

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF THE SCAN STATISTIC FOR EMPIRICAL DISTRIBUTIONS

Andrew Ying

Wen-Xin Zhou

Abstract

We investigate the asymptotic behavior of several variants of the scan statistic applied to empirical distributions, which can be applied to detect the presence of an anomalous interval with any length. Of particular interest is Studentized scan statistic that is preferable in practice. The main ingredients in the proof are Kolmogorov's theorem, a Poisson approximation, and recent technical results by Kabluchko and Wang [25].

1 Introduction

The study of the scan statistics dates back¹ to Naus [33], who derived the probability that an interval of a certain length contains a certain fraction of independent and identically distributed (iid) samples from the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$. Specifically, let $U_1, \dots, U_n$ be iid random variables from $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$ with empirical cumulative distribution function (CDF) denoted by F_n , and let h be the length of the underlying interval of interest. Naus [33] studied the distribution of

$$\sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} F_n(a+h) - F_n(a). \quad (1)$$

The scan statistics of the uniform empirical distributions can be used to detect elevated signal relative to any continuous null distribution, after an appropriate inverse CDF transformation. Knowing this distribution (1) is essential to calibrating the scan statistic in the context of detecting, in a uniform background, the presence of an interval of a certain length with an unusually high density of points. This is considered today a quintessential detection problem, with applications in the detection of disease clusters [7] and syndromic surveillance [20], among many others [15–18].

In practice, even in the simplest case where only a single anomalous interval may be present, the length of that interval is almost always unknown. In that case, it is natural to consider intervals of various lengths, but standardize the counts, leading to

$$\sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} \sup_{h_- \leq h \leq h_+} \frac{\sqrt{n}(F_n(a+h) - F_n(a) - h)}{\sqrt{h(1-h)}}. \quad (2)$$

This can be seen to approximate the likelihood ratio test [28]. The parameters h_- and h_+ limit the search to intervals that are neither too short and nor too large. The main goal of this paper is to derive the asymptotic (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) distribution of (2) along with its studentized counterpart

$$\frac{\sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} \sup_{h_- \leq F_n(a+h) - F_n(a) \leq h_+} \frac{\sqrt{n}(F_n(a+h) - F_n(a) - h)}{\sqrt{(F_n(a+h) - F_n(a))(1 - F_n(a+h) + F_n(a))}}}{\sqrt{h(1-h)}}. \quad (3)$$

All authors are with the Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego, USA. Contact information is available here and here.

¹ Naus himself cites even earlier work in the 1940's by Silberstein [40], Berg [5], and Mack [29].

Remark 1. From the four theorems in Section 2, one finds out that relatively small scale h dominates in (2) and (3). There are works that apply scale corrections to the scan [12, 27, 37]. Under the scale corrections, one scale no longer dominates.

1.1 Related work: point processes

In one of the most celebrated results in what is now the empirical process literature, Kolmogorov [26] derived the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n} \sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} (F_n(a) - a)$. This is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic, and it can be seen as scanning over intervals of the form $[0, a]$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$.

For similar reasons that motivated the introduction of the normalized scan statistic (2) as an improvement over the unnormalized one (1), Anderson and Darling [2] introduced and studied normalized variants of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic, some of them of the form $\sqrt{n} \sup_a (F_n(a) - a) \sqrt{\psi(a)}$, where ψ is a given weight function. The choice $\psi(a) = [a(1-a)]^{-1}$ is particularly compelling, leading to the statistic

$$\sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} \frac{\sqrt{n}(F_n(a) - a)}{\sqrt{a(1-a)}}. \quad (4)$$

Eicker [13] and Jaeschke [21] obtained the limiting distributions of this statistic, its variants of the form

$$V_n = \sup_{\epsilon_n \leq a \leq \delta_n} \frac{\sqrt{n}(F_n(a) - a)}{\sqrt{a(1-a)}}, \quad (5)$$

and its Studentized counterpart

$$\hat{V}_n = \sup_{\epsilon_n \leq a \leq \delta_n} \frac{\sqrt{n}(F_n(a) - a)}{\sqrt{F_n(a)(1 - F_n(a))}}, \quad (6)$$

for some given $0 \leq \epsilon_n \leq \delta_n \leq 1$. We note that these statistics can be directly expressed in terms of the order statistics, $U_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq U_{(n)}$, which when $\epsilon_n = 0$ and $\delta_n = 1$, is as follows

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{i - nU_{(i)}}{\sqrt{nU_{(i)}(1 - U_{(i)})}}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq i < n} \frac{i - nU_{(i)}}{\sqrt{i(1 - \frac{i}{n})}}, \quad (8)$$

respectively.

Berk and Jones [6] proposed to directly look at each order statistic individually, combining the resulting tests using Tippett's method, leading to

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} B(U_{(i)}; i, n - i + 1), \quad (9)$$

with $B(\cdot; a, b)$ denoting the distribution function of the Beta(a, b) distribution. Moscovich et al. [32] and Gontscharuk and Finner [19] derived the asymptotic distribution of this statistic. Other goodness-of-fit tests include the reversed Berk-Jones statistic [22] and Phi-divergence tests [23], etc.

We note that the two-sided version of the above-mentioned tests have been considered and studied.

1.2 Related work: signals

Closely related to the work above is the setting where, instead of observing a point cloud, one observes a signal. The simplest situation is that of a one-dimensional signal defined on a regular lattice, that is, of the form $X_1, \dots, X_n$. The null situation is when these are iid from some underlying distribution on the real line, for example, the standard normal distribution. By writing

$$R_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-k} \{S_{i+k} - S_i\}, \quad k = \lfloor c \log n \rfloor, \quad c > 0, \quad (10)$$

Erdős and Rényi [14] investigated the strong limit of $R_n/(\alpha k)$ when X_1 has a finite moment generating function around a neighborhood of zero. Deheuvels et al. [11] studied $\limsup$ and $\liminf$ of $(R_n - \alpha k)/\log k$. When the goal is to detect an interval where the observations are unusually large, and the length of the (discrete) interval is unknown, it becomes of interest to study the following scan statistic

$$Z_n = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{S_j - S_i}{\sqrt{j-i}}, \quad (11)$$

where $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$.

The study of such statistics dates back to the work of Darling and Erdős [10], who derived the limiting distribution of

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{S_j}{\sqrt{j}}, \quad (12)$$

which can be seen as scanning intervals of the form $\{1, \dots, j\}$.

Siegmund and Venkatraman [39] provided the limiting distribution of the statistic (11) under the assumption that the X_i 's are iid normal. This study was extended by Mikosch and Račkauskas [31] to the case where the underlying distribution is heavy-tailed, and by Kabluchko and Wang [25] when the underlying distribution has finite moment generating function in a neighborhood of the origin. Kabluchko [24] generalized the result to the multivariate setting where the variables are indexed by a multi-dimensional lattice; see also [27, 37]. Proksch et al. [35] studied more general scanning procedures motivated within the framework of inverse problems.

There is a parallel literature for continuous processes, where one observes instead $X_t, t \in [0, 1]$ (in dimension 1). See, for example, Aldous [1], Qualls and Watanabe [36] and Chan and Lai [8].

1.3 Related work: Lipschitz-1/2 modulus of the uniform empirical process

The results of Mason et al. [30] on the Lipschitz-1/2 modulus of the uniform empirical process, defined by

$$\sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1-h} \sup_{t \leq h \leq 1} \frac{\sqrt{n}|F_n(a+h) - F_n(a) - h|}{\sqrt{h}}, \quad (13)$$

are most closely related to the present results. They proved strong limit theorems for (13) with $t = t_n \rightarrow 0$ at various rates. We refer to Shorack and Wellner [38, Chapter 14.2] for a review.

1.4 Content

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We state our main results in Section 2, where we provide asymptotic distributions of some scan statistics and their variants. The proofs are provided in Section 3.

2 Main results

Recall that $U_1, \dots, U_n$ are iid from the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$, and that $U_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq U_{(n)}$ denote the order statistics. (Whenever needed, we write $U_{(0)} \equiv 0$ and $U_{(n+1)} \equiv 1$.)

2.1 Studentized scan statistics

We derive the asymptotics for (3) before (2) for convenience of the proof. As we did earlier, we may rewrite (3) directly in terms of the order statistics, in the form of

$$M_n^+(k, l) = \max_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k \leq j-i < l} M_{i,j}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$M_{i,j} = \frac{j-i-n(U_{(j)}-U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{(j-i)(1-\frac{j-i}{n})}}. \quad (15)$$

We will be particularly interested in the following special case

$$M_n^+ := M_n^+(1, n), \quad (16)$$

which is the analog of (8). Not surprisingly, the limiting distribution is an extreme value distribution, specifically, a Gumbel distribution. Indeed, we have the following.

Theorem 1. *For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ M_n^+ \leq \sqrt{2 \log n} - \frac{3 \log \log n}{2\sqrt{2 \log n}} + \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} \right\} = \exp(-c \exp(-\tau)), \quad (17)$$

where $c = \frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}}$.

Similarly, define the opposite one-sided statistics

$$M_n^-(k, l) = - \min_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k \leq j-i \leq l} M_{i,j}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$M_n^- := M_n^-(1, n). \quad (19)$$

Finally, define the two-sided statistics

$$M_n(k, l) = \max\{M_n^+(k, l), M_n^-(k, l)\} = \max_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k \leq j-i < l} |M_{i,j}|, \quad (20)$$

and

$$M_n := M_n(1, n) = \max\{M_n^+, M_n^-\}. \quad (21)$$

For these statistics too, the limiting distribution is a Gumbel distribution, but what is surprising here is that these statistics do not behave the same way as M_n^+ . In particular, $M_n^- = (1 + o_P(1)) \log n$, and therefore dominates M_n^+ in the large-sample limit, implying that $M_n = M_n^-$ with probability tending to 1. Indeed, we have the following.

