EXPO NENTIAL MIXING PROPERTY FOR HÉNON-SIBONY MAPS OF $\mathbb{C}^k$

HAO WU

ABSTRACT. Let $f$ be a Hénon-Sibony map (regular polynomial automorphism) of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and let $\mu$ be the equilibrium measure of $f$. In this paper we prove that $\mu$ is exponentially mixing for plurisubharmonic test functions.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $f$ be a polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^k$. It can be extended to a birational map of $\mathbb{P}^k$. The set $I_+$ (resp. $I_-$) where $f$ (resp. $f^{-1}$) is not defined is called the indeterminacy set of $f$ (resp. $f^{-1}$). We say $f$ is a Hénon-Sibony map or regular polynomial automorphism in the sense of Sibony if $I_+$ and $I_-$ are non-empty and they satisfy $I_+ \cap I_- = \emptyset$. This is a very large class of polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$ satisfy this properties (see [10, 11]). For example, every polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ is conjugated either to a Hénon-Sibony map, or an elementary polynomial automorphism, which has the form $g(z_1, z_2) := (az_1 + p(z_2), bz_2 + c)$, where $a, b, c$ are constants in $\mathbb{C}$ with $a, b \neq 0$, and $p$ a polynomial. The later map preserves the family of lines where $z_2$ is constant.

We first recall some basic properties of $f$. The indeterminacy sets $I_+$ are contained in the hyperplane at infinity $L_\infty := \mathbb{P}^k \setminus \mathbb{C}^k$. There exists an integer $s$ such that $\dim I_+ = k - 1 - s$ and $\dim I_- = s - 1$. The set $I_-$ is attractive for $f$ and $I_+$ is attractive for $f^{-1}$. Moreover, $f(L_\infty \setminus I_+) = I_-$ and $f^{-1}(L_\infty \setminus I_-) = I_+$. Denote by $d_+$ and $d_-$ the algebraic degrees of $f$ and $f^{-1}$ respectively and we have $d_+ = d_-^s$. When $k = 2s$, we have $d_+ = d_-$. In the case $k = 2$, $f$ is called a generalized Hénon map of $\mathbb{C}^2$ (see [10]).

We define the Green functions by

$$G^+ := \lim_{n \to \infty} d_+^n \log^+ \|f(z)\| \quad \text{and} \quad G^- := \lim_{n \to \infty} d_-^n \log^+ \|f(z)\|,$$

where $\log^+ := \max\{\log, 0\}$. They are Hölder continuous and p.s.h. on $\mathbb{C}^k$ and they satisfy $G^+ \circ f = d_+ G^+$ and $G^- \circ f^{-1} = d_- G^-$. Define the Green currents of bidegree $(1, 1)$ by $T_+ := dd^c G^+$ and $T_- := dd^c G^-$. Sibony showed that $f$ admits an invariant probability measure $\mu$, called the equilibrium measure and it satisfies $\mu = T_+^s \wedge T_-^{k-s}$. For more dynamical properties of Hénon-Sibony maps, the readers may refer to [11, 2, 3, 9, 11].

The current $T_+^s$ (resp. $T_-^{k-s}$) is supported in the boundary of the filled Julia set $K_+$ (resp. $K_-$. Recall that $K_+$ (resp. $K_-$) is the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$ such that the orbit $(f^n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $(f^{-n}(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) is bounded in $\mathbb{C}^k$. We have

$$K_+ = \{G^+ = 0\}, \quad K_- = \{G^- = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_+ \cap L_\infty = I_+$$

in $\mathbb{P}^k$. The open set $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus K_+$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus K_-$) is the immediate basin of $I_-$ for $f$ (resp. $I_+$ for $f^{-1}$). Define $K := K_+ \cap K_-$. It is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and we have $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq K$. 
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It was proved in [6] that \( \mu \) is mixing. For \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \), Dinh [3] showed that the speed of mixing is exponential when \( k = 2s \) for real-valued \( C^\alpha \) functions. The proof of [3] can be extended to the case \( k \neq 2s \) using a similar method. The paper [12] shows the exponential decay of correlations for \( C^\alpha \) observables for generic birational maps of \( \mathbb{P}^k \) with \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \). In this paper, we will extend the result of [3] to a class of plurisubharmonic test functions.

