SOME SHARP ISOPERIMETRIC-TYPE INEQUALITIES ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS
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ABSTRACT. We prove some sharp isoperimetric type inequalities for domains with smooth boundary on Riemannian manifolds. For example, we show that among all domains with a lower bound \( l \) for the cut distance and Ricci curvature lower bound \((n-1)k\), the geodesic ball of radius \( l \) in the space form of curvature \( k \) has the largest area-to-volume ratio. We also prove another isoperimetric inequality involving the extrinsic radius of a domain when the curvature of the ambient space is bounded above. We then extend this inequality in two directions: one involves the higher order curvatures when the ambient space is a space form, and the other one involves the Hausdorff measure of the cut locus.

1. Introduction

Let \( \Omega \) be a Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. We define the area-to-volume ratio to be \( \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \), where \( |\partial \Omega| \) is the area of \( \partial \Omega \) and \( |\Omega| \) is the volume of \( \Omega \). In this note, we prove a sharp isoperimetric type inequality under a lower bound of the Ricci curvature and the cut distance. More precisely, we prove that among all domains with a lower bound \( l \) for the cut distance and Ricci curvature lower bound \((n-1)k\), the geodesic ball of radius \( l \) in the space form of curvature \( k \) has the largest area-to-volume ratio (Corollary 4):

**Theorem 1** (Corollary 4). Suppose \( (\Omega^n, g) \) is a complete Riemannian manifold with smooth compact boundary. Assume the Ricci curvature of \( \Omega \) satisfies \( \text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg \) and the cut distance of \( \Omega \) satisfies \( c(\Omega) = l \). Then

\[
\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\text{Area}(S^{n-1}_k(l))}{\text{Vol}(B^n_k(l))}.
\]

The equality holds if and only if \( \Omega \) is isometric to \( B^n_k(l) \).

Here, \( B^n_k(l) \) and \( S^n_k(l) \) denotes the geodesic ball and the geodesic sphere of radius \( l \) in a simply connected space form of curvature \( k \), respectively.

This result can be compared to the Levy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality [5], which states that
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Theorem 2 (Levy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality). Suppose $(M^n, g)$ is a closed manifold which has Ricci curvature $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)k$, $k > 0$. Assume $\Omega$ is a domain in $M$ which has a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and let $B$ be a geodesic ball in $M_k = \mathbb{S}^n(1/\sqrt{k})$, the $n$-sphere of radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (which has curvature $k$), such that $\frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega)}{\text{Vol}(M)} = \frac{\text{Vol}(B)}{\text{Vol}(M_k)}$. Then

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(M)} \geq \frac{\text{Area}(\partial B)}{\text{Vol}(M_k)}. \quad (1.1)$$

There are two features of our result. One is that unlike the Levy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality, we allow the Ricci curvature lower bound to be arbitrary (not necessarily positive) and still get a sharp inequality. The second one, which seems more interesting, is that we provide a lower bound for the volume instead of an upper bound (of course the bound cannot depend only on the boundary area, but also on its cut distance). This is in contrast with the classical isoperimetric inequality, the Levy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality and the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, all of which provide (roughly speaking) an upper bound of the volume of a domain either in terms of its boundary area, or in terms of the volume of its counterpart in the comparison model space. More precisely, note that (1.1) can be written as $\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(M)} \geq \frac{\text{Area}(\partial B)}{\text{Vol}(M_k)}$. Comparing this with the Corollary 4, the inequality sign is “reversed”. The Laplacian comparison theorem (Theorem 5 (1) when $\Omega = B(p, r)$), Bonnet-Myers’ theorem (Theorem 6) and Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem (Corollary 7) also follow as corollaries of our main result.

In the second part of this paper, we prove an isoperimetric-isodiametric inequality. Let $M$ be a complete Riemannian manifold (without boundary). For $\Omega \subset M$, the extrinsic radius is $\text{rad}_M(\Omega) := \inf \{ r > 0 : \Omega \subset B(x_0, r) \text{ for some } x_0 \in M \}$, where $B(x_0, r)$ is the geodesic ball centered at $x_0$ with radius $r$ in $M$. We can prove the following result.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 12). Let $M$ be a complete Riemannian manifold (without boundary). Suppose $(\Omega^n, g)$ is a domain in $M$ with smooth compact boundary and with $\text{rad}_M(\Omega) < \infty$. Suppose the sectional curvature of $M$ is bounded above by $k$. Let $\text{rad}_M(\Omega) = L$, which is assumed to be $\leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{k}}$ if $k > 0$. Then

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{\text{Area}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}_k(L))}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n_k(L))}. \quad (1.2)$$

The equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is isometric to $\mathbb{B}^n_k(L)$.

Not all domains are contained in a geodesic ball. If we replace the geodesic balls by metric balls in the above definition, we obtain an isoperimetric inequality on the area $(n - 1 \text{ dimensional Hausdorff measure})$ of the cut locus instead.

Theorem 4 (Corollary 15). Suppose $M$ is an $n$-dimensional closed (compact without boundary) manifold and its curvature is bounded from above by $k$. Let $x_0 \in M$. If
$k > 0$, we further assume that $\text{rad}(x_0) < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{|k|}}$. Then

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{2\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\text{Cut}(x_0))} \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_n^k(L))}{\text{Area}(S^{n-1}_k(L))}$$

where $L = \text{rad}(x_0)$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1. In order to prove Theorem 1, we introduced the concept of generalized convexity in Subsection 2.1. Theorem 1 is then proved in Subsection 2.2. In some cases, we can replace the assumptions on the cut distance with assumptions on the curvature of the boundary and such results are presented in Subsection 2.3. In Subsections 3.1, 3.2, Theorem 3 together with their generalizations to higher order mean curvatures are proved. In Subsection 3.3, Theorem 3 is generalized to the case where the domain is not necessarily contained in a geodesic ball, and in particular Theorem 4 is proved.
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2. An isoperimetric inequality involving the cut distance

2.1. Generalized convex functions. In order to prove our main results, we need some knowledge of the theory of generalized convex functions. We first fix the notation. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed throughout this note. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda > \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\
-\infty & \text{if } k > 0 \\
\sqrt{-k} & \text{if } k < 0
\end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and let

$$l = l_k(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\lambda} & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cot^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{k}} \right) & \text{if } k > 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-k}} \coth^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{-k}} \right) & \text{if } k < 0
\end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lambda = \lambda_k(l) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{l} & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\sqrt{k} \cot \left( \sqrt{k}l \right) & \text{if } k > 0 \\
\sqrt{-k} \coth \left( \sqrt{-k}l \right) & \text{if } k < 0
\end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

We also define $\sigma_{k, \lambda}(t)$ to be the solution of

$$\sigma''(t) + k \sigma(t) = 0, \sigma(0) = 1, \sigma'(0) = -\lambda.$$
More explicitly,

\[
\sigma_{k,\lambda}(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \lambda t & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\cos \left( \sqrt{k} t \right) - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{k}} \sin \left( \sqrt{k} t \right) & \text{if } k > 0 \\
cosh \left( \sqrt{-k} t \right) - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{-k}} \sinh \left( \sqrt{-k} t \right) & \text{if } k < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(2.4)

The geometric meaning of \( l \) and \( \lambda \) are as follows. In the \( n \)-dimensional simply-connected space form \( M_k \) with curvature \( k \), the geodesic sphere with radius \( l \), \( S_n^{-1}(l) \), is umbilical with principal curvatures equal to \( \lambda \). Let \( B_{\bar{k}}(l) \) be the geodesic ball of radius \( l \) in \( M_k \).

