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#### Abstract

We prove that the skein categories of Walker-Johnson-Freyd satisfy excision. This allows us to conclude that skein categories are $k$-linear factorisation homology and taking the free cocompletion of skein categories recovers locally finitely presentable factorisation homology. An application of this is that the skein algebra of a punctured surface related to any quantum group with generic parameter gives a quantisation of the associated character variety.
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## Introduction

The Kauffman bracket skein algebra of the oriented surface $\Sigma$ is the algebra of framed, oriented links in $\Sigma \times[0,1]$ modulo the local 'skein relations'
亿o

By introducing coupons and colouring, one may define a skein algebra for any reductive algebraic group $G \dagger^{\dagger}$ or indeed any $k$-linear ribbon category $\mathscr{V}$.

[^0]A skein category extends the definition of a skein algebra further by no longer requiring the ribbon tangles to be closed. Skein categories were first defined by Walker Wal06, p. 70] and Johnson-Freyd Joh15, Section 9]. The definition is based on the $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon diagram category of Turaev Tur94 Tur97]. This $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon diagram category is a $k$-linear category whose morphisms are $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon tangles in $[0,1]^{3}$; each such ribbon tangle can itself be evaluated as a morphism of $\mathscr{V}$. The skein category $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ is then defined as the $k$-linear category whose


Example of a coloured ribbon diagram in $[0,1]^{3}$.

1. Objects are finite sets of framed, $\mathscr{V}$-coloured points in $\Sigma$;
2. Morphisms are $k$-linear combinations of $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon tangles in $\Sigma \times[0,1]$ up to the equivalence that $F \sim G$ if they are equal outside a cube and their evaluations on the cube are equal.

For more precise definitions and some basic properties; see Section 1. The endomorphism algebra of the empty set in the skein category $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ recovers the original skein algebra $\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ of the surface.

The main result of this paper is to prove that skein categories satisfy excision ${ }^{\dagger}$
Theorem 1. Let $C$ be a 1-manifold with a thickened right embedding into the boundary of the surface $M$ and a thickened left embedding into the boundary of the surface $N$. There is an equivalence of categories

$$
F: \mathbf{S k}(M) \underset{\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])}{\otimes} \mathbf{S k}(N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{S k}(M \underset{C \times[0,1]}{\sqcup} N) .
$$

The excision of skein categories was conjectured by Johnson-Freyd [Joh15, Section 9] again based on the ideas of Walker Wal06; MW11. There is also a result of Yetter Yet92 which proves a similar excision result for universal braid categories in Set and the topological parts of the proof of Theorem 11 are based on this proof.

Our motivation for studying skein categories came from trying to understand the relation of skein algebras to factorisation homology. Factorisation homology takes two inputs, a topological input and an algebraic input, and produces an object which is a topological invariant of the topological input and an algebraic invariant of the algebraic input:

- The topological input is a $n$-dimensional manifold which may have a $G$-structure such as an orientation.
- The algebraic input is a $\mathbf{D i s c}_{n}^{G}$-algebra. This is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$
F_{A}: \operatorname{Disc}_{n}^{G, \sqcup} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\otimes}: \mathbb{D}^{n} \mapsto A
$$

from the $(\infty, 1)$-category of $G$-framed discs to a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$-category $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$.
The factorisation homology $\int_{M}^{\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}} A$ of the manifold $M$ with coefficients in $A$ is obtained by extending the Disc $_{n}^{G}$-algebra functor $F_{A}$ to manifolds:


Factorisation homology satisfies a generalisation of the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms for singular homology AF15 so may be interpreted as a generalisation of homology which is tailor-made for topological manifolds rather than general topological spaces. For certain geometric and algebraic inputs, factorisation homology can recover other homology theories such a singular or Hochschild homology; see AF19, p. 2] for elaboration and further examples. In particular, factorisation homologies satisfy excision, and are characterised by this excision and a few other properties.

In Section 2 we use the characterisation of factorisation homology in terms of excision to prove ${ }^{\ddagger}$

[^1]Theorem 2. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a $k$-linear ribbon category and $\mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}$be the $(2,1)$-category of small $k$-linear categories. The $k$-linear factorisation homology

$$
\int_{-}^{\mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\otimes}} \mathscr{V}: \mathbf{M f l}_{n}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}_{k}^{\times}
$$

is $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}\left({ }^{( }\right)$. In particular this means that for any oriented surface $\Sigma$, there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma) \simeq \int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}} \mathscr{V}
$$

After proving Theorem 1 in Section 1, the remaining difficulty in proving this theorem is due to differing definitions of the relative tensor product. In Section 1, we prove that skein categories satisfy excision where the relative tensor product is Tambara's relative tensor product of $k$-linear categories (see Definition 1.16). In the statement of the excision of factorisation homology a different definition of relative tensor product is used: the relative tensor product is the colimit in $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ of the 2 -sided bar construction. In Section 2.3 we prove that when $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}=$ Cat $_{k}^{\times}$, the $(2,1)$-category of $k$-linear categories, these definitions are equivalent so, in particular, skein categories satisfy the same notion of excision as factorisation homologies.

Finally in Section 3 , we apply our results to the quantisation of character varieties. Ben-Zvi, Brochier and Jordan BBJ18] showed that one can quantise character varieties of punctured surfaces using factorisation homology. They showed that the locally finitely presentable factorisation homology $\int_{\Sigma_{0}}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)$, of the punctured surface $\Sigma_{0}$ with coefficients in the category $\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)$ of integrable representations of the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$, is equivalent to the category $A_{\Sigma_{0}}-\bmod _{\mathbf{R e p}_{q}(G)}$ for a combinatorially determined algebra object $A_{\Sigma_{0}}$. Furthermore, they proved that the algebra of $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$-invariants $A_{\Sigma_{0}}^{\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})}$ is a quantisation of the character variety $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)$. We use Theorem 2 to prove ${ }^{\dagger}$

Theorem 3. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian, $k$-linear, ribbon category; Free be the free cocompletion; Cauchy be the Cauchy completion and Comp be the subcategory of compact projective objects. There are equivalences of categories

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Free}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Sigma)\right) & \simeq \int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{A}) \\
\text { and } \operatorname{Cauchy}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Sigma)\right) & \simeq \operatorname{Comp}\left(\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{A})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This result was conjectured by Ben-Zvi, Brochier and Jordan BBJ18, Remark 1.3]. A corollary iif
Corollary 4. Let $G$ be a connected Lie group such that its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ is semisimple, $q \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be not a root of unity, and let $\Sigma_{0}$ be a punctured surface. Then there is an algebra isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)}(\Sigma) \cong A_{\Sigma_{0}}^{\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})}
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)}(\Sigma)$ is a quantisation of the character variety $\operatorname{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$.
This corollary was proved by direct computation by the author for the cases when $G=\mathrm{SL}_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{0}$ is the four-punctured sphere or punctured torus in Coo18]. It was already well known that Kauffman bracket skein algebras are quantisations $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-character varieties Tur91. That result was generalised to $\mathrm{SL}_{n}$ in Sik05. So this corollary is a generalisation of these results to more general skein algebras.
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## 1 Skein Categories

### 1.1 Definition of a Skein Category

A skein category is a categorical analogue of a skein algebra. It was first defined by Walker and JohnsonFreyd Wal06, p. 70; Joh15, Section 9]. The definition we use follows that stated by Johnson-Freyd which is based on a generalisation to a general surfaces of the category Ribbon $\mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{V}}$ of coloured ribbon graphs of Reshetikhin and Turaev Tur94, Chapter $1 ;$ RT90, Section 4]. We begin by defining this category Ribbon $V$ for any surface ${ }^{\dagger}$

Definition 1.1. A ribbon graph is constructed out of a finite number of ribbons and coupons:

1. A strand is a copy of the unit interval $[0,1]$.
2. A ribbon is a framed strand. The bottom base of the ribbon is $\{0\}$ and the top base of the ribbon is $\{1\}$. Ribbons have two possible directions: up from the bottom base to the top base $(+)$ or down from the top base to the bottom base ( - ).
3. A coupon is a copy of $[0,1]^{2}$. The bottom base of the coupon is $[0,1] \times\{0\}$ and the top base of the coupon is $[0,1] \times\{1\}$.

A base of a ribbon may be attached to the base of a coupon, or to the other base of the ribbon to form an annulus; otherwise, ribbons and coupons are disjoint.


Figure 1: A ribbon graph.

Definition 1.2. Fix a strict ribbor ${ }^{+}$category $\mathscr{V}$. A ribbon graph is coloured by $\mathscr{V}$ as follows:

1. Each ribbon is coloured with an object of $\mathscr{V}$.
2. For a coupon, let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ and $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}$ be the colours and directions of the strands attached to the bottom base the coupon, and let $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{m}$ and $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}$ be the colours and directions of the strands attached to the top base the coupon-the order in which the bands are attached to bases of the coupon gives the ordering. The coupon is coloured by a morphism $f: V_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}} \rightarrow W_{1}^{\eta_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{m}^{\eta_{m}}$ of $\mathscr{V}$ where $X^{+}:=X$ and $X^{-}:=X^{*}$ for $X \in \mathscr{V}$.

[^3]

Figure 2: A coloured ribbon graph.
Definition 1.3. A $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon diagram of a surface $\Sigma$ is an embedding of a $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon graph into $\Sigma \times[0,1]$ such that unattached bases of ribbons are sent to $\Sigma \times\{0,1\}$ and otherwise the image lies in $\Sigma \times(0,1)$. The coupons must be oriented upwards. We call its intersection with $\Sigma \times\{0\}$ the bottom of the diagram and its intersection with $\Sigma \times\{1\}$ the top of the diagram.

Definition 1.4. Two coloured strand diagrams are isomorphic if there is a finite sequence of isotopies from one to the other; each isotopy must be fixed except in the interior of a 3-ball and preserve the ribbon graph structure i.e. the attachments of ribbons and coupons, directions of ribbons and colouring.