In the rest of this paper, we fix a Hénon-Sibony map \( f \) of \( \mathbb{C}^k \) and suppose \( k = 2s \) for simplicity. Denote \( d := d_+ = d_- \). The case \( k = 2 \) and \( s = 1 \) is already interesting.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( f \) be a Hénon-Sibony map of \( \mathbb{C}^k \) as above and assume for simplicity that \( k = 2s \). Let \( d \) be the algebraic degree and let \( \mu \) be the equilibrium measure of \( f \). Then for any open neighborhood \( D \) of \( K \), there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that

\[
\left| \int (\varphi \circ f^n) \psi d\mu - \left( \int \varphi d\mu \right) \left( \int \psi d\mu \right) \right| \leq cd^{-n/2} \langle \varphi \rangle_{L^\infty(D)} \| \psi \|_{L^\infty(D)}
\]

for all \( n \geq 0 \) and all functions \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{C}^k \) which are bounded and p.s.h. on \( D \).

**Remark 1.2.** For the inequality above, note that the value of the left hand side does not depend on the values of \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) outside \( K \) since \( \text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq K \). Thus the integrals are well defined. It is easy to see that this result still holds when \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are differences of bounded p.s.h. functions on \( D \), e.g. when \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are of class \( C^2 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^k \).

Another version of Theorem 1.1 has been proved in [3] for \( \varphi, \psi \in C^2 \) and it can be extended to \( C^\alpha \) case, \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \), using interpolation theory between Banach spaces. In this case, one can assume \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are of class \( C^2 \) and p.s.h. because we can write \( C^2 \) functions as differences of \( C^2 \) functions which are p.s.h. near \( K \). A key step in the proof of [3] is to consider the functions

\[
(\varphi(z) + A)(\psi(w) + A) \quad \text{and} \quad (-\varphi(z) + A)(\psi(w) - A)
\]

as test functions on \( \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k \) for the system \( (z, w) \mapsto (f(z), f^{-1}(w)) \). These two functions are p.s.h. when \( A \) is large enough and they play a “linear” role in the setting of the system \( (z, w) \mapsto (f(z), f^{-1}(w)) \). Some general estimates for the later system imply the desired result.

We will use the method of [3]. However, the same idea as above cannot be directly applied. We need to introduce several new test functions in \( \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k \) and prove that they satisfy good properties required in this approach.

Recall that a function is quasi-plurisubharmonic (quasi-p.s.h for short) if locally it is the difference of a plurisubharmonic (p.s.h. for short) function and a smooth one. A function \( u \) on \( \mathbb{P}^k \) with values in \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\} \) is said to be d.s.h. if outside a pluripolar set it is equal to a difference of two quasi-p.s.h. functions. Two d.s.h. functions are identified when they are equal out of a pluripolar set. Denote the set of d.s.h. functions by \( \text{DSH}(\mathbb{P}^k) \). Clearly it is a vector space and equips with a norm

\[
\|u\|_{\text{DSH}} := \int_{\mathbb{P}^k} u \omega_{FS}^k + \min \|T^\pm\|,
\]

where \( \omega_{FS} \) is the standard Fubini-Study form on \( \mathbb{P}^k \) and the minimum is taken on all positive closed \((1,1)\)-currents \( T^\pm \) such that \( dd^c u = T^+ - T^- \).
A positive measure \( \nu \) on \( \mathbb{P}^k \) is said to be moderate if \( \nu \) has no mass on pluripolar sets and for any bounded family \( \mathcal{F} \) of d.s.h. functions on \( \mathbb{P}^k \), there are constants \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( c > 0 \) such that
\[
\nu\{z \in \mathbb{P}^k : |\psi(z)| > M\} \leq ce^{-\alpha M}
\]
for \( M \geq 0 \) and \( \psi \in \mathcal{F} \) (see \cite{4, 5, 7}). The papers \cite{4, 6} show that if \( f \) is a Hénon-Sibony map of \( \mathbb{C}^k \) or more generally, a regular birational map of \( \mathbb{P}^k \), then the equilibrium measure \( \mu \) of \( f \) is moderate. Using the moderate property of \( \mu \), we can remove the boundedness conditions of \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \), but the estimate on the mixing will be a little bit weaker.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \( f \) be a Hénon-Sibony map of \( \mathbb{C}^k \) and assume \( k = 2s \). Let \( d \) be the algebraic degree and let \( \mu \) be the equilibrium measure of \( f \). Then for any two d.s.h. functions \( \varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{P}^k \), there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that
\[
\left| \int (\varphi \circ f^n)\psi d\mu - \left( \int (f^n)\varphi d\mu \right) \left( \int \psi d\mu \right) \right| \leq cn^2d^{-n/2}
\]
for all \( n \geq 0 \).

