Photocatalytic water splitting in (co)doped SrTiO$_3$ from first principles calculations
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Using the hybrid density functional theory and \textit{ab initio} atomic thermodynamics, we have investigated the thermodynamic stability of non-metal (N), metal (Mn) related monodoped SrTiO$_3$. We find that substitutional defect is the most stable defect over a wide range of environmental conditions relevant to the experimental growth conditions. However, despite substitution of N at O site (N$_{O}$) helps in reducing the band gap, it introduces the localized states deep inside the forbidden region that act as recombination centers and degrade the photocatalytic efficiency. On the other hand, in the case of substitution of Mn at Sr site, the band gap (3.25 eV) is not suitable for inducing visible light absorption. However, substitution of Mn at Ti site helps to reduce the band gap (2.57 eV) to visible light absorption but due to shift of conduction band minima in downward direction, its reduction power to produce of H$_2$ from water splitting is lowered. Thus, monodoping of N or Mn can induce visible light absorption, but none of them are suitable to ameliorate the photocatalytic activity. Therefore, in order to achieve enhanced photocatalytic activity of SrTiO$_3$, we have employed codoped Mn and N simultaneously in SrTiO$_3$ to modulate its electronic properties effectively. In the codoped SrTiO$_3$ the recombination of photogenerated charge carriers is suppressed, and the diffusion and mobility are increased owing to the passivation of discrete localized states. Our results reveal that Mn$_{Sr}$N$_{O}$ (codoping of Mn at Sr site and N at O site) is the most promising candidate for enhancing the photocatalytic activity of SrTiO$_3$ under visible light.

I. INTRODUCTION

Semiconductor-based photocatalysts have attracted considerable interest due to their potential in harnessing solar energy for solving the current energy demand and environmental degradation [1–4]. The criteria satisfied by a photocatalyst involve suitable band-edge positions that straddle the reduction and oxidation potential of a desired chemical compound, high separation rate, slow recombination rate, high mobility of photoexcited charge carriers, and longer lifetime. Among various perovskite photocatalysts, SrTiO$_3$ has emerged as one of the promising material for photocatalytic water splitting and pollutant degradation in the past few decades because of its exceptional electronic structure, optical properties, photochemical stability, and low cost [5–9]. However, one of the major concerns is its large band gap (3.25 eV) due to which it only responds to ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, which consists of only 4% of the solar spectrum [10]. Therefore, it delimits the application of SrTiO$_3$ on a commercial level. Thus, several works have been endeavored to reduce the band gap of SrTiO$_3$ in order to induce visible light absorption via doping with metals [11, 12], nonmetals [13, 14] or combination of several elements [15–19].

Earlier, different metal cations, particularly transition metal (TM) dopants, are used to expand the spectral response [11, 20–23]. However, merely the band gap reduction cannot ensure the enhancement in photocatalytic efficiency. For metal-cation doped SrTiO$_3$, TM d-states hybridize with those states of the SrTiO$_3$ that contribute to conduction band, and thus the reduction in band gap occurs by shifting of conduction band minimum (CBm) in downward direction. However, due to this shift in conduction band edge, the reducing power is deteriorated. Also, deep level traps arise frequently in the forbidden region, which act as recombination centers causing difficulty in separation and migration of photogenerated charge carriers [12, 24]. Therefore, transition metal alone is not suitable for improvement in photocatalytic activity. As a result of the shortcomings from metal dopants, non-metal doping approach is also employed [25–27]. Among the nonmetals doping, N-doped SrTiO$_3$ is found to narrow the band gap by elevating the valence band maximum (VBM). This is due to the fact that the N (2p) states are higher in energy than the O (2p) states and thus, yields visible light response [26]. However, localized states are appeared deep inside the forbidden region, which can trap the photoexcited charge carriers and accelerate the electron-hole recombination and hence, degrade the photocatalytic efficiency. The codoping with a metal is one of the pre- eminent solutions to passivate the discrete states of non-metal dopants in the forbidden region, and form the continuum band [24, 28–30]. Earlier studies have suggested that codoping of metal in N-doped SrTiO$_3$ stabilizes the system, i.e., the solubility of N gets increased [24, 28]. By means of codoping, band edges can be engineered to comply with the needs, i.e., the spectral response expands to the visible region while retaining the reduction and oxidation power [31]. The photogenerated charge separation is promoted and recombination is suppressed owing to the internal field developed by relatively large distortion occurrence in case of codoping [28].
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essence, this approach of synergistic codoping enhances the photocatalytic efficiency of SrTiO$_3$.