Theorem 2. *For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ M_n^- \leq \log n + \tau \} = \exp(-\exp(1 - \tau)). \quad (22)$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ M_n = M_n^- \} = 1. \quad (23)$$

2.2 Standardized scan statistics

We also examine the large-sample behavior of standardized scan statistics (2). Following the same way as rewriting (3) before. Define

$$\tilde{M}_n^+(k, l) := \max_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k \leq j-i \leq l} \tilde{M}_{i,j}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_{i,j} := \frac{j - i - n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})(1 - U_{(j)} + U_{(i)})}}. \quad (25)$$

Note that

$$\tilde{M}_n^+ := \tilde{M}_n^+(1, n), \quad (26)$$

is the analog of (7).

The behavior of $\tilde{M}_n^+$ turns out to be very different from that of its studentized analog M_n^+ . However, we recover a similar behavior if we appropriately bound the length of the scanning interval from below.

Theorem 3. *For any $\tau > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \tilde{M}_n^+ \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{\tau}} \right\} = \exp(-\tau). \quad (27)$$

Moreover, for any $A > 0$, defining $k_n = \lceil A(\log n)^3 \rceil$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \tilde{M}_n^+(k_n, n) \leq \sqrt{2 \log n} - \frac{3 \log \log n}{2\sqrt{2 \log n}} + \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} \right\} = \exp(-c_A \exp(-\tau)), \quad (28)$$

where $c_A = \int_A^\infty \Lambda_1(a) da$ with $\Lambda_1(a) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}a^2} \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{a}}\right)$.

Remark 2. Here we choose $k_n \propto (\log n)^3$ because we want to examine the behavior of $\tilde{M}^+(K, L)$, compared to its counterpart $M^+(K, L)$ at the most contributed part, which is reflected in the proof of Theorem 1. For readers who are curious about other choices of k_n , we note that $\tilde{M}_{i,j}$ behaves like subgaussian, or named as “sublogarithmic” in [25]. Roughly speaking, $\tilde{M}_n^+(k_n, n)$ will likely to take its maximum around the indices i, j with small length, that is, when $j - i$ is close to k_n .

Define the standardized analog of (19)

$$\tilde{M}_n^-(k, l) = - \min_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k \leq j-i \leq l} \tilde{M}_{i,j}, \quad (29)$$

with

$$\tilde{M}_n^- := \tilde{M}_n^-(1, n), \quad (30)$$

as well as the analog of (21)

$$\tilde{M}_n(k, l) = \max\{\tilde{M}_n^+(k, l), \tilde{M}_n^-(k, l)\}, \quad (31)$$

with

$$\tilde{M}_n := \tilde{M}_n(1, n) = \max\{\tilde{M}_n^+, \tilde{M}_n^-\}. \quad (32)$$

Theorem 4. *We have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{M}_n = \tilde{M}_n^+) = 1. \quad (33)$$

Thus for any $\tau > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{M}_n \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{\tau}}\right) = \exp(-\tau). \quad (34)$$

Remark 3. While the behavior of the Studentized statistic M_n^+ is driven by the smallest intervals, this is not as much the case for the standardized statistic $\tilde{M}_n^+$. Indeed, a large value of M_n^+ comes from some $n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})$ being large compared to $j - i$, however, $n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})$ being in the denominator defining $\tilde{M}_n^+$, its impact is lessened.

3 Proofs of Main Results

Our proof arguments are based on standard moderate and large deviation results, Kolmogorov's theorem, a Poisson approximation [3], as well as some technical results developed by Kabluchko and Wang [25] in their study of the limiting distribution of the scan statistic in the form of (11).

3.1 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we assume that $\{X_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are iid distributed with the density,

$$f(x) = \mathbb{1}(x \leq 1) \exp(x - 1), \quad (35)$$

noting that $-X_1 + 1$ follows standard exponential distribution. This distribution has zero mean and unit variance. Define the two-sided partial sums,

$$S_k^+ = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i, \quad S_0^+ = 0, \quad S_{-k}^+ = -\sum_{i=1}^k X_{-i}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (36)$$

and

$$S_k^- := -S_k^+. \quad (37)$$

They will play a central role in what follows. Define the normalized increments

$$Z_{i,j}^\pm = \frac{S_j^\pm - S_i^\pm}{\sqrt{j-i}}, \quad (38)$$

$$Z_n^\pm(k, l) := \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n: k \leq j-i \leq l} Z_{i,j}^\pm, \quad Z_n^\pm := Z_n^\pm(1, n). \quad (39)$$

Let $\varphi^\pm(t)$ be the cumulant generating functions of $\pm X_1$ respectively. We have

$$\varphi^+(t) = t - \log(1 + t), \quad \text{if } t \geq 0. \quad (40)$$

$$\varphi^-(t) = \begin{cases} -t - \log(1 - t), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ \infty, & \text{if } t \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Also, define $I^+(s)$ and $I^-(s)$ as the respective Legendre-Fenchel transforms (a.k.a., rate functions). We have

$$I^+(s) = \begin{cases} -s - \log(1 - s), & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ \infty, & \text{if } s \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

and

$$I^-(s) = s - \log(1 + s), \quad (43)$$

with respective Taylor expansions at 0 (as $s \rightarrow 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} I^+(s) &= s^2/2 + s^3/3 + o(s^3), \\ I^-(s) &= s^2/2 - s^3/3 + o(s^3). \end{aligned}$$

We also prepare several usefull lemmas. The first two lemmas are well-known moderate and large deviations results [4, 9].

Lemma 1. *Let (x_k) be a sequence satisfying $x_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_k = o(\sqrt{k})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k^\pm}{\sqrt{k}} \geq x_k\right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}x_k} \exp\left\{-kI^\pm\left(\frac{x_k}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right\}. \quad (44)$$

Lemma 2. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k^\pm}{\sqrt{k}} \geq x\right) \leq \exp\left\{-kI^\pm\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right\}. \quad (45)$$

Moreover, for every $A \leq s_\infty$, where $s_\infty = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq s) \leq 1\}$, there is $C_A > 0$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, A\sqrt{k})$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k^\pm}{\sqrt{k}} \geq x\right) \leq \frac{C_A}{x} \exp\left\{-kI^\pm\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right\}, \quad (46)$$

The following result is obtained from a simple application of Theorem 2.4 in [34], which provides an upper bound of the tail distribution of $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k^\pm$ by that of $S_n^\pm$.

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k^\pm \geq x\right\} \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left\{S_n^\pm \geq x - \sqrt{2(n-1)}\right\}. \quad (47)$$

For completeness, we include Lemma 4.4 and 4.5 from [25] below. For integers $r > 0$ and $x < y$, define

$$\mathbb{T}_r(x, y) := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{I} : x - r \leq i \leq x \text{ and } y \leq j \leq y + r\}. \quad (48)$$

Lemma 4. *Fix constants $B_1, B_2 > 0$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $u > 0$ such that $B_1 l > u^2$ and $r \leq B_2 l u^{-2}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}(l, r, u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i, j \in \mathbb{T}_r(x, x+l)} \frac{S_j^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{l}} \geq u\right) \leq \frac{C}{u} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} - \frac{cu^3}{\sqrt{l}}\right), \quad (49)$$

where the constants c and C depend on B_1 and B_2 but do not depend on x, l, r, u .

Lemma 5. *Let $\nu, \nu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be measures on $[0, \infty)$ which are finite on compact intervals. Let $G, G_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be measurable functions on $[0, \infty)$ which are uniformly bounded on compact intervals. Assume that*

1. ν_n converges to ν weakly on every interval $[0, t]$, $t \geq 0$;
2. for ν -a.e. $s \geq 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(s_n) = G(s)$, for every sequence $s_n \rightarrow s$;

3. $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^\infty |G_n| d\nu_n = 0$ uniformly when $n \geq N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T G_n d\nu_n = \int_0^T G d\nu$.

We also provide an upper bound of the tail distribution $\max_{i,j \in \mathbb{T}_r(x,x+l)} (S_j^- - S_i^-)/\sqrt{l}$ also, which is cruder than its counterpart for S_k^+ in Lemma 4 but shall suffice for our purposes.

Lemma 6. For all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l, r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and all $u > 40$ such that $l > u^2 r$ and $r > 10u^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(l, r, u) := \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{i,j \in \mathbb{T}_r(x,x+l)} \frac{S_j^- - S_i^-}{\sqrt{l}} \geq u \right) \leq C \exp \left(-\frac{u^2}{3} \right), \quad (50)$$

where the constant C does not depend on x, l, r, u .

Proof. Before we proceed into the proof, one fact about $I^-(s)$ is

$$I^-(s) \geq \frac{1.01s^2}{3}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 0.5, \quad (51)$$

which can be easily checked. Define $V_{l,u} := u^2 - uS_l^-/\sqrt{l}$, $S_{k_1}^{(1)-}$ and $S_{k_2}^{(2)-}$ to be two partial sums of $-X_i$ independent of each other and S_l^- . With translation invariance, we bound $\mathcal{Q}(l, r, u)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(l, r, u) &= \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{i,j \in \mathbb{T}_r(0,0+l)} \frac{S_j^- - S_i^-}{\sqrt{l}} \geq u \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \frac{S_{k_1}^{(1)-} + S_{k_2}^{(2)-}}{\sqrt{l}} + \frac{S_l^-}{\sqrt{l}} \geq u \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \frac{S_{k_1}^{(1)-} + S_{k_2}^{(2)-}}{\sqrt{l}} \geq \frac{V_{l,u}}{u} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \frac{S_{k_1}^{(1)-} + S_{k_2}^{(2)-}}{\sqrt{l}} \geq \frac{V_{l,u}}{u}, V_{l,u} \leq u^2 \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \frac{S_{k_1}^{(1)-} + S_{k_2}^{(2)-}}{\sqrt{l}} \geq \frac{V_{l,u}}{u}, V_{l,u} > u^2 \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(V_{l,u} \leq u^2 \sqrt{r/l}) + \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \frac{S_{k_1}^{(1)-} + S_{k_2}^{(2)-}}{\sqrt{l}} > u \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we bound these two terms individually. By the assumptions on u, l, r , we have $u(1 - \sqrt{r/l})/\sqrt{l} \leq 0.5$. Thus with (45) and (51), we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(V_{l,u} \leq u^2 \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_l^-}{\sqrt{l}} \geq u - u \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \right) \leq \exp \left[-l I^+ \left\{ \frac{u(1 - \sqrt{r/l})}{\sqrt{l}} \right\} \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{u^2}{3} \right). \quad (52)$$

