An ab-initio solid state photoluminescence based on frequency constraint: NV$^-$ case study
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Measuring the photoluminescence of defects in crystals is a common experimental technique for analysis and identification. However, current theoretical simulations typically require the simulation of a large number of atoms to eliminate finite size effects, which discourages computationally expensive excited state methods. We show how to extract the room-temperature photoluminescence spectra of defect centres in bulk from an ab-initio simulation of a defect in small clusters. The finite size effect of small clusters manifests as strong coupling to low frequency vibrational modes. We find that removing vibrations below a cutoff frequency determined by constrained optimization returns the main features of the solid-state photoluminescence spectrum. This strategy is illustrated for an NV$^-$ defect, presenting a connection between defects in solid-state and clusters; the first vibrationally resolved ab-initio photoluminescence spectrum of an NV$^-$ defect in a nanodiamond; and an alternative technique for simulating photoluminescence for solid-state defects utilizing more accurate excited state methods.
I. INTRODUCTION

The creation and control of coherent single photons is an important step towards building next generation quantum technology. Photons represent an optimal controllable quantum system since they can encode quantum information that interacts minimally with the environment and can be easily transported and manipulated with free space and integrated photonic circuits. Traditional single photon sources based on spontaneous parametric downconversion are probabilistic in nature and therefore must be heralded or post-selected, severely limiting the scalability of photonic quantum technology. Solid state systems, and in particular defect centres in nanomaterials, are a promising architecture for developing deterministic single photon sources. These sources however, are generally limited by decoherence due to large coupling to vibrational states, which remains one of the biggest challenges in generating and collecting high quality photon emission from defect centres in nanomaterials. The existence and energy of photon emission is observed experimentally in the photoluminescence (PL) spectrum, which is, therefore, used to investigate existing and discover new potential sources of single photons. *Ab-initio* calculation of PL can help to predict and explain the electronic and vibrational properties of emitters. However, due to the sheer number of parameters required to describe nanoscale systems, a vibrationally resolved PL calculation is a computationally hard task, which limits the accuracy of the results and the size of the system that can be studied.

Traditionally, only electronic calculations are used for identifying and characterising emitters. Recently, the first *ab-initio* calculation of the PL lineshape was calculated for a solid state emitter with good consistency with experiment, opening up the ability of identification using the entire spectrum. To get excited state properties, the method used $\Delta$-SCF, which is only mathematically proven for excited states with different symmetry than the ground state, or with the use of an orbital-specific exchange-correlation functional. While this applies to their test case of the $NV^-$ centre in diamond, this is not true for all emitters.

It is preferable to treat excited states with Time Dependent Density Functional Theory (TD-DFT) as it gives formally exact excited state properties under similar approximations to DFT. TD-DFT has been previously used to determine the electronic spectrum of $NV^-$ centres in nanodiamond. To date, TD-DFT has not been used to calculate the
vibrationally resolved PL spectrum of any solid state emitter.

In this work, we propose and demonstrate a method to calculate the PL spectrum of a defect in a nanocrystal using TD-DFT and recover both the electronic transition and the spectral lineshape. While finite size effects were approached with the supercell method in previous work\textsuperscript{14} here we use the cluster calculations (vacuum boundary conditions) with a low frequency cutoff on vibrational modes to recover the behaviour of defects in solid-state crystal. We validate the applicability of the method with the NV\textsuperscript{−} defect.

The NV\textsuperscript{−} centre consists of a substituted nitrogen and a removed carbon from the diamond lattice. It exhibits C\textsubscript{3v} symmetry in the ground state and C\textsubscript{s} symmetry in the excited state. The defect is shown in figure 1. The electronic and vibrational structure of the NV\textsuperscript{−} centre has been well studied.