In this present article, we have studied the role of monodoping of N (nonmetal) and Mn (metal) as well as the codoping of Mn and N in SrTiO$_3$ for enhancing the photocatalytic efficiency under visible light. Firstly, the stability of N- and Mn-doped SrTiO$_3$ has been evaluated using hybrid density functional study and ab initio atomistic thermodynamics at realistic conditions (temperature ($T$) and partial pressure of oxygen ($p_{O_2}$)) [32]. On doping SrTiO$_3$ with N, the possible defects that could occur are: N$_0$ (N substituted at O position), N$_i$ (N as an interstitial making bond with O), and (N$_2$)$_0$ split-interstitial (one N is at interstitial position and another one is substituted in the nearby O, making bond with each other) [26, 33, 34].

In the case of Mn doping, Mn could be substituted either at Ti (Mn$_{Ti}$) or Sr (Mn$_{Sr}$) site, or it could also be present as an interstitial (Mn$_i$) in SrTiO$_3$ [35, 36]. These defects are not stable in neutral form because of the charge uncompensation. Therefore, we have calculated the stability of charged defects in addition to neutral defects with charge states $q$ ($-2$, $-1$, $0$, $+1$, $+2$). Note that, in order to compensate the charge, one can adapt either of the approaches: (i) explicit presence of oxygen vacancies for the neutral dopants [37, 38] or (ii) addition of external charge to the dopant [32, 39, 40]. Both the approaches yield the same conclusion as they are doing effectively the same charge compensation at the defect site. Further, the formation energy plots tell us that which would be the most prominent charge defect at different environmental conditions, that remove the ambiguity of the preferred defect site in doped SrTiO$_3$. For the codoping of Mn and N in bulk SrTiO$_3$, any experimental or theoretical reports are hitherto unknown. Therefore, we present here an in-depth theoretical studies on Mn-N codoped SrTiO$_3$ at a realistic environmental condition (i.e. temperature ($T$), and pressure ($p_{O_2}$)). To understand the Mn-N codoped case, first we have addressed the respective monodoped cases and its thermodynamic stability at a finite $T$, $p_{O_2}$. To get the insights on synergistic effect of codoping, electronic density of states for pristine, monodoped and codoped SrTiO$_3$ have been compared. In addition to this, the optical response of pristine, N-, Mn-doped and Mn-N codoped SrTiO$_3$ is also analyzed. Finally, from the perspective of its usage in photocatalytic water splitting, we have examined the band edges alignment of undoped and doped SrTiO$_3$ w.r.t. water redox potential levels.

II. METHODOLOGY

We have carried out the density functional theory (DFT) [41, 42] calculations using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [43–46]. The projector-augmented wave (PAW) potentials [47] are used to describe the ion-electron interactions in all the elemental constituents, viz. Sr, Ti, Mn, O, and N, which contains ten, four, seven, six, and five valence electrons, respectively. The total energy calculations are performed using hybrid exchange–correlation (xc) functional HSE06 [48] (for validation of xc functionals, see Sec. I in Supplemental Material (SM)). The screening parameter of 0.2 Å$^{-1}$ and 28% Hartree-Fock mixing parameter yield the bandgap of 3.28 eV (see Fig. S1(c) in SM), which is in good agreement with the experimental value of 3.25 eV [49]. To introduce defects in SrTiO$_3$, we have used a 40-atom supercell, which is constructed by a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ repetition of cubic SrTiO$_3$ unit cell (5 atoms). To ensure the convergence of supercell size, test calculations have been performed with 90-atom supercell ($3 \times 3 \times 3$ repetition of unit cell of SrTiO$_3$) for the case of N$_0$ in order to ensure that the defect is fully localized. The results obtained from 40- and 90-atom supercells are consistent with each other. Therefore, the results are impervious to the supercell size [50]. A k-point mesh of $4 \times 4 \times 4$ is used, which is generated using Monkhorst-Pack [51] scheme. The self consistency loop is converged with a threshold of 0.01 meV energy. The cutoff energy of 600 eV is used for the plane wave basis set. Note that, we have performed the spin-polarized calculations because the doped systems contain unpaired electrons. The quasiparticle energy calculations have been carried out using single-shot G$_0$W$_0$ approximation [52, 53] starting from the orbitals obtained using HSE06 xc functional. The polarizability calculations are performed on a grid of 50 frequency points. To make computation feasible, the number of bands is set to 384, which is typically four times the number of occupied orbitals.

