

# $SU(3)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and some of their symmetries

Alex Clésio Nunes Martins, Mark W. Suffak,  
Hubert de Guise

Department of Physics, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, Ontario P7B 5E1,  
Canada

16 February 2022

**Abstract.** We discuss the construction and symmetries of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  Clebsch-Gordan coefficients arising from  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  basis states constructed as triple tensor products of two-dimensional harmonic oscillator states. Because of the  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  symmetry of the basis states, matrix elements and recursion relations are easily expressed in terms of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  technology. As the Weyl group has a particularly simple action on these states, Weyl symmetries of the  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  coupling coefficients generalizing the well known  $m \rightarrow -m$  symmetry of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  coupling can be obtained, so that any coefficient can be obtained as a sum of Weyl-reflected coefficients lying in the dominant Weyl sector. Some important cases of multiplicity-free decompositions are discussed as examples of applications.

## 1. Introduction and basic result

Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficients occur regularly in physics in a variety of situations, from angular momentum coupling [1][2][3] to direct product of representations of various other groups (see for instance [4][5][6][7][8]) including representations of the symmetric group [9] and couplings in tensor networks [10]. The symmetries of these coefficients is not only of practical interest for economy of resources [11] but also because they imply some relations (sometimes unexpected) [12] [13][14] between states connected by these symmetries, as best exemplified by the so-called Regge symmetries for  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  coupling coefficients[15].

In this paper we present an easy algorithm for the evaluation of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. In the specific case where the decomposition of the direct product is multiplicity free, i.e. when an irrep  $(p_2, q_2)$  occurs at most once in the decomposition of  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu)$ , we obtain semi-analytical expressions for  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  CGs, by which we mean that our expressions involve (reasonably) simple sums of terms familiar from  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  coupling theory. Although not the most general case, multiplicity-free decompositions occurs whenever at least *one* of  $p_1, q_1, \lambda$  or  $\mu$  is 0; this situation therefore includes the useful case of repeated  $N$ -fold direct products such as  $(p_1, 0)^{\otimes N}$ .

There already exists powerful algorithms for the numerical evaluation of  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  CG coefficients [16][17][18]. Our results are of interest because sums can be done symbolically so the final CGs are all exact square roots of rational numbers. This simplification of our final expression in Eq. (49) is the byproduct of working in a basis where  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  states are expressed using unitary-unitary duality in terms of a

triple product of angular momentum states, so that all the pieces in our expressions are coefficients obtained from  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  coupling theory, all of which are themselves square roots of rational expressions. As a result, it is often possible to keep some of the representation labels as parameters, and investigate various asymptotic limits [19][20][21] beyond the tables provided, for instance, by Hecht [22].

Finally, because the action of the Weyl group on these states is easily expressible in terms of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$   $6j$ -symbols, we dispense with the need to exponentiate some transformations [11] to obtain the action of the permutation group on basis states and easily obtain Weyl relations between  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  CGs.

## 2. Basis states and their matrix elements

In this section we introduce the notation in terms of occupation numbers and the matrix elements of generators and powers of generators. This is essential because the Weyl group acts naturally on this “occupation basis” and we need matrix elements (or at least reduced matrix elements of appropriate tensors) to speed up the computation of CG coefficients.

### 2.1. $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ generators and basis states

Let

$$\hat{C}_{ij} := \hat{a}_{i1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{j1} + \hat{a}_{i2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{j2} + \hat{a}_{i3}^\dagger \hat{a}_{j3} \quad (1)$$

and note that  $[\hat{C}_{ij}, \hat{C}_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} \hat{C}_{il} - \delta_{il} \hat{C}_{kj}$  close on the algebra  $\mathfrak{u}(3)$ . We will be working in an occupation basis where the operators  $\hat{C}_{ii} = \hat{a}_{i1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i1} + \hat{a}_{i2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i2} + \hat{a}_{i3}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i3}$  are diagonal. The  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  subalgebra is obtained from the nine  $\hat{C}_{ij}$  by selecting as Cartan elements  $\hat{h}_1 = \hat{C}_{11} - \hat{C}_{22}$  and  $\hat{h}_2 = \hat{C}_{22} - \hat{C}_{33}$ , and keeping the set of raising operators  $\hat{C}_{12}, \hat{C}_{13}, \hat{C}_{23}$  and lowering operators  $\hat{C}_{21}, \hat{C}_{31}, \hat{C}_{32}$ .




Figure 1: The  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  root diagram showing the two simple roots, with the various generators with non-zero weight located on the root diagram.

The basis states for irrep  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  are constructed following [23] as

$$|(\lambda, \mu)\nu; I\rangle \equiv |(\lambda, \mu)\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3; I\rangle$$

$$:= \sum_{\substack{m_1 m_2 \\ m_3 N}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\nu_3 \\ m_3 \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\nu_2 \\ m_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} I \\ N \end{matrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{matrix} I \\ N \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\nu_1 \\ m_1 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda \end{matrix} \right\rangle |\frac{1}{2}\nu_1 m_1\rangle |\frac{1}{2}\nu_2 m_2\rangle |\frac{1}{2}\nu_3 m_3\rangle \quad (2)$$

where  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  are non-negative occupation numbers subject to the constraint  $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 = \lambda + 2\mu$ . These occupation numbers are eigenvalues of the number operators  $\hat{C}_{ii}$ . Each  $|\frac{1}{2}\nu_i; m_i\rangle$  is itself an  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  state [24], denoted by

$$|\frac{1}{2}\nu_i m_i\rangle = \frac{(\hat{a}_{i1}^\dagger)^{\frac{1}{2}\nu_i + m_i} (\hat{a}_{i2}^\dagger)^{\frac{1}{2}\nu_i - m_i}}{\sqrt{(\frac{1}{2}\nu_i + m_i)! (\frac{1}{2}\nu_i - m_i)!}} |0\rangle. \quad (3)$$

with  $-\frac{1}{2}\nu_i \leq m_i \leq \frac{1}{2}\nu_i$  with  $2m_i$  an integer. The basis states for the irrep  $(\lambda, \mu)$  can be constructed without using  $\hat{a}_{j3}^\dagger$ , but  $\hat{a}_{j3}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k3}$  are inserted in the definition of  $\hat{C}_{jk}$  for the later convenience of some coefficient calculations. The coefficients  $\left\langle \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\nu_3 \\ m_3 \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\nu_2 \\ m_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} I \\ N \end{matrix} \right\rangle$  etc. in Eq.(2) are  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  CG coefficients. For notational convenience,  $\nu$  will often be a shorthand to denote by definition the triple  $(\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3)$ ; if some specific component of  $\nu$  is needed, it will be explicitly indicated by the appropriate subscript.

The  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  weight of  $|(\lambda, \mu)\nu; I\rangle$  is  $(\nu_1 - \nu_2, \nu_2 - \nu_3)$ . As constructed, the state also carries an irrep  $I$  of the  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  subalgebra spanned by  $\{\hat{C}_{23}, \hat{C}_{32}, \hat{h}_2, \hat{h}_1\}$ . The label  $I$  is required to lift the ambiguity arising when more than one state share the same weight.

The  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  generator is taken to be  $\hat{H}_1 = 2\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2 = 2\hat{C}_{11} - \hat{C}_{22} - \hat{C}_{33}$ . The eigenvalue of  $\hat{H}_1$  acting on the basis state  $|(\lambda, \mu)\nu; I\rangle$  is  $2\nu_1 - \nu_2 - \nu_3 = 3\nu_1 - (\lambda + 2\mu)$  and is thus fully specified by  $\nu_1$ .

The highest weight state is of the form (see [23]):

$$|(\lambda, \mu)\text{hw}\rangle = |(\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu, \mu, 0; \frac{1}{2}\mu\rangle. \quad (4)$$

All states of an  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  multiplet have the same occupation number  $\nu_1$ , but there may be more than one multiplet with the same value of  $\nu_1$  when some weights are degenerate.

An example of the weight diagram for the irrep  $(4, 2)$ , along with the position of selected states on the diagram, their occupation numbers and  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  label  $I$ , is given in Figure 2. Dots represent weights with multiplicity 1, circled dots are weights with multiplicity 2, double-circled dots are weights with multiplicity 3.

## 2.2. Some $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ tensors and a projection

Let  $L = \frac{1}{2}p$  and define the components of the  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  tensor  $\hat{T}_M^L$  [25] by

$$\hat{T}_M^L = \left\langle \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(L+M) \\ \frac{1}{2}(L+M) \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(L-M) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(L-M) \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} L \\ M \end{matrix} \right\rangle \frac{(2L)!}{(L+M)!(L-M)!} (\hat{C}_{31})^{L-M} (\hat{C}_{21})^{L+M} \quad (5)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{(2L)!}{(L+M)!(L-M)!}} (\hat{C}_{31})^{L-M} (\hat{C}_{21})^{L+M} \quad (6)$$




Figure 2: The weight diagram for the irrep  $(4, 2)$ , showing also the location of some states  $|(4, 2)\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3; I\rangle$  on the weight diagram.

so that, for instance, we have

$L$	$M$	$\hat{T}_M^L$	$L$	$M$	$\hat{T}_M^L$
1	1	$\hat{C}_{21}^2$	3/2	3/2	$\hat{C}_{21}^3$
1	0	$\sqrt{2}\hat{C}_{31}\hat{C}_{21}$	3/2	1/2	$\sqrt{3}\hat{C}_{31}\hat{C}_{21}^2$
1	-1	$\hat{C}_{31}^2$	3/2	-1/2	$\sqrt{3}\hat{C}_{31}^2\hat{C}_{21}$
			3/2	-3/2	$\hat{C}_{31}^3$

Note that these operators also decrease the  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  label  $\nu_1$  by  $2L$ .

With this, for fixed  $J$  and  $M_J$ , we can use the Wigner-Eckart theorem to note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{M_I} \left\langle \begin{matrix} I \\ M_I \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} L \\ M_J - M_I \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} J \\ M_J \end{matrix} \right\rangle \hat{T}_M^L |(\lambda, \mu)\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3; I\rangle \\
 &= |(\lambda, \mu)\eta_1 - 2L, \eta_2 + L + M_J - M_I, \eta_3 + L - M_J + M_I; J\rangle \\
 & \quad \times \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)\eta_1 - 2L; J \| \hat{T}^L \| (\lambda, \mu)\eta_1; I \rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $M_I = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_2 - \eta_3)$ ,  $J$  is one of  $I + L, I + L - 1, \dots, |I - L|$ , and  $\langle (\lambda, \mu)\eta_1 - 2L; J \| \hat{T}^L \| (\lambda, \mu)\eta_1; I \rangle$  is a reduced matrix element, which depends on the  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  labels  $\eta_1 - 2L$  and  $\eta_1$ , and on the  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  labels  $J$  and  $I$ .

Thus, the action of the *linear combination* of operators  $\hat{T}_M^L$  ( $M = M_J - M_I$ ) operators acting on states in the same  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  multiplet will shift these states down by  $p$  layers (*i.e.* decrease the  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  label by  $2p$ ) on the weight diagram to a *specific state* in a *specific multiplet*; this is in contradistinction to the action of *specific* operator on a single state that will generically produce a *linear combination* of states. This idea is illustrated in Fig.3.




Figure 3: Two examples of lowering tensors. A linear combination of the two components of the  $\hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  tensor acting on two different states produces a single state in the layer directly below; a linear combination of the three components of the  $T^1$  tensor, each acting on different states, produces a state two layers below.

