

Special unextendible entangled bases with continuous integer cardinality

Yan-Ling Wang*

*School of Computer Science and Network Security,
Dongguan University of Technology, Dongguan 523808, China*
(Dated: April 8, 2024)

Special unextendible entangled basis of “type k ” (SUEBk), a set of incomplete orthonormal special entangled states of “type k ” whose complementary space has no special entangled state of “type k ”. This concept can be seen as a generalization of the unextendible product basis (UPB) introduced by Bennett et al. in [Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 5385(1999)] and the unextendible maximally entangled basis (UMEB) introduced by Bravyi and Smolin in [Phys. Rev. A **84**, 042306(2011)]. We present an efficient method to construct sets of SUEBk. The main strategy here is to decompose the whole space into two subspaces such that the rank of one subspace can be easily upper bounded by k while the other one can be generated by two kinds of the special entangled states of type k . This method is very effective for those $k = p^m \geq 3$ where p is a prime number. For these cases, we can obtain sets of SUEBk with continuous integer cardinality when the local dimensions are large. Moreover, one can find that our method here can be easily extended when there are more than two kinds of the special entangled states of type k at hand.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud

I. INTRODUCTION

Unextendible product basis (UPB)[1, 2], a set of incomplete orthonormal product states whose complementary space has no product states, has been shown to be useful for constructing bound entangled states and displaying quantum nonlocality without entanglement [3–5].

As analogy of the UPB, Bravyi and Smolin introduced the unextendible maximally entangled basis (UMEB) [6], a set of orthonormal maximally entangled states in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ consisting of fewer than d^2 vectors which have no additional maximally entangled vectors orthogonal to all of them. There they proved that no UMEB exists in two qubits system and presented examples of UMEBs in $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ and $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$. Since then, the UMEB was further studied by several researchers [7–14]. Lots of the works pay attention to the UMEBs for general quantum systems $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$. The cardinality of the constructed UMEBs are always multiple of d or d' .

Guo *et al.* extended these two concepts to the states with fixed Schmidt numbers and studied the complete basis [15] and the unextendible ones [16]. There they introduced the notion of special entangled states of type k (SESk): an entangled state whose nonzero Schmidt coefficients are all equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$. Then a special unextendible entangled basis of type k (SUEBk) is a set of orthonormal SESk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ consisting of fewer than dd' vectors which have no additional SESk orthogonal to all of them. Quite recently, there are several results related to this subject [17, 18]. Similar to the UMEBs, the cardinality of most of the known SUEBk’s are multiple of k .

Therefore, it is interesting to ask whether there are SUEBks with continuous integer cardinality or not. In-

spired by the technique used in [19], we try to study this question in this paper.

The remaining of this article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we first introduce the concept of special unextendible entangled basis and its equivalent form in matrix settings. In Sec. III, we present our main idea to construct the SUEBk. In Sec. IV and V, based on the combinatoric concept: weighing matrices, we give two kinds of constructions of SUEBk whose cardinality varying in a consecutive integer set. Finally, we draw the conclusions and put forward some interesting questions in the last section.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B$ be Hilbert spaces of dimension d and d' respectively. It is well known that any bipartite pure state in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ has a Schmidt decomposition. That is, any unit vector $|\phi\rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ can be written as

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i |e_i\rangle_A |e_i\rangle_B, \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2 = 1$$

where $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\{|e_i\rangle_A\}_{i=1}^k$ ($\{|e_i\rangle_B\}_{i=1}^k$) are orthonormal states of system A (resp. B). The number k is known as the Schmidt number of $|\phi\rangle$ and we denote it by $S_r(\phi)$. The set $\Lambda(|\phi\rangle) := \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is called the nonzero Schmidt coefficients of $|\phi\rangle$. If all these λ_i s are equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$, we call $|\phi\rangle$ a special entangled state of type k ($2 \leq k \leq d$). And we denote the set of all the special entangled states of type k to be SESk. One notice that SESk is exactly the set of maximally entangled states in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ when $k = \min\{d, d'\}$.

Definition 1 (See [15]). *A set of states $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^n$ ($1 \leq n \leq dd' - 1$) in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ is called a special unextendible*

* wangylmath@yahoo.com

entangled basis of type k (SUEBk) if

- (1) $\langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, i, j \in [n]$;
- (2) $|\phi_i\rangle \in \text{SESk}$ for all $i \in [n]$;
- (3) If $\langle \phi_i | \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, then $|\phi\rangle \notin \text{SESk}$.

The concept SUEBk generalizes the UPB ($k = 1$) and the UMEB ($k = d$). In order to study SUEBk, it is useful to consider its matrix form. Let $|\phi\rangle$ be a pure quantum states in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Under the computational bases $\{|i\rangle_A\}_{i=1}^d$ and $\{|j\rangle_B\}_{j=1}^{d'}$, it can be expressed as

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{d'} m_{ij}^\phi |i\rangle_A |j\rangle_B.$$

We call the $d \times d'$ matrix $M_\phi := (m_{ij}^\phi)$ the corresponding matrix representation of $|\phi\rangle$. The correspondence is good in the following sense:

- (1) Inner product preserving:

$$\langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{d'} \overline{m_{ij}^\psi} m_{ij}^\phi = \text{Tr}(M_\psi^\dagger M_\phi) = \langle M_\psi, M_\phi \rangle;$$

- (2) Schmidt number corresponding to the matrix rank: $S_r(|\phi\rangle) = \text{rank}(M_\phi)$;
- (3) Nonzero Schmidt coefficients corresponding to the nonzero singular values.

