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Abstract. In the paper we solve few problems proposed by Prapanpong Pongsriiam. Let \(f(n)\) denote the number of relatively prime subsets of \{1,2,3,...,n\} and \(g(n)\) denote the number of subsets \(A\) of \{1,2,3,...,n\} such that \(\gcd(A) > 1\) and \(\gcd(A,n+1) = 1\). We show that \(f_n^2 - f_n f_{n+1} > 0\) for \(n \geq k+1\) (\(k \geq 2\)). We also show \(g(6n-2)/g(6n-4) > g(6n)/g(6n-2) > g(6n+2)/g(6n)\) for large \(n\).

1. Introduction

A finite set \(A\) is said to be relatively prime if \(\gcd(A) = 1\).

Let \(f(X)\) denote the number of relatively prime subsets of \(X\).

Let \(f(n)\) be the number of relatively prime subsets of \{1,2,3,...,n\} in other words \(f(n) = f([1,n])\). Sometimes we write \(f_n\) instead of \(f(n)\).

Moreover, define function \(g(n)\) by formula

\[
g(n) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subseteq [1,n]} 1 \text{ if } \gcd(A) > 1, \gcd(A,n+1) = 1
\]

We will use two inequalities

**Lemma 1.1** ([1], Theorem 2).

\[
2^n - 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} - n2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \leq f(n) \leq 2^n - 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.
\]

Moreover, we know that (Lemma 4 in [1])

\[
g(n) = \sum_{2 \leq d \leq n, (d,n+1)=1} f\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor \right).
\]

and

\[
f(n) = \sum_{d \leq n} \mu(d) \left(2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor} - 1 \right).
\]

More information on the function \(f_n\) can be found in the sequence A085945 in [5].

In paper [1] Pongsriiam proved that \(f_n^2 - f_{n-1} f_{n+1}\) is positive for every odd number \(n \geq 3\) and negative for every even number \(n\).
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Recall that a sequence \((a_n)_{n \geq 0}\) is said to be log-concave if \(a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1} > 0\) for every \(n > 1\) and is said to be log-convex if \(a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1} < 0\) for every \(n > 1\). Stirling numbers, Bessel numbers are examples of log-concave sequences. Some sequences are not log-concave, but have similar properties. For example, if \((F_n)_{n \geq 0}\) is the Fibonacci sequence or \(F_n = f_n\), then \(F_n^2 - F_nF_{n+1} = (-1)^{n-1}\), which is positive for odd \(n\) and negative for even \(n\) (so called alternating sequence). In addition, the sequence \((f_k)\) which is positive for odd \(n\) and negative for even \(n\) (so called alternating sequence).

In Section 3, we prove above inequalities for large \(n\). We also propose new term :almost strong log-concave sequence if \(a_n^2 - a_{n-k}a_{n+k} > 0\) for every \(k \geq 1\) and \(n > k\)). For example, in the paper [4] Pongsriiam checked that \(f_n^2 - f_{n-2}f_{n+2} > 0\) (for \(2 < n \leq 50\)), \(f_n^2 - f_{n-3}f_{n+3} > 0\) (for \(3 < n \leq 50\)) and \(f_n^2 - f_{n-4}f_{n+4} > 0\) (for \(4 < n \leq 50\)). In our paper we prove that these inequalities are true for all \(n > 2, 3, 4\), respectively.

In this paper we prove that \(f_n^2 - f_{n-k}f_{n+k} > 0\) for \(n > k\) in general.

