Non-Hermitian exceptional Landau quantization in electric circuits
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Alternating current RLC electric circuits form an accessible and highly tunable platform simulating Hermitian as well as non-Hermitian quantum systems. We propose here a realization of a time-reversal invariant pseudo-magnetic field, enabling the exploration of non-Hermitian physics under external magnetic field. Based on circuit realizations of non-Hermitian Dirac and Weyl systems under magnetic field, we identify the low-energy physics with a generic real energy spectrum from the non-Hermitian relativistic Landau quantization of exceptional points and rings, avoiding the non-Hermitian skin effect and providing a physical example of quasiparticles moving in the complex plane. Realistic detection schemes are designed that can be used to probe the flat energy bands, sublattice polarization, edge states protected by a non-Hermitian sublattice symmetry, and a characteristic nodeless probability distribution.

Introduction – Hermiticity of Hamiltonians has long been a required ingredient in any self-consistent framework of quantum theory for both the stationary and time-dependent problems. In recent years there has been an increasing effort aimed at understanding the phenomena that result from relaxing the Hermiticity condition both as a theoretical challenge and as a description of various physical systems [1]. Theoretical efforts were largely ignited by the recognition of the $PT$-symmetry [2, 3], its realization in optics [4–6], and further generalizations [7, 8]. In physical systems non-Hermiticity can arise directly through incorporating loss or gain but also by viewing Hermitian systems from new angles, including vortex pinning in superconductors [9], topological surface state [10–11], and quasiparticles with self-energy correction [12, 13]. Important developments have recently been focused on the classification of new phases [16–22], the anomalous bulk-boundary correspondence and skin effect [23–32], and the bulk Fermi arc and line structures [14, 15, 33–34]. Of particular importance are the generic exceptional degeneracies – exceptional point (EP) in two dimensions (2D) and exceptional ring (ER) in three dimensions (3D) – in the complex energy spectrum where two resonances match at once in position and width [35–40]. Signatures of these have been experimentally observed in microwave cavities [11, 42], exciton-polariton systems [13], and photonic lattices [14, 15].

In the present work we discuss a new family of phenomena that result from applying magnetic field to non-trivial non-Hermitian (nH) systems. This problem has remained largely unexplored owing to the lack of a feasible realization which we overcome here by considering a convenient synthetic platform based on alternating current (ac) circuits. Periodic arrays of capacitors and inductors are known to simulate the physics of electrons in crystal lattices and have been used to model various topological phases [7, 8, 40, 48, 50–52]. We introduce nH effects by including dissipative resistance in such arrays. Pseudo-magnetic fields (pMFs) can be generated by spatially varying some of the electric elements, which extends to the nH case the pMF realized by elastic strain in relativistic electron systems [59, 60]. The nH effects generically turn relativistic band crossings into exceptional degeneracies. Interplay with the pMF then results in a novel nH low-energy theory of bulk states with real energy spectrum and free from the skin aggregation effect in nH systems, both enforced by the magnetic field. In addition we show that such systems exhibit novel edge states protected by strong nH symmetry and realize a physical analog of a particle moving in the complex plane. We explain how these remarkable phenomena can be detected via conventional electric measurements.

Circuit Realization – Based on the Kirchhoff current law (KCL), one can apply the node analysis to an ac circuit at frequency $\omega$. The Euler-Lagrange equation for the node flux variable $\phi_j$ given the external current $i_j$ injected at node $j$ reads:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_j} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_j} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \phi_j} = i_j,$$

(1)

where for capacitors and inductors $L_C = \frac{C}{\omega} \phi^2$, $L_L = -\frac{1}{\omega} \phi^2$ while Rayleigh dissipation function $D = \frac{1}{\omega R} \phi^2$ describes resistors. These equations form an admittance model, $Ju = i$, where the admittance matrix $J$ determines the voltage response $v = \phi$ in the circuit to an array of injected currents $i = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_N)$. At any fixed frequency the matrix $J$ can be mapped to a tight-binding Hamiltonian $H = -J \lambda$ with hopping amplitudes $\lambda C \left( \frac{1}{\omega} \right)$ for nodes connected by a capacitor (inductor) while a nH hopping $i/R$ accounts for any resistor and hence $H(R \rightarrow -R) = H^\dagger$. A lossless LC circuit can fully simulate ordinary time-reversal ($T$) invariant quantum models as the $\pi$-phase difference between $L, C$ hoppings suggests. This remains true in the presence of pMF which couples to Dirac/Weyl nodes in a way that respects $T$. Solving the eigenvalue problem $H|\psi_\alpha\rangle = \epsilon_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle$ corresponds to finding a spatial pattern of currents $i_\alpha$ that produces the identical pattern of voltages $ie_\alpha v_\alpha = i_\alpha$. We discuss later how a method of circuit tomography can be used to connect standard impedance or voltage
measurements with the energy spectrum and the wavefunction of the quantum problem.

In this work we focus on periodic circuits described by a family of two-band Bloch Hamiltonians \( h(k) = d_0(k) \mathbf{1} + \sum \delta_l(k) \sigma_i \) where pseudospin \( \sigma \) stands for inequivalent sublattice nodes \( A, B \). As described in Supplemental Material (SM) [59–62] a square-lattice electric circuit depicted in Fig. 1 can be used as a versatile platform to realize a variety of Hamiltonians of this type. Specifically, this circuit is described by

\[
d_x = i \gamma - \kappa_1 + \kappa \cos k_y - t_x \cos k_x,
\]
\[
d_y = t_y \sin k_y - \kappa_2 \sin k_x
\]

with a staggered on-site potential \( d_z = \Delta \). It is related to circuit element parameters by \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}; \kappa_1 = \frac{1}{2} \tau; \kappa = t_y = \omega C, t_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{T}}}, \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{L} - \frac{1}{T}}}; \Delta = \frac{1}{L} \). Gain from the negative impedance converter (NIC) compensates for the loss incurred in the resistor \( R \) enabling unimpeded signal propagation through the array [3,6]. This NIC element acting as a static negative resistor, together with other grounded elements, as explained in SM, guarantees a real-valued and uniform constant \( d_0 \) in analogy to a controllable chemical potential.

The circuit in Fig. 1 realizes relativistic band structures similar to graphene with a simpler square lattice. When \( \gamma = \Delta = \kappa_1 = 0 \) and \( \kappa = \kappa_1 \), the spectrum \( \varepsilon_k \) exhibits a pair of Dirac points located at \( \pm \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \) with Fermi velocity \( v_F = t_i \) in \( i \)-direction. As illustrated in Fig. 2 inclusion of the dissipative term \( i \gamma \sigma_x \) splits each Dirac point into a pair of EPs at \( \pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \Delta^2}/v_F \) when \( \gamma > \Delta \). Each EP pair is connected by a bulk Fermi line along which the imaginary part of \( \varepsilon_k \) vanishes. In SM we also consider a 3D cubic noncentrosymmetric model of four parallel Weyl ERs as shown in Fig. 2 that can be constructed by layering the 2D EP circuit along the \( z \)-axis with \( d_z = -t_z \cos k_z \).