**Proposition 1.**

1. Suppose \( \lambda \) and \( l \) be defined by (2.1) and (2.2) respectively. Assume \( f, \overline{f} : [0, l] \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfy

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\overline{f}'' = -k \overline{f} & \text{on } [0, l], \quad \overline{f}(0) = 1, \quad \overline{f}(l) \geq 0 \\
f'' \leq -kf & \text{on } [0, l], \\
f(0) = 1.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Then \( \overline{f}(t) \geq f(t) \) on \([0, l] \).

2. If \( k \leq 0 \) and \( f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfies

\[
f'' \leq -kf, \quad f(0) = 1, \quad f(t) > 0
\]

Then \( f(t) \geq \overline{f}(t) \), where \( \overline{f}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\
e^{-\sqrt{-kt}} & \text{if } k < 0.\end{cases} \)

To prove Proposition 1, we introduce the concept of \( \mathcal{F} \)-convexity. Let \( \mathcal{F}_k \) be the space of all solutions to the second order differential equations

\[
h'' = -kh \quad \text{on } [0, l].
\]

(2.5)

More explicitly, \( \mathcal{F}_k = \text{span}\{c_k, s_k\} \) where

\[
c_k(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\cos \left( \sqrt{k} t \right) & \text{if } k > 0 \\
cosh \left( \sqrt{-k} t \right) & \text{if } k < 0
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
s_k(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sin \left( \sqrt{k} t \right) & \text{if } k > 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-k}} \sinh \left( \sqrt{-k} t \right) & \text{if } k < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(2.6)

For fixed \( k \), a function \( f \) defined on \([0, l] \) is said to be \( \mathcal{F}_k \)-convex if

1. for any \( 0 \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq l \), there is a unique solution \( h \) to (2.5) with \( h(x_i) = f(x_i) \), and
2. \( f \leq h \) on \([x_1, x_2]\).

A function \( f \) is said to be \( \mathcal{F}_k \)-concave if \(-f \) is \( \mathcal{F}_k \)-convex. By [17, Theorem 2], we have the following characterization of \( \mathcal{F}_k \)-concavity.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose \( f \) is \( C^2 \) on \([0, l] \) and \( \left| \begin{array}{cc} s_k(x_1) & c_k(x_1) \\
{s_k(x_2)} & c_k(x_2) \end{array} \right| \neq 0 \) for any distinct \( x_1, x_2 \in [0, l] \). Then \( f'' \leq -kf \) if and only if \( f \) is \( \mathcal{F}_k \)-concave.
**Proof of Proposition 1.** Assume \( l \) is finite. By Lemma 1, \( f \) is \( F_k \)-concave. So if \( h \) is the unique function in \( F_k \) such that \( f(0) = h(0) \) and \( f(l) = h(l) \), then \( f \geq h \) on \([0,l] \). The function \( h(t) \) is of the form

\[
h(t) = c_k(t) + \beta s_k(t)
\]

where \( c_k(l) + \beta s_k(l) = h(l) = f(l) \geq 0 \). So \( \beta \geq -\frac{c_k(l)}{s_k(l)} = -\lambda \). On the other hand, it is easy to see that

\[
\overline{f}(t) = c_k(t) - \lambda s_k(t).
\]

So we have

\[
f(t) \geq h(t) = c_k(t) + \beta s_k(t) \geq c_k(t) - \lambda s_k(t) = \overline{f}(t)
\]
on \([0,l] \).

In the case where \( f : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfies \( f(t) > 0 \), then the above argument shows that

\[
f(t) \geq \overline{f}_l(t)
\]
on \([0,l] \) for any \( l < \infty \), where \( \overline{f}_l \) is given by (2.7). Letting \( l \to \infty \) then gives the result. \( \square \)

2.2. **Main results.** Suppose \((\Omega^n, g)\) is a Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. We will assume that \( \Omega \) is orientable. Let \( p \in \Sigma = \partial \Omega \) and \( N(p) \) be the inward unit normal of \( \Sigma \). We define the cut function \( c(p) := \sup \{ t : d(\exp_p(tN(p)), \Sigma) = t \} \) and \( c(\Omega) := \inf_{p \in \partial \Omega} c(p) \). We say a Riemannian manifold with boundary is complete if it is complete as a metric space.

Sometimes to simplify notations, we let \( | \cdot | \) to denote the \( k \)-dimensional volume for any \( k \), so e.g. \(|\Omega|\) to denote \( \text{Vol}(\Omega) \) and \(|\partial \Omega|\) denotes \( \text{Area}(\partial \Omega) \), which is the \((n - 1)\)-dimensional volume of \( \partial \Omega \).

The following function is essential in stating our results. Let

\[
h_k(t) = \frac{|B^n_k(t)|}{|S^{n-1}_k(t)|}.
\]

Explicitly,

\[
h_k(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{t/n}{\sin^{n-1}(\sqrt{k}t)} & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\frac{\int_0^t \sin^{n-1} \left( \sqrt{k}r \right) \, dr}{\sin^{n-1}(\sqrt{k}t)} & \text{if } k > 0 \\
\frac{\int_0^t \sinh^{n-1} \left( \sqrt{-k}r \right) \, dr}{\sinh^{n-1}(\sqrt{-k}t)} & \text{if } k < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We first begin with some simple properties of \( h_k \).
Lemma 2. The function \( h_k(t) = \frac{\|b_n(t)\|}{\|c_k^{-1}(t)\|} \) is increasing on \((0, l)\), where 
\[
l = \begin{cases} 
\infty & \text{if } k \leq 0 \\
\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}} & \text{if } k > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. From (2.9), \(1/h_k(t)\) is of the form
\[
\int_0^t \frac{(s_k^{-1})'(r)dr}{s_k^{-1}(r)dr}
\]
where \( s_k(r) \) is given by (2.6). By Lemma 3 below, since it is readily checked that 
\[
\frac{(s_k^{-1})'(r)}{s_k^{-1}(r)} = (n-1) \frac{s_k'(r)}{s_k(r)}
\]
is decreasing, so is \(1/h_k\).

\[
\square
\]

Lemma 3 ([3] Lemma 1.4.10). If \(f, g\) are two continuous functions such that \(f(t)/g(t)\) is decreasing for \(t > 0\) and \(g\) is positive, then 
\[
\frac{\int_0^t f(s)ds}{\int_0^t g(s)ds}
\]
is decreasing for \(t > 0\).

Lemma 4. Let \(g(t)\) be a smooth function such that \(g(0) = 0\), \(g(t) > 0\) and \(1/g(t)\) is strictly convex for \(t > 0\). Let \(h(t) := \int_0^t \frac{g(r)dr}{g(t)}\) and \(u(t) = \log(g(t))\). Assume also that \(\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{u'(t)g(t)}{u'(t)^2 - u''(t)} = 0\).

(1) If \(2(u'')^2 - u'u''' > 0\), then \(h(t)\) is a strictly convex function.

(2) If \(2(u'')^2 - u'u''' < 0\), then \(h(t)\) is a strictly concave function.