With this correspondence, we can restate the concept in definition 2 as follows.

Definition 2. A set of matrices $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ ($1 \leq n \leq dd'$) in $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$ is called a special unextendible singular values basis with nonzero singular values being $\{1/\sqrt{k}\}$ (SUSVBk) if

- (1) $\langle M_i, M_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, i, j \in [n]$;
- (2) The nonzero singular values of M_i are all equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$ for each $i \in [n]$;
- (3) If $\langle M_i, M \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, then some nonzero singular value of M do not equal to $1/\sqrt{k}$.

Due to the good correspondence of the states and matrices, $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^n$ is a set of SUEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ if and only if $\{M_{\psi_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a set of SUSVBk in $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, in order to construct a set of n members SUEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$, it is sufficient to construct a set of n members SUSVBk in $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$.

III. STRATEGY FOR CONSTRUCTING SUSVBK

Observation 1– It is uneasy to calculate the singular values of an arbitrary matrix. However, if there are only k

nonzero elements in M (say $m_{i_1, j_1}, \dots, m_{i_k, j_k}$) and these elements happen to be in different rows and columns, then there are exactly k nonzero singular values of M and they are just $|m_{i_1, j_1}|, \dots, |m_{i_k, j_k}|$. For example, let M be

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{w}{\sqrt{24}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}$. Then the nonzero singular values of M are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}$.

Observation 2– If there are exactly k nonzero singular values of M , then the rank of M is k . Therefore, if one can prove that $\text{rank}(M) < k$, then M cannot be a matrix with k nonzero singular values.

With the two observations above, our strategy for constructing an n -members SUSVBk can be roughly described by two steps. Firstly, we construct a set of n -members of orthonormal matrices $\mathcal{M} := \{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that there are exactly k nonzero elements in M_i whose modulus are all $1/\sqrt{k}$ and these elements happen to be in different rows and columns. Secondly, we need to show that the rank of any matrix in the complementary space of \mathcal{M} (define as $\mathcal{M}^\perp := \{M \in \text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C}) | \langle M_i, M \rangle = 0, \forall M_i \in \mathcal{M}\}$) is less than k .

Let d, d' be integers such that $2 \leq d \leq d'$. We define the coordinate set to be

$$\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'} := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 | i \in [d], j \in [d']\}.$$

Now we define an order for the set $\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$. Equivalently, we can define a bijection:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{d \times d'} : \mathcal{C}_{d \times d'} &\longrightarrow [dd'] \\ (i, j) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} (j-i)d+i & \text{if } i \leq j; \\ (d'+j-i)d+i & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then we call $(\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}, \mathcal{O}_{d \times d'})$ an ordered set (See Fig. 1 for an example). We can also define an order $\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}$ for the cases $d' \leq d$ by $\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'} := \mathcal{O}_{d' \times d}$.

Let $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2)$ be two different coordinates in $\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$. It is easy to check that if $i_1 = i_2$ or $j_1 = j_2$, then $|\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}[(i_1, j_1)] - \mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}[(i_2, j_2)]| \geq d - 1$. Therefore, any $d - 1$ consecutive coordinates in $\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$ under the order $\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}$ is coordinately different. That is, these $d - 1$ coordinates must come from different rows and different columns.

Let $P \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$. Then P inherit an order \mathcal{O} from that of $\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$ (An order here means a bijective map from P to $[\#P]$ where $\#P$ to denote the number of elements in the set P). In fact, as $\#\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}(P) = \#P$, there is an

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	6	11	16	21	26	31	36	41
2	42	2	7	12	17	22	27	32	37
3	38	43	3	8	13	18	23	28	33
4	34	39	44	4	9	14	19	24	29
5	30	35	40	45	5	10	15	20	25

FIG. 1. This is a picture of the order $\mathcal{O}_{5 \times 9}$ on the coordinate set $\mathcal{C}_{5 \times 9}$. For examples, $\mathcal{O}_{5 \times 9}[(3, 8)] = (8 - 3) \times 5 + 3 = 28$, and $\mathcal{O}_{5 \times 9}[(5, 2)] = (9 + 2 - 5) \times 5 + 5 = 35$.

unique map π_P from the set $\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}(P)$ to $[\#P]$ which preserve the order of the numbers. Then we define $\mathcal{O} := \pi_P \mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}|_P$ to be the order of P inherit from that of $\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$. For example, let $P := \{(1, 2), (4, 3), (5, 6)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{5 \times 9}$. Then the π_P from the set $\{\mathcal{O}_{5 \times 9}[(1, 2)] = 6, \mathcal{O}_{5 \times 9}[(4, 3)] = 44, \mathcal{O}_{5 \times 9}[(5, 6)] = 10\}$ to $[3] = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is just defined by: $\pi_P(6) = 1, \pi_P(10) = 2, \pi_P(44) = 3$. Therefore, the order \mathcal{O} of P inherited from that of $\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$ is exactly the map: $\mathcal{O}[(1, 2)] = 1, \mathcal{O}[(5, 6)] = 2, \mathcal{O}[(3, 4)] = 3$.