Electronically, the NV\textsuperscript{−} centre consists of a triplet ground state and triplet excited state that are a linear combination of Slater determinants and cannot be simulated by DFT. Fortunately, the \( m_s = 1 \) projection can be written as Slater determinant\textsuperscript{15} and has been shown to be sufficient for calculating transition energies.\textsuperscript{13} Configuration Interaction (CI) has been used to analyze these states.\textsuperscript{16} As CI is very computationally expensive, the TD-DFT level is a sufficient improvement on \( \Delta \)-SCF for this work.

Vibronically, the dynamical Jahn-Tellar effect of the defect has been characterised and accurate vibrational wavefunctions determined assuming a mean effective phonon frequency.\textsuperscript{17} However, for our study, it is sufficient to ignore anharmonic effects and remain in the Franck-Condon picture as we use NV\textsuperscript{−} as validation rather than provide insight about it specifically.

To calculate the emission spectra from this model, we assume a displaced harmonic oscillator model under the Franck-Condon approximation. The important electronic quantities for the DHO model are shown in figure 2. The vertical absorption and vertical emission (\( E_{abs}, E_{emit} \)) are the energy difference for transitions with no geometry change and are determined from TD-DFT on the optimized ground and excited geometries respectively. \( E_{ZPL} \) is known as the zero-phonon-line in solid state or \( 0 \rightarrow 0 \) transition in finite systems. \( E_{adiab} \) is the adiabatic energy, which is the difference between the energy of the relaxed ground and relaxed excited state geometries.

In the DHO model, \( E_{ZPL} \) is equal to \( E_{adiab} \) as the ground and excited state have equal zero-point energies - the difference between the bottom of the potential energy surface and the lowest eigenvalue. \( E_{vib} \) is the characteristic frequency of the harmonic oscillators. \( D \) is
FIG. 1. The structure of the NV$^-$ centre. The defect consists of a missing carbon, shown as V, with substitutional nitrogen and extra electron. The point group of the ground state is $C_{3v}$ with principal axis on the line between the nitrogen and vacancy. The nearest-neighbour atoms to the defect are shown. The C$_{197}$NH$_{140}$ carbon nanodiamond has three nearest neighbours to the defect.

The displacement of atoms along one normal co-ordinate between ground and excited states. The power of the displaced harmonic oscillator model is that the only parameters required to determine the photoluminescence spectrum are the vibrational frequency spectrum of the ground state, $E_{vib}$, the atomic displacements between ground and excited states $D$ for each normal mode, and the adiabatic energy $E_{adiab}$.

Based on this, we adapt a modification of the time-dependent approach to emission rate implemented in VIBES.$^{13}$ We assume semi-classical dipole emission with a rate given by Fermi’s Golden Rule. This rate contains an integral of a correlation function over time. The Fourier transform of this function gives the spectral lineshape. Details are given in the Appendix (section VI). This is very similar to the Huang-Rhys method used in Alkauskas et al.$^{14}$ without the approximation of a single mean frequency.

Our method only requires ground state calculation of vibrational modes and a constrained and unconstrained excited state relaxation. The excited state calculations under TD-DFT are shown to be sufficiently accurate to predict the solid-state photoluminescence spectrum of NV$^-$. Furthermore, TD-DFT is capable of treating more systems than ∆-SCF and can extend to general defect systems.$^9$
II. METHODOLOGY

We construct a nanodiamond with composition C\textsubscript{197}NH\textsubscript{140}. This corresponds to third nearest neighbours to the defect. For all atoms, we use def2-SV(P)\textsuperscript{19}, a split-valence basis set with polarization functions on all atoms but hydrogen. Previous electronic structure studies\textsuperscript{13,20} have concluded that larger basis sets than double-\(\zeta\) polarization do not increase accuracy significantly for geometry optimization. The split-valence polarization set has a similar number of orbitals as double-\(\zeta\) polarization.

Gali et al\textsuperscript{13} have determined that the PBE0 exchange-correlation functional is optimal in TD-DFT for electronic transitions. As such we use PBE0 for DFT and TD-DFT calculations.