Definition 1.5. Fix a strict ribbon category $\mathscr{V}$ and a surface $\Sigma$. The $k$-linear category of $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon diagrams in $\Sigma$ is denoted $\operatorname{Ribbon}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ :
I. An object of $\operatorname{Ribbon}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ is a finite set $\left\{x_{1}^{\left(V_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\left(V_{n}, \epsilon_{n}\right)}\right\}$ of disjoint framed points $x_{i} \in \Sigma$ coloured by objects $V_{i} \in \mathscr{V}$ and given directions $\epsilon_{i} \in\{+,-\}$.
II. A morphism $F:\left\{x_{1}^{\left(V_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\left(V_{n}, \epsilon_{n}\right)}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{y_{1}^{\left(W_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)}, \ldots, y_{m}^{\left(W_{m}, \delta_{m}\right)}\right\}$ is a finite linear combination $F=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} F_{i}$ where $\lambda_{i} \in k$ and $F_{i}$ is a $\mathscr{V}$-coloured ribbon diagram such that bottom of the diagram is $\left\{x_{1}^{\left(V_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\left(V_{n}, \epsilon_{n}\right)}\right\}$ and the top is $\left\{y_{1}^{\left(W_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)}, \ldots, y_{m}^{\left(W_{m}, \delta_{m}\right)}\right\} \dagger$
III. The identity morphism $\operatorname{Id}_{\left\{x_{1}^{\left(V_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\left(V_{n}, \epsilon_{n}\right)}\right\}}$ is the ribbon diagram consisting of $n$ ribbons which are fixed in $\Sigma$-coordinate and framing (up to isomorphism).
IV. The composition of morphisms $F=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} F_{i}$ and $G=\sum_{j} \mu_{j} G_{j}$ is $G \circ F=\sum_{i, j} \lambda_{i} \mu_{j} G_{j} \circ F_{i}$. The ribbon diagram $G_{j} \circ F_{i}$ is formed by stacking and then retracting $\Sigma \times[0,2]$ to $\Sigma \times[0,1]$. When forming $G_{j} \circ F_{i}$ the strands of $F_{i}$ attached to the top of its diagram and the strands of $G_{j}$ attached to the bottom of its diagram are merged.

Remark 1.6. Note that an embedding of surfaces $p: \Sigma \rightarrow \Pi$ induces a functor $P: \mathbf{S k}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{S k}(\Pi)$ of skein categories: on the object $\left\{x_{i}^{\left(V_{i}, \epsilon_{i}\right)}\right\}, P$ is defined by $P\left(x^{\left(V_{i}, \epsilon_{i}\right)}\right)=P\left(x^{\left(V_{i}, \epsilon_{i}\right)}\right)$ and, on the morphism $F=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} F_{i}, P$ is defined by $P\left(F_{i}\right)=\left(p \times \operatorname{Id}_{[0,1]}\right)\left(F_{i}\right)$.
Remark 1.7. When $\Sigma=C \times[0,1]$, for some 1-manifold $C$, the $k$-linear category $\operatorname{Ribbon}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ can be equipped with a monoidal structur ${ }^{\ddagger}$ induced by the embedding

$$
I:(C \times[0,1]) \sqcup(C \times[0,1]) \hookrightarrow C \times[0,1]
$$

which retracts both copies of $C \times[0,1]$ in the second coordinate and includes them into another copy of $C \times[0,1]$; we shall denote the retractions $l$ and $r$ respectively. Furthermore, the monoidal category $\operatorname{Ribbon}_{\mathscr{V}}(C \times[0,1])$ has duals with the dual of an object obtained by flipping directions:

$$
\left\{x_{1}^{\left(V_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\left(V_{n}, \epsilon_{n}\right)}\right\}^{*}:=\left\{x_{1}^{\left(V_{1},-\epsilon_{1}\right)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\left(V_{n},-\epsilon_{n}\right)}\right\}:
$$

[^4]

Figure 3: The twist $\theta_{V}: V \rightarrow V$ and the braiding $B_{U, V}: U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ of $\mathbf{R i b b o n}_{\mathscr{V}}(C \times[0,1])$.
the unit and counit are given by the cap and cup respectively. Finally, equipping $\mathbf{R i b b o n}_{\mathscr{V}}(C \times[0,1])$ with the braiding and twist given by crossing ribbons and twisting ribbons, as depicted in Figure 3, turns $\operatorname{Ribbon}_{\mathscr{V}}(C \times[0,1])$ into a ribbon category. In particular, $\mathbf{R i b b o n}_{\mathscr{V}}\left([0,1]^{2}\right)$ is a ribbon category.

Proposition 1.8 Tur94, Theorem 2.5]. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a strict ribbon category. There is a full ribbon functor

$$
\text { eval : Ribbon } \operatorname{Ri}_{\mathscr{V}}\left([0,1]^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{V}
$$

which is surjective on objects.
To define the skein category $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$, we take the ribbon diagram category $\mathbf{R i b b o n}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ and force it to locally satisfy the relations satisfied in $\mathscr{V}$ :

Definition 1.9. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface and $\mathscr{V}$ be a strict ribbon category. The $k$-linear category $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ is $\operatorname{Ribbon}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ modulo the following relation on the morphisms of $\operatorname{Ribbon}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ : the morphism $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} F_{i} \sim 0$ if there exists an orientation preserving embedding

$$
E:[0,1]^{3} \rightarrow \Sigma \times[0,1]
$$

satisfying the following:

1. the intersection of $F_{i}$ with the boundary of the cube $E\left(\partial[0,1]^{3}\right)$ consists only of transverse ribbons of $F_{i}$ intersecting the top and bottom edge of the cube;
2. the $F_{i}$ are equal outside of $E\left([0,1]^{3}\right)$;
3. $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \operatorname{eval}\left(E^{-1}\left(F_{i} \cap E\left([0,1]^{3}\right)\right)=0\right.$ (eval is the functor from Proposition 1.8).

Directly from the definition and Proposition 1.8 we obtain the following corollary:
Corollary 1.10. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a strict ribbon category. Then there is an equivalence of ribbon categories

$$
\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}\left([0,1]^{2}\right) \simeq \mathscr{V}
$$

### 1.1.1 Diagrams

A morphism of a skein category is a linear combination of equivalence classes of coloured ribbon diagrams in $\Sigma \times[0,1]$. A coloured ribbon diagram $R$ can be depicted by diagrams drawn on $\Sigma$ in a way generalising knot diagrams as follows: Deform the ribbon diagram $R$ so that, with the exception of ribbons attached to the top and bottom of the diagram, it lies almost parallel and very close to $\Sigma \times\{1 / 2\}$. The ribbons attached to the top and bottom of the diagram can be deformed so that they are constant in the $\Sigma$ direction except very close to $\Sigma \times\{1 / 2\}$. Further deform $R$ so that the coupons of $R$ lie in $\Sigma \times\{1 / 2\}$, so that no ribbons lie directly above or below (in the $t$-coordinat $\epsilon^{\dagger}$ ) coupons, and at most two ribbons lie above or below each other. After having deformed $R$ in this manner we draw the projection of the ribbon diagram onto $\Sigma \times\{1 / 2\}$ taking account under and over crossings, and making start and end intervals as such. The original ribbon diagram $R$ can be recovered up to isotopy from this diagram.

[^5]

Ribbon tangle diagram in $\Sigma \times[0,1]$


Diagram of the ribbon tangle in $\Sigma$

Note that, the diagram of the composition $S \circ R$ is obtained by placing the diagram of $S$ on top of the diagram of $R$ and removing the start and end points which now join up.

### 1.2 Tambara Relative Tensor Product of $k$-linear Categories

There are two definitions of the relative tensor products used in this paper.

1. The first definition is the definition of the relative tensor product $k$-linear categories defined by Tambara in Tam01, Section 1]. It is a categorical analogue of the definition of the relative tensor product of modules. As skein categories are $k$-linear categories, it will be used the define the relative tensor product of skein categories which is needed to formulate the notion of excision of skein categories.
2. The second definition is a definition which applies to any $\otimes$-presentable $(\infty, 1)$-category $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$. The definition is as the colimit of the bar construction (see Definition 2.16. This is the definition used to formulate the notion of excision of factorisation homologies.

In Section 2.3, we shall prove that when $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ is the $(2,1)$-category of $k$-linear categories, Cat ${ }_{k}^{\times}$, that these two definitions coincide. This is an important step in relating skein categories to factorisation homology (Section 2 and Section 3). However in Section 1, we only need to use Tambara's definition which we shall now define.

Tambara Tam01, Section 1] defines the relative tensor product $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{M}$ of the right $\mathscr{A}$-module $k$-linear category $\mathscr{M}$ and the left $\mathscr{A}$-module $k$-linear category $\mathscr{N}$ relative to the $k$-linear monoidal category $\mathscr{A}$.

Definition 1.11. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a monoidal $k$-linear category. A left $\mathscr{A}$-module category is a $k$-linear category $\mathscr{M}$ equipped with a $k$-bilinear functor

$$
\triangleright: \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{M} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}:(a, m) \mapsto a \triangleright m
$$

a natural isomorphism

$$
\beta: \__{-} \triangleright\left(\__{-}\right) \rightarrow\left(\otimes_{-}\right) \triangleright{ }_{-} \text {with components } \beta_{a, b, m}: a \triangleright(b \triangleright m) \rightarrow(a \otimes b) \triangleright m
$$

called the associator, and a natural isomorphism

$$
\eta: 1_{\mathscr{A}} \triangleright \rightarrow_{-} \text {with components } \eta_{m}: 1_{\mathscr{A}} \triangleright m \rightarrow m
$$

called the unitor which make the certain diagrams commute (see for example [Coo19, Definition 4.1.2]). The definition for a right $\mathscr{A}$-module category is analogous.

Definition 1.12. A bilinear functor $F: \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ is $\mathscr{A}$-balanced if there is a natural isomorphism which on components is

$$
\iota_{m, a, n}: F(m \triangleleft a, n) \rightarrow F(m, a \triangleright n)
$$

satisfying the commutative diagram

for all $m \in \mathscr{M}, a, b \in \mathscr{A}$ and $n \in \mathscr{N}$.
Definition 1.13. The natural transformation $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ of $\mathscr{A}$-balanced functors $F, G: \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ is a $\mathscr{A}$-balanced natural transformation if it is compatible with the balancings, i.e. the following diagram commutes


Definition 1.14. Let $\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathscr{A} \text {-bal }}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} ; \mathscr{C})$ be the category with objects being the $\mathscr{A}$-balanced functions $\mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ and morphisms being the $\mathscr{A}$-balanced natural transformations.

