

High-order strong methods for stochastic differential equations with colored noises

Shuanglin Sun

School of Business, Ludong University, Shandong 264025, China

Yun-An Yan^{*}

School of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering,

Ludong University, Shandong 264025, China

The key difficulty to develop efficient high-order methods for integrating stochastic differential equations lies in the calculations of the multiple stochastic integrals. This letter suggests a scheme to compute the stochastic integrals for the colored noises based on the white noise representation. The multiple stochastic integrals involving one and two stationary noises can be conveniently generated together with noises using the discrete Fourier transformation. Based on the calculated stochastic integrals, we obtain simple fourth-order and third-order strong methods for equations with a single and multiple noises, respectively. Numerical tests verify the accuracy of the suggested methods.

Keywords: Stochastic differential equations, Strong stochastic methods, Quantum dissipative dynamics, Magnus expansion, Colored noises

^{*} yunan@ldu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider the initial value problems with the linear stochastic differential equations (SDEs)

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}(t)}{dt} = A\mathbf{X}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^K B_j\mathbf{X}(t)\xi_j(t) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is an M -dimensional state vector, A and B_j are $M \times M$ matrices, K is the number of noises, and $\xi_j(t)$ are stationary colored Gaussian noises with zero means $\langle \xi_j(t) \rangle = 0$ and two-time correlation functions $\langle \xi_j(t)\xi_k(s) \rangle = \alpha_{jk}(t-s)$. Here we focus on the noises with continuous correlation functions $\alpha_{jk}(t)$.

Linear SDEs arise in simulating the dynamics of social or physical systems perturbed by noises. For open physical systems, the dissipative dynamics is often described by system-plus-environment model and the effect of the environment on the evolution of the system can be characterized by noises. With such a paradigm, the stochastic approach becomes a powerful tool to study quantum dissipative dynamics as well as quantum measurements [1, 2]. Various stochastic methods are available in the literature, such as the stochastic Liouville equation [3–5] and the stochastic Schrödinger’s equation [6–10]. As most stochastic simulations focused on dynamics of bosonic systems, the stochastic description was recently extended to fermionic quantum dissipation [11]. For social systems, linear SDEs are used to simulate the stock price in the Black-Scholes model and become the basis for mathematical finance [12].

Due to the wide applications and the importance of SDEs, it has attracted extensive attentions to develop efficient numerical integrators for stochastic equations. However, high-order integrators have to deal with the multiple stochastic integrals and become more sophisticated than its deterministic counterpart. To reduce the complexity in stochastic integration, the average-converged weak methods were suggested as useful alternatives to the pathwise strong methods [13–16]. With the Brownian path, one can also develop variable step-size approaches [17–20]. In order to obtain simpler integrators, the idea of Runge-Kutta methods originally designed for ordinary differential equations were also applied to the stochastic cases [21–25].

For linear SDEs, the linearity allows us to develop more efficient and yet simpler integrators. For example, while strong order 1 Milstein method [26] is widely used and high strong order integrators become sophisticated for general SDEs [22], high strong order schemes for

linear equations are much simpler based on the Magnus formula [27, 28]. When the noise is of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type, we can even derive efficient, deterministic hierarchical approaches to solve the stochastic average $\langle \mathbf{X}(t) \rangle$ [29, 30].

In simulating physical systems, one often needs to use colored noise to represent the effect of the environment [3–5, 7–10]. In this case, one can of course use the integrators designed for the white noises to simulate the dynamics. But more efficient schemes could be developed by utilizing the noise correlation. For example, Milshtein and Tret'yakov suggested a 5/2 strong order method for colored noises [31]. Honeycutt even put forward a fourth-order strong method for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck noises [32].

In this letter, we take advantage of the correlation for the colored noises and suggest an efficient way to calculate the multiple stochastic integrals with the discrete Fourier transformation. The current approach results in a simple third-order strong integrator for SDEs with multiple colored noises and a fourth-order method for SDEs with a single noise.

