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#### Abstract

The Liouville-von Neumann equation describes the change in the density matrix with time. Interestingly, this equation was recently regarded as a wave equation for wave functions but not a equation for density functions. This setting leads to an extended form of the Schrödinger wave equation governing the motion of a quantum particle. In this paper we obtain the integrability of the wave propagator arising from the Liouville-von Neumann equation in this setting.


## 1. Introduction

The pure state of a quantum system is described by a wave function obeying the Schrödinger equation $i \partial_{t} \psi+\Delta \psi=0$. For the description of mixed states, the notion of density matrix was introduced by von Neumann [17] (see also [13]). This density matrix for a pure state is equal to the product of the wave function and its complex conjugate at different arguments. Motivated by this, one can consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\psi(x, t) \bar{\psi}(y, t)) & =i \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t} \bar{\psi}(y, t)+i \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}(y, t)}{\partial t} \psi(x, t) \\
& =-\Delta_{x} \psi(x, t) \bar{\psi}(y, t)+\Delta_{y} \bar{\psi}(y, t) \psi(x, t) \\
& =\left(-\Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y}\right)(\psi(x, t) \bar{\psi}(y, t))
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial_{t} \Psi(x, y, t)+\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right) \Psi(x, y, t)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$.
This equation is commonly called the Liouville-von Neumann equation in physical literature, giving the change in the density matrix with time ( $[18,14]$ ). However, it is more interesting to regard (1.1) as a wave equation for wave functions but not a equation for density functions. This is the key point in a recent work by Chen [3]. Contrary to Schrödinger's wave functions, the wave functions $\Psi(x, y, t)$ of the Liouville-von Neumann equation (1.1) for a single particle are bipartite. These bipartite wave functions satisfy all the basic properties of Schrödinger's wave functions which correspond to those bipartite wave functions of product forms. Indeed, the

[^0]Schrödinger equation is a special case of the equation (1.1) with the initial data of product form, $\Psi(x, y, 0)=\psi(x) \bar{\psi}(y)$, because in this case $\Psi(x, y, t)=\psi(x, t) \bar{\psi}(y, t)$ with $\psi(x, t)$ satisfying the Schrödinger equation with the initial data $\psi(x, 0)=\psi(x)$ and vice versa. This extension of Schrödinger's form establishes a mathematical expression of wave-particle duality and that von Neumann's entropy is a quantitative measure of complementarity between wave-like and particle-like behaviors. Furthermore, it suggests that collapses of Schrödinger's wave functions are just the simultaneous transition of the particle from many levels to one. See 3 for details. The equation considered as a wave function equation is also explicitly used in [12], connecting with Bose-Einstein condensation.

The problem we want to discuss in this paper is integrability of wave propagator $e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)}$ which gives a formula for the solution to the Liouville-von Neumann equation. Applying the Fourier transform to (1.1), the solution $\Psi(x, y, t)$ is indeed given by

$$
e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} f(x, y):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i y \cdot \xi^{\prime}-i t\left(|\xi|^{2}-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)} \hat{f}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi d \xi^{\prime}
$$

where $f$ is the initial data $\Psi(x, y, 0)$ and $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform thereof. Our result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \geq 1$. Assume that $2 \leq q \leq \infty, 2 \leq r_{2} \leq r_{1} \leq \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{q}=n\left(1-\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

except for $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(2, \infty, \infty)$ when $n=1$ and for $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(2, \infty, 2)$ when $n=2$.

Compared with (1.3), the space-time integrability known as Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger case has been extensively studied over the last several decades and is now completely understood as follows (see [20, 8, 16, 11]):

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}}
$$

if and only if $(q, r)$ is $n$-Schrödinger-admissible, i.e., $q, r \geq 2,(q, r, n) \neq(2, \infty, 2)$ and $2 / q+n / r=n / 2$. Particularly when $r_{1}=r_{2}$, the exponent pair $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ in the theorem becomes $2 n$-Schrödinger-admissible. In this case, (1.3) can be found in [15] and the range of $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ is given by the closed segment $[D, B]$ in Figure below. But, it is significant in the bipartite form to quantify the spatial integrability differently with respect to $x$ and $y$. In this regard, the main contribution of the theorem is to extend the diagonal case $r_{1}=r_{2}$ to mixed norms $L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}, r_{1} \neq r_{2}$, where the range of $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ is given by the closed triangle with vertices $A, D, B$.