In order to step forward, we first state the following observation which is helpful for determine the orthogonality of matrices. Let $P \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$ and denote \mathcal{O} the order of P inherit from the $\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}$. l denotes the number of elements in P . As we have defined an order for the set $\mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$, it reduces an order to its subset P . For any vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^l$, we define

$$M_{d \times d'}(P, v) := \sum_{(i,j) \in P} v_{\mathcal{O}[(i,j)]} E_{i,j}$$

where $E_{i,j}$ denote the $d \times d'$ matrix whose (i, j) coordinate is 1 and zero elsewhere.

Lemma 1. *Let $P_1, P_2 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{d \times d'}$ be nonempty sets and v, w be vectors of dimensions $\#P_1$ and $\#P_2$ respectively. Then we have the following statements.*

(a) *If $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$, then we have*

$$\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w) \rangle = 0.$$

(b) *If $P_1 = P_2$ and v, w are orthogonal to each other, then we also have*

$$\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w) \rangle = 0.$$

Proof. Denote \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 the orders of P_1 and P_2 inherit from the $\mathcal{O}_{d \times d'}$ respectively.

(a) As

$$\begin{aligned} M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v) &:= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} v_{\mathcal{O}_1[(i,j)]} E_{i,j}, \\ M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w) &:= \sum_{(k,l) \in P_2} w_{\mathcal{O}_2[(k,l)]} E_{k,l}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w) \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}[M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v)^\dagger M_{d \times d'}(P_2, w)] \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} \sum_{(k,l) \in P_2} \overline{v_{\mathcal{O}_1[(i,j)]}} w_{\mathcal{O}_2[(k,l)]} \text{Tr}[E_{j,i} E_{k,l}] \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} \sum_{(k,l) \in P_2} \overline{v_{\mathcal{O}_1[(i,j)]}} w_{\mathcal{O}_2[(k,l)]} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds as the condition $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$ implies $\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} = 0$.

(b) For the second part, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v), M_{d \times d'}(P_1, w) \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}[M_{d \times d'}(P_1, v)^\dagger M_{d \times d'}(P_1, w)] \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} \sum_{(k,l) \in P_1} \overline{v_{\mathcal{O}_1[(i,j)]}} w_{\mathcal{O}_1[(k,l)]} \text{Tr}[E_{j,i} E_{k,l}] \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} \sum_{(k,l) \in P_1} \overline{v_{\mathcal{O}_1[(i,j)]}} w_{\mathcal{O}_1[(k,l)]} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in P_1} \overline{v_{\mathcal{O}_1[(i,j)]}} w_{\mathcal{O}_1[(i,j)]} = \langle v | w \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

IV. FIRST TYPE OF SUEBK

In the following, we try to construct a set of matrices $\mathcal{M} := \{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ which generates all the matrices of the form T_1 . While its complementary space \mathcal{M}^\perp is the set of matrices of the form T_2 .

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \cdots & * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 1. *There exists a SUEBK in $\mathbb{C}^7 \otimes \mathbb{C}^7$ whose cardinality is 47.*

Proof. As $47 = 7 \times 7 - 2$, we define \mathcal{B}_{47} to be the set with 47 elements which can be obtained by deleting $\{(7, 1), (7, 2)\}$ from $\mathcal{C}_{7 \times 7}$. We can define an order \mathcal{O} for the set \mathcal{B}_{47} . In fact, the \mathcal{O} is chosen to be the order of \mathcal{B}_{47} inherited from that of $\mathcal{C}_{7 \times 7}$ (See the left figure of Fig. 2 for an intuitive view). Any 5 consecutive elements of \mathcal{B}_{47} under the order \mathcal{O} come from different rows and columns. Firstly, we have the following identity

$$47 = 9 \times 3 + 5 \times 4. \quad (1)$$

Since there are 47 elements in the set \mathcal{B}_{47} , by the decomposition (1), we can divide the set \mathcal{B}_{47} into $(9 + 5)$ sets:

9 sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq 9$) of cardinality 3 and 5 sets (denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq 5$) of cardinality 4. In fact, we can divide \mathcal{B}_{47} into these 14 sets through its order \mathcal{O} . That is,

$$S_i := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[3(i-1) + x] \mid x = 1, \dots, 3\}, 1 \leq i \leq 9,$$

$$L_j := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[27 + 4(j-1) + y] \mid y = 1, \dots, 4\}, 1 \leq j \leq 5.$$