A special feature of relativistic dispersions lies in that spatially varying hopping amplitudes can act as vector potentials chirally coupled to the low-energy excitations

![FIG. 1. RLC circuit employed to simulate a quantum system with Dirac dispersion which turns into exceptional degeneracies upon inclusion of nH effect. pMF defined by gauge potential \( A_y = bx \) can be generated by varying the red elements along the \( x \)-direction. Linear variation, required for uniform pMF, dictates open boundary conditions along \( x \).

![FIG. 2. Emergence of the exceptional degeneracies from Dirac/Weyl points generated by nH terms in the 2D and 3D models. Bulk Fermi line, indicated by a dashed line, connects two EPs. Real energy spectrum emerges when magnetic field \( b \) takes the direction noted. In circuit calculations, we fix \( b = bz \) for one exceptional degeneracy region as indicated.]

[59–62]. This feature naturally extends to the exceptional degeneracies when nH terms are included in the model. As an example a linear variation along the \( x \)-direction in the red inductors in Fig. 1 produces spatial variation of the Hamiltonian parameter \( \kappa_2 = v_F^2 bx \). In the low-energy theory this manifests as a Landau-gauge vector potential \( A_y = bx \) giving rise to a uniform pMF \( b \). Vector potential \( A_x = -by \) can also be realized by varying \( \kappa_1 = -v_F^2 by \) along the \( y \)-direction with open edges as discussed in SM, see especially Fig. 2.1. nH exceptional Landau levels — Band structure of the EP circuit is displayed in Fig. 3 where exceptional degeneracies are eliminated by the pMF illustrated in Fig. 2. Surprisingly, Landau-level-like flat bands that result exhibit spectra with consistently vanishing imaginary part around the exceptional degeneracies. To understand this remarkable feature we first develop a low-energy theory of this nH Landau quantization of exceptional degeneracy and then discuss the origin of purely real spectrum.

Representatively, the low-energy Hamiltonian around the exceptional region with orange field in Fig. 2 can be written as

\[
h = \sum_{i=x,y} (v_F^i \Pi_i + i \gamma_i) \sigma_i + \Delta \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta & E_b f_i^- \\ E_b f_i^+ & -\Delta \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( v_F^i, b > 0, E_b = \sqrt{2v_F^i v_F^b b} \) and \( \Pi_i = p_i - A_i \). Here \( p_i = -i \partial_i \) is the momentum operator which we may replace by \( k_i \) along the periodic direction of the circuit. \( \Delta \) is replaced by \( v_F^z k_z \) for the ER case. Furthermore

\[
f_\pm = (v_F^z \Pi_z \pm i v_F^b \Pi_b + i \gamma_x \pm \gamma_y)/E_b.
\]

The first observation is that \( |f_- f_+| = 1 \) formally holds, even though \( f_\perp^1 \neq f_+ \). Second, if \( f_\perp^0 = 0 \) has a physical square-integrable solution, one can construct a tower of nH Landau levels (LL) through the wavefunction ansatz \( \psi_n = (\alpha_n, \beta_n) \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) where \( \phi_\perp = 0 \) and \( \alpha_n > 0 \) is obtained by the relation \( f_\perp \phi_n = \sqrt{n + 1} \phi_{n+1}, f_\perp \phi_n = \sqrt{n} \phi_{n-1} \). An explicit calculation then gives energy \( E_{\mathrm{LL} n,i} = \pm \sqrt{n^2 + n E_b^2} \) when \( n \geq 1 \) and \( E_{\mathrm{LL} 0,+} = \Delta \) when \( n = 0 \) for the nth nH LL (LL\(_n\)), which is isospectral to the Hermitian counterpart.
The construction is valid for arbitrary $\gamma_x, \gamma_y$ but breaks down in the presence of nonzero $i\gamma_z\sigma_z$. As this tilts the ER plane, requiring pMF $\parallel$ ER is thus the major difference in the 3D case in Fig. 2.

For the above procedure to work it is essential to ensure a physical solution of $f_\phi = 0$. The existence of a normalizable $\phi_0$ is not guaranteed in arbitrary nH cases. Imagine for instance a spatially linear modulation of the resistors in the circuit, which can introduce an imaginary-valued vector potential, e.g., $A_x = -ib_y$ and hence $[f_x, f_y] = i$ and a tower of complex LLs. In this case it is however undermined by the solution of $f_\phi = 0$ being not normalizable nor bounded [71]. As an example we proceed with the real-valued $A_x = -by$ that has a valid normalized wavefunction

$$\phi_n = (\sqrt{\pi} b_n!2^n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(y-y_0)^2/2b^2} = \gamma_y H_n((y-y_0)/b) \quad (5)$$

where $y_0 = -k_x/b$, magnetic length $b_0 = b^{-1/2}$ and $H_n(z)$ is the Hermite polynomial valued in the complex $z$-plane. Note that $\gamma_y$ renders $\phi_n$ complex-valued while $\gamma_y$ breaks its symmetry with respect to the Hermitian oscillation center $y = -k_x/b$. Henceforth we mainly consider the case with $\gamma_y = 0$ which emerges naturally from our circuit realization. Remarkably, the nH quasiparticle not only avoids via magnetic confinement the ubiquitous boundary condition-sensitive nH skin effect where macroscopically many states are localized to the boundary [23][27], but also is now oscillating along a complex $y$-direction line centered at $y_0$. Therefore, this nH system under magnetic field provides a concrete example of a quasiparticle moving in the complex plane. This scenario is justified by the Hermite function actually being holomorphic, although we usually view it as a solely real function in conventional quantum problems. The orthonormality, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \phi_n(z) \phi_m(z) = \delta_{mn}$ with $z = (y-y_0)/b$, follows from analytic continuation. This way, one can also interpret the problem as analytically continuing the particle motion to the complex plane.

Spectral properties — Our nH low-energy theory has a real spectrum when pMF is non-zero even though it is not $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric. To understand this one can formalize the above physical interpretation of a quasiparticle moving in the complex plane by defining an operator

$$\rho = \text{diag}(e^{i\gamma} P, e^{-i\gamma} P) \quad (6)$$

that translates the system in real space along the imaginary direction by $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \hat{e} \times \gamma$ for $\gamma = \gamma_x \hat{x}$. The pseudo-Hermiticity [7][8], a necessary but not sufficient condition for a real spectrum, $\rho \eta \rho^{-1} = h^\dagger$, holds here via a positive semi-definite Hermitian automorphism $\eta = \rho^\dagger \rho$. In addition one can deduce the spectral reality via a similarity transformation

$$\rho h \rho^{-1} = h_0 = h(\gamma = 0) \quad (7)$$

which in general preserves the spectrum. This maps $h$ to a Hermitian Hamiltonian which has a spectral expansion $h_0 = \sum_n \varepsilon_n |\varphi_n\rangle \langle \varphi_n|$ of real-spectrum conventional LLs. Then the left and right eigenstates, corresponding to Eq. (3), respectively of $h^\dagger$ and $h$ are given by $|\psi_n^{L(R)}\rangle = \rho^{-1(1)}(f_\psi)$. Hence the biorthogonal representation [1] [72], $h = \sum_n \varepsilon_n |\psi_n^{L}\rangle \langle \psi_n^{R}|$, naturally follows. The aforementioned orthonormality based on nH Hermite functions helps prove herein the general pseudo-Hermitian orthonormality $\langle \psi_m^{L}|\eta|\psi_n^{L}\rangle = \langle \psi_m^{R}|\psi_n^{R}\rangle = \delta_{mn}$.