Notice that the condition (1.2) is necessary for (1.3) to be invariant under the scaling $(x, y, t) \rightarrow\left(\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^{2} t\right), \lambda>0$. By the standard $T T^{*}$ method, (1.3) is also equivalent to the boundedness of the time translation invariant operator $T T^{*}: F \rightarrow$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s$ from $L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}$ to $L_{t}^{q^{\prime}} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}$. Hence, $q \geq q^{\prime}$ (i.e., $q \geq 2$ ) is


Figure 1. The range of $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ for which (1.3) holds when $n \geq 3$.
required (see [10] or [9). When $q=2$ and $q=\infty,\left(1 / r_{1}, 1 / r_{2}\right)$ lies on the line through the points $A, D$ and on the point $B$, respectively.

In the final section we also give some applications of Theorem 1.1 to nonlinear problems.

Throughout this paper, we use $\mathcal{F} f$ and $\hat{f}$ to denote the Fourier transform of $f$ and $\langle f, g\rangle_{x, y}$ denotes the usual inner product on $L_{x, y}^{2}$. We also denote $\mathrm{A} \lesssim \mathrm{B}$ to mean $A \leq C B$ with unspecified constant $C>0$ which may be different at each occurrence.

## 2. The non-Endpoint case $q>2$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 when $q>2$. The endpoint case $q=2$ will be proved in the next section.

When $q=\infty$, the estimate (1.3) follows directly from the Plancherel theorem as follows:

$$
\left\|e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x, y}^{2}}=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|e^{-i t\left(|\xi|^{2}-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)} \hat{f}\right\|_{L_{\xi, \xi^{\prime}}^{2}}=\|\hat{f}\|_{L_{\xi, \xi^{\prime}}^{2}}=\|f\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}}
$$

Now we only need to consider $2<q<\infty$. By the standard $T T^{*}$ argument, we may prove the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}} \lesssim\|F\|_{L_{t}^{q^{\prime}} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (1.3). To show this, we obtain the following fixed-time estimates for the propagator $e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \geq 1$ and $2 \leq r_{2} \leq r_{1} \leq \infty$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G\right\|_{L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}} \lesssim|t|^{-n\left(1-\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)}\|G\|_{L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming for the moment this lemma, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r_{1} L_{y}^{r_{2}}}} & \leq\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\right\| e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s)\left\|_{L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}} d s}\right\|_{L_{t}^{q}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}|t-s|^{-n\left(1-\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)}\right\| F(s)\left\|_{L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r^{\prime}}} s\right\|_{L_{t}^{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality ([19], Section V.1.2) for dimension one,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||t|^{-\alpha} * g\right\|_{L^{q}} \lesssim\|g\|_{L^{p}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1,1 \leq p<q<\infty$ and $1 / q+1=1 / p+\alpha$. By applying (2.3) with $p=q^{\prime}$ and $\alpha=n\left(1-1 / r_{1}-1 / r_{2}\right)$ to the above, we obtain the estimate (2.1) if $2<q<\infty$, $2 \leq r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq \infty$ and $2 / q=n\left(1-1 / r_{1}-1 / r_{2}\right)$, as desired.

Proof of Lemma 2.1, It remains to prove the lemma. By the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, it suffices to show (2.2) for the following three cases:
(a) $r_{1}=r_{2}=\infty$,
(b) $r_{1}=\infty$ and $r_{2}=2$,
(c) $r_{1}=r_{2}=2$.

First we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G(x, y) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K_{t}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) K_{-t}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \\
& =K_{-t} *_{y}\left(K_{t} *_{x} G\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi i t)^{n / 2}} e^{\frac{i|x|^{2}}{4 t}}
$$

denotes the integral kernel for the Schrödinger propagator. Then one can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{t} * g\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|g\|_{L^{1}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Young's inequality and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{t} * g\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|e^{i t \Delta} g\right\|_{L^{2}}=\|g\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Plancherel theorem.
Applying (2.4) repeatedly together with the Minkowski inequality, we obtain the first case (a) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{\infty}} & =\| \| K_{-t} *_{y}\left(K_{t} *_{x} G\right)\left\|_{L_{y}^{\infty}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \\
& \lesssim|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}\| \| K_{t} *_{x} G\left\|_{L_{y}^{1}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \\
& \lesssim|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}\| \| K_{t} *_{x} G\left\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}\right\|_{L_{y}^{1}} \\
& \lesssim|t|^{-n}\|G\|_{L_{x, y}^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second case (b), we use (2.5) and (2.4) along with the Minkowski inequality to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{y}^{2}} & =\| \| K_{-t} *_{y}\left(K_{t} *_{x} G\right)\left\|_{L_{y}^{2}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left\|K_{t} *_{x} G\right\|_{L_{y}^{2}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left\|K_{t} *_{x} G\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}\right\|_{L_{y}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}\| \| G\left\|_{L_{x}^{1}}\right\|_{L_{y}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|G\|_{L_{x}^{1} L_{y}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly the case (c) follows directly from the Plancherel theorem as