III. RESULTS

A. Stability of defects in SrTiO$_3$: ab initio atomistic thermodynamics

To analyze the thermodynamic stability of defected supercell w.r.t. pristine supercell of SrTiO$_3$, we have calculated the formation energy by means of ab initio atomistic thermodynamics [32, 39, 54, 55]. The defect state that has the lowest formation energy is the most stable defect configuration at a given environmental condition. For N substituted at O in pristine supercell of SrTiO$_3$ with charge state $q$, formation energy is calculated as follow [32, 56]:

$$E_f(N_0)^q = E_{tot}(N_0)^q - E_{tot}(SrTiO_3) + \mu_O - \mu_N$$

$$+ q(\mu_e + \text{VB} + \Delta V),$$

where $E_f(N_0)^q$ is the formation energy for substitution of N at O in pristine supercell. $E_{tot}(N_0)^q$ and $E_{tot}(SrTiO_3)$ are the total energies obtained from DFT with and without defect. $\mu_O$ is the chemical potential for oxygen atom, referenced from the total free energy of O$_2$ molecule at absolute zero, which is obtained from DFT total energy with addition of zero point energy of O$_2$ molecule, i.e., $\mu_O = \Delta \mu_O + \frac{1}{2} (E_{tot}(O_2) + \frac{h \nu_{O_2}}{2})$. In the latter term,
\( \nu_{\text{OO}} \) is the O-O stretching frequency. Similarly, the chemical potential for Nitrogen atom is calculated by taking the total free energy of \( \text{N}_2 \) molecule as reference (at absolute zero), i.e., \( \mu_N = \Delta \mu_N + \frac{1}{2} \left( E_{\text{tot}}(\text{N}_2) + \frac{\hbar \nu_{\text{NN}}}{2} \right) \), where \( \nu_{\text{NN}} \) is the N-N stretching frequency. The chemical potentials, \( \Delta \mu_X \) (\( X = \text{O}, \text{N}, \text{Mn}, \text{Sr}, \text{and Ti} \)) have been chosen carefully to reflect the appropriate environmental growth conditions. \( \mu_e \) is the chemical potential of electron, which is varied from VBM to CBm of pristine supercell of SrTiO\(_3\) and \( \Delta V \) accounts for the core level alignment of the defected system w.r.t. pristine neutral. The effect of temperature and pressure is explicitly taken into chemical potential term. The chemical potential \( \Delta \mu_{\text{O}} \) as a function of temperature \( (T) \) and the partial pressure of oxygen \( (p_{\text{O}_2}) \) is calculated using the relation [54]:

\[
\Delta \mu_{\text{O}}(T, p_{\text{O}_2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -k_B T \ln \left( \frac{2\pi m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (k_B T)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right] \\
+ k_B T \ln p_{\text{O}_2} - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{8\pi^2 I_A k_B T}{\hbar^2} \right) \\
+ k_B T \ln \left[ 1 - \exp \left( \frac{-\hbar \nu_{\text{OO}}}{k_B T} \right) \right] \\
- k_B T \ln M + k_B T \ln \sigma ,
\]

(2)

where \( m \) is the mass, \( I_A \) is the moment of inertia of \( \text{O}_2 \) molecule, \( M \) is the spin multiplicity and \( \sigma \) is the symmetry number.

Under equilibrium growth conditions, the chemical potentials are related to enthalpy of formation of SrTiO\(_3\) \( (\Delta H_f(\text{SrTiO}_3)) \) by:

\[
\Delta \mu_{\text{Sr}} + \Delta \mu_{\text{Ti}} + 3\Delta \mu_{\text{O}} = \Delta H_f(\text{SrTiO}_3). \tag{3}
\]

To ensure the suppression of secondary phases, constraints are imposed on the different chemical potentials as given below:

\[
\Delta \mu_{\text{Ti}} + 2\Delta \mu_{\text{O}} \leq \Delta H_f(\text{TiO}_2) \\
\Delta \mu_{\text{Sr}} + \Delta \mu_{\text{O}} \leq \Delta H_f(\text{SrO}) \tag{4} \\
\Delta \mu_X \leq 0.
\]

We have calculated the formation energy in three regimes, viz. O-rich, O-intermediate and O-poor conditions. Under O-rich condition, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{O}} = 0 \) eV, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Ti}} = -9.11 \) eV, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Sr}} = -8.54 \) eV, and \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Mn}} = -5.61 \) eV. Under O-rich and O-intermediate conditions, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Ti}} \) and \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Mn}} \) are limited by the formation of \( \text{TiO}_2 \) and \( \text{MnO}_2 \), respectively. In O-intermediate condition, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{O}} \) takes the value to reflect the experimental growth condition \( (T = 1100^\circ\text{C}, p_{\text{O}_2} = 1 \text{ atm}[25]) \). Therefore, in O-intermediate growth condition, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{O}} = -1.58 \) eV, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Ti}} = -5.95 \) eV, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Sr}} = -6.96 \) eV, and \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Mn}} = -2.44 \) eV. Under O-poor

FIG. 1. Ball and stick model of optimized structures of (a) \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), (b) \( \text{Ni} \), (c) \( (\text{N}_2)\text{O} \), (d) \( \text{Mn}_2\text{Sr} \), (e) \( \text{Mn}_2\text{Ti} \), (f) \( \text{Mn}_2 \), (g) \( \text{Mn}_2\text{N}_2\text{O} \), (h) \( \text{Mn}_2\text{TiN}_2\text{O} \), and (i) pristine \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \).
(Ti-rich) condition, $\Delta \mu_{Ti}$ and $\Delta \mu_{Mn}$ are limited by the formation of metallic phase of Ti and Mn, respectively. Hence, in O-poor condition, $\Delta \mu_{O} = -4.55 \text{ eV}$, $\Delta \mu_{Ti} = 0 \text{ eV}$, $\Delta \mu_{Sr} = -3.98 \text{ eV}$, and $\Delta \mu_{Mn} = 0 \text{ eV}$. We have fixed the $\Delta \mu_{N} = -1.48 \text{ eV}$, which is obtained at experimental growth condition. By knowing the stability of different dopants under certain conditions, one could deliberately dope the SrTiO$_3$ in accordance with the need.