Physically, a magnetic field in a relativistic system is crucial to the above reasoning. The phenomenon can be viewed as cancelling $\gamma$ by absorbing it into the vector potential $A$ linear in the kinetic term. This relies on $A$ depending linearly on the spatial coordinate, viz, a uniform $b$ field. One may wonder about the dual picture of translating by $\gamma$ in the imaginary direction of the momentum space by using $e^{i\gamma \cdot \hat{x}}$ in $\rho$ with position operator $x$, which actually explains under the gauge used the $e^{i\gamma y}$ factor in Eq. (5) by setting $\gamma = \gamma_y \hat{y}$. It also relies on a finite $b$, otherwise the wavefunction $|\psi_n^{L(R)}\rangle$ is unbounded. Thus, magnetic field makes a nonperturbative change to the system. The phenomenon and interpretation applies as well to the symmetric gauge, with which we construct the nH ground state and coherent state in SM.
Detection schemes – Based on the KCL construction, one can readily predict the electrical response of the circuit which can be directly measured. We consider two types of circuit tomography assuming system size $L_x \times L_y$, (i) impedance scan $Z_{x,y}^{AA}(x,y) (d_0)$ reflecting a direct impedance measurement between two points $(x,0,s)$ and $(x,y,s)$, and (ii) voltage scan $V_{x,y}^{AA}(x,y) (d_0)$ probing voltage at any node $(x,y,s)$ in response to a current input at the midpoint $y_0 = L_y/2$ of the $x_0 = 0, L_x/2$ lines. We derive expressions for both quantities in terms of left and right eigenstates of the nH Hamiltonian in SM [60].

Several observations can be made based on the predictions for impedance and voltage scans in Fig. 4. First, in order to have a significant voltage response, large density of states within a small range of admittance eigenvalue $j$ is required. Compared to topological boundary zero modes [49], this is naturally achieved in the presence of pMF by the flat nH LLs, which can be set in resonance by controlling $d_0$. An example of this enhancement is given in the top row of Fig. 4. Second, a unique sublattice polarization of the lowest LL (LL_0) wavefunction and the general wavefunction form $\psi_n = (\phi_0, \beta \phi_{n-1})^T$ hold for the nH exceptional relativistic LLs. Controlling $d_0$, $s$, sublattice-resolved responses gain us access to extra information. The armchair-like case has every nH LL doubly degenerate in a pMF while the zigzag-like case mixes the nH LLs with the edge states. We thus use both to highlight below different features.

**Edge state from nH energy-reflection symmetry** – Consider the EP circuit in resonance at $d_0 = E_{LL_{0\pm}} = \pm \Delta$, i.e., the positive/negative LL$_{0\pm}$ in Fig. 3b. One thus has dichotomous choices in $d_0$, $s$, and $x_0 = 0, L_x/2$ as aforesaid since $x_0 = L_x$ (not shown) is similar to $x_0 = 0$. Fig. 3ac and Fig. 4d illustrate the only two enhanced cases respectively of bulk and edge nature as seen from the pronounced signal distribution contrast. All others are largely suppressed or vanishing. The edge state LL$_{0-}$ localized around $x_0 = 0, L_x$, surprisingly, cannot be captured in a low-energy nH 2-flavour 2D massive Dirac theory under pMF, which solely leads to two degenerate LL$_{0\pm}$ states. It is actually the consequence of a strong lattice nH energy-reflection symmetry for any Hermitian or nH bipartite hoppings which is beyond the usually pertinent chiral or particle-hole symmetry [60]. These confirm the nH sublattice polarization from an intricate interplay between the pMF, Dirac mass, armchair-like bands, and the nH symmetry that dictates pairs of opposite and however complex or real bands.

**nH nodeless wavefunction** – An observation of the non-Hermiticity is most prominent via inspecting the wavefunctions because of the spectral property discussed. The two-component general wavefunction form here becomes relevant. One can combine the nodal structure of conventional Hermite functions, i.e., $H_n(y)$ possesses $n$ nodes, with our physical interpretation of translating the motion to the complex plane $H_n(z)$. This directly leads to the removal of all nodes by the finite $3\zeta = \epsilon$. Therefore, a transition from nodeful to nodeless probability (voltage) distribution becomes a distinguishing nH feature. This is made practically feasible by the quantum superposition principle, i.e., one can inject spatially sinusoidally oscillating current at a certain wavenumber $k_y$ along one single open boundary, say, the $y = 0$ edge of the EP circuit, which suffices to extract the nH Hermite wavefunction associated with $k_y$. Fig. 3h and Fig. 4g exemplify this nodeful-nodeless transition of $\phi_2$ and $\phi_1$ respectively by plotting the amplitude of voltage response.

**Outlook** – Using specially designed ac electric circuits we develop a theory and present detection schemes for a unique nH low-energy real spectrum without skin effect, which arise from the relativistic exceptional de-
generacies under magnetic field and exhibit nH symmetry protected edge state and quasiparticle living in the complex domain. These results enrich a novel platform for synthetic quantum systems and lay the groundwork for future investigations of the interplay between non-Hermiticity and magnetic field, which is relevant to the study of real quantum systems with exceptional degeneracies [41–45]. There are various intriguing questions to be explored ahead, including imaginary-valued vector potential or magnetic field, further generalization of quasiparticle living in the complex domain, nH quantum valley Hall effect in the EP circuit with pMF, and a possible nH Hofstadter butterfly readily realized by introducing resistors to the circuit of nodes with internal eigenmodes in a similar manner to the present study [7, 8, 66].
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[71] Avoiding this, one can instead use imaginary vector potentials that decay at the boundary.
I. Model Hamiltonians

A simple formulation largely owes to the specially tuned positions of Dirac or Weyl points and the form of the model. In fact, various other graphene models with pMF, including the original honeycomb one, in general lead to imperfect low-energy behaviour (armchair) and especially unflat LLs (zigzag) that will be reported elsewhere \[S1\]. These demerits for detection can be overcome by the following minimal square and cubic lattice models.