Proof. Since \(h(t) = e^{-u(t)} \int_0^t e^{u(r)} dr\), we compute \(h'(t) = -u'(t)e^{-u(t)} \int_0^t e^{u(r)} dr + 1\) and 
\[
h''(t) = -u''(t)e^{-u(t)} \int_0^t e^{u(r)} dr + u'(t)^2 e^{-u(t)} \int_0^t e^{u(r)} dr - u'(t)
\]
\[
= \left(u'(t)^2 - u''(t)\right) e^{-u(t)} \int_0^t e^{u(r)} dr - u'(t).
\]
Note that \((1/g)'' = e^{-u}'' = e^{-u} (u'^2 - u'') > 0\), so the condition \(h''(t) > 0\) is equivalent to
\[
\int_0^t e^{u(r)} dr > \frac{u'(t)e^{u(t)}}{u'(t)^2 - u''(t)}.
\]
At \(t = 0\), both sides of the above is 0 (by continuous extension). Thus by taking the derivative again, the above can be implied by
\[
0 < e^{u(t)} - \left(\frac{u'(t)e^{u(t)}}{u'(t)^2 - u''(t)}\right)' = e^{u} \left[\frac{2(u'')^2 - u'u'''}{(u'^2 - u'')^2}\right],
\]
which is true by our assumption in case 1. The concave case is of course similar. \(\square\)

Lemma 5.

(1) If \(k > 0\), then \(h_k(t)\) is strictly convex on \((0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}})\).

(2) \(h_0\) is linear.

(3) If \(k < 0\), then \(h_k(t)\) is strictly concave.
Proof. The function \( h_0 \) is obviously linear. It is easy to see that \( \frac{1}{s_k(t)^{n-1}} \) is convex for all \( k \) and if \( k > 0 \), then \( u(t) = m \log(s_k(t)) \) satisfies \( 2u'' - u''' = 2k^2m^2\csc^2(\sqrt{kt}) > 0 \) on \( (0, \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}) \) and so \( h_k(t) \) is convex by Lemma 4. Similar if \( k < 0 \), then \( 2u'' - u''' = -2k^2m^2\csc^2(\sqrt{-kt}) < 0 \) and so \( h_k \) is concave. \( \Box \)

We can now state our first main result.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose \((\Omega^n, g)\) is a complete Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. Assume the Ricci curvature of \( \text{Ric} \geq \text{Corollary 4} \)

**Suppose Corollary 3.**

**Corollary 2.**

**Corollary 1.** \( \text{Vol}(\Omega) \geq \int_{\partial \Omega} h_k(c(p))dS. \)

**Theorem 5.** Suppose \( \text{Ric} \geq (n - 1)kg \) on \( \Omega \), then \( h_k(t) \frac{\text{H}^{n-1}}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \{ p \in \partial \Omega : c(p) \geq t \} \leq \text{Vol}(\Omega). \)

**Corollary 1.** Suppose \( \text{Ric} \geq 0 \) on \( \Omega \) and \( \text{Vol}(\Omega) < \infty \), then \( \text{H}^{n-1} \{ p \in \partial \Omega : c(p) = \infty \} = 0. \)

Before proving Theorem 5, we give some simple corollaries. Recall the Chebyshev’s inequality: if \( h \) is a non-negative non-decreasing function on a measure space \((X, \mu)\), then \( \mu(\{ x : f(x) \geq t \}) \leq \frac{1}{h(t)} \int_X h \circ f \mu. \)

**Combining Lemma 2, Theorem 5 and the Chebyshev’s inequality, we have the following corollaries.**

**Corollary 1.** Suppose \( \text{Ric} \geq (n - 1)kg \) on \( \Omega \), then \( h_k(t) \frac{\text{H}^{n-1}}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \{ p \in \partial \Omega : c(p) \geq t \} \leq \text{Vol}(\Omega). \)

**Corollary 2.** Suppose \( \text{Ric} \geq 0 \) on \( \Omega \) and \( \text{Vol}(\Omega) < \infty \), then \( \text{H}^{n-1} \{ p \in \partial \Omega : c(p) = \infty \} = 0. \)

It is easy to see that if \( k < 0 \), \( h_k(t) \uparrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{-k(n-1)}} \) as \( t \to \infty \). From this we have

**Corollary 3.** Suppose \( \text{Ric} \geq (n - 1)kg \) on \( \Omega \) for some \( k < 0 \), then \( \text{H}^{n-1} \{ p \in \partial \Omega : c(p) = \infty \} \leq \sqrt{-k}(n - 1)\text{Vol}(\Omega). \)

**Corollary 4 (Isoperimetric inequality).** Suppose \((\Omega^n, g)\) is a complete Riemannian manifold with smooth compact boundary. Assume the Ricci curvature of \( \Omega \) satisfies \( \text{Ric} \geq (n - 1)kg \)

**If \( k \geq 0 \) and the average of the cut function \( \int_{\partial \Omega} c(p)dS \geq l. \) Then**

\[
\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(l))}{\text{Vol}(B_k^n(l))}.
\]

**If \( k < 0 \) and \( c(\Omega) \geq l. \) Then**

\[
\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(l))}{\text{Vol}(B_k^n(l))}.
\]
In both cases, the equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is isometric to $\mathbb{B}_k(n)$. 

We now prove Theorem 5.

**Proof of Theorem 5.** (1) Let $m = n - 1$, $p \in \partial \Omega$ and $N(p)$ be the inward unit normal of $\partial \Omega$. Define $F(p, t)$ by $dV(\exp_p(tN(p))) = F(p, t)dt \wedge dS$, where $dS$ be the induced area form on $\Sigma$. The second variational formula then reads ([[15, Equation 1.5]])

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} F(p, t) = -\text{Ric}(\partial_t, \partial_t) F(p, t) + (H(p, t)^2 - |A(p, t)|^2) F(p, t),
$$

where $H(p, t)$ and $A(p, t)$ denotes the mean curvature and the second fundamental form (w.r.t. outward normal) of the hypersurface $\Sigma_t = \psi_t(\Sigma)$ at the point $\psi_t(p)$, and $\psi_t(p) = \exp_p(tN(p))$. We use the convention that $A = -\nabla N$ on $\Sigma$ and $H = \text{tr}(A)$.

Let us write $F(p, t) := F(p, t)$, regarded as a family of functions in $t$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the first variational formula $F_p' = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -H(p, t)F_p$, (2.10) we have

$$
F_p'' \leq \frac{m - 1}{m} H(p, t)^2 F_p - \text{Ric}(\partial_t, \partial_t) F_p
$$

$$
= \frac{m - 1}{m} \frac{F_p'^2}{F_p} - \text{Ric}(\partial_t, \partial_t) F_p
$$

$$
\leq \frac{m - 1}{m} \frac{F_p'^2}{F_p} - mk F_p.
$$

(2.11)

For convenience, we let $f_p(t) = F_p(t)^{\frac{1}{m}}$. Then from (2.11), we have

$$
f_p'' \leq -kf_p.
$$

Taking also the boundary conditions $F_p(0) = 1$ and $F_p(c(p)) \geq 0$ into considerations (as there cannot be any focal point along $t \mapsto \exp_p(tN(p))$ before $c(p)$), we have

$$
\begin{cases}
   f_p'' \leq -kf_p \\
   f_p(0) = 1 \\
   f_p(c(p)) \geq 0.
\end{cases}
$$

For any $p$, let $\tilde{f}_{c(p)}(t)$ be the solution of the ODE (if $k > 0$, $c(p) < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$; cf. e.g. [4])

$$
\begin{cases}
   \tilde{f}_{c(p)}'' = -k \tilde{f}_{c(p)} \\
   \tilde{f}_{c(p)}(0) = 1, \\
   \tilde{f}_{c(p)}(c(p)) = 0.
\end{cases}
$$
More explicitly, if we define \( \lambda_p = \lambda_k(c(p)) \), then \( f_{c(p)} \) is given by \( f_{c(p)}(t) = \sigma_k, \lambda_p(t) \) (see (2.4)). (If \( c(p) = \infty \), we take the limit \( \lambda_p = \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \) and \( f_{c(p)} \) is still well-defined.) By Proposition 1, we have \( f_p(t) \geq \sigma_{c(p)}(t) \) on \([0, c(p)]\). So (2.10) gives \( H(p) \leq m\lambda_k(c(p)) \).