### 1. N-related Defects

The optimized structures of all the doped and pristine SrTiO$_3$ supercell are shown in Fig. 1. SrTiO$_3$ has a cubic structure space group $Pm3m$ at room temperature. On doping N in pristine supercell, N-related defects, viz. N$_0$, N$_i$, and (N$_2$)$_0$ could form as shown in Fig. 1(a), 1(b) and 1(c), respectively. N$_0$ shows negligible distortion, whereas N$_i$ and (N$_2$)$_0$ show more distortion in the lattice. The formation energies of N-related defects as a function of chemical potential of electron under (a) O-poor, (b) O-rich and (c) O-intermediate condition. (d) 2D projection of the 3D phase diagram that manifests the stable phases of N-related charged defects having minimum formation energy as a function of $\mu_e$ and $\Delta \mu_O$. Here, on x-axis, $\Delta \mu_O$ is varied according to $T$ and $p_{O_2}$, and on y-axis, $\mu_e$ is varied from VBM to CBM of pristine SrTiO$_3$. Colored regions show the most stable phases having minimum formation energy at a given environmental condition. Top axes are showing the pressure ($p_{O_2}$) range at two temperatures: $T=300 \text{ K}$ and $1373 \text{ K}$.

FIG. 2. Formation energy of N-related defects as a function of chemical potential of electron under (a) O-poor, (b) O-rich and (c) O-intermediate condition. (d) 2D projection of the 3D phase diagram that manifests the stable phases of N-related charged defects having minimum formation energy as a function of $\mu_e$ and $\Delta \mu_O$. Here, on x-axis, $\Delta \mu_O$ is varied according to $T$ and $p_{O_2}$, and on y-axis, $\mu_e$ is varied from VBM to CBM of pristine SrTiO$_3$. Colored regions show the most stable phases having minimum formation energy at a given environmental condition. Top axes are showing the pressure ($p_{O_2}$) range at two temperatures: $T=300 \text{ K}$ and $1373 \text{ K}$.

defects as a function of charge potential of electron $\mu_e$ with different charge states, under different environmental conditions are shown in Fig. 2. Only those charge states of a particular defect are cosidered that have low formation energy. The charge states $+1$ and $-1$ are energetically stable in case of N$_0$ near VBM and CBM, respectively. The interstitial N (N$_i$) can form bond with O by sharing its lattice site making split-interstitial. N$_i$ is energetically stable in charge states $+1$, $0$, and $-1$. We also have found that N$_0$ can make bond with interstitial N forming (N$_2$)$_0$ split-interstitial. It can be stable in charge states $+2$, $+1$, $0$, and $-1$. The positive charge states are more favorable for smaller value of $\mu_e$, i.e., near VBM and negative charge states are stable for larger value of $\mu_e$ (near CBM). N$_0$ is the predominant defect in case of O-poor and O-intermediate conditions (Fig. 2(a) and 2(c)), whereas N$_i$ is the stable defect in O-rich condition (Fig. 2(b)). This is attributed by the fact that in O-rich condition, substitution of N at O is difficult because vacancy of O will not be favorable. Also, we have noticed that it is easier to substitute N at O in O-poor condition since it favors the O vacancy. N$_0$ is stable with charge state $-1$ near CBM as it has one electron less than the O atom. The thermodynamic transition level ($+/-$) lies in between the VBM and CBM indicating that N$_0$ acts both as a deep donor/acceptor depending on the nature of doping (i.e. p-type or n-type). The formation energy of all the N-related defect configurations is large in O-rich and O-intermediate conditions, which implies that N is less soluble in SrTiO$_3$.