Turbomole\textsuperscript{21} was used to relax the geometry using DFT\textsuperscript{22} with C\(_3v\) symmetry constraints. All excited properties were also calculated with TD-DFT\textsuperscript{23,24} in Turbomole using the same basis set and functional. The excited state optimization was performed under different constraints to analyze finite size effects. First, we performed unconstrained optimization for several steps. We found the diamond had adopted C\(_s\) symmetry. We continued optimization under C\(_s\). We also performed optimization with all CH and CH\(_2\) groups frozen in their ground state co-ordinates under C\(_s\) symmetry.

The program SNF within the package MOVIPAC\textsuperscript{25} was then used to calculate the vibrational modes of the ground state. The energy gradients as each atom was perturbed in each cartesian direction by 0.01 Å were collected. A Hessian matrix of second derivatives of energy was constructed and the force matrix derived. The diagonalization of the force matrix yielded normal modes and frequencies.

We calculate the vibrational lineshape using the VIBES program\textsuperscript{18} modified to implement equation 13 from the Appendix (section VI) with a cutoff. As our model allows to set the temperature of the simulation, the temperature was set to 300 K. The correlation function was integrated over 300 fs evaluating at 16384 intervals. To replicate experimental line broadening, the spectrum was convoluted with a gaussian of width 200 cm\(^{-1}\), which is approximately the experimental FWHM of the ZPL in figure 4. This was performed with and without a cutoff at 57 meV.
FIG. 2. The displaced harmonic oscillator model (DHO) along one normal co-ordinate. The electronic ground state is given in black; the excited state in red. The potential energy surface are shown as parabolae. The vibrational energy levels are shown inside the parabola. The displacement along this mode on excitation is $D$. In the DHO model, the parabolae and levels are identical up to $D$.

III. RESULTS

The adiabatic energy, $E_{\text{adiab}}$ for the $\text{C}_{197}\text{NH}_{140}$ nanodiamond under TD-DFT is 1.945 eV. This is consistent with the experimental ZPL of 1.945 eV \cite{23} While this appears extraordinarily accurate, the value will depend on the shape of the simulated nanodiamond. This can be seen in the Appendix (section VII). Despite this, these results are a significant improvement of the $\Delta$-SCF method, which reported 1.757 eV under PBE and 2.035 eV under the HSE functional. These also prove similar to previous TD-DFT results, which found $E_{\text{emit}}$ of 2.2 eV compared to our 2.1 eV \cite{24}.

The vibrational calculation gives normal mode co-ordinates and associated eigenfrequencies. The shift in geometry between ground and excited state along each coordinate defines the displacement vector $D$ from Figure 2. This is then used to define the partial Huang-Rhys (HR) factors. These are a measure of how much each normal mode contributes to the final spectrum. For details see Appendix (section VI).

Figure 3 shows the partial HR factors as a function of the frequency of their respective normal mode. The vibrational modes show three distinct regions. At low frequency, most modes involved large displacements from all atoms; at around 60-80 meV, the vibrational modes involved the atoms around the defect as shown in figure 1; higher frequency modes involved smaller subregions, for instance modes around 200 meV were exclusively $C-\text{H}$ bending modes. The middle region has a peak at 66 meV which corresponds well to the 65
FIG. 3. Partial Huang-Rhys (HR) factors as functions of frequency for a) unconstrained and b) constrained excited states. The HR factors indicate the contribution of a mode to the correlation function. The HR factors are given as delta functions. The solid line shows HR factors with Gaussian broadening and scaling to demonstrate distinct regions. The dotted line is the cutoff at 57 meV.