The general Hamiltonian of the square lattice graphene-like EP circuit with pMF is

\[
H = \sum_r i\gamma(a_r^\dagger b_r + b_r^\dagger a_r) + \Delta(a_r^\dagger a_r - b_r^\dagger b_r) + \{a_r^\dagger [-2k_1 b_r + (\kappa - t_y) b_{r+\hat{y}} + (\kappa + t_y) b_{r-\hat{y}}] - (t_x - \kappa_2)b_{r+\hat{x}} - (t_x + \kappa_2)b_{r-\hat{x}}\}/2 + \text{H.c.}.
\]

(S1)

The cubic lattice noncentrosymmetric Weyl ER circuit can be obtained by replacing the \(\Delta\)-term in Eq. (S1) by \(-[\kappa_3 a_r^\dagger a_r + t_z(a_r^\dagger a_{r+\hat{z}} + a_r^\dagger a_{r-\hat{z}})]\). Resistors connecting \(A, B\) nodes in general generate off-diagonal nH terms while unequal resistors grounding inequivalent nodes generate nH \(\sigma\) terms. Without loss of generality, we mainly focus on \(i\gamma\sigma_x\) by resistively connecting \(A, B\) nodes in a primitive cell. For the 2D case, as long as \(\Delta = 0\), the system exhibits two Dirac points since the inversion symmetry \(h(\mathbf{k}) = \sigma_x h(-\mathbf{k})\sigma_x\) remains. Let us state

the more general Weyl case that obviously reduces to the graphene-like case by dropping the \(z\)-direction dependence. When \(\kappa = \kappa_1, \kappa_2 = \kappa_3 \gamma = 0\), the Fermi velocity at four nodes \((m_x^2, 0, m_z^2)\) is \(v_F = (m_xt_x, t_y, m_zt_z)\) for \(m_{x/z} = \pm 1\). The finite nH \(\gamma\) term brings the 3D Weyl band to an elliptical ER around the original Weyl point with semi-major and semi-minor axes \(\gamma/|v_F^z|\) in the \(k_y, k_z\)-plane as shown in Fig. 2.

Let us focus on the \((\frac{\pi}{2}, 0, \frac{\pi}{2})\) node, around which a vector potential \(A\) satisfying \(v_F \cdot A = (\kappa_1 - \kappa, \kappa_2, \kappa_3)\) is introduced by uniformly modulating \(\kappa_i\)’s in a circuit accordingly where we assume a positive elementary charge set to unity. This can be seen by expanding the \(k\)-space Hamiltonian \(h(\mathbf{k})\) around the \((\frac{\pi}{2}, 0, \frac{\pi}{2})\) node up to the leading order,

\[
d_x = t_x(k_x - \frac{\pi}{2}) + \kappa - \kappa_1 + O[(k_x - \frac{\pi}{2})^2]
\]

\[
d_y = t_y k_y - \kappa_2 + O(k_y^2)
\]

\[
d_z = t_z(k_z - \frac{\pi}{2}) - \kappa_3 + O[(k_z - \frac{\pi}{2})^2],
\]

(S2)

which is justified by assuming \(\kappa_i\)’s modulation is spatially slow and thus mismatched in Fourier space with the lattice. For instance, a pMF \(b\hat{z}\) is generated by making \(\kappa_3 = \kappa - v_F^z b_y\) (\(\kappa_2 = v_F^z b_x\)) that amounts to a \(y\) open (\(x\) open) geometry with Landau gauge \(A_x = -by\) (\(A_y = bx\)), which applies to the 2D EP circuit as well. Particularly to the Weyl ER case, we can also use \(\kappa_3 = -v_F^z bx\) (\(\kappa_2 = v_F^z by\)) to generate pMF \(b\hat{y}\).

The Hamiltonian of another noncentrosymmetric cubic lattice Weyl ER circuit is

\[
d_x = i\gamma - \kappa_1 + \kappa \cos k_x x + \sin k_z z
\]

\[
d_y = \sin k_y y - \kappa_2 \sin k_z \csc^3 k_R (\cos k_R k_z - \cos 2k_R)
\]

\[
d_z = -(\cos k_z - \cos k_R) (\cos k_z - \cos k_R) - 2(\cos k_z - \cos k_y).\]

(S3)

It reads in the real space

\[
H = \sum_r i\gamma(a_r^\dagger b_r + b_r^\dagger a_r) + \{a_r^\dagger [-t_{2z}(a_{r+\hat{z}} + a_{r-\hat{z}}) - t_0 a_r + \sum_{i=x, y, z} t_i(a_{r+i} + a_{r-i})] - (a \rightarrow b)\}
\]

\[
+ \{a_r^\dagger [g_y(b_{r-\hat{y}} - b_{r+\hat{y}}) - \kappa_1 b_r + \kappa/2(b_{r+z} + b_{r-\hat{z}}) + g_x(b_{r+\hat{x}} - b_{r-\hat{x}}) - b_{r+z} - b_{r-\hat{z}} - b_{r+z} - b_{r-\hat{z}} - b_{r+z} - b_{r-\hat{z}}] + \text{H.c.}\},
\]

(S4)

in which \(t_0 = \cos k_L \cos k_R + \frac{a}{2}, \ t_x = t_y = 1, \ t_z = \frac{1}{2}(\cos k_L + \cos k_R), \ g_y = \frac{1}{2}, \ g_z = \frac{2a}{2} \csc^3 k_R \cos 2k_r,\)
$g_{2z} = \frac{2\omega}{L} \csc^3 k_R \cos k_R$, and $g_{xz} = t_{2z} = \frac{1}{2}$. It is generalized from a previous noncentrosymmetric cubic lattice Weyl semimetal model with the minimal four Weyl points [S2]. When $\gamma = 0$, $\kappa = \kappa_1 = 1$, $\kappa_2 = 0$, the anisotropic Fermi velocities $v_F$ of the four Weyl points at $(0, 0, -k_R)$, $(0, 0, k_L)$, $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, k_R)$ are respectively $\left(-\sin k_R, 1, c_{LR} \sin k_R\right)$, $\left(-\sin k_L, 1, -c_{LR} \sin k_L\right)$, $\left(\sin k_L, 1, c_{LR} \sin k_L\right)$, $\left(\sin k_R, 1, -c_{LR} \sin k_R\right)$, wherein $c_{LR} = \cos k_L - \cos k_R$. When $\kappa_1 = \kappa = v_F^b y/2$ and $\kappa_2 = v_F^b x/2$, it exhibits a symmetric gauge $A = (-by, bx, 0)/2$ of pMF $b\hat{z}$ for the $k_R$ node or the associated ER and the like for Landau gauges.

Here, the special form is necessary to validate $A_y$ since both the pseudospin $\sigma_y$ and the modulation term in $d_y$ must be odd under time reversal $T$. This model is more complex but useful in that four position-tunable Weyl nodes or ERs are located or centered on the same line, the $z$-axis. Therefore, there will be no node or ER superposition in circuit calculations when one sets open boundary condition in both $x$ and $y$, which is used to show the symmetric gauge wavefunction in Sec. V.

