Special unextendible entangled bases with continuous integer cardinality

Yan-Ling Wang
School of Computer Science and Network Security,
Dongguan University of Technology, Dongguan 523808, China
(Dated: September 24, 2019)

Special unextendible entangled basis of “type $k$” (SUEB$k$), a set of incomplete orthonormal special entangled states of “type $k$” whose complementary space has no special entangled state of “type $k$”. This concept can be seen as a generalization of the unextendible product basis (UPB) introduced by Bennett et al. in [Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5385(1999)] and the unextendible maximally entangled basis (UMEB) introduced by Bravyi and Smolin in [Phys. Rev. A 84, 042306(2011)]. We present an efficient method to construct sets of SUEB$k$. The main strategy here is to decompose the whole space into two subspaces such that the rank of one subspace can be easily upper bounded by $k$ while the other one can be generated by two kinds of the special entangled states of type $k$. This method is very effective for those $k = p^m \geq 3$ where $p$ is a prime number. For these cases, we can obtain sets of SUEB$k$ with continuous integer cardinality when the local dimensions are large. Moreover, one can find that our method here can be easily extended when there are more than two kinds of the special entangled states of type $k$ at hand.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Unextendible product basis (UPB)[1, 2], a set of incomplete orthonormal product states whose complementary space has no product states, has been shown to be useful for constructing bound entangled states and displaying quantum nonlocality without entanglement [3–5].

As analogy of the UPB, Bravyi and Smolin introduced the unextendible maximally entangled basis (UMEB) [6], a set of orthonormal maximally entangled states in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ consisting of fewer than $d^2$ vectors which have no additional maximally entangled vectors orthogonal to all of them. There they proved that no UMEB exists in two qubits system and presented examples of UMEBs in $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ and $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$. Since then, the UMEB was further studied by several researchers [7–14]. Lots of the works pay attention to the UMEBs for general quantum systems $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. The cardinality of the constructed UMEBs are always multiple of $d$ or $d^2$.

Guo et al. extended these two concepts to the states with fixed Schmidt numbers and studied the complete basis [15] and the unextendible ones [16]. There they introduced the notion of special entangled states of type $k$ (SES$k$): an entangled state whose nonzero Schmidt coefficients are all equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$. Then a special unextendible entangled basis of type $k$ (SUEB$k$) is a set of orthonormal SES$k$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ consisting of fewer than $dd^2$ vectors which have no additional SES$k$ orthogonal to all of them. Quite recently, there are several results related to this subject [17, 18]. Similar to the UMEBs, the cardinality of most of the known SUEB$k$s are multiple of $k$.

Therefore, it is interesting to ask whether there are SUEB$k$s with continuous integer cardinality or not. Inspired by the technique used in [19], we try to study this question in this paper.

The remaining of this article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we first introduce the concept of special unextendible entangled basis and its equivalent form in matrix settings. In Sec. III, we present our main idea to construct the SUEB$k$s. In Sec. IV and V, based on the combinatoric concept: weighing matrices, we give two kinds of constructions of SUEB$k$s whose cardinality varying in a consecutive integer set. Finally, we draw the conclusions and put forward some interesting questions in the last section.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B$ be Hilbert spaces of dimension $d$ and $d'$ respectively. It is well known that any bipartite pure state in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ has a Schmidt decomposition. That is, any unit vector $|\phi\rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ can be written as

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i |e_i\rangle_A |e_i\rangle_B, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^2 = 1$$

where $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\{|e_i\rangle_A\}_{i=1}^k, \{|e_i\rangle_B\}_{i=1}^k$ are orthonormal states of system $A$ (resp. $B$). The number $k$ is known as the Schmidt number of $|\phi\rangle$ and we denote it by $S_r(\phi)$. The set $\Lambda(|\phi\rangle) := \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is called the nonzero Schmidt coefficients of $|\phi\rangle$. If all these $\lambda_i$s are equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$, we call $|\phi\rangle$ a special entangled state of type $k$ ($2 \leq k \leq d$). And we denote the set of all the special entangled states of type $k$ to be SES$k$. One notice that SES$k$ is exactly the set of maximally entangled states in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ when $k = \min\{d, d'\}$.