### 2.3. Closed form expression for $\langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle$

We start by examining the action of  $\hat{T}_M^L$  on states  $|(\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu, \mu - s, s; \frac{1}{2}\mu\rangle$  in the same multiplet as the highest weight state. All these states have  $\nu_1 = \lambda + \mu$ .

One can easily obtain the reduced matrix element of the tensor operator  $\hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p}$  acting these states. First choose  $M_J = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - p)$  so that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu - s + M = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - p) \quad \Rightarrow \quad M = s - \frac{1}{2}p. \quad (8)$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^p \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\mu; \frac{1}{2}p \mid \frac{1}{2}(\mu - p) \right\rangle \hat{T}_{s-\frac{1}{2}p}^{\frac{1}{2}p} |(\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu, \mu - s, s; \frac{1}{2}\mu\rangle \\ &= |(\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p, \mu, p; J\rangle \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Next, compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p, \mu - s, s + p; J | P_{12}(\hat{C}_{32})^p P_{12} | (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu, \mu - s, s; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle \\ &= \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p, \mu - s, s + p; J | (\hat{C}_{31})^p | (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu, \mu - s, s; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $P_{12}$  is the permutation with matrix element given by [23] in terms of a 6j-symbol:

$$\langle (\lambda, \mu)\nu_2\nu_1\nu_3; I' | P_{12} | (\lambda, \mu)\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3; I \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu_3 - \lambda) - (I + I') + \mu} \sqrt{(2I + 1)(2I' + 1)} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\nu_1 & \frac{1}{2}\nu_3 & I' \\ \frac{1}{2}\nu_2 & \frac{1}{2}\lambda & I \end{matrix} \right\}. \quad (11)$$

From this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(2J + 1)(\lambda + \mu + 1)!(J + \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) + 1)!(\frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) - J)!}{(\lambda + \mu - p)! \mu!}} \\ & \times (-1)^{(p - 2J - 2\lambda - \mu)/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu - p) & \frac{1}{2}p & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu) \\ \frac{1}{2}\mu & \frac{1}{2}\lambda & J \end{matrix} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The  $6j$  symbol has a closed form expression [1] since the first and second entry of the first row sum to the third. As a result we reach the final expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(p - 2J + \mu)/2} \sqrt{\frac{(2J + 1)(\lambda + \mu + 1)! \lambda! p!}{(\lambda - J + \frac{1}{2}(\mu - p))! (\lambda + J + \frac{1}{2}(\mu - p) + 1)!}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

#### 2.4. General matrix element

For the action of  $\hat{T}^k$  on states  $|(\lambda, \mu)\nu; I\rangle$  not in the same multiplet as the highest weight state, we can still obtain a reduced matrix element starting with

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p - 2k; J' \| \hat{T}^k \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; J \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2J' + 1} \sum_{m_k M_J} \left\langle \begin{matrix} J & k \\ M_J & m_k \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} J' \\ M' \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ & \times \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p - 2k, \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) + k + M', \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) + k - M'; J' | \\ & \hat{T}_{m_k}^k | (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p, \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) + M_J, \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) - M_J; J \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and writing the state  $|(\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p, \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) + M_J, \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) - M_J; J\rangle$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p, \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) + M_J, \frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) - M_J; J\rangle \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2J + 1}}{\langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle} \\ & \times \sum_{m_s m_p} \left\langle \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\mu & \frac{1}{2}p \\ m_s & m_p \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} J \\ M_J \end{matrix} \right\rangle \hat{T}_{m_p}^{\frac{1}{2}p} |(\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu, \frac{1}{2}\mu + m_s, \frac{1}{2}\mu - m_s; \frac{1}{2}\mu\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

One can then combine the tensors

$$\hat{T}_{m_k}^k \hat{T}_M^L = \sum_{\bar{M}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k & L \\ m_k & M \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} k+L \\ \bar{M} \end{matrix} \right\rangle T_{\bar{M}}^{k+L} \quad (16)$$

to obtain, after straightforward manipulations, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p - 2k; J' \| \hat{T}^k \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; J \rangle \\ &= (2J + 1) \sqrt{2k + p + 1} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu + p) + k + J'} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k & \frac{1}{2}p & k + \frac{1}{2}p \\ \frac{1}{2}\mu & J' & J \end{matrix} \right\} \\ & \times \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p - 2k; J' \| \hat{T}^{k + \frac{1}{2}p} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle}{\langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Once again, the  $6j$  symbol has a closed form expression and the ratio of reduced matrix elements on the right hand side can be evaluated using Eq.(13).

The special case  $k = \frac{1}{2}$  produces the reduced matrix elements for the generators  $\hat{C}_{31}$  and  $\hat{C}_{21}$  as components of the  $\hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - (p+1); J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; I \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(\mu+p+1)/2+J} (2I+1) \sqrt{p+2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}p & \frac{1}{2}(p+1) \\ \frac{1}{2}\mu & J & I \end{array} \right\} \\ & \times \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - (p+1); J \| \hat{T}^{(p+1)/2} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle}{\langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; I \| \hat{T}^{p/2} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu; \frac{1}{2}\mu \rangle}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

To obtain the matrix elements of the raising operators  $\hat{C}_{13}$  and  $\hat{C}_{12}$ , we note those are components of the tensor  $\bar{T}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  adjoint to  $\hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , with

$$\bar{T}_{1/2}^{1/2} = (\hat{T}_{-1/2}^{1/2})^\dagger = \hat{C}_{13}, \quad \bar{T}_{-1/2}^{1/2} = -(\hat{T}_{1/2}^{1/2})^\dagger = -\hat{C}_{12} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - (p-1); J \| \bar{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; I \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{I+\frac{1}{2}-J} \langle (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - p; I \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\lambda, \mu)\lambda + \mu - (p-1); J \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Some specialized expressions are provided in Appendix A

### 3. Constructing SU(3) Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

In this section we deal with SU(3) CG. We first obtain a recursion relation satisfied by the CG coefficients needed for the highest weight state of  $(p_2, q_2)$  in  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu)$ . This recursion relation does not depend on the number of copies of  $(p_2, q_2)$  in the decomposition so is valid quite generally, although it can be solved in terms of a single “seed” coefficient when  $(p_2, q_2)$  occurs only once. We then show how, once the highest weight state has been obtained through a recursion relation, we can obtain the full CG through a summation that involves matrix elements of tensors obtained in Eq.(6), and a  $9j$  symbol.

We denote by  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n, I_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \bar{\nu}; \bar{I} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient that occurs in the construction of the state  $|(p_2, q_2)\bar{\nu}; \bar{I}\rangle$  from the states  $|(p_1, q_1)\nu; J\rangle$  and  $|\lambda, \mu; n; I_n\rangle$ . If the irrep  $(p_2, q_2)$  occurs more than once in the decomposition of  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu)$ , we assume we have identified a specific copy of  $(p_2, q_2)$  for which we wish to obtain the CGs.

#### 3.1. Two recursion relations for the highest weight state

For the highest weight state of  $(p_2, q_2)$  write

$$\begin{aligned} & |(p_2, q_2)_{\text{hw}}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\nu, J(n)I_n} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n, I_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle |(p_1, q_1)\nu; J\rangle |(\lambda, \mu)n; I_n\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The indices  $\nu$  and  $n$  must satisfy the following constraints: the total number of excitations in the composite system is  $p_1 + 2q_1 + \lambda + 2\mu$ , which ought to equal  $p_2 + 2q_2 + 3k$ . The integer  $k$  satisfies

$$k = \frac{1}{3} (p_1 + \lambda - p_2 + 2(q_1 + \mu - q_2)) \quad (22)$$

and is such that

$$\nu_1 + n_1 = p_2 + q_2 + k, \quad \nu_2 + n_2 = q_2 + k, \quad \nu_3 + n_3 = k. \quad (23)$$

The index  $k$  is required because the highest weight of  $(p_2, q_2)$  can be multiplied by the  $k$ 'th power of the  $3 \times 3$  determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \hat{a}_{11}^\dagger & \hat{a}_{12}^\dagger & \hat{a}_{13}^\dagger \\ \hat{a}_{21}^\dagger & \hat{a}_{22}^\dagger & \hat{a}_{23}^\dagger \\ \hat{a}_{31}^\dagger & \hat{a}_{32}^\dagger & \hat{a}_{33}^\dagger \end{vmatrix}^k, \quad (24)$$

and this determinant transforms by the  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  irrep  $(0,0)$  but add  $3k$  excitations to the system without changing the irrep label  $(p_2, q_2)$ . The requirement

$$p_1 + 2q_1 + \lambda + 2\mu = p_2 + 2q_2 + 3k \quad (25)$$

guarantees that the number of excitations in the separate systems is the same as that in the resulting irrep. The label  $k$  can be ignored when constructing the CGs but it does play a role in analyzing symmetries of the CGs, as discussed in Secs 4 and 6; it also connects the  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  labels in  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu)$  and  $(p_2, q_2)$ . This index  $k$  is called the *grade* in [25], and identifying  $k$  as a difference in the number of quanta through Eq.(25) provides a physical interpretation to this quantity.

By definition of the highest weight state

$$0 = \hat{C}_{12} |(p_2, q_2) \text{hw}\rangle, \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\nu J(n)I_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ nI_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle [C_{12} |(p_1, q_1)\nu; J\rangle] |(\lambda, \mu)nI_n\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{\nu J(n)I_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ nI_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle |(p_1, q_1)\nu; J\rangle [C_{12} |(\lambda, \mu)nI_n\rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Closing with  $\langle (p_1, q_1)\nu' J' | \langle (\lambda, \mu)n' I'_n |$  we get the basic recursion relation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{(\nu)J} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n' I'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \langle (p_1, q_1)\nu; J | \hat{C}_{21} |(p_1, q_1)\nu' I'\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{(n)I_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'; J' \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ nI_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \langle (\lambda, \mu)nI_n | \hat{C}_{21} |(\lambda, \mu)n' I'_n\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We can rewrite the recursion for the highest weight state as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{(\nu_1)m_\nu J} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{matrix} J \\ m_\nu; m'_n \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} I'_n \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \frac{\langle (p_1, q_1)\nu_1; J | \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} | (p_1, q_1)\nu'_1; J' \rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} J' \\ m'_\nu; \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} J \\ m_\nu \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{(n_1)I_n m_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1; J' \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1 I_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{matrix} J' \\ m'_\nu; m_n \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} I_n \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)n_1 I_n | \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} | (\lambda, \mu)n'_1 I'_n \rangle}{\sqrt{2I_n+1}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} I_n \\ m_n; \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} I'_n \\ m'_n \end{matrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $m_\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\nu_2 - \nu_3)$ ,  $m_n = \frac{1}{2}(n_2 - n_3)$  etc. Here, we have introduced the usual factorization of an  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  CG coefficient into a *reduced* (or doubled-barred) coefficient and an  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  CG:

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'; I'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \mu; I \end{matrix} \right\rangle := \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1; I'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \mu_1; I \end{matrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{matrix} J \\ m_\nu; m'_n \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} I'_n \\ m_\mu \end{matrix} \right\rangle. \quad (30)$$