II. CIRCUIT CONSTRUCTION

A. Mapping RLC circuits onto Bloch Hamiltonians

With the Kirchhoff current law and voltage law, one can apply either the node analysis or the loop analysis to a circuit. In a Lagrangian formulation, these can be expressed in the phase space of node flux variable $\varphi_i$ and loop charge variable $q_i$, respectively. The node analysis employed in this study is useful for identifying a quantum lattice analog Hamiltonian since the number of independent KCL equations matches exactly the number of lattice unit cells $N$ if one introduces the auxiliary ground node.

Let us explicitly work out the mapping between the ac electric circuit indicated in Fig. 1 and the 2D EP model Hamiltonian Eq. (2) or Eq. (5) as an example. We also consider here a slightly more general circuit depicted in Fig. S1. The two circuits share the same basic structure but differ in the composition of the grounded elements and the gauge potential realized. The general Lagrangian including both cases reads

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \left[ C^0 (\dot{\varphi}_r^A - \dot{\varphi}_r^{B,y})^2 - \frac{1}{L_r^0} (\varphi_r^A - \varphi_r^{B,y})^2 - \frac{1}{L_r^1} (\varphi_r^A - \varphi_r^{B,z})^2 - \frac{1}{L_r^2} (\varphi_r^A - \varphi_r^{B,z})^2 + \sum_{\alpha = A,B} (C^\alpha \varphi_r^2 - \frac{1}{L_r^\alpha} \varphi_r^{2\alpha}) \right]$$

with the Rayleigh dissipation function

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{R} (\dot{\varphi}_r^A - \dot{\varphi}_r^B)^2 - \frac{1}{R} (\varphi_r^{A,2} + \varphi_r^{B,2}).$$

Note that for completeness we attach space coordinate index $r$ to all the colored elements in both Fig. 1 and Fig. S1 to indicate their potential spatial modulation required for the generation of the pMF. The second term in $D$ represents the NIC element realizing a static negative resistor with resistance $-R$. Using the Euler-Lagrange Eq. (1) for $\varphi_r^A$ and $\varphi_r^B$, we obtain the KCL equations

$$i \left\{ \left[ -\omega (C^0 + C^A) + \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \frac{1}{L_r^0} + \frac{1}{L_r^1} + \frac{1}{L_r^2} \right) \right] \varphi_r^A + \left( -\frac{1}{\omega L_r^0} + \frac{i}{R} \right) v_r^B - \frac{1}{\omega L_r^1} v_{r,z}^B + \frac{1}{\omega L_r^2} v_{r,z}^B + \omega C^0 v_{r,y}^B \right\} = i_r^A,

i \left\{ \left( -\frac{1}{\omega L_r^0} + \frac{i}{R} \right) v_r^A - \frac{1}{\omega L_r^1} v_{r,z}^A - \frac{1}{\omega L_r^2} v_{r,z}^A - \omega C^0 v_{r,y}^A + \left[ -\omega (C^0 + C^B) + \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \frac{1}{L_r^0} + \frac{1}{L_r^1} + \frac{1}{L_r^2} + \frac{1}{L_r^L} \right) \right] v_r^B \right\} = i_r^B.$$

The complete set of such equations at all space positions $r$ constitutes the desired form of the admittance problem $J = i$. To see this, leaving out the diagonal part (term in the square brackets), one immediately identifies the mapping $J = iH$ with hopping amplitudes $\omega C (\hat{n}^R \frac{1}{\omega L})$ for nodes connected by a capacitor (inductor) while a nH hopping $i/R$ accounts for a resistor. The general form of the diagonal term for node $n$ reads similarly but with an
Thus, we arrive at the mapping between the circuit parameters and parameters entering the Hamiltonian

$$H_{nn} = -\sum_i \omega C_i + \sum_j \frac{1}{\omega L_j} - \sum_k \frac{1}{R_k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S8)

where $i, j, k$ run respectively over all inequivalent $C, L, R$ elements connected to node $n$, including any extra elements grounding the node. Here $n$ stands for both $r$ and the sublattice $A, B$. Note that, owing to the inclusion of the grounded NIC element in the circuit we no longer have in Eq. (S7) the imaginary part in Eq. (S8).

To understand this circuit more clearly, we temporarily drop the spatial dependence of the elements and transform to the $k$-space representation

$$\begin{bmatrix}
-\omega(C^0 + C^A) + \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B} + \frac{1}{L^x} + \frac{1}{L^y}\right) & \omega C^0 e^{-i k \cdot \hat{y}} - \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B} + \frac{1}{L^x} e^{i k \cdot \hat{x}} + \frac{1}{L^y} e^{i k \cdot \hat{y}}\right) + \frac{i}{\alpha} \\
\omega C^0 e^{i k \cdot \hat{y}} - \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B} + \frac{1}{L^x} e^{-i k \cdot \hat{x}} + \frac{1}{L^y} e^{-i k \cdot \hat{y}}\right) + \frac{i}{\alpha} & -\omega(C^0 + C^B) + \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B} + \frac{1}{L^x} + \frac{1}{L^y}\right)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{v}^A \\
\hat{v}^B
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{v}^A \\
\hat{v}^B
\end{bmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (S9)
$$

This gives the two-band Hamiltonian $h(\vec{k}) = d_0 \hat{n} + \sum_i d_i \sigma_i$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
d_x &= \frac{i}{R} - \frac{1}{\omega L^0} + \omega |C^0| \cos k_y - \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B}\right) \cos k_x, \\
d_y &= \omega C^0 \sin k_y - \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} - \frac{1}{L^B}\right) \sin k_x, \\
d_z &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} - \frac{1}{L^B}\right) - \omega (C^A - C^B), \\
d_0 &= \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B}\right) - \omega C^0 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B}\right) - \omega (C^A - C^B). \hspace{1cm} (S10)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we arrive at the mapping between the circuit parameters and parameters entering the Hamiltonian

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{R}, \kappa_1 = \frac{1}{\omega L^0}, \kappa = t_y = \omega C^0, t_x = \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B}\right), \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} - \frac{1}{L^B}\right), 2\Delta = \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{L^A} + \frac{1}{L^B}\right) - \omega (C^A - C^B). \hspace{1cm} (S11)
$$

### B. Role of grounded elements

As seen from Eq. (S8), a direct lattice counterpart of the Hamiltonian Eq. (12) or Eq. (S1) will possibly make the diagonal part $d_0$ of the circuit Hamiltonian complex and, in the presence of spatially varying elements, inhomogeneous. In the quantum description this corresponds to a complex-valued and spatially varying chemical potential which leads to various complications. As we show below, however, grounded elements can be designed to counteract this undesirable effect.

First, to cancel the imaginary part of $H_{nn}$ caused by $R$ connecting $A, B$, we, one needs a matching static negative resistor $-R$ grounding $A, B$, which can be realized by the NIC element whose structure is depicted Fig. S2. In our calculations we already included this contribution in Eq. (S6). The NIC element, as is in landline repeaters and active filters, is routinely used in (integrated) analog circuits to cancel undesired lossy resistances S3 S5 and we briefly describe its basic principle of operation and properties. It is well known in electrical engineering that a non-inverting operational amplifier of negative feedback connected with two equal resistors will effectively reverse the impedance $Z$ of a third element connecting the operational amplifier’s output and the non-inverting input. This realizes a negative impedance converter with current inversion. Putting a desired resistor $R$ as the third element thus generates an effectively static negative resistor of $-R$. It works as a static linear circuit, operates over a broad range of voltages and frequencies, and is readily available from the LM741, LM324, MAX4014 amplifiers S3 S5.