Definition 1 (See [15]). A set of states $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^n$ ($1 \leq n \leq dd^2 - 1$) in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ is called a special unextendible
entangled basis of type $k$ (SUEBk) if

1. $\langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, i, j \in [n]$;
2. $| \phi_i \rangle \in SESk$ for all $i \in [n]$;
3. If $\langle \phi_i | \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, then $| \phi \rangle \notin SESk$.

The concept SUEBk generalizes the UPB ($k = 1$) and the UMEB ($k = d$). In order to study SUEBk, it is useful to consider its matrix form. Let $| \phi \rangle$ be a pure quantum state in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Under the computational bases $\{ |i\rangle_A \}_{d}^{d}$ and $\{ |j\rangle_B \}_{d}^{d}$, it can be expressed as

$$| \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d'} m_{ij}^\phi | i \rangle_A | j \rangle_B.$$ 

We call the $d \times d'$ matrix $M_{\phi} := (m_{ij}^\phi)$ the corresponding matrix representation of $| \phi \rangle$. The correspondence is good in the following sense:

1. Inner product preserving:

$$\langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d'} m_{ij}^\psi m_{ij}^\phi = \text{Tr}(M_{\psi}^\dagger M_{\phi}) = \langle M_{\psi}, M_{\phi} \rangle;$$

2. Schmidt number corresponding to the matrix rank:

$$S_{r}(| \phi \rangle) = \text{rank}(M_{\phi});$$

3. Nonzero Schmidt coefficients corresponding to the nonzero singular values.

With this correspondence, we can restate the concept in definition 2 as follows.

**Definition 2.** A set of matrices $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ $(1 \leq n \leq dd' - 1)$ in $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$ is called a special unextendible singular values basis with nonzero singular values being $\{1/\sqrt{k}\}$ (SUSVBk) if

1. $\langle M_i, M_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, i, j \in [n]$;
2. The nonzero singular values of $M_i$ are all equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$ for each $i \in [n]$;
3. If $\langle M_i, M \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, then some nonzero singular value of $M$ do not equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$.

Due to the good correspondence of the states and matrices, $\{ |\psi_i\rangle \}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a set of SUSVBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ if and only if $\{ |\psi_i\rangle \}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a set of SUSVBk in $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, in order to construct a set of $n$-members SUSVBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$, it is sufficient to construct a set of $n$ members SUSVBk in $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$.

**III. STRATEGY FOR CONSTRUCTING SUSVBK**

**Observation 1** – It is uneasy to calculate the singular values of an arbitrary matrix. However, if there are only $k$ nonzero elements in $M$ (say $m_{i_1,j_1}, \ldots, m_{i_k,j_k}$) and these elements happen to be in different rows and columns, then there are exactly $k$ nonzero singular values of $M$ and they are just $|m_{i_1,j_1}|, \ldots, |m_{i_k,j_k}|$. For example, let $M$ be

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{w}{\sqrt{24}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where $w = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/3}$. Then the nonzero singular values of $M$ are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}$.

**Observation 2** – If there are exactly $k$ nonzero singular values of $M$, then the rank of $M$ is $k$. Therefore, if one can prove that rank($M$) < $k$, then $M$ cannot be a matrix with $k$ nonzero singular values.

With the two observations above, our strategy for constructing an $n$-members SUSVBk can be roughly described by two steps. Firstly, we construct a set of $n$-members of orthonormal matrices $M := \{ M_i \}_{i=1}^{n}$ such that there are exactly $k$ nonzero elements in $M_j$ whose moduluses are all $1/\sqrt{k}$ and these elements happen to be in different rows and columns. Secondly, we need to show that the rank of any matrix in the complementary space of $M$ (define as $M^\perp := \{ M \in \text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C}) | \langle M_i, M \rangle = 0, \forall M_i \in M \}$) is less than $k$.

Let $d, d'$ be integers such that $2 \leq d \leq d'$. We define the coordinate set to be

$$C_{d \times d'} := \{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 | i \in [d], j \in [d'] \}.$$ 

Now we define an order for the set $C_{d \times d'}$. Equivalently, we can define a bijection:

$$O_{d \times d'} : C_{d \times d'} \longrightarrow \{ [dd'] \}$$

$$(i, j) \longmapsto \begin{cases} (j - i)d + i & \text{if } i \leq j; \\ (d' + j - i)d + i & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then we call $(C_{d \times d'}, O_{d \times d'})$ an ordered set (See Fig. 1 for an example). We can also define an order $O_{d \times d'}$ for the cases $d' \leq d$ by $O_{d \times d'} := O'_{d \times d'}$.