To complete the proof, note that
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Vol}(\Omega) &= \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_0^{c(p)} f_p(t)^m dt dS(p) \\
&\geq \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_0^{c(p)} f_{c(p)}(t)^m dt dS(p) \\
&= \int_{\partial \Omega} h_k(c(p)) dS.
\end{align*}
\]

The last line follows because the volume of \( \mathbb{B}_k(r) \) is exactly given by
\[
|\mathbb{B}_k^p(r)| = \int_{\mathbb{S}_k^p(r)} \int_0^r \overline{f}_r(t)^m dt dS = |\mathbb{S}_k^m(r)| \int_0^r \overline{f}_r(t)^m dt. \tag{2.13}
\]

and so \( h_k(r) = \int_0^r \overline{f}_r(t)^m dt \).

(2) Suppose the equality holds and \( \text{Vol}(\Omega) < \infty \). Then \( F(p, t) = \left( \overline{f}_{c(p)}(t) \right)^m \) and \( \Sigma_t \) is umbilical by (2.11). The umbilicity implies that \( \nabla^2 t|_{\Sigma_t} = \overline{f}_{c(p)}(t) g|_{\Sigma_t(p)} \).

Let \( h := g|_{\Sigma_t} \), regarded as a family of metrics on \( \Sigma \) and \( x^i \) be a local coordinates on \( \Sigma \). Then
\[
\frac{d}{dt} h_{ij} = h(\nabla_i \partial_t, \partial_j) + h(\partial_i, \nabla_j \partial_t) = 2 \nabla^2 t(\partial_i, \partial_j) = 2 \overline{f}_{c(p)}(t) \frac{\overline{f}_{c(p)}(t)}{\overline{f}_{c(p)}(t)} h_{ij}.
\]

From this it is easy to see that \( h = \left( \overline{f}_{c(p)}(t) \right)^2 g|_{\Sigma} \) and so \( g(p, t) = dt^2 + \left( \overline{f}_{c(p)}(t) \right)^2 g|_{\Sigma(p)} \).

(3) If \( \Omega \) is compact, \( k = 0 \) and the equality holds, then as before \( \partial \Omega \) is umbilical and \( c(p) = \frac{1}{H(p)} > 0 \) for all \( p \in \partial \Omega \). The equality then becomes \( \int_{\partial \Omega} \overline{f}_t dS = n\text{Vol}(\Omega) \). By the equality case of [18, Theorem 1], \( \Omega \) is isometric to an Euclidean ball.

\[\square\]

Interestingly, the above argument also leads to a proof of the Bonnet-Myers’ theorem.

**Theorem 6.** If \( M^n \) is a compact Riemannian manifold with \( \text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg \ (k > 0) \), then \( \text{diam}(M) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}} \).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality assume \( k = 1 \). With the notation in the proof of Theorem 5, the argument there actually shows that if \( c(p) \geq l \ (l < \pi) \), then \( f_p(t) \geq \overline{f}_t(t) \) on \([0, l] \). By (2.10) and (2.4), this implies \( H(p) \leq (n-1) \cot l \).
Now, if there exists \( p_0 \in M \) such that \( \max d(p_0, \cdot) > \pi \). Take a small geodesic ball \( B(p_0, \varepsilon) \) such that there exists \( p \in \partial \Omega \) with \( c(p) \geq \pi \), where \( \Omega := M \setminus B(p_0, \varepsilon) \). From the above, the mean curvature of \( \partial B(p_0, \varepsilon) \) at \( p \) is infinite, a contradiction.

**Proof of Corollary 4.** The inequality in case 2 follows from Theorem 5 and Lemma 2. Then function \( h_0(t) \) is linear and by Lemma 5, \( h_k \) is strictly convex when \( k > 0 \). So when \( k \geq 0 \), by Theorem 5 and Jensen inequality,

\[
\text{Vol}(\Omega) \geq \int_{\partial \Omega} h_k(c(p))dS \geq |\partial \Omega| h_k \left( \int_{\partial \Omega} c(p)dS \right)
\]

where \( f_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} \). In other words,

\[
\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{-1}(l))}{\text{Vol}(B_k^{n}(l))}.
\]

Suppose the equality holds. Then in both cases, we deduce from (2.12) that \( c(p) = l \) for all \( p \in \partial \Omega \) and \( f = f_{\Omega} \). Therefore \( F(t) = (f_{\Omega}(t))^{n-1} \) and so from (2.10), the mean curvature of \( \partial \Omega \) is exactly the mean curvature of \( S_k^{-1}(l) \) in \( B_k^{n}(l) \), which is equal to \( (n-1)\lambda \). Moreover, clearly there exists \( q \in \Omega \) such that \( d(q, \partial \Omega) = l \).

It then follows from [8, Theorem A (2)] that \( \Omega \) is isometric to \( B_k^{n}(l) \) (note that \( l \) is exactly \( C_1(k, -\lambda) \) in [8]).

Let us single out a weaker version of the \( k = 0 \) case of Corollary 4 here, as \( h_0 \) can be easily written down.

**Corollary 5.** Suppose \( (\Omega^n, g) \) has non-negative Ricci curvature, then

\[
c(\Omega)|\partial \Omega| \leq n|\Omega|.
\]

The equality holds if and only if \( \Omega \) is a Euclidean ball.

**Remark 1.**

1. By inspecting the proof of Theorem 5, we can actually generalize the estimate to \( \text{Vol}(\Omega_{\rho}) \geq \int_{\partial \Omega} j_k(c(p), \rho)dS(p) \), where \( j_k(r, \rho) = \frac{1}{s_k(r)^{n+1}} \int_{s_k(r)^{n+1}}^{s_k(r)^m} s_k(l)^{n+1}d\tau \) and \( \Omega_{\rho} = \{ x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial \Omega) \leq \rho \} \).

2. A special case of Corollary 5, where \( \Omega \) is a metric ball, is proved in [16] (Theorem 1.1). It is easy to see that Corollary 5 is not true if \( \Omega \) does not have non-negative Ricci curvature. For example, let \( \Omega \) be the revolution surface obtained by rotating the graph of \( e^{x-L} \) on \([0, L] \) about the \( x \)-axis. Then dependent of \( L \), \( |\partial \Omega| \geq 2\pi \), \( c(\Omega) \to \infty \) as \( L \to \infty \) but the area of \( \Omega \) is bounded from above by a constant independent of \( L \). Clearly, \( \Omega \) is negatively curved and does not satisfy the assumptions of Corollary 5.

**Corollary 6.** Let \( M^n \) be a complete Riemannian manifold with \( \text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg \). If \( \Omega_r \) is a family of smooth domains such that \( \partial \Omega_r \) varies smoothly with \( r \) and either \( c(\Omega_r) = r \) when \( k < 0 \), or \( f_{\partial \Omega_r} \) when \( k \geq 0 \). Then

\[
\frac{|\Omega_r|}{|\partial \Omega_r|} \geq \frac{|B_k^{n}(r)|}{|S_k^{-1}(r)|}.
\] (2.14)
In particular, if \( k_1 \geq k_2 = k \), \( \Omega_r = \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^n(r) \) and \( r < \text{diam}(M_{k_1}) \), then

\[ h_{k_1}(r) \geq h_{k_2}(r). \]

Examples of \( \Omega_r \) satisfying (2.14) include geodesics balls in \( M \), or by rescaling a smooth domain in the Euclidean space. The above result also gives an alternative proof of the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem.