**Remark 1.4.** By a theorem of Friedland-Milnor \cite{10}, both Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 can be applied to polynomial automorphisms of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) which are not conjugated to elementary polynomial automorphisms.
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### 2. Previous results

In this section, we recall some known results and get some slightly more general versions which will be used to prove our main theorem.

Denote \( G := \max\{G^+, G^-\} \), which is continuous and p.s.h. on \( \mathbb{C}^k \). Fix a small positive constant \( \delta \) such that \( \delta < \min_{\partial D} G \). Since \( \mathbb{P}^k \setminus \overline{K}_+ \) (resp. \( \mathbb{P}^k \setminus \overline{K}_- \)) is the immediate basin of \( I_- \) for \( f \) (resp. \( I_+ \) for \( f^{-1} \)), we can find \( U_i, V_i, i = 1, 2 \), which are open subsets of \( \mathbb{P}^k \), such that
\[
\overline{K}_+ \subset U_1 \cap V_1 \subset U_1 \subset U_2, V_1 \subset V_2, f^{-1}(U_i) \subset U_i, f(V_i) \subset V_i
\]
and \( U_2 \cap V_2 \subset \{ G < \delta \} \). Then observe that \( K \subset U_1 \cap V_1 \subset U_2 \cap V_2 \subset \{ G < \delta \} \) \( \subset D \).

We define a norm on the space of real currents with support in \( \overline{V}_1 \). Let \( \omega_{\text{FS}} \) be the standard Fubini-Study form on \( \mathbb{P}^k \). Let \( \Omega \) be a real \((s + 1, s + 1)\)-current supported in \( \overline{V}_1 \) and assume there exists a positive closed \((s + 1, s + 1)\)-current \( \Omega' \) supported in \( \overline{V}_1 \) such that \(-\Omega' \leq \Omega \leq \Omega' \). Define the norm \( \|\Omega\|_* \), as
\[
\|\Omega\|_* := \min \left\{ \|\Omega'\|, \Omega' \text{ as above} \right\},
\]
where \( \|\Omega'\| = \langle \Omega', \omega_{\text{FS}}^{s-1} \rangle \) is the mass of \( \Omega' \). We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \Omega \) be a real \( dd^c \)-exact \((s + 1, s + 1)\)-current supported in \( \overline{V}_1 \) and assume \( \Omega \geq -S \) for some positive closed current \( S \) supported in \( \overline{V}_1 \), then \( \|\Omega\|_* \leq 2\|S\| \).

**Proof.** Note that \( \Omega + 2S \) is a positive closed current supported in \( \overline{V}_1 \) and \( \Omega \) satisfies
\[
-(\Omega + 2S) \leq \Omega \leq \Omega + 2S.
\]
The mass of \( \Omega + 2S \) is \( 2\|S\| \) because \( \Omega \) is \( dd^c \)-exact. \( \square \)
We need the following result [3, Proposition 2.1].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $f$ be a Hénon-Sibony map with $k = 2s$. Let $R$ be a positive $(s, s)$-current of mass 1 supported in $U_1$ and smooth on $\mathbb{C}^k$. Let $\Phi$ be a real smooth $(s, s)$-form with compact support in $V_1 \cap \mathbb{C}^k$. Assume that $dd^c \Phi \geq 0$ on $U_2$ and $\|dd^c \Phi\|_s < \infty$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of $R$ and $\Phi$ such that

$$\langle d^{-sn}(f^n)^* R - T^s_+, \Phi \rangle \leq cd^{-n} \|dd^c \Phi\|_s$$

for every $n \geq 0$.

**Remark 2.3.** Note that the support of $d^{-sn}(f^n)^* R - T^s_+$ is in $U_1$ and the support of $\Phi$ is in $V_1$. Therefore, the value of $\langle d^{-sn}(f^n)^* R - T^s_+, \Phi \rangle$ does not depend on the value of $\Phi$ outside $U_1 \cap V_1$. Thus for the above proposition, the condition that $\Phi$ is smooth can be replaced by $\Phi$ being smooth on $U_1 \cap V_1$.

We will use Proposition 2.2 to prove the following result. The case for $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}^2$ was showed in [3].