Let $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2)$ be two different coordinates in $C_{d \times d'}$. It is easy to check that if $i_1 = i_2$ or $j_1 = j_2$, then $| O_{d \times d'}([i_1, j_1]) - O_{d \times d'}([i_2, j_2]) | \geq d - 1$. Therefore, any $d - 1$ consecutive coordinates in $C_{d \times d'}$ under the order $O_{d \times d'}$ is coordinately different. That is, these $d - 1$ coordinates must come from different rows and different columns.

Let $P \subseteq C_{d \times d'}$. Then $P$ inherit an order $O$ from that of $C_{d \times d'}$. An order here means a bijective map from $P$ to $\#P$ where $\#P$ denotes the number of elements in the set $P$. In fact, as $\#O_{d \times d'}(P) = \#P$, there is an
unique map \( \pi_P \) from the set \( O_{d \times d'}(P) \) to \( \{#P\} \) which preserve the order of the numbers. Then we define \( O := \pi_P O_{d \times d'}(P) \) to be the order of \( P \) inherit from that of \( C_{d \times d'} \). For example, let \( P := \{(1,2), (4,3), (5,6)\} \subseteq C_{5 \times 9} \). Then the \( \pi_P \) from the set \( O_{5 \times 9}(\{(1,2)\}) = 6, O_{5 \times 9}(\{(4,3)\}) = 44, O_{5 \times 9}(\{(5,6)\}) = 10 \) to \( \{3\} = \{1,2,3\} \) is just defined by: \( \pi_P(6) = 1, \pi_P(10) = 2, \pi_P(44) = 3 \). Therefore, the order \( O \) of \( P \) inherited from that of \( C_{d \times d'} \) is exactly the map: \( O(1,2) = 1, O(5,6) = 2, O(3,4) = 3 \).

In order to step forward, we first state the following observation which is helpful for determine the orthogonality of matrices. Let \( P \subseteq C_{d \times d'} \) and denote \( O \) the order of \( P \) inherit from the \( O_{d \times d'} \). \( l \) denotes the number of elements in \( P \). As we have defined an order for the set \( C_{d \times d'} \), it reduces an order to its subset \( P \). For any vector \( v \in C_l \), we define

\[
M_{d \times d'}(P, v) := \sum_{(i,j) \in P} v_{O((i,j))} E_{i,j}
\]

where \( E_{i,j} \) denote the \( d \times d' \) matrix whose \((i,j)\) coordinate is 1 and zero elsewhere.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( P_1, P_2 \subseteq C_{d \times d'} \) be nonempty sets and \( v, w \) are vectors of dimensions \( #P_1 \) and \( #P_2 \) respectively. Then we have the following statements.

(a) If \( P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset \), then we have

\[
\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w) \rangle = 0.
\]

(b) If \( P_1 = P_2 \) and \( v, w \) are orthogonal to each other, then we also have

\[
\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w) \rangle = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Denote \( O_1 \) and \( O_2 \) the orders of \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) inherit from the \( O_{d \times d'} \) respectively.

(a) As

\[
M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v) := \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} v_{O_1((i,j))} E_{i,j},
M_{d \times d'}(P_2, v) := \sum_{(k,l) \in P_2} w_{O_2((k,l))} E_{k,l},
\]

we have

\[
\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w) \rangle = \text{Tr}[M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v)^{\dagger} M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w)]
\]

\[
= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1, (k,l) \in P_2} \sum_{v_{O_1((i,j))} w_{O_2((k,l))}} \text{Tr}[E_{i,j} E_{k,l}]
\]

\[
= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1, (k,l) \in P_2} v_{O_1((i,j))} w_{O_2((k,l))} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} = 0.
\]

The last equality holds as the condition \( P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset \) implies \( \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} = 0 \).