First, using formula (1.4), we can write the following GP/PARI code:

```plaintext
for(n = 6, 50, print(a(n)^2 - a(n-l) * a(n+l))).
for(l = 2, 8)
```

We obtain that inequality \(f_n^2 - f_{n-k}f_{n+k} > 0\) is true for \(k = 2, 3, ..., 8\) and \(n \leq 50\). Using estimation (1.2) we get

\[
f_n^2 \geq \left(2^n - 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - n2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right)^2
\]

\[
= 2^{2n} - 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + n2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2^2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + n2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 + n^22^2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor
\]

and

\[
f_{n-k}f_{n+k} \leq 2^{n-k} - 2\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rfloor \left(2^{n+k} - 2\left\lfloor \frac{n+k}{2} \right\rfloor \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{2n} - 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rfloor - 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n+k}{2} \right\rfloor + k + 2\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rfloor + 2\left\lfloor \frac{n+k}{2} \right\rfloor
\]

So

\[
f_n^2 - f_{n-k}f_{n+k} \geq 2^{n+\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rfloor} - 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 + 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n+k}{2} \right\rfloor - 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n+k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 + n2^2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor
\]

2.1. Case \(k=2\).

\[
f_{n-2}f_{n+2} \leq \left(2^{n-2} - 2\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \left(2^{n+2} - 2\left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rfloor \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{2n} - 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 - 2^n\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 + 2^2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor
\]
We show that \( f_n^2 - f_{n-2} f_{n+2} \) for \( n \geq 51 \).

\[
(2.5) \quad f_n^2 - f_{n-2} f_{n+2} \geq 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} - 2^{n+\left[\frac{6}{9}\right]} + 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + n^2 2^{2^2 \frac{6}{9}} > 0,
\]

because \( \left| \frac{6}{9} \right| - \frac{6}{9} = -2 \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{3} - 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} > 0 \) for \( n \geq 7 \).

Case \( k=3 \).

\[
(2.6) \quad f_n^2 - f_{n-2} f_{n+2} = 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} - 2^{n+\left[\frac{6}{9}\right]} + 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + n^2 2^{2^2 \frac{6}{9}}
\]

We show that \( f_n^2 - f_{n-2} f_{n+2} \) for \( n \geq 51 \).

First we see that

\[
2^{n+\left[\frac{6}{9}\right]} + 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + n^2 2^{2^2 \frac{6}{9}} > 0
\]

So, it is enough to prove that

\[
(2.7) \quad 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} - 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + n^2 2^{2^2 \frac{6}{9}} > 0
\]

for \( n \geq 51 \).

We have \( \left| \frac{6}{9} \right| - \frac{6}{9} = -3 - \log_2 n \geq \frac{6}{9} - \frac{n}{3} - 3 - \log_2 n \).

Consider function \( h(x) = \frac{6}{9} - \frac{6}{9} - 3 - \log_2 n \). We have \( h(51) = \frac{6}{9} - \log_2 51 > 0 \) and \( h'(x) = \frac{6}{9} - \frac{6}{9} - 3 - \log_2 n \). So inequality (2.8) is true.

Case \( k=4 \).

\[
(2.9) \quad f_n^2 - f_{n-4} f_{n+4} \geq 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} + 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + n^2 2^{2^2 \frac{6}{9}}
\]

We prove that for \( n \geq 36 \) above term is positive.

This term is greater than

\[
(2.10) \quad 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} + 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + n^2 2^{2^2 \frac{6}{9}}
\]

Now inequality (2.8) implies statement.

Case \( k=5 \).

\[
(2.11) \quad f_n^2 - f_{n-5} f_{n+5} \geq 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} + 2^{n+\left[\frac{6}{9}\right]} + 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + 2^{n+\left[\frac{6}{9}\right]} + n^2 2^{2^2 \frac{6}{9}}
\]

We prove that for \( n \geq 36 \) above term is positive.