II. CALCULATIONS OF MULTIPLE STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS FOR COLORED NOISES

For simplicity, we extend lower bound of the summation in Eq. (1) to zero and rewrite the equation as $\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{j=0}^K B_j \mathbf{X}(t) \xi_j(t)$ so that $B_0 \equiv A$ and $\xi_0(t) = 1$. In numerical simulations, we normally discretize the time interval $[0, T_f]$ with a uniform grid $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$ where N is the number of time steps, Δt is the step size, and $t_j = j\Delta t$. For linear SDEs, one can employ the Magnus expansion to find an approximation for $\mathbf{X}(t + \Delta t)$ based on $\mathbf{X}(t)$ [27, 33],

$$\mathbf{X}(t + \Delta t) = e^{\Omega(t, \Delta t)} \mathbf{X}(t). \quad (2)$$

Up to the third order, $\Omega(t, \Delta t)$ reads

$$\Omega(t, \Delta t) = \sum_j B_j J_j(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} [B_j, B_k] K_{kj}(t) + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j, k < l} [B_j, [B_k, B_l]] K_{lkj}(t), \quad (3)$$

where J s represents the multiple stochastic integral,

$$J_j(t) = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt_1 \xi_j(t_1), \quad (4)$$

$$J_{jk}(t) = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt_1 \xi_k(t_1) \int_t^{t_1} dt_2 \xi_j(t_2), \quad (5)$$

$$J_{jkl}(t) = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt_1 \xi_l(t_1) \int_t^{t_1} dt_2 \xi_k(t_2) \int_t^{t_2} dt_3 \xi_j(t_3), \quad (6)$$

and

$$K_{kj}(t) = J_{kj}(t) - J_{jk}(t), \quad (7)$$

$$K_{lkj}(t) = J_{lkj}(t) - J_{jlk}(t) - J_{klj}(t) + J_{jkl}(t). \quad (8)$$

Note that for white noises, one should be cautious about the calculations of multiple stochastic integrals because the Itô integration and the Stratonovich integration will yield different results. But here these two methods will produce the same results since $\xi_j(t)$ are continuous functions of time.

The stationary colored noises can be represented with white noises, that is,

$$\xi_j(t) = \Re \int dW(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \Gamma_j(\omega), \quad (9)$$

where $W(\omega)$ is a Wiener process in the frequency domain. In this letter, the integration range is always $[-\infty, \infty]$ with respect to the Wiener process $W(\omega)$ and omitted in the expression. One may verify that $\xi_j(t)$ satisfies the desired correlations if $\Gamma_j(\omega)\Gamma_k^*(\omega)$ is the inverse Fourier transformation of $\alpha_{jk}(t)$. In practice, the circulant embedding method of the correlations may be used to solve the discretized approximation for $\Gamma_j(\omega)$ [34, 35].

With the noise representation, one can directly calculate the multiple integrals involved in Eq. (2). In a third-order simulation, J_j and J_{jk} are all the needed multiple stochastic integrals, and can be calculated with the white noise representation of $\xi_j(t)$ for $j \neq 0$,

$$J_j(t) = \Im \int dW(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\Gamma_j(\omega)}{\omega} [1 - e^{-i\omega \Delta t}], \quad (10)$$

$$K_{j0}(t) = \Re \int dW(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\Gamma_j(\omega)}{\omega^2} [2 - i\omega \Delta t - e^{-i\omega \Delta t} (2 + i\omega \Delta t)], \quad (11)$$

$$K_{jk}(t) = \Re \int dW(\omega_1) \int dW(\omega_2) \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \Gamma_j(\omega_1) \times e^{-i\omega_1 t} \left[\mathcal{H}(\omega_1 \Delta t, \omega_2 \Delta t) \Gamma_k(\omega_2) e^{-i\omega_2 t} + \mathcal{H}(\omega_1 \Delta t, -\omega_2 \Delta t) \Gamma_k(-\omega_2) e^{i\omega_2 t} \right], \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is the kernel

$$\mathcal{H}(x, y) = \frac{e^{-i(x+y)}}{xy(x+y)} \left[x(1 + e^{ix})(1 - e^{iy}) - y(1 - e^{ix})(1 + e^{iy}) \right]. \quad (13)$$