(b) For the second part, we have the following equalities:

\[
\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_1, w) \rangle = \text{Tr}[M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v)^{\dagger} M_{d \times d'}(P_1, w)]
\]

\[
= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1, (k,l) \in P_1} \sum_{v_{O_1((i,j))} w_{O_1((k,l))}} \text{Tr}[E_{i,j} E_{k,l}]
\]

\[
= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1, (k,l) \in P_1} v_{O_1((i,j))} w_{O_1((k,l))} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}
\]

\[
= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} v_{O_1((i,j))} w_{O_1((i,j))} \langle v | w \rangle = 0.
\]

\[\square\]

**IV. FIRST TYPE OF SUEBK**

In the following, we try to construct a set of matrices \( \mathcal{M} := \{M_i\}_{i=1}^n \) which generates all the matrices of the form \( T_1 \). While its complementary space \( \mathcal{M}^\perp \) is the set of matrices of the form \( T_2 \).

\[
T_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
* & * & \cdots & * & \cdots & *
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
T_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

**Example 1.** There exists a SUEBK in \( C^7 \otimes C^7 \) whose cardinality is 47.

**Proof.** As \( 47 = 7 \times 7 - 2 \), we define \( B_{47} \) to be the set with 47 elements which can be obtained by deleting \( \{(7,1), (7,2)\} \) from \( C_{7 \times 7} \). We can define an order \( O \) for the set \( B_{47} \). In fact, the \( O \) is chosen to be the order of \( B_{47} \) inherited from that of \( C_{7 \times 7} \) (See the left figure of Fig. 2 for an intuitive view). Any 5 consecutive elements of \( B_{47} \) under the order \( O \) come from different rows and columns. Firstly, we have the following identity

\[
47 = 9 \times 3 + 5 \times 4.
\]

Since there are 47 elements in the set \( B_{47} \), by the decomposition (1), we can divide the set \( B_{47} \) into \((9 + 5)\) sets:
9 sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq 9$) of cardinality 3 and 5 sets (denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq 5$) of cardinality 4. In fact, we can divide $B_{17}$ into these 14 sets through its order $\mathcal{O}$. That is,

$$S_i := \{ \mathcal{O}^{-1}[3(i-1) + x] \mid x = 1, \cdots, 3 \}, 1 \leq i \leq 9,$$

$$L_j := \{ \mathcal{O}^{-1}[27 + 4(j-1) + y] \mid y = 1, \cdots, 4 \}, 1 \leq j \leq 5.$$

See the right hand side of Fig. 2 for an intuitive view of the set $S_i, L_j$. Set

$$CH_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w \end{pmatrix}, \quad O_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $w = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}$. We can easily check that $CH_3 CH_3^\dagger = 3I_3$ and $O_4 O_4^\dagger = 3I_4$. Now set $v_x$ to be the $x$-th row of $CH_3$ ($x = 1, 2, 3$) and $w_y$ to be the $y$-th row of $O_4$ ($y = 1, 2, 3, 4$). So $v_x \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and $w_y \in \mathbb{C}^4$. So we can construct the following 9 sets with 4 = 47 matrices:

$$M_{7 \times 7}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} v_x), M_{7 \times 7}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} w_y),$$

$1 \leq i \leq 9, 1 \leq x \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 5, 1 \leq y \leq 4.$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of the above matrices. Note that the elements of each $S_i$ or $L_j$ are coordinately different. Hence by Observation 1, the states correspond to the above $N$ matrices belong to SES3. Since $CH_3 CH_3^\dagger = 3I_3, v_1, v_2, v_3$ are pairwise orthogonal. Similarly, as $O_4 O_4^\dagger = 3I_4, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$ are also pair-wise orthogonal. And the $s + t$ sets above are pair-wise disjoint. Therefore, by Lemma 1, the 47 matrices above are pair-wise orthogonal. Set $V$ to be the linear space spanned by the matrices in $\mathcal{M}$. Each matrix in $B_\perp := \{ E_{i,j} \in \text{Mat}_{7\times 7}(\mathbb{C}) \mid (i, j) \in C_{7\times 7}\setminus B_{17} \}$ is orthogonal to $V$. And the dimension of $\text{span}_c(B_\perp)$ is just 2. Therefore, $V^\perp = \text{span}_c(B_\perp)$. One should note that the rank of any nonzero matrix in $\text{span}_c(B_\perp)$ is 1. Such a state cannot lie in SEB3. Therefore, the set of states corresponding to the matrices $\mathcal{M}$ consists a SUEB3.