See the right hand side of Fig. 2 for an intuitive view of the set S_i, L_j . Set

$$\text{CH}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w \end{bmatrix}, \quad O_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{3}}$. We can easily check that $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_3^\dagger = 3I_3$ and $O_4O_4^\dagger = 3I_4$. Now set v_x to be the x -th row of CH_3 ($x = 1, 2, 3$) and w_y to be the y -th row of O_4 ($y = 1, 2, 3, 4$). So $v_x \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and $w_y \in \mathbb{C}^4$. So we can construct the following $9 \times 3 + 5 \times 4 = 47$ matrices:

$$M_{7 \times 7}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}v_x), M_{7 \times 7}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}w_y),$$

$$1 \leq i \leq 9, 1 \leq x \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 5, 1 \leq y \leq 4.$$

Let \mathcal{M} to be the set of the above matrices. Note that the elements of each S_i or L_j are coordinately different. Hence by **Observation 1**, the states correspond to the above N matrices belong to SES3. Since $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_3^\dagger = 3I_3$, v_1, v_2, v_3 are pairwise orthogonal. Similarly, as $O_4O_4^\dagger = 3I_4$, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 are also pairwise orthogonal. And the $s + t$ sets above are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, by Lemma 1, the 47 matrices above are pairwise orthogonal. Set V be the linear space spanned by the matrices in \mathcal{M} . Each matrix in $\mathcal{B}_\perp := \{E_{i,j} \in \text{Mat}_{7 \times 7}(\mathbb{C}) \mid (i, j) \in \mathcal{C}_{7 \times 7} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{47}\}$ is orthogonal to V . And the dimension of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$ is just 2. Therefore, $V^\perp = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$. One should note that the rank of any nonzero matrix in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$ is 1. Such a state cannot lie in SEB3. Therefore, the set of states corresponding to the matrices \mathcal{M} consists a SUEB3. ■

1	8	14	20	27	34	41
42	2	9	15	21	28	35
36	43	3	10	16	22	29
30	37	44	4	11	17	23
24	31	38	45	5	12	18
19	25	32	39	46	6	13
		26	33	40	47	7

S_1	S_3	S_5	S_7	S_9	L_2	L_4
L_4	S_1	S_3	S_5	S_7	L_1	L_2
L_3	L_4	S_1	S_4	S_6	S_8	L_1
L_1	L_3	L_5	S_2	S_4	S_6	S_8
S_8	L_1	L_3	L_5	S_2	S_4	S_6
S_7	S_9	L_2	L_3	L_5	S_2	S_3
		S_9	L_2	L_4	L_5	S_3

FIG. 2. The left figure shows the order of subset of $\mathcal{C}_{7 \times 7}$. While the right hand one shows the distribution of the short and long states through this order.

One can find that the CH_3 and O_4 play an impor-

tant role in the proof of the example 1. We give their generalizations by the following matrix and the weighing matrix in definition 3. There always exists some complex Hadamard matrix of order d . For example,

$$\text{CH}_d := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega_d & \omega_d^2 & \cdots & \omega_d^{d-1} \\ 1 & \omega_d^2 & \omega_d^4 & \cdots & \omega_d^{2(d-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega_d^{d-1} & \omega_d^{2(d-1)} & \cdots & \omega_d^{(d-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_d = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}}$. In fact, this is the Fourier d -dimensional matrix (discrete Fourier transform). The matrix CH_d satisfies

$$\text{CH}_d \text{CH}_d^\dagger = dI_d. \quad (3)$$

Definition 3 (See [20]). A generalized weighing matrix is a square $a \times a$ matrix A all of whose non-zero entries are n -th roots of unity such that $AA^\dagger = kI_a$. It follows that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}A$ is a unitary matrix so that $A^\dagger A = kI_a$ and every row and column of A has exactly k nonzero entries. k is called the weight and n is called the order of A . We denote $W(n, k, a)$ the set of all weight k and order a generalized weighing matrix whose nonzero entries being n -th root.

One can find the following lemma via the theorem 2.1.1 on the book “The Diophantine Frobenius Problem” [21]. The related problem is also known as Frobenius coin problem or coin problem.

Lemma 2 ([21]). Let a, b be positive integers and coprime. Then for every integer $N \geq (a-1)(b-1)$, there are non-negative integers x, y such that $N = xa + yb$.

Theorem 1. Let k be a positive integer. Suppose there exist $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W(m, k, a)$ and $W(n, k, b)$ are nonempty and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. If d, d' are integers such that $d \geq \max\{a, b\} + k$ and $d' \geq \max\{a, b\} + 1$, then for any integer $N \in [(d-k+1)d', dd' - 1]$, there exists a SUEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whose cardinality is exactly N .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $a < b$ and $A \in W(m, k, a)$, $B \in W(n, k, b)$. Let A_1, \dots, A_a be the rows of A and B_1, \dots, B_b be the rows of B . Any integer $N \in [(d-k+1)d', dd' - 1]$ can be written uniquely as $N = d'q + r$ where $(d-k+1) \leq q \leq d-1$ and r is an integer with $0 \leq r < d'$. Then we have a coordinate set $\mathcal{C}_{(q+1) \times d'}$ with order $\mathcal{O}_{(q+1) \times d'}$. Notice that any q consecutive elements of $\mathcal{C}_{(q+1) \times d'}$ under the order $\mathcal{O}_{(q+1) \times d'}$ are coordinate different. Denote \mathcal{B}_N to be the set by deleting the elements $\{(q+1, i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d' - r\}$ from $\mathcal{C}_{(q+1) \times d'}$. The subset \mathcal{B}_N inherit an order \mathcal{O} from that of $\mathcal{C}_{(q+1) \times d'}$. As $|\mathcal{O}_{(q+1) \times d'}[(q+1, i)] - \mathcal{O}_{(q+1) \times d'}[(q+1, j)]| \geq q$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq d'$, any $q-1$ consecutive elements of \mathcal{B}_N under the order \mathcal{O} are coordinate different. Since $q-1 \geq d-k \geq \max\{a, b\}$, any a or b consecutive elements of \mathcal{B}_N under the order \mathcal{O} come from different rows