Now we switch to the second item, with Lemma 3, (45) and assumption that $r > 10u^2$, $u > 40$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \frac{S_{k_1}^{(1)-} + S_{k_2}^{(2)-}}{\sqrt{l}} \geq u \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \right) &\leq 2 \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \frac{S_k^-}{\sqrt{r}} \geq \frac{u}{2} \right) \\ &\leq 4 \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_r^-}{\sqrt{r}} \geq \frac{u}{2} - \sqrt{2} \right) \\ &\leq C \exp \left\{ -r I^- \left(\frac{u - 2\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{r}} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq C \exp \left(-\frac{u^2}{3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting the two terms together, we get the stated bound. $\square$

We now adjust the Lemma 4 to suit for proving Theorem 3, in which we need to deal with

$$\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ := \frac{S_j^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{j-i - (S_j^+ - S_i^+)}}. \quad (53)$$

Define a function

$$\phi(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x}}, \quad x < 1, \quad (54)$$

and thus we have

$$\frac{\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+}{\sqrt{j-i}} = \phi\left(\frac{Z_{i,j}^+}{\sqrt{j-i}}\right). \quad (55)$$

Since $\phi(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 1)$ with range $\mathbb{R}$, we write its inverse function as

$$g^+(x) := \frac{1}{2}(x\sqrt{x^2+4} - x^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (56)$$

which is also strictly increasing. Therefore, $\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u$ if and only if

$$Z_{i,j}^+ \geq \sqrt{j-i} \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{j-i}}\right). \quad (57)$$

This is an important transformation which enables us to deal with $Z_{i,j}^+$ instead. We compute the Taylor expansion of $I^+(g^+(s))$ at $s = 0$,

$$I^+(g^+(s)) = \frac{s^2}{2} - \frac{s^3}{6} + O(s^4). \quad (58)$$

We have

Lemma 7. *Fix constants $B_1, B_2 > 0$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $u > 0$ such that $B_1 l > u^2$ and $r < B_2 l u^{-2}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}(l, r, u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_r(x, x+l)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u\right) \leq \frac{C}{u} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{cu^3}{\sqrt{l}}\right), \quad (59)$$

where the constants $c, C > 0$ depend on B_1 and B_2 but do not depend on x, l, r, u .

Proof. By the transformation (57), translation invariance and the fact that $g^+(x)/x^2$ is strictly decreasing,

$$\mathcal{Q}(l, r, u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_r(0, l)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u\right) \quad (60)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \left\{S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} - (l + k_1 + k_2) \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{l + k_1 + k_2}}\right)\right\} + S_l^+ \geq 0\right] \quad (61)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \left\{S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+}\right\} - l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) + S_l^+ \geq 0\right], \quad (62)$$

where $S_{k_1}^{(1)+}, S_{k_2}^{(2)+}$ are two partial sums of X_i independent of each other and S_l^+ . Define

$$V_{l,u} = u\left(u - \frac{S_l^+}{\sqrt{l - S_l^+}}\right). \quad (63)$$

Thus

$$\frac{S_l^+}{\sqrt{l - S_l^+}} = \frac{l \cdot S_l^+ / l}{\sqrt{l} \sqrt{1 - S_l^+ / l}} = \sqrt{l} \cdot \phi\left(\frac{S_l^+}{l}\right) = u - \frac{V_{l,u}}{u}, \quad (64)$$

which gives

$$S_l^+ = l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u - V_{l,u}/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right). \quad (65)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{Q}(l, r, u) \quad (66)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \left\{ S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} \right\} - l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) + l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u - V_{l,u}/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \geq 0, V_{l,u} \leq 0 \right] \quad (67)$$

$$+ \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \left\{ S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} \right\} - l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) + l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u - V_{l,u}/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \geq 0, V_{l,u} > 0 \right] \quad (68)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(V_{l,u} \leq 0) \quad (69)$$

$$+ \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \left\{ S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} \right\} - l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) + l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u - V_{l,u}/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \geq 0, V_{l,u} > 0 \right] \quad (70)$$

$$= F_{l,u}(0) + \int_0^\infty G_{l,r,u}(s) dF_{l,u}(s), \quad (71)$$

where the last equality is obtained by conditioning on $V_{l,u} = s$, which is independent of $S_{k_1}^{(1)+}$, $S_{k_2}^{(2)+}$. $F_{l,u}$ therein is the probability distribution of $V_{l,u}$ and

$$G_{l,r,u}(s) := \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \left\{ S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} \right\} - l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) + l \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u - s/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \geq 0 \right],$$

which is decreasing. To obtain an upper bound for $\mathcal{Q}(l, r, u)$, first we bound $F_{l,u}(s)$ for $s \in [0, \frac{3}{4}u^2]$ so that $u - s/u \in [u/4, u]$. Applying (46),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{l,u}(s) &= \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_l^+}{\sqrt{l - S_l^+}} \geq u - \frac{s}{u} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{S_l^+}{\sqrt{l}} \geq \sqrt{l} \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u - s/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sqrt{l} \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u - s/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \right\}^{-1} \exp \left[-l \cdot I^+ \left\{ g^+\left(\frac{u - s/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{u} \exp \left[-l \cdot I^+ \left\{ g^+\left(\frac{u - s/u}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that when $0 < x < 1$,

$$xg^+\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (72)$$

By Taylor expansion of $I^+(g^+(s))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{l,u}(s) &\leq \frac{C}{u} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(u - \frac{s}{u} \right)^2 + \frac{c}{2\sqrt{l}} \left(u - \frac{s}{u} \right)^3 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{Ce^s}{u} \exp \left(-\frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{cu^3}{\sqrt{l}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

It is however easy to see that this inequality continues to hold for $s \geq \frac{3}{4}u^2$. Indeed, if c is sufficiently small, then the assumption $B_1 l > u^2$ implies that $cu^3/\sqrt{l} \leq u^2/8$. Hence, when $s \geq \frac{3}{4}u^2$, the above inequality becomes

$$F_{l,u}(s) \leq \frac{C}{u} \exp\left(\frac{3u^2}{8}\right). \quad (74)$$

If C is sufficiently large, the right-hand side of previous inequality is greater than 1 and hence the inequality trivially holds. We bound $G_{l,r,u}(s)$ for $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{l,r,u}(s) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 < r} S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} > \frac{s}{2u} \sqrt{\left(u - \frac{s}{u}\right)^2 + 4l} + \frac{s^2}{2u^2} - s\right\} \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{0 \leq k < r} S_k^+ > \frac{s}{4u} \sqrt{\left(u - \frac{s}{u}\right)^2 + 4l} - \frac{s}{2}\right\} \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{0 \leq k < r} S_k^+ > \frac{s}{2u} \sqrt{l} - \frac{s}{2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma 3 to the above equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{l,r,u}(s) &\leq 4\mathbb{P}\left(S_r^+ > \frac{s}{2u} \sqrt{l} - \frac{s}{2} - \sqrt{2r}\right) \\ &\leq 4\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_r^+}{\sqrt{r}} > \frac{s}{2u\sqrt{r}} \sqrt{l} - \frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}} - \sqrt{2}\right) \\ &\leq 4\exp\left\{-rI^+\left(\frac{cs - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{r}}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality, we used the assumption $r < B_2 l u^{-2}$. By noticing the fact that $I^+(s) \geq s^2/2$, we have

$$G_{l,r,u}(s) \leq C e^{-cs^2}. \quad (75)$$

Strictly speaking, this is valid only as long as $cs \geq \sqrt{2}$, however, we can choose the constant C so large that (75) continues to hold in the case $cs < \sqrt{2}$. To obtain (59), by (71), (73), (75), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(l, r, u) &\leq F_{l,u}(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_{l,r,u}(k) F_{l,u}(k+1) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{u} \left(1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-ck^2} e^k\right) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{cu^3}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{u} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{cu^3}{\sqrt{l}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

The roadmap of our proof. We know that $(U_{(1)}, U_{(2)}, \dots, U_{(n)})$ has the same distribution as

$$\left(\frac{Y_1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i}, \frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i}\right), \quad \text{where } Y_1, \dots, Y_{n+1} \text{ are iid exponential.} \quad (76)$$

In particular, Y_i can be set as $1 - X_i$. We use this fact, together with a comparison of $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i$ with its mean using a central limit theorem, to deal with the dependency among order statistics above, effectively reducing the problem to partial sums of iid random variables. We then divide the intervals into smaller intervals, which end up contributing the most to the maximum, and larger intervals, whose contribution we show to be negligible. Although $U_{(i)}$ and Y_i may be defined on different probability spaces with different probability measure, we may switch between them when there is no confusion. Because we only prove convergence in distribution, from now on, we put $U_{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^j Y_i / \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i$ throughout the proof.

3.2.1 Proof of (17)

We study the asymptotic behavior of the statistic based on different regions of $j - i$. For $b > 0$, define the event

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j}^{n+}(b) &= \left\{ \frac{j-i-n(U_{(j)}-U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{(j-i)(1-\frac{j-i}{n})}} \leq b \right\} \\ &= \left\{ U_{(j)} - U_{(i)} \geq \frac{j-i}{n} - \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_{i,j}^n := \sqrt{\frac{j-i}{n} \left(1 - \frac{j-i}{n}\right)}. \quad (77)$$

Under this notation, we have

$$\{M_n^+ \leq b\} = \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{n+}(b). \quad (78)$$

Define

$$u_n(\tau) = \left(1 + \frac{-3 \log \log n + 2\tau}{4 \log n}\right) \sqrt{2 \log n}. \quad (79)$$

Throughout the proof, we abbreviate $u_n(\tau)$ as u_n with τ fixed. With this choice, we have $u_n \sim \sqrt{2 \log n}$.

Step 1: Upper bound For the upper bound, it suffices to focus on the optimal range so that the maximum is achieved. This turns out to be at $j - i \propto (\log n)^3$, as discussed below.