A similar recursion can be obtained starting with  $\hat{C}_{13}$ , so that we have a generalized form Eq.(29) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = & \sum_{(\nu_1)J} \frac{\langle (p_1, q_1)\nu_1; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (p_1, q_1)\nu'_1; J' \rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle} \\
 & \times \sum_{m_\nu} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} J \\ m_\nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} I'_n \\ m'_n \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} J' \\ m'_\nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} J \\ m_\nu \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\
 & + \sum_{(n_1)I_n} \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)n_1 I_n \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\lambda, \mu)n'_1 I'_n \rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1; J' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1 I_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle} \\
 & \times \sum_{m_n} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} J' \\ m'_\nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} I_n \\ m_n \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} I_n \\ m_n \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} I'_n \\ m'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle. \quad (31)
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication by  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} J' \\ m'_\nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \tilde{J} \\ \tilde{m}_\nu \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  followed by summation over  $m'_\nu$  and  $m$  produces, upon using the orthogonality property of CGs under summation, a simplification of Eq.(31) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = & \sum_{(\nu_1)} \frac{\langle (p_1, q_1)\nu_1 \tilde{J} \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (p_1, q_1)\nu'_1 J' \rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 \tilde{J} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle} \\
 & \times \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} \tilde{J} \\ \tilde{m}_\nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} I'_n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\
 & + \sum_{(n_1)I_n} \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)n_1 I_n \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\lambda, \mu)n'_1 I'_n \rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 \tilde{J}' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1 I_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle} \\
 & \times \sum_{km'_\nu m_n} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} I'_n \\ m'_n \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} I_n \\ m_n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} J' \\ m'_\nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} I_n \\ m_n \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} J' \\ m'_\nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \tilde{J} \\ \tilde{m}_\nu \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle. \quad (32)
 \end{aligned}$$

The arguments in the product of the three CGs can be rearranged to an expression containing an  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  6j-symbol [1] leading to a direct recursion for the reduced CG:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1-1; \tilde{J} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1; I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\
 & = (2\tilde{J}+1) \sum_{I_n} \frac{\langle (\lambda, \mu)n'_1-1; I_n \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\lambda, \mu)n'_1 I'_n \rangle}{\langle (p_1, q_1)\nu'_1-1; \tilde{J} \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (p_1, q_1)\nu'_1; J' \rangle} (-1)^{I_n+J'+\frac{1}{2}q_2+1} \\
 & \times \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 J' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1-1; I_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & J' & \tilde{J} \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 & I'_n & I_n \end{smallmatrix} \right\}. \quad (33)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar manipulations can also yield a slightly different recursion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1; J' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1-1; \tilde{I}_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\
 & = (2\tilde{I}_n+1) \sum_J \frac{\langle (p_1, q_1)\nu'_1-1; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (p_1, q_1)\nu'_1; J' \rangle}{\langle (\lambda, \mu)n'_1-1; \tilde{I}_n \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\lambda, \mu)n'_1 I'_n \rangle} \\
 & \times (-1)^{J'-\tilde{I}_n+\frac{1}{2}q_2+2I'_n} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1-1; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1; I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & J' & J \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 & I'_n & \tilde{I}_n \end{smallmatrix} \right\}. \quad (34)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that in Eqs.(33) and (34), the steps in the angular momenta are at most  $J' = J \pm \frac{1}{2}$  and  $I'_n = \tilde{I}_n \pm \frac{1}{2}$ , *i.e.* the right hand side of the recursion of Eqs.(33) and (34) contain at most two terms. This can be compared with [26]. If the multiplicity of  $(p_2, q_2)$  in the tensor product is 1, every reduced CG can be recursively determined

in terms of a single “seed” coefficient. If the multiplicity is  $\sigma$ , the recursion leads to a final expression involving a general linear combination of  $\sigma$  coefficients subject only to a single normalization constraint; in other words there is more than one possible highest weight (unsurprisingly!), and there is no  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  argument to further specify each highest weight state: one must resort to other symmetries or arguments to fix the linear combinations.

The recursion of Eq.(28) clearly does not depend on the ordering of  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(\lambda, \mu)$ . It follows that the CGs  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n' I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2} q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  and  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n' I'_n \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2} q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  satisfy the same recursion relations. They thus differ by at most a phase, which depends on the seed coefficient of the recursion. The phase convention we use [27] is to take

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n' I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \geq 0. \quad (35)$$

where  $\tilde{I}'_n$  is the largest value of  $I'_n$  compatible with  $n'$ .

This is a convenient place to expand on this phase convention. The construction of Eq.(2) contains within it an implicit choice of phases since the ordering of the terms in the products is chosen by the definition of the states. It turns out that this implicit convention is not completely compatible with the choice of Eq.(35). This is best illustrated with an example: the highest weight state of the irrep  $(0, 1)$  constructed according to Eq.(2) is

$$|(0, 1)210; \frac{1}{2}\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{a}_{11}^\dagger \hat{a}_{22}^\dagger - \hat{a}_{12}^\dagger \hat{a}_{21}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle \quad (36)$$

and can easily be identified with the linear combination

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |(1, 0)100\rangle_1 |(1, 0)010\rangle_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |(1, 0)010\rangle_1 |(1, 0)100\rangle_2, \quad (37)$$

which does not satisfy the convention of Eq.(35).

Although this phase mismatch is unfortunate, there are good reasons to retain Eq.(35) since  $SU(3)$  group functions [23] can be used to obtain CGs. If the irrep  $(p_2, q_2)$  occurs once in the decomposition of  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu)$ , one can evaluate the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\Omega D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p_1, q_1)}(\Omega) D_{n' I'_n; n' I'_n}^{(\lambda, \mu)}(\Omega) \left( D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p_2, q_2)}(\Omega) \right)^* \\ &= \frac{\text{vol}}{\dim(p_2, q_2)} \left| \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n' I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where  $n' = (n'_1 n'_2 n'_3)$  satisfies

$$p_1 + q_1 + n'_1 = p_2 + q_2 + k, \quad (39)$$

$$q_1 + n'_2 = q_2 + k, \quad (40)$$

$$n'_3 = k, \quad (41)$$

$I'_n = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1)$ , and [28][29][30]

$$d\Omega = \sin \beta_1 \cos \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 \left( \sin \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 \right)^3 \sin \beta_3 d\alpha_1 d\alpha_2 d\alpha_3 d\gamma_1 d\gamma_2 d\beta_1 d\beta_2 d\beta_3. \quad (42)$$

The integral can be evaluated analytically since functions of the type  $D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p_1, q_1)}(\Omega)$  have a fairly simple form:

$$D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p, q)}(\Omega) = \left( D_{(100)0; (100)0}^{(1, 0)}(\Omega) \right)^p \left( D_{(110)\frac{1}{2}; (110)\frac{1}{2}}^{(0, 1)}(\Omega) \right)^q. \quad (43)$$

where

$$D_{(100)0;(100)0}^{(1,0)}(\Omega) = e^{-2i\gamma_1}(\cos(\frac{1}{2}\beta_2)) \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(110)\frac{1}{2};(110)\frac{1}{2}}^{(0,1)}(\Omega) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}i(2\alpha_1+2\gamma_1+\gamma_2)} \\ &\times (e^{i\alpha_1} \cos(\frac{1}{2}\beta_1) \cos(\frac{1}{2}\beta_3) - e^{i\alpha_3} \sin(\frac{1}{2}\beta_1) \cos(\frac{1}{2}\beta_2) \sin(\frac{1}{2}\beta_3)). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

### 3.2. General expression for the CG using 9j symbols

Suppose we are to construct the CG coefficients for the direct product  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  for  $(p_2, q_2)$  is fixed, i.e. if the irrep  $(p_2, q_2)$  occurs more than once in the decomposition  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu)$  then we have selected a particular copy.

We suppose we are given the highest weight state

$$\begin{aligned} &|(p_2, q_2)_{\text{hw}}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\nu J(n)I_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n; I_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle |(p_1, q_1)\nu; J\rangle |(\lambda, \mu)n; I_n\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

of Eq.(21) with explicit knowledge of CGs  $\left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n; I_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2, q_2, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle$ . These can be obtained using the recursion of Eq.(28) or any other means.

We identify a target state  $|(p_2, q_2)p_2 + q_2 - p, \frac{1}{2}(q_2 + p) + M_I, \frac{1}{2}(q_2 + p) - M_I; I\rangle$ . From this

$$\begin{aligned} &|(p_2, q_2)p_2 + q_2 - p, \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + q_2 + p) + I, \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + q_2 + p) - I; I\rangle \\ &\times \frac{\langle (p_2, q_2)p_2 + q_2 - p; I | \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} | (p_2, q_2)p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \rangle}{\sqrt{2I+1}} \\ &= \sum_{s(m_p)} \left\langle \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 - s \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}p \\ m_p \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} I \\ I \end{matrix} \right\rangle \sum_{\nu_1 J(n_1)I_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1; I_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \sum_{m_\nu m_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} J \\ m_\nu \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} I_n \\ m_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 - s \end{matrix} \right\rangle \sum_{j_a} \frac{p!}{(2j_a)!(p-2j_a)!} \sum_{m_a m_b} \left\langle \begin{matrix} j_a \\ m_a \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}p-j_a \\ m_b \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}p \\ m_p \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \left[ \hat{T}_{m_a}^{j_a} |(p_1, q_1)\nu; J\rangle \right] \left[ \hat{T}_{m_b}^{\frac{1}{2}p-j_a} |(\lambda, \mu)n; I_n\rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

and one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1; J' \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1; I'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2-p; I \end{matrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{matrix} J' \\ m'_\nu \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} I'_n \\ m'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} I \\ I \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \frac{\langle (p_2, q_2)p_2 + q_2 - p; I | \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} | (p_2, q_2)p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \rangle}{\sqrt{2I+1}} \\ &= \sum_{s(m_p)} \left\langle \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 - s \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}p \\ m_p \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} I \\ I \end{matrix} \right\rangle \sum_{\nu_1 J(n_1)I_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; J \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1; I_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \sum_{m_\nu m_n} \left\langle \begin{matrix} J \\ m_\nu \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} I_n \\ m_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 - s \end{matrix} \right\rangle \sum_{j_a} \frac{p!}{(2j_a)!(p-2j_a)!} \sum_{m_a m_b} \left\langle \begin{matrix} j_a \\ m_a \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}p-j_a \\ m_b \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}p \\ m_p \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \langle (p_1, q_1)\nu'; J' | \hat{T}_{m_a}^{j_a} |(p_1, q_1)\nu; J\rangle \langle (\lambda, \mu)n'; I'_n | \hat{T}_{m_b}^{\frac{1}{2}p-j_a} |(\lambda, \mu)n; I_n\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Inserting the expressions for the matrix elements of the  $\hat{T}^j$  operators produces an expression containing a 4-fold product of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  CGs, which can be reduced to an

expression containing an  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$   $9j$  symbol. Technical manipulations then produces the final expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1; J' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'_1; I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2-p; I \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{p-I-J'-I'_n} \sqrt{(2I+1)(q_2+1)(p+1)}}{\langle (p_2, q_2) p_2 + q_2 - p; I \parallel \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \parallel (p_2, q_2) p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \rangle} \\
 &\times \sum_{\nu_1(n_1)JI_n} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1; I_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \nu_1 - \nu'_1 \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}q_2+J+I_n} \\
 &\times \langle (p_1, q_1) \nu'_1 J' \parallel \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1-\nu'_1)} \parallel (p_1, q_1) \nu_1 J \rangle \langle (\lambda, \mu) n'_1 I'_n \parallel \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-\nu_1+\nu'_1)} \parallel (\lambda, \mu) n_1 I_n \rangle \\
 &\times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} I_n & J & \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(p-\nu_1+\nu'_1) & \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1-\nu'_1) & \frac{1}{2}p \\ I'_n & J' & I \end{array} \right\} \quad (49)
 \end{aligned}$$

This expression has a clear interpretation. From  $|(p_2, q_2) p_2 + q_2, q_2 - s, s; \frac{1}{2}q_2\rangle$  we use Eq.(7) to lower to the desired state in  $(p_2, q_2)$ . It is then a matter of expanding Eq.(7) using  $\hat{C}_{j1} = \hat{C}_{j1}^{(1)} + \hat{C}_{j1}^{(2)}$ , with  $\hat{C}_{j1}^{(i)}$  acting on states in  $(p_1, q_1)$  for  $i = 1$  and on states in  $(\lambda, \mu)$  for  $i = 2$ . The summation captures the expression of the highest weight state as a sum of states in  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(\lambda, \mu)$ . The products of the type  $(\hat{C}_{31}^{(i)})^{s_i-m_i} (\hat{C}_{21}^{(i)})^{s_i+m_i}$  are then re-expressed as tensors so Eq.(17) can be used to clean up the final result.