Definition 1.15 Tam01, Section 1]. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a $k$-linear monoidal category, let $\mathscr{M}$ be a right $\mathscr{A}$-module $k$-linear category, and let $\mathscr{N}$ be a left $\mathscr{A}$-module $k$-linear category. The relative tensor product of $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{N}$ relative to $\mathscr{A}$ is a $k$-linear category $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ together with a $\mathscr{A}$-balanced functor $P: \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ such that for all $k$-linear categories $\mathscr{C}$ there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\operatorname{Cat}_{k}\left(\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}, \mathscr{C}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}_{\mathscr{A}-\operatorname{bal}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} ; \mathscr{C})
$$

given by precomposing functors with $P$.
Tambara proves the existence of such a relative tensor product by constructing it.
Definition 1.16 Tam01, Section 1]. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a $k$-linear monoidal category, let $\mathscr{M}$ be a $k$-linear right $\mathscr{A}$ module category, and let $\mathscr{N}$ be a $k$-linear left $\mathscr{A}$-module category. The relative tensor product $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ is the $k$-linear category with the following generators and relations. The objects of $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ are pairs $(m, n)$ where $m \in \mathscr{M}$ and $n \in \mathscr{N}$. The morphisms of $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ are generated by morphisms $(f, g)$, where $f: m \rightarrow m^{\prime}$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{M}$ and $g: g \rightarrow g^{\prime}$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{N}$, and by morphisms $\iota_{m, a, n}:(m \triangleleft a, n) \rightarrow(m, a \triangleright n)$ and $\iota_{m, a, n}^{-1}:(m, a \triangleright n) \rightarrow(m \triangleleft a, n)$, where $m \in \mathscr{M}, a \in \mathscr{A}$ and $n \in \mathscr{N}$. The morphisms satisfy the following relations:

Linearity $\left(f+f^{\prime}, g\right)=(f, g)+\left(f^{\prime}, g\right),\left(f, g+g^{\prime}\right)=(f, g)+\left(f, g^{\prime}\right)$ and $a(f, g)=(a f, g)=(f, a g)$;
Functionality $\left(f^{\prime} f, g^{\prime} g\right)=\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right) \circ(f, g)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Id}_{(m, n)} ;$
Isomorphism $\iota_{m, a, n} \circ \iota_{m, a, n}^{-1}=\operatorname{Id}_{(m, a \triangleright n)}$ and $\iota_{m, a, n}^{-1} \circ \iota_{m, a, n}=\operatorname{Id}_{(m \triangleleft a, n)} ;$
Naturality $\iota_{m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, n^{\prime}} \circ(f \triangleleft u, g)=(f, u \triangleright g) \circ \iota_{m, a, n}$

## Pentagon



## Triangle



The $\mathscr{A}$-balanced bilinear functor $P: \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ is defined by $P(m, n)=(m, n)$ on objects and $P(f, g)=(f, g)$ on morphisms.

### 1.3 Module Structure and the Relative Tensor Product of Skein Categories

Let $C$ be a 1-manifold. We have already seen that $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$ is a monoidal category (Remark 1.7). Suppose that we have a surface $M$ with boundary $\partial M$. We shall now show how a suitable embedding of $C$ into $\partial M$ equips $\mathbf{S k}(M)$ with a $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$-module structure.

Definition 1.17. Let $C$ be a 1-manifold and $M$ be a surface with boundary $\partial M$. A thickened right embedding of $C$ into the boundary of $M$ consists of

1. An embedding $\Xi: C \times(-\epsilon, 1] \hookrightarrow M$ such that its restriction to $C \times\{1\}$ gives an embedding $\xi: C \hookrightarrow \partial M$. We define the restriction $\Phi:=\left.\Xi\right|_{C \times[0,1]}$ and the restriction $\mu:=\left.\Xi\right|_{C \times\{0\}}$.
2. An embedding $E: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(E)$ is disjoint from $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$.
3. An isotopy $\lambda: M \times[0,1] \rightarrow M$ from $\operatorname{Id}_{M}$ to $E$ which is trivial outside of $\operatorname{Im}(\Xi)$.

A thickened left embedding is defined similarly except $\Xi$ is an embedding $\Xi: C \times[0,1+\epsilon) \hookrightarrow M$ such that its restriction to $C \times\{0\}$ gives an embedding $\xi: C \hookrightarrow \partial M$.

Remark 1.18. Let $F, G: M \rightarrow M$ be two embeddings and let $\sigma: M \times[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be an isotopy from $F$ to $G$. This isotopy traces out for any $m \in \mathbf{S k}(M)$ a ribbon tangle

$$
r_{\sigma, m}: F(m) \rightarrow G(m)
$$

In particular if $(\Xi, E, \lambda)$ is a thickened embedding of $C$ into the boundary of $M$ then the isotopy $\lambda$ : $M \times[0,1] \rightarrow M$ traces out for any $m \in \mathbf{S k}(M)$ a ribbon tangle $r_{\lambda, m}: m \rightarrow E(m)$. We also have for any $a \in \mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$ ribbon tangles $r_{l, a}: a \rightarrow a * \emptyset$ and $r_{r, a}: a \rightarrow \emptyset * a$ where $l$ and $r$ are the retractions used to define the monoidal structure of $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$. Furthermore, for any ribbon tangle $f: m \rightarrow m^{\prime}$ we have that

$$
r_{\lambda, m^{\prime}} \circ f=E(F) \circ r_{\lambda, m}
$$

and similarly $r_{l, a}$ and $r_{r, a}$ 'commute' with any ribbon tangle $g: a \rightarrow a^{\prime}$.

Definition 1.19. Given a thickened right embedding $(\Xi, E, \lambda)$ of $C$ into the boundary of $M, \mathbf{S k}(M)$ is a right $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$-module with action

$$
\triangleleft: \mathbf{S k}(M) \times \mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{S k}(M)
$$

induced from the embedding of surfaces

$$
M \sqcup(C \times[0,1]) \rightarrow M: M \sqcup A \mapsto E(M) \sqcup \Phi(A) .
$$

The associator $\beta$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{m, a, b}:(m \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b \rightarrow m \triangleleft(a \otimes b) \\
& \beta_{m, a, b}:=r_{\lambda^{-1},(m \triangleleft \emptyset) \triangleleft \emptyset} \sqcup\left(r_{l, \emptyset \triangleleft a} \circ r_{\lambda^{-1},(\emptyset \triangleleft a) \triangleleft a}\right) \sqcup r_{r,(\emptyset \triangleleft \emptyset) \triangleleft b}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the unitor $\eta$ is defined as

$$
\eta_{m}:=r_{\lambda, m}^{-1}: m \triangleleft \emptyset \rightarrow m
$$

Analogously, a thickened left embedding $(\Xi, E, \lambda)$ of $C$ into the boundary of $N$ defines a left $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$ module structure on $\mathbf{S k}(N)$.


As skein categories are $k$-linear, we may define the relative tensor product of skein categories to be their relative tensor product as $k$-linear categories.

Definition 1.20. Let $C$ be a 1-manifold with a thickened right embedding ( $\left.\Xi_{M}, E_{M}, \lambda_{M}\right)$ into the boundary of the surface $M$ and a thickened left embedding $\left(\Xi_{N}, E_{N}, \lambda_{N}\right)$ into the boundary of the surface $N$. By Definition $1.19 \mathbf{S k}(M)$ is a right $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$-module and $\mathbf{S k}(N)$ is a left $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$-module. The relative tensor product $\mathbf{S k}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}(A)} \mathbf{S k}(M)$ is the relative tensor product as $k$-linear categories of $\mathbf{S k}(M)$ and $\mathbf{S k}(C)$ relative to $\mathbf{S k}(A)$ (See Definition 1.16).


Remark 1.21. To simplify notation we shall define $A:=C \times[0,1]$.

### 1.4 Proof of the Excision of Skein Categories

In this subsection, we prove the following theorem:
Theorem 1.22 (Excision of Skein Categories).
Let $C$ be a 1-manifold with a thickened right embedding $\left(\Xi_{M}, E_{M}, \lambda_{M}\right)$ into the boundary of the surface $M$ and a thickened left embedding $\left(\Xi_{N}, E_{N}, \lambda_{N}\right)$ into the boundary of the surface $N$. Let $A:=C \times[0,1]$, $\mathbf{S k}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}(A)} \mathbf{S k}(N)$ be the relative tensor product of skein categories (Definition 1.20), and $M \sqcup_{A} N$ be the gluing

$$
M \sqcup_{A} N:=M \sqcup N /\left\{\xi_{N}(g, i) \sim \xi_{N}(g, 1-i) \mid g \in \bigsqcup_{i} \gamma_{i}, i \in[0,1]\right\}
$$

The thickened embeddings define a $k$-linear functor

$$
F: \mathbf{S k}(M) \underset{\mathbf{S k}(A)}{\otimes} \mathbf{S k}(N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)
$$

which is an equivalence of categories.
Before proceeding to the proof of the theorem, we shall define the ribbon tangles $\rho_{m, a, b} \in \mathbf{S k}(M)$ and $\rho_{a, b, n} \in \mathbf{S k}(N)$ and prove a few identities about them. These will be needed in the proof that $F$ is full and faithful.
Definition 1.23. Let $m \in \mathbf{S k}(M)$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{S k}(A)$ such that the points in $a$ are disjoint from the points in $b$. We define the ribbon tangle $\rho_{m, a, b} \in \mathbf{S k}(M)$ to be

$$
\rho_{m, a, b}:=r_{\lambda_{M}, m \triangleleft a} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{\emptyset \triangleleft b}: m \triangleleft(a \sqcup b) \rightarrow(m \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b .
$$

Let $n \in \mathbf{S k}(N)$. We define the ribbon tangle $\rho_{a, b, n} \in \mathbf{S k}(N)$ to be

$$
\rho_{a, b, n}:=r_{\lambda_{N}, b \triangleright n} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{a \triangleright \emptyset}:(a \sqcup b) \triangleright n \rightarrow a \triangleright(b \triangleright n) .
$$

Lemma 1.24. For any $m \in \mathbf{S k}(M), n \in \mathbf{S k}(N)$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{S k}(A)$ such that the points in a are disjoint from the points in $b$, we have the identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{m, a, b} & =\beta_{m, a, b}^{-1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m} \triangleleft\left(r_{l, a} \sqcup r_{r, b}\right)\right) \\
\rho_{a, b, n} & =\beta_{a, b, n}^{-1} \circ\left(\left(r_{l, a} \sqcup r_{r, b}\right) \triangleright \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{m, a, b}^{-1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m} \triangleleft\left(r_{l, a} \sqcup r_{r, b}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, m \triangleleft \emptyset} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, \emptyset \triangleleft a} \circ r_{l^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleleft(a * \emptyset)}\right) \sqcup r_{r^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleleft(\emptyset * b)}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m} \triangleleft\left(r_{l, a} \sqcup r_{r, b}\right)\right) \\
& =r_{\lambda_{M}, m \triangleleft \emptyset} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, \emptyset \triangleleft a} \circ r_{l^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleleft(a * \emptyset)} \circ r_{l, \emptyset \triangleleft a}\right) \sqcup\left(r_{r^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleleft(\emptyset * b)} \circ r_{r, \emptyset \triangleleft b}\right) \\
& =r_{\lambda_{M}, m \triangleleft a} \sqcup \mathrm{Id}_{\emptyset \triangleleft b} \\
& =\rho_{a, b, n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other identity is analogous.
Lemma 1.25. For any $m \in \mathbf{S k}(M), n \in \mathbf{S k}(N)$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{S k}(A)$ such that the points in a are disjoint from the points in $b$, the following diagram commutes.


