e_i \mapsto e_i$, $0 \mapsto e_{|E|+1}$, $I_M$ is a generalized permutahedron and $D_I$ are standard simplices. The proof follows then from Theorem [4,5].

### 4.3. Solid angles

We conclude by applying our results of the previous chapters to a close relative of the Ehrhart polynomial, the solid angle polynomial of a lattice polytope. Let $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a point, $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a polytope and let $B_\epsilon(q)$ denote the ball with radius $\epsilon$ centered at $q$. The solid angle of $q$ with respect to $P$ is defined by

$$\omega_q(P) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}(P \cap B_\epsilon(q))}{\text{vol}B_\epsilon}.$$

We note that the function $q \mapsto \omega_q(P)$ is constant on relative interiors of the faces of $P$. In particular, if $q \notin P$ then $\omega_q(P) = 0$, if $q$ is in the interior of $P$ then $\omega_q(P) = 1$ and if $q$ lies inside the relative interior of a facet then $\omega_q(P) = \frac{1}{2}$. The solid angle sum of $P$ is defined by

$$A(P) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_q(P).$$

By an analog of Ehrhart’s Theorem (Theorem [4,1]) for solid-angle sums due to Macdonald [28] $A(P) = A_0(P) + A_1(P)n + \cdots + A_d(P)n^d$ is a polynomial for all lattice polytopes $P$. Indeed, since $\omega_q(P)$ is constant on relative interiors of faces

$$A(nP) = \sum_{F \subseteq P} \sum_{q \in \text{relint } F \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_q(nP) = \sum_{F \subseteq P} \omega_F(P) E_{\text{relint } F}(n),$$

where the first sum is over all faces $F$ of $P$, $\omega_F(P)$ is the solid angle of a point in the relative interior of $F$ and $E_{\text{relint } F}(n) = |\text{relint } nF \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$ is the Ehrhart polynomial of the relative interior of $F$ (see [5, Lemma 13.2]). As in the case of Ehrhart polynomials, the coefficients $A_i(P)$ can be negative in general [6, Proposition 1], even in dimension 3. We supplement this result by showing that for the class of generalized permutahedra, unlike the case of Ehrhart polynomials, the linear terms of solid angle polynomials can be negative.

**Proposition 4.10.** There is a 3-dimensional generalized permutahedron in $\mathbb{R}^4$ such that the linear term of its solid angle polynomial is negative.
Here, we view generalized permutahedra as polytopes in \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum x_i = \ell \} \) for some \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Recall that a valuation on lattice polytopes is a function \( \varphi \) such that \( \varphi(P \cup Q) = \varphi(P) + \varphi(Q) - \varphi(P \cap Q) \) for all lattice polytopes \( P, Q \) such that \( P \cup Q \) (and thus also \( P \cap Q \)) is a lattice polytope. The valuation \( \varphi \) is called{} translation-invariant{} if \( \varphi(P + t) = \varphi(P) \) for all lattice polytopes \( P \) and all \( t \in \mathbb{Z}^d \). Lemma 4.2 and its proof in [8] via the Bernstein-McMullen Theorem [7, 29] carries over verbatim to translation-invariant valuations.

Since \( A(P) \) is a translation-invariant valuation \( A_1(P) \) is thus Minkowski additive. By definition, \( A(P) = 0 \) if \( \dim P < 3 \) and therefore \( A_1(\Delta_2) = A_1(\Delta_3) = 0 \). By (12),
\begin{align}
A(n\Delta_4) &= \alpha E_{\text{relint}} \Delta_4 + 4\beta E_{\text{relint}} \Delta_3 + 6\gamma E_{\text{relint}} \Delta_2 + \delta E_{\text{relint}} \Delta_1 \\
&= \alpha \binom{n-1}{3} + 4\beta \binom{n-1}{2} + 6\gamma(n-1) + 4\delta,
\end{align}
where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) denote the solid angle of \( \Delta_4 \) at a lattice point in the interior, on a facet, on an edge and at a vertex, respectively. Inserting the values \( \alpha = 1, \beta = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \gamma = \frac{\cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{3})}{2\pi} \) (see, e.g., [4]) we obtain
\[ A_1(P) = \frac{3}{\pi} \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{3}) - \frac{7}{6} \approx 0.00881298... . \]

Now consider the signed Minkowski sum
\[ P = \sum_{I \subset [4], |I| = 2} \Delta_I - \Delta_{[4]} . \]

It is easy to check that the coefficients satisfy the inequalities (2), and therefore, by Theorem 2.2, \( P \) is a generalized permutahedron. By Minkowski linearity, we see that \( A_1(P) = -A_1(\Delta_4) < 0 \).
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