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $f$ be a Hénon-Sibony map with $k = 2s$. Let $\varphi$ be a bounded real-valued function on $\mathbb{P}^k$ that is p.s.h. on $D$. Let $R$ (resp. $S$) be a positive closed $(s, s)$-current of mass 1 with support in $U_1$ (resp. $V_1$) and smooth on $\mathbb{C}^k$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of $\varphi$, $R$ and $S$ such that

$$\langle d^{-2sn}(f^n)^* R \wedge (f^n)_* S - \mu, \varphi \rangle \leq cd^{-n} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$$

for every $n \geq 0$.

We prove a “regularization” lemma for $\varphi$ first. Fix a open set $D_1$ such that $\{G < \delta\} \Subset D_1 \Subset D$.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $\varphi$ be a bounded real-valued function on $\mathbb{P}^k$ that is p.s.h. on $D_1$. There exists a function $\phi$ and an open set $U_2 \cap V_2 \Subset D' \Subset D_1$ such that $\phi$ is p.s.h. on $D_1$ and smooth outside $D'$ satisfying $\phi = \varphi$ on $U_2 \cap V_2$ and

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus D')} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of $\varphi$.

**Proof.** Using regularizations by convolution, one can find a family of smooth p.s.h. functions $G_\epsilon$ which decreases to $G$ when $\epsilon$ decreases to 0. Since $G$ is continuous, this convergence is locally uniformly. Hence there exist positive constants $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2$ and $\lambda$ such that

$$\{G < \delta\} \Subset \{G_\lambda < \kappa_1\} \Subset \{G_\lambda < \kappa_2\} \Subset D_1.$$

Since $\varphi$ is bounded, after adding some constant we can assume $\varphi \geq 0$. Define

$$\tau := \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \cdot (G_\lambda - \kappa_1)/(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1).$$

Consider the function

$$\phi := \chi \cdot \max\{\varphi, \tau\},$$

where $\chi$ is a real cut-off function satisfying $\chi(z) = 0$ if $z \notin D$, $\chi(z) = 1$ for $z \in D_1$ and $|\chi'|, |\chi''|$ bounded by some constant.
For $z \in D_1$, we have $\phi = \max \{ \varphi, \tau \}$. Hence $\phi$ is p.s.h. on $D_1$ because it is equal to the maximum of two p.s.h. functions on $D_1$. Now we let $D' := \{ G_\lambda < \kappa_2 \}$. When $z \in \mathbb{P}^k \setminus D'$, we have $(G_\lambda - \kappa_1)/(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) \geq 1$. In this case, $\phi = \chi \tau$, so $\phi$ is smooth outside $D'$. When $z \in \{ G_\lambda < \kappa_1 \}$, we have $\tau(z) \leq 0 \leq \varphi(z)$, so $\phi = \varphi$ inside $\{ G_\lambda < \kappa_1 \}$. Since $U_2 \cap V_2 \in \{ G_\lambda < \kappa_1 \}$, we get $\phi = \varphi$ on $U_2 \cap V_2$.

Now we prove the two estimates. For the first inequality,
\[
\| \phi \|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}^k \setminus D'} \chi(z) \tau(z) = \sup_{z \in D' \setminus D'} \tau(z) \leq c_1 \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty}
\]
for some constant $c_1 > 0$ independent of $\varphi$. For the second one,
\[
\| \phi \|_{\varphi_2(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus D')} = \| \chi \tau \|_{\varphi_2(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus D')} \leq c_2 \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty}
\]
for some constant $c_2 > 0$ independent of $\varphi$. We take $c = \max \{ c_1, c_2 \}$ and finish the proof of this lemma. \qed

Now consider the canonical inclusions of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ in $\mathbb{P}^k$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2k}$. We will use $z, w$ and $(z, w)$ for the canonical coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$. Write $[z : t], [w : t]$ and $[z : w : t]$ for the homogeneous coordinates. Denote by $L'_\infty$ the hyperplane at infinity of $\mathbb{P}^{2k}$.

Define an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ by $F(z, w) := (f(z), f^{-1}(w))$. Then $F$ is also a Hénon-Sibony map (see [3, Lemma 3.2]). The algebraic degrees of $F$ and $F^{-1}$ are both equal to $d$. The Green current of bidegree $(2s, 2s)$ of $F$ is $T^*_+ \otimes T^*_-$ satisfying $F^*(T^*_+ \otimes T^*_-) = d^{2s}T^*_+ \otimes T^*_-$.