We shall refer to this diagram as the pentagon.

Proof.


Lemma 1.26. Let $f: m \rightarrow m^{\prime}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{S k}(M), g: a \rightarrow a^{\prime}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{S k}(M)$ which is disjoint from $\operatorname{Id}_{b}$ and $h: b \rightarrow b^{\prime}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{S k}(M)$ which is disjoint from $\mathrm{Id}_{a}$. The following diagrams commute:


We have a similar result for the $\rho$ in $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{s k}(N)$. We shall refer to this as the naturality of $\rho$.
Proof. This follows from the similar naturality of $r_{\lambda_{M}}$ and $r_{\lambda_{N}}$.
We now proceed to the proof of excision.
Proof of Theorem 1.22. We shall first define

$$
F: \mathbf{S k}(M) \underset{\mathbf{S k}(A)}{\otimes} \mathbf{S k}(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)
$$

and show this definition is well-defined, and then show that $F$ is full, faithful and essentially surjective.

## Definition of $F$

Objects: Let ( $m, n$ ) be an object of $\mathbf{S k}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}(A)} \mathbf{S k}(N)$, so $m$ is a finite set of disjoint framed directed coloured points in $M$ and $n$ is a finite set of disjoint framed directed coloured points in $N$. We define

$$
F(m, n):=E_{M}(m) \sqcup E_{N}(n)
$$

which is a finite set of disjoint framed directed coloured points in $M \sqcup_{A} N$, and thus is a object of $\mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)$.

Morphisms: By the definition of the relative tensor product (Definition 1.16), the morphisms of $\mathbf{S k}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}(A)}$ $\mathbf{S k}(N)$ are generated by the morphisms

1. $(f, g):(m, n) \rightarrow\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)$, where $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}(M)}\left(m, m^{\prime}\right)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}(N)}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)$,
2. $\iota_{m, a, n}:(m \triangleleft a, n) \rightarrow(m, a \triangleright n)$ for $(m, a, n) \in \mathbf{S k}(M) \times \mathbf{S k}(A) \times \mathbf{S k}(N)$, and
3. $\iota_{m, a, n}^{-1}:(m, a \triangleright n) \rightarrow(m \triangleleft a, n)$ for $(m, a, n) \in \mathbf{S k}(M) \times \mathbf{S k}(A) \times \mathbf{S k}(N)$,
so to define $F$ it suffices to define $F$ for these morphisms:
4. $F(f, g):=E_{M}(f) \sqcup E_{N}(g) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)}\left(E_{M}(m) \sqcup E_{N}(n), E_{M}\left(m^{\prime}\right) \sqcup E_{N}\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right)$ where $E$ is the functor of categories induced by the embedding $E$.
5. $F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}\right):=r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}(m)}^{-1} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(a)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E(n)} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)}\left(E_{M}^{2}(m) \sqcup E_{M}(a) \sqcup\right.$ $\left.E_{N}(n), E_{M}(m) \sqcup E_{N}(a) \sqcup E_{N}^{2}(n)\right)$
6. $F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}^{-1}\right):=r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(m)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(a)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(n)}^{-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)}\left(E_{M}(m) \sqcup E_{N}(a) \sqcup\right.$ $\left.E_{N}^{2}(n), E_{M}^{2}(m) \sqcup E_{M}(a) \sqcup E_{N}(n)\right)$


Figure 4: This embedding of surfaces induces a functor $\mathbf{S k}(M) \times$ $\mathbf{S k}(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)$ of their skein categories. The functor $F$ on $P(\mathbf{S k}(M) \times \mathbf{S k}(N))$ is given by this functor: that is on objects and on morphisms of the form $(f, g)$.


Figure 5: The functor $F$ on the natural isomorphism $\iota$ gives a ribbon which has stands which cross the middle section from $F(\emptyset \triangleleft a, \emptyset)$ to $F(\emptyset, a \triangleright \emptyset)$ (coloured red). Elsewhere applying $F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}\right)$ only moves points a little.

In order to show that $F$ is well-defined we must show $F$ (morphism) still satisfies the relations in Definition 1.16 This is a sequence of straight forward calculations:

Linearity Follows automatically as we have defined $F$ to be $k$-linear.
Functionality Follows from the functionality of the functors $E_{M}$ and $E_{N}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right) \circ(f, g)\right) & =E_{M}\left(f^{\prime} \circ f\right) \sqcup E_{N}\left(g^{\prime} \circ g\right) \\
& =\left(E_{M}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \circ E_{M}(f)\right) \sqcup\left(E_{N}\left(g^{\prime}\right) \circ E_{N}(g)\right) \\
& =F\left(\left(f^{\prime} \circ f, g^{\prime} \circ g\right)\right) \\
F\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m, n}\right) & =\left(E_{M}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m}\right), E_{N}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right)=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(m)}, \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(n)}\right)=\operatorname{Id}_{F(m, n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Isomorphism Follows directly from the definitions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}\right) \circ F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}^{-1}\right)= & \left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}(m)}^{-1} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(a)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E(n)}\right) \\
& \circ\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(m)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(a)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}^{2}(n)}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(m)} \sqcup \mathrm{Id}_{E_{N}(a)} \sqcup \mathrm{Id}_{E_{N}^{2}(n)} \\
& =\operatorname{Id}_{E(m) \sqcup E(a \triangleright n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly for $F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}^{-1}\right) \circ F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}\right)$.
Naturality This follows from Remark 1.18

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\iota_{m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}\right) \circ & F(f \triangleleft g, h) \\
= & \left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}\left(m^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, a^{\prime}} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}\left(a^{\prime}\right)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(n^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
& \circ\left(E_{M}^{2}(f) \sqcup E_{M}(g) \sqcup E_{N}(h)\right) \\
= & \left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}\left(m^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} \circ E_{M}^{2}(f)\right) \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, a^{\prime}} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}\left(a^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} \circ E_{M}(g)\right) \\
& \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, E\left(n^{\prime}\right)} \circ E(h)\right) \\
= & \left(E_{M}(f) \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}(m)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup\left(E_{N}(g) \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(a)}^{-1}\right) \\
& \sqcup\left(E^{2}(h) \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E(n)}\right) \\
= & F(f, g \triangleright h) \circ F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Triangle Follows from the definitions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m}, \eta_{n}\right) \circ F\left(\iota_{m, \emptyset, n}\right) & =\left(\operatorname{Id}_{E(m)} \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(n)}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}(m)}^{-1} \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(n)}\right) \\
& =r_{\lambda_{M}, E^{2}(m)}^{-1} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(n)} \\
& =F\left(\theta_{m \triangleleft \emptyset}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pentagon As

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{a, b, n}=r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleright(\emptyset \triangleright n)} \sqcup\left(r_{r, b \triangleright \emptyset} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleright(b \triangleright \emptyset)}\right) \sqcup r_{l, a \triangleright \emptyset} \\
& \beta_{m, a, b}^{-1}=r_{\lambda_{M}, m \triangleleft \emptyset} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, \emptyset \triangleleft a} \circ r_{l^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleleft(a * \emptyset)}\right) \sqcup r_{r^{-1}, \emptyset \triangleleft(\emptyset * b)}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\left(\beta_{m, a, b}^{-1}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right)\right. & =r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}(m)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(a)} \circ r_{l^{-1}, E_{M}(a * \emptyset)}\right) \sqcup r_{r^{-1}, E_{M}(\emptyset * b)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(n)} \\
F\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m}, \beta_{a, b, n}\right) & =\operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(m)} \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{3}(n)} \sqcup\left(r_{r, E_{N}(b)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{2}(b)}\right) \sqcup r_{l, E_{N}(a)}
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(\mathrm{Id}_{m}, \beta_{a, b, n}\right) \circ F\left(\iota_{m, a, b \triangleright n}\right) \circ F\left(\iota_{m \triangleleft a, b, n}\right) \circ F\left(\beta_{m, a, b}^{-1}, \operatorname{Id}_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(m)} \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{3}(n)} \sqcup\left(r_{r, E_{N}(b)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{2}(b)}\right) \sqcup r_{l, E_{N}(a)}\right) \\
& \circ\left(r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{2}(m)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(a)}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E(b \triangleright n)}\right) \\
& \circ\left(r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{2}(m \triangleleft a)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, b} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(b)}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E(n)}\right) \\
& \circ\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}(m)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(a)} \circ r_{l^{-1}, E_{M}(a * \emptyset)}\right) \sqcup r_{r^{-1}, E_{M}(\emptyset * b)} \sqcup \mathrm{Id}_{E_{N}(n)}\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(m)} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{2}(m)} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{3}(m)} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}^{2}(m)}\right) \\
& \sqcup\left(r_{l, E_{N}(a)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(a)} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{2}(a)} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(a)} \circ r_{l^{-1}, E_{M}(a * \emptyset)}\right) \\
& \sqcup\left(r_{r, E_{N}(b)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{2}(b)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E(b)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, b} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(b)} \circ r_{r^{-1}, E_{M}(\emptyset * b)}\right) \\
& \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{3}(n)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E^{2}(n)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E(n)} \circ \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(n)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{2}(m)}\right) \sqcup\left(r_{l, E_{N}(a)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, a} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(a)} \circ r_{l^{-1}, E_{M}(a * \emptyset)}\right) \\
& \sqcup\left(r_{r, E_{N}(b)} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, b} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(b)} \circ r_{r^{-1}, E_{M}(\emptyset * b)}\right) \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, E(n)}\right) \\
= & \left(r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{2}(m)}\right) \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, a * \emptyset} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(a * \emptyset)}\right) \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, \emptyset * b} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(\emptyset * b)}\right) \\
& \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, E(n)}\right) \\
= & F\left(\iota_{m, a * b, n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.27. These identities have straightforward interpretations topologically, for example the pentagon identity holds as one can straighten strands.

## $F$ is essentially surjective

Any point in $E_{M}(M) \sqcup E_{N}(N) \subset M \sqcup_{A} N$ is in the image of $F$. If point $x^{(V, \epsilon)}$ is not in this region then there is a ribbon which translates $x^{(V, \epsilon)}$ across the middle region to a point $\tilde{x}^{(V, \epsilon)}$ which is in this region. Hence, every point in $M \sqcup_{A} N$ is isomorphic to an point in the image of $F$, and $F$ is essentially surjective.

