Analogue quantum simulation of superradiance and subradiance in trapped-ions
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We discuss a protocol for the analogue quantum simulation of superradiance and subradiance using a linear chain of $N$ trapped ions with a single auxiliary ion used for sympathetic cooling. We develop a model that shows that, when the qubits are placed in the excited state, the auxiliary ion can emit a cascade of radiation that converges to that expected from a cloud undergoing Dicke superradiance and subradiance. We provide numerical simulations of the full ion chain and show that they converge to the dynamics expected in their corresponding coherently radiating system. We also map out the parameter regime needed to reach this convergence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Trapped-ions are one of the most promising platforms for quantum simulations. Using either lasers or microwaves to generate spin-motion coupling, they offer the ability to create a large range of effective Hamiltonians that have controllable spin-spin interactions, and environmental coupling. This has enabled analogue quantum simulations of important many-body phenomena such as superradiant phase-transitions, quantum transport, and the Dirac equation. There has yet to be an analogue quantum simulation, however, of superradiance and subradiance, which are essential parts of many physical processes, including atomic, biological, and condensed matter systems. While the study of coherent emission from quantum systems has yielded important results in its tenure, the Hilbert space describing a typical system of interest will grow exponentially with its size. This has prompted physicists to create simplified theoretical frameworks by making physical approximations such as assuming a low or high fraction of the atoms to be excited, or by leveraging symmetries in interparticle interactions. In order to explore larger and less constrained systems, a quantum simulation for coherent radiation will likely be needed. In this work, we will show that such a simulation is possible in a trapped-ion system, where the photon emission from a single auxiliary sympathetic cooling ion in an $N$ qubit system (see Fig. 1(a)), converges to the exact photon emission of an $N$ atom cloud exhibiting Dicke superradiance, with an effective single atom decay rate that depends on (controllable) field strengths.

In its original formulation, Dicke superradiance is represented by a cloud of $N$ two-level atoms confined to a location that is small compared to the atoms’ transition wavelength, ignoring the strong dipole-dipole interactions present in this regime. If all the two-level atoms are placed in the excited state, the system only decays into symmetric superpositions of every possible state with a given number of excitations. Here, using the parallel between two-level and spin-1/2 systems, we represent these Dicke states as $|\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle$. In this notation, $m$ is the spin projection onto the $z$-axis of an $N$ spin-1/2 system with total angular momentum $\frac{N}{2}$. As the system decays, correlations between the atoms build, causing the enhanced decay rate of the system to be $\Gamma'(\frac{N}{2} + m)(\frac{N}{2} - m + 1)$, as opposed to the $\Gamma'(\frac{N}{2} + m)$ rate expected in the absence of correlations, where $\Gamma'$ is the single atom decay rate. The increase in emission rate as the system evolves gives the signature $\propto N^2$ photon intensity pulse associated with superradiance. This has been shown to be equivalent to an ensemble of two-level atoms symmetrically coupled to a lossy cavity. It has also been shown that this lossy cavity effect may be

![Diagram of trapped-ion system](image-url)
generated by sympathetically cooling a Coulomb mode of a mixed-species Penning trap, where the mechanism may be used to effectively create steady-state superradiance [50]. In this work, we will use a similar underlying physical mechanism, to create an analogue quantum simulation of the superradiant cascade effect described in Dicke’s original work [23] in a linear chain of ions with a single auxiliary ion (same or different species) used for sympathetic cooling. We will show that, when the motion is cooled significantly faster than the rate of spin-motion coupling, the temporal dependence of the qubit evolution, as well as the scattered radiation of the auxiliary ion, converges to that expected from Dicke superradiance and subradiance. We expect that the work shown here can be expanded to include effective spin-spin interactions, and eventually be used for simulations that are unfeasible on a classical computer.