**Corollary 7.** Suppose \( M^n \) is a complete Riemannian manifold with \( \text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg \). Let \( B(p,r) \) be a geodesic ball of radius \( r \) in \( M \), then \( \frac{|B(p,r)|}{|B_k^n(r)|} \) is monotonic decreasing.

**Proof.** Observe that when \( \Omega_r = B(p,r) \), (2.14) can be rewritten as \( \frac{d}{dr} \log \left( \frac{|B(p,r)|}{|B_k^n(r)|} \right) \leq 0 \).

Another corollary is a volume comparison theorem (or, in the dual sense, area comparison theorem if an upper bound of the volume is imposed instead of a lower bound of the boundary area).

**Corollary 8.** Suppose \((\Omega^n, g)\) is a complete Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. Assume the Ricci curvature of \( \Omega \) satisfies \( \text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg \). Suppose there exists \( l > 0 \) such that \( \text{Area}(\partial \Omega) \geq \text{Area}(S^{n-1}_k(l)) \) and \( c(\Omega) \geq l \). Then

\[ \text{Vol}(\Omega) \geq \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_k^n(l)). \]

The equality holds if and only if \( \Omega \) is isometric to \( \mathbb{B}_k^n(l) \).

**Remark 2.** The assumption on the cut distance in Corollary 8 is necessary, even if we impose more stringent conditions on the boundary.

First of all, it is easy to see that the condition on the area alone is insufficient, as we can always find an ellipsoid in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with arbitrarily large boundary area but arbitrarily small volume.

Now we consider another case. Suppose \((\Omega^n, g)\) has boundary \( \Sigma \) such that it has Ricci curvature \( \text{Ric} \geq 0 \) and \( \Sigma \) is isometric to \( S^{n-1} \) and such that its boundary has mean curvature \( H \leq n-1 \), it is still not true that \( \text{Vol}(\Omega) \geq \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) \).

For a long and thin ellipse \( \Sigma \) lying on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) with circumference \( 2\pi \), we can add a convex cap \( \Omega \) on it in the upper half space such that \( \Sigma \) is totally geodesic in \( \Omega \), i.e. \( k_g = 0 \), but it has area less than \( \pi \) (because the “thin ellipse” can have arbitrary small area and the cap can be “arbitrarily short”).

We note that Corollary 4 can be easily adapted to a weighted version, which may have independent interest.

**Theorem 7** (Weighted isoperimetric inequality). With the same assumptions as in Corollary 4, suppose \( \phi(\rho) \) is a positive continuous function and let \( \rho_\Sigma \) and \( \rho_\Omega \) denote
the distance to $\Sigma = \partial \Omega$ and to $S_k^{n-1}(l)$ respectively. Then

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\int_{\Omega} \phi(\rho) dV} < \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(l))}{\int_{\mathbb{B}_k^n(l)} \phi(\rho_S) dV}.$$ 

The equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is isometric to $\mathbb{B}_k^n(l)$.

**Proof.** Using the same notations as the proof of Theorem 5, we only have to modify (2.12):

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi(\rho) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_0^{c(p)} \phi(t) f(p,t)^m dt dS(p)$$

$$\geq \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_0^l \phi(t) f(t)^m dt dS(p)$$

$$= \frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(l))} \cdot \text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(l)) \int_0^l \phi(t) f(t)^m dt$$

$$= \frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(l))} \int_{\mathbb{B}_k^n(l)} \phi(\rho_S) dV.$$ 

\[\Box\]

Let us illustrate how our results can be combined with other comparison results.

Let us define $A_k(r) = |S_k^{n-1}(r)|$ and $V_k(r) = |\mathbb{B}_k^n(r)|$. When restricting to $[0, \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(M_k)]$, the function $A_k$ is one-to-one. So we denote its inverse to be $r_k(s) : [0, |S_k^m(R/2)|] \rightarrow \left[0, \frac{R}{2}\right]$ where $R = \text{diam}(M_k)$. So the geodesic ball of radius $r_k(s)$ in $M_k$ has area $s$. The only case where $A_k$ is not one-to-one is of course when $k > 0$ and $M_k$ is a sphere.

**Corollary 9.** Let $(M^n, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg$. Suppose $B$ is a geodesic ball in $M$ such that $|\partial B| = s$, then

$$|B| \geq |\mathbb{B}_k^n(r_k(s))|.$$ 

The equality holds if and only if $B$ is isometric to $\mathbb{B}_k^n(r_k(s))$.

**Proof.** Suppose $B = B(p,r)$. Then as $|\partial B(p,r)| = |S_k^{n-1}(r_k(s))|$, by Bishop’s area comparison theorem, we have $r \geq r_k(s)$. So $c(B) \geq r_k(s)$ and so by Corollary 4, we have $|B| \geq |\mathbb{B}_k^n(r_k(s))|$. \[\Box\]

Similarly we have

**Corollary 10.** Let $(M^n, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg$. Suppose $B$ is a geodesic ball in $M$, then for $r = V_k^{-1}(|B|)$,

$$|\partial B| \leq |S_k^{n-1}(r)|.$$ 

The equality holds if and only if $B$ is isometric to $\mathbb{B}_k^n(r)$. 

Similarly, combining the Levi-Gromov isoperimetric inequality with Corollary 4 gives

**Corollary 11.** Suppose \((M^n, g)\) is a closed manifold with \(\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)k > 0\). Assume \(\Omega\) is a domain in \(M\) which has a smooth boundary \(\partial \Omega\) and \(r\) satisfies
\[
\frac{\abs{\Omega}}{\abs{M}} = \frac{\abs{\mathbb{B}_n(r)}}{\abs{M_n}}.
\]
Then \(r \geq \int_{\partial \Omega} c(p) dS\).

Let us record here a Heintze-Karcher-Ros type inequality which is closely related to Theorem 5. The following inequality is implicit in [6]. We sketch the proof here.

We define the normalized mean curvature
\[
H_1 = \frac{H}{n-1}.
\]

**Theorem 8.** Let \(\Omega^n\) be a compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary and \(\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)kg\) on \(\Omega\). If \(k \leq 0\), assume \(H_1 > \sqrt{-k}\) on \(\partial \Omega\). Then
\[
\text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq \int_{\partial \Omega} h_k(l_k(H_1)) dS.
\]
The equality holds if and only if \(\partial \Omega\) is umbilical and \(c(p) = l_k(H_1(p))\) for all \(p \in \Omega\).

**Proof.** We use the notation in the proof of Theorem 5. By [6, Cor. 3.3.2], we have
\[
F(p, t) \leq (c_k(t) - H_1(p)s_k(t))^{m-1}.
\]
On the other hand, by the second variation formula, as \(c(p)\) is bounded by the focal length, we have
\[
c_k(t) - H_1(p)s_k(t) \leq l_k(H_1(p))\] (note that \(l_k\) is decreasing, cf. also [4, Theorem 1]). So
\[
\text{Vol}(\Omega) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_0^{c_k(H_1(p))} F(p, t) dt dS(p)
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_0^{l_k(H_1(p))} (c_k(t) - H_1(p)s_k(t))^{m-1} dt dS(p).
\]
As in (2.13),
\[
\int_0^{l_k(H_1(p))} (c_k(t) - H_1(p)s_k(t))^{m-1} dt = h_k(l_k(H_1(p))).
\]
From this we obtain the inequality. If the equality holds, then by the equality case of [6, Cor. 3.3.2], \(\partial \Omega\) is umbilical. Also, from the proof above, \(c(p) = l_k(H_1(p))\) for all \(p \in \Omega\). \(\square\)

### 2.3. Results with alternative assumptions.

In practice, \(c(\Omega)\) is harder to estimate than the mean curvature or the second fundamental form. It turns out that if \(\Omega\) is a domain in a space form, then it is possible to replace the assumption on the cut distance with assumptions on the curvature of the boundary.