## $F$ is full

Let $\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)$ be any objects in $\mathbf{S k}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}(A)} \mathbf{S k}(N)$ and let

$$
[\bar{u}] \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)}\left(F\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right), F\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right)
$$

so $[\bar{u}]$ is the equivalence class of a ribbon diagram

$$
\bar{u}: E_{M}\left(m_{1}\right) \sqcup E_{N}\left(n_{1}\right) \rightarrow E_{M}\left(m_{2}\right) \sqcup E_{N}\left(n_{2}\right) .
$$

In order to show $F$ is full, we must show there is a morphism

$$
w \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}(A)}} \mathbf{S k}(N)\left(\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right)
$$

such that $F(w)=u$ for some $u$ equivalent to $\bar{u}$.
We shall call $\operatorname{Im}\left(\Xi_{M} \cup \Xi_{N}\right) \times[0,1]$, the middle region. Up to isotopy fixed outside this middle region, we may assume that $\bar{u}$ intersects $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{M}\right) \times[0,1]$ in a finite number of transverse strands. Let $t_{i} \in[0,1]$ be the levels when $u_{t_{i}}$ intersects $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{M}\right)$. By an isotopy in the $t$-coordinate which moves coupons, twists, minima, maxima, and strands not lying in $F(M, N)^{\dagger}$, we may assume that $u_{t_{i}}$ consists entirely of framed points in $F(M, N)$. Up to isotopy fixed in the middle region, we can further assume $u_{t_{i}}$ contains framed points entirely in $F(M \triangleleft A, A \triangleright N)$. This means that $u_{t_{i}}=(m \triangleleft(a \sqcup \bar{b}),(\bar{c} \sqcup d) \triangleright n)$ where only $\bar{b}$ and $\bar{c}$ intersect $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{M}\right) \sqcup \operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{N}\right)$. We reparametrise further so that for some small $\epsilon_{i}>0, u_{\left[t_{i}-\epsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\epsilon\right]}=$ $\operatorname{Id}_{m \triangleleft a, d \triangleright n} \sqcup v_{\left[t_{i}-\epsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\epsilon\right]}$ where $v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}: E_{M}(b) \sqcup E_{N}(c) \rightarrow E_{M}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \sqcup E_{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ : in other words $u_{\left[t_{i}-\epsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\epsilon\right]}$ consists of identity strands and a ribbon tangle which straddles the middle region.


Figure 6: An example of $u_{\left[t_{i}-\epsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\epsilon\right]}$. In general $a, b, b^{\prime}, \ldots$ are not single framed points, but finite sets of framed points, and the coupon depicted could be any ribbon diagram in this square with the same inputs and outputs.

[^6]We now have a ribbon diagram $u$ equivalent to $\bar{u}$ with a decomposition

$$
u=u_{\left[1, t_{N}+\epsilon_{N}\right]} \circ u_{\left[t_{N}-\epsilon_{N}, t_{N}+\epsilon_{N}\right]} \circ u_{\left[t_{N-1}+\epsilon_{N-1}, t_{N}-\epsilon_{N}\right]} \circ \cdots \circ u_{\left[t_{1}-\epsilon_{1}, t_{1}+\epsilon_{1}\right]} \circ u_{\left[0, t_{1}-\epsilon_{1}\right]}
$$

such that $u_{\left[t_{i}-\epsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\epsilon_{i}\right]}=\operatorname{Id}_{m_{i} \triangleleft a_{i}, d_{i} \triangleright n_{i}} \sqcup v_{\left[t_{i}-\epsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\epsilon_{i}\right]}$ and the other morphisms in the decomposition lie in $F(M, N) \times[0,1]$. If a morphism lies in $F(M, N) \times[0,1]$ then it is of the form $f \sqcup g$ for $f \in F(M) \times[0,1]$ and $g \in F(N) \times[0,1]$. In which case $F\left(E_{M}^{-1}(f), E_{N}^{-1}(g)\right)=(f, g)$. So it remains to consider the ribbon tangle

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}: F(m \triangleleft(a \sqcup b),(c \sqcup d) \triangleright n) \rightarrow F\left(m \triangleleft\left(a \sqcup b^{\prime}\right),\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n\right) \\
& u_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}=v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}^{2}(m) \sqcup E_{M}(a) \sqcup E_{N}(d) \sqcup E_{N}^{2}(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}: E_{M}(b) \sqcup E_{N}(c) \rightarrow E_{M}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \sqcup E_{N}(c)$. As the middle region is homeomorphic to $C \times[0,1]$ and $[0,1]$ is topologically trivial, there exists a ribbon tangle $\bar{v}: b \triangleright c \rightarrow b^{\prime} \triangleright c^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{S k}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}= & \left(\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, b^{\prime}} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(b^{\prime}\right)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)}\right) \circ E_{N}(\bar{v}) \\
& \circ\left(\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, b} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(b)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{2}(c)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 7: The top figure is an example of $v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}$.


The bottom figure is isotopic and depicts the decomposition of $v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}$ :

1. The yellow ribbons are

$$
\left(\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, b} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(b)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}^{2}(c)}\right) ;
$$

2. The distorted copy of $v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}$ is $\bar{v}$;
3. The blue ribbons are

$$
\left(\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, b^{\prime}} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(b^{\prime}\right)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)}\right)
$$

We denote by $w_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}$ the following morphism in $\mathbf{S k}(M) \underset{\mathbf{S k}(A)}{\otimes} \mathbf{S k}(N)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m \triangleleft(a \sqcup b),(c \sqcup d) \triangleright n) \\
& \downarrow\left(\rho_{m, a, b}, \mathrm{Id}\right) \\
& ((m \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b,(c \sqcup d) \triangleright n) \\
& \downarrow \iota_{m \triangleleft a, b,(c \sqcup d) \triangleright n} \\
& (m \triangleleft a, b \triangleright((c \sqcup d) \triangleright n)) \\
& \downarrow^{\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m \triangleleft a}, \bar{v} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{\emptyset \triangleright(d \triangleright n)}\right)} \\
& \left(m \triangleleft a, b^{\prime} \triangleright\left(\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n\right)\right) \\
& \downarrow_{m \triangleleft a, b^{\prime},\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n}^{\iota^{-1}} \\
& \left((m \triangleleft a) \triangleright b^{\prime},\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n\right) \\
& \downarrow\left(\rho_{m, a, b^{\prime}}^{-1}, \mathrm{Id}\right) \\
& \left(m \triangleleft\left(a \sqcup b^{\prime}\right),\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall sometimes denote $\hat{v}:=\bar{v} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{\emptyset \triangleright(d \triangleright n)}$. We claim that $F\left(w_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}\right)=v_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}$. By the functorality
of $F$ and the definition of $F$ on the various components, we have that $F\left(w_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(m \triangleleft(a \sqcup b),(c \sqcup d) \triangleright n) \\
& \downarrow{ }_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(m \triangleleft a)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(b)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(c)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(d \triangleright n)} \\
& F((m \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b,(c \sqcup d) \triangleright n) \\
& \downarrow_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}(m \triangleleft a)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, b \circ r_{\lambda_{M}}^{-1}, E_{M}(b)}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(c)} \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(d \triangleright n)} \\
& F(m \triangleleft a, b \triangleright((c \sqcup d) \triangleright n)) \\
& \downarrow\left(\operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(m \triangleleft a)}, E_{N}(\bar{v}) \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}^{2}(d \triangleright n)}\right) \\
& F\left(m \triangleleft a, b^{\prime} \triangleright\left(\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n\right)\right) \\
& \downarrow{ }_{r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(m \triangleleft a)} \sqcup\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, b^{\prime}} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}^{-1}, E_{N}\left(b^{\prime}\right)}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}(d \triangleright n)}^{-1}} \\
& F\left((m \triangleleft a) \triangleright b^{\prime},\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n\right) \\
& \downarrow_{\lambda_{M}^{-1}, E_{M}^{2}(m \triangleleft a)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(b)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(d \triangleright n)} \\
& F\left(m \triangleleft\left(a \sqcup b^{\prime}\right),\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d\right) \triangleright n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So it decomposes into three components:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(w_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}\right)= & \mathrm{Id}_{E_{M}(m \triangleleft a)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(d \triangleright n)} \\
& \sqcup\left(\left(r_{\lambda_{M}, b^{\prime}} \circ r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(b^{\prime}\right)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)}\right) \circ E_{N}(\bar{v}) \\
& \circ\left(\left(r_{\lambda_{N}, b} \circ r_{\lambda_{M}, E_{M}(b)}^{-1}\right) \sqcup r_{\lambda_{N}, E_{N}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Id}_{E_{M}(m \triangleleft a)} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{E_{N}(d \triangleright n)} \sqcup v \\
= & u_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and we are done.

## $F$ is faithful

In the previous section we have shown that for any ribbon tangle $u$ there is a morphism $w$ such that $F(w)=u$. We shall now show that this defines a well defined inverse map of

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)}: & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}(A)} \mathbf{S k}(N)}\left(\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)}\left(F\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right), F\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the map $u \mapsto w$ is well defined it is the inverse of $F_{\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)}$, because $F(f, g) \mapsto(f, g)$ and $F\left(\iota_{m, a, n}\right) \mapsto$ $\iota_{m, a, n}$.

Any equivalence of ribbon diagrams in $\mathbf{S k}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right)$ can be decomposed into equivalences which are fixed outside of one open set in the open cover of $\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right) \times[0,1]$. In particular this means that any isotopy of

$$
u=u_{\left[1, t_{N}+\epsilon_{N}\right]} \circ u_{\left[t_{N}-\epsilon_{N}, t_{N}+\epsilon_{N}\right]} \circ u_{\left[t_{N-1}+\epsilon_{N-1}, t_{N}-\epsilon_{N}\right]} \circ \cdots \circ u_{\left[t_{1}-\epsilon_{1}, t_{1}+\epsilon_{1}\right] \circ u_{\left[0, t_{1}-\epsilon_{1}\right]}}
$$

consists of the composition of equivalence of the following forms:

1. Equivalence of a non-crossing morphism. Let $u_{i}:=u_{[t+\epsilon, t-\epsilon]}$ be a non-crossing ribbon diagram, so $u_{i}=f \sqcup g$ for $f \in F(M) \times[0,1]$ and $g \in F(N) \times[0,1]$. The equivalences $f \sim f^{\prime}$ and $g \sim g^{\prime}$ of the ribbon tangles in $F(M) \times[0,1]$ and $F(N) \times[0,1]$ respectively define an equivalence of $u_{i}$ to another non-crossing ribbon diagram $u_{i}^{\prime}:=f^{\prime} \sqcup g^{\prime}$.