In numerical simulations, we usually employ the discrete Fourier transformation to calculate the integrals. Then the computational effort will be $O(N^2 \log(N))$ for a straightforward

implementation of Eq. (12). To reduce the computational effort in noise generation, we approximate $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ with a degenerate kernel,

$$\mathcal{H}(x, y) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{N_f-1} \epsilon_j \psi_j(x) \varphi_j(y), \quad (14)$$

where N_f is the number of terms for the approximation, ϵ_j , $\psi_j(x)$ and $\varphi_j(y)$ are the eigenvalues, the left and right eigenfunctions of the kernel, respectively. Note that here the vector elements are counted from 0. The degenerate kernel can be obtained with a singular value decomposition after discretizing $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$. As Fig. (1) shows, a six-term kernel can well approximate $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ and the maximum absolute error will be as low as the order of 10^{-12} . With such a degenerate kernel approximation, the computational effort for producing $J_{jk} - J_{kj}$ is only six times of that for the noise.

Note that $K_{lkj}(t)$ is of the fourth order with respect to Δt^4 . We thus can construct a third-order strong method for SDEs with colored noises with a second-order Magnus expansion. For SDEs with a single noise, the $O(\Delta t^4)$ multiple stochastic integrals are $K_{100}(t)$ and $K_{101}(t)$, which can also be calculated with Eq. (9). The result for $K_{100}(t)$ reads

$$K_{100}(t) = \Re \int dW(\omega) \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{2\omega^3} [6\omega\Delta t(1 + e^{-i\omega\Delta t}) + i(12 - \omega^2\Delta t^2)(1 - e^{-i\omega\Delta t})] \Gamma_j(\omega). \quad (15)$$

The expression for $K_{101}(t)$ assume the same form as Eq. (12) for $K_{jk}(t)$, but with the kernel $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ replaced by $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)\Delta t$. The kernel $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x, y) = \frac{e^{-i(x+y)}}{x^2 y^2 (x+y)^2} \Big\{ [e^{iy}(i+y) - i + e^{ix}(y-i + ie^{iy})] x^3 - 3i(1 - e^{ix})(1 + e^{iy})xy^2 \\ + [1 + 2e^{iy} + e^{ix}(2 + e^{iy})] x^2 y^2 + [(1 + e^{iy})(-2i + x + e^{ix}(2i + x))] y^3 \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Again, the kernel $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)$ can be approximated with a degenerate one to reduce the computational efforts.

Upon the calculated stochastic integrals, Eq. (2) is transformed into an ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}(s)}{ds} = \mathcal{L}(t)\mathbf{X}(s) \quad (17)$$

for $t \leq s \leq t + \Delta t$. Note that the matrix $\mathcal{L}(t) = \Omega(t, \Delta t)/\Delta t$ is constant in the time interval $[t, t + \Delta t]$ so that Eq. (17) can be integrated with the low-storage Runge-Kutta methods specifically designed for autonomous linear equations [36]. We thus obtain a third-order strong method for multiple-noise SDEs and a fourth-order strong method for single-noise SDEs.




FIG. 1. The first six eigenfunctions $\varphi_j(x)$ and $\psi_j(x)$ from the singular value decomposition of $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ after discretized with a 1024-point grid. The real and imaginary parts of the functions are displayed in purple and green, respectively. The eigenvalues ϵ_0 - ϵ_5 are 2.475×10^2 , 2.476×10^2 , 2.680×10^{-1} , 2.680×10^{-1} , 1.746×10^{-5} , and 1.746×10^{-5} , respectively. The next eigenvalue $\epsilon_6 = 2.435 \times 10^{-10}$ is five order smaller than ϵ_5 .