Denote by $I^F_+$ the indeterminacy sets of $F$. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal of $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ and let $\overline{\Delta}$ be its closure in $\mathbb{P}^{2k}$. From [3, Lemma 3.2], we know that
\[
I^F_+ = \{ [z : w : 0] : [z : 0] \in L_+ \text{ and } [w : 0] \in L_- \},
\]
\[
I^F_- = \{ [z : w : 0] : [z : 0] \in L_- \text{ and } [w : 0] \in L_+ \},
\]
and
\[
I^F_+ \cap \overline{\Delta} = \emptyset, \quad F(\overline{\Delta}) \cap L'_\infty \subset I^F_+.
\]

We will use $F$ to prove Proposition 2.4 by following the same strategy as Proposition 3.1 in [3]. We also need that every positive current on $\mathbb{P}^k$ can be regularized on every neighborhood of its support since $\mathbb{P}^k$ is homogeneous.

**Proof of Proposition 2.4** Since the support of the measure $d^{-2s_m(f^n)^* R \wedge (f^n)_* S - \mu}$ is in $U_1 \cap V_1$ and the constant $c$ in Proposition 2.4 is independent of $\varphi$, $R$ and $S$, we can assume $\varphi$ is smooth on $U_2 \cap V_2$ and p.s.h. on $D_1$ in order to apply Proposition 2.2. Then we obtain the general case by approximating $\varphi$ by a decreasing sequence of smooth functions which are p.s.h. on $D_1$.

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.5, we can assume $\varphi$ is smooth on $(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus D') \cup (U_2 \cap V_2)$, with compact support in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\| \varphi \|_{\varphi_2(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus D')} \leq c' \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty}$ for some constant $c' > 0$. After multiplying $\varphi$ by some constant, we can assume $|\varphi| < 1$.

Replacing $R$ and $S$ by $d^{-s}f^*(R)$ and $d^{-s}f_*(S)$, we can assume
\[
\text{supp}(R) \cap L_\infty \subset I_+ \text{ and } \text{supp}(S) \cap L_\infty \subset L_-.
\]
Consider the current $R \otimes S$ in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$. By the above assumptions on $R$ and $S$, we have $\supp(R \otimes S) \cap L'_\infty \subset I^F_+$. 

Since $\dim I^F_+ = 2s - 1$, by Skoda’s extension Theorem, the trivial extension of $R \otimes S$ (which we still denote by $R \otimes S$) to $\mathbb{P}^{2k}$ is a positive closed $(2s, 2s)$-current of mass 1 and satisfies 

$$\supp(R \otimes S) \cap L'_\infty \subset I^F_+.$$ 

Define $\widehat{\varphi}(z, w) := \varphi(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$. Since $T_{\pm}$ are invariant and have continuous potentials out of $I_{\pm}$, we have 

$$\langle d^{-2sn}(f^n)^*R \otimes (f^n)_*S - \mu, \varphi \rangle = \langle d^{-2sn}(f^n)^*R \otimes (f^n)_*S - T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-, \widehat{\varphi}[\Delta] \rangle.$$ 

Using a regularization of $[\Delta]$, one can find a smooth positive closed form $\Theta$ of mass 1 with support in a small neighborhood $W$ of $\overline{\Delta}$ such that 

$$\left| \langle d^{-2sn}(f^n)^*R \otimes (f^n)_*S - T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-, \widehat{\varphi}[\Delta] \rangle - \langle d^{-2sn}(f^n)^*R \otimes (f^n)_*S - T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-, \widehat{\varphi}[\Delta] \rangle \right| \leq d^{-n}.$$ 

Note that $\Theta$ may depend on $n$. We can choose $W$ such that $W \cap I^F_+ = \emptyset.$ 

In the following, we will estimate the term 

$$\langle d^{-2sn}(f^n)^*R \otimes (f^n)_*S - T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-, \widehat{\varphi}[\Delta] \rangle.$$ 