## 2. Equivalence in the middle region.

Let $u_{i}:=u_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}$ be a crossing ribbon diagram, so $u_{i}=v_{1} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m \triangleleft a, d \triangleright n)}$. Suppose we have an equivalence of $v_{1}$ in the middle region $v_{1} \sim(r \sqcup s) \circ v_{2} \circ(p \sqcup q)$ where $r, p \in F(\emptyset \triangleleft$ A) $\times[0,1]$ and $s, q \in F(A \triangleright \emptyset) \times[0,1]$ are depicted in the figure opposite. This defines an equivalence of $u_{i}$ to $\left(r \sqcup s \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m \triangleleft a, d \triangleright n)}\right) \circ$ $\left(v_{2} \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m \triangleleft a, d \triangleright n)}\right) \circ\left(p \sqcup q \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m \triangleleft a, d \triangleright n)}\right)$.

3. Commuting with a crossing. Let $u_{i}:=u_{[s, t-\epsilon]^{\dagger}}$ be a non-crossing ribbon diagram of the form $u_{i}=g \sqcup h \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(b, c)}$ where $g \in F(M) \times[0,1]$ and $h \in F(N) \times[0,1]$ and let $u_{i+1}:=u_{[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]}$ be a crossing ribbon such that $v: b \sqcup c \rightarrow b^{\prime} \sqcup c^{\prime}$. There is an equivalence:

$$
\left(v \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m \triangleleft x, y \triangleright n)}\right) \circ\left(g \sqcup h \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(b, c)}\right) \sim\left(g \sqcup h \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F\left(b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\right) \circ\left(v \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m \triangleleft a, b \triangleright n)}\right)
$$

which commutes these ribbon diagrams up to some modification of the identity components.

Merging crossings. Let $u_{i}$ and $u_{i+1}$ both be crossing ribbon diagrams ${ }^{\ddagger}$ so $u_{i}=f \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m \triangleleft a, d \triangleright n)}$ and $u_{i+1}=g \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{n \triangleleft b^{\prime \prime}, c^{\prime \prime} \triangleright m}$ for $f: b \sqcup c \rightarrow b^{\prime} \sqcup c^{\prime}$ and $g: x \sqcup y \rightarrow x^{\prime} \sqcup y^{\prime}$ for $x=a \sqcup\left(b^{\prime}-b^{\prime \prime}\right){ }^{*}$ and $y=d \sqcup\left(c^{\prime}-c^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (see the figure below). Then the composition $u_{i+1} \circ u_{i}$ is equivalent to the single crossing $u^{\prime}:=v \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{F(m, n)}$ where $v=\left(g \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{b^{\prime \prime} \sqcup c^{\prime \prime}}\right) \circ\left(f \sqcup \operatorname{Id}_{a \sqcup d}\right)$.


We shall now check that the map $u \mapsto w$ is well defined by showing it is invariant under the equivalences listed above.

Equivalence of a non-crossing morphism This is straightforward: $u_{i}:=f \sqcup g \mapsto\left(E_{M}^{-1}(f), E_{N}^{-1}(g)\right)$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}:=f^{\prime} \sqcup g^{\prime} \mapsto\left(E_{M}^{-1}\left(f^{\prime}\right), E_{N}^{-1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right)$, but $f \sim f^{\prime}$ and $g \sim g^{\prime}$ implies $E_{M}^{-1}(f) \sim E_{M}^{-1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ and $E_{N}^{-1}(g) \sim E_{N}^{-1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)$, so these ribbon tangles map to the same morphism.
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## Equivalence of middle region



## Crossings commute with disjoint morphisms



Merging Crossings The composition of the morphism on the right of the diagram on the next page is $u_{i+1} \circ u_{i}$. As this diagram is a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i+1} \circ u_{i}= & \iota_{m, b^{\prime \prime} \sqcup x^{\prime},\left(y^{\prime} \sqcup c^{\prime \prime} \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}^{-1} \\
& \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{b^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime},\left(y^{\prime} \sqcup c^{\prime \prime} \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m}, \operatorname{Id}_{b^{\prime \prime}} \triangleright \hat{g}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{b^{\prime \prime}, x,\left(y \sqcup c^{\prime \prime} \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}\right) \\
& \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{a, b^{\prime},\left(c^{\prime} \sqcup d \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{m} \triangleleft \operatorname{Id}_{a}, \hat{f}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{a, b,(c \sqcup d \sqcup e) \triangleright n}\right) \\
& \circ \iota_{m, a \sqcup b,(c \sqcup d \sqcup e \sqcup f) \triangleright n} \\
= & \iota_{m, b^{\prime \prime} \sqcup x^{\prime},\left(y^{\prime} \sqcup c^{\prime \prime} \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{Id}_{b^{\prime \prime} \triangleright \emptyset} \sqcup \hat{g}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{b^{\prime \prime}, x,\left(y \sqcup c^{\prime \prime} \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{b^{\prime \prime}, x,\left(y \sqcup c^{\prime \prime} \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}\right) \\
& \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{Id}_{a \triangleright \emptyset} \sqcup \hat{f}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{a, b,(c \sqcup d \sqcup e) \triangleright n}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \rho_{a, b,(c \sqcup d \sqcup e) \triangleright n}\right) \\
& \circ \iota_{m, a \sqcup b,(c \sqcup d \sqcup e \sqcup f) \triangleright n} \\
& \text { by naturality of } \rho \\
= & \iota_{m, b^{\prime \prime} \sqcup x^{\prime},\left(y^{\prime} \sqcup c^{\prime \prime} \sqcup e\right) \triangleright n}^{-1} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \operatorname{Id}_{b^{\prime \prime} \triangleright \emptyset} \sqcup \hat{g}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}, \operatorname{Id}_{a \triangleright \emptyset} \sqcup \hat{f}\right) \circ \iota_{m, a \sqcup b,(c \sqcup d \sqcup e \sqcup f) \triangleright n} \\
= & u^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

## 2 Skein Categories as $k$-linear Factorisation Homology

In this section, after briefly introducing factorisation homology, we shall prove that the $k$-linear (orientable) factorisation homology $\int_{-}^{\boldsymbol{C a t}_{k}^{\times}} \mathscr{V}$ is simply the skein category functor $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}\left({ }_{-}\right)$. Thus making precise the expected relation between factorisation homology and skein categories.

### 2.1 The Category Cat $_{k}{ }^{\times}$

Firstly, we define $\mathbf{C a t}_{k}{ }^{\times}$.
Definition 2.1. A $(2,1)$-category $\mathscr{C}$ is a 2 -category ${ }^{\dagger}$ for which all 2 -morphisms have inverses.
Definition 2.2. Let $k$ be a commutative ring with identity. The category $k \operatorname{Mod}$ is the category of left $k$-modules and module homomorphisms. If $k$ is a field then $k \operatorname{Mod}$ is $\operatorname{Vect}_{k}$, the category of $k$-vector spaces and $k$-linear transformations.

Definition 2.3. A $k$-linear category is a category enriched over $k \operatorname{Mod}$ and a $k$-linear functor is a $k \operatorname{Mod}$ enriched functor.

Definition 2.4. The category of $k$-linear categories $\mathbf{C a t}_{k}$ is the (2,1)-category whose

1. objects are small $k$-linear categories;
2. 1-morphisms are $k$-linear functors;
3. 2-morphisms are natural isomorphisms.

The (2,1)-category $\mathbf{C a t}_{k}$ is a strict monoidal category with the categorical product $\times$ as monoidal product:

1. The product $\mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{D}$ has as objects pairs $(m, n)$ where $m \in \mathscr{C}$ and $n \in \mathscr{D}$ and as morphisms pairs $(f, g)$ where $f: m \rightarrow m^{\prime}$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{C}$ and $g: n \rightarrow n^{\prime}$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{D}$.
2. The monoidal unit $1_{\text {Cat }}$ is the category $\mathbf{P t}$ with a single object and a single morphism which is the identity morphism on this object

### 2.2 Factorisation Homology

We shall now define the $n$-dimensional factorisation homology $\int_{M}^{\mathscr{C}} \mathscr{E}^{\otimes}$ which takes as inputs a framed $E_{n^{-}}$ algebra $F_{\mathscr{E}}$ and an $n$-dimensional manifold $M$. Except in this subsection, we shall only consider factorisation homologies of surfaces i.e. we shall assume $n=2$. General introductory references for factorisation homology include Ginot Gin15 and Ayala and Francis AF15 AF19.
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### 2.2.1 Definition

A factorisation homology is dependent on a choice of a monoidal $(\infty, 1)$-category $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$. We require that $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ is $\otimes$-presentable.
Remark 2.5. An $(\infty, 1)$-category is a category for which all $k$-morphisms for $k>1$ are invertible. In the literature around factorisation homology, $(\infty, 1)$-category is usually abbreviated to $\infty$-category.
Definition 2.6 AF15, Definition 3.4]. A symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$-category $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ is $\otimes$-presentable if

1. $\mathscr{C}$ is locally presentable with respect to an infinite cardinal $\kappa$ i.e. is locally small, cocomplete and is generated under $\kappa$-filtered colimits by a set of $\kappa$-compact objects;
2. the monoidal structure distributes over small colimits i.e. the functor $C \otimes_{-}: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ carries colimit diagrams to colimit diagrams.
Remark 2.7. In this paper as we are dealing with surfaces, $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ will always be a $(2,1)$-category.
Example 2.8. The (2,1)-category Cat $_{k}^{\times}$(see Section 2.1 is $\times$-presentable KL01. Section 4; Kel05, pp. 7,115].
We shall now define framed $E_{n}$-algebras which first requires us to define $\mathbf{M f l} \mathbf{d}_{n}^{\text {or, }}$, and its subcategory $\mathbf{D i s c}_{n}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup}$.
Definition 2.9. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth, oriented, finitary, $n$-dimensional manifolds. We denote by $\mathbf{E m b}_{n}^{\mathrm{or}}(X, Y)$ the $\infty$-groupoid of the topological space of smooth oriented finitary embeddings of $X$ into $Y$ with the smooth compact open topology, i.e the objects of $\mathbf{E m b}{ }_{n}^{\mathrm{or}}(X, Y)$ are smooth oriented embeddings, the 1-morphisms are isotopies, the 2-morphisms are homotopies between the 1-morphisms and so on.
Definition 2.10. Let $\mathbf{M f l d}_{n}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup}$ be the symmetric monoidal ( $\infty, 1$ )-category whose
3. objects are oriented $n$-dimensional manifolds;
4. Hom-space of morphisms between manifolds $X$ and $Y$ is the $\infty$-groupoid $\mathbf{E m b}_{n}^{\text {or }}(X, Y)$;
5. symmetric monoidal product is disjoint union.