Define the events

$$\Omega_n = \{|S_{n+1}^+| \leq (\log \log n) \sqrt{n}\}. \quad (80)$$

By the central limit theorem,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (81)$$

When $j - i \leq \frac{n}{\log n \log \log n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) \\
& \subseteq \Omega_n^c \cup \{\Omega_n \cap A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n)\} \\
& = \Omega_n^c \cup \left(\Omega_n \cap \left\{ \frac{j-i-S_j^+ + S_i^+}{n+1-S_{n+1}^+} \geq \frac{j-i}{n} - \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n \right\} \right) \\
& \subseteq \Omega_n^c \cup \left(\Omega_n \cap \left\{ S_j^+ - S_i^+ \leq (j-i) \frac{-1+S_{n+1}^+}{n} + \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}} (n+1-S_{n+1}^+) w_{i,j}^n \right\} \right) \\
& \subseteq \Omega_n^c \cup \left\{ S_j^+ - S_i^+ \leq (j-i) \frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}} (n+1 + (\log \log n) \sqrt{n}) w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\
& = \Omega_n^c \cup \left\{ Z_{i,j}^+ \leq \frac{(\log \log n)}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{j-i} + u_n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{(\log \log n) \sqrt{n} + 1}{n} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{j-i}{n}} \right\} \\
& \subseteq \Omega_n^c \cup \left\{ Z_{i,j}^+ \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}} + u_n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{(\log \log n) \sqrt{n} + 1}{n} \right) \right\} \\
& \subseteq \Omega_n^c \cup \{Z_{i,j}^+ \leq u_n(\tau + \varepsilon)\},
\end{aligned}$$

for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ provided that n is large enough. To deal with the standardized sums $Z_{i,j}^+$, we need Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in [25]. Because $X_1 \leq 1$, it belongs to the superlogarithm family defined in [25]. Applying Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in [25], we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{Z_n^+ \leq u_n\} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\tau} \right\}, \quad (82)$$

and

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{Z_n^+ = Z_n^+(A^{-1}(\log n)^3, A(\log n)^3)\} = 1. \quad (83)$$

By (81), (82) and the fact that $(\log n)^3 \ll \frac{n}{\log n \log \log n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \leq u_n) \\
& = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) \right\} \\
& \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \leq \frac{n}{\log n \log \log n}} A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n(\tau + \varepsilon)) \right\} + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\
& \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ Z_n^+ \left(1, \frac{n}{\log n \log \log n} \right) \leq u_n(\tau + \varepsilon) \right\} + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\
& = \exp \left\{ -\frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\tau-\varepsilon} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \leq u_n) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \exp \left\{ -\frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\tau-\varepsilon} \right\} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\tau} \right\}. \quad (84)$$

Step 2: Lower bound Define

$$k_n = \frac{n}{\log n (\log \log n)}, \quad K_n = \frac{n \log \log n}{\log n}. \quad (85)$$

We establish the lower bound by dividing the range of $j - i$ into five regions:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= [1, u_n^2), & R_2 &= [u_n^2, k_n), \\ R_3 &= [k_n, K_n), & R_4 &= [K_n, n - K_n), \\ R_5 &= [n - K_n, n). \end{aligned}$$

- For R_1 , note that

$$\frac{j - i}{n} - \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n \leq 0, \quad (86)$$

is equivalent to

$$j - i \leq \frac{u_n^2}{1 + u_n^2/n}. \quad (87)$$

Since $u_n^4 \ll n$, i, j only take value in integers, it is further equivalent to $j - i \leq u_n^2$ when n is large enough, which is exactly R_1 . Therefore, when n is large enough,

$$A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) = \Omega, \quad (88)$$

for any (i, j) satisfying $j - i \in R_1$ so that

$$\bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \in R_1} A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) = \Omega. \quad (89)$$

- For R_2 , following the same argument that was used to prove the upper bound, it can be shown that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \in R_2} A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) \right\} \geq \exp \left\{ -\frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\tau} \right\}. \quad (90)$$

- Turning to R_3 , we shall show that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-k_n} \frac{S_{i+k_n}^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{k_n}} \leq \log \log n \right) \rightarrow 1, \quad (91)$$

and then use this fact to prove that the maximum of $M_{i,j}^+$ over R_3 is ignorable. First we bound $\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-k_n} (S_{i+k_n}^+ - S_i^+)$. Define

$$q_n = \frac{k_n}{(\log \log n)^2} \ll k_n, \quad (92)$$

and introduce a positive sequence ε_n such that $q_n \ll \varepsilon_n \ll k_n$. Consider the following two-dimensional grid with mesh size q_n :

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \{(x, y) \in q_n \mathbb{Z}^2 : x \in [-\varepsilon_n, n + \varepsilon_n], y - x \in [0.9k_n - \varepsilon_n, 1.1k_n + \varepsilon_n]\}. \quad (93)$$

By the union bound,

$$\mathbb{P} \{ Z_n^+(0.9k_n, 1.1k_n) > \log \log n \} \leq \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{J}_n} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{q_n}(x,y)} Z_{i,j}^+ \geq \log \log n \right\}. \quad (94)$$

Note that the cardinality of $\mathcal{J}_n$ satisfies

$$|\mathcal{J}_n| \sim \frac{(1.1 - 0.9)nk_n}{(q_n)^2} = 0.2(\log \log n)^5 \log n. \quad (95)$$

By the translation invariance property of $\mathbb{T}_{q_n}(x, y)$ and Lemma 4, taking $l = y - x$, $r = q_n$ and $u = \log \log n$ for large enough n (and thus satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4) temporarily, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \{ Z_n^+(0.9k_n, 1.1k_n) \geq \log \log n \} \leq C|\mathcal{J}_n| \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\log \log n)^2}{2} \right\} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. Since

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-k_n} \frac{S_{i+k_n}^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{k_n}} \leq Z_n^+(0.9k_n, 1.1k_n), \quad (96)$$

it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-k_n} \frac{S_{i+k_n}^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{k_n}} \geq \log \log n \right) = 0. \quad (97)$$

We may now prove the ignorability of maximum of $M_{i,j}^+$ when taking values on R_3 . Define

$$\Omega_{1n} := \left\{ \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-k_n} \frac{S_{i+k_n}^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{k_n}} \leq \log \log n \right\}. \quad (98)$$

By (97), $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{1n}) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $j - i \in R_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) \\ & \supseteq \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ S_j^+ - S_i^+ \leq (j-i) \frac{S_{n+1}^+ - 1}{n} + \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}}(n+1 - S_{n+1})w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ & = \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ S_j^+ - S_{i+k_n}^+ \leq (j-i) \frac{S_{n+1}^+ - 1}{n} - S_{i+k_n}^+ + S_i^+ + \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}}(n+1 - S_{n+1})w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ & \supseteq \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ S_j^+ - S_{i+k_n}^+ \leq -(j-i) \frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{k_n} \log \log n + \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}}(n+1 - \log \log n \sqrt{n})w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ & \supseteq \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ \frac{S_j^+ - S_{i+k_n}^+}{\sqrt{j-i-k_n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{j-i}{j-i-k_n}} \left[u_n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{(\log \log n)^3}{\log n} - \log \log n} \right] \right\} \\ & \supseteq \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ \frac{S_j^+ - S_{i+k_n}^+}{\sqrt{j-i-k_n}} \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{k_n}{K_n}} \left[u_n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{(\log \log n)^3}{\log n} - \log \log n} \right] \right\} \\ & \supseteq \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ \frac{S_j^+ - S_{i+k_n}^+}{\sqrt{j-i-k_n}} \leq u_n(\log \log n) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows by noting that $k_n/K_n = 1/(\log \log n)^2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k_n+1 \leq j-i \leq K_n} A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) \\ & \supset \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ \max_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k_n+1 \leq j-i \leq K_n} \frac{S_j^+ - S_{i+k_n}^+}{\sqrt{j-i-k_n}} \leq u_n(\log \log n) \right\} \\ & \supset \Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \left\{ \max_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \leq K_n} \frac{S_j^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{j-i}} \leq u_n(\log \log n) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and recall that $u_n(\cdot)$ is a function. Since $(\log n)^3 \ll K_n$, (82) and (83) together imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{M_n^+(k_n + 1, K_n) \leq u_n(\tau)\} \\ & \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \{Z_n^+(1, K_n) \leq u_n(\log \log n)\} \right] \\ & \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\Omega_n \cap \Omega_{1n} \cap \{Z_n^+(1, K_n) \leq u_n(\tau')\} \right] = \exp \left(-\frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\tau'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for any τ, τ' . We now take $\tau' \rightarrow \infty$, yielding

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{M_n^+(k_n + 1, K_n) \leq u_n(\tau)\} = \liminf_{\tau' \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(-\frac{8}{9\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\tau'} \right) = 1. \quad (99)$$

• Next we apply the Kolmogorov's Theorem to deal with R_4 . Define the centered order statistics

$$\bar{U}_{(i)} = U_{(i)} - \frac{i}{n+1}. \quad (100)$$

Note that when n is large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) &= \left\{ \bar{U}_{(j)} - \bar{U}_{(i)} \geq \frac{j-i}{n(n+1)} - \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sqrt{n}(\bar{U}_{(j)} - \bar{U}_{(i)}) \geq \frac{j-i}{\sqrt{n}(n+1)} - u_n w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ &\supseteq \left\{ \sqrt{n}(\bar{U}_{(j)} - \bar{U}_{(i)}) \geq -0.9u_n w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ &\supseteq \left\{ 0.9u_n w_{i,j}^n \geq \sqrt{n}(\bar{U}_{(j)} - \bar{U}_{(i)}) \geq -0.9u_n w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ &\supseteq \left\{ 2\sqrt{n} \max\{|\bar{U}_{(i)}|, |\bar{U}_{(j)}|\} \leq 0.9u_n w_{i,j}^n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For (i, j) such that $j - i \in R_4$, $w_{i,j}^n$ is minimized at either $j - i = \frac{n \log \log n}{\log n}$ or $n - \frac{n \log \log n}{\log n}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \in R_4} A_{i,j}^n(u_n) &\supseteq \left\{ \sqrt{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|\bar{U}_{(i)}|\} \leq \frac{0.9u_n}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \in R_4} w_{i,j}^n \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sqrt{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|\bar{U}_{(i)}|\} \leq \frac{0.9u_n}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Kolmogorov's Theorem states that for any $y \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{U}_{(i)}| \leq y \right) = K(y) := 1 - 2e^{-2y^2} + 2e^{-8y^2} - \dots \quad (101)$$