We can sum up about the stability of all the three configurations of N-related defects at different environmental conditions by observing the 3D phase diagram as shown in Fig. 2(d). Here, on x-axis, $\Delta \mu_O$ is varied from O-poor to O-rich condition in accordance with $T$ and $p_{O_2}$. On y-axis, we have scanned the entire forbidden region by means of $\mu_e$, which is referenced from VBM of pristine SrTiO$_3$. The smaller (near VBM) and larger (near CBM) values of $\mu_e$ correspond to p-type and n-type SrTiO$_3$, respectively. On z-axis, we have shown the most stable phases having minimum formation energy at a given environmental condition using the colored surfaces. From Fig. 2(d), we can easily see that N$_0$ is the predominant defect in N doped SrTiO$_3$ for a wide range of environmental conditions including the experimental growth condition ($T = 1373 \text{ K}$, $p_{O_2} = 1 \text{ atm}$), which is in accordance with Fig. 2(a) and 2(c), whereas N$_i$ is only favorable in O-rich condition (also indicated from Fig. 2(b)).

### 2. Mn-related Defects

On doping Mn in SrTiO$_3$, the structures that could form are Mn$^{3+}$, Mn$^{4+}$, and Mn$_i$ as shown in Fig. 1(d), 1(e) and 1(f), respectively. In Fig. 3, the formation energies of Mn-related defects are plotted as a function of chemical potential of electron under the aforementioned environmental conditions. In case of Mn$_{Sr}$, only neutral defect is stable, which signifies that Mn exists in Mn$^{2+}$ oxidation state when substituted at Sr (Sr$^{2+}$ oxidation state) site in SrTiO$_3$. Mn$_{Ti}$ is stable with 0 and $-1$ charge states indicating that in addition to Mn$^{3+}$ oxidation state, Mn$^{4+}$ oxidation state could also exist, though
FIG. 3. Formation energy of Mn-related defects as a function of chemical potential of electron under (a) O-poor, (b) O-rich and (c) O-intermediate condition. (d) 2D projection of the 3D phase diagram that manifests the stable phases of Mn-related charged defects having minimum formation energy as a function of \( \mu_e \) and \( \Delta \mu_O \). Here, on x-axis, \( \Delta \mu_O \) is varied according to \( T \) and \( pO_2 \), and on y-axis, \( \mu_e \) is varied from VBM to CBm of pristine SrTiO\(_3\). Colored regions show the most stable phases having minimum formation energy at a given environmental condition. Top axes are showing the pressure \( (pO_2) \) range at two temperatures: \( T=300\) K and \( 1373\) K.

unlikely, when Mn is substituted at Ti (Ti\(^{4+}\) oxidation state) site. Mn could also exist as an interstitial (Mn\(_i\)) in between the two Sr atoms as shown in Fig. 1(f). Mn\(_i\) acts as a donor and is stable in +2 and +1 charge states under O-poor condition. Due to the possibility of Ti vacancy, Mn\(_{Ti}\) could be formed under O-rich (Ti-poor) condition, as it is competing with Mn\(_{Sr}\) defect in this condition (see Fig. 3(b)). Mn\(_i\) with +2 charge state is stable in p-type SrTiO\(_3\) under O-poor condition, while Mn\(_{Ti}\) with -1 charge state is stable in n-type SrTiO\(_3\) under O-rich condition as shown in the 3D phase diagram (Fig. 3(d)). Mn\(_{Sr}\) in charge state 0 (neutral) is the prominent defect under all the three environmental conditions as shown in Fig. 3(d). The formation energy for Mn doped SrTiO\(_3\) in all oxygen environmental conditions is small, particularly in O-intermediate condition (Fig. 3(c)), which implies that it is easier to dope Mn in SrTiO\(_3\).

From the above analysis, we conclude that in the case of monodoped SrTiO\(_3\), substitutional doping is the most stable for a wider region of the environmental conditions including the experimental growth conditions. Therefore, in the following section we have considered only the substitutional position for codoping of Mn and N in SrTiO\(_3\) to understand its efficiency as a potential photocatalyst for water splitting.

3. Defect pair binding energy

Fig. 1(g) and 1(h) show the optimized structures of Mn-N codoped SrTiO\(_3\), which are Mn\(_{Sr}\)-N\(_O\) and Mn\(_{Ti}\)-N\(_O\), respectively. The binding energy of the defect pairs (Mn + N) in SrTiO\(_3\) has been calculated to see if their formation is stable or not, as follow [29]:

\[
E_b = E(Mn_{Sr}-N_{O}) + E(SrTiO_3) - E(Mn_{Sr}) - E(N_{O}),
\]