III. NUMERICAL TESTS AND DISCUSSION

We will discuss the performance of the stochastic integrators with two uncorrelated, colored noises $\xi_1(t)$ and $\xi_2(t)$ satisfying the correlation $\alpha_{11}(t) = 2e^{-\pi t/2}$, $\alpha_{22}(t) = 5e^{-\pi t/\sqrt{2}}$ and $\alpha_{12}(t) = 0$.

The first numerical example is the stochastically-driven quantum harmonic oscillator with $A = -i\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}$ and $B_1 = -i\hat{a}^\dagger$ in Eq. (1). Here $\hat{a}^\dagger(\hat{a})$ is the creation (annihilation) operator of the oscillator. The dynamics is propagated with the third-order method for the lowest 20 levels with the initial condition $X_0(0) = 1$ and $X_j(0) = 0$ ($j \neq 0$). This model is analytically solvable and the solutions are $X_n(t) = e^{-in(t+\frac{\pi}{2})}L_1^n(t, 0)/\sqrt{n!}$, where $L_1(t, s) = \int_s^t d\tau \exp(i\tau)\xi_1(\tau)$. The function $L_1(t+\Delta t, t)$ may be generated together with the noise $\xi_1(t)$




FIG. 2. Errors for the stochastically-driven quantum harmonic oscillator with the third-order method. Bullets: the absolute errors of the amplitude in the first excited state; Squares: the absolute errors of the amplitude in the second excited state; Circles with dot: the absolute errors in the identity $X_2(t) - X_1^2(t)/\sqrt{2}$. The line with slope 3.5 is shown for guide of eye.




FIG. 3. Errors for the two-state system driven by a single noise simulated with the third-order (a) and the fourth-order (b) methods. Bullets: the absolute errors for X_0 ; Squares: the absolute errors for X_1 . Lines with slope 3 and 4 are also shown for guide of eye.




FIG. 4. Errors for the two-state system perturbed by two noises. Squares: the absolute errors for X_0 ; Circles with dot: the absolute errors for X_1 . The line with slope 3.2 is shown for guide of eye.

and the multiple stochastic integrals, that is,

$$L_1(t + \Delta t, t) = \Re \int dW(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\Gamma_1(\omega)}{\omega^2 - 1} \left\{ -i\omega + [i\omega \cos(\Delta t) - \sin(\Delta t)] e^{-i\omega \Delta t} \right\} \\ - i\Re \int dW(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\Gamma_1(\omega)}{\omega^2 - 1} \left\{ [\cos(\Delta t) + i\omega \sin(\Delta t) - 1] e^{-i\omega \Delta t} \right\}. \quad (18)$$

We would like to stress that the same white noise $W(\omega)$ should be used to calculate the stochastic integrals in Eqs. (10)-(12) and (18). Note that with the spirit of Eq. (18) we can apply the current method to propagate time-dependent SDEs.

The stochastic equation is integrated to the final time $T_f = 2\pi$ with 2^n ($1 \leq n \leq 18$) steps using the same stochastic trajectory. This task can be done in a recursive manner. First, a 2^{18} -step propagation is performed with the stochastic integrals J_1 , K_{10} and L_1 generated from the discrete Fourier transformation. Then the step size is doubled and stochastic integrals with 2^{n-1} steps are calculated based on those with 2^n steps. The matrix exponential function in Eq. (2) is calculated with the fourth-order low-storage Runge-Kutta method [36].

We employ two methods to analyze the errors for $X_1(T_f)$ and $X_2(T_f)$. First, the results

with the smallest step size are used as the reference. Second, the identity $X_2(t) = X_1^2(t)/\sqrt{2}$ for the solutions is utilized to verify the results. The errors are depicted in Fig. 2, which clearly shows the errors are of $O(\Delta t^{3.5})$.