One can find that the $CH_3$ and $O_4$ play an important role in the proof of the example 1. We give their generalizations by the following matrix and the weighing matrix in definition 3. There always exists some complex Hadamard matrix of order $d$. For example,

$$CH_d := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega_d & \omega_d^2 & \cdots & \omega_d^{d-1} \\ 1 & \omega_d^2 & \omega_d^4 & \cdots & \omega_d^{2(d-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega_d^{d-1} & \omega_d^{2(d-1)} & \cdots & \omega_d^{d-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\omega_{d} = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/d}$. In fact, this is the Fourier $d$-dimensional matrix (discrete Fourier transform). The matrix $CH_d$ satisfies

$$CH_d CH_d^\dagger = dI_d.$$  

Definition 3 (See [20]). A generalized weighing matrix is a square $a \times a$ matrix $A$ all of whose non-zero entries are $n$-th roots of unity such that $AA^t = kI_a$. It follows that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}A$ is a unitary matrix so that $A^t A = kI_a$ and every row and column of $A$ has exactly $k$ nonzero entries. $k$ is called the weight and $n$ is called the order of $A$. We denote $W(n, k, a)$ the set of all weight $k$ and order a generalized weighing matrix whose nonzero entries being $n$-th root.

One can find the following lemma via the theorem 2.1.1 on the book “The Diophantine Frobenius Problem” [21]. The related problem is also known as Frobenius coin problem or coin problem.

Lemma 2 ([21]). Let $a, b$ be positive integers and co-prime. Then for every integer $N \geq (a-1)(b-1)$, there are non-negative integers $x, y$ such that $N = xa + yb$.

Theorem 1. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Suppose there exist $a, b, m, n \in N$ such that $W(m, k, a)$ and $W(n, k, b)$ are nonempty and gcd$(a, b) = 1$. If $d, d'$ are integers such that $d \geq \text{max}(a, b) + k$ and $d' \geq \text{max}(a, b) + 1$, then for any integer $N \in [(d - k + 1)d', \text{ad'} - 1]$, there exists a SUEB$k$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whose cardinality is exactly $N$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $a < b$ and $A \in W(m, k, a), B \in W(n, k, b)$. Let $A_1, \cdots, A_a$ be the rows of $A$ and $B_1, \cdots, B_b$ be the rows of $B$. Any integer $N \in [(d - k + 1)d', \text{ad'} - 1]$ can be written uniquely as $N = d'q + r$ where $(d - k + 1) \leq q \leq d - 1$ and $r$ is an integer with $0 \leq r < d'$. Then we have a coordinate set $C_{q+1} \times d'$ with order $O_{(q+1)\times d'}$. Notice that any $q$ consecutive elements of $C_{q+1} \times d'$ under the order $O_{(q+1)\times d'}$ are coordinate different. Denote $B_N$ to be the set by deleting the elements $\{(q + 1, i) \mid i \leq 1 \leq d' - r \}$ from $C_{q+1} \times d'$. The subset $B_N$ inherits an order $\mathcal{O}$ from that of $C_{q+1} \times d'$. As $|O_{(q+1)\times d'}[(q + 1, i)] - O_{(q+1)\times d'}[(q + 1, j)]| \geq q$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq d'$, any $q - 1$ consecutive elements of $B_N$ under the order $\mathcal{O}$ are coordinate different. Since $q - 1 \geq d - k \geq \text{max}(a, b)$, any $a$ or $b$ consecutive elements of $B_N$ under the order $\mathcal{O}$ come from different rows.
and columns. As $N \geq qd^r > (a - 1) \times (b - 1)$, by Lemma 2, there exist nonnegative integers $s, t$ such that

$$N = s \times a + t \times b. \quad (4)$$

Since there are $N$ elements in the set $B_N$, by the decomposition (6), we can divide the set $B_N$ into $(s + t)$ sets: $s$ sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$) of cardinality $a$ and $t$ sets (denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq t$) of cardinality $b$. In fact, we can divide $B_N$ into these $s + t$ sets through its order $O$. That is,

$$S_i := \{O^{-1}[(i - 1)a + x] \mid x = 1, \ldots, a\}, 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

$$L_j := \{O^{-1}[sa + (j - 1)b + y] \mid y = 1, \ldots, b\}, 1 \leq j \leq t.$$

Then we can construct the following $s \times a + t \times b = N$ matrices:

$$\{M_{d \times d'}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}A_k), M_{d \times d'}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}B_k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq y \leq b\}.$$