Fix an integer $m > 0$ large enough. Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ has compact support in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ and 

$$(f^n)^*R \otimes (f^n)_*S = (f^n)^*(R \otimes S)$$ 

in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$, we have for $n > m$, 

$$\langle d^{-2sn}(f^n)^*R \otimes (f^n)_*S - T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-, \widehat{\varphi}[\Delta] \rangle = \langle d^{-2sm}(F^m)^*(R \otimes S) - d^{-2sm}(F^m)^*(T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-), \widehat{\varphi}[\Delta] \rangle = \langle d^{-2sm}(F^m)^*(R \otimes S) - T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-, \Phi \rangle,$$ 

where $T := d^{-2sm}(F^m)^*(R \otimes S)$ and $\Phi := d^{-2sm}(F^m)_*(\widehat{\varphi}[\Delta]).$ 

Note that for $m, n$ big enough, $T$ has support in a small neighborhood $U$ of $K^F_+ := K_+ \times K_-$ and $\Phi$ has support in a small neighborhood $V$ of $K^F_- := K_+ \times K_+$.

Since $m$ is large and $\varphi$ is smooth p.s.h. on $U_2 \cap V_2$, there exists a neighborhood $U' \ni U$ such that on $U'$, $dd^c\Phi \geq 0$ and $\Phi$ is smooth.

Put $\widehat{\omega}(z, w) := \omega(z)$. Since $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^k \setminus D^1)} \leq c'$ and $\varphi$ is p.s.h. on $D_1$, we have $dd^c\varphi \geq -c' \omega$. It follows that 

$$dd^c\Phi \geq -d^{-2sm}(F^m)_*(c' \omega \wedge \Theta).$$ 

Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain $\|dd^c\Phi\|_s \leq 2c'$ because the operator $d^{-2sm}(F^m)_*$ preserves the mass of positive closed $(k, k)$-currents and $c' \omega \wedge \Theta$ has mass $c'$.

Notice that the choices of $W, U, V, U'$ and $m$ do not depend on $\varphi$ and $n$. Proposition 2.2 and Remark 2.3 applied to $F, T$ and $\Phi$ imply that there exists $c > 0$ such that 

$$\langle d^{-2ns(n-2m)}(F^{n-2m})_*(T^s_+ \otimes T^s_-), \Phi \rangle \leq cd^{-n}$$ 

for all $n$. The desired inequality of the proposition follows.
3. Proof of the main theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Remark 1.2 we can assume \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are bounded on \( \mathbb{C}^k \) and \( \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty} = \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty(D)}, \| \psi \|_{L^\infty} = \| \psi \|_{L^\infty(D)} \). After adding to them some constant and multiplying them by some constant one can assume \( \| \varphi \|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4 \) and \( \| \psi \|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4 \).

It is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.1 for \( n \) even because applying it to \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \circ f \) gives the case of odd \( n \) (we reduce the domain \( D \) if necessary). Using the invariance of \( \mu \), it is enough to show that

\[
\left| \langle \mu, (\varphi \circ f^n)(\psi \circ f^{-n}) \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu, \psi \rangle \right| \leq cd^{-n}
\]

for some \( c > 0 \). It is equivalent to prove

\[
\langle \mu, (\varphi \circ f^n)(\psi \circ f^{-n}) \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu, \psi \rangle \leq cd^{-n}
\]

and

\[
\langle \mu, (\varphi \circ f^n)(-\psi \circ f^{-n}) \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu, -\psi \rangle \leq cd^{-n}
\]

For \( j = 1, 2 \), we define

\[
\varphi_j^+ = \varphi^2 + j \varphi + A, \quad \varphi_j^- = \varphi^2 + j \varphi - A, \quad \psi_j^+ = \psi^2 + j \psi + A, \quad \psi_j^- = -\psi^2 - j \psi + A,
\]

where \( A \) is a positive constant whose value will be determined later. Consider the following eight functions on \( \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k \):

\[
\Phi_{jl}^+(z, w) = \varphi_j^+(z)\psi_l^+(w), \quad \Phi_{jl}^-(z, w) = \varphi_j^-(z)\psi_l^-(w),
\]

where \( j, l = 1, 2 \).

Lemma 3.1. The functions \( \Phi_{jl}^+ \) are p.s.h. on \( D \times D \) for \( A \) large enough.