$$
\left\|e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}}=\left\|e^{-i t\left(|\xi|^{2}-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)} \widehat{G}\right\|_{L_{\xi, \xi^{\prime}}^{2}}=\|G\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}}
$$

## 3. The endpoint case $q=2$

It remains to prove (1.3) when $q=2$. Following [11, we will obtain the estimate by a bilinear interpolation between the nonendpoint results and the decay estimates for a time-localized bilinear form operator. In this argument we can take advantage of the symmetry and the flexibility of the bilinear form setting.

By the standard $T T^{*}$ method we may prove

$$
\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}} \lesssim\|F\|_{L_{t}^{q^{\prime}} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}},}
$$

and by duality this is in turn equivalent to the bilinear form estimate

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\langle e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s), e^{-i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G(t)\right\rangle_{x, y} d s d t \lesssim\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r^{\prime}}}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} .} .
$$

By symmetry it suffices to restrict our attention to the retarded region

$$
\Omega=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: s<t\right\}
$$

in the above double integral. Now we break $\Omega$ into a series of time-localized regions using a Whitney type decomposition (see [19] or [7]); let $\mathcal{Q}_{j}$ be the family of dyadic squares in $\Omega$ whose side length is dyadic number $2^{j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Each square $Q=$ $I \times J \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}$ has the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{j} \sim|I| \sim|J| \sim \operatorname{dist}(I, J) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Omega=\cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}} Q$ where the squares $Q$ are essentially disjoint.
Hence we are reduced to showing the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}}\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| \lesssim\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{j}(F, G):=\int_{J} \int_{I}\left\langle e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s), e^{-i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G(t)\right\rangle_{x, y} d s d t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get this estimate, we make use of the following two-parameter family of estimates in which the case $r_{1}=r_{2}$ is excluded but this is harmless because the estimate (1.3) is already known for this case ( $(15])$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $n \geq 1$. Assume that $2 \leq r_{2}<r_{1} \leq \infty$ and

$$
1=n\left(1-\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}}\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| \lesssim 2^{-j \beta(a, \tilde{a})}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\tilde{a}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $(1 / a, 1 / \tilde{a})$ in a neighborhood of $\left(1 / r_{1}, 1 / r_{1}\right)$ (see Figure 2) with

$$
\beta(a, \tilde{a})=-1+\frac{n}{2}\left(2-\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}-\frac{2}{r_{2}}\right) .
$$

Assuming for the moment this proposition which will be obtained in the next section, we now get (3.2) using a bilinear interpolation argument. Let us first consider the bilinear vector-valued operator $B$ as

$$
B(F, G)=\left\{\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}} T_{j}(F, G)\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}
$$

Then, (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B: L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \times L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \ell_{1}^{0} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{p}^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ denotes the weighted sequence space with the norm

$$
\left\|\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}\right\|_{\ell_{p}^{\alpha}}= \begin{cases}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j \alpha p}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text { if } p \neq \infty \\ \sup _{j \geq 0} 2^{j \alpha}\left|x_{j}\right|, & \text { if } p=\infty\end{cases}
$$

For a sufficient small $\varepsilon>0$, we now choose $\frac{1}{a_{0}}=\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\varepsilon$ and $\frac{1}{a_{1}}=\frac{1}{r_{1}}+2 \varepsilon$. Note here that we cannot choose $a_{0}, a_{1} \geq 0$ if $r_{1}=\infty$ which corresponds to the cases where $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(2, \infty, \infty)$ when $n=1$ and $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=(2, \infty, 2)$ when $n=2$. For this reason these cases are excluded in the theorem. Since $\beta\left(a_{0}, a_{0}\right)=2 n \varepsilon$ and $\beta\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)=\beta\left(a_{1}, a_{0}\right)=-n \varepsilon$, Proposition 3.1 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B: L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{0}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \times L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{0}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{2 n \varepsilon}, \\
& B: \quad L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{0}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \times L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{-n \varepsilon}, \\
& B: \quad L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \times L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{-n \varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we apply the following bilinear interpolation lemma with $s=1, p=q=r_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{0}=\theta_{1}=1 / 3$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B:\left(L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{0}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}, L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)_{\frac{1}{3}, r_{1}^{\prime}} \times\left(L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{0}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}, L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)_{\frac{1}{3}, r_{1}^{\prime}} \rightarrow\left(\ell_{\infty}^{2 n \varepsilon}, \ell_{\infty}^{-n \varepsilon}\right)_{\frac{2}{3}, 1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{(\theta, p)}$ denotes the real interpolation functor.