Let $M$ be the set of the above matrices. Note that the (s+t) sets $S_1, \ldots, S_s, L_1, \ldots, L_t$ are pairwise disjoint. And the rows of $A$ (resp. $B$) are orthogonal to each other as $AA^\dagger = kA$ (resp. $BB^\dagger = kB$). By Lemma 1, the above $sa + tb$ matrices are orthogonal to each other. By construction, all the sets $S_1, \ldots, S_s, L_1, \ldots, L_t$ are all coordinately different. Using this fact and the definition of generalized weighing matrices, the states corresponding to these matrices are all belong to $S(E S_k)$ (see Observation 1). Set $V$ be the linear subspace of $Mat_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$. Each matrix in $B_N := \{E_{i,j} \in Mat_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C}) \mid (i, j) \in C_{d \times d'} \setminus B_N\}$ is orthogonal to $V$. And the dimension of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(B_N)$ is just $dd' - N$. Therefore, $V^\perp := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(B_N)$. One should note that the rank of any matrix in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(B_N)$ is less than $k$. That is to say, any state orthogonal to the states corresponding to $M$ has Schmidt rank at most $(k - 1)$. Such a state cannot lie in $S(E B(k - 1))$. Therefore, the set of states corresponding to the matrices $M$ consists a $S(E B_k)$.

Noticing that $CH_k \in W(k, k, k)$ for all integer $k \geq 2$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, we arrive at the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let $k$ be an integer such that $W(n, k, k + 1)$ is nonempty for some integer $n$. Then there exists some $S(E B_k)$ with numbers varying from $(d - k + 1)d'$ to $dd' - 1$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whenever $d \geq 2k + 1$ and $d' \geq k + 2$.

**Corollary 2.** Let $p$ be a prime and $k = p^m > 2$ for some positive integer $m$. Then there exists some $S(E B_k)$ with numbers varying from $(d - k + 1)d'$ to $dd' - 1$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whenever $d \geq 2k + 1$ and $d' \geq k + 2$.

**Corollary 3.** Let $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ be different primes and $k = p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_s^{m_s}$ where $m_1, \ldots, m_s$ are positive integers. If $\gcd(p_i^{m_i} + 1, k) = 1$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$, Then there exists some $S(E B_k)$ with numbers varying from $(d - k + 1)d'$ to $dd' - 1$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whenever $d \geq k + \prod_{i=1}^s (p_i^{m_i} + 1)$ and $d \geq 2k + 1$ and $d' \geq 2 + \prod_{i=1}^s (p_i^{m_i} + 1)$.

**V. SECOND TYPE OF $S(E B_k)$**

In the following, we try to construct a set of matrices $M := \{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ which generates all the matrices of the left of the following form. While its complementary space $M^\perp$ is the set of matrices of the right of the following form where $r + s < k$.
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**FIG. 3.** The left figure shows the order of subset of $C_{8 \times 8}$. While the right hand one shows the distribution of the short and long states through this order.

**Example 2.** There exists a $S(E B_4)$ in $C^8 \otimes C^9$ whose cardinality is 54.

**Proof.** As $54 = 7 \times 8 - 2$, we can define $B_{54}$ to be the set with 54 elements which can be obtained by deleting $\{(6,8), (7,8)\}$ from $C_{7 \times 8}$. Notice that any 6 consecutive elements of $C_{7 \times 8}$ under the order $O_{7 \times 8}$ come from different rows and columns. Denote $O$ as the order of $B_{54}$ inherited from $O_{7 \times 8}$. As $O_{7 \times 8}[(6,8)] = 14, O_{7 \times 8}[(7,8)] = 20$, any 5 consecutive elements of $B_{54}$ under the order $O$ come from different rows and columns (See the left figure of Fig. 3 for an intuitive view). We have the following
identity

$$54 = 6 \times 4 + 6 \times 4.$$  

(5)