This term is greater than

\[
(2.12) \quad 2^{n+\frac{6}{9}} - 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} = 2^n + 2^{n+\left[\frac{6}{9}\right]} + 2^{n^2 + 2^{\frac{6}{9}}} + 2^{n+\left[\frac{6}{9}\right]}
\]

for \( n \geq 36 \).
2.5. Case $k=6$.

\[
f_n^2 - f_{n-6} f_{n+6} \geq 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+2}{4} \rceil} - 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^{n-6+\lceil \frac{n-6}{4} \rceil} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 2 \lceil \frac{n+6}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n-6}{4} \rceil + n 2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 + n^2 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil
\]

We prove that for $n \geq 36$ above term is positive.
This term is greater than

\[
2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+2}{4} \rceil+2} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} = 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} \left( 2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 - 2 \log_2 n \right),
\]

but \( \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 - \log_2 n \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{4}+1 - \log_2 n \geq 0 \), for $n \geq 36$.

2.6. Case $k=7$.

\[
f_n^2 - f_{n-7} f_{n+7} \geq 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+2}{4} \rceil} - 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^{n-7+\lceil \frac{n-7}{4} \rceil} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 2 \lceil \frac{n+7}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n-7}{4} \rceil + n 2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 + n^2 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil
\]

We prove that for $n \geq 36$ above term is positive.
This term is greater than

\[
2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+2}{4} \rceil+2} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} = 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} \left( 2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 - 2 \log_2 n \right),
\]

but \( \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 - \log_2 n \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{4}+1 - \log_2 n \geq 0 \), for $n \geq 36$.

2.7. Case $k=8$.

\[
f_n^2 - f_{n-8} f_{n+8} \geq 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+2}{4} \rceil} - 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^{n-8+\lceil \frac{n-8}{4} \rceil} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 2 \lceil \frac{n+8}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n-8}{4} \rceil + n 2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 + n^2 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil
\]

We prove that for $n \geq 36$ above term is positive.
This term is greater than

\[
2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+2}{4} \rceil+2} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} = 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} \left( 2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 - 2 \log_2 n \right),
\]

but \( \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 - \log_2 n \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{4}+1 - \log_2 n \geq 0 \), for $n \geq 36$.

2.8. Case $k \geq 9$.

\[
f_n^2 - f_{n-k} f_{n+k} \geq 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+2}{4} \rceil} - 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^{n-k+\lceil \frac{n-k}{4} \rceil} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1} + 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 2 \lceil \frac{n+k}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n-k}{4} \rceil + n 2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1 + n^2 2^2 \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil
\]

We prove that for $n \geq k+1$ above term is positive.
This term is greater than

\[
2^{n+\lceil \frac{n+k}{4} \rceil+1} - n 2^{n+\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil+1}
\]

It is enough to show that

\[
(n + \left\lceil \frac{n+k}{2} \right\rceil) - 1 - (n + \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil + 1 + \log_2 n) \geq 0,
\]

but
Similarly, we get the following inequalities:
\[
(n + \left\lfloor \frac{n + k}{2} \right\rfloor - 1) - (n + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 + \log_2 n) \geq \frac{n + k - 1}{2} - 1 - \frac{n}{3} - 1 - \log_2 n
\]
\[
= \frac{n}{6} + \frac{k - 5}{2} - \log_2 n
\]

Let \(i(x) = \frac{x}{6} + \frac{k-5}{2} - \log_2 x\), \(i(k+1) = \frac{4k-14}{6} - \log_2(k+1)\). Function \(i(x)\) is increasing for \(x \geq 8\) and \(i(k+1) > 0\) for \(k \geq 9\).

3. Proof that \(\frac{g(6n-2)}{g(6n-4)} > \frac{g(6n)}{g(6n-2)} > \frac{g(6n+2)}{g(6n)} < \frac{g(6n+4)}{g(6n+2)}\) for large \(n\).

3.1. \(\frac{g(6n-2)}{g(6n-4)} > \frac{g(6n)}{g(6n-2)}\). Above inequality is equivalent to inequality

\[
[g(6n-2)]^2 > g(6n)g(6n-4).
\]

Using (1.3) we get estimation

\[
g(6n-2) = \sum_{2 \leq d \leq 6n-2, (d,6n-1)=1} f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n-2}{d} \right\rfloor \right) = f(3n-1) + f(2n-1)
\]

\[
+ f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + \chi_5(6n-1)f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n-2}{5} \right\rfloor \right) + C_1(n),
\]

where \(C_1(n) = \sum_{6 \leq d \leq 6n-2, (d,6n-1)=1} f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n-2}{d} \right\rfloor \right) \leq 6(n-1)f(n-1) \leq 6(n-1)2^{n-1}\).

