Quantum Entanglement in Time for a Distributed Ledger
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Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) is a shared, synchronized and replicated data spread spatially and temporally with no centralized administration and/or storage. Each node has a complete and identical set of records. All participants contribute to building and maintaining the distributed ledger. Current DLT technologies fall into two broad categories. Those that use block-chains such as in Bitcoin or Ethereum, and newer approaches which reduce computational loads for verification. All current approaches though difficult to crack can be vulnerable to quantum algorithms using Quantum Information Technologies (QIT). This effort joins the two technologies, constructing a Quantum Distributed Ledger (QDL) which provides a higher level of security using QIT and a decentralized data depository using DLT. This enhanced security prevents middleman attacks with quantum computers yet retains the advantages of a decentralized ledger of data.

GCAPS numbers: 05.20.Dd, 05.70.Ln, 05.70.Np, 88.05.De.

I. INTRODUCTION:

Current DLT implementations, whether using a “block chain” or a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) approach are limited by their use of a classical computing system to encrypt, decrypt and verify. In the block chain[1], it is further limited by its intensive computational load as the proof of work of the block chain keeps increasing as the chain lengthens over time. The DAG approach to DLT, though removing the effort of the proof of work to the entire chain of events, still implements classical computing system algorithms to verify chain snippets. The classical approach is vulnerable to quantum computational attacks. Prevention of any middleman attack can be thwarted by using quantum entanglement. Quantum entanglement is one the major attributes of Quantum Information Technology (QIT). It is also known as Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (EPR)[2] pairing. The techniques and validation of quantum entangled processes are now validated and successfully implemented in commercial QIT such as quantum computing.

Quantum entanglement or EPR pairing produces a physical phenomenon where a pair of fields or particles behave as if they were the same field or particle both in space and time. An example of this would be if 2 separate points in space (Alpha and Beta) cooperate to entangle a pair of photons. Alpha measures photon A and Beta measures photon B. Alpha’s individual measurements of A appear as random fluctuations. The same is true for Beta’s measurements of B. However, if they compare the results of these 2 entangled particles they are either 100% correlated or 100% inversely correlated. This phenomenon is quantum entanglement.

This research relates to the concept of a Quantum Block-chain[3] which we discuss within the context of Distributed Ledger Technology[9] systems for many applications such as: currency transactions, banking, healthcare, logistics, business and personal contracts, chain of custody and more. We use the synchronization of DLT systems using quantum entanglement in time. This state can only be achieved using quantum entanglement or Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) pairing. This physical state pairs a field or a field of particles which results in a behavior as if they were the same field or particle in both space and time, though separated by large distances. The paired entities would share states, and either be inversely correlated or directly correlated. In addition, if the entangled entities are placed through the process of a quantum teleportation state through time, the state produced is correlated with a no longer existent entity entangled in the past. Using this phenomenon of Quantum Entanglement In Time (QuEIT)[4], the originating source and the data coherent with the entangled source would be immune to cryptographic attacks, retain the fidelity of the chain of events and be impervious to the deletion of any set of data in the string of the distributed ledger.

Figure 1: Quantum Entanglement as it relates to QDL or Quantum Block chains

The fundamental basis of a Quantum Block-chain is the quantum teleportation schema[5]. See Figure 2,
where \(| g >\) represents the block-chain. In this format we see the fundamental role played by the standard design of quantum teleportation.

II. MATHEMATICAL DEVELOPMENTS

The fundamental basis of a Quantum Block-chain is the quantum teleportation schema. See figure 2, where \(| g >\) represents the block-chain. Following, Marinescu, we form the input vector as \(|g>_⊗|h >⊗|j >\), (tensor–exterior product), which is represented as a 8 x 1 vector in Hilbert Space, as in equation (1).

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
g_1 h_{1j_1} \\
g_1 h_{1j_2} \\
g_1 h_{2j_1} \\
g_1 h_{2j_2} \\
g_2 h_{1j_1} \\
g_2 h_{1j_2} \\
g_2 h_{2j_1} \\
g_2 h_{2j_2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(1)

where the basic ket is defined as:

\[
|g> = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(2)

There are 10 stages shown in Figure 2. Stage one is the initial input, equation 1. The output vector from stage 1 would have the mathematical form:

\[
|\text{stage}1 > = \begin{pmatrix}
g_1 h_{1j_1} \\
g_1 h_{1j_2} \\
g_1 h_{2j_1} \\
g_1 h_{2j_2} \\
g_2 h_{1j_1} \\
g_2 h_{1j_2} \\
g_2 h_{2j_1} \\
g_2 h_{2j_2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3)

Then the output vector \(|\text{stage}2>\) is expressed as: \(⊗\)

\[
|\text{stage}2 > = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \otimes |\text{stage}1 >
\]

(4)

The middle matrix in equation 4 is the Hadamard Matrix, while the left and right matrices are the Identity Matrices. This concatenation continues until stage 10 where the final output vector \(|\text{stage}10>\) is represented as:

\[
|\text{stage}10 > = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \otimes |\text{stage}9 >
\]