Since there are 54 elements in the set $B_{54}$, by the decom-
position (5), we can divide the set $B_{54}$ into (6 + 6) sets:
9 sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$) of cardinality 4 and 6
sets (denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq 6$) of cardinality 6. In fact,
we can divide $B_{54}$ into these 12 sets through its order $O$.
That is,

$$S_i := \{O^{-1}|4(i-1) + x| \mid x = 1, \cdots, 4\}, 1 \leq i \leq 6,$$

$$L_j := \{O^{-1}|24 + 5(j-1) + y| \mid y = 1, \cdots, 5\}, 1 \leq j \leq 6.$$ 

See the right hand side of Fig. 3 for an intuitive view of
the set $S_i, L_j$.

$$O_5 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & w & w^2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & w^2 & 0 & w & 1 \\
1 & 0 & w & w^2 & w \\
0 & 1 & w & w & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } w = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}.$$ 

We can easily check that $O_5O_5^t = 4I_5$. Now set $v_x$ be the
$x$-th row of $CH_4$ ($x = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and $w_y$ be the $y$-th row
of $O_5$ ($y = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$). So $v_x \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and $v_y \in \mathbb{C}^5$. So we
can construct the following $6 \times 4 + 6 \times 5 = 54$ matrices:

$$M_{8 \times 9}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}v_x), M_{8 \times 9}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}w_y),$$

$$1 \leq i \leq 6, 1 \leq x \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 6, 1 \leq y \leq 5.$$ 

Let $\mathcal{M}$ to be the set of the above matrices. Note
that the elements of each $S_i$ or $L_j$ are coordinate
different. Hence by Observation 1, the states corres-
dpond to the above $N$ matrices belong to SES4. Since
$CH_4CH_4^t = 4I_4, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ are pairwise orthogonal.
Similarly, as $O_5O_5^t = 4I_5, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5$ are also
pairwise orthogonal. And the 12 sets above are pairwise
disjoint. Therefore, by Lemma 1, the 54 matrices
above are pairwise orthogonal. Set $V$ be the linear
subspace of $\text{Mat}_{8 \times 9}(\mathbb{C})$. Each matrix in $B_{\perp} := \{E_{i,j} \in \text{Mat}_{8 \times 9}(\mathbb{C})|(i, j) \in \mathbb{C}_{8 \times 9} \setminus B_{54}\}$ is orthogonal to $V$. And the
dimension of $\text{span}_\mathbb{C}(B_{\perp})$ is just (72 − 54).
Therefore, $V^\perp = \text{span}_\mathbb{C}(B_{\perp})$. One should note that the rank of
any matrix in $\text{span}_\mathbb{C}(B_{\perp})$ is less than 4. That is to say,
any state orthogonal to the states corresponding to $\mathcal{M}$
has Schmidt rank at most 3. Such a state cannot lie in
SEB3. Therefore, the set of states corresponding to the
matrices $\mathcal{M}$ consists a SUEB4.

Theorem 2. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Suppose there
exist $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W(m, k, a)$ and $W(n, k, b)$
are nonempty and $gcd(a, b) = 1$. Let $d, d'$ be integers. If
there are decompositions $d = m_1 + s, d' = m_2 + r$ such
that $m_1, m_2 \geq \max\{a, b\} + 2$ and $1 \leq r + s < k$. Then
for any $N \in [m_1m_2dd' - 1], there exists a SUEBk in
$C^d \otimes C^d'$ whose cardinality is exactly $N$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $a < b$ and
$A \in W(m, k, a), B \in W(n, k, b)$. Let $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ be the rows of $A$ and $B_1, \cdots, B_n$ be the rows of $B$. We separate
the interval $[m_1m_2dd']$ into $s + t$ pairwise disjoint
intervals:

$$[(m_1 + i)m_2, (m_1 + i + 1)m_2), 0 \leq i \leq s - 1,$$

$$[d(m_2 + j), d(m_2 + j + 1)), 0 \leq j \leq r - 1.$$ 

Any integer $N \in [m_1m_2dd' - 1]$ lies in one of the
above $s + t$ intervals. Without loss of generality, we assume
that $N \in [(m_1 + i_0)m_2, (m_1 + i_0 + 1)m_2)$ for some
$i_0 \in \{0, \cdots, s - 1\}$. Suppose $N = (m_1 + i_0)m_2 + f,$
with $0 \leq f \leq m_2 - 1$. Denote $B_N$ to be the set by
deleting the elements \{$(m_1 + i_0 + 1, i)|1 \leq i \leq m_2 - f$\}
from $C_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1)\times m_2}$. Then we have a coordinate set
$C_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1)\times m_2}$ with order $O_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1)\times m_2}$. Notice that
any $\{a, b\} + 1$ consecutive elements of $C_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1)\times m_2}$
under the order $O_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1)\times m_2}$ are coordinate
different as $m_1, m_2 \geq \max\{a, b\} + 2$. The subset $B_N$
inherit an order $O$ from that of $C_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1)\times m_2}$. One can
find that any $a$ or $b$ consecutive elements of $B_N$ under
the order $O$ come from different rows and columns. As
$N \geq m_1m_2 > (a - 1)(b - 1)$, by Lemma 2, there exist
nonnegative integers $s, t$ such that

$$N = s \times a + t \times b.$$  

(6)

Since there are $N$ elements in the set $B_N$, by the decom-
position (6), we can divide the set $B_N$ into $(s + t)$ sets:
$s$ sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$) of cardinality $a$ and $t$ sets
(denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq t$) of cardinality $b$. In fact, we
can divide $B_N$ into these $s + t$ sets through its order $O$.
That is,

$$S_i := \{O^{-1}|[(i - 1)a + x| \mid x = 1, \cdots, a\}, 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

$$L_j := \{O^{-1}|[sa + (j - 1)b + y| \mid y = 1, \cdots, b]\}, 1 \leq j \leq t.$$ 

Then we can construct the following $s \times a + t \times b = N$
matrices:

$$M_{d \times d'}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}A_x), M_{d \times d'}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}B_y),$$

$$1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq x \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq y \leq b.$$ 

Let $\mathcal{M}$ to be the set of the above matrices. Note
that the $(s+t)$ sets $S_1, \cdots, S_s, L_1, \cdots, L_t$ are pairwise
disjoint. And the rows of $A$ (resp. $B$) are orthogonal to each other
as $AA^t = kI_n$ (resp. $BB^t = kI_n$). By Lemma 1, the
above $sa + tb$ matrices are orthogonal to each other. By
construction, all the sets $S_1, \cdots, S_s, L_1, \cdots, L_t$ are all coordinate
different. Using this fact and the definition of
generalized weighing matrices, the states corresponding
to these matrices are all belong to SES$k$ (see Observation 1). Set $V$ be the linear subspace of $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$. Each matrix in $B_{\perp} := \{E_{i,j} \in \text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})|(i, j) \in \mathbb{C}_{d \times d'} \setminus B_{54}\}$ is orthogonal to $V$. And the dimension of
D. P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, Y. Guo, Y. Jia, X. Li. Multipartite unextendible entanglement bases in $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^5$ with cardinality $N$ varying from 12 to 19.

As application, the Theorem 2 give us that there is some SUEB4 in $\mathbb{C}^8 \otimes \mathbb{C}^9$ whose cardinality lies in $[49, 71]$, where $a = 4, b = 5, m_1 = 7, s = 1, m_2 = 7, r = 2$.

In fact, we may move further than the results showed in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Here we present some examples (See Example 3) which is beyond the scope of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. But their proof can be originated from the main idea of the two kinds of constructions of SUEBk.

Example 3. For any integer $N \in [12, 19]$, there exists a SUEB3 in $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^5$ whose cardinality is exactly $N$ (See Fig. 4).

VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We present a method to construct the special unextendible entangle basis of type $k$. The main idea here is to decompose the whole space into two subspaces such that the rank of one subspace easily bound by $k$ and the other can be generated by two kinds of the special entangled states of type $k$. Here the two kinds of the special entangled states of type $k$ is related to a combinatoric concept which is known as weighing matrices. This method is effective for $k = p^m \geq 3$ in these settings, we can obtain a series of SUEBk when the local dimensions are large. In fact, based on two kinds of subspaces whose rank can be easily upper bounded by $k$, we give two types of constructions of the SUEBks.

However, there are lots of unsolved cases. Find out the largest linear subspace such that it do not contain any special entangled states of type $k$. This is related to determine the minimal cardinality of possible SUEBk. It is much more interesting to find some other methods that can solve the general existence of SUEBk.
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