II. THEORY

We consider a system of trapped-ions that consists of \(N\) qubit ions, a single motional mode, and an auxiliary ion for sympathetic cooling (see Fig. [1]). The state of this system is represented as:

\[
|\psi \rangle = |\text{qubit}\rangle |\text{aux}\rangle |n\rangle,
\]

(1)

where \(|\text{qubit}\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\uparrow\ldots\uparrow\rangle\) represents the internal qubit states, \(|\text{aux}\rangle\) represents the internal state of the auxiliary ion, and \(|n\rangle\) represents a motional mode containing \(n\) phonons. Here, \(|\uparrow\rangle\) \((|\downarrow\rangle\)\) is the excited(ground) state of an individual qubit. The auxiliary ion is either in the strongly radiating (MHz) state, \(|e\rangle\), or the non-radiating ground state, \(|g\rangle\); this is achieved with a laser-driven cycling transition on an ion that may or may not be the same species as the qubits. We assume a system cooled to the motional ground state, \(n = 0\), where, initially, all of the qubits are placed in the \(|\uparrow\rangle\) state, and the auxiliary ion is placed in the \(|g\rangle\) state. The qubits are then symmetrically driven on the red sideband with a continuous field, while a separate red sideband is driven on the auxiliary ion. The Hamiltonian for this system is:

\[
\hat{H} = \hbar \Omega_s \left\{ \hat{S}_+ \hat{a} + \hat{S}_- \hat{a}^\dagger \right\} + \hbar \Omega_a \left\{ \hat{b}_+ \hat{a} + \hat{b}_- \hat{a}^\dagger \right\},
\]

(2)

Note that we are working in the rotating frame with respect to the qubit and motional frequency, and have made the rotating wave approximation. Here, \(\hat{a}(\hat{a}^\dagger)\) are the phonon operators, \(\hat{S}_{z,+,\ldots} \equiv \sum_j N \hat{\sigma}_{z,+,\ldots}^j\) represents a collective spin operator on the qubit subspace, where \(\hat{\sigma}_{z,+,\ldots}^j\) is a Pauli spin operator acting on the \(j^{\text{th}}\) qubit, and \(\hat{b}_+ (\hat{b}_-)\) are raising and lowering operators that act on the auxiliary ion. The amplitudes in this equation are the red sideband Rabi frequency acting on the qubits, \(\Omega_s\), and the red sideband acting on the auxiliary ion, \(\Omega_a\).

In order to perform the many-ion calculations in Sec. [IIIA] we simplify the equations by noting that when

the operator, \(\hat{S}_{+,\ldots}\), acts on a superradiant state, given by:

\[
|\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle \equiv \left\{ \frac{(N - m)m!}{N!} \right\}^{1/2} \sum_{\text{qubits}} |\uparrow\downarrow\ldots\uparrow\rangle,
\]

(3)

where the sum in the above equation is over all states with \(\frac{N}{2} + m\) qubits in the excited state, the qubit subspace only connects to \(|\frac{N}{2}, m + 1\rangle\) states with non-zero matrix elements. This allows us to greatly reduce the qubit subspace from the \(2^N\) original elements to the \(N + 1\) possible \(|\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle\) states. We can then rewrite Eq. (2) as:

\[
\hat{H} = \hbar \Omega_s \left\{ \hat{D}_+ \hat{a} + \hat{D}_- \hat{a}^\dagger \right\} + \hbar \Omega_a \left\{ \hat{b}_+ \hat{a} + \hat{b}_- \hat{a}^\dagger \right\},
\]

(4)

where we have rewritten the qubit operators as:

\[
\hat{D}_- \equiv \sum_m \left\{ \left( \frac{N}{2} + m \right) \left( \frac{N}{2} - m + 1 \right) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{N}{2}, m - 1 \right\} \langle N, m |,\]

(5)

and \(\hat{D}_+ = \hat{D}_+^\dagger\), thereby greatly reducing the complexity of the above equations. We assume that the radiation from the qubits themselves is negligible. The auxiliary ion, however, is taken to radiate quickly. We account for this using the Lindblad formalism for a single two-level system’s decay. The full master equation for the reduced density operator of the system, \(\hat{\rho}\), is:

\[
\dot{\hat{\rho}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + \Gamma \left\{ \hat{b} \hat{\rho}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\rho}^\dagger \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \right\},
\]

(6)

where \(\Gamma\) is the decay rate of the auxiliary ion.