In this subsection, we assume \(\partial \Omega\) is compact. For \(p \in \partial \Omega\), define
\[
\text{Focal}(p) := \sup \{ t > 0 : d(\exp_p(tN(p)), \cdot) : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ attains a local minimum at } p \}.
\]
Let \(\text{Focal}(\Omega) := \min_{p \in \partial \Omega} \text{Focal}(p)\). Clearly, \(c(\Omega) \leq \text{Focal}(\Omega)\). It is often easier to compute or estimate \(\text{Focal}(\Omega)\) than to estimate \(c(\Omega)\). Under some additional conditions, we can have \(c(\Omega) = \text{Focal}(\Omega)\). Some of these conditions are discussed in [7]. (In [7],...
$c(\Omega)$ is called the rolling radius of $\Omega.$) We directly record these results here for the convenience of the reader.

**Theorem 9** ([7] Theorem 4.3). If $\text{Ric} \geq (n - 1)kg$ on $\Omega$ and $\text{NorWid}(\Omega) \geq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$ for some $k > 0$. Then

$$c(\Omega) = \text{Focal}(\Omega).$$

Here, $\text{NorWid}(\Omega)$ is the normal width of $\Omega$, defined by

$$\text{NorWid}(\Omega) := \min_{p \in \partial \Omega} \sup \{t : \exp_p(sN(p)) \in \Omega \text{ for } 0 < s < t\}.$$  

**Theorem 10** ([7] Theorem 4.4). If either

1. $\text{Ric} \geq (n - 1)kg$ on $\Omega$ and $H \geq (n - 1)\sqrt{-k}$ for some $k < 0$, or
2. $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ on $\Omega$ and $H > 0$.

Then $c(\Omega) = \text{Focal}(\Omega)$.

**Theorem 11** ([7] Theorem 4.5). Assume that $\Omega$ is compact and the sectional curvature of $\Omega$ satisfies $\text{Sec} \geq k$ for some $k \leq 0$. Let $\lambda_i$ be the principal curvatures of $\partial \Omega$. If either

1. $k < 0$ and the number of the $\lambda_i$ satisfying $\lambda_i \geq \sqrt{-k}$ is greater than or equal to $\frac{n}{2}$, or
2. $k = 0$ and the number of the $\lambda_i$ satisfying $\lambda_i \geq h_0$ is greater than or equal to $\frac{n}{2}$ for some $h_0 > 0$.

Then $c(\Omega) = \text{Focal}(\Omega)$.

With the above results, we can replace the assumption in Corollary 4 on the lower bound of the cut distance with the lower bound of the focal length under some additional assumptions.

We now turn our attention to estimating the focal length of $\Omega$. For $p \in \partial \Omega$, we define a $\partial \Omega$-adapted Jacobi field $J$ along $\gamma(t) = \exp_p(tN(p))$ is a vector field $J(t)$ along $\gamma(t)$ such that

$$J'' + R(J, \gamma')\gamma' = 0, \quad J(0) \in T_p \partial \Omega \text{ and } J'(0) = -A(J(0))$$

where $J'$ and $J''$ are the first and second covariant derivative of $J$ along $\gamma(t)$ respectively. It is known that $\gamma(t_0)$ is a focal point of $\partial \Omega$ along $\gamma$ if and only if there is a non-trivial $\partial \Omega$-adapted Jacobi field along $\gamma$ such that $J(t_0) = 0$, and $\text{Focal}(p) = t_0$ if and only if $t_0$ is the first positive zero of $J(t)$.

The following result is a slight modification of [14, Theorem 11.1].

**Lemma 6** (Sturm comparison theorem). Suppose $f, \mathcal{J} : [0, l] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$f'' \geq -kf, \quad \mathcal{J}'' = -k\mathcal{J}, \quad f(0) = \mathcal{J}(0) = 1, \quad f'(0) \geq \mathcal{J}(0).$$

Then $f(t) \geq \mathcal{J}(t)$ on $[0, l]$. 
**Proposition 2.** Suppose the sectional curvature of $\Omega$ is bounded above by $k$ and the second fundamental form of $\partial \Omega$ is bounded above by $\Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ satisfies (2.1). Then $\text{Focal}(\Omega) \geq l_k(\lambda)$, where $l_k$ is given by (2.2).

**Proof.** Let $J(t)$ be a $\partial \Omega$-adapted Jacobi field along $\exp_p(tN(p))$ which we can without loss of generality assume $|J(0)| = 1$. A direct computation gives

$$|J|'' = -\frac{\langle R(J, \gamma') \gamma', J \rangle}{|J|} + \frac{|J'|^2}{|J|} - \frac{\langle J', J \rangle^2}{|J|^3} \geq -k|J|$$

as long as $J \neq 0$. Since $J'(0) = -A(J(0))$, at $t = 0$ we have

$$|J'(0)| = \frac{\langle J, J' \rangle}{|J|} = \frac{-\langle A(J), J \rangle}{|J|} \geq -\lambda|J| = -\lambda.$$

Define $f(t) = \sigma_{k, \lambda}(t)$ as in (2.4). Then $f'(t) = -k f(t)$, $f(0) = 1$ and $f(0) = -\lambda$. Applying Lemma 6, $|J(t)| \geq f(t)$. Since $l = l_k(\lambda)$ is the first positive root of $f$ and $f > 0$ on $[0, l)$, we conclude that the focal length of $\Omega$ is at least $l$, as $p$ and $J(t)$ are arbitrary.

We now replace the assumption in Corollary 4 with other assumptions. Combining Corollary 4, Proposition 2, Theorems 9, 10 and 11, we have the following results.

**Corollary 12.** Suppose $\Omega$ has constant curvature $k$ and either

1. $k > 0$ and $\text{Nor}Wid(\Omega) \geq \sqrt{\kappa}$, or
2. $k < 0$ and $H \geq (n-1)\sqrt{-k}$, or
3. $k = 0$ and $H > 0$.

Assume that the second fundamental form of $\partial \Omega$ is bounded above by $\Lambda$, where $\Lambda > \sqrt{-k}$ if $k \leq 0$ and let $l = l_k(\Lambda)$. Then $h_k(l)|\partial \Omega| \leq |\Omega|$. The equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is $\mathbb{B}_k^g(l)$.

**Corollary 13.** Suppose $\Omega$ has constant curvature $k$. If either

1. $k < 0$ and $|\{ i : \lambda_i(p) \geq \sqrt{-k} \}| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ for all $p \in \partial \Omega$, or
2. $k = 0$ and there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $|\{ i : \lambda_i(p) \geq \lambda \}| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ for all $p \in \partial \Omega$.

Assume that the second fundamental form of $\partial \Omega$ is bounded above by $\Lambda$ as in Corollary 12. Then $h_k(l)|\partial \Omega| \leq |\Omega|$ where $l = l_k(\Lambda)$. The equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is $\mathbb{B}_k^g(l)$.

3. ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITIES INVOLVING THE EXTRINSIC RADIUS

3.1. An inequality involving the extrinsic radius. We now turn into another isoperimetric-type inequality. Firstly, let $M$ be a complete Riemannian manifold (without boundary). For a subset $\Omega$ in $M$, let the extrinsic radius be defined by

$$\text{rad}_M(\Omega) := \inf \{ r > 0 : \Omega \subset B(x_0, r) \text{ for some } x_0 \in M \}$$
where $B(x_0, r)$ is the geodesic ball centered at $x_0$ with radius $r$ in $M$. Tautologically $\text{rad}_M(\Omega) < \infty$ means that $\Omega$ is contained in a geodesic ball and $\text{rad}_M(\Omega) = \infty$ if $\Omega$ is not contained in any geodesic ball.