FIG. 4. Theoretical photoluminescence spectrum of an NV$^-$ centre in a C$_{197}$NH$_{140}$ nanodiamond with and without frequency cutoff. The spectra was generated from DFT simulations of the ground state and TD-DFT simulations of the excited state overlayed with experimental spectrum of NV$^-$ nanodiamonds. A cutoff was chosen at 57 meV which is the first mode unaffected by constraining the outer carbons of the diamond.
meV peak identified by Alkauskas et al.\textsuperscript{14}

By constraining the CH and CH\textsubscript{2} groups, we see suppression of low frequencies. We can now apply a cutoff frequency at 57 meV, which corresponds to the highest energy vibrational mode whose partial Huang-Rhys factor is reduced in the constrained calculation.

It should be noted that the cutoff does not correspond to the valley at 40 meV, but removes a cluster of modes around 50 meV. These modes are reduced in the constrained calculation despite appearing to be part of the main peak. Furthermore, this cluster of modes become distinct from the 66 meV peak for different shaped nanodiamonds as shown in the Appendix (section VII).

The two distinct peaks of figure 3 demonstrate why the assumption of a single effective frequency from the Huang-Rhys model is invalid. In comparison, PL for solid state NV\textsuperscript{−} has been reproduced under the mean frequency assumption\textsuperscript{14} In that sense, we see that our low frequency cutoff reproduces solid-state spectra from cluster calculations by ensuring that there is a single effective frequency around 66 meV.

The PL spectrum is given in figure 4 with and without the cutoff in comparison to experiment. The spectra with no cutoff is the \textit{ab-initio} spectra for a C\textsubscript{197}NH\textsubscript{140} nanodiamond in vacuum based on our model. Experimental spectra is not available as nanodiamonds this small have not been observed since NV\textsuperscript{−} are unlikely to form\textsuperscript{28,29} In comparison, the spectra with cutoff matches the peaks of the solid-state experimental spectra and recreates the lineshape of the sideband well. The spectra without cutoff has a much larger intensity at long wavelengths (low energy) than experiment. This is likely due to contribution from the low energy peak in figure 3a). The cutoff spectra is less intense at long wavelengths, but is still higher than experiment. This leads to a decrease of the ZPL.

Overall, our method performs well at recreating the main peaks in the photoluminescence spectra, but is not able to predict the height of the ZPL. This is likely due to the inelegance of using a strict cutoff on low frequency modes. It is likely that higher frequency modes must be modified. This idea is consistent with figure 3 where the vibrational mode around 80 meV increases in the constrained case. Regardless, we have established that clusters introduce a region of low frequency vibrations that couple to excitation and cause the PL to have much higher intensity at long wavelengths.
IV. CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated an ab-initio method for calculating the photoluminescence spectrum of solid state emitters from cluster calculations. We have shown that finite size effects from cluster calculations appear as part of a low frequency peak in the Huang-Rhys spectrum. We have shown that a low frequency cutoff eliminates this finite size effect and recovers the solid state PL spectrum. Furthermore, we have demonstrated the first vibrationally resolved PL spectrum for a nanodiamond as well as the first spectrum utilising TD-DFT for excited state properties, which has fewer restrictions than Δ-SCF. This method was demonstrated with NV$^-$ and found to match experiment up to loss of intensity from the ZPL to long wavelengths. The versatility of this method presents an alternate way to calculate the photoluminescence spectrum and marks a step forward in understanding and predicting solid-state single photon emitter properties.

V. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See supplementary material for analytic derivation of the PL spectrum and electronic and vibrational results for C$_{121}$NH$_{100}$ and C$_{145}$NH$_{100}$.
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VI. APPENDIX I: THEORY

A. Derivation of Photoluminescence Spectrum

To calculate vibronic intensities, we use the displaced harmonic oscillator model. This model assumes separate electronic and vibrational degrees of freedom with parabolic potential energy surfaces for vibration. The potential energy surfaces for vibration in the excited electronic state are identical to the ground state but displaced with regards to atomic position. This is shown in figure 2. Henceforth, we follow the derivations in [18,30]. The Hamiltonian thus consists of a standard Born-Oppenheimer Hamiltonian for electronic states and a vibrational portion dependent on the nuclear parameters $q$ given as two displaced harmonic oscillators:

$$H = H_g + H_e,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where,

$$H_g = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2q^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and

$$H_e = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2(q-d)^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $p$ is momentum, $m$ is mass, $\omega$ is the frequency of the harmonic oscillator, $d$ is the
displacement on excitation.