Definition 2.11. Let Disc ${ }_{n}^{\text {or, } \sqcup}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{M f l}_{n}^{\text {or, }, ~}$ of finite disjoint unions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Denote the inclusion functor by $I: \mathbf{D i s c}_{n}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup} \rightarrow \mathbf{M f l d}_{n}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup}$.
Definition 2.12. A framed $E_{n}$-algebra is a symmetric monoidal functor $F_{\mathscr{E}}$ : $\mathbf{D i s c}_{n}^{\text {or, }} \sqcup \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ where $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ is a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$-category. As $F_{\mathscr{E}}$ is determined on objects by its value on a single disc, we define $\mathscr{E}:=F_{\mathscr{E}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and we use $\mathscr{E}$ to refer to the associated framed $E_{n}$-algebra.
Remark 2.13. Factorisation homologies can take more general $n$-disc algebras as coefficients. Let $\mathbf{M f l d}_{n}^{G, \sqcup}$ be the category of smooth finitary $n$-dimensional manifolds with a $G$-structure: the Hom-space $\mathbf{E m b}_{n}^{G}(X, Y)$ is the $\infty$-groupoid of $G$-framed embeddings of $X$ into $Y$. A Disc $\mathbf{c}_{n}^{G}$-algebra is an symmetric monoidal functor $F_{\mathscr{E}}: \mathbf{D i s c}_{n}^{G, \sqcup} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ where $\mathbf{D i s c}_{n}^{G, \sqcup}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{M f l}_{n}^{G, \sqcup}$ of disjoint unions of $G$-framed discs. So a framed $E_{n}$-algebra is a $\mathbf{D i s c} c_{n}^{\text {or, } \sqcup}$-algebra.
Remark 2.14. The terminology framed $E_{n}$-algebra is somewhat confusing as there is also a notion of an $E_{n}$-algebra which is a $\mathbf{D i s c}{ }_{n}^{\mathrm{fr}}$-algebra. So a framed $E_{n}$-algebra relates to oriented discs and a $E_{n}$-algebra relates to framed discs. We shall only consider oriented manifolds and discs in this paper.
Definition 2.15. Let $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ be a $\otimes$-presentable symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$-category and let $F: \mathbf{D i s c}_{n}^{\text {or, } \sqcup} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ be a framed $E_{n}$-algebra with $\mathscr{E}:=F\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The left Kan extension of the diagram

is called th ${ }^{\dagger}$ factorisation homology with coefficients in $\mathscr{E}$; its image on the manifold $\Sigma$ is called the factorisation homology of $\Sigma$ over $\mathscr{E}$ and is denoted $\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathscr{C}} \mathscr{E}$ or $\int_{\Sigma} \mathscr{E}$.
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### 2.2.2 Excision

Factorisation homology like classical homology satisfies an excision property: the factorisation homology of a cylinder gluing of two manifolds can be obtained from the factorisation homology of the original manifolds by tensoring relative to the submanifold glued along; hence, factorisation homology is determined locally. The relative tensor product is the relative tensor product of $\otimes$-presentable categories.

Definition 2.16. Let $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ be a $\otimes$-presentable symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$-category. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an algebra object in $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$, and let $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{N} \in \mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$ be right and left modules respectively over the algebra object $\mathscr{A}$. The relative tensor product $\mathscr{M} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ is the colimit of the 2 -sided bar construction

$$
\ldots \Longrightarrow \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{N} \rightrightarrows \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{N} \Longrightarrow \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{N}
$$


$\Sigma_{1,1} \sqcup_{S^{1} \times[0,1]} \Sigma_{1,2}$

$\left(S^{1} \times[0,1]\right) \cup\left(S^{1} \times[0,1]\right) \rightarrow S^{1} \times[0,1]$

$\left(S^{1} \times[0,1]\right) \sqcup \Sigma_{1,2} \rightarrow \Sigma_{1,2}$


Figure 8: An example of the maps which induce the monoidal and module structures of the factorisation homologies.

Let $\Sigma=M \sqcup_{C \times[0,1]} N$ be the collar gluing of the $n$-dimensional manifolds $M$ and $N$ along $C \times[0,1]$ where $C$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold. The factorisation homology $\int_{C \times[0,1]} \mathscr{E}$ can be equipped with a monoidal structure induced by the embedding

$$
(C \times[0,1]) \sqcup(C \times[0,1]) \hookrightarrow C \times[0,1]
$$

which retracts both copies of $C \times[0,1]$ in the second coordinate and includes them into another copy of $C \times[0,1]$. The embeddings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \sqcup(C \times[0,1]) \hookrightarrow M \\
& (C \times[0,1]) \times N \hookrightarrow N
\end{aligned}
$$

induce a right $\int_{C \times[0,1]} \mathscr{E}$-module structure on $\int_{M} \mathscr{E}$ and a left $\int_{C \times[0,1]} \mathscr{E}$-module structure on $\int_{N} \mathscr{E}$. In other words, $\int_{C \times[0,1]} \mathscr{E}$ is an algebra object in $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$, and $\int_{M} \mathscr{E}$ and $\int_{N} \mathscr{E}$ are right and left modules over this algebra object, so the relative tensor product $\int_{M} \mathscr{E} \widetilde{\otimes}\left(\int_{C \times[0,1]} \mathscr{E}\right) \int_{N} \mathscr{E}$ is defined.

Theorem 2.17 AF15, p. 19]. Let $\Sigma=M \sqcup_{C \times[0,1]} N$ be the collar gluing of the $n$-dimensional manifolds $M$ and $N$ along $C \times[0,1]$ where $C$ is a $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold. There is an equivalence of categories

$$
\int_{M \sqcup_{C \times[0,1]} N} \mathscr{E} \simeq \int_{M} \mathscr{E} \widetilde{\otimes} \int_{C \times[0,1]} \mathscr{E} \int_{N} \mathscr{E} .
$$

### 2.2.3 Characterisation of the Factorisation Homology of Surfaces

Theorem 2.18 AF15 BBJ18, Theorem 2.5]. Let $F_{\mathscr{E}}$ be a framed $E_{2}$-algebra in $\mathscr{C}{ }^{\otimes}$. The functor $\int \mathscr{E}$ is characterised by the following properties:

1. If $U$ is contractible then there is an equivalence in $\mathscr{C}^{\otimes}$

$$
\int_{U} \mathscr{E} \simeq \mathscr{E}
$$

2. If $M \cong C \times[0,1]$ for some 1-manifold with corners $C$ then the inclusion of intervals inside a larger interval induces a canonical framed $E_{1}$-structure on $\int_{M} \mathscr{E}$.
3. $\int \mathscr{E}_{-}$satisfies excision (see Theorem 2.17).

### 2.3 Equivalence of Relative Tensor Products

We would like to use Theorem 2.18 to prove that the skein category $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}\left({ }_{-}\right)$is equivalent to the $k$-linear factorisation homology $\int_{-}^{\text {Cat }_{k}^{\times}} \mathscr{V}$. In Section 1.4 we proved that skein categories satisfy excision where the relative tensor product used is the Tambara relative tensor product of $k$-linear categories (Definition 1.16). However, the definition of excision used in the statement of excision of factorisation homology (Theorem 2.17) is the bar colimit relative tensor product of $\otimes$-presentable symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$-categories (Definition 1.16). We shall now prove that the Tambara relative tensor product $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ of $k$-linear categories is equivalent to the bar colimit relative tensor product $\mathscr{M} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ where we consider $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{N} \in \mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}$.

Firstly we note that as Cat ${ }_{k}^{\times}$is a 2-category, we can truncate the bar construction after the second step:
Definition 2.19. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a monoidal $k$-linear category and let $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}$ be left/right $\mathscr{A}$-module $k$-linear categories. The truncated bar construction is the diagram
where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{1}: \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{N}: & G_{1}(m, a, b, n)=(m \triangleleft a, b, n) ; \\
G_{2}: \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{N}: & G_{2}(m, a, b, n)=(m, a * b, n) ; \\
G_{3}: \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{N}: & G_{3}(m, a, b, n)=(m, a, b \triangleright n) ; \\
F_{1}: \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{N}: & F_{1}(m, a, n)=(m \triangleleft a, n) ; \\
F_{2}: \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{N}: & F_{2}(m, a, n)=(m, a \triangleright n) ;
\end{array}
$$

with $m, a, b, n$ objects or morphisms in the categories $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{N}$ respectively, and there are two cells

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{1}: F_{2} \circ G_{1} \rightarrow F_{1} \circ G_{3} \\
& \kappa_{2}: F_{1} \circ G_{1} \rightarrow F_{1} \circ G_{2} \\
& \kappa_{3}: F_{2} \circ G_{3} \rightarrow F_{2} \circ G_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa_{1}$ is the identity and $\kappa_{2}(n, a, b, m):((n \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b, m) \rightarrow(n \triangleleft(a * b), m)$ and $\kappa_{3}(n, a, b, m):(n, a \triangleright(b \triangleright$ $m)) \rightarrow(n,(a * b) \triangleright m)$ are given by the associators of the $\mathscr{A}$ action.

### 2.3.1 Colimits of the Shape of the Truncated Bar Construction

Before, considering the bicolimit of the truncated bar construction, we shall briefly consider bicolimits which have the shape of the truncated bar construction.

Definition 2.20. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be the 2-category

$$
\bar{A} \xrightarrow[{\xrightarrow{\stackrel{\bar{g}_{3}}{\bar{g}_{2}}}}]{\overline{\bar{g}}_{3}} \bar{B} \xrightarrow[\bar{f}_{2}]{\bar{f}_{1}} \bar{C}
$$

with 2-cells

$$
\bar{\kappa}_{1}: \bar{f}_{2} \circ \bar{g}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{f}_{1} \circ \bar{g}_{3}, \quad \bar{\kappa}_{2}: \bar{f}_{1} \circ \bar{g}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{f}_{1} \circ \bar{g}_{2}, \quad \bar{\kappa}_{3}: \bar{f}_{2} \circ \bar{g}_{3} \rightarrow \bar{f}_{2} \circ \bar{g}_{2}
$$

and let $X: \mathscr{D} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ be a (strict) 2-functor to a 2-category $\mathscr{C}$. The images under $X$ of objects, 1-morphisms or 2-morphisms are denoted without a bar, so

Recall the definition of a bicolimit:
Definition 2.21. Let $\operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})$ denote the $(2,1)$-category of diagrams of shape $J$ in $\mathscr{C}$ :

1. The objects are diagrams of shape $J$ in $\mathscr{C}$ i.e. lax functors $X: J \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$;
2. The 1-morphisms are pseudonatural transformations;
3. The 2-morphisms are modifications.

Definition 2.22. Let $\mathscr{X}$ denote the (2,1)-category with a single object $x$, a single 1 -morphism $1_{x}$ and a single 2 -morphism which is the trivial 2 -cell $1: 1_{x} \rightarrow 1_{x}$. The trace functor on $\mathscr{C}$ is the 2 -functor $\operatorname{Tr}(x): \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ which sends all objects in $\mathscr{C}$ to $x$, all 1 -morphisms to $1_{x}$, and all 2 -morphisms to 1 .