The superradiant cascade, pictorially represented in Fig. [2], is where the Dicke state, \(|\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle\), emits a photon into a Markovian bath and is then projected onto \(|\frac{N}{2}, m - 1\rangle\) at a rate of \(\Gamma' \left( \frac{N}{2} + m \right) \left( \frac{N}{2} - m + 1 \right)\), never to return to \(|\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle\). We simulate the dynamics of this system with our trapped-ions by using the protocol illustrated in Fig. [3]. Initially, the qubit subspace is in the \(|\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle\) state, the auxiliary ion is in the \(|g\rangle\) state, and the system has been cooled to the \(|0\rangle\) state of the motional mode, making the overall state \(|\frac{N}{2}, m, g\rangle\) \(|0\rangle\). The red sideband of each of the qubits is then symmetrically driven with a rate \(\Omega_s\), so that the system evolves into the \(|\frac{N}{2}, m - 1, g\rangle\) \(|1\rangle\) state. At the same, the red sideband of the \(|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle\) transition is driven at \(\Omega_a\), a much faster rate than \(\Omega_s\), taking the system from \(|\frac{N}{2}, m - 1, g\rangle\) \(|1\rangle\) to \(|\frac{N}{2}, m - 1, e\rangle\) \(|0\rangle\). Finally, at a rate \(\Gamma\) much faster than \(\Omega_s\), the auxiliary ion decays, taking the state from \(|\frac{N}{2}, m - 1, e\rangle\) \(|0\rangle\) to \(|\frac{N}{2}, m - 1, g\rangle\) \(|0\rangle\), repeating this cycle until \(|\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}, g\rangle\) \(|0\rangle\) is reached.

We may solve for the effective rate of this process by using the fact that, since \(\Gamma \gg \Omega_s\), the system is overdamped and reaches quasi-equilibrium at a rate much faster than the overall evolution of the system. Letting \(c_0, c_1, c_2\) be the probability amplitudes of
the states, respectively, we can find equation of motion for \( c_1 \):
\[
\dot{c}_1 = -i\Omega_a \left( \frac{N}{2} + m \right) \left( \frac{N}{2} + m + 1 \right)^{1/2} c_0 - i\Omega_a c_2.
\] (7)

We now assume that (upon reaching quasi-equilibrium) \( \dot{c}_1 = 0 \) relative to \( \Omega_a \) and \( \Gamma \). This allows us to set the left hand side of Eq. (7) to 0 and solve for \( c_2 \) in terms of \( c_0 \):
\[
c_2 = -\frac{\Omega_a \left( \left( \frac{N}{2} + m \right) \left( \frac{N}{2} + m + 1 \right) \right)^{1/2} c_0}{\Omega_a},
\] (8)

where we can now easily solve for the effective photon emission rate, \( \Gamma_{\text{eff}} |c_0|^2 = \Gamma |c_2|^2 \). This gives a photon emission rate of \( \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \left( \frac{N}{2} + m \right) \left( \frac{N}{2} + m + 1 \right) |c_0|^2 \), where:
\[
\Gamma_{\text{eff}} \equiv \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_s} \right)^2 \Gamma,
\] (9)

is the single atom decay rate. This is analogous to an \( N \) atom system undergoing superradiance with an effective single atom decay rate of \( \Gamma' = \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \).

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Superradiance

For an ensemble exhibiting the form of superradiance described in Ref. [23], a given Dicke state, \( \left| \frac{N}{2}, m \right\rangle \), decays at a rate given by \( \Gamma' \left( \frac{N}{2} + m \right) \left( \frac{N}{2} - m + 1 \right) \). This indicates that if an ensemble is initialized to the excited state, \( \left| \frac{N}{2}, m \right\rangle \), then the photon emission rate of the ensemble, \( \gamma \), will initially increase with time, followed by a rapid decrease, when the system runs out of energy, giving a \( N^2 \) pulse. In this subsection, we demonstrate that \( \gamma \) from a single auxiliary ion in an \( N \) qubit ion chain, converges to the exact time dynamics expected in a superradiant system with a single atom decay rate of \( \Gamma' = \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \), when \( \Gamma \gg \Omega_s \) and \( \Omega_{s}^2 / \Gamma \gg \Omega_s \).