Lemma 3.2. (1], Section 3.13.5(b)) Let $A_{0}, A_{1}, B_{0}, B_{1}, C_{0}, C_{1}$ are Banach spaces and $B$ be a bilinear operator such that $B: A_{0} \times B_{0} \rightarrow C_{0}, B: A_{0} \times B_{1} \rightarrow C_{1}$ and $B: A_{1} \times B_{0} \rightarrow C_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
B:\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)_{\theta_{0}, p s} \times\left(B_{0}, B_{1}\right)_{\theta_{1}, q s} \rightarrow\left(C_{0}, C_{1}\right)_{\theta, s} \\
\text { if } 0<\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}<\theta=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}, 1 \leq p, q, s \leq \infty \text { and } 1 \leq 1 / p+1 / q
\end{array}
$$

Finally by making use of the real interpolation space identities in Lemma 3.3 below, we easily see that

$$
\left(L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{0}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}, L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{a_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)_{1 / 3, r_{1}^{\prime}}=L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
\left(\ell_{\infty}^{2 n \varepsilon}, \ell_{\infty}^{-n \varepsilon}\right)_{2 / 3,1}=\ell_{1}^{0}
$$

in (3.6). This implies (3.5) as desired.
Lemma 3.3. (1]) Let $0<\theta<1,1 \leq p_{0}, p_{1} \leq \infty$ and $s_{0}, s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\left(L^{p_{0}}\left(A_{0}\right), L^{p_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)\right)_{\theta, p}=L^{p}\left(\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)_{\theta, p}\right)
$$

for two complex Banach spaces $A_{0}, A_{1}$ and $1 / p=(1-\theta) / p_{0}+\theta / p_{1}$, and

$$
\left(\ell_{p_{0}}^{s_{0}}, \ell_{p_{1}}^{s_{1}}\right)_{\theta, p}=\ell_{p}^{s}
$$

if $s_{0} \neq s_{1}$ and $s=(1-\theta) s_{0}+\theta s_{1}$.

## 4. Proof of Proposition 3.1

To get (3.4), we only need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| \lesssim 2^{-j \beta(a, \tilde{a})}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(J ; L_{x}^{\tilde{a}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each square $Q=I \times J \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}$. Using the fact that for each $I$ there are at most a fixed finite number of intervals $J$ which satisfy (3.1) and they are all contained in a neighborhood of $I$ of size $O\left(2^{j}\right)$, we indeed get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}}\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| & \lesssim 2^{-j \beta(a, \tilde{a})} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{a}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq 2^{-j \beta(a, \tilde{a})}\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{a}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-j \beta(a, \tilde{a})}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
We shall now show (4.1) for the following three cases (see Figure 2):
(a) $a=\tilde{a}=\infty($ point $E)$,
(b) $r_{2} \leq a<r_{1}$ and $\tilde{a}=2$ (segment $\left.(A, B]\right)$,
(c) $a=2$ and $r_{2} \leq \tilde{a}<r_{1}(\operatorname{segment}(D, C])$.


Figure 2. The range of $(a, \tilde{a})$ for which (3.4) holds when $n \geq 3$.

The proposition will then follow by interpolation with the range of $(a, \tilde{a})$ as in Figure 2.