where \( E_b \) is the defect pair binding energy, \( E(Mn_{Sr}) \) is the total energy of SrTiO\(_3\) supercell with Mn substitution at Sr site, \( E(N_{O}) \) is the total energy of N substituted O in SrTiO\(_3\) supercell, \( E(Mn_{Sr}-N_{O}) \) is the total energy of Mn and N codoped (Mn at Sr site and N at O site) SrTiO\(_3\) supercell and \( E(SrTiO_3) \) is the total energy of pristine supercell. A more negative value of \( E_b \) indicates more to be the possibility to form defect pair when both the dopants are present in the sample. For Mn\(_{Sr}\)-N\(_O\), and Mn\(_{Ti}\)-N\(_O\) pairs, the calculated binding energies \( E_b \) are \(-1.46\) and \(-0.33\) eV, respectively. These values indicate that defect pairs are more stable than the isolated impurities in SrTiO\(_3\) supercell. Also, Mn\(_{Sr}\)-N\(_O\) is more stable configuration than Mn\(_{Ti}\)-N\(_O\) since Mn\(_{Sr}\)-N\(_O\) has higher (more negative) binding energy \( E_b \) than Mn\(_{Ti}\)-N\(_O\). In the codoped system, Mn acts as a donor, whereas N acts as an acceptor. The charge transfer takes place from donor to acceptor and strong Coulomb interaction arises between positively charged donor and negatively charged acceptor. Hence, the defect pair is stable. The extra stability in Mn\(_{Sr}\)-N\(_O\) is due to the shift of Mn away from the Sr centre towards N as shown in Fig. 1(g) and making strong bonds with its neighbor atoms.

B. Electronic density of states (DOS)

FIG. 4. Electronic density of states for the supercell of (a) pristine SrTiO\(_3\) and (b) N\(_O\) type defect.

To get more insights about the effect of dopants in SrTiO\(_3\), we have calculated atom projected density of
states (pDOS). In Fig. 4(a), we show the density of states for pristine SrTiO\(_3\). In pristine case, the O 2p orbitals contribute to VBM, and Ti 3d orbitals contribute to CBm with a wide band gap of 3.28 eV. The density of states are symmetric w.r.t. spin alignments (i.e. spin up or down). This confirms that the pristine SrTiO\(_3\) is non-magnetic. We have computed then the DOS for NO\(_2\) defect in SrTiO\(_3\), which is shown in Fig. 4(b). On substituting N at O site, some occupied states are appeared above pristine-VBM and some unoccupied discrete states can also be seen deep inside the forbidden region (since the N 2p orbitals have higher energy than the O 2p orbitals). This results in reduction of band gap. The DOS is asymmetrical w.r.t. spin up and spin down contribution due to devoid of an electron in comparison to pristine SrTiO\(_3\). The midgap states increase the recombination rate and decrease the charge mobility that leads to degradation of photocatalytic activity. Hence, we have used Mn simultaneously as a codopant to passivate the aforementioned discrete midgap states.

We have considered two sites for the substitution of Mn, viz. Sr and Ti sites as shown in Fig. 1(d) and 1(e), respectively. In case of monodoping of Mn at Sr site, the band gap (3.25 eV) is not getting reduced as shown in Fig. 5(a) and thus, cannot induce visible light absorption. The occupied and unoccupied states of Mn orbital are appeared deep inside the valence and conduction band respectively, indicating that Mn\(_{Sr}\) is very stable. Thus, in this case, Mn makes strong bonds with its neighbor atoms. However, in case of Ti site substitution, we get interesting feature in the DOS. The localized states brings down the CBm as shown in Fig. 5(b). Hence, the band gap is reduced to 2.57 eV, resulting in the visible light absorption. However, due to shift of CBm in downward direction, its reduction power is lowered. Therefore, it can not be a potential candidate for H\(_2\) production from water splitting.

In case of codoping, the substitution of Mn at both sites, Sr and Ti in addition to NO\(_2\), helps in passivating the localized mid gap states, which are introduced by N substitution, and form continuum states as shown in Fig. 6. The passivation of states is concomitant with the hybridization of O and N orbitals, and Mn and O orbitals in Mn\(_{Sr}\)NO\(_2\) defect configuration as shown in Fig. 6(a’). However, in the case of Mn\(_{Ti}\)NO\(_2\), Mn states arise only near CBm as shown in Fig. 6(b’). The recombination of photogenerated charge carriers is suppressed, and the diffusion and mobility are increased owing to the passivation of discrete localized states. The band gaps of Mn\(_{Sr}\)NO\(_2\) and Mn\(_{Ti}\)NO\(_2\) are 2.17 and 1.94 eV, respectively, which are the desirable one for visible light absorption. In case of Mn\(_{Ti}\)NO\(_2\), CBm is shifted downward by a large amount and hence, adversely affect the reduction power for hydrogen generation, whereas in case of Mn\(_{Sr}\)NO\(_2\), this downward shift is very small. Consequently, the codoping of Mn at Sr site and N at O site is favorable for H\(_2\) generation from photocatalytic water splitting. Also, from Fig. 1(g), we can see a relatively large distortion in case of Mn\(_{Sr}\)NO\(_2\) codoping, which builds up the internal field, that is helpful for photogenerated charge carriers separation and thus, enhances the photocatalytic efficiency. Therefore, Mn\(_{Sr}\)NO\(_2\) codoping in SrTiO\(_3\) is the promising candidate to enhance the photocatalytic efficiency and generate hydrogen from water splitting.