#### 4. Weyl symmetries of the SU(3) CGs

The weight of a state is related to the occupation numbers by  $(n_1 - n_2, n_2 - n_3)$ . The permutation group acts on the occupational basis by permuting  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  and produces a Weyl reflection of the original weight  $(n_1 - n_2, n_2 - n_3)$ . In this section we discuss the effect of the permutation group on the CG coefficients through its permutation of occupation numbers of states in  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , and deduce the corresponding symmetry of the CG coefficient.

##### 4.1. The action of the permutation group

In order to discuss Weyl symmetries of SU(3) CGs, we need to make a distinction between the states constructed by direct product of  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , i.e. the states obtained from the highest weight state of Eq.(21) - in this section these will be denoted by  $|(p_2, q_2) \nu I\rangle_k$  with the subindex  $k$  - from those of Eq.(2), denoted in this section by  $|(p_2, q_2) \nu I\rangle$  without the subindex  $k$ . The difference is, up to an overall normalization, a power of the determinant factor of Eq.(24).

The permutation group  $S_3$  acts on the operators  $\{\hat{a}_{1\alpha}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{2\alpha}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{3\alpha}^\dagger\}$  as

$$P_\sigma \hat{a}_{i\alpha}^\dagger P_\sigma^{-1} = \hat{a}_{\sigma(i)\alpha}^\dagger, \quad \sigma \in S_3. \quad (50)$$

Thus, consider now

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n; I_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ N; I_N \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle_k = \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n; I_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel P_\sigma^{-1} P_\sigma \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ N; I_N \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle_k. \quad (51)$$

Because of the extra determinant factor in  $|(p_2, q_2) N; I_N\rangle_k$ , the action of  $P_\sigma$  on  $|(p_2, q_2) N; I_N\rangle_k$  differs from the action of  $P_\sigma$  on  $|(p_2, q_2) N; I_N\rangle$  by a phase factor  $(-1)^k$  when the permutation  $\sigma$  contains an odd number of transpositions, as this corresponds to an odd number of transpositions of lines (or columns) in the determinant factor of

Eq.(24). The determinant of course does not affect the absolute value of the CG since this determinant transforms by the trivial irrep  $(0,0)$ . Thus, defining

$$\sigma(k) := \begin{cases} k & \text{if } P_\sigma = P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23}, \\ 0 & \text{if } P_\sigma = \mathbb{1}, P_{123}, P_{132} \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

we find  $P_\sigma |(p_2, q_2)N; I_N\rangle_k = (-1)^{\sigma(k)} |(p_2, q_2)N; I_N\rangle$ . With this, we can expand Eq.(51) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu; J \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n; I_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ N; I_N \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{\sigma(k)} \sum_{I'_N J' I'_n} \langle (p_1, q_1) \nu J | P_\sigma^{-1} | (p_1, q_1) \nu' J' \rangle \\ & \times \langle (\lambda, \mu) n I_n | P_\sigma^{-1} | (p_1, q_1) n' I'_n \rangle \langle (p_2, q_2) N' J' | P_\sigma | (p_2, q_2) N J \rangle \\ & \times \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'; I' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n'; I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ N'; I'_N \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

All the states on the right hand side are now defined as per Eq.(2), so the results of [23] on the action of  $P_\sigma$  can be imported without modification.

If, for instance  $(p_1, q_1), (\lambda, \mu), (p_2, q_2)$  are given respectively by  $(5, 1), (4, 0)$  and  $(3, 4)$ , we have  $k = 0$  and easily find that the action of  $P_{12}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (5, 1) \\ 241; \frac{3}{2} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (4, 0) \\ 121; \frac{3}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (3, 4) \\ 362; 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\frac{7}{15}} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (5, 1) \\ 421; \frac{3}{2} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (4, 0) \\ 211; 1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (3, 4) \\ 632; \frac{5}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{7}{15}} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (5, 1) \\ 421; \frac{3}{2} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (4, 0) \\ 211; 1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (3, 4) \\ 632; \frac{3}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{8}{15}} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (5, 1) \\ 421; \frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (4, 0) \\ 211; 1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (3, 4) \\ 632; \frac{3}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \right], \quad (54) \\ & = -\frac{7}{20\sqrt{2}}. \quad (55) \end{aligned}$$

A graphical illustration is given in Fig.4. The initial state of the irrep  $|(3, 4)362; 2\rangle$  is reflected to a linear combination of the  $|(3, 4)632; \frac{3}{2}\rangle$  and  $|(3, 4)632; \frac{5}{2}\rangle$ : the CGs involving  $|(3, 4)362; 2\rangle$  are then linear combinations of those for the  $|(3, 4)632; \frac{3}{2}\rangle$  and  $|(3, 4)632; \frac{5}{2}\rangle$  states.

This makes it clear that, in principle, we only need to obtain CGs for those states  $|(p_2, q_2)N J\rangle$  in the dominant Weyl sector. Those states have non-negative weights, so that  $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq N_3$ . The weight diagram of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  contains  $3! = 6$  Weyl chambers, so in principle, one can “save” some computational effort in evaluating the CGs [11]. Unfortunately, as the example above and the two examples below illustrate, the Weyl relations usually involves expressing a generic CG as a linear combination of CGs in the dominant Weyl sector, resulting in much less than a 6-fold savings in the actual evaluation. In addition, the Weyl symmetries are symmetries of the full rather than the reduced coefficients, meaning that additional manipulations beyond the evaluation of reduced CGs are required to efficiently use these symmetries.

#### 4.2. The example of $(1, 1) \otimes (\sigma, \sigma)$

The decomposition of the direct product  $(1, 1) \otimes (\sigma, \sigma)$  contains two copies of  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ , which we label by  $\rho = 1, 2$ . In such cases, the recursion relation of CG ends where CGs are expressed as combinations of two CGs involving the states of the  $(1, 1)$  irrep.

We note that generators are components of an  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  tensor operators  $\mathcal{T}^{(1,1)}$  and so, using the Wigner-Eckart theorem, their matrix elements contains Clebsch-Gordan




Figure 4: The reflection of  $|(3,4)362; 2\rangle$  is a linear combination of  $|(3,4)632; \frac{3}{2}\rangle$  and  $|(3,4)632; \frac{5}{2}\rangle$ .

coefficients. Following [22][16], we define the  $\rho = 1$  coefficients so the matrix elements of the generators contain only  $\rho = 1$  CGs. Coefficients with  $\rho = 2$  are obtained by orthogonality. The table below gives explicit expressions for the highest weight state of each copy.

	$\left  \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle_1$	$\left  \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle_2$
$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (1,1) \\ 1;1 \end{smallmatrix} ; \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\ $	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma+1}{2\sigma+3}}$
$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (1,1) \\ 1;0 \end{smallmatrix} ; \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\ $	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+2}}$	$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma(2\sigma+1)}{(\sigma+2)(2\sigma+3)}}$
$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (1,1) \\ 2; \frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix} ; \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma-1, \frac{1}{2}(\sigma+1) \end{smallmatrix} \right\ $	$\sqrt{\frac{\sigma+2}{2(\sigma+1)(\sigma+2)}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3(2\sigma+1)}{2(\sigma+1)(2\sigma+3)}}$
$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (1,1) \\ 2; \frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix} ; \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma-1, \frac{1}{2}(\sigma-1) \end{smallmatrix} \right\ $	$-\sqrt{\frac{(2\sigma+1)}{2(\sigma+1)(\sigma+2)}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2(\sigma+1)(\sigma+2)(2\sigma+3)}}$

Table 1: Reduced CGs for the highest weight states of the two copies of  $(\sigma, \sigma)$  in  $(1,1) \otimes (\sigma, \sigma)$ .

As an application of the relations between CGs obtained from Weyl symmetries, we choose  $\sigma = 3$  we start by writing the full Clebsch-Gordan coefficient in terms of the reduced CG and an SU(2) CG. Thus for instance

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (1,1) \\ 111;1 \end{smallmatrix} ; \begin{smallmatrix} (3,3) \\ 342;2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (3,3) \\ 342;3 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle_\rho = \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (1,1) \\ 1;1 \end{smallmatrix} ; \begin{smallmatrix} (3,3) \\ 3;2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (3,3) \\ 3;3 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle_\rho \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} 1, 2 \\ 0, 1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \quad (56)$$

Choosing for instance the permutation  $P_{12}$  (though any permutation will do) and  $\rho = 2$  the full CG can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} (1,1) & (3,3) \\ 111;1 & 342;2 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} (3,3) \\ 342;3 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_2 &= - \sum_{I_1, I_2, I_3} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} (1,1) & (3,3) \\ 1;I_1 & 4;I_2 \end{pmatrix} \parallel \begin{pmatrix} (3,3) \\ 4;I_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_2 \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & I_2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} I_3 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &\times \langle (1,1)111; I_1 | P_{12} | (1,1)111; 1 \rangle \langle (3,3)432; I_2 | P_{12} | (3,3)342; 2 \rangle \\ &\times \langle (3,3)432; I_3 | P_{12} | (3,3)342; 3 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where the action of  $P_{12}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\lambda, \mu) \nu_2 \nu_1 \nu_3; I' | P_{12} | (\lambda, \mu) \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3; I \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(\nu_3 - 2I - 2I' + 2\mu - \lambda)/2} \sqrt{(2I+1)(2I'+1)} \begin{Bmatrix} \nu_1/2 & \nu_3/2 & I' \\ \nu_2/2 & \lambda/2 & I \end{Bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

The possible values of  $I_1$  are 0 and 1,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  can take the same values of  $1/2, 3/2$ , or  $5/2$ :

	$P_{12} (1,1)111;1\rangle$	$P_{12} (3,3)342;2\rangle$	$P_{12} (3,3)342;3\rangle$
$\langle(1,1)111;0 $	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$		
$\langle(1,1)111;1 $	$-\frac{1}{2}$		
$\langle(3,3)432;\frac{1}{2} $		$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{7}{10}}$
$\langle(3,3)432;\frac{3}{2} $		$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{\sqrt{7}}{5}$
$\langle(3,3)432;\frac{5}{2} $		$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{7}{5}}$	$\frac{1}{5\sqrt{2}}$

Table 2: All possible matrix elements for Eq.(57). All empty entries are 0.

There are ten possible non-trivial combinations of  $(I_1, I_2, I_3)$ , thus the sum contains ten terms. Combining all of these terms, the sum gives an answer of

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} (1,1) & (3,3) \\ 111;1 & 342;2 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} (3,3) \\ 342;3 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_2 = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{15}, \quad (59)$$

which (of course) is correct.

## 5. Simpler cases of highest weight states

In this section we discuss some practical applications and specialized results. In particular we discuss the application of the recursion relation for highest weight of  $(p_2, q_2)$  in  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0)$  with emphasis on the  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (2, 0)$ . The resulting table agrees with previous results given in [22].