Second, to compensate for the possible spatial inhomogeneity in $H_{nn}$ brought about by spatial variation of the ungrounded $L, C$ elements (required for generating pMF), one needs to spatially vary the impedance of the grounded elements in some cases. As shown in the main text, the Landau gauge $A_y = bx$ is generated by spatially modulating $\kappa_2$ while keeping $t_x$ fixed, i.e., modulating the values of $L^1$ and $L^2$ in an equal but opposite manner. In this case, $d_0$ by itself remains constant in space. However, the case of the other gauge potential, $A_y = -by$, generated by modulating $\kappa_1$ and hence $L^0$, is different. Eq. (S10) implies that modulating $L^0$ will inevitably cause spatial inhomogeneity in $d_0$. This would interfere with our desired mapping between the circuit parameters and Hamiltonian.

**FIG. S2.** Negative impedance converter circuit.
and the target Hamiltonian. The solution is to take advantage of the grounded elements $L^{A,B}, C^{A,B}$. For instance, one can make $\frac{1}{L^x} + \frac{1}{L^y}$ vary to compensate for the variation in $\frac{1}{T^x}$ while keeping $\frac{1}{T^x} - \frac{1}{T^y}$ and thus $d_z$ fixed. This leads to a spatially uniform $d_0$.

Finally, in either gauge, one can tune the global uniform value of $\frac{1}{L^x} + \frac{1}{L^y}$ to control the value of $d_0$. More generally, if $C^{A,B}$ are present as in the case of Fig. S1, one can fix $C^A = C^B$ and vary $C^A + C^B$ together with $\frac{1}{L^x} + \frac{1}{L^y}$. This is analogous to tuning the value of the chemical potential in the analog quantum Hamiltonian.

III. ENERGY SPECTRUM ALONG AND ACROSS BULK FERMI LINE WITHOUT PMF

We give here some details related to Fig. 3c.

Between the two EPs at $(x, y, \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \Delta^2/v_F^2})$ when $\gamma > \Delta$, there is a bulk Fermi line as illustrated in Fig. 2, where the real (imaginary) part of the spectrum is vanishing (finite). We present in Fig. 3ab the case of a practically more feasible size. It gains a sharper appearance when the circuit size expands, as shown in Fig. S3. One can recognize the Fermi line length roughly of the analytic value $2\sqrt{\gamma^2 - \Delta^2/v_F^2} = 0.045$.

![Fig. S3. Two EPs connected by a bulk Fermi line for the square lattice EP model without pMF. Same plot as Fig. 3, except that each circuit direction is enlarged to 500 sites.](image)

In Fig. 3c, d, we show the band structure along $k_y$ that aids best in presenting the flat bands although the ER is not directly visible in Fig. 3: if we regard it as the 3D case. The band structure can be still understood from the spectrum

$$\epsilon = \pm \sqrt{(k_x + i\gamma)^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S12)

although $k_y$ is no longer a good quantum number and instead it here takes quantized values as per the problem with open boundary in $y$. Given a $k_y$ smaller than the ER radius, Eq. (S12) is exactly the spectrum of a pair of EPs along $k_y$ in 2D, where $\Re(\epsilon(k_x)) (\Im(\epsilon(k_x)))$ has an apex (a finite jump) at $k_x = 0$ if $k_y$ crosses the bulk Fermi line connecting two EPs. Therefore, Fig. 3 is an aggregation of cuts at different allowed $k_y$’s of two intersecting EPs between the ER and the given $k_x$-plane, which explains the abrupt sign change across the bulk Fermi line in the imaginary part.

IV. ENERGY SPECTRUM WITH EDGE STATE AND NH ENERGY-REFLECTION SYMMETRY

We first explain how the low-energy Dirac theory fails to capture the edge state and then present the correct NH symmetry to resolve this.

Focusing on the low-energy property of the NH EP circuit, one can formulate a NH 2-flavour 2D massive Dirac theory under pMF,

$$h_{2F} = p_x\alpha_1 + (p_y + i\gamma_2)\alpha_2 + \Delta_0\alpha_0 - (A_x - i\gamma_2)\beta_1 - A_y\beta_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S13)

to account for both the valley (flavour) $\tau$ and sublattice $(\sigma)$ pseudospins. Here, $\alpha_1 = \sigma_x \otimes \tau_z, \alpha_2 = \sigma_y \otimes \tau_0, \alpha_0 = \sigma_x \otimes \tau_0, \beta_1 = \sigma_x \otimes \tau_0, \beta_2 = \sigma_y \otimes \tau_z$ and we also define $\alpha_3 = \sigma_x \otimes \tau_x, \alpha_4 = \sigma_x \otimes \tau_y$ since $\alpha_{0,1,2,3,4}$ form a maximal set of mutually anticommuting $\Gamma$-matrices. The Dirac mass effectively opens $\pm \Delta$ gap at $K$ and $K'$ valleys of opposite chirality. The pMF chirally coupled to two valleys makes the NH LL$_{08}$ at two valleys both localized to sublattice $A$ and shifted upwards to $E_{1LL_0} = E_{1LL_0} = \Delta$ because of the $T$-symmetry when $\gamma = 0$. Therefore, despite the coincidence of two valleys, we are able to observe this unique behavior in the armchair-like case and hence avoid the zigzag-like edge states mixing up with LL$_{08}$.

However, there is at all no partner state of energy $E_{1LL_0} = -E_{1LL_0}$, which is present in the circuit calculation as shown in Fig. 3 in the main text. In fact, one cannot find an energy-reflection symmetry for $h_{2F}$ unless in the absence of pMF. When $A \neq 0$, momentum $p$ and $A$ do not commute and a unitary matrix anticommuting with $h_{2F}$, i.e., an energy-reflection symmetry, cannot be found. To see this, note that $\{\alpha_3, \beta_1\} \neq 0, \{\alpha_4, \beta_1\} \neq 0$ are linearly independent and so is for $\beta_2$. Thus, any linear combination of $\alpha_3, \alpha_4$, the sole possibility anticommuting with $\alpha_{0,1,2}$, will not anticommute with $\beta_{1,2}$. On the other hand, when $A = 0$, pairs of opposite complex energies can be directly solved as momenta become good quantum numbers.