Assuming dipole emission, we then use Fermi’s golden rule to write the probability of emission from an excited state $|E_{ex}, v_{ex}\rangle$ to $|E_{gr}, v_{gr}\rangle$ as:

$$T = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \langle E_{ex}, v_{ex} | \hat{\mu} | E_{gr}, v_{gr}\rangle,$$  

(4)

where we now use the Franck-Condon approximation to assume the dipole operator has no dependence on nuclear co-ordinates, which allows us to pull the vibrational states out:

$$T = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \mu_{E,G} | \langle v_{ex} | v_{gr}\rangle |^2 \delta(E_e - E_g),$$  

(5)

where $\mu_{E,G}$ is the electronic transition dipole moment.

We can now rewrite the delta function in integral form and rewrite the vibrational overlap as the integral over Hermite polynomials to give:

$$T = \frac{1}{\hbar} \mu_{E,G} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t) dt,$$  

(6)

with generating function $G(t)$:

$$G(t) = e^{-\Delta E_it} \Pi_i 2 \sinh(\frac{\beta \omega_i}{2}) \Sigma_n e^{-(n_i+\frac{1}{2})(i\omega_i t)} \chi_i(Q_{gr}) \chi_i(Q_{ex}) \Sigma_{n'} e^{-(n_i'+\frac{1}{2})(\beta \omega_i-i\omega_i t)} \chi_i(Q_{ex}) \chi_i(Q_{ex})$$  

(7)

Under the displaced harmonic oscillator, the purely electronic transition, $\Delta E$, is identical to the adiabatic energy since both electronic states have the same zero point energy.

The generating function can be grossly simplified using Mehler’s formula for Hermite polynomials $\chi_i$:

$$\Sigma_n \exp\left(-\Sigma_i (n_i + \frac{1}{2}) \xi_i \right) \times \chi_{n_1}(Q_1)\ldots\chi_{n_N}(Q_N) \chi_{n_1}(\bar{Q}_1)\ldots\chi_{n_N}(\bar{Q}_N) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \sqrt{\det(S^{-1}\Omega)} \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}((Q + \bar{Q})^\dagger \Omega B(Q + \bar{Q}) + (Q - \bar{Q})^\dagger \Omega B^{-1}(Q - \bar{Q}))\right).$$  

(8)

$\Omega$, $S$ and $B$ are diagonal matrices with elements $\omega$, $\sinh(\xi_i)$ and $\tanh(\xi_i/2)$ respectively.
and the vector $Q$ of normal mode coordinates. For the ground state, $\xi_i = i\omega_i t$; for the excited state, $\xi_i = \omega_i (\beta - it)$ where $\beta$ is the Boltzmann factor $\frac{h}{kT}$.

This substituted into $G(t)$ for ground and excited harmonic oscillators gives:

$$G(t) = (2\pi)^{-N} \sqrt{\det(2S_\beta^2 S_{gr}^{-1} S_{ex}^{-1} \Omega_{gr} \Omega_{ex})} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}((Q_{gr} + Q_{\bar{gr}})^{\dagger} \Omega_{gr} B_{gr} (Q_{gr} + Q_{\bar{gr}}) + (Q_{gr} - Q_{\bar{gr}})^{\dagger} \Omega_{gr} B_{gr}^{-1} (Q_{gr} - Q_{\bar{gr}}) + (Q_{ex} + Q_{\bar{ex}})^{\dagger} \Omega_{ex} B_{ex} (Q_{ex} + Q_{\bar{ex}}) + (Q_{ex} - Q_{\bar{ex}})^{\dagger} \Omega_{ex} B_{ex}^{-1} (Q_{ex} - Q_{\bar{ex}}))d^N Q d^N \bar{Q},$$