### 5.1. Highest weight states for $(p_2, q_2)$ with $k = 0$ .

In this subsection (and this subsection only) we denote by  $\mathfrak{su}_{ij}(2)$  the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  spanned by  $\{\hat{C}_{ij}, \hat{C}_{ji}, [\hat{C}_{ij}, \hat{C}_{ji}]\}$ . We denote the irreps of this subalgebra by  $I_{ij}$ .

Suppose we have  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  so that

$$p_1 + 2q_1 + \lambda + 2\mu = p_2 + 2q_2. \quad (60)$$

In other words, we have a case where  $k = 0$  in Eq.(22).

As the occupation numbers then satisfy  $\nu_3 + n_3 = 0$  for the highest weight state of  $(p_2, q_2)$ , the expansion of  $|(p_2, q_2)\text{hw}\rangle$  is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & |(p_2, q_2)p_2 + q_2, q_2, 0; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n_1 n_2 \nu_1 \nu_2} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 \nu_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1 n_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}n_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2, q_2, 0; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ & \quad \times |(p_1, q_1)\nu_1 \nu_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2\rangle |(\lambda, \mu)n_1 n_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}n_2\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Since the action of  $\hat{C}_{k3}$  kills every state in Eq.(61), it is convenient instead to define a new basis where the states are coupled so as to form a multiplet of the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{su}_{12}(2)$  spanned by  $\hat{C}_{12}$ ,  $\hat{C}_{21}$  and  $\hat{C}_{11} - \hat{C}_{22}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |(\lambda, \mu)\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3; I_{12}\rangle &:= \sum \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_1, \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2 \\ m_1, m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} I_{12} \\ N_{12} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} I_{12}, \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_3 \\ N_{12}, m_3 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda \\ \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ & \quad \times |\tfrac{1}{2}\nu_1, m_1\rangle |\tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2, m_2\rangle |\tfrac{1}{2}\nu_3, m_3\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

with the  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  state denoted by a round ket for clarity. The original basis states are related to this new basis by

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda, \mu)\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3; I_{12} | (\lambda, \mu)\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3; I_{23}\rangle \\ &= (-1)^\lambda \sqrt{(2I_{23} + 1)(2I_{12} + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_1 & \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2 & I_{12} \\ \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_3 & \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda & I_{23} \end{Bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where the subscripts 12 and 23 have been added to the angular momentum labels to identify the appropriate  $\mathfrak{su}_{ij}(2)$  subalgebra. For  $\nu_3 = 0$ , this collapses to the simple expression

$$|(\lambda, \mu)\nu_1 \nu_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2\rangle = (-1)^\mu |(\lambda, \mu)\nu_1 \nu_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda\rangle. \quad (64)$$

As could have been guessed, all the states  $|(p_2, q_2), p_2 + q_2, p_2, 0; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2\rangle$  with  $\nu_3 = 0$  are in fact, elements of a single  $\mathfrak{su}_{12}(2)$  multiplet. The recursion relation generated by  $\hat{C}_{12}$  will be, up to an overall phase, identical to the  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  recursion relation generated by  $\hat{L}_+$  on  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  states. As a result the SU(3) CG for the highest  $(p_2, q_2)$  weight state in the  $\mathfrak{su}_{23}(2)$  basis is proportional to the overlap of states in the  $\mathfrak{su}_{12}(2)$  basis:

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 \nu_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1 n_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}n_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2, q_2, 0; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle = \xi \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}p_1 \\ \tfrac{1}{2}(\nu_1 - \nu_2); \tfrac{1}{2}(n_1 - n_2) \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda \\ \tfrac{1}{2}p_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \quad (65)$$

with  $|\xi| = 1$ . If we now factor

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 \nu_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1 n_2 0; \tfrac{1}{2}n_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2, q_2, 0; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; \tfrac{1}{2}(p_1 - \nu_1) - q_2 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1; \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda - n_1) - \mu \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2, \tfrac{1}{2}n_2 \\ \tfrac{1}{2}\nu_2, \tfrac{1}{2}n_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

$$= \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; \tfrac{1}{2}(p_1 - \nu_1) - q_1 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1; \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda - n_1) - \mu \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \quad (67)$$

since the regular SU(2) CG is +1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; \tfrac{1}{2}(p_1 - \nu_1) - q_1 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ n_1; \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda - n_1) - \mu \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \tfrac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}p_1 \\ \nu_1 - q_1 - \tfrac{1}{2}p_1 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda \\ n_1 - \mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} \tfrac{1}{2}p_2 \\ \tfrac{1}{2}p_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where the phase  $\xi$  has been adjusted in accordance with the convention of Eq.(35).



5.2. Highest Weight state of  $(p_2, q_2)$  in  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0)$ .

We look now at the recursion relation of Eqs.(33) and (34) in the case  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0)$ . The decomposition of this direct product is multiplicity-free, *i.e.* an irrep  $(p_2, q_2)$  occurs in this decomposition at most once [31][32][33].

In this special case, two key simplifications to the recursions for the highest weight state are that  $I_n = I'_n + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $I'_n = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1)$ . With these we can eliminate the sum in Eq.(33), use exact expressions for the  $6j$  symbol and matrix elements, and obtain an explicit relation between the steps in the recursion relation. Those can further be divided into two possible cases: one where  $\tilde{J} = J' + \frac{1}{2}$  and one where  $\tilde{J} = J' - \frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $\tilde{J} = J' + \frac{1}{2}$ , the recursion specializes to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 - 1; J' + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1) \sqrt{\frac{2n'_1(J' + 1)(\frac{1}{2}(q_2 + \lambda - n'_1 + 1) - J')(\frac{1}{2}(q_2 - \lambda + n'_1 + 1) + J')}{(2J' + 1)(\frac{1}{2}(p_1 + \nu_1) - J')(\frac{1}{2}(p_1 - \nu_1) + J' + 1)(\frac{1}{2}(p_1 + 2q_1 - \nu_1) + J' + 2)}} \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; J' \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1 + 1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

while for  $\tilde{J} = J' - \frac{1}{2}$ , the recursion relation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 - 1; J' - \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n'_1(2J')(\frac{1}{2}(1 - q_2 + \lambda - n'_1) + J')(\frac{1}{2}(3 + q_2 + \lambda - n'_1) + J')}{(2J' + 1)(\frac{1}{2}(p_1 + \nu_1) + J' + 1)(\frac{1}{2}(p_1 + 2q_1 - \nu_1) - J' + 1)(\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1 - p_1) + J')}} \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; J' \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1 + 1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

We now make the following observation: if  $|(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1\bar{\nu}_2\bar{\nu}_3; I\rangle$  is a highest weight of the  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  subalgebra spanned by  $\{\hat{C}_{23}, \hat{C}_{32}, \hat{h}_2\}$ , so is  $\hat{C}_{21}|(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1\bar{\nu}_2\bar{\nu}_3; I\rangle$ . This follows by noting that an  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  highest weight state satisfies  $\hat{C}_{32}|(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1\bar{\nu}_2\bar{\nu}_3; I\rangle = 0$  and that

$$\hat{C}_{32}\hat{C}_{21}|(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1\bar{\nu}_2\bar{\nu}_3; I\rangle = \hat{C}_{21}\hat{C}_{32}|(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1\bar{\nu}_2\bar{\nu}_3; I\rangle = 0 \quad (71)$$

by assumption on  $|(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1\bar{\nu}_2\bar{\nu}_3; I\rangle$ . Since

$$\hat{C}_{21}|(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1\bar{\nu}_2\bar{\nu}_3; I\rangle \propto |(p_1, q_1)\bar{\nu}_1 - 1, \bar{\nu}_2 + 1, \bar{\nu}_3; I + \frac{1}{2}\rangle, \quad (72)$$

chains of highest weights with constant value of  $\nu_3$  and with  $I$  values increasing by  $\frac{1}{2}$  can be used to generate a recursion for the reduced CGs of the appropriate multiplets. The general situation is illustrated in Fig.5, where some of the  $(p_1, q_1)$  occupation numbers needed to construct the highest weight of  $(p_2, q_2)$  are identified, as well as arrows connecting states obtained using the recursion of Eq.(69).

The number of  $\nu_3$ -chains and their length is determined by  $\lambda$  and  $(p_2, q_2)$ . We have  $p_1 + 2q_1 + \lambda = p_2 + 2q_2 + 3k$ ; the possible occupation numbers in  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(\lambda, 0)$  that can enter in the highest weight state of  $(p_2, q_2)$  satisfy

$$\nu_1 + n'_1 = p_2 + q_2 + k, \quad \nu_2 + n'_2 = q_2 + k, \quad \nu_3 + n'_3 = k. \quad (73)$$

Since  $n'_i$  is non-negative, it is clear that the largest possible value of  $\nu_3$  is  $k$ ; for  $\nu_3 = k$ , the chain contains a single state.




Figure 5: Various chains of  $(p_1, q_1)$  states linked by the recursion of Eq.(69). States in each chain have constant  $\nu_3$  occupation number.

**5.2.1. The  $\nu_3 = 0$  chain** The states in  $(p_1, q_1)$  with  $\nu_3 = 0$  are in fact highest weight states of an  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  multiplet with  $I = \frac{1}{2}\nu_2$ , and can be used to obtain the reduced CGs for the multiplet. Since  $\nu_2$  increases by one as we go down the chain, the sequence of  $I$  quantum numbers along this chain is  $\frac{1}{2}q_2, \frac{1}{2}(q_2 + 1), \frac{1}{2}(q_2 + 2) \dots$  until  $\frac{1}{2}(q_2 + p_2)$ .

The  $\nu_3 = 0$  chain consists of the sequence of  $(p_1, q_1)$  states occupation numbers  $(p_1 + q_1, q_1, 0) \leftarrow (p_1 + q_1 - 1, q_1 + 1, 0) \leftarrow (p_1 + q_1 - 2, q_1 + 2, 0)$ . The state  $|(p_1, q_1)p_1 + q_1, q_1, 0; \frac{1}{2}q_1\rangle$  is the highest weight state of  $(p_1, q_1)$ . The first two steps of the recursion yield:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 1) \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n'_1(q_2 - q_1 + \lambda - n'_1 + 1)(q_2 + q_1 - \lambda + n'_1 + 1)}{p_1(q_1 + 1)}} \\ &\times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2}q_1 \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1 + 1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1 + q_1 - 2; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 2) \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 + 1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1 - 1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(n'_1 + 1)(q_2 - q_1 + \lambda - n'_1 - 1)(q_2 + q_1 - \lambda + n'_1 + 3)}{2(p_1 - 1)(q_1 + 2)}} \\ &\times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 1) \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

It is not hard to generalize the recursion to any step in the  $\nu_3 = 0$  chain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-(a+1); \frac{1}{2}(q_1+a+1) \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1+a; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1-a) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(n'_1+a)(q_2-q_1+\lambda-n'_1-2a+1)(q_2+q_1-\lambda+n'_1+2a+1)}{(a+1)(p_1-a)(q_1+a+1)}} \\
 &\times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-a; \frac{1}{2}(q_1+a) \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1+a-1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1-(a-1)) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \quad (76)
 \end{aligned}$$

**5.2.2. The  $\nu_3 = 1$  chain** The weights of states with  $\nu_3 = 1$  generally occurs twice: one of the state is in the same multiplet as a  $\nu_3 = 0$  multiplet, so the reduced CG for this case is known from the  $\nu_3 = 0$  chain. The remaining state with  $\nu_3 = 1$  is the highest weight state of an  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  multiplet, with  $I = \frac{1}{2}(\nu_2 - 1)$  and can be used to compute the reduced CG for this multiplet, and so forth.