The convergence of our trapped-ion system to Dicke superradiance is shown in Fig. 2 for systems of \( N = 1, 5, 10, 20 \) and 30. We here show the temporal evolution of \( \gamma \) normalized by \( \Gamma' \) and \( N \), \( \gamma' \equiv \gamma / N \Gamma' \). We compare \( \gamma' \) for the entire superradiant cloud with \( \gamma' \) from the auxiliary ion in an \( N \) qubit trapped-ion system, and set \( \Gamma' \) to \( \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \) for comparison. For the the trapped-ion system, we choose values of \( \Omega_s \approx 81.2 \Omega_{a} \) and \( \Gamma = 200 \Omega_{a} \), chosen to be well within the regime of convergence (discussed below). At very short times, \( \gamma' \) from the auxiliary ion in the trapped-ion system starts at zero. However, at a timescale \( \propto 1 / \Gamma \), the system reaches quasi-equilibrium, and, as a result, follows the dynamics discussed in Sec. II This initial “ramp-up” time, is shown in the inset of Fig. 2, where, after a time, \( t \), that is short compared to \( 1 / \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \), the system reaches quasi-equilibrium and each system of \( N \) trapped-ion qubits converges to the respective \( N \) superradiating atom systems that are supposed to simulate.

In order for \( \gamma' \) versus \( t \) from the auxiliary ion to converge to that of Dicke superradiance, the parameters of the system must be set up such that the system reaches quasi-equilibrium on a time-scale that is fast compared to the system’s overall evolution (\( \propto 1 / \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \)), and that, at quasi-equilibrium, population is removed from the motional mode at a rate that is fast enough compared to \( \Omega_s \) such that there is no back-action into the initial state. As we show in Fig. 3, these two conditions are met when \( \Gamma \gg \Omega_s \) and \( \Omega_{s}^2 / \Gamma \gg \Omega_s \), respectively.

The first condition, \( \Gamma \gg \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \), is due to the fact that the damping provided by the photon emission from the auxiliary ion will cause the system to reach quasi-equilibrium at a rate \( \propto \Gamma \). Since the auxiliary ion will analogue superradiant emission when it has reached quasi-equilibrium (see Sec. II), this process must occur on a timescale that is much shorter than the overall evolution of the system. Also, if the system is not damped at a much faster rate than population is put in, back-action will lead to Rabi oscillations; this will significantly complicate the dynamics. We show this in Fig. 3, a system of \( N = 10 \) qubits coupled to an auxiliary ion with that decays with a rate \( \Gamma \). Note that we choose a set value of \( \Omega_{a}^2 / \Gamma = 30 \Omega_s \) in this graph, so that—as will be discussed below—population is removed from the motional mode at a rate that is large enough to reach convergence (see Fig. 3). Here it can be seen that for values of \( \Gamma \gtrsim 75 \Omega_s \),

![FIG. 2. Comparison between simulations of the original N particle system exhibiting superradiance [23], and the analogue quantum simulator described in this work. For the calculation that uses the original equations (dotted lines), we show the photon emission rate, \( \gamma \), of the entire system normalized by the single atom decay rate, \( \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \), and the number, \( N \), of particles \( \gamma' \equiv \gamma / N \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \). For the calculation of our trapped-ion system (solid lines), we show \( \gamma' \) from the auxiliary ion for an N qubit system. This shows that after an initial ramp up time (see inset), our protocol converges to where it gives results that are identical to Dicke superradiance.](image-url)
also shows that while the \( \Gamma = 10\Omega_s \) atom decay rates of \( \Gamma = 400\Omega_s \) is no longer valid for this calculation. (b) For both auxiliary relations for larger values of \( \Gamma \), it actually radiates slower, this the same values of \( \Omega^2 \). (solid) and \( \Gamma = 800\Omega_s \) falls on the same line for \( \Omega^2 = 20\Omega_s \), reaching convergence when \( \Omega^2/\Gamma \gtrsim 20\Omega_s \).