(9)

where $S_\beta$ is the diagonal matrix with entries $\sinh\left(\frac{\beta \omega_i}{2}\right)$

From equations 2 and 3, we can see that the normal and excited normal modes differ only in translation by the vector $D$:

$$Q_{ex} = Q_{gr} + D,$$

(10)

furthermore, the diagonal matrix $\Omega$ will be the same for ground and excited state, so we will drop the subscript.

we substitute this into equation 11 and transform into co-ordinates $X = Q + \bar{Q}$ and $Y = Q - \bar{Q}$ to obtain:

$$G(t) = (-4\pi)^{-N} \sqrt{\det(2S_\beta^2 S_{gr}^{-1} S_{ex}^{-1} \Omega_{gr} \Omega_{ex})} \exp(-D^\dagger \Omega B_{ex} D) \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(X^{\dagger} \Omega (B_{gr} + B_{ex}) X) - D^\dagger \Omega B_{ex} X\right)dX^N$$

$$\int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(Y^{\dagger} \Omega (B_{ex}^{-1} + B_{gr}^{-1}) Y)\right)dY^N.$$  

(11)

These correspond to two vector Gaussian integrals which can be evaluated analytically to give:
\[ G(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\text{det}(2S_3^2S_{ex}^{-1}S_{ex}^{-1} \Omega^2)}{\text{det}(\Omega(B_{gr} + B_{ex})) \text{det}(\Omega(B^{-1}_{gr} + B^{-1}_{ex}))}} e^{-D^\dagger \Omega B_{ex} D} e^{\frac{1}{2} D^\dagger \Omega B_{ex} (\Omega B_{gr} + \Omega B_{ex})^{-1} B_{ex}^\dagger \Omega^\dagger D}, \quad (12) \]

We now use some basic hyperbolic trigonometric identities and the fact the matrices \( \Omega \) and \( B \) are diagonal to simplify as:

\[ G(t) = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} D^\dagger \Omega \frac{B_{ex}^2 + 2B_{ex}B_{gr}}{B_{gr} + B_{ex}} D \right). \quad (13) \]

The spectrum is then given by the Fourier transform of the generating function. This formula is implemented in a modified version of Vibes\textsuperscript{18}.

### B. Effect of Cutoff frequency

To see the effect of the cutoff frequency, we write the terms in the exponential in index notation:

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{-\text{tanh}^2(\omega_j(\beta - it)/2) + 2 \text{tanh}(\omega_j(\beta - it)/2) \text{tanh}(i\omega_j t/2) d_j^2 \omega_j}{\text{tanh}(\omega_j(\beta - it)/2) + \text{tanh}(i\omega_j t/2)} \frac{d_j^2 \omega_j}{2}. \quad (14) \]

The generating function is now explicitly a sum over frequency modes. In order to remove the effect of a particular mode, we remove its corresponding term from the sum. Let us relabel the sum such that \( \omega_j > \omega_{j+1} \) for all \( j \). We see that a low frequency cutoff of \( k \) modes is simply equation (15) with \( N \) replaced with \( N - k \).

The low frequency cutoff can be considered equivalent to constraining the degrees of freedom related to the low frequency region. Visual inspection of the associated modes reveals this to be largely vibrations that involve the entire nanodiamond.

### C. Huang-Rhys Constants

The analysis above is exact for the spectrum of dipole emission from the displaced harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian under the Franck-Condon approximation.