While the  $\nu_3 = 0$  chain exists for all  $k$  values (recall  $k = \frac{1}{3}(p_1 + 2q_1 + \lambda - p_2 - 2q_2)$ ), the positivity restriction  $\nu_3 + n'_3 = k$  of Eq.(73) shows that, for the  $\nu_3 = 1$  chain to exist,  $k \geq 1$ , and more generally a chain with fixed  $\nu_3$  will exist only if  $k \geq \nu_3$ .

The first step of the  $\nu_3 = 1$  chain, for instance, comes out out as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-2; \frac{1}{2}q_1 \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1+1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1-1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(n'_1+1)(q_1+1)(q_2-q_1+\lambda-n'_1+1)(q_2+q_1-\lambda+n'_1+1)}{q_1 p_1 (q_1+2)}} \\
 &\times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1-1) \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \quad (77)
 \end{aligned}$$

### 5.3. Moving across $\nu_3$ chains

The recursion relation of Eq.(70) for  $\tilde{J} = J' - \frac{1}{2}$  can be used to relate neighbouring chains of states in Fig.5, by connecting for instance  $(p_1 + q_1, q_1, 0) \leftarrow (p_1 + q_1 - 1, q_1, 1) \leftarrow (p_1 + q_1 - 2, q_1, 2)$  and so forth if there are additional chains:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1-1) \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n'_1(1-q_2+q_1+\lambda-n'_1)(3+q_2+q_1+\lambda-n'_1)}{(q_1+1)(p_1+q_1+1)}} \\
 &\times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1; \frac{1}{2}q_1 \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1-1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1+1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle, \quad (78)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-2; \frac{1}{2}(q_1-2) \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1+1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1-1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(n'_1+1)(-1-q_2+q_1+\lambda-n'_1)(1+q_2+q_1+\lambda-n'_1)}{2q_1(p_1+q_1)}} \\
 &\times \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1-1) \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \quad (79)
 \end{aligned}$$

It is of course also possible to use Eq.(70) for  $\tilde{J} = J' - \frac{1}{2}$  to connect, for instance,  $(p_1 + q_1 - 1, q_1 + 1, 0) \leftarrow (p_1 + q_1 - 2, q_1 + 1, 1)$ :

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1+q_1-2; \frac{1}{2}q_1 \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1+1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-n'_1-1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(n'_1 + 1)(q_1 + 1)(1 - q_2 + q_1 + \lambda - n'_1)(3 + q_2 + q_1 + \lambda - n'_1)}{q_1(q_1 + 2)(p_1 + q_1 + 1)}} \\
 &\times \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 1) \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad (80)
 \end{aligned}$$

but these reduced CGs are not new as they are accessible by moving to the top of the  $\nu_3 = 1$  to the  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2}q_1 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - n'_1 + 1) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  reduced CGs, and then going across to the  $\nu_3 = 0$  chain using Eq.(78).

Systematic use of Eq.(70) for  $\tilde{J} = J' - \frac{1}{2}$  will allow the expression of an CG at the top of any  $\nu_3$  chain as a multiple of the reduced CG of the  $\nu_3 = 0$  chain of  $(p_1, q_1)$ .

#### 5.4. Example: the specific case of $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (2, 0)$ .

Equations (74-80) in the previous section are all we need to find explicit expressions for the case where  $\lambda = 2$ . The six possible  $(p_2, q_2)$  irreps here are  $(p_2, q_2) = \{(p_1 + 2, q_1), (p_1, q_1 + 1), (p_1, q_1 - 2), (p_1 - 2, q_1 + 2), (p_1 + 1, q_1 - 1), (p_1 - 1, q_1)\}$ . Using various substitutions to obtain each reduced CG in terms of the  $(p_1, q_1)$  highest weight state, and knowing that the sum of the squares of reduced CGs must add to one, we can obtain exact expressions for the separate reduced CGs as shown in Table 3. The expressions agree with, and expand Table 2 of [22] for the construction of the highest weight state; indeed the results of this table for  $(4, 0)$  can also be used as the seed coefficients for the recursion relations if results for  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (4, 0)$  are required.

### 6. Additional symmetries of $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$

In addition to the general Weyl symmetries of Sec.4, one can obtain further symmetries between the coupling coefficients for  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  and those for  $(\lambda, 0) \otimes (p_1, q_1) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  and  $(p_2, q_2) \otimes (0, \lambda) \rightarrow (p_1, q_1)$ .

The recursion relation for the direct product  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  is identical to that for  $(\lambda, 0) \otimes (p_1, q_1) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  but the first “seed” term in each recursion is different. In particular, the seed coefficient for  $(\lambda, 0) \otimes (p_1, q_1) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  will differ from the corresponding reduced CG for the product  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  by at most a phase, which trickles through the rest of the evaluation of the reduced CGs.

Also, by taking the complex conjugate of the integral relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int d\Omega D_{\nu'J';\text{hw}}^{(p_1, q_1)}(\Omega) D_{n'I'_n; \bar{n}I}^{(\lambda, 0)}(\Omega) (D_{\mu I; \text{hw}}^{(p_2, q_2)}(\Omega) \\
 &= \frac{\text{vol}}{\dim(p_2, q_2)} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'J' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \mu I \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \bar{n}I \end{smallmatrix} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \quad (81)
 \end{aligned}$$

we can relate coupling coefficients for  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$  to those in  $(p_2, q_2) \otimes (0, \lambda) \rightarrow (p_1, q_1)$ .

#### 6.1. Interchanging $(\lambda, 0)$ and $(p_1, q_1)$

We start by finding the phase of  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda; 0 \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$ . Careful bookkeeping of the phases in the recursion relation (33) shows that this phase is given by

$$\varepsilon := (-1)^{q_2 - q_1 + k}. \quad (82)$$

Table 3: Reduced CGs for highest weight of various  $(p_2, q_2)$  irreps for the tensor product  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (2, 0)$ .

$k$	$(p_2, q_2)$	$(\nu_1; I_1)$	$(n_1; I_2)$	$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1 I_1 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (2, 0) \\ n_1 I_2 \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2 + q_2; \frac{1}{2} q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$
0	$(p_1 + 2, q_1)$	$(p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2} q_1)$	$(2; 0)$	1
0	$(p_1, q_1 + 1)$	$(p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2} q_1)$	$(1; \frac{1}{2})$	$\sqrt{\frac{p_1}{p_1 + 2}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 1))$	$(2; 0)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{p_1 + 2}}$
0	$(p_1 - 2, q_1 + 2)$	$(p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2} q_1)$	$(0; 1)$	$\sqrt{\frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1 + 1}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 1))$	$(1; \frac{1}{2})$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2(p_1 - 1)}{p_1(p_1 + 1)}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 2; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 2))$	$(2, 0)$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{p_1(p_1 + 1)}}$
1	$(p_1 + 1, q_1 - 1)$	$(p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2} q_1)$	$(1; \frac{1}{2})$	$\sqrt{\frac{q_1 + p_1 + 1}{q_1 + p_1 + 3}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 - 1))$	$(2; 0)$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{q_1 + p_1 + 3}}$
1	$(p_1 - 1, q_1)$	$(p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2} q_1)$	$(0; 1)$	$\sqrt{\frac{p_1(q_1 + p_1 + 1)}{(p_1 + 1)(q_1 + p_1 + 2)}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + 1))$	$(1; \frac{1}{2})$	$-\sqrt{\frac{q_1(q_1 + p_1 + 1)}{(1 + q_1)(1 + p_1)(q_1 + p_1 + 2)}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 - 1))$	$(1; \frac{1}{2})$	$\sqrt{\frac{p_1(q_1 + 2)}{(1 + q_1)(1 + p_1)(q_1 + p_1 + 2)}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 2; \frac{1}{2} q_1)$	$(2; 0)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{(1 + p_1)(q_1 + p_1 + 2)}}$
2	$(p_1, q_1 - 2)$	$(p_1 + q_1; \frac{1}{2} q_1)$	$(0; 1)$	$\sqrt{\frac{q_1 + p_1}{q_1 + p_1 + 2}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 1; \frac{1}{2}(q_1 - 1))$	$(1; \frac{1}{2})$	$\sqrt{\frac{2(q_1 + p_1)}{(q_1 + p_1 + 1)(q_1 + p_1 + 2)}}$
		$(p_1 + q_1 - 2)$	$(2, 0)$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{(q_1 + p_1 + 1)(q_1 + p_1 + 2)}}$

Since  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda; 0 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  must be positive in the product  $(\lambda, 0) \otimes (p_1, q_1) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$ , we have

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda; 0 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle = \varepsilon \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda; 0 \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad (83)$$

and more generally for the  $(p_2, q_2)$  highest weight

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 J' \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \varepsilon (-1)^{J'+I'_n-\frac{1}{2}q_2} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 J' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Consider now the interchange of ordering of representations in Eq.(49). On the left hand side we have  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 J' \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2-p; I \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  while on the right we have a sum containing  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 J' \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2; \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  and the  $9j$ -symbol  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} J & I_n & \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1 - \nu'_1) & \frac{1}{2}(p - \nu_1 + \nu'_1) & \frac{1}{2}p \\ J' & I'_n & I \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ , where the first and second column in the symbol have been permuted w/r to the expression for  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0) \rightarrow (p_2, q_2)$ . Undoing this permutation yields a phase, and using Eq.(84) we obtain the final result:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 J' \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2-p; I \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{p+J'+I'_n+I-k+q_1} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu'_1 J' \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n'_1 I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ p_2+q_2-p; I \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

### 6.2. Interchanging $(p_1, q_1)$ and $(p_2, q_2)$

To understand the interchange of  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(p_2, q_2)$ , start from Eq.(38) take the complex conjugate of this to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\Omega \left( D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p_1, q_1)}(\Omega) \right)^* \left( D_{n' I'_n; n' I'_n}^{(\lambda, 0)}(\Omega) \right)^* D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p_2, q_2)}(\Omega) \\ &= \int d\Omega \left( D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p_1, q_1)}(\Omega) \right)^* D_{\tilde{n} \tilde{I}_n; \tilde{n} \tilde{I}_n}^{(0, \lambda)}(\Omega) D_{\text{hw}; \text{hw}}^{(p_2, q_2)}(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

$$= \frac{\text{vol}}{\dim(p_1, q_1)} \left| \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \tilde{n}, \tilde{I}_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \quad (87)$$

where  $\tilde{n} = (\lambda - n'_1, \lambda - n'_2, \lambda - n'_3)$  and  $\tilde{I}_n = I'_n$  and

$$\left( D_{n' I'_n; n' I'_n}^{(\lambda, 0)}(\Omega) \right)^* = D_{\tilde{n} \tilde{I}_n; \tilde{n} \tilde{I}_n}^{(0, \lambda)}(\Omega) \quad (88)$$

has been used. This last property can be verified from the definition of the  $(\lambda, 0)$  and  $(0, \lambda)$   $D$ -functions given in [23]. By convention  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n' I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  and  $\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \tilde{n}, \tilde{I}_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$  are positive so we find the intermediate result

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \tilde{n}, \tilde{I}_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\dim(p_1, q_1)}{\dim(p_2, q_2)}} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n' I'_n \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \text{hw} \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle. \quad (89)$$

We can now take the complex conjugate of the more general form

$$\int d\Omega D_{\nu' J'; \text{hw}}^{(p_1, q_1)}(\Omega) D_{\tilde{n} \tilde{I}_n; n' I'_n}^{(\lambda, 0)}(\Omega) \left( D_{\mu I; \text{hw}}^{(p_2, q_2)}(\Omega) \right)^* \quad (90)$$

and use

$$\left( D_{\tilde{n}\tilde{I}_n; n'I'_n}^{(\lambda,0)}(\Omega) \right)^* = (-1)^{\tilde{n}_2+q_2-q_1+k} D_{\tilde{n}\tilde{I}_n; \tilde{n}'I'_n}^{(0,\lambda)}(\Omega) \quad (91)$$

with  $\tilde{n}' = (\lambda - n'_1, \lambda - n'_2, \lambda - n'_3)$  to find the general result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \nu' J' \end{matrix}, \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \tilde{n}', I'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu' J' \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{n'_2+q_2-q_1+k} \sqrt{\frac{\dim(p_1, q_1)}{\dim(p_2, q_2)}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (p_1, q_1) \\ \nu' J' \end{matrix}, \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ n' I'_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ \mu I \end{matrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

## 7. Discussion and conclusion

In this work, we have shown how the use of an occupation basis to construct  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  basis states produces simplifications in the expressions of matrix elements, now expressed entirely in terms of quantities known from  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  technology.