In particular, $(\sqrt{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{U}_{(i)}|)$ is tight. Therefore, by the fact that

$$\frac{0.9u_n}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)} \asymp \sqrt{\log \log n} \rightarrow \infty, \quad (102)$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \in R_4} A_{i,j}^{n+}(u_n) \right\} = 1. \quad (103)$$

• For R_5 , define $j' = n - j$ and $U'_{(j'+1)} = 1 - U_{(n+1-j'-1)} = 1 - U_{(j)}$. A simple change of indices gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^+(n - K_n, n) &= \max_{\substack{0 \leq i < j \leq n \\ n - K_n \leq j - i < n}} \frac{j - i - n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{(j - i)(1 - \frac{j-i}{n})}} \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{i, j' \geq 0 \\ i + j' < K_n}} \frac{nU'_{(j'+1)} - (j' + 1) + nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{(i + j')(1 - \frac{i+j'}{n})}} \\ &\leq 1.01 \max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i+j < K_n}} \frac{nU'_{(j)} - j + nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{i + j}} + 1.01 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds when n is large enough since $K_n \ll n$. Now, by the above statements, to prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(M_n^+(n - K_n, n) \geq u_n) = 0, \quad (104)$$

it suffices to prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i+j < K_n}} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i + nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{i + j}} \geq \sqrt{1.9 \log n} \right) = 0. \quad (105)$$

Assuming $0/0 = 0$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i+j < K_n}} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i + nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{i + j}} \geq \sqrt{1.9 \log n} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i+j \leq K_n}} \left(\frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{i + j}} + \frac{nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{i + j}} \right) \geq \sqrt{1.9 \log n} \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i+j \leq K_n}} \left(\frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{i}} + \frac{nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{j}} \right) \geq \sqrt{1.9 \log n} \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{i}} + \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \frac{nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{j}} \geq \sqrt{1.9 \log n} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{i}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{1.9 \log n}}{2} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{i(1 - i/n)}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{1.9 \log n}}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

However, Eicker [13] showed that

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{i(1 - i/n)}} \sim \sqrt{2 \log \log n}, \quad (106)$$

which finishes the proof for R_5 .

• Now combining all the results gives the lower bound, which, together with the upper bound, establishes the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

3.2.2 Proof of (22)

In what follows, we let

$$u_n = u_n(\tau) := \log n + \tau, \quad (107)$$

with τ fixed. Define

$$A_{i,j}^{n-}(u_n) = \left\{ \frac{n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)}) - (j-i)}{\sqrt{(j-i)(1 - \frac{j-i}{n})}} \leq u_n \right\} = \left\{ U_{(j)} - U_{(i)} \leq \frac{j-i}{n} + \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n \right\},$$

where $w_{i,j}^n$ is defined in (77), and note that

$$\{M_n^- \leq u_n\} = \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{n-}(u_n). \quad (108)$$

Step 1: Upper bound For the upper bound, again, we only consider a particular order of magnitude for the length, the one that contributes the most to the maximum. When $j-i \leq \frac{n \log \log n}{(\log n)^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j}^{n-}(u_n) &\subset \Omega_n^c \bigcup \{\Omega_n \cap A_{i,j}^{n-}(u_n)\} \\ &\subset \Omega_n^c \bigcup \left\{ \frac{S_j^- - S_i^-}{\sqrt{j-i}} \leq (\log \log n) \sqrt{\frac{j-i}{n}} + u_n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right\} \\ &\subset \Omega_n^c \bigcup \left\{ \frac{S_j^- - S_i^-}{\sqrt{j-i}} \leq u_n(\tau + \varepsilon) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where Ω_n is given in (80). By (81), it suffices to consider the second event on the RHS. Applying Theorem 1.7 in [25], the limiting distribution of Z_n^- is the same as that of $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-X_i)$. By the independence of $\{X_i\}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_n^- \leq u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-X_i) \leq u_n \right\} = \exp\{-\exp(1 - \tau)\}. \quad (109)$$

Therefore, taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(M_n^- \leq u_n) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{n-}(u_n) \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: j-i \leq \frac{n \log \log n}{(\log n)^2}} A_{i,j}^{n-}(u_n) \right\} + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \exp\{-\exp(1 - \tau - \varepsilon)\} \\ &= \exp\{-\exp(1 - \tau)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Lower bound As in the proof of (1), we divide the range of $j-i$ into several subintervals. Similar to the upper bound case,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ M_n^- \left(1, \frac{n \log \log n}{(\log n)^2} \right) \leq u_n \right\} = \exp\{-\exp(1-\tau)\}. \quad (110)$$

With the same argument that was used to prove (103), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: \frac{n \log \log n}{(\log n)^2} \leq j-i \leq n - \frac{n \log \log n}{(\log n)^2}} A_{i,j}^{n-}(u_n) \right\} = 1. \quad (111)$$

The case where $j-i \geq n - \frac{n \log \log n}{(\log n)^2}$ can be treated similarly to proving the region R_5 in the proof of Theorem 1, even easier since now $u_n \sim \log n$ (and details are omitted).

3.2.3 Proof of (23)

This follows directly from (17), where we learn that $M_n^+ \asymp_P \sqrt{\log n}$, and (22), which states that $M_n^- \asymp_P \log n$ (here $A_n \asymp_P B_n$ means $A_n = O(B_n)$ and $B_n = O(A_n)$). Combining them implies that $M_n^- \gg_P M_n^+$, and therefore $M_n = \max(M_n^-, M_n^+) = M_n^-$ with probability tending to 1 as n increases.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3

3.3.1 Proof of (27)

We first derive the asymptotic distribution of

$$\tilde{M}_n^+(1, 2) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1 - n(U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{n(U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)})(1 - U_{(i+1)} + U_{(i)})}}, \quad (112)$$

which is exactly the same as that of (27) and then show that $\tilde{M}_n^+(2, n) \ll_P \sqrt{n}$. These together imply (27). To get the asymptotic distribution of $\tilde{M}_n^+(1, 2)$, note that

$$\tilde{M}_n^+(1, 2) \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)})[1 - (U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)})]}} \quad (113)$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{M}_n^+(1, 2) \geq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1 - n(U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{n(U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)})}}, \quad (114)$$

where both upper and lower bounds are functions of

$$T := \min_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)}). \quad (115)$$

Therefore it suffices to work on T instead. It is easy to see that $T \leq 1/n$. By symmetry,

$$\mathbb{P}(T \geq t) = n! \mathbb{P}(T \geq t, U_1 \leq U_2 \leq \dots \leq U_n). \quad (116)$$

Define the subset

$$A_t = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in [0, 1]^n : u_i + t \leq u_{i+1}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}, \quad (117)$$

where $u_0 = 0$. Then,

$$\{(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in A_t\} = \{T \geq t, U_1 \leq U_2 \leq \dots \leq U_n\}, \quad (118)$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{P}(T \geq t, U_1 \leq U_2 \leq \dots \leq U_n) = \lambda_n(A_t), \quad (119)$$

where λ_n is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define a mapping

$$h : A_t \longrightarrow Q \subset [0, 1 - nt]^n, \quad h(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = (u_1 - t, u_2 - 2t, u_n - nt), \quad (120)$$

where

$$Q := \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) : y_i \leq y_{i+1}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cap [0, 1 - nt]^n. \quad (121)$$

It is easy to verify that h is a volume-preserving bijection. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(T \geq t, U_1 \leq U_2 \leq \dots \leq U_n) = \lambda_n(A_t) = \lambda_n(Q) = \frac{(1 - nt)^n}{n!} \quad (122)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(T \geq t) = \frac{n!(1 - nt)^n}{n!} = (1 - nt)^n, \quad (123)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1/n$. For any $0 \leq t \leq 1/n$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \min_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)}) \geq t \right\} = (1 - nt)^n, \quad (124)$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \min_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (U_{(i+1)} - U_{(i)}) \geq \frac{\tau}{n^2} \right\} = \exp(-\tau). \quad (125)$$

This, together with (113) and (114), implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\tilde{M}_n^+(1, 1) \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{\tau}} \right) = \exp(-\tau). \quad (126)$$

It remains to show that $\tilde{M}_n^+(2, n) \ll_P \sqrt{n}$. We will divide it into $\tilde{M}_n^+(2, (\log n)^2)$, $\tilde{M}_n^+((\log n)^2, n - (\log n)^2)$ and $\tilde{M}_n^+(n - (\log n)^2, n)$. When $2 \leq j - i \leq (\log n)^2$, note that

$$1 - (U_{(j)} - U_{(i)}) = 1 - \frac{j - i}{n + 1} - (\bar{U}_{(j)} - \bar{U}_{(i)}) \quad (127)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq 1 - \frac{(\log n)^2}{n + 1} - 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{U}_{(i)}| \\ &= 1 + O_P(1/\sqrt{n}) \\ &\geq 0.5, \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

where the last inequality holds on a sequence of events with probability tending to one, by Kolmogorov's Theorem mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1 when n is large enough. Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{j - i - n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}} &= \frac{j - i - \frac{n}{n+1-S_{n+1}^+}(j - i - S_j^+ + S_i^+)}{\sqrt{n \frac{n}{n+1-S_{n+1}^+}(j - i - S_j^+ + S_i^+)}} \\ &= (1 + O_P(1/\sqrt{n}))\tilde{Z}_{i,j} + O_P(1/\sqrt{n}) \\ &\leq 1.01\tilde{Z}_{i,j} + 0.01, \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

on the sequence of events Ω_n defined in (81). With these results, the union bound, (45) and the fact that $I^+(s) = -s - \log(1-s)$ on $[0, 1)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(\tilde{M}_n^+(2, (\log n)^2) \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_n^+(2, (\log n)^2) \geq 0.9\varepsilon \sqrt{n}) + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\
& \leq \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: 2 \leq j-i \leq (\log n)^2} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq 0.9\varepsilon \sqrt{n}) + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\
& \leq n \sum_{2 \leq k \leq (\log n)^2} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k^+}{\sqrt{k} - S_k^+} \geq 0.9\varepsilon \sqrt{n}\right) + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\
& \leq n \sum_{2 \leq k \leq (\log n)^2} \exp\left[-kI^+\left\{g^+\left(\frac{0.9\varepsilon \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right\}\right] + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\
& \leq n \sum_{2 \leq k \leq (\log n)^2} \exp\left[kg^+\left(\frac{0.9\varepsilon \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{k}}\right) + k \log\left\{1 - g^+\left(\frac{0.9\varepsilon \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right\}\right] + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c).
\end{aligned}$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$, $0.9\varepsilon \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{k} \rightarrow \infty$ and $g^+(a) \uparrow 1$. In addition,

$$1 - g^+(a) = 1 - \frac{a(\sqrt{a^2 + 4} - a)}{2} = 1 - \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4} + a} = \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 4} - a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4} + a} = \frac{4}{(\sqrt{a^2 + 4} + a)^2}. \quad (130)$$