The paradox is resolved by a strong NH energy-reflection symmetry $\mathcal{M}$ in lattice systems with any Hermitian or NH bipartite hoppings, staggered potential $\Delta$, and spatial modulation pattern for pMF. The Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta I & B \\ C & -\Delta I \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S14)

in the basis $(a_1^\dagger, ..., a_N^\dagger, b_1, ..., b_N)$ where $B, C$ are general square matrices not necessarily related by Hermitian conjugate. It bears the NH energy-reflection symmetry as seen from the characteristic polynomial

$$|H - \epsilon I| = |\epsilon^2 - \Delta^2|I - BC|,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S15)

i.e., (complex) eigenenergies $\pm \epsilon$ always come in pair. Two special cases are as follows. When $\Delta = 0$, it gives a
nH chiral symmetry
\[ S H S^{-1} = -H \]  \hspace{1cm} (S16)
by \( S = \sigma_z \) in the sublattice space. In the EP models with real hoppings only, thought as a BdG Hamiltonian, it amounts to a nH particle-hole symmetry
\[ C H C^{-1} = -H \]  \hspace{1cm} (S17)
by mapping \( c_i \rightarrow c_i^\dagger \) for \( c = a, b \). For the 3D Weyl ER case, non-bipartite hoppings due to the \( d_\sigma \sigma_z \) terms in general could break \( \mathcal{M} \). However, as long as \( d_z \) is a function of good-quantum-number momenta only (say, \( k_z \)), i.e., at least periodically connected along \( z \)-axis) and no modulation for generating pMF in \( d_z \), the \( k_z \)-dependent system retains \( \mathcal{M} \).

For the EP case, these symmetry properties as well hold when we set periodic boundary condition in \( x \) (\( y \)) and work in the 1D model dependent on \( k_x \) (\( k_y \)). Therefore, \( \mathcal{M} \) promises the appearance of \( \pm \varepsilon_k \) in the spectrum. From Fig. 4bd in the main text, we know that this LL\( \delta \) - state not captured in the low-energy theory is only polarized to sublattice \( B \) and localized to the both edges. Along with the foregoing analysis of the LL\( \delta \) state, this immediately determines that LL\( \delta \) actually originates from the opposite-to-bulk pMF generated at the \( x \) open edges as a result of the \( T \)-invariant nature of pMF or more specifically, the abrupt cutoff of the spatial modulation giving rise to \( A \).

V. SYMMETRIC GAUGE

For pMF generated in a circuit, a gauge choice is physical although the low-energy behaviour around an exceptional degeneracy remains the same. Because of the reduced dimensionality, the Landau gauge proves more preferable for an easier circuit fabrication in size and modulation, a spectrum less complicated by the surface modes, and more accessible flat-band wavefunction towards detection. However, it is certainly worth inspecting the symmetric gauge case. We construct the wavefunctions in the continuum theory and compare with the circuit calculation.

With the symmetric gauge \( A = \frac{1}{2}(y, x, 0) \), it is convenient to set both \( \gamma_x, \gamma_y \) nonzero. The Landau gauge formulation can be extended by choosing \( \epsilon = \frac{2}{5} \gamma \times \gamma \). To construct the nH ground state and coherent state, we work in the complex plane \( z = x + iy \) and most importantly, define a complex displacement \( \delta = \frac{2}{5}(\gamma_x + i\gamma_y) \) due to non-Hermiticity. In contrast to the Hermitian case, we have independent variables
\[ w = z - \delta, w' = z + \bar{\delta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (S18)
(and corresponding complex derivatives \( \partial, \partial' \)) that are not conjugate to each other. Note that \( w \) exactly follows the physical meaning borne by \( \epsilon \). The merit of this construction is that two pairs of ladder operators of the Hermitian case remain formally intact, which read
\[ B = -i\sqrt{2}(l_b \partial' + \frac{w}{4l_b}), B' = -i\sqrt{2}(l_b \partial - \frac{w'}{4l_b}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (S19)
and
\[ D = (b/2)^{1/2}(X - iY) = \sqrt{2}(l_b \partial + \frac{w'}{4l_b}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (S20)
\[ D' = (b/2)^{1/2}(X + iY) = \sqrt{2}(-l_b \partial' + \frac{w}{4l_b}) \]
where the center of cyclotron motion \( (X, Y) \) is translated to the complex plane by \( \epsilon \). The unnormalized ground state
\[ |\psi_{nHGs}\rangle = (f(w)e^{-w_w' / 4l_b^2}, 0)^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (S21)
for any analytic function \( f(w) \) and the coherent state
\[ |\psi_{nHCS}\rangle = e^{-(w-\bar{w})^2 / 4l_b^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (S22)
for any complex constant \(w_0\). Choosing the independent functions \(f(w)\) as monomials \(w^n\) by successively applying \(D'\), we have \(|\psi_{nHGS}|^2 = |w|^{2n-1} e^{-(|x|^2+|y|^2)/4|w|^2}\). The envelop exponential function is circularly symmetric while the inner distribution becomes around the \(nH\) center \(\delta\) as shown in Fig. S4ab. Although the probability \(|\psi_{nHGS}|^2\) remains a Gaussian packet centered at \(w_0\), an extra phase structure is added by the \(nH\) effect. Also, \(\psi_{nHGS}\) reduces to a Hermitian ground state \(\psi_{CS} = e^{-z(x+\delta)/4|w|^2}\) when \(w_0 = -\delta\).

![FIG. S5. Band structure of the nH chiral \(L\) ofs around \(k_z = k_R\) with vanishing imaginary part for the Weyl ER circuit under symmetric-gauge pMF \(\delta\).](image)

We thus choose the \(nH\) independent unnormalized \(nH\) ground state to be \(\psi_{nHGS}^{(n)} = (\varphi^{(n)}, 0)^T\) where \(\varphi^{(n)} = w^n e^{-w w'/4|w|^2}\). As a comparison in Fig. S4ab, we also show the Hermitian case where \(\varphi^{(n)} = w^n e^{-(z(z-2\delta+\delta)/4|w|^2}\) with normal coordinate displacement of the same \(\delta\). We make use of the model Eq. \(S4\), the Weyl ER circuit with four Weyl ER centers on the \(z\)-axis, to have a look at the symmetric gauge wavefunctions, which uses open boundary condition in \(x\), \(y\) and periodic connection in \(z\). In its band structure shown in Fig. S5, the few nH chiral \(L\) ofs in the vicinity of \(k_z = k_R\) correspond to the \(\psi_{nHGS}^{(n)}\)'s. They are shown in Fig. S4d with the Hermitian case as a reference. One can clearly see the resemblance between Fig. S4d and S4f.

**VI. NH DETECTION FORMALISM**

In the biorthonormal representation, we have the spectral expansion of the impedance matrix \(J^{-1} = \sum_m J_m^{-1} |\tilde{\psi}_R^m\rangle |\tilde{\psi}_L^m\rangle\) as the inverse of the admittance matrix \(J\). Here, \(m\) signifies all quantum numbers in the 2D EP circuit, for instance, including the crystal momentum \(k_z\) and band index \(n\) in a circuit setting with periodic (open) boundary in \(x\) (y) direction.