The trapped-ion system we describe in this work can mimic the dynamics of subradiance as well. Since subradiance is a significantly harder effect to experimentally

\[
\dot{c}_2 = -i\Omega \delta_1 - \frac{\Gamma}{2}c_2.
\]

Setting the left hand side to 0, we get an effective decay rate out of \( c_1 \) of \( 4\Omega^2/\Gamma \); this means that, at quasi-equilibrium, the value of \( \Omega^2/\Gamma \) must be large compared to \( \Omega_s \), so that the transfer of population from the motional mode to the quickly radiating state is fast enough for the former to act as a lossy cavity. This is shown in Fig. 3b, where we can see that our calculations converge to Dicke superradiance only for values of \( \Omega^2/\Gamma \gtrsim 20\Omega_s \); for larger values of \( \Omega^2/\Gamma \), except for Rabi oscillations at small \( t \), we find that the system converges. Figure 3a also shows that when \( \Gamma \gg \Omega_s \), the dynamics of the system is entirely dictated by the value of \( \Omega^2/\Gamma \). This is here seen in the fact that calculations for systems such that \( \Gamma = 200\Omega_s \) and \( \Gamma = 400\Omega_s \) fall on the same lines, reaching convergence when \( \Omega^2/\Gamma \gtrsim 20\Omega_s \).

In terms of measuring the properties of our superradiant system, one could directly measure the photon emission from the auxiliary ion. Which, for illustrative purposes, we have shown for the figures in this section. Depending on the particular experiment, however, it may be easier to directly measure the internal states of the qubits using standard techniques [1]. This will be particularly useful in when measuring systems that do not radiate strongly, as discussed in the next subsection.

**B. Subradiance**

![Diagram showing fidelity vs. time for different values of \( \phi \) and \( \Omega_a \).](image)

**FIG. 4.** Fidelity, \( \mathcal{F} \), versus time, \( t \), of the trapped-ion system where the qubits are initialized to a state, \( 2^{-1/2}(|1\rangle + e^{i\phi} |\downarrow\rangle) \langle g | 0 \rangle \). This illustrates how the state that the system is initialized to determines the decay of the system; the ion chain can thus act as simulator of a superradiant, \( \phi = 0 \), and subradiant \( \phi = \pi \) system.
probe (due to its diminished emission) \[\Gamma\], this simulation offers a unique opportunity to probe subradiant effects; due to the exceptional readout capabilities of trapped-ions \[1\], one could generate a system that behaves in a subradiant manner, and probe it by simply measuring the internal states of the qubits.

In order to demonstrate the capacity of our system to simulate subradiance, Fig. 4 shows the fidelity, \(F \equiv \langle \psi_0 | \hat{\rho} | \psi_0 \rangle\), versus \(\Gamma_{eff}t\) for a system placed in the initial state:

\[
|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + e^{i\phi} |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \right\} |g\rangle |0\rangle,
\]

which can be created using standard gate techniques \[6, 7, 11, 12\]. Note that, here, we simulate the system in the original qubit basis, see Eq. \(2\), since \(|\psi_0\rangle\) is outside of the the described \(|\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle\) Hilbert space. Here we change the value of \(\phi\) to vary the state from superradiant (\(\phi = 0\)), where the state decays at twice the single particle decay rate \(F(t) = \exp\{-2\Gamma_{eff}t\}\) to subradiant (\(\phi = \pi\), where the initial state does not decay at all \(F(t) = 1\)). Even though, in the (idealized) latter case, the auxiliary ion does not emit any radiation, this effect should be observable through the direct measurement of the qubits.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this work, we showed that a trapped-ion chain can be made to exhibit Dicke superradiance and subradiance, in a manner that converges to the exact results from the original system. This offers the opportunity to study this well-known phenomena in a way that allows experimentalists to control the effective single atom decay rate, \(\Gamma_{eff}\), by adjusting the field amplitudes of the system. One could even stop the decay of the system altogether, at any time during the simulation, and probe any of its particles. For illustrative purposes, we have focused on the simulation of the system originally envisioned by Dicke in Ref. \[23\], since its symmetries allow us to compare our analogue to the exact answer. Going forward, however, the ideas laid out in this work could be combined with existing quantum simulation techniques that have successfully used trapped-ion spin-motion coupling to create analogue quantum simulations of other interesting systems \[2, 17, 18, 20–22, 52\]. Because this system requires constant sympathetic cooling on a particular mode, this will likely require two motional modes: one that is used to simulate coherent emission, and one that is used to simulate effective spin-spin interactions. We expect that the protocol and parameter regime described here could be carried out in a typical trapped-ion experimental setup. Finally, with various theoretical and technical advances, it could be used to explore the physics of systems not easily probed experimentally or simulated on a classical computer.
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