To get the Huang-Rhys constants, we make the additional assumption that the hyperbolic
functions all oscillate at an identical mean frequency, $\omega$. This allows us to move the sum over modes

$$\frac{-\tanh^2(\omega(\beta - it)/2) + 2 \tanh(\omega(\beta - it)/2) \tanh(i\omega t/2)}{\tanh(\omega(\beta - it)/2) + \tanh(i\omega t/2)} \sum \frac{d_i^2 \omega_i}{2}. \quad (15)$$

The correlation function is only a function of each individual eigenfrequency in this sum term. We now introduce the famous Huang-Rhys constant as:

$$S = \sum \frac{d_i^2 \omega_i}{2}. \quad (16)$$

In models such as the Frhlich hamiltonian or the CC model this quantity has physical interpretation as the number of vibrational modes passed during a transition. In other words,

$$S = \frac{(E_{\text{emit}} - E_{\text{ZPL}} - E_{\text{ZPE}})}{E_{\text{vib}}}, \quad (17)$$

where $E_{\text{emit}}$ is the emission energy, $E_{\text{ZPL}}$ is the zero phonon line energy, $E_{\text{vib}}$ is $\hbar \omega$, and $E_{\text{ZPE}}$ is the zero point energy.

This is not the case in our model. To get temperature effects, we assume a Boltzmann distribution in excited state vibrational levels. $S$ only has physical interpretation if we emit only from the lowest vibrational state of the excited state. Furthermore, we do not use the crucial mean frequency assumption.

Rather, it is useful to consider the partial Huang-Rhys constants, i.e.

$$S_i = \frac{d_i^2 \omega_i}{2}, \quad (18)$$

which are the only terms in the correlation function that depend on $d$. These can be used as a metric for contribution of each normal mode to the excitation spectra. Furthermore, they can be used as comparison to other models in which the total Huang-Rhys constant has physical interpretation. The partial Huang-Rhys constants are plotted in the main text in figure 3.
TABLE I. Electronic energies as shown in figure 3 and total Huang-Rhys constants for the three shapes of nanodiamond tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>C_{121}NH_{100}</th>
<th>C_{145}NH_{100}</th>
<th>C_{197}NH_{140}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_{abs} (eV)</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_{emit} (eV)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_{adiab} (eV)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (dim-less)</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. APPENDIX II: OTHER SIZED NANODIAMONDS

The method was performed on three nanodiamond sizes or shapes: C_{121}NH_{100}, C_{145}NH_{100} and C_{197}NH_{140}. In this section, firstly, we outline a table of the energies calculated from TD-DFT and total Huang-Rhys constants. Secondly, we show the partial Huang-Rhys factors as a function of frequency for the three nanodiamonds. Figure 2 illustrates each energy with reference to the displaced harmonic oscillator model.

Despite the lack of the same physical significance for our model as Huang-Rhys models, we can compare the Huang-Rhys constant to other simulations. Previous simulations give values of 3.67 and experimental values of 3.8. All our values are larger. In the Huang-Rhys model it can be interpreted as how many effective vibrational levels are passed in a vertical transition. Our larger values imply that there is smaller overlap between the ground and excited states, which is consistent with figure 4 which shows a smaller ZPL height than experiment.

As C_{197}NH_{140} recovers the zero phonon line, we chose to use it for the photoluminescence calculation in the main text.

The partial Huang-Rhys factors for these nanodiamonds are shown in figure 5. They demonstrate the inadequacy of a mean frequency model to explain vibronic coupling. Furthermore, figure 5c), the C_{145}NH_{100} nanodiamond unconstrained spectra, illustrates how the cluster of peaks around 50 meV are distinct from the 66 meV peak. This is a result of the shape difference in the C_{145}NH_{100} nanodiamond.
FIG. 5. Partial Huang-Rhys (HR) factors as functions of frequency for constrained and unconstrained $C_{121}NH_{100}$, $C_{145}NH_{100}$, and $C_{197}NH_{140}$ diamond. The cluster of normal modes just after 50 meV correspond to the additional modes included in the cutoff in Figure 3.