Thus, the system bears a complete right (left) basis set \(|\tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R\rangle = |\phi_{k_z n}\rangle |\psi_{k_z n}^R\rangle\) where \(x|\phi_{k_z n}\rangle = e^{ik_z x}/\sqrt{L}\) is the Bloch wavefunction along the periodic direction with circumference \(L\). Diagonalizing the lattice Hamiltonian \(H(k_z) (H^\dagger(k_z))\), we get the right (left) eigenstate \(|\psi_{k_z n}^R\rangle (|\psi_{k_z n}^L\rangle)\) with eigenenergy \(\varepsilon_{k_z n}(k_z) (\varepsilon_{k_z n}^*(k_z))\). Biorthonormalization is given by \(|\tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R\rangle = |\psi_{k_z n}^R\rangle/\sqrt{\langle\psi_{k_z n}^L|\psi_{k_z n}^R\rangle}, |\tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^L\rangle = |\psi_{k_z n}^L\rangle/\sqrt{\langle\psi_{k_z n}^R|\psi_{k_z n}^L\rangle}\). In the following, we use \((x, y, s)\) to denote a node’s cell coordinate \((x, y)\) and sublattice location \(s = A, B\). In the 3D ER circuit with Landau gauge, we simply append a good quantum number \(k_z\) to \(m\) (a periodic coordinate \(z\)) in the same manner as \(k_x\) (\(x\) in all the derivations. For brevity, we illustrate the 2D case only below.

The impedance between any two nodes \(a = (x_1, y_1, s_1)\) and \(b = (x_2, y_2, s_2)\) reads

\[
Z_{ab} = (J^{-1})_{a a} + (J^{-1})_{b b} - (J^{-1})_{a b} = \sum_{k_z, n} \bar{\psi}_{k_z n}^R (a) (\tilde{\psi}_R^L (a) - \tilde{\psi}_L^R (b)) + \sum_{k_z, n} \bar{\psi}_{k_z n}^L (b) (\tilde{\psi}_R^L (a) - \tilde{\psi}_L^R (b))
\]

\[
\times (\tilde{\psi}_L^L (x_1, y_1, s_1) - \tilde{\psi}_L^L (x_2, y_2, s_2))
\]

\[
\sum_{k_z, n} j_{k_z n} (\tilde{\psi}_L^L (x_1, y_1, s_1) - \tilde{\psi}_L^L (x_2, y_2, s_2)) e^{i k_z (x_2 - x_1)}
\]

\[
\sum_{k_z, n} j_{k_z n} (\tilde{\psi}_L^L (x_1, y_1, s_1) - \tilde{\psi}_L^L (x_2, y_2, s_2)) e^{i k_z (x_2 - x_1)}
\]

\[
(S23)
\]

The basis set \(|\tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R\rangle\) can be used to expand the current injecting state \(|\Psi_j\rangle = \sum_{k_z, n} c_{k_z n} |\tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R\rangle\) with \(c_{k_z n} = \langle\phi_{k_z n}|\tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R|\Psi_j\rangle = \sum_{x, y, s} \mathcal{J}_{k_z n} \tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R (x, y, s) \tilde{\psi}_{k_z n} (x, y, s)\). Then the voltage response state is

\[
|\Psi_v\rangle = J^{-1} |\Psi_j\rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{k_z, n} c_{k_z n} |\phi_{k_z n}\rangle \sum_{k_z n'} \bar{\psi}_{k_z n'}^R \langle \tilde{\psi}_L^L (k_z n') |\tilde{\psi}_L^L (k_z n)\rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{k_z, n} c_{k_z n} |\phi_{k_z n}\rangle \sum_{n'} j_{k_z n'} \tilde{\psi}_L^L (k_z n') \tilde{\psi}_L^L (k_z n)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k_z, n} c_{k_z n} |\phi_{k_z n}\rangle |\psi_{k_z n}^R\rangle
\]

\[
(S24)
\]

and the voltage at a particular node \((x, y, s)\) follows

\[
\Psi_v (x, y, s) = \sum_{k_z n} c_{k_z n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{i k_z x} |\tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R| (y, s).
\]

\[
(S25)
\]

If we consider injecting current only to a specific node at the midpoint of the edge of the open direction, say, \((x_0, y_0, s_0)\), we have \(\Psi_j (x, y, s) = \delta_{x_0} \delta_{y_0} \delta_{s_0}\) and hence \(c_{k_z n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{-i k_z x_0} \tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R (y_0, s_0)\). Therefore,

\[
\Psi_v (x, y, s) = \sum_{k_z n} \tilde{\psi}_{k_z n}^R (y_0, s_0) e^{i k_z (x-x_0)} |\psi_{k_z n}^R| (y, s).
\]

\[
(S26)
\]

On the other hand, to observe a specific \(nH\) wavefunction, one has to adopt a different injecting current, which is an eigenstate of a particular ac driving pattern of wave number \(k_z\), i.e., \(\Psi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{i k_z x} \delta_{y_0} \delta_{s_0}\). Therefore, we have the expansion coefficient modified to
\[ c'_{k_n} = \delta_{k_n,k_n'} \psi^*_{k_n}(y_0, s_0) \] and thus
\[ \Psi'(x, y, s) = \sum_n \frac{\psi^*_{k'n}(y_0, s_0)}{\sqrt{L_j k_n'}} e^{i k_n' x} \psi_{k_n}(y, s). \] (S27)

### VII. CIRCUIT FOR NH HOFSTADTER BUTTERFLY

Fig. S6. Building block of a nH circuit simulating \( \frac{1}{q} \) magnetic flux quantum per unit cell.

The nH pMF LL realized in this study corresponds, in fact, to the weak-field limit where the magnetic length \( l_b \) is large compared to the lattice spacing. The complementary strong-field situation where \( l_b \) is comparable to the lattice spacing leads to the Hofstadter butterfly. A natural question is what new features a nH Hofstadter butterfly would have. Here, we briefly point out a circuit realization towards exploring this for future study.

The first step is to make lattice magnetic flux large enough. Instead of our pMF method, one can make a conventional circuit lattice model, however, with each node replaced by \( q \) capacitors (inductors) connected as a ring or connected to a single node, either of which also provides \( q \) outward subnodes [S7, S8]. In Fig. S6 we adopt the ring setting with three internal capacitors. Each composite node acquires \( q \) internal eigenmodes labeled by \( k \) and most importantly, connecting corresponding subnodes by inductors (capacitors) with a cyclic shift \( p \) between two such composite nodes will generate hoppings between these two composite main nodes with a gauge factor \( e^{i 2 \pi k_p q} \) where \( k, p = 0, \cdots, q-1 \). Then one can introduce non-Hermiticity by additionally connecting corresponding subnodes in two composite nodes by resistors in the same manner. When the shift \( p = 0 \) for all resistive connections of identical resistance \( R \), the non-Hermiticity is equivalent to the one in the main text. Otherwise, it would be a more complex case attaching gauge flux to the nH hoppings. In Fig. S6 we show the case of \( q = 3 \) internal modes, \( p = 1 \) for inductor connection and \( p = 0 \) for nH resistor connection.

---