(5)

The details of this process are left in the Appendix. The final vector stage10 involves 30 matrices and nine 8 x 1 vectors, for a total of 39 matrices. This for only a three channel (3 qubit) input. The stage 10 vector is factored into the following:

\[
|\text{stage}10 > = \left( \begin{array}{c} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{array} \right) \otimes (\text{entangled states of } |b > |c >)
\]

(6)

It should be observed that the input to the top channel \(|g>\) which represents the Block-chain (or distributed ledger) appears teleported to the remote bottom channel. This event (position and time) In this simplified arrangement, the Block-chain represents 4 classical bits, \(2^4\). In our design a terabyte of information would require a 12 qubit object, for \(2^{12}\) classical bits. That is \(|g> = \left| g_{\text{first}} > \otimes |g_{\text{second}} > \otimes \ldots \otimes |g_{\text{forth}} > \text{each } \right| g_i >\text{being a 2 x 1 vector. According to Lloyd}\) the order of complexity for a terabyte \(N=10^{12}\) follows the logarithmic relationship \(\sim \log_2 N\) which is essentially 40 (qubits needed for encoding). The summary of this process is shown in Figure 3 below.
Figure 3: Cascade of the basic circuit for QDL

Given this architectural design the quantum mechanical correctness of teleportation can not be delayed. Schrodinger’s or Heisenberg’s equations will dynamically exhibit the solution as described in Figure 1. However to actually implement this circuit schema is another matter. Two major events have to exist for the Block-chain to be teleported. One is the preparation of the initial state vector called $|g\rangle$ here. Both end points, sender and receiver (referred to in nearly all descriptions as Alice at stage 1 and Bob at stage 2) need to share an entangled ket. This could be preferred with another dual channel what would be called in the AT&T[7] long distance or local distance loop as a setup or control channel. This separate channel is just for set up only, while then the ”data” channel would be the channel for data transport only. Most texts consider this control channel as classical since a quantum channel would not in principal be needed. However, there is no reason for this. Dual quantum channels is the future of telecom anyway whether they be dual virtually (single quantum channel implemented) or a dual physical channel. The second point not addressed in the circuit diagram is the measurement process. The remote (Bob) needs to collapse some of the transported Block-chain to recover and add to the Block. This is the purpose of the Block-chain (ledger) to add securely to the chain and move on. In some designs, see Chuang and Nielsen[8], the measurement is shown as part of the circuit. The authors here feel that this is overloading and adding some confusion to the flow. Instead we will incorporate the measurement in a more appropriate graphic that shows a possible implementation of the Figure 1 as a network of photons and beam splitters. Since, in this design a measurement is more naturally rendered visually.

III. IMPLEMENTATION OF TELEPORTATION SCHEMA FOR A DISTRIBUTED LEDGER

In this section we show an implementation of the Block-chain teleportation scheme using beam splitters and photons. The implementation of Hadamard and CNOT gates is reported in the literature[3]. Some words are in order for the description of the DLT and its encoding and teleportation. Several more steps are needed to complete the insertion of data, its teleportation and its measurement through the mining process. Looking at figure 2, the top state, on input is part of an initially entangled pair of states. They are shared between two entities. Sender and Receiver. This will involve a measurement process on initialization. The blockchain is represented as the lowest ket on the left side. Now there is some added data to the distributed ledger (top left side of figure 2). Part of which is the control and some part the target qubits. Particles (photons A) and Data Photons B are initially in a maximally entangled state. This state can be represented in our analysis as a terabyte of data that will require up to 40 qubits ( photon states). Now the third party to whom the Blockchain is to be transported has photons B. Photons A and Photons B are maximally entangled. The simple picture shown for illustrative purposes shows the entangled states as two qubits. In actuality they are tensor product of as many as 40 qubits. Now after this has been effected, we are ready to proceed as input vector to Figure 3. Figure 2, as shown here, is formally correct but lacks the measurement steps and also the initial entanglements as well. This was only done for reasons of clarity. The diagram would be too busy if the measurements were included in Figure 3, so they are omitted.

IV. RESULTS AND SUMMARY

In Section II, we described the mathematics necessary to model the implementation of this Quantum Distributed Ledger (QDL). The mathematics for QDL is an expansion of the mathematics, principles and experimentation well studied and implemented for over 15 years. Our mathematical expressions expand the concepts born out both experimental implementation and theoretical prediction. Section III provides the outline for the implementation of QDL. It is coherent and robust given that its foundation is based on almost two decades of experimental results worldwide. The circuit diagram provides a prototype for future exploration and current implementation.

The Quantum Distributed Ledger is a robust implementation of both technologies using DLT and QIT. Using Quantum Entanglement In Time (QuIET), its implementation extends quantum teleportation to support movement of data through space and across time. The initial Qbit is the seed which builds and aggregates data thereby creating a chain of information to form a quantum distributed ledger that is secure, distributed and extendable.