and columns. As $N \geq qd' > (a-1) \times (b-1)$, by Lemma 2, there exist nonnegative integers s, t such that

$$N = s \times a + t \times b. \quad (4)$$

Since there are N elements in the set \mathcal{B}_N , by the decomposition (6), we can divide the set \mathcal{B}_N into $(s+t)$ sets: s sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$) of cardinality a and t sets (denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq t$) of cardinality b . In fact, we can divide \mathcal{B}_N into these $s+t$ sets through its order \mathcal{O} . That is,

$$S_i := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[(i-1)a + x] \mid x = 1, \dots, a\}, 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

$$L_j := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[sa + (j-1)b + y] \mid y = 1, \dots, b\}, 1 \leq j \leq t.$$

Then we can construct the following $s \times a + t \times b = N$ matrices:

$$\{M_{d \times d'}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}A_x), M_{d \times d'}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}B_y) \\ 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq x \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq y \leq b.$$

Let \mathcal{M} to be the set of the above matrices. Note that the $(s+t)$ sets $S_1, \dots, S_s, L_1, \dots, L_t$ are pairwise disjoint. And the rows of A (resp. B) are orthogonal to each other as $AA^\dagger = kI_a$ (resp. $BB^\dagger = kI_b$). By Lemma 1, the above $sa + tb$ matrices are orthogonal to each other. By construction, all the sets $S_1, \dots, S_s, L_1, \dots, L_t$ are all co-ordinately different. Using this fact and the definition of generalized weighing matrices, the states corresponding to these matrices are all belong to SESk (see **Observation 1**). Set V be the linear subspace of $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$. Each matrix in $\mathcal{B}_\perp := \{E_{i,j} \in \text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C}) \mid (i,j) \in \mathcal{C}_{d \times d'} \setminus \mathcal{B}_N\}$ is orthogonal to V . And the dimension of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$ is just $dd' - N$. Therefore, $V^\perp = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$. One should note that the rank of any matrix in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$ is less than k . That is to say, any state orthogonal to the states corresponding to \mathcal{M} has Schmidt rank at most $(k-1)$. Such a state cannot lie in $\text{SEB}(k-1)$. Therefore, the set of states corresponding to the matrices \mathcal{M} consists a SUEBk. ■

Noticing that $\text{CH}_k \in W(k, k, k)$ for all integer $k \geq 2$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let k be an integer such that $W(n, k, k+1)$ is nonempty for some integer n . Then there exists some SUEBk with numbers varying from $(d-k+1)d'$ to $dd'-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whenever $d \geq 2k+1$ and $d' \geq k+2$.*

We should notice that the weighing matrices have been studied by lots of researchers [20, 22–27]. For example, there always exist some weighing matrix of the form $W(n, p^m, p^m+1)$ whenever $p^m > 2$ for all prime p . In fact, Gerald Berman proved a much more strong result than this [20].

Corollary 2. *Let p be a prime and $k = p^m > 2$ for some positive integer m . Then there exists some SUEBk with*

numbers varying from $(d-k+1)d'$ to $dd'-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whenever $d \geq 2k+1$ and $d' \geq k+2$.

Corollary 3. *Let p_1, \dots, p_s be different primes and $k = p_1^{m_1} \dots p_s^{m_s}$ where m_1, \dots, m_s are positive integers. If $\gcd(p_i^{m_i} + 1, k) = 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, Then there exists some SUEBk with numbers varying from $(d-k+1)d'$ to $dd'-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whenever $d \geq k + \prod_{i=1}^s (p_i^{m_i} + 1)$ and $d \geq 2k+1$ and $d' \geq 2 + \prod_{i=1}^s (p_i^{m_i} + 1)$.*

V. SECOND TYPE OF SUEBK

In the following, we try to construct a set of matrices $\mathcal{M} := \{M_{ij}\}_{i=1}^n$ which generates all the matrices of the left of the following form. While its complementary space \mathcal{M}^\perp is the set of matrices of the right of the following form where $r + s < k$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccc} * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * & \overbrace{0 \dots 0}^r & \\ * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & \dots & * & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \overbrace{* \dots *}^r & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * \\ * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * \end{array} \right\}$$