Proof. We only show \( \Phi_{11}^+ \) is p.s.h. because the other cases can be obtained in the same way. By a direct computation, we have

\[
i\partial \overline{\partial} \Phi_{11}^+ = i\partial \overline{\partial} (\varphi^2 + \varphi + A)(\psi^2 + \psi + A)
\]

\[
= (\psi^2 + \psi + A)i\partial \overline{\partial} (\varphi^2 + \varphi + A) + i\partial (\varphi^2 + \varphi + A) \wedge \overline{\partial}(\psi^2 + \psi + A)
\]

\[
+ i\partial (\psi^2 + \psi + A) \wedge \overline{\partial}(\varphi^2 + \varphi + A) + (\varphi^2 + \varphi + A)i\partial \overline{\partial} (\psi^2 + \psi + A)
\]

\[
= (\psi^2 + \psi + A)((2\varphi + 1)i\partial \overline{\partial}\varphi + 2i\partial \varphi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi) + (2\psi + 1)(2\psi + 1)i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi
\]

\[
+ (2\varphi + 1)(2\psi + 1)i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi + (\varphi^2 + \varphi + A)((2\psi + 1)i\partial \overline{\partial}\psi + 2i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi)
\]

\[
\geq (A - \frac{1}{4}) \left( \frac{1}{2} i\partial \overline{\partial}\varphi + 2i\partial \varphi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi \right) + (A - \frac{1}{4}) \left( \frac{1}{2} i\partial \overline{\partial}\psi + 2i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi \right)
\]

\[
+ (2\varphi + 1)(2\psi + 1)(i\partial \varphi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi + i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi)
\]

\[
\geq (A - \frac{1}{4}) \left( \frac{1}{2} i\partial \overline{\partial}\varphi + 2i\partial \varphi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi \right) + (A - \frac{1}{4}) \left( \frac{1}{2} i\partial \overline{\partial}\psi + 2i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{9}{4} (i\partial \varphi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi + i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi).
\]

The last inequality holds because

\[
i\partial \varphi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi + i\partial \varphi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi + i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi + i\partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi = i\partial (\varphi + \psi) \wedge \overline{\partial}(\varphi + \psi) \geq 0.
\]
There exists a constant and chosen independent of for all . Without loss of generality, we only show the first inequality. Define .

Proof. Using support in small neighborhoods for all . Now consider for some constant independent of . The sets satisfy . Using regularizations, one can find two smooth currents and such that all are p.s.h. on . The choice of depends on . The currents depend on . Thus we can apply Proposition 2.4 to and instead of and .

From the above computation we get that a sufficient condition for being p.s.h. on is , because and are all positive.

We choose large enough such that all are p.s.h. on . Note that can be chosen independent of and . We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. There exists a constant such that

and

for all , and .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we only show the first inequality. Define . Using and that have continuous potentials in , we get

where is a fixed and sufficiently large integer.

Using regularizations, one can find two smooth currents and of mass 1 with support in small neighborhoods and of . The choice of depends on . Thus we can apply Proposition 2.4 to instead of , and .

for all , and . We can choose independent of and because is bounded by some constant independent of and .

Since , combining (3.2) and (3.3) gives

for all . This finishes the proof of this lemma.

End of the proof of Theorem 7.7 Now consider , , and . A direct computation gives

for all . This finishes the proof of this lemma.
for some constants \( \beta_t, 1 \leq t \leq 5 \). We now apply this identity and Lemma 3.2. Observe that the invariance of \( \mu \) implies that the terms involving \( \beta_t \) cancel each other out. We obtain

\[
\langle \mu, (\varphi \circ f^n)(\psi \circ f^{-n}) \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu, \psi \rangle \leq \left( \sum_{j,l=1,2} (\alpha_{jl}^+ + \alpha_{jl}^-) \right) cd^{-n} = 6cd^{-n}.
\]

Similarly, taking \( \gamma_1 = 2, \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_2 = 1 \) and \( \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{12} = 0 \), we get

\[
\langle \mu, (\varphi \circ f^n)(-\psi \circ f^{-n}) \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu, -\psi \rangle \leq \left( \sum_{j,l=1,2} (\gamma_{jl}^+ + \gamma_{jl}^-) \right) cd^{-n} = 6cd^{-n}.
\]

The above two inequalities prove inequality (3.1) and finish the proof of the main theorem. \( \square \)

**Proof of Corollary 1.3.** We can assume \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are p.s.h. and negative on \( D \). After multiplying them by some constant we can assume \( \langle \mu, |\varphi| \rangle \leq 1 \) and \( \langle \mu, |\psi| \rangle \leq 1 \). Let \( M > 0 \) be a constant whose value will be specified later. Define

\[
\varphi_1 := \max\{\varphi, -M\}, \quad \psi_1 := \max\{\psi, -M\},
\]

and

\[
\varphi_2 := \varphi - \varphi_1, \quad \psi_2 := \psi - \psi_1.
\]