Note that

$$\frac{0.9}{a^2} \leq \frac{4}{(\sqrt{a^2 + 4} + a)^2} \leq \frac{1}{a^2}, \quad (131)$$

when a is large enough. Therefore, when n is sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\tilde{M}_n^+(2, (\log n)^2) \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}) & \leq n \sum_{2 \leq k \leq (\log n)^2} \exp\left\{k - k \log\left(\frac{0.9\varepsilon n}{k}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq n \sum_{2 \leq k \leq (\log n)^2} \exp(-0.9k \log n) \\
& \leq n \sum_{2 \leq k \leq (\log n)^2} \exp(-1.8 \log n) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses that $k \geq 2$.

When $(\log n)^2 \leq j - i \leq n - (\log n)^2$, by Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we have

$$U_{(j)} - U_{(i)} \leq \frac{j-i}{n} + \frac{1.01 \log n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n, \quad (132)$$

$$1 - (U_{(j)} - U_{(i)}) \geq 1 - \frac{j-i}{n} - \frac{1.01 \log n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n, \quad (133)$$

$$U_{(j)} - U_{(i)} \geq \frac{j-i}{n} - \frac{1.01 \log n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n, \quad (134)$$

and

$$1 - (U_{(j)} - U_{(i)}) \leq 1 - \frac{j-i}{n} + \frac{1.01 \log n}{\sqrt{n}} w_{i,j}^n, \quad (135)$$

with probability tending to one. Together, (132) and (134) lead to

$$\left| \frac{n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{j - i} \right| = O_P(1), \quad (136)$$

uniformly in (i, j) satisfying $j - i \geq (\log n)^2$. (133) and (135) imply

$$\left| \frac{1 - (U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{1 - (j - i)/n} \right| = O_P(1). \quad (137)$$

These, combined with the definitions of M_n^+ and $\tilde{M}_n^+$, imply

$$\tilde{M}_n^+ \{(\log n)^2, n - (\log n)^2\} \asymp_P M_n^+ \{(\log n)^2, n - (\log n)^2\}. \quad (138)$$

By Theorem 1, it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[\tilde{M}_n^+ \{(\log n)^2, n - (\log n)^2\} \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}] = 0. \quad (139)$$

Finally, when $n - (\log n)^2 \leq j - i \leq n$, define $j' = n - j$ and thus $U'_{(j'+1)} = 1 - U_{(n+1-j'-1)} = 1 - U_{(j)}$. A simple change of indices gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{M}_n^+(n - (\log n)^2, n) \\ &= \max_{\substack{0 \leq i < j \leq n \\ n - (\log n)^2 \leq j - i \leq n}} \frac{j - i - n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{\sqrt{n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})(1 - (U_{(j)} - U_{(i)}))}} \\ &= \max_{\substack{i, j' \geq 0 \\ i + j' \leq (\log n)^2}} \frac{nU'_{(j'+1)} - (j' + 1) + nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{n(U_{(i)} + U'_{(j'+1)})(1 - U_{(i)} - U'_{(j'+1)})}} \\ &= \max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i + j \leq (\log n)^2}} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i + nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{n(U_{(i)} + U'_{(j)})(1 - U_{(i)} - U'_{(j)})}} + O_P(1). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that when $i, j \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i + j \leq (\log n)^2$,

$$1 - U_{(i)} - U'_{(j)} > 1 - 2 \max_{0 \leq i \leq (\log n)^2} U_{(i)} > 0.5, \quad (140)$$

with probability tending to one, which can be seen by a simple application of Kolmogorov's Theorem. By a similar speech when proving R_5 in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i + j \leq (\log n)^2}} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i + nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{n(U_{(i)} + U'_{(j)})(1 - U_{(i)} - U'_{(j)})}} \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{n} \right) \quad (141)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq i + j \leq (\log n)^2}} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i + nU'_{(j)} - j}{\sqrt{n(U_{(i)} + U'_{(j)})}} \geq 0.5 \varepsilon \sqrt{n} \right) \quad (142)$$

$$\leq 2 \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq (\log n)^2} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{nU_{(i)}}} \geq 0.25 \varepsilon \sqrt{n} \right) \quad (143)$$

$$\leq 2 \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq (\log n)^2} \frac{nU_{(i)} - i}{\sqrt{nU_{(i)}(1 - U_{(i)})}} \geq 0.25 \varepsilon \sqrt{n} \right) \quad (144)$$

$$\rightarrow 0, \quad (145)$$

where the last line again follows from Eicker [13]. These eventually establish the proof of (27).

3.3.2 Proof of (28)

The roadmap of our proof.

To derive the asymptotic distribution, we first focus on the most contributed part, i.e., those with length $j - i = l_n \sim a \log^3 n$ for $a > 0$. Define

$$u_n = u_n(\tau) := \sqrt{2 \log n} \left(1 + \frac{-3 \log \log n + 2\tau}{4 \log n} \right). \quad (146)$$

For any two constants $0 < A_1 < A_2 < \infty$, define $l_n^- = A_1 \log^3 n$ and $l_n^+ = A_2 \log^3 n$. We prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{M}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) \leq u_n\} = \exp \left\{ -e^{-\tau} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da \right\}. \quad (147)$$

It turns out that to prove (147), within that region, it suffices to focus on

$$\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ := \frac{S_j^+ - S_i^+}{\sqrt{j - i - (S_j^+ - S_i^+)}} \quad (148)$$

instead, up to restricting on subset Ω_n defined in (81). Write

$$\tilde{Z}_n^+(k, l) = \max_{0 \leq i < j \leq n: k \leq j - i \leq l} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+, \quad (149)$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_n^+ = \tilde{Z}_n^+(1, n). \quad (150)$$

We will use Lemma 5 to show that

$$\mathcal{Q}_n := \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x, x+l_n)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right) \sim P_n(0) \left\{ 1 + H^2 \left(\frac{B}{a} \right) \right\}, \quad (151)$$

where $B \geq 1$ is an integer and the quantities $P_n(0)$, $H(x)$, q_n will be specified later. Next, with a domain $\mathbb{J}_n(z)$ (to be specified) larger than $\mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}$, we will show that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{J}_n(z)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right) \sim e^{-\tau} \frac{w_n}{n} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da, \quad (152)$$

which no longer depends on B , with $\Lambda_1(a)$ defined in the theorem part. This enables us to apply Poisson limit theorem in [3] to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{Z}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) \leq u_n\} = \exp \left\{ -e^{-\tau} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da \right\}. \quad (153)$$

The final step will be showing that the region beyond $A_2(\log n)^3$ is negligible, that is,

$$\limsup_{A_2 \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{M}_n^+(l_n^+, n) \geq u_n\} = 0. \quad (154)$$

Therefore setting $A_1 = A$ and letting $A_2 \rightarrow \infty$ yield (28).

We first argue why we can focus on (53) instead when $j - i \asymp \log^3 n$. Note that (128) and (129) continue to hold when $j - i \asymp (\log n)^3$. Hence,

$$\tilde{M}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) = \{1 + O_P(1/\sqrt{n})\} \tilde{Z}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) + O_P(1/\sqrt{n}), \quad (155)$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tilde{Z}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) \leq u_n(\tau - \varepsilon)\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{M}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) \leq u_n(\tau)\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{Z}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) \leq u_n(\tau + \varepsilon)\},$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. If we had established (153), taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ would yield (147). Now we turn to the mainstream of the proof.

PROOF OF (151). We will prove this following a similar strategy as in Kabluchko and Wang [25]. Necessary adjustments are still needed since Kabluchko and Wang [25] focused on $Z_{i,j}^+$ while we are dealing with $\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+$. We will present the parts that need to be adjusted and refer to their results when nothing needs to be changed.