Like [25], our results are based on a recursion relation to quickly evaluate the highest weight state. Once this is done, we can call on  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  technology to produce a final result. An approach to disentangling multiple copies of an irrep in the decomposition of the tensor product has recently been proposed in [34][35] and could be used if one must deal with such multiplicities.

One advantage of the occupation basis is that the Weyl group acts naturally on basis states by permuting occupation numbers: this makes it straightforward to recover Weyl symmetries of the full  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  coefficients. We have shown in connection with these Weyl symmetries that the essential difference with the corresponding  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  results is the possible presence of weight multiplicities, which leads to a sum over these multiplicities in the symmetry relations between Weyl-reflected coefficients. This decreases the naive  $3!$  savings anticipated from the geometry of the weight diagram. Finally, we have tabulated in Table 3 and detailed in Appendix B some useful cases to illustrate general procedure.

HdG would like to thank Mr. Jeffrey Nielsen for early numerical work on this problem, and Dr. Hossein Tavakoli Dinani for his help with expressions arising from integration of SU(3) group functions. The work of HdG is supported by NSERC.

## Appendix A. Some special cases for $\langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; J \| T^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \rangle$

For  $(\lambda, \mu) = (\sigma, \sigma)$ , the reduced matrix element for  $\hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p}$  of Eq.(13) collapses to

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; J \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \| (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; I \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p-2J+\sigma)} \sqrt{\frac{(2J+1)(2\sigma+1)\sigma!p!}{(\sigma-J+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p))!(\sigma+J+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p)+1)!}}. \end{aligned} \quad (A.1)$$

Using this and the known expressions for the appropriate  $6j$  symbols we find:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - (p+1); I - \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; I \rangle \\ &= -\sqrt{(\sigma+I+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p)+1)(\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p)+I)(\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+p)-I+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (A.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - (p+1); I + \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; I \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{(\sigma-I+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p))(\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+p)+I+2)(I-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p)+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (A.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - (p-1); I + \tfrac{1}{2} \parallel \bar{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; I \rangle \\ &= -\sqrt{(\sigma + I + \tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma - p) + 2)(\tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma - p) + I + 1)(\tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma + p) - I)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - (p-1); I - \tfrac{1}{2} \parallel \bar{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; I \rangle \\ &= -\sqrt{(\sigma - I + \tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma - p) + 1)(\tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma - p) + I + 1)(I - \tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma - p))}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

## Appendix B. The CGs $(\lambda, 0) \otimes (0, \lambda) \rightarrow (\sigma, \sigma)$

### Appendix B.1. The highest weight state in $(\sigma, \sigma)$

We are interested in the CG for the direct product  $(\lambda, 0) \otimes (0, \lambda) \rightarrow (\sigma, \sigma)$ . In this case we have the index  $k = \lambda - \sigma$ . The recursion relation for the highest weight specializes to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - s - 1; \frac{1}{2}(s+1) \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ s + \sigma + 1; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma + 1) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (s+2) \frac{\langle (0, \lambda)s + \sigma; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma) \parallel T^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel (0, \lambda)s + \sigma + 1; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma + 1) \rangle}{\langle (\lambda, 0)\lambda - s - 1; \frac{1}{2}(s + 1) \parallel T^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel (\lambda, 0)\lambda - s; \frac{1}{2}s \rangle} \\ &\times \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - s; \frac{1}{2}s \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ s + \sigma; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{(s+2)(s + \sigma + 1)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}s & \frac{1}{2}(s+1) \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma & \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma + 1) & \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma) \end{array} \right\} = \frac{(-1)^{1-s-\sigma}}{\sqrt{(s+2)(s + \sigma + 1)}} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

has been used. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (0, \lambda)s + \sigma; \tfrac{1}{2}(s + \sigma) \parallel T^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel (0, \lambda)s + \sigma + 1; \tfrac{1}{2}(s + \sigma + 1) \rangle \\ &= (-1)\sqrt{(s + \sigma + 1)(\lambda - s - \sigma)(s + \sigma + 2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\lambda, 0)\lambda - s - 1; \tfrac{1}{2}(s + 1) \parallel T^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel (\lambda, 0)\lambda - s; \tfrac{1}{2}s \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{(s + 1)(s + 2)(\lambda - s)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

so the recursion can be brought to the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - s - 1; \frac{1}{2}(s+1) \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ s + \sigma + 1; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma + 1) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{(s + \sigma + 2)(\lambda - s - \sigma)}{(\lambda - s)(s + 1)}} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - s; \frac{1}{2}s \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ s + \sigma; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Upon iterating this relation, we see that Eq.(B.5) can be generalized as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - s - a; \frac{1}{2}(s+a) \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ s + \sigma + a; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma + a) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^a \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} \sqrt{\frac{(s + \sigma + 2 + b)(\lambda - s - \sigma - b)}{(\lambda - s - b)(s + 1 + b)}} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - s; \frac{1}{2}s \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} (0, \lambda) \\ s + \sigma; \frac{1}{2}(s + \sigma) \end{smallmatrix} \parallel \begin{smallmatrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$



Taking  $s$  to be zero, we obtain a relation from between any state in the chain, and the highest weight state

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - a; \frac{1}{2}a \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \sigma + a; \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + a) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^a \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma + 2 + b)(\lambda - \sigma - b)}{(\lambda - b)(1 + b)}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda; 0 \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \sigma; \frac{1}{2}(\sigma) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{matrix} \right\rangle \quad (\text{B.7}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, knowing the reduced CG for the highest weight, one can obtain any step in the recursion simply through Eq.(B.6). Expanding this product with various values of  $a$ , it can be seen that the relation can be written quite simply in terms of factorials

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - a; \frac{1}{2}a \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \sigma + a; \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + a) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^a \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma + a + 1)!(\lambda - \sigma)!(\lambda - a)!}{(\sigma + 1)!(\lambda - \sigma - a)!(a)!}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda; 0 \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \sigma; \frac{1}{2}(\sigma) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{matrix} \right\rangle \quad (\text{B.8}) \end{aligned}$$

It is nice to note here, as confirmation that this expression is correct, that for  $a = 0$  the coefficient equals 1 as it should. It is also valuable to notice the limit on the possible values of  $a$  :  $a \leq \lambda - \sigma$ . Making use of this limit, we can iterate Eq.(B.8) explicitly to obtain the final expression

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda; 0 \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \sigma; \frac{1}{2}(\sigma) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{matrix} \right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda!(2 + 2\sigma)!}{\sigma!(2 + \lambda + \sigma)!}} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

This can then be substituted into Eq.(B.8) to obtain an expression for any state

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \lambda - a; \frac{1}{2}a \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \sigma + a; \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + a) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^a \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma + a + 1)!(\lambda - \sigma)!(\lambda - a)!(2 + 2\sigma)!}{(\sigma + 1)!(\lambda - \sigma - a)!a!\sigma!(2 + \lambda + \sigma)!}} \quad (\text{B.10}) \end{aligned}$$

### Appendix B.2. The Complete Recursion Relation

To complete we now look at Eq.(49), which takes the specialized form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \nu'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu'_1) \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma - p; I \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{I + \lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma - \nu'_1 + \frac{1}{2}p} \sqrt{(2I + 1)(\sigma + 1)(p + 1)}}{\langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; I \parallel \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} \parallel (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \rangle} \\ &\times \sum_{\nu_1} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu_1) \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \lambda + \sigma - \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu_1) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{matrix} \right\rangle \binom{p}{\nu_1 - \nu'_1} (-1)^{\lambda + \sigma - \nu_1} \\ &\times \langle (\lambda, 0) \nu'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu'_1) \parallel \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1 - \nu'_1)} \parallel (\lambda, 0) \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu_1) \rangle \\ &\times \langle (0, \lambda) \lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p) \parallel \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}(p - \nu_1 + \nu'_1)} \parallel (0, \lambda) \lambda + \sigma - \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu_1) \rangle \\ &\times \left\{ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu_1) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu_1) & \frac{1}{2}\sigma \\ \frac{1}{2}(p - \nu_1 + \nu'_1) & \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1 - \nu'_1) & \frac{1}{2}p \\ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - p - \nu'_1) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu'_1) & I \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (\text{B.11}) \end{aligned}$$

The three matrix elements in this equation can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma - p; I | \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}p} | (\sigma, \sigma) 2\sigma; \frac{1}{2}\sigma \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(p-2I+\sigma)/2} \sqrt{\frac{(2I+1)(2\sigma+1)! \sigma! p!}{(\sigma-I+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p))! (\sigma+I+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-p)+1)!}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\langle (\lambda, 0) \nu'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu'_1) | \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1 - \nu'_1)} | (\lambda, 0) \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu_1) \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\nu_1! (\lambda + 1 - \nu'_1)!}{\nu'_1! (\lambda - \nu_1)!}} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (0, \lambda) \lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p) | \hat{T}^{\frac{1}{2}(p - \nu_1 + \nu'_1)} | (0, \lambda) \lambda + \sigma - \nu_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu_1) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{2\lambda + 2\sigma - \nu_1 - \nu'_1 - p} \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda + \sigma - \nu_1 + 1)! (\nu'_1 + p - \sigma)!}{(\lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p)! (\nu_1 - \sigma)!}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

We can use Eq.(B.10) with the substitution  $\nu_1 = \lambda - a$ , and properties of the  $9j$  coefficients to obtain an explicit form for Eq.(B.11):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \nu'_1; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \nu'_1) \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ \lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p; \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma - \nu'_1 - p) \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ 2\sigma - p; I \end{matrix} \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^\lambda \left( \frac{(\lambda - \nu'_1)!}{\sigma!} \right) \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma - I + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - p))! (\sigma + I + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - p) + 1)! (\lambda - \sigma)! (2\sigma + 2)! (\nu'_1 + p - \sigma)! (1 + I + \frac{1}{2}(p + \sigma))!}{(2 + \lambda + \sigma)! (\nu'_1)! (\lambda - \nu'_1 - I + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - p))! (1 + I + \lambda - \nu'_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - p))! (\frac{1}{2}(\sigma + p) - I)!}} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\min[\lambda, \nu'_1 + p, \lambda - I + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + p)] \\ \max[\sigma, \nu'_1]}} (-1)^{\nu_1} \left( \frac{(\lambda - I - \nu_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + p))! \nu_1!}{(\nu_1 - \nu'_1)! (p - \nu_1 + \nu'_1)! (\nu_1 - \sigma)! (\lambda - \nu_1)!} \right) \\ &{}_3F_2(\nu_1 - \lambda, I + \nu'_1 - \lambda + \frac{1}{2}(p - \sigma), 1 + I + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - p); \nu'_1 - \lambda, I + \nu_1 - \lambda - \frac{1}{2}(p + \sigma); 1) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

The bounds of the sum are determined by the factorials involved with  $\nu_1$ .