Then \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \psi_1 \) are bounded and p.s.h. on \( D \). Since \( \mu \) is moderate and clearly \( \{\varphi, \psi\} \) is a compact family of d.s.h. functions, there exist constants \( c > 0 \) and \( \alpha > 0 \) such that

\[
\mu\{|\varphi| > M\} \leq ce^{-\alpha M} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\{|\psi| > M\} \leq ce^{-\alpha M}.
\]

For \( t \in \mathbb{N} \), we compute the integral

\[
\int_{|\varphi| > t} |\varphi|d\mu \leq \sum_{k=t}^{\infty} (k + 1) \mu\{|\varphi| > k\} \leq \sum_{k=t}^{\infty} e(k + 1)e^{-\alpha k}.
\]

Note that for \( M \geq 1, ([M] + 1)e^{-\alpha[M]} \lesssim e^{-\alpha M/2} \), where the symbol \( \lesssim \) stands for an inequality up to a multiplicative constant. Thus we have

\[
\int_{|\varphi| > M} |\varphi|d\mu \lesssim \sum_{k=|M|}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha k/2} \lesssim e^{-\alpha M/2}.
\]

The same estimate holds for \( \psi \). By the definitions of \( \varphi_2 \) and \( \psi_2 \), we obtain

\[
\|\varphi_2\|_{L^1(\mu)} \lesssim e^{-\alpha M/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi_2\|_{L^1(\mu)} \lesssim e^{-\alpha M/2}.
\]

Repeating the preceding arguments for \( \varphi^2 \) and \( \psi^2 \) gives

\[
\|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(\mu)} \lesssim e^{-\alpha M/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi_2\|_{L^2(\mu)} \lesssim e^{-\alpha M/2}.
\]

On the other hand, applying Theorem 1.1 to \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \psi_1 \), we get

\[
\left| \int (\varphi_1 \circ f^n)\psi_1d\mu - \left( \int \varphi_1d\mu \right) \left( \int \psi_1d\mu \right) \right| \lesssim d^{-n/2}M^2.
\]

From the invariance of \( \mu \), we have that \( \|\varphi_2 \circ f^n\|_{L^p(\mu)} = \|\varphi_2\|_{L^p(\mu)} \) and \( \|\psi_2 \circ f^n\|_{L^p(\mu)} = \|\psi_2\|_{L^p(\mu)} \) for \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). We proceed as follows,
\[ |\langle \mu, (\varphi \circ f^n)\psi \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu, \psi \rangle | \]
\[ = | \langle \mu, (\varphi_2 \circ f^n)\psi_2 \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi_1 \circ f^n \rangle (\psi_1 + \psi_2) \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \rangle \langle \mu, \psi_1 + \psi_2 \rangle | \]
\[ \leq | \langle \mu, (\varphi_2 \circ f^n)\psi_2 \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \mu, \psi_1 \rangle | + | \langle \mu, (\varphi_1 \circ f^n)\psi_1 \rangle | + | \langle \mu, (\varphi_2 \circ f^n)\psi_1 \rangle | + | \langle \mu, (\varphi_2 \circ f^n)\psi_2 \rangle | \]
\[ \leq | \langle \mu, (\varphi_1 \circ f^n)\psi_1 \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \mu, \psi_1 \rangle | + M \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^1(\mu)} + M \| \psi_2 \|_{L^1(\mu)} \]
\[ + \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^2(\mu)} \| \psi_2 \|_{L^2(\mu)} + \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^1(\mu)} + \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^1(\mu)} \| \psi_2 \|_{L^1(\mu)} \]
\[ \lesssim d^{-n/2} M^2 + (2M + 2)e^{-\alpha M/2} + 2e^{-\alpha M} \]

Taking \( M = (n \log d)/\alpha \), we obtain the estimate
\[ d^{-n/2} M^2 + (2M + 2)e^{-\alpha M/2} + 2e^{-\alpha M} \lesssim n^2 d^{-n/2}. \]

Therefore,
\[ \left| \int (\varphi \circ f^n)\psi d\mu - \left( \int \varphi d\mu \right) \left( \int \psi d\mu \right) \right| \lesssim n^2 d^{-n/2}. \]

The proof is finished. \( \square \)
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