First we work on $\mathcal{Q}_n$. For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \geq 0$, let $l_n = a(\log n)^3$ and define

$$P_n(s) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_{l_n}^+}{\sqrt{l_n - S_{l_n}^+}} \geq u_n - \frac{s}{u_n}\right). \quad (156)$$

Define

$$b_n := \frac{u_n - s/u_n}{\sqrt{l_n}}, \quad (157)$$

for ease of notation. Since $u_n^3 \propto \sqrt{l_n}$ and $b_n \sim \sqrt{2/a}/\log n \rightarrow 0$, for fixed $s > 0$ with sufficiently large n , with the transformation (57), Lemma 1 and Taylor's expansion

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(s) &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{S_{l_n}^+}{\sqrt{l_n}} \geq \sqrt{l_n}g^+(b_n)\right\} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u_n} \exp\left\{-\frac{(u_n - s/u_n)^2}{2} \frac{2I^+(g^+(b_n))}{b_n^2}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u_n} \exp\left\{-\frac{(u_n - s/u_n)^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}b_n\right) + o(1)\right\} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{s + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}a^{-1/2}} \frac{e^{-\tau} \log n}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

Recall that $\mathbb{T}_r(x, y)$ is defined in (48). Define $q_n = (\log n)^2$. By the same techniques in the proof of Lemma 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_n &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x, x+l_n)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x, x+l_n)} \left\{S_j^+ - S_i^+ - (j-i)g^+\left(\frac{u_n}{j-i}\right)\right\} \geq 0\right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq Bq_n} \left\{S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} - (l_n + k_1 + k_2)g^+\left(\frac{u_n}{l_n + k_1 + k_2}\right)\right\} + S_{l_n}^+ \geq 0\right] \\ &= P_n(0) \left\{1 + \int_0^\infty G_n(s) d\nu_n(s)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_n(s)$ defined in (156) is actually the probability distribution of V_{l_n, u_n} , defined in (63). Therein

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(s) &:= \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq Bq_n} \left\{S_{k_1}^{(1)+} + S_{k_2}^{(2)+} - (l_n + k_1 + k_2)g^+\left(\frac{u_n}{\sqrt{l_n + k_1 + k_2}}\right)\right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + l_n \cdot g^+\left(\frac{u_n - s/u_n}{\sqrt{l_n}}\right) \geq 0\right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu_n(\cdot) := P_n(\cdot)/P_n(0). \quad (159)$$

It is immediate that the first and second conditions in Lemma 5 hold by directly mimicking the details in the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [25], that is, for any fixed $s > 0$ and any sequence $s_n \rightarrow s$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(s_n) = \mathbb{P}(M_1 + M_2 \geq s), \quad (160)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n([0, s]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n(s)}{P_n(0)} = e^s. \quad (161)$$

M_1 and M_2 are independent copies with the same distribution as

$$M = \sup_{t \in [0, a^{-1}B]} \{\sqrt{2}W(t) - t\}, \quad (162)$$

where $W(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion (similar but more detailed arguments can be found in the proof of lemma 4.3 in [24]). To verify the third condition in Lemma 5, we need to bound the integral $\int_0^\infty G_n(s) d\nu_n(s)$ from above. This can be immediately completed by using Lemma 7. Hence applying Lemma 5 completes the proof of (151), where

$$H(x) := \mathbb{E}\left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, x]} e^{\sqrt{2}W(t) - t} \right\}, \quad x > 0, \quad (163)$$

therein.

PROOF OF (152). Define $w_n = (\log n)^3$. For $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\mathbb{J}_n(z) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{I} : z \leq i < z + w_n, j - i \in [l_n^-, l_n^+]\}. \quad (164)$$

To derive the rate of $\mathbb{P}(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{J}_n(z)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n)$, by translation invariance we may take $z = 0$. Let δ_n be a real sequence satisfying $\delta_n = o(w_n)$ and $q_n = o(\delta_n)$, e.g. $\delta_n = (\log n)^{2.5}$. For $B \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the following two-dimensional discrete grids with mesh size Bq_n :

$$\mathcal{J}_n(B) = \{(x, y) \in Bq_n\mathbb{Z} \times Bq_n\mathbb{Z} : x \in [-\delta_n, w_n + \delta_n], y - x \in [l_n^- - \delta_n, l_n^+ + \delta_n]\}, \quad (165)$$

$$\mathcal{J}'_n(B) = \{(x, y) \in Bq_n\mathbb{Z} \times Bq_n\mathbb{Z} : x \in [\delta_n, w_n - \delta_n], y - x \in [l_n^- + \delta_n, l_n^+ - \delta_n]\}. \quad (166)$$

By Bonferroni inequality,

$$S'_n(B) - S''_n(B) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{J}_n(0)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n\right) \leq S_n(B), \quad (167)$$

where

$$S_n(B) = \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{J}_n(B)} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x,y)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n\right), \quad (168)$$

$$S'_n(B) = \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{J}'_n(B)} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x,y)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n\right), \quad (169)$$

and

$$S''_n(B) = \sum_{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x_1, y_1)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n, \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x_2, y_2)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n\right), \quad (170)$$

where the summation is taken over $(x_1, y_1) \neq (x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{J}'_n(B)$. As long as we can show

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n w_n^{-1} S_n(B) \leq e^{-\tau} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da, \quad (171)$$

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n w_n^{-1} S'_n(B) \geq e^{-\tau} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da, \quad (172)$$

and

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n w_n^{-1} S''_n(B) = 0, \quad (173)$$

(152) will follow immediately. The proof of (172) is almost identical to that of (171), so we only focus on proving (171) based on the dominated convergence theorem. Define

$$\mathcal{L}_n(B) = B q_n \mathbb{Z} \cap [l_n^- - \delta_n, l_n^+ + \delta_n], \quad (174)$$

such that $|\mathcal{L}_n(B)| \sim (A_2 - A_1)(\log n)/B$. Since the probability on the right-hand side of (168) depends only on $l := y - x$, by translation invariance we have

$$S_n(B) \leq \frac{w_n + \delta_n}{B q_n} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_n(B)} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{(i,j) \in T_{B q_n}(0,l)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right). \quad (175)$$

Next we apply (151) to bound each probability with l fixed and replace $(B q_n)^{-1} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_n(B)}$ by an integral as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (151) and (158),

$$\lambda_{n,B}(a) := \frac{n}{\log n} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{(i,j) \in T_{B q_n}(0, l_{n,B}(a))} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} a^{-1/2} - \tau} \left\{ 1 + H^2 \left(\frac{B}{a} \right) \right\}, \quad (176)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$l_{n,B}(a) = \max \{ l \in B q_n \mathbb{Z} : l \leq a w_n \}. \quad (177)$$

The function $\lambda_{n,B}(a)$ takes constant values on sub-intervals with widths $B q_n / w_n = B / \log n$. It follows that

$$S_n(B) \leq \frac{w_n + \delta_n}{B^2 n} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_n(B)} \frac{B \lambda_{n,B}(a)}{\log n} = \frac{w_n + \delta_n}{B^2 n} \int_{A_1 - \frac{2\delta_n}{w_n}}^{A_2 + \frac{2\delta_n}{w_n}} \lambda_{n,B}(a) da. \quad (178)$$

From Lemma 7, we can upper bound the integrand $\lambda_{n,B}(a)$ by an integrable function that is independent of n . Therefore, applying Fatou's lemma on $\limsup$ gives

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n w_n^{-1} S_n(B) \leq e^{-\tau} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \frac{a^2 \Lambda_1(a)}{B^2} \left\{ 1 + H^2 \left(\frac{B}{a} \right) \right\} da. \quad (179)$$

This result holds for any $B \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} H(B)/B = 1$. Letting $B \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at (171).

To prove (173), we bound $S''_n(B)$ by similar quantities of $Z_{i,j}^+$, which allows us to use results in Kabluchko and Wang [25] immediately. For any interval (x, y) define the event

$$E_n(x, y) = \left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{B q_n}(x,y)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right\}. \quad (180)$$

Note that

$$\frac{g^+(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x^2 + 4} - x) \geq 1 - \frac{x}{2}, \text{ when } x \rightarrow 0. \quad (181)$$

When $y - x \propto (\log n)^3$, $u_n/(y - x) \propto 1/(\log n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(x, y) &= \left\{ \max_{0 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq Bq_n} \left\{ S_{y+l_2}^+ - S_{x-l_1}^+ - (y - x + l_1 + l_2)g^+\left(\frac{u_n}{\sqrt{y - x + l_1 + l_2}}\right) \right\} \geq 0 \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ \max_{0 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq Bq_n} \frac{S_{y+l_2}^+ - S_{x-l_1}^+}{\sqrt{y - x + l_1 + l_2}} \geq \sqrt{y - x + l_1 + l_2}g^+\left(\frac{u_n}{\sqrt{y - x + l_1 + l_2}}\right) \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x,y)} Z_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n(\tau) \left(1 - \frac{u_n}{2\sqrt{y - x + l_1 + l_2}}\right) \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(x,y)} Z_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n(\tau - 0.1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\{E_n(i_1, j_1) \cap E_n(i_2, j_2)\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(i_1, j_1)} Z_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n(\tau - 0.1) \right\} \cap \left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{T}_{Bq_n}(i_2, j_2)} Z_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n(\tau - 0.1) \right\}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to work on $Z_{i,j}^+$ instead. Directly applying Lemma 4.12, Lemma 4.14, Lemma 4.15 and Lemma 4.16 in [25] yields (173).

PROOF OF (153). We will temporarily adopt the notations in Arratia et al. [3]. Define

$$I = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha w_n \leq n\}, \quad (182)$$

which implies $|I| \leq n/w_n$. For any $\alpha \in I$, define

$$X_\alpha = \mathbb{1}\left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{J}_n(\alpha w_n)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right\}, \quad (183)$$

$$p_\alpha = \mathbb{P}(X_\alpha), \quad (184)$$

and

$$B_\alpha = \{\beta \in I : |(\beta - \alpha)w_n| \leq l_n^+ + w_n\}. \quad (185)$$

Hence $|B_\alpha| \leq A_2 + 1$. To apply Theorem 1 in [3], we need to show that

$$b_1 := \sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\beta \in B_\alpha} p_\alpha p_\beta, \quad (186)$$

$$b_2 := \sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta \in B_\alpha} p_{\alpha\beta}, \text{ where } p_{\alpha\beta} := \mathbb{E}(X_\alpha X_\beta), \quad (187)$$

and

$$b'_3 := \sum_{\alpha \in I} s'_\alpha \quad (188)$$

therein vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$s'_\alpha := \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \left(X_\alpha - p_\alpha \middle| \sum_{\beta \in I - B_\alpha} X_\beta \right) \right| \quad (189)$$

By the definition of B_α , $X_\alpha - p_\alpha$ and $\sum_{\beta \in I - B_\alpha} X_\beta$ are independent. Hence $s'_\alpha = 0$, so is b'_3 . It follows from (152) that

$$b_1 \sim |I| |B_\alpha| p_\alpha p_\beta \rightarrow 0. \quad (190)$$

With slight modification on (152),

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{J}_n(\alpha w_n) \cup \mathbb{J}_n(\beta w_n)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right) \sim e^{-\tau} \frac{2w_n}{n} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da. \quad (191)$$

This, together with (152), implies

$$p_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{J}_n(\alpha w_n)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n, \max_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{J}_n(\beta w_n)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n \right) = o \left(\frac{w_n}{n} \right). \quad (192)$$

Thus,

$$b_2 \leq |I| |B_\alpha| \max_{\alpha \neq \beta} p_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow 0. \quad (193)$$

Now, by Theorem 1 in [3],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \tilde{Z}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) \leq u_n \} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha = 0 \right) = e^{-\lambda}, \quad (194)$$

where

$$\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha \rightarrow e^{-\tau} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da. \quad (195)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \tilde{M}_n^+(l_n^-, l_n^+) \leq u_n \} = \exp \left(- e^{-\tau} \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \Lambda_1(a) da \right), \quad (196)$$

by the statement in the beginning of our proof.