C. Optical properties

To determine the optical spectra, we have computed the frequency dependent complex dielectric function that can be written as \(\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_1(\omega) + i\epsilon_2(\omega)\) using the GW approach. The real (\(\epsilon_1\)) and imaginary (\(\epsilon_2\)) part of dielectric function have shown in Fig. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. The static (\(\omega = 0\)) real part of \(\epsilon(\omega)\) for pristine SrTiO\(_3\) is found to be 3.46 which is well in agreement with previous findings [57] of Ergönenc et al. On doping, its value is increased. At \(\omega = 0\), \(\epsilon_1(\omega)\) has the value of
3.61, 3.66, 3.72, 3.48 and 3.54 for NO, MnTi, MnTiNO, MnSr and MnSrNO, respectively (Fig. 7(a)). The imaginary part of dielectric function represents the optical absorption (Fig. 7(b)). We have found the first peak at 4.20 eV for pristine SrTiO$_3$, while experimentally, it is at 4.7 eV [58]. This discrepancy between theoretical and experimental value may be arises due to electron-phonon coupling and/or choice of pseudopotentials. For doped SrTiO$_3$, the first peaks are at 4.11 eV, 4.13 eV, 4.02 eV, 4.22 eV and 4.18 eV for NO, MnTi, MnTiNO, MnSr and MnSrNO, respectively. Note that the peaks are shifted towards lower energy region. Even if the exact numbers may vary w.r.t. experiments, at least from the trends it’s clear that the band gap is getting reduced on doping in SrTiO$_3$. Also, we could note that the onset of the absorption edge is shifting towards the lower region. Hence, the optical response is shifted towards the visible region. The dielectric function obtained using HSE06 have been provided in SM (see Fig. S3 in SM). The peak positions are at almost same energy values with higher intensity in comparison to the values obtained from GW. We have found that except Mn$_{Sr}$, all dopants induce visible light response (see Fig. S2 in SM). The spectra of Mn$_{Sr}$ coincides with the pristine supercell of SrTiO$_3$ because there is no reduction in band gap on substituting Mn at Sr site, while in rest of the cases, band gap is reduced (see Fig. 7(b)).
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**FIG. 7.** Spatially average (a) real ($\epsilon_1$) and (b) imaginary ($\epsilon_2$) part of the dielectric function obtained by G$_0$W$_0$@HSE06 for the pristine, monodoped and codoped SrTiO$_3$.

### D. Band edge alignment

We have seen that the doping helps in reducing the band gap and induces visible light response. However, only reduction in band gap can not assure the hydrogen generation via photocatalytic water splitting. The band edges (VBM and CBm) should have appropriate position. For water splitting, the CBm must lies above the water reduction potential level (H$^+/H_2$) and VBM must be positioned below water oxidation potential level (O$_2/H_2O$). Firstly, we have aligned the band edges of undoped SrTiO$_3$ w.r.t. water redox potential levels. The CBm lies 0.8 eV above the water reduction potential (H$^+/H_2$) and VBM lies 1.25 eV below water oxidation potential [59]. Thereafter, we align the band edges of doped SrTiO$_3$ by observing the shift in energy of the VBM and CBm w.r.t. undoped SrTiO$_3$. From Fig. 8, we have found that in the case of NO, the VBM is shifted upwards and the CBm is not disturbed. But some localized states are present deep in the forbidden region, which degrades the photocatalytic efficiency. Hence, NO is not the promising one for water splitting. In case of MnTi and MnTiNO, the CBm is shifted downward by a large amount and hence, their reduction power is very low and could not be utilized for hydrogen generation from water. On substituting Mn at Sr site, the band gap is not getting reduced and thus, not inducing the visible spectrum response. Therefore, Mn$_{Sr}$NO system is the most promising one, because in this case, CBm is shifted by small amount and also, it has large defect pair binding energy. It has a desirable band gap of 2.17 eV and also, does not contain any localized midgap states. In view of this, we have seen that all the defect configurations except Mn$_{Sr}$ induce spectral response in visible region, but from the applicability in photocatalytic water splitting, only Mn$_{Sr}$NO is the most desirable one. Hence, these theoretical studies help in further future investigation theoretically as well as experimentally to engineer a device that will be environment friendly.
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**FIG. 8.** Band edge alignment of (un)doped SrTiO$_3$ w.r.t. water redox potential levels (H$^+/H_2$, O$_2/H_2O$).