The second example is the simplest non-commutative two-state system with $A = -i\sigma_z/2$, $B_1 = (\sigma_x + i\sigma_y)/2$ and the initial condition $\mathbf{X}(0) = (0, 1)^T$. Here σ_x , σ_y and σ_z are Pauli matrices. This model is analytically solvable and the solutions are $X_0(t) = e^{-it/2}L_1(t, 0)$ and $X_1(t) = e^{it/2}$. Fig. (3) illustrates the errors for the two-state model with different step sizes. Because the evolution of $X_1(t)$ in this model is deterministic, its accuracy is controlled solely by the method to calculate the matrix exponential. The plots show that the errors are $O(\Delta t^4)$ as it should for a fourth-order method in calculating the matrix exponential. Different from X_1 , the propagation of $X_0(t)$ depends on the stochastic integrals, whose errors change with the order of stochastic integrator. Here we display the results with and without incorporating the triple stochastic integrals and the orders are four and three, respectively, which are the expected results.

The last example is a two-state system driven by two noises, whose dynamics is described by $A = i\sigma_z$, $B_1 = i\sigma_x$, and $B_2 = i\sigma_y$. For such a model, a fourth-order integrator is complicated and the third-order strong method is used to propagate the system with the initial state $\mathbf{X}(0) = (1, 0)^T$. This model is not analytically solvable and the results with 2^{18} steps will serve as the reference. The errors with different step sizes are presented in Fig. 4, which shows that the errors approximately scale as $O(\Delta t^{3.2})$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Magnus expansion is a useful tool to develop simple and efficient strong methods for linear stochastic equations and then the key step is the calculations of multiple stochastic integrals. Here we have suggested a method to generate the multiple stochastic integrals for colored noises based on the white noise representation. The integrals J_k , $2J_{010} - J_{001} - J_{100}$, $J_{jk} - J_{kj}$, and $2J_{101} - J_{110} - J_{011}$ can be conveniently calculated with discrete Fourier transformation. While the calculations of the first two integrals are straightforward, the last two integrals should be handled with degenerate kernel approximation to reduce computational efforts. Once the multiple integrals are calculated, the matrix exponential function resulted from the

Magnus expansion can be evaluated with Runge-Kutta methods. The above procedure gives a fourth-order strong method for single-noise SDEs and a third-order one for multiple-noise SDEs.

The current approach is simple and easy to implement. Furthermore, although the method is derived for autonomous linear stochastic differential equations, it can be readily extended to general equations as well.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 21373064.

-
- [1] N. Gisin and I. C. Percival, The quantum-state diffusion model applied to open systems, [J. Phys. A **25**, 5677 \(1992\)](#).
 - [2] H. Carmichael, *An Open Systems Approach to Quantum Optics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993).
 - [3] J. T. Stockburger and C. H. Mak, Dynamical simulation of current fluctuations in a dissipative two-state system, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2657 \(1998\)](#).
 - [4] J. Shao, Decoupling quantum dissipation interaction via stochastic fields, [J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 5053 \(2004\)](#).
 - [5] Y.-A. Yan and Y. Zhou, Hermitian non-Markovian stochastic master equations for quantum dissipative dynamics, [Phys. Rev. A **92**, 022121 \(2015\)](#).
 - [6] J. Cao, L. W. Ungar, and G. A. Voth, A novel method for simulating quantum dissipative systems, [J. Chem. Phys. **104**, 4189 \(1996\)](#).
 - [7] W. T. Strunz, Linear quantum state diffusion for non-Markovian open quantum systems, [Phys. Lett. A **224**, 25 \(1997\)](#).
 - [8] W. T. Strunz, L. Diósi, and N. Gisin, Open system dynamics with non-Markovian quantum trajectories, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1801 \(1999\)](#).
 - [9] J. Jing, R. Li, J. Q. You, and T. Yu, Nonperturbative stochastic dynamics driven by strongly correlated colored noise, [Phys. Rev. A **91**, 022109 \(2015\)](#).