1	8	14	20	27	34	41	48		S_1	S_2	S_4	S_5	L_1	L_2	L_4	L_5	
49	2	9	15	21	28	35	42		L_5	S_1	S_3	S_4	S_6	L_1	L_3	L_4	
43	50	3	10	16	22	29	36		L_4	L_6	S_1	S_3	S_4	S_6	L_1	L_3	
37	44	51	4	11	17	23	30		L_3	L_4	L_6	S_1	S_3	S_5	S_6	L_2	
31	38	45	52	5	12	18	24		L_2	L_3	L_5	L_6	S_2	S_3	S_5	S_6	
25	32	39	46	53	6	13			L_1	L_2	L_3	L_5	L_6	S_2	S_4		
19	26	33	40	47	54	7			S_5	L_1	L_2	L_4	L_5	L_6	S_2		

FIG. 3. The left figure shows the order of subset of $\mathcal{C}_{8 \times 9}$. While the right hand one shows the distribution of the short and long states through this order.

Example 2. *There exists a SUEB₄ in $\mathbb{C}^8 \otimes \mathbb{C}^9$ whose cardinality is 54.*

Proof. As $54 = 7 \times 8 - 2$, we can define \mathcal{B}_{54} to be the set with 54 elements which can be obtained by deleting $\{(6, 8), (7, 8)\}$ from $\mathcal{C}_{7 \times 8}$. Notice that any 6 consecutive elements of $\mathcal{C}_{7 \times 8}$ under the order $\mathcal{O}_{7 \times 8}$ come from different rows and columns. Denote \mathcal{O} as the order of \mathcal{B}_{54} inherited from $\mathcal{O}_{7 \times 8}$. As $\mathcal{O}_{7 \times 8}[(7, 8)] = 14$, $\mathcal{O}_{7 \times 8}[(6, 8)] = 20$, any 5 consecutive elements of \mathcal{B}_{54} under the order \mathcal{O} come from different rows and columns (See the left figure of Fig. 3 for an intuitive view). We have the following

identity

$$54 = 6 \times 4 + 6 \times 4. \quad (5)$$

Since there are 54 elements in the set \mathcal{B}_{54} , by the decomposition (5), we can divide the set \mathcal{B}_{54} into $(6+6)$ sets: 9 sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$) of cardinality 4 and 6 sets (denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq 6$) of cardinality 6. In fact, we can divide \mathcal{B}_{54} into these 12 sets through its order \mathcal{O} . That is,

$$S_i := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[4(i-1) + x] \mid x = 1, \dots, 4\}, 1 \leq i \leq 6,$$

$$L_j := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[24 + 5(j-1) + y] \mid y = 1, \dots, 5\}, 1 \leq j \leq 6.$$

See the right hand side of Fig. 3 for an intuitive view of the set S_i, L_j . Set

$$O_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & w & w^2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & w^2 & 0 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & 0 & w & w^2 & w \\ 0 & 1 & w^2 & w & w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } w = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}.$$

We can easily check that $O_5 O_5^\dagger = 4I_5$. Now set v_x be the x -th row of CH_4 ($x = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and w_y be the y -th row of O_5 ($y = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$). So $v_x \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and $w_y \in \mathbb{C}^5$. So we can construct the following $6 \times 4 + 6 \times 5 = 54$ matrices:

$$M_{8 \times 9}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}v_x), M_{8 \times 9}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}w_y),$$

$$1 \leq i \leq 6, 1 \leq x \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 6, 1 \leq y \leq 5.$$

Let \mathcal{M} to be the set of the above matrices. Note that the elements of each S_i or L_j are coordinately different. Hence by **Observation 1**, the states correspond to the above N matrices belong to SES4. Since $\text{CH}_4 \text{CH}_4^\dagger = 4I_4$, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 are pairwise orthogonal. Similarly, as $O_5 O_5^\dagger = 4I_5$, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5 are also pairwise orthogonal. And the 12 sets above are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, by Lemma 1, the 54 matrices above are pairwise orthogonal. Set V be the linear subspace of $\text{Mat}_{8 \times 9}(\mathbb{C})$. Each matrix in $\mathcal{B}_\perp := \{E_{i,j} \in \text{Mat}_{8 \times 9}(\mathbb{C}) \mid (i,j) \in \mathcal{C}_{8 \times 9} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{54}\}$ is orthogonal to V . And the dimension of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$ is just $(72 - 54)$. Therefore, $V^\perp = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$. One should note that the rank of any matrix in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_\perp)$ is less than 4. That is to say, any state orthogonal to the states corresponding to \mathcal{M} has Schmidt rank at most 3. Such a state cannot lie in SEB3. Therefore, the set of states corresponding to the matrices \mathcal{M} consists a SUEB4. ■