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have systematically studied the thermodynamic stability of different types of dopants and codopants in SrTiO$_3$ using hybrid DFT and *ab initio* thermodynamics. We have found that hybrid functional HSE06 is essential to address the system, where DFT with local/semi-local functionals is totally insufficient even for a qualitative analysis. We have observed that substitutional defects viz. NO and Mn$_{Sr}$ are the most stable ones in case of monodoping under equilibrium growth conditions in SrTiO$_3$. However, despite substitution of N at O site (NO) helps in reducing the band gap, it generates localized states deep inside the band gap. These
states act as recombination centers, which in turn reduce the photocatalytic efficiency. On the other hand, for substitution of Mn at Sr site, the band gap (3.25 eV) is too large and it falls outside the scope of visible light absorption. However, substitution of Mn at Ti site helps to reduce the band gap (2.57 eV) to visible light absorption. But in this case, due to shift of conduction band minima in downward direction, its reduction power to produce of H$_2$ from water splitting is lowered. Thus, monodoping of both N or Mn can induce visible light absorption, but none of them are suitable for photocatalytic activity. The codoping of Mn in N-doped SrTiO$_3$ increases the solubility of N in SrTiO$_3$. Moreover, the codoping reduces the band gap to ideal visible region as well as passivates the localized states to form the continuous band with suitable band edge positions. We find that Mn$_8$N$_6$O is more stable defect configuration than Mn$_{17}$N$_{10}$. Our results indicate that Mn$_8$N$_6$O codoped SrTiO$_3$ could be a potential candidate for producing hydrogen via photocatalytic water splitting.
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Supplemental Material

I. Effect of functionals on phase diagrams.

II. Optical Properties using HSE06.
I. EFFECT OF FUNCTIONALS ON PHASE DIAGRAMS

We have benchmarked the exchange-correlation (xc) functionals viz. local-density approximation (LDA [1]), semi-local generalized gradient approximation (PBE [2]) and a more pronounced non-local hybrid functional HSE06 [3] to ensure that our results are not an artifact of chosen treatment for the xc. The LDA and PBE functionals underestimate the band gap, giving a value of 1.74 eV and 1.82 eV respectively. While, the hybrid functional HSE06 reproduce the band gap of 3.28 eV by taking the 28% of Hartree-Fock exact exchange into account, which is in nice match with the experimental value of 3.25 eV [4]. We have also calculated the defect formation energy for single oxygen vacancy $E_f(q)$ in our system with charge $q = 0, +1, +2, -1, \text{and} -2$ using LDA, PBE and HSE06 functionals, since we have to find out the stability of defects as well as the other energetics. The $E_f(q)$ has been calculated as follow [5, 6]:

$$E_f(q) = E_{tot}(q) - E_{tot}(\text{SrTiO}_3) + \mu_O + q(\mu_e + \text{VBM} + \Delta V), \quad (1)$$

where $E_{tot}(q)$ is the total energy of supercell containing single oxygen vacancy with charge state $q$, and $E_{tot}(\text{SrTiO}_3)$ is the total energy of the same supercell without any defect. $\mu_O$ is the chemical potential of the oxygen atom, which is equal to the energy required to remove one O atom from the pristine supercell and put it into the O reservoir; $\mu_O = \frac{1}{2}E_{tot}(\text{O}_2)$, and $E_{tot}(\text{O}_2)$ is the total energy of isolated O$_2$ molecule. $\mu_e$ is the chemical potential of electron, that is referenced from VBM of the pristine supercell. $\Delta V$ is the core level alignment between the supercells with and without defect.

Figure S1: Formation energy of single oxygen vacancy defect as a function of chemical potential of electron under O-rich condition using (a) LDA, (b) PBE, and (d) HSE06 xc functional. (c) represents the variation of bandgap of pristine supercell as a function of exact exchange fraction contained in HSE06 functional.

We have found from figure S1 that $q = +2$ and $q = -2$ are the stable charge states near VBM and CBm respectively using both LDA and PBE functionals. However, this is not the case with hybrid functional HSE06. With HSE06, we have observed that only $q = +2$ charge state is stable throughout the band gap. It implies that, results which are obtained from LDA, PBE xc functionals are different from the HSE06 functional. And as a matter of fact, HSE06 functional is more accurate being non-local and containing a fraction of exact exchange, HSE06 xc functional is more reliable for our system. Therefore, we have done our further calculations with HSE06 functional.

II. OPTICAL PROPERTIES USING HSE06

The real part $\epsilon_1(\omega)$ is calculated using the Kramers-Kronig transformation, and the imaginary part $\epsilon_2(\omega)$ is evaluated by taking summation over large number of empty states as implemented in VASP. The absorption coefficient $\alpha(\omega)$ is
related to real and imaginary part of dielectric function as follow:

\[ \alpha(\omega) = \sqrt{2} \omega \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon_1(\omega)^2 + \varepsilon_2(\omega)^2} - \varepsilon_1(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \] (2)

Figure S2: Absorption plot for undoped, monodoped and codoped SrTiO$_3$ using HSE06 functional.

Figure S3: Spatially average (a) real ($\varepsilon_1$) and (b) imaginary ($\varepsilon_2$) part of the dielectric function obtained by HSE06 for the pristine, monodoped and codoped SrTiO$_3$.
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