To show (a), we first use Hölder's inequality in $x, y$ and the decay estimate (2.2) with the fact that $|t-s| \sim 2^{j}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| & =\left|\int_{J} \int_{I}\left\langle e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s), G(t)\right\rangle_{x, y} d s d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{J} \int_{I}\left\|e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s)\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{y}^{r_{2}}}\|G(t)\|_{L_{x}^{1} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}} d s d t \\
& \lesssim 2^{-n j\left(1-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{1}\left(I ; L_{x}^{1} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{1}\left(J ; L_{x}^{1} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then Hölder's inequality in each $t, s$ gives

$$
\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| \leq 2^{-n j\left(1-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)+j}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{1} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(J ; L_{x}^{1} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

as desired.
For the case (b), we bring the $s$-integration inside the inner product in (3.3) and apply Hölder's inequality in $x, y$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| & \leq \int_{J}\left\|\int_{I} e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}}\left\|e^{-i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G(t)\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}} d t \\
& \leq\left\|\int_{I} e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}} \int_{J}\left\|e^{-i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G(t)\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}} d t . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying the Plancherel theorem and Hölder's inequality, the second term in the right-hand side of (4.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J}\left\|e^{-i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} G(t)\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}} d t \leq 2^{\frac{j}{2}}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(J ; L_{x, y}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we handle the first term using the dual version of the nonendpoint estimates as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{I} e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}} & =\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} \chi_{I}(s) F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\chi_{I} F\right\|_{L_{t}^{q_{a}^{\prime}} L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}} \\
& =\|F\|_{L_{t}^{q_{a}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2<q_{a} \leq \infty, 2 \leq r_{2} \leq a$ and $2 / q_{a}=n\left(1-1 / a-1 / r_{2}\right)$ which imply

$$
\frac{1}{r_{1}}=1-\frac{1}{r_{2}}-\frac{1}{n}<\frac{1}{a} \leq \frac{1}{r_{2}}
$$

Then Hölder's inequality in $t$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\int_{I} e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}} & \lesssim 2^{j\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_{a}}\right)}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\alpha^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& =2^{j\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b}\right)\right)}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{a^{\prime}} L_{y}^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we finally get

$$
\left|T_{j}(F, G)\right| \lesssim 2^{j\left(1-\frac{n}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)\right)}\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\alpha^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\left.r_{2}^{\prime}\right)}\right.}\|G\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(J ; L_{x, y}^{2}\right)}
$$

as desired. A similar argument gives the case (c).

## 5. Applications

In this final section we present some applications of Theorem 1.1 to the following nonlinear problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \partial_{t} u+\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right) u= \pm|u|^{\alpha} u  \tag{5.1}\\
u(x, y, 0)=f(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha>0$. Particularly when $n=1$, this equation with $\alpha=2$ is reduced to the two-dimensional hyperbolic nonlinear Schrödinger equation which appears in nonlinear optics ([6, 21]) and aries naturally in the study of modulation of wave trains in gravity water waves ([22, 23]). Various issues concerning the well-posedness of this special case $n=1$ have been intensively studied until lately (see, for example, [2, 5] and references therein). Motivated by this, we address here the higher dimensional cases. Our result is the following theorem which shows that the problem (5.1) is locally well-posed:

Theorem 5.1. Let $n \geq 3$. Then for $f \in L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}$ there exist $T>0$ and a unique solution to (5.1) for $0<\alpha<2 /(n-1)$,

$$
u \in C_{t}\left([0, T] ; L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}\right) \cap L_{t}^{q}\left([0, T] ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)
$$

with $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ satisfying all the conditions given in Theorem 1.1 together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha(n-1)-1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{\alpha(n-1)}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2} \leq \frac{1}{r_{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{n} \leq \frac{1}{r_{1}} \leq 1-\frac{\alpha}{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.2. From the proof, one can see that the same result can be valid for $L^{2}$ initial data $f \in L_{x}^{2} L_{y}^{2}$ under the diagonal case $r_{1}=r_{2}$ with $0<\alpha<2 / n$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By Duhamel's principle, the solution of (5.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} f-i \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(u) d s \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(u)= \pm|u(\cdot, \cdot, s)|^{\alpha} u(\cdot, \cdot, s)$. For suitable values of $T, A>0$, we shall show that $\Phi$ defines a contraction map on

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X(T, A)=\left\{u \in C_{t}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}\right) \cap L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right):\right. \\
&\left.\sup _{t \in I}\|u\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)} \leq A\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

equipped with the distance

$$
d(u, v)=\sup _{t \in I}\|u-v\|_{L_{x, y}^{2}}+\|u-v\|_{L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}\right)},
$$

where $I=[0, T]$ and $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ is given as in Theorem 5.1.
To control the Duhamel term in (5.3), we need the following inhomogeneous estimates which are derived from the homogeneous estimates (1.3) adopting $T T^{*}$ argument and the Christ-Kiselev lemma [4]:

Corollary 5.3. Let $n \geq 1$. Assume that $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)$ are given as in Theorem 1.1, Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} e^{i t\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(s) d s\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}} \lesssim\|F\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}} L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $q>\tilde{q}^{\prime}$.
Now we first show that $\Phi(u) \in X$ for $u \in X$. Using Plancherel's theorem and then the adjoint form of (1.3), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \in I}\|\Phi(u)\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}} & \leq C\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}}+C \sup _{t \in I}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-i s\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(u) d s\right\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}} \\
& \leq C\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}}+C\|F(u)\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{y}^{1, \tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\left(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)$ given as in Corollary 5.3. For $q>\tilde{q}^{\prime}$, we assume for the moment that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(u)\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{y}^{1, \tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C T^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{q}}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}\right)}^{\alpha}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}=\alpha / 2+1 / r_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad 1 / \tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}=\alpha(1 / 2-1 / n)+1 / r_{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \tilde{q}^{\prime}-1 / q=(2+\alpha-n \alpha) / 2>0 \quad(\text { thus }, \alpha<2 /(n-1)) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by combining the conditions (1.2) for $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)$. Hence we get for $u \in X$

$$
\sup _{t \in I}\|\Phi(u)\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}}+C T^{\frac{2+\alpha-n \alpha}{2}} A^{\alpha+1}
$$

The same argument together with Theorem 1.1 also implies

$$
\|\Phi(u)\|_{L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}}+C T^{\frac{2+\alpha-n \alpha}{2}} A^{\alpha+1}
$$

for $u \in X$. Consequently, $\Phi(u) \in X$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}}+C T^{\frac{2+\alpha-n \alpha}{2}} A^{\alpha+1} \leq A \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we show that for $u, v \in X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\Phi(u), \Phi(v)) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(u, v) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we use (5.4) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\Phi(u), \Phi(v)) & =d\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(u) d s, \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right)} F(v) d s\right) \\
& \leq C\|F(u)-F(v)\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the simple inequality $\left||u|^{\alpha} u-|v|^{\alpha} v\right| \leq C\left(|u|^{\alpha}+|v|^{\alpha}\right)|u-v|$, and then applying Hölder's inequality in $x, y, t$ under the condition (5.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| F(u)- F(v) \|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C T^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} L_{y}^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}\right)}^{\alpha}+\|v\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} L_{y}^{\left.\frac{2 n}{n-2}\right)}\right.}^{\alpha}\right)\|u-v\|_{L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (5.7) and the Sobolev embedding $\dot{H}^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}$ when $n>2$ give

$$
d(\Phi(u), \Phi(v)) \leq C T^{\frac{2+\alpha-n \alpha}{2}} A^{\alpha} d(u, v)
$$

for $u \in X$.
Finally, we choose $A=2 C\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}}$ and $T$ so that $C T^{\frac{2+\alpha-n \alpha}{2}} A^{\alpha} \leq 1 / 2$, and thus (5.8) and (5.9) hold as desired. Therefore, there exists a unique local solution $u \in C_{t}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}\right) \cap L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)$.

It remains to show (5.5). We first see that

$$
\|F(u)\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{y}^{1, \tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C\left\||u|^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)}+C\left\||u|^{\alpha}\left|\nabla_{y} u\right|\right\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} .
$$

By Hölder's inequality, we then get

$$
\left\||u|^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} L_{y}^{\left.\frac{2 n}{n-2}\right)}\right.}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} L_{y}^{r_{2}}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\left\||u|^{\alpha}\left|\nabla_{y} u\right|\right\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} L_{y}^{\tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} L_{y}^{\left.\frac{2 n}{n-2}\right)}\right.}^{\alpha}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} \dot{W}_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)}
$$

under the condition (5.6). Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F(u)\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{\tilde{r}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{y}^{1, \tilde{r}_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} & \leq C\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}\right)}^{\alpha}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)} \\
& \leq C T^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{q}}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(I ; L_{x}^{2} H_{y}^{1}\right)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{q}\left(I ; L_{x}^{r_{1}} W_{y}^{1, r_{2}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

using $\dot{H}^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}$ and Hölder's inequality in time with $q>\tilde{q}^{\prime}$, as desired.
We finish the proof with a remark that the condition (5.2) follows from the requirements on $\left(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)$. Indeed, combining (5.6) with $2 \leq \tilde{r}_{2} \leq \tilde{r}_{1} \leq \infty$ implies the
second condition in (5.2), while the first one in (5.2) follows from a combination with $2 \leq \tilde{q} \leq \infty$ and (1.2) for $\left(q, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)$.
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