PROOF OF (154). Divide $(l_n^+, n]$ into $(l_n^+, (\log n)^4]$, $((\log n)^4, n - (\log n)^4]$ and $(n - (\log n)^4, n]$. Within the first region, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, any pair (i, j) with length $2^k(\log n)^3 \leq j - i \leq 2^{k+1}(\log n)^3$ can be covered by the union of at most $2^{-k}n/\log n$ disjoint discrete squares of the form $\mathbb{T}_{2^k(\log n)^2}(x, x + j - i)$. By (133),

$$1 - (U_{(j)} - U_{(i)}) \geq 1 - 1.1(\log n)^4/n, \quad (197)$$

with probability tending to one. With these facts, by the union bound and Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \{ \tilde{M}_n^+(l_n^+, (\log n)^4) \geq u_n \} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{k: \log_2 A_2 \leq k \leq \log_2(\log n)} \tilde{M}_n^+(2^k(\log n)^3, 2^{k+1}(\log n)^3) \geq u_n \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{k: \log_2 A_2 \leq k \leq \log_2(\log n)} \tilde{Z}_n^+(2^k(\log n)^3, 2^{k+1}(\log n)^3) \geq u_n(\tau - 0.1) \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{k \geq \log_2 A_2} 2^{-k} \frac{n}{\log n} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{(i,j) \in T_{2^k(\log n)^2}(0, 2^{k+1}(\log n)^3)} \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^+ \geq u_n(\tau - 0.1) \right\} + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c) \\ & \leq C \sum_{k \geq \log_2 A_2} 2^{-k} + \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n^c). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ and letting $A_2 \rightarrow \infty$ gives the desired result.

In the meantime, on $((\log n)^4, n - (\log n)^4]$, a finer examination of (132) and (134) yields

$$\left| \frac{n(U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{j - i} - 1 \right| = O_p\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right). \quad (198)$$

(133) and (135) imply

$$\left| \frac{1 - (U_{(j)} - U_{(i)})}{1 - (j - i)/n} - 1 \right| = O_p\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right). \quad (199)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tilde{M}_n^+((\log n)^4, n - (\log n)^4) \geq u_n\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{M_n^+(l_n^+, (\log n)^4) \geq u_n(\tau - 0.1)\} \rightarrow 0,$$

by Theorem 1.

The proof of the region $(n - (\log n)^4, n]$ is immediate by following the proof for (145), which we omit here. $\square$

3.4 Proof of Theorem 4

Define

$$\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^- := \frac{S_j^- - S_i^-}{\sqrt{j - i + S_j^- - S_i^-}}, \quad (200)$$

and

$$g^-(a) := \frac{1}{2}(a\sqrt{a^2 + 4} + a^2). \quad (201)$$

$$I^-(g^-(s)) \geq s^2/2. \quad (202)$$

The theorem follows immediately after showing that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{M}_n^- \geq \varepsilon\sqrt{n}) = 0, \quad (203)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This can be proved similarly by dividing the regions, transforming the statistic $\tilde{M}_{i,j}^-$ into $\tilde{Z}_{i,j}^-$, combined with (202). We omit the detail here.

Acknowledgements

Andrew Ying was partially supported by the Achievement Rewards for College Scientists (ARCS) Scholarship. The authors strongly thanks for Professor Ery Arias-Castro for building up the introduction and providing the motivation. The authors would also like to thank for Professor Qi-Man Shao, Professor Xiao Fang, Professor Hock Peng Chan, and Professor David O. Siegmund for stimulating discussions and pointers to the literature.

References

- [1] Aldous, D. (2013). *Probability approximations via the Poisson clumping heuristic*, Volume 77. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [2] Anderson, T. W. and D. A. Darling (1952). Asymptotic theory of certain “goodness of fit” criteria based on stochastic processes. *The annals of mathematical statistics*, 193–212.

- [3] Arratia, R., L. Goldstein, and L. Gordon (1989). Two moments suffice for poisson approximations: the chen-stein method. *The Annals of Probability* 17(1), 9–25.
- [4] Bahadur, R. R. and R. R. Rao (1960). On deviations of the sample mean. *Ann. Math. Statist* 31(4), 1015–1027.
- [5] Berg, W. (1945). Aggregates in one-and two-dimensional random distributions. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* 36(256), 337–346.
- [6] Berk, R. H. and D. H. Jones (1979). Goodness-of-fit test statistics that dominate the kolmogorov statistics. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete* 47(1), 47–59.
- [7] Besag, J. and J. Newell (1991). The detection of clusters in rare diseases. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)* 154(1), 143–155.
- [8] Chan, H. P. and T. L. Lai (2006). Maxima of asymptotically gaussian random fields and moderate deviation approximations to boundary crossing probabilities of sums of random variables with multidimensional indices. *The Annals of Probability* 34(1), 80–121.
- [9] Cramér, H. (1938). *Les sommes et les fonctions de variables aléatoires*, Volume 736. Hermann.
- [10] Darling, D. and P. Erdős (1956). A limit theorem for the maximum of normalized sums of independent random variables. *Duke Mathematical Journal* 23(1), 143–155.
- [11] Deheuvels, P., L. Devroye, J. Lynch, et al. (1986). Exact convergence rate in the limit theorems of erdos-renyi and shepp. *The Annals of Probability* 14(1), 209–223.
- [12] Dumbgen, L. and V. G. Spokoiny (2001). Multiscale testing of qualitative hypotheses. *Annals of Statistics*, 124–152.
- [13] Eicker, F. (1979). The asymptotic distribution of the suprema of the standardized empirical processes. *The Annals of Statistics*, 116–138.
- [14] Erdős, P. and A. Rényi (1970). On a new law of large numbers. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* 23(1), 103–111.
- [15] Glaz, J. and N. Balakrishnan (Eds.) (2012). *Scan Statistics and Applications*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [16] Glaz, J. and M. V. Koutras (Eds.) (2018+). *Handbook of Scan Statistics*. Springer, New York.
- [17] Glaz, J., J. I. Naus, and S. Wallenstein (2001). *Scan statistics*. Springer.
- [18] Glaz, J., V. Pozdnyakov, and S. Wallenstein (Eds.) (2009). *Scan statistics: methods and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [19] Gontscharuk, V. and H. Finner (2017). Asymptotics of goodness-of-fit tests based on minimum p-value statistics. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 46(5), 2332–2342.
- [20] Heffernan, R., F. Mostashari, D. Das, A. Karpati, M. Kulldorff, and D. Weiss (2004). Syndromic surveillance in public health practice, New York City. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 10(5), 858–864.
- [21] Jaeschke, D. (1979). The asymptotic distribution of the supremum of the standardized empirical distribution function on subintervals. *The Annals of Statistics*, 108–115.
- [22] Jager, L. and J. A. Wellner (2004). A new goodness of fit test: the reversed berk-jones statistic.
- [23] Jager, L. and J. A. Wellner (2007). Goodness-of-fit tests via phi-divergences. *The Annals of Statistics* 35(5), 2018–2053.
- [24] Kabluchko, Z. (2011). Extremes of the standardized gaussian noise. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 121(3), 515–533.
- [25] Kabluchko, Z. and Y. Wang (2014). Limiting distribution for the maximal standardized increment of a random walk. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 124(9), 2824–2867.
- [26] Kolmogorov, A. (1933). Sulla determinazione empirica di una legge di distribuzione. *Giornale dell'Istituto Italiano degli Attuari* 4, 89–91.
- [27] König, C., A. Munk, and F. Werner (2018). Multidimensional multiscale scanning in exponential families: Limit theory and statistical consequences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.07995*.

- [28] Kulldorff, M. (1997). A spatial scan statistic. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and methods* 26(6), 1481–1496.
- [29] Mack, C. (1948). An exact formula for $q_k(n)$, the probable number of k -aggregates in a random distribution of n points. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* 39(297), 778–790.
- [30] Mason, D. M., G. R. Shorack, and J. A. Wellner (1983). Strong limit theorems for oscillation moduli of the uniform empirical process. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete* 65(1), 83–97.
- [31] Mikosch, T. and A. Račkauskas (2010). The limit distribution of the maximum increment of a random walk with regularly varying jump size distribution. *Bernoulli* 16(4), 1016–1038.
- [32] Moscovich, A., B. Nadler, and C. Spiegelman (2016). On the exact berk-jones statistics and their p -value calculation. *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 10(2), 2329–2354.
- [33] Naus, J. I. (1965). The distribution of the size of the maximum cluster of points on a line. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 60(310), 532–538.
- [34] Petrov, V. V. (1995). Limit theorems of probability theory: sequences of independent random variables. Technical report, Oxford, New York.
- [35] Proksch, K., F. Werner, and A. Munk (2018). Multiscale scanning in inverse problems. *The Annals of Statistics* 46(6B), 3569–3602.
- [36] Qualls, C. and H. Watanabe (1973). Asymptotic properties of gaussian random fields. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 177, 155–171.
- [37] Sharpnack, J. and E. Arias-Castro (2016). Exact asymptotics for the scan statistic and fast alternatives. *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 10(2), 2641–2684.
- [38] Shorack, G. R. and J. A. Wellner (2009). *Empirical processes with applications to statistics*. SIAM.
- [39] Siegmund, D. and E. Venkatraman (1995). Using the generalized likelihood ratio statistic for sequential detection of a change-point. *The Annals of Statistics*, 255–271.
- [40] Silberstein, L. (1945). The probable number of aggregates in distributions of points. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* 36(256), 319–336.