For our purpose, we also define the least average radius of $\Omega$ as follows. Define

$$\text{avrad}_M(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_{p \in \partial \Omega} d(x_0, p) dS : x_0 \in M, \Omega \subset B(x_0, r) \text{ for some } r \right\}.$$

Obviously, we have $\text{avrad}_M(\Omega) \leq \text{rad}_M(\Omega)$.

It turns out that if we consider all domains with the same radius and the same curvature upper bound, then the standard ball minimizes the area-to-volume ratio. This looks superficially similar to Corollary 4, but with the inequality sign reversed, and is thus more similar to the Levy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality in spirit. The proof is quite different from and is easier than that of Corollary 4. This type of inequality is called mixed isoperimetric-isodiametric inequality in [16].

**Theorem 12 (Isoperimetric inequality).** Let $M$ be a complete Riemannian manifold (without boundary). Suppose $(\Omega^n, g)$ is a domain in $M$ with smooth compact boundary and with $\text{rad}_M(\Omega) < \infty$. Assume the sectional curvature of $M$ is bounded above by $k$.

1. If $k > 0$ and $\text{rad}_M(\Omega) = L \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$, then

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(L))}{\text{Vol}(B_k^n(L))}.$$  

2. If $k \leq 0$ and $\text{avrad}_M(\Omega) = L$, then

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{n-1}(L))}{\text{Vol}(B_k^n(L))}.$$  

In both cases, the equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is isometric to $B_k^n(L)$.

**Proof.** We may assume $\Omega$ is contained in a geodesic ball $B$ centered at $x_0$. Let $r = r(x) = d(x_0, x)$ for $x \in M$ and $X = h_k(r) \partial_r$ defined on $B$. Recall that

$$h_k(r) := \frac{|B_k^n(r)|}{|S_k^{n-1}(r)|} = \frac{\int_0^r s_k(t)^{n-1} dt}{s_k(r)^{n-1}}$$

where $s_k$ is defined by (2.6). Let $F_k(t) = s_k(t)^{n-1}$. Then within $B$,

$$\text{div} X = h_k'(r)|\nabla r|^2 + h_k(r) \Delta r = h_k'(r) + h_k(r) \Delta r$$

$$\geq h_k'(r) + h_k(r) \frac{F_k'(r)}{F_k(r)}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{F_k'(r) \int_0^r F_k(t) dt}{F_k(r)^2} + h_k(r) \frac{F_k'(r)}{F_k(r)}$$

$$= 1.$$
where the second line follows from Hessian comparison theorem. Note that $X$ is smooth on $\Omega$. So by divergence theorem, if $\nu$ is the unit outward normal of $\Omega$, then

$$\text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq \int_{\Omega} \text{div} X dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} (X, \nu) dS \leq \int_{\partial \Omega} h_k(r) dS.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

In the case where $k > 0$, we can use the monotone property of $h_k$ (Lemma 2) to deduce that $\text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq h_k(L) \text{Area}(\partial \Omega)$. In the case where $k \leq 0$, as $h_k$ is concave (Lemma 5), we can apply the Jensen inequality to again deduce that $\text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq h_k(L) \text{Area}(\partial \Omega)$ (with different meaning of $L$). In both cases, we have the inequality

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{-1}(L))}{\text{Vol}(B_k^n(L))}.$$ 

From the proof above, the equality holds if and only if $r = L$ on $\partial \Omega$ and the Hessian comparison theorem implies that $\Omega = B^n_k(L)$. \hspace{1cm} \Box

Remark 3. \hspace{1cm} (1) Theorem 12 is not true if $B(x_0, r)$ in the definition of $\text{rad}_M(\Omega)$ is changed to the metric ball centered at $x_0$ with radius $r$. Consider for example the flat torus $M = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let $\Omega_x = M \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon)$. Then $|\partial \Omega_x| \rightarrow 0$ and $|\Omega_x| \rightarrow 1$, while $\Omega_x$ is always contained in a metric ball of radius which tends to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ (note that $\text{diam}(M) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$). On the other hand, the RHS of (3.1) tends to $2\sqrt{2}$ as $L \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

(2) The assumption in Theorem 12 can be weakened to an upper bound for a certain curvature integral, see [11, Theorem 10] for a version of Laplacian comparison in this setting.

(3) We notice that in [16, Theorem 5.2], under some assumptions on the isoperimetric profile and the volumes of balls, it is proved that isoperimetric-isodiametric regions exist, i.e. there exists region $\Omega$ which attains the minimum of $\{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)h_k(\text{rad}_M(\Omega)) : \Omega \subset M, |\Omega| = V \}$ for fixed $V \in (0, |M|)$. They prove regularity results for these regions as well ([16, Theorem 6.11]).

Using Theorem 12 and the monotonicity of $h_k$, we obtain a lower bound for the Cheeger’s constant.

Definition 1 (Cheeger [2]). For a compact Riemannian manifold $M$ with boundary $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, the Cheeger’s constant is defined to be

$$h(M) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{Area}(\partial \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \mid \Omega \Subset M \right\}.$$ 

Corollary 14. Let $N$ be a complete Riemannian manifold (without boundary). Suppose $M$ is a domain in $N$ with smooth compact boundary and with $\text{rad}_N(M) = L < \infty$. Assume the sectional curvature of $N$ is bounded above by $k$ and also $L \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$ if $k > 0$. Then $h(M) \geq \frac{\text{Area}(S_k^{-1}(L))}{\text{Vol}(B_k^n(L))}.$

3.2. Generalizations to higher order mean curvature integrals. When $M$ is the Euclidean space or the open hemisphere, an inequality similar to (3.1) is true for the ratio of the integrals of the higher order mean curvatures of $\partial \Omega$.

Given a smooth closed hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M^n$, let $H_j$ be the normalized $j$-th mean curvature of $\Sigma$ (w.r.t. unit outward normal if it makes sense). Our convention is that for the sphere of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $H_j = \frac{1}{r^j}$. Let $I_j(\Sigma) := \int_{\Sigma} H_j dS$ for a closed hypersurface $\Sigma$ and $I_{-1}(\Sigma) = n\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ where $\Omega$ is the domain bounded by $\Sigma$. (The reason for this notation is due to the Minkowski formula in $\mathbb{R}^n$.)

**Theorem 13.** For $k > 0$, let $M_k^+$ be the open hemisphere of curvature $k$. Suppose $\Omega$ is a domain in $M_k^+$ with smooth compact boundary. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$. Suppose $H_j > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\text{rad}_{M_k^+}(\Omega) = L$. Then

\[
\frac{I_i(\partial \Omega)}{I_j(\partial \Omega)} \leq \frac{I_i(S_k^{n-1}(L))}{I_j(S_k^{n-1}(L))}.
\]

The equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is a geodesic ball of radius $L$.