- [10] Y. Ke and Y. Zhao, Hierarchy of forward-backward stochastic Schrödinger equation, *J. Chem. Phys.* **145**, 024101 (2016).
- [11] L. Han, V. Chernyak, Y.-A. Yan, X. Zheng, and Y. Yan, Stochastic representation of non-markovian fermionic quantum dissipation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 050601 (2019).
- [12] D. Lamberton and B. Lapeyre, *Introduction to stochastic calculus applied to finance* (CRC, 1996).
- [13] P. E. Kloeden and E. Platen, Higher-order implicit strong numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations, *J. Stat. Phys.* **66**, 283 (1992).
- [14] P. Kloeden and E. Platen, A survey of numerical methods for stochastic differential equations, *Stoch. Hydrol. Hydraul.* **3**, 155 (1989).
- [15] P. E. Kloeden, The systematic derivation of higher order numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations, *Milan J. Math.* **70**, 187 (2002).
- [16] A. Abdulle and S. Cirilli, S-rock: Chebyshev methods for stiff stochastic differential equations, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **30**, 997 (2008).
- [17] J. G. Gaines and T. J. Lyons, Variable step size control in the numerical solution of stochastic differential equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **57**, 1455 (1997).
- [18] S. Mauthner, Step size control in the numerical solution of stochastic differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **100**, 93 (1998).
- [19] P. Burrage, R. Herdiana, and K. Burrage, Adaptive stepsize based on control theory for stochastic differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **170**, 317 (2004).
- [20] S. Ilie, K. R. Jackson, and W. H. Enright, Adaptive time-stepping for the strong numerical solution of stochastic differential equations, *Numer. Algorithms* **68**, 791 (2015).
- [21] A. Rößler, Runge-Kutta methods for the strong approximation of solutions of stochastic differential equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **48**, 922 (2010).
- [22] K. Burrage, P. M. Burrage, and T. Tian, Numerical methods for strong solutions of stochastic differential equations: an overview, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A* **460**, 373 (2004).
- [23] P. Wang, Three-stage stochastic rungekutta methods for stochastic differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **222**, 324 (2008).
- [24] A. F. Bastani and S. M. Hosseini, A new adaptive Runge-Kutta method for stochastic differential equations, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **206**, 631 (2007).

- [25] A. Xiao and X. Tang, High strong order stochastic runge-kutta methods for stratonovich stochastic differential equations with scalar noise, [Numer. Algorithms](#) **72**, 259 (2016).
- [26] G. N. Mil'shtein, Approximate integration of stochastic differential equations, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **19**, 583 (1974).
- [27] K. Burrage and P. Burrage, High strong order methods for non-commutative stochastic ordinary differential equation systems and the Magnus formula, [Physica D](#) **133**, 34 (1999).
- [28] G. Lord, S. Malham, and A. Wiese, Efficient strong integrators for linear stochastic systems, [SIAM J. Numer. Anal.](#) **46**, 2892 (2008).
- [29] V. Shapiro and V. Loginov, Formulae of differentiation and their use for solving stochastic equations, [Physica A](#) **91**, 563 (1978).
- [30] Y.-A. Yan, F. Yang, Y. Liu, and J. Shao, Hierarchical approach based on stochastic decoupling to dissipative systems, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](#) **395**, 216 (2004).
- [31] G. Milshtein and M. Tret'yakov, Numerical solution of differential equations with colored noise, [J. Stat. Phys.](#) **77**, 691 (1994).
- [32] R. L. Honeycutt, Stochastic Runge-Kutta algorithms. ii. colored noise, [Phys. Rev. A](#) **45**, 604 (1992).
- [33] W. Magnus, On the exponential solution of differential equations for a linear operator, [Commun. Pure Appl. Math.](#) **7**, 649 (1954).
- [34] G. Chan and A. T. A. Wood, Simulation of stationary Gaussian vector fields, [Stat. Comp.](#) **9**, 265 (1999).
- [35] Y.-A. Yan and J. Shao, Stochastic description of quantum Brownian dynamics, [Front. Phys.](#) **11**, 110309 (2016).
- [36] Y.-A. Yan, Low-storage Runge-Kutta method for simulating time-dependent quantum dynamics, [Chin. J. Chem. Phys.](#) **30**, 277 (2017).