Eq.(74) enters in the construction of irreducible  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  tensor operators [36] [37]. The combinations

$$\hat{T}_{(\sigma, \sigma) \nu I}^\lambda = \sum_{\nu' I' n I} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (\lambda, 0) \\ \nu' I' \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda) \\ n I \end{matrix} \mid \begin{matrix} (\sigma, \sigma) \\ \nu I \end{matrix} \right\rangle (-1)^{n_2} |(\lambda, 0) \nu' I'\rangle \langle (\lambda, 0) n I| \quad (\text{B.16})$$

are tensor operators transforming by irrep  $(\sigma, \sigma)$  and acting on states in  $(\lambda, 0)$ . The extra factor  $(-1)^{n_2}$  has been introduced to guarantee the correct sign of the commutation relation of these tensors with generators.

### Appendix C. Performance and the $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0)$ CGs

We have constructed a Mathematica<sup>TM</sup> code [38] to implement the evaluation of reduced CG coefficients for the decomposition of  $(p_1, q_1) \otimes (\lambda, 0)$  as a special case of Eq.(49), with the double objective of testing the performance of the algorithm of Eq.(49) and investigating the behaviour of reduced CG in the limit of large representations. There is indeed considerable literature on the asymptotics of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  coefficients [20][21][39][40][41] [42][43][44] and, given that our algorithm relies heavily on  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  for which asymptotics are known, we hoped to identify some obvious trends in the  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  case. Unfortunately we have not been able to produce useful asymptotic formulae using the appropriate approximations for the various  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  factors.

Various results of computing all reduced CGs of the type

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} 75, 60 \\ 47, 22 \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} 53, 0 \\ 27, 13 \end{matrix} \parallel \begin{matrix} (p_2, q_2) \\ N_1, 35 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where  $(p_2, q_2)$  range over all possible irreps in the decomposition of the direct product  $(75, 60) \otimes (53, 0)$ , and  $N_1 = 47 + 27 - k$  as presented as histograms below. The decomposition contains 1485 irreps, none of which are repeated.

Fig. C1 presents three views of the absolute values of the reduced CG of Eq.(C.1). For contrast we have used different colors for  $(p_2, q_2)$  irreps with different values of  $k$ . Because only 10 different colors are available, irreps with  $k = 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50$  are of the same color, as are irreps with  $k = 1, 11, 21, 31, 41, 51$ . etc. The values of  $k$  range from 0 to 53. Fig. C2 shows three views of subsets of CGs of Fig.C1 for irreps  $(p_2, q_2)$  with values of  $k = 5, 15, 25, 35$  and 45.

In Fig.C3, we gives the actual (signed) reduced CG on the left, and the absolute value of this reduced CG on the right, for the reduced CG of Eq.(C.1) and  $(p_2, q_2)$  irreps with  $k = 15$ . The reduced CGs are given in left to right order for the  $(p_2, q_2)$  values  $(113, 35), (111, 46), (109, 47) \dots (37, 83)$ .

Finally, Fig.C4, we gives the actual (signed) reduced CG on the left, and the absolute value of this CG on the right, for the reduced CG of Eq.(C.1) and  $(p_2, q_2)$  irreps with  $k = 33$ . The reduced CGs are given in left to right order for the  $(p_2, q_2)$  values  $(95, 27), (93, 28), \dots (55, 47)$ .

From a computational perspective, Mathematica<sup>TM</sup> has a built in function to evaluate  $6j$  coefficients, and so can be used very effectively to evaluate matrix elements and the  $9j$  symbol as a sum of  $6j$  symbols. The code runs very smoothly, with calculations of CGs taking as little as a fraction of a second. For large values of  $k$ , the code can take upwards of 90 seconds but calculation time depends on the specific combination of  $k$  value, quantum numbers and angular momentum values used for the reduced CG.

- [1] Dmitriĭ Aleksandrovich Varshalovich, Anatolij Nikolaevič Moskalev, and Valerii Kel'manovich Khersonskii. *Quantum theory of angular momentum*. World Scientific, 1988.
- [2] Morris Edgar Rose. *Elementary theory of angular momentum*. Courier Corporation, 1995.
- [3] Alan Robert Edmonds. *Angular momentum in quantum mechanics*. Princeton university press, 2016.
- [4] RC King and BG Wybourne. Multiplicity-free tensor products of irreducible representations of the exceptional lie groups. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 35(15):3489, 2002.
- [5] J Van der Jeugt. Coupling coefficients for lie algebra representations and addition formulas for special functions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 38(5):2728–2740, 1997.
- [6] MA Caprio, DJ Rowe, and Trevor A Welsh. Construction of  $so(5) \supset so(3)$  spherical harmonics and clebsch–gordan coefficients. *Computer Physics Communications*, 180(7):1150–1163, 2009.
- [7] DJ Rowe, PS Turner, and J Repka. Spherical harmonics and basic coupling coefficients for the group  $so(5)$  in an  $so(3)$  basis. *Journal of mathematical physics*, 45(7):2761–2784, 2004.
- [8] KT Hecht and Y Suzuki. Some special  $su(3) \supset r(3)$  wigner coefficients and their application. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 24(4):785–792, 1983.
- [9] Jin-Quan Chen, Jialun Ping, and Fan Wang. *Group representation theory for physicists*. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2002.
- [10] Andreas Weichselbaum. Non-abelian symmetries in tensor networks: A quantum symmetry space approach. *Annals of Physics*, 327(12):2972–3047, 2012.
- [11] Lukas Everding. Calculation of clebsch-gordan coefficients via weyl group symmetry, hbsc. thesis, munich, 2011.
- [12] Jean-Robert Derome. Symmetry properties of the 3 j-symbols for an arbitrary group. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 7(4):612–615, 1966.
- [13] Ya A Smorodinskii and Leonid A Shelepin. *Soviet Physics Uspekhi*, 15(1):1, 1972.
- [14] Ya A Smorodinskii. *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 48:403, 1978.
- [15] Tullio Regge. Symmetry properties of clebsch-gordan coefficients. *Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965)*, 10(3):544–545, 1958.
- [16] JP Draayer and Yoshimi Akiyama. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 14(12):1904–1912, 1973.
- [17] Arne Alex, Matthias Kalus, Alan Huckleberry, and Jan von Delft. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 52(2):023507, 2011.
- [18] C Bahri, DJ Rowe, and JP Draayer. Programs for generating clebsch–gordan coefficients of  $su(3)$  in  $su(2)$  and  $so(3)$  bases. *Computer physics communications*, 159(2):121–143, 2004.
- [19] Fl Stancu. *Nuclear Physics A*, 945:144–152, 2016.
- [20] Hubert de Guise and David J Rowe. Asymptotic clebsch–gordan coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 36(12):6991–7008, 1995.
- [21] Hubert de Guise and David J Rowe. Clebsch–gordan coefficients in the asymptotic limit. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 39(2):1087–1106, 1998.
- [22] KT Hecht. *Nuclear Physics*, 22:1–36, 1965.
- [23] DJ Rowe, BC Sanders, and H de Guise. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 40:3604, 1999.
- [24] Julian Schwinger. *On Angular Momentum*. Courier Dover Publications, 2015.
- [25] DJ Rowe and J Repka. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 38(8):4363–4388, 1997.
- [26] H Thomas Williams.  $Su_3$  isoscalar factors. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 37(8):4187–4198, 1996.
- [27] JJ De Swart. The octet model and its clebsch-gordan coefficients. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 35(4):916, 1963.
- [28] Hubert de Guise, Olivia Di Matteo, and Luis L Sánchez-Soto. *Physical Review A*, 97(2):022328, 2018.
- [29] Nicholas J Russell, Levon Chakhmakhchyan, Jeremy L O’Brien, and Anthony Laing. Direct dialling of haar random unitary matrices. *New journal of physics*, 19(3):033007, 2017.
- [30] Francis Dominic Murnaghan. *The unitary and rotation groups*, volume 3. Spartan books, 1962.
- [31] D Speiser. *Theory of compact Lie groups and some applications to elementary particle physics*. Gordon and Breach, 1964.
- [32] Maria SM Wesslén. A geometric description of tensor product decompositions in  $su(3)$ . *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 49(7):073506, 2008.
- [33] Michael F O’Reilly. A closed formula for the product of irreducible representations of  $su(3)$ . *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 23(11):2022–2028, 1982.
- [34] R Campoamor-Stursberg. The external labelling problem and clebsch–gordan series of semisimple lie algebras. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 52(12):125201, 2019.

- [35] R Campoamor-Stursberg. Some empirical formulae for the degeneracy separation in the clebsch-gordan problem of. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 1194, page 012019. IOP Publishing, 2019.
- [36] Alex Clesio Nunes Martins, Andrei B Klimov, and Hubert de Guise. Correspondence rules for wigner functions over  $su(3)/u(2)$ . *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 2019.
- [37] Andrei B Klimov, José Luis Romero, and Hubert De Guise. Generalized  $su(2)$  covariant wigner functions and some of their applications. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 50(32):323001, 2017.
- [38] Mark W Suffak, Alex Clésio Nunes-Martins, and H de Guise. <https://github.com/marksuffak/SU-3-CG-Code>, 2018.
- [39] DWL Sprung, W van Dijk, J Martorell, and DB Criger. Asymptotic approximations to clebsch-gordan coefficients from a tight-binding model. *American Journal of Physics*, 77(6):552–561, 2009.
- [40] Eugene Wigner. *Group theory: and its application to the quantum mechanics of atomic spectra*, volume 5. Elsevier, 2012.
- [41] PJ Brussaard and H A. Tolhoek. Classical limits of clebsch-gordan coefficients, racah coefficients and  $d_{mn}^{\ell}(\varphi, \vartheta, \psi)$  – functions. *Physica*, 23(6-10):955–971, 1957.
- [42] G Ponzano and T Regge. Semiclassical limit of racah coefficients in spectroscopic and group theoretical methods in physics (bloch ed.), 1968.
- [43] Matthias W Reinsch and James J Morehead. Asymptotics of clebsch-gordan coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 40(10):4782–4806, 1999.
- [44] David J Rowe and Hubert De Guise. The shifted harmonic approximation and asymptotic  $su(2)$  and  $su(1, 1)$  clebsch-gordan coefficients. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 43(50):505307, 2010.




Figure C1: Three views of the absolute values of the reduced CGs of Eq.(C.1). CGs for  $(p_2, q_2)$  irreps with the same value of  $k$  have the same color and appear in a row. The directions along the fundamental weights  $\vec{w}_1$  and  $\vec{w}_2$  are also indicated; the intersection of these lines is the center of the weight diagram.




Figure C2: Three views of the absolute values of the reduced CGs of Eq.(C.1) for values of  $(p_2, q_2)$  with values  $k = 5, 15, 25, 35$  and 45. The directions along the fundamental weights  $\vec{w}_1$  and  $\vec{w}_2$  are also indicated; the intersection of these lines is the center of the weight diagram.




Figure C3: Histograms showing the exact and absolute values of Eq.(C.1) for  $k = 15$  and  $(p_2, q_2)$  values  $(113, 35), (111, 46), (109, 47) \dots (37, 83)$




Figure C4: Histograms showing the exact and absolute values of Eq.(C.1) for  $k = 33$  and  $(p_2, q_2)$  values  $(95, 27), (93, 28), \dots (55, 47)$