*Proof.* We may assume that $k = 1$ and that $\Omega$ is contained in a geodesic ball of radius $L$ centered at $p_0$ where $L < \frac{r}{2}$. Let $r$ be the distance to $p_0$. Assume first that $i \geq 0$. We can follow the idea of [13, Proposition 4.2, Corollary 4.5] to show that for $i \leq p < j$ and $q \geq 0$,

\[
\int_{\Sigma} H_p \tan^q r = \int_{\Sigma} H_{p+1} \frac{\tan^q r}{\cos r} (X, \nu) - \frac{1}{(n - 1 - p)(n-1)} \int_{\Sigma} \tan^q r (q \sec^2 r + \tan^2 r) \langle T_p(X^T), X^T \rangle 
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\Sigma} H_{p+1} \tan^{q+1} r,
\]

where $X = \sin r \partial_r$ and $X^T$ is its tangential part and $T_p$ is the $p$-th Newton’s transformation (cf. [13]). It follows by induction that $\int_{\Omega} H_i \leq \int_{\Omega} H_j \tan^{j-i} r$, from which the result follows. If $i = -1$, then by (3.2) and the above computation,

\[
\text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq \int_{\partial \Omega} h_1(r) \leq h_1(L) \int_{\partial \Omega} H_0 \leq h_1(L) \tan^2(L) \int_{\partial \Omega} H_j = \frac{\text{Vol}(B_L^{n-1}(L))}{I_j(S_k^{n-1}(L))} \int_{\partial \Omega} H_j.
\]

If the equality case holds in any of the above inequalities then $r = L$ on $\partial \Omega$ and so $\Omega$ is a geodesic ball. \hfill $\square$

When $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem 12 can be generalized as follows.

**Theorem 14.** Suppose $\Omega$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth compact boundary. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$. Suppose $H_j > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\text{rad}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\Omega) = L$. Then

\[
\frac{I_i(\partial \Omega)}{I_j(\partial \Omega)} \leq \frac{I_i(S^{n-1}(L))}{I_j(S^{n-1}(L))}.
\]

The equality holds if and only if $\Omega$ is a ball of radius $L$. 

Proof. Assume $\Omega$ is contained in the ball of radius $l$ centered at 0 and let $r$ be the distance to 0. This then follows from [12, Theorem 2] for $i \geq 0$, where it is proved that $\int_{\partial \Omega} H_i \leq \int_{\partial \Omega} H_{l_j} r^{j-i}$. When $i = -1$, by the proof of Theorem 12, $L_{-1}(\partial \Omega) \leq \int_{\partial \Omega} H_0 r$, which is then bounded by $\int_{\partial \Omega} H_0 r + 1$ by [12, Theorem 2], from which the result follows. \hfill \Box

3.3. Isoperimetric inequality involving the cut locus. We now discuss what may happen if $\Omega$ is contained in a metric ball instead of a geodesic ball. We use the notation $B(x_0, r)$ to denote the closed metric ball centered at $x_0$ with radius $r$, i.e. $B(x_0, r) := \{ x \in M : d(x, x_0) \leq r \}$.

Theorem 15. Suppose $M$ is a complete $C^\infty$ Riemannian manifold (without boundary) such that its curvature is bounded above by $k$. Suppose $(\Omega^n, g)$ is a domain in $M$ with smooth compact boundary. Suppose $\Omega \subset B(x_0, l)$. If $k > 0$, we further assume that $l < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$. Then

\[
\text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq h_k(l) \left( \text{Area}(\partial\Omega \setminus \text{Cut}(x_0)) + 2\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\text{Cut}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}) \right)
\]

where $h_k$ is given by (2.8).

Proof. Let $E_{x_0} = M \setminus \text{Cut}(x_0)$, which is a star-shaped domain in $M$ with respect to $x_0$. Let the cut function $\rho : S_{x_0} M \to (0, \infty]$ be defined as $\rho(v) = \sup \{ t > 0 : \exp_{x_0}(tv) \in E_{x_0} \}$, where $S_{x_0} M = \{ v \in T_{x_0} M : |v| = 1 \}$. Then by [10, Theorem 3], $\rho$ is locally Lipschitz on which $\rho$ is finite. From this it is not hard to see that there exists a family of domains $E_\epsilon \subset E_{x_0}$ with piecewise $C^1$ boundary which are star-shaped with respect to $x_0$, such that $E_\epsilon \uparrow E_{x_0}$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, i.e. $\bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} E_\epsilon = E_{x_0}$.

Furthermore, we can assume $\partial E_\epsilon$ to be the graph of a piecewise $C^1$ function $\rho_\epsilon : \{ v \in S_{x_0} M : \rho(v) < \infty \} \to (0, \infty)$ so that $\text{Area}(\text{Graph}(\rho_\epsilon|_U)) \to \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\text{Graph}(\rho|_U))$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$ for any relatively compact open set $U$.

Let $\Omega_\epsilon = \Omega \cap E_\epsilon$. Let $f$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 12, then

\[
\text{Vol}(\Omega_\epsilon) \leq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \Delta f d\mathcal{V} = \int_{\partial \Omega_\epsilon} \langle \nabla f, \nu \rangle dS = \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \Omega_\epsilon} \langle \nabla f, \nu \rangle dS + \int_{\partial E_\epsilon \cap \Omega_\epsilon} \langle \nabla f, \nu \rangle dS \\
\leq \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \Omega_\epsilon} f'(r) dS + \int_{\partial E_\epsilon \cap \Omega_\epsilon} f'(r) dS \\
= \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \Omega_\epsilon} h_k(r) dS + \int_{\partial E_\epsilon \cap \Omega_\epsilon} h_k(r) dS \\
\leq h_k(l) \text{Area}(\partial\Omega \cap \Omega_\epsilon) + h_k(l) \text{Area}(\partial E_\epsilon \cap \overline{\Omega}).
\]

Here we have used the fact that $h_k$ is increasing on $[0, l]$ (Lemma 2).

We claim that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \text{Area}(\partial E_\epsilon \cap \overline{\Omega}) \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\text{Cut}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega})$.

By [1, p. 371, Lemma] (cf. also [9]), modulo an $(n - 2)$ Hausdorff dimensional set, $\text{Cut}(x_0)$ consists of a disjoint union of smooth hypersurfaces and locally around each point on this set, there are exactly two components of $\partial E_\epsilon$ which approach $\text{Cut}(x_0)$
from two different sides (because for each point in this set there are exactly two minimal geodesics joining it and \(x_0\)). Thus \(\text{Area}(\partial E_\varepsilon \cap \Omega) \to 2H^{n-1}(\text{Cut}(x_0) \cap \Omega)\). So taking \(\varepsilon \to 0^+\) in the above inequality, we can get the result. □

By taking \(\Omega = M\) in Theorem 15, we have the following sharp lower bound for the \((n - 1)\)-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the cut locus. This complements the results in [9], in which it is proved that the cut locus has Hausdorff dimension at most \(n - 1\).

For our purpose we define the radius at \(x_0 \in M\) to be \(\text{rad}(x_0) := \sup\{d(x, x_0) : x \in M\}\).

**Corollary 15.** Suppose \(M\) is an \(n\)-dimensional closed (compact without boundary) manifold and its curvature is bounded from above by \(k\). Let \(x_0 \in M\). If \(k > 0\), we further assume that \(\text{rad}(x_0) < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}\). Then

\[
\frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{2H^{n-1}(\text{Cut}(x_0))} \leq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_k^n(L)|}{|S_k^{n-1}(L)|}
\]

where \(L = \text{rad}(x_0)\).

We remark that the inequality is sharp. Take \(M = \mathbb{R}P^n\), which can be modeled as the quotient of the \(n\)-dimensional Euclidean sphere of radius \(r\) by identifying the antipodal points, which has curvature \(k = 1/r^2\). Clearly we can equip \(M\) with the round metric. It is also easy to see that the equality case holds, as for any \(x_0 \in M\), \(H^{n-1}(\text{Cut}(x_0)) = \frac{1}{2} |S_k^{n-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k}}\right)|\), \(\text{Vol}(M) = |\mathbb{B}_k^n\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k}}\right)|\) and \(\text{rad}(x_0) = \text{diam}(M) = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k}}\).
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