Theorem 2. Let k be a positive integer. Suppose there exist $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W(m, k, a)$ and $W(n, k, b)$ are nonempty and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Let d, d' be integers. If there are decompositions $d = m_1 + s, d' = m_2 + r$ such that $m_1, m_2 \geq \max\{a, b\} + 2$ and $1 \leq r + s < k$. Then

for any $N \in [m_1 m_2, dd' - 1]$, there exists a SUEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ whose cardinality is exactly N .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $a < b$ and $A \in W(m, k, a)$, $B \in W(n, k, b)$. Let A_1, \dots, A_a be the rows of A and B_1, \dots, B_b be the rows of B . We separate the interval $[m_1 m_2, dd']$ into $s + t$ pairwise disjoint intervals:

$$[(m_1 + i)m_2, (m_1 + i + 1)m_2), \quad 0 \leq i \leq s - 1,$$

$$[d(m_2 + j), d(m_2 + j + 1)), \quad 0 \leq j \leq r - 1.$$

Any integer $N \in [m_1 m_2, dd' - 1]$ lies in one of the above $s + t$ intervals. Without loss of generality, we assume that $N \in [(m_1 + i_0)m_2, (m_1 + i_0 + 1)m_2)$ for some $i_0 \in \{0, \dots, s - 1\}$. Suppose $N = (m_1 + i_0)m_2 + f$, with $0 \leq f \leq m_2 - 1$. Denote \mathcal{B}_N to be the set by deleting the elements $\{(m_1 + i_0 + 1, i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m_2 - f\}$ from $\mathcal{C}_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1) \times m_2}$. Then we have a coordinate set $\mathcal{C}_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1) \times m_2}$ with order $\mathcal{O}_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1) \times m_2}$. Notice that any $\max\{a, b\} + 1$ consecutive elements of $\mathcal{C}_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1) \times m_2}$ under the order $\mathcal{O}_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1) \times m_2}$ are coordinate different as $m_1, m_2 \geq \max\{a, b\} + 2$. The subset \mathcal{B}_N inherit an order \mathcal{O} from that of $\mathcal{C}_{(m_1 + i_0 + 1) \times m_2}$. One can find that any a or b consecutive elements of \mathcal{B}_N under the order \mathcal{O} come from different rows and columns. As $N \geq m_1 m_2 > (a - 1) \times (b - 1)$, by Lemma 2, there exist nonnegative integers s, t such that

$$N = s \times a + t \times b. \quad (6)$$

Since there are N elements in the set \mathcal{B}_N , by the decomposition (6), we can divide the set \mathcal{B}_N into $(s + t)$ sets: s sets (denote by $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$) of cardinality a and t sets (denote by $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq t$) of cardinality b . In fact, we can divide \mathcal{B}_N into these $s + t$ sets through its order \mathcal{O} . That is,

$$S_i := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[(i - 1)a + x] \mid x = 1, \dots, a\}, 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

$$L_j := \{\mathcal{O}^{-1}[sa + (j - 1)b + y] \mid y = 1, \dots, b\}, 1 \leq j \leq t.$$

Then we can construct the following $s \times a + t \times b = N$ matrices:

$$M_{d \times d'}(S_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}A_x), M_{d \times d'}(L_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}B_y)$$

$$1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq x \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq y \leq b.$$

Let \mathcal{M} to be the set of the above matrices. Note that the $(s + t)$ sets $S_1, \dots, S_s, L_1, \dots, L_t$ are pairwise disjoint. And the rows of A (resp. B) are orthogonal to each other as $AA^\dagger = kI_a$ (resp. $BB^\dagger = kI_b$). By Lemma 1, the above $sa + tb$ matrices are orthogonal to each other. By construction, all the sets $S_1, \dots, S_s, L_1, \dots, L_t$ are all coordinately different. Using this fact and the definition of generalized weighing matrices, the states corresponding to these matrices are all belong to SESk (see **Observation 1**). Set V be the linear subspace of $\text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C})$. Each matrix in $\mathcal{B}_\perp := \{E_{i,j} \in \text{Mat}_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{C}) \mid (i,j) \in \mathcal{C}_{d \times d'} \setminus \mathcal{B}_N\}$ is orthogonal to V . And the dimension of

FIG. 4. This figure shows the distribution of the short states and long states for constructing SUEB3 in $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^5$ with cardinality N varying from 12 to 19.

$\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{\perp})$ is just $dd' - N$. Therefore, $V^{\perp} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{\perp})$. As $r + s < k$, so the rank of any matrix in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{\perp})$ is less than k . That is to say, any state orthogonal to the states corresponding to \mathcal{M} has Schmidt rank at most $(k - 1)$. Such a state cannot lie in $\text{SEB}(k - 1)$. Therefore, the set of states corresponding to the matrices \mathcal{M} consists a SUEB k . ■

As application, the Theorem 2 give us that there is some SUEB4 in $\mathbb{C}^8 \otimes \mathbb{C}^9$ whose cardinality lies in $[49, 71]$, where $a = 4, b = 5, m_1 = 7, s = 1, m_2 = 7, r = 2$.

In fact, we may move further than the results showed in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Here we present some exam-

ples (See Example 3) which is beyond the scope of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. But their proof can be originated from the main idea of the two kinds of constructions of SUEB k .

Example 3. For any integer $N \in [12, 19]$, there exists a SUEB3 in $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^5$ whose cardinality is exactly N (See Fig. 4).

VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We present a method to construct the special unextendible entangle basis of type k . The main idea here is to decompose the whole space into two subspaces such that the rank of one subspace easily bound by k and the other can be generated by two kinds of the special entangled states of type k . Here the two kinds of the special entangled states of type k is related to a combinatoric concept which is known as weighing matrices. This method is effective for $k = p^m \geq 3$. In these settings, we can obtain a series of SUEB k when the local dimensions are large. In fact, based on two kinds of subspaces whose rank can be easily upper bounded by k , we give two types of constructions of the SUEB k s.

However, there are lots of unsolved cases. Find out the largest linear subspace such that it do not contain any special entangled states of type k . This is related to determine the minimal cardinality of possible SUEB k . It is much more interesting to find some other methods that can solve the general existence of SUEB k .

Acknowledgments The author thank Mao-Sheng Li for helpful discussion. This work is supported by the Research startup funds of DGUT with Grant No. GC300501-103.

-
- [1] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, B. M. Terhal. Unextendible Product Bases and Bound Entanglement, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 5385 (1999).
 - [2] D. P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and B. M. Terhal. Unextendible product bases, uncompletable product bases and bound entanglement, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **238**, 379 (2003).
 - [3] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, C. A. Fuchs, T. Mor, E. Rains, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Quantum nonlocality without entanglement, *Phys. Rev. A* **59**, 1070(1999).
 - [4] S. De Rinaldis, Distinguishability of complete and unextendible product bases, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 022309 (2004).
 - [5] M. Horodecki, A. Sen(De), U. Sen, and K. Horodecki, Local Indistinguishability: More Nonlocality with Less Entanglement, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 047902 (2003).
 - [6] S. Bravyi, J. A. Smolin. Unextendible maximally entangled bases. *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 042306 (2011).
 - [7] B. Chen, S.-M. Fei. Unextendible maximally entangled bases and mutually unbiased bases. *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 034301 (2013).
 - [8] M.-S. Li, Y.-L. Wang, Z.-J. Zheng. Unextendible maximally entangled bases in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$. *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 062313 (2014).
 - [9] Y.-L. Wang, M.-S. Li, S.-M. Fei. Unextendible maximally entangled bases in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 034301 (2014).
 - [10] H. Nan, Y.-H. Tao, L.-S. Li, and J. Zhang. Unextendible Maximally Entangled Bases and Mutually Unbiased Bases in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **54** 927 (2015).
 - [11] Y.-L. Wang, M.-S. Li, S.-M. Fei, and Z.-J. Zheng. Connecting unextendible maximally entangled base with partial Hadamard matrices. *Quantum Inf. Process* **16** 84 (2017).
 - [12] Y. Guo, Y. Jia, X. Li. Multipartite unextendible entangled basis. *Quantum Inf. Proc.* **14**, 3553 (2015).

- [13] Y. Guo. Constructing the unextendible maximally entangled basis from the maximally entangled basis. *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 052302 (2016).
- [14] G.-J. Zhang, Y.-H. Tao, Y.-F. Han, X.-L. Yong, and S.-M. Fei. Unextendible maximally entangled bases in $\mathbb{C}^{qd} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{qd}$. *Quantum Inf. Process* **17** 318 (2018).
- [15] Y. Guo, S. P. Du, X. L. Li, and S. J. Wu. Entangled bases with fixed Schmidt number. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** 245301 (2015).
- [16] Y. Guo, S. Wu. Unextendible entangled bases with fixed Schmidt number. *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 054303 (2014).
- [17] Fei Shi, Xiande Zhang, Yu Guo, Constructions of unextendible entangled bases. *Quantum Inf. Process* **18**:324 (2019).
- [18] Xinlei Yong, Yiyang Song, Yuanhong Tao, New constructions of unextendible entangled bases with fixed Schmidt number. *Quantum Inf. Process* **18**:337 (2019).
- [19] M.-S. Li, Y.-L. Wang, Construction of special entangled basis based on generalized weighing matrices. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52** 375303 (2019).
- [20] G. Berman. Families of generalized weighing matrices. *Canad J. Math.* **30** 1016-1028 (1978).
- [21] Jorge Ramirez Alfonsin. The Diophantine Frobenius Problem. Oxford University Press, (2006).
- [22] C. Koukouvinos, J. Seberry. Weighing matrices and their applications. *J. Stat. Plann. Inference* **62**(1), 91-101 (1997).
- [23] K. T. Arasu, A. J. Gutman. Circulant weighing matrices. *Cryptogr. Commun.* **2**:155-171 (2010).
- [24] D. Best, H. Kharaghani, H. Ramp. On unit weighing matrices with small weight. *Discrete Math.* **313** 855-864 (2013).
- [25] I. S. Kotsireas, C. Koukouvinos, J. Seberry. New weighing matrices constructed from two circulant submatrices. *Optim. Lett.* **6**:211-217 (2012).
- [26] K. H. Leung, B. Schmidt. Finiteness of circulant weighing matrices of fixed weight. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** 908-919 (2011).
- [27] B. Schmidt, K. W. Smith. Circulant weighing matrices whose order and weight are products of powers of 2 and 3. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **120** 275-287 (2013).