Subsequently

\[
g(6n-4) = \sum_{2 \leq d \leq 6n-4, (d,6n-3)=1} f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n-4}{d} \right\rfloor \right) = f(3n-2) + f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \chi_5(6n-3)f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n-4}{5} \right\rfloor \right) + C_2(n),
\]

where \(C_2(n) = \sum_{6 \leq d \leq 6n-4, (d,6n-3)=1} f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n-4}{d} \right\rfloor \right) \leq 6(n-1)f(n-1) \leq 6(n-1)2^{n-1}\).

Similarly

\[
g(6n) = \sum_{2 \leq d \leq 6n, (d,6n+1)=1} f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n}{d} \right\rfloor \right) = f(3n) + f(2n) + f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \right\rfloor \right)
\]

\[
+ \chi_5(6n+1)f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n}{5} \right\rfloor \right) + f(n) + C_3(n),
\]

where \(C_3(n) = \sum_{7 \leq d \leq 6n, (d,6n+1)=1} f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6n}{d} \right\rfloor \right) \leq 6(n-1)f(n-1) \leq 6(n-1)2^{n-1}\).

Now, (3.1) is equivalent to inequality
\[ f(3n - 1) + f(2n - 1) + f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3n - 1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + \chi_5(6n - 1) + \chi_5(6n - 2) + f(3n) + f(2n) + C_1(n) \] 
\[ > f(3n) + f(2n) + f \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) + \chi_5(6n - 3) + f(3n - 1) + f(2n - 1) + f(3n - 2) + f(2n - 2) + C_2(n) \]

Above inequality after calculation, cancellation summands which are \( O \left( \frac{2^9n}{2} \right) \), leads to inequality

\[ [f(3n - 1)]^2 + 2f(3n - 1)f(2n - 1) > f(3n)f(3n - 2) + f(3n - 2)f(2n), \]
which is true for large \( n \). So the inequality \( \frac{g(6n - 2)}{g(6n - 4)} > \frac{g(6n)}{g(6n - 2)} \) is true for large \( n \).

3.2. \( \frac{g(6n)}{g(6n - 2)} > \frac{g(6n + 2)}{g(6n)} \). Above inequality is equivalent to inequality

\[ [g(6n)]^2 > g(6n - 2)g(6n + 2). \]

Above inequality after calculation, cancellation summands which are \( O \left( \frac{2^9n}{2} \right) \), leads to inequality

\[ [f(3n)]^2 + 2f(3n)f(2n) > f(3n - 1)f(3n + 1) + f(2n - 1)f(3n + 1), \]
which is true for large \( n \).

3.3. \( \frac{g(6n + 2)}{g(6n)} < \frac{g(6n + 4)}{g(6n + 2)} \). Above inequality is equivalent to inequality

\[ [g(6n + 2)]^2 > g(6n)g(6n + 4). \]

Above inequality after calculation, cancellation summands which are \( O \left( \frac{2^9n}{2} \right) \), leads to inequality

\[ [f(3n + 1)]^2 < f(3n)f(2n + 1) + f(2n)f(3n + 2), \]

Using inequalities from Lemma[11], we can prove that above inequality is true for large \( n \).

We have proved that exists natural number \( n_0 \) such that inequality from the title of the section is true for \( n \geq n_0 \). Exact value \( n_0 \) needs more careful calculation.

References

[1] Nathanson, M. B., Affine invariants, relatively prime sets, and a phi function for subsets of \( \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \), Integers 7 (2007), A1.


Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Military University of Technology, Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail address: adrian.lydka@wat.edu.pl