Definition 2.23. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category. Denote by $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})$ the 2 -functor which sends an object $x \in \mathscr{C}$ to the trace functor $\operatorname{Tr}(x)$, a 1-morphism $f: x \rightarrow y$ to the trivial pseudonatural transformation $\Gamma: \operatorname{Tr}(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(y)$, and a 2-morphism to the trivial modification $\sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$.

Definition 2.24. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a 2-category and let $X$ be a diagram of shape $J$ in $\mathscr{C}$. The 2-colimit of $X$ is an object $\operatorname{Bicolim}(X)$ in $\mathscr{C}$ together with a pseudonatural equivalence

$$
\Gamma: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}\left(\operatorname{Bicolim}(X),{ }_{-}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})(X, \operatorname{Tr}(-)) .
$$

This means that for all $Y \in \mathscr{C}$ there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\Gamma_{Y}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(\operatorname{Bicolim}(X), Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})(X, \operatorname{Tr}(Y))
$$

so in order to understand $\operatorname{Bicolim}(X)$ we shall first look at $\mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})(X, \operatorname{Tr}(Y))$.
Proposition 2.25. The category $\mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})(X, \operatorname{Tr}(Y))$ has objects of the form

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{A}: A \rightarrow Y \\
\sigma_{B}: B \rightarrow Y \\
\sigma_{C}: C \rightarrow Y \\
\sigma_{f_{i}}: \sigma_{B} \rightarrow \sigma_{C} \circ f_{i} \\
\sigma_{g_{j}}: \sigma_{A} \rightarrow \sigma_{B} \circ g_{j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $i=1,2$ and $j=1,2,3$, which satisfy the relations:

$$
\sigma_{C} \kappa_{1}=\Delta_{13} \Delta_{21}^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{C} \kappa_{2}=\Delta_{12} \Delta_{11}^{-1} \\
\sigma_{C} \kappa_{3}=\Delta_{22} \Delta_{23}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Delta_{i j}:=\left(\sigma_{f_{i}} g_{j}\right) \sigma_{g_{j}}$. The morphisms of $\operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})(X, \operatorname{Tr}(Y))$ are natural isomorphisms

$$
\stackrel{\sigma_{C}}{\stackrel{C}{\Gamma}} \stackrel{\begin{array}{c}
C \\
Y
\end{array}}{\stackrel{\sigma^{2}}{\eta_{C}}}
$$

satisfying the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{f_{1}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma f_{1}\right) \sigma_{f_{1}} & =\eta_{f_{2}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma f_{2}\right) \sigma_{f_{2}} \\
\left(\Delta_{i j}^{\eta}\right)^{-1}\left(\Gamma f_{i} g_{j}\right) \Delta_{i j}^{\sigma} & =\left(\Delta_{k l}^{\eta}\right)^{-1}\left(\Gamma f_{k} g_{l}\right) \Delta_{k l}^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i, k=1,2$ and $j, l=1,2,3$.
Proof. This proof amounts to unravelling the definitions. An object of $\mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})(X, \operatorname{Tr}(Y))$ is a pseudonatural transformation $\sigma: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(Y)$. By the definition of a pseudonatural transformation we have

1. for every $\bar{X} \in \mathscr{D}$, that is $\bar{X}=\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}$, 1-morphisms $\sigma_{\bar{X}}: S(\bar{X}) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Trace}(Y)(\bar{X})$ : we shall usually denote these morphisms simply as $\sigma_{X}$ as they are morphisms $\sigma_{X}$ : $X \rightarrow Y$ for $X=A, B, C$;
2. for every pair of objects $(\bar{W}, \bar{X})$ in $\mathscr{D}$, a natural transformation

$$
\sigma_{\bar{W}, \bar{X}}:\left(\sigma_{W}\right)^{*} \circ \operatorname{Tr}(Y)(\bar{W}, \bar{X}) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{\bar{X}}\right)_{*} \circ S(\bar{W}, \bar{X})
$$

This means that for every 1-morphism $\bar{h}: \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ in $\mathscr{D}$, that is $\bar{h}=\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}, \bar{g}_{3}, \bar{f}_{1}, \bar{f}_{2}, \overline{f_{i}} \circ \overline{g_{j}}, 1_{W}$, there is a 2 -morphism

$$
\sigma_{h}: \sigma_{W} \rightarrow \sigma_{X} \circ h
$$

As we are working with $(2,1)$-categories, $\sigma_{h}$ is automatically a 2 -isomorphism. As $\sigma_{\bar{W}, \bar{X}}$ is natural, we have for every 2 -morphism $\bar{\kappa}: \bar{h} \rightarrow \bar{l}$, that is $\bar{\kappa}=\bar{\kappa}_{1}, \bar{\kappa}_{2}, \bar{\kappa}_{3}, \mathrm{Id}_{\bar{h}}$, that the following diagram commutes


This result is trivial for $\mathrm{Id}_{\bar{h}}$, so let $\bar{\kappa}=\bar{\kappa}_{1}, \bar{\kappa}_{2}$ or, $\bar{\kappa}_{3}$. In which case, $\bar{W}=\bar{A}, \bar{X}=\bar{C}, l=f_{i} \circ g_{j}$ and $h=f_{k} \circ g_{l}$. As $\sigma_{h}$ is invertible, we have that

$$
\sigma_{C} \circ \kappa=\sigma_{f_{i} \circ g_{j}} \sigma_{f_{k} \circ g_{l}}^{-1}
$$

3. for every object $\bar{X}$ of $\mathscr{D}, \sigma_{1_{\bar{X}}}$ is the identity natural isomorphism
4. for every composition of morphisms $f \circ g$ in $\mathscr{D}, \sigma_{f \circ g}=\left(\sigma_{f} g\right)\left(\sigma_{g}\right)$

So we have that $\sigma: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(y)$ consists of 1-morphisms $\sigma_{X}: X \rightarrow Y$ for $X=A, B, C$; and 2-morphisms $\sigma_{h}: \sigma_{W} \rightarrow \sigma_{X} \circ h$ for $h=f_{i}, g_{j}: W \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi_{D} \kappa_{1}=\Delta_{13} \Delta_{21}^{-1}, \pi_{D} \kappa_{2}=\Delta_{12} \Delta_{11}^{-1}$ and $\pi_{D} \kappa_{3}=\Delta_{22} \Delta_{23}^{-1}$ where $\Delta_{i j}=\left(\sigma_{f_{i}} g_{j}\right) \sigma_{g_{j}}$.

A morphism $\Gamma: \sigma \rightarrow \eta$ in $\operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{C})(X, \operatorname{Tr}(Y))$ is a modification between $\sigma$ and $\eta$. The modification $\Gamma$ assigns to each object $\bar{X} \in \mathscr{D}$, that is $\bar{X}=\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}$, a 2-morphism

such that the following diagram commutes for all $\bar{h}: \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{X}$


As all 2-cells are invertible, applying this relation to the $f_{i}$ s gives

$$
\Gamma_{B}=\eta_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} f_{i}\right) \sigma_{f_{i}}
$$

and then applying this relation to the $g_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{A}= & \eta_{g_{j}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{B} g_{j}\right) \sigma_{g_{j}} \\
= & \eta_{g_{j}}^{-1}\left(\left(\eta_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} f_{i}\right) \sigma_{f_{i}}\right) g_{j}\right) \sigma_{g_{j}} \\
& \quad \text { substituting } \Gamma_{B}=\eta_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} f_{i}\right) \sigma_{f_{i}} \\
= & \eta_{g_{j}}^{-1}\left(\eta_{f_{i}}^{-1} g_{j}\right)\left(\Gamma_{C} f_{i} g_{j}\right)\left(\sigma_{f_{i}} g_{j}\right) \sigma_{g_{j}} \\
& \text { as }\left(\eta_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} f_{i}\right) \sigma_{f_{i}}\right) g_{j}=\left(\eta_{f_{i}}^{-1} g_{j}\right)\left(\Gamma_{C} f_{i} g_{j}\right)\left(\sigma_{f_{i}} g_{j}\right) \\
= & \left(\Delta_{i j}^{\eta}\right)^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} f_{i} g_{j}\right) \Delta_{i j}^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we conclude that it is sufficient to define $\Gamma_{C}$ and that the relation for $g_{j}$ is automatically satisfied if it is for the compositions $f_{i} \circ g_{j}$.

Remark 2.26. The morphisms $\sigma_{A}, \sigma_{B}$ and $\sigma_{C}$ fit into the diagram

and the natural isomorphisms $\sigma_{f_{i}}$ and $\sigma_{g_{j}}$ are 2-cells in this diagram.

### 2.3.2 Proof that the Relative Tensor Product is a Colimit of the Bar Construction

We shall now prove that the Tambara relative tensor product of $k$-linear categories is the bicolimit in $\mathbf{C a t}_{k}$ of the truncated bar construction.

Theorem 2.27. The Tambara relative tensor product $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$ of the right $\mathscr{C}$-module $k$-linear category $\mathscr{M}$ and the left $\mathscr{C}$-module $k$-linear category $\mathscr{N}$ relative to the $k$-linear monoidal category $\mathscr{A}$ is the bicolimit of the truncated bar construction
with 2 -cells $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}$ defined above. Hence, there is a categorical equivalence

$$
\mathscr{M} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N} \simeq \mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}
$$

where $\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is the bar colimit relative tensor product in $\mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}$and $\otimes_{\mathscr{A}}$ is the Tambara relative tensor product of $k$-linear categories.

Proof. By the definition of a bicolimit there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\Gamma_{\mathscr{C}}: \operatorname{Cat}_{c}(\operatorname{Bicolim}(X), \mathscr{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\mathbf{C a t}_{k}\right)(X, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathscr{C})),
$$

so if there is an equivalence of categories

$$
I_{\mathscr{C}}: \operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\mathbf{C a t}_{k}\right)(X, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathscr{C})) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\operatorname { F u n }}_{\mathscr{A}-\operatorname{bal}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} ; \mathscr{C})
$$

for every $\mathscr{C} \in \mathbf{C a t}_{k}$ then by Definition $1.16 \operatorname{Bicolim}(X)$ is the relative tensor product $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{N}$.
We shall now define $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ and show it to be a equivalence of categories. Let $\sigma$ be an object of $\mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\mathbf{C a t}_{k}\right)(X, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathscr{C}))$, so

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{A}: A \rightarrow Y \\
\sigma_{B}: B \rightarrow Y \\
\sigma_{C}: C \rightarrow Y \\
\sigma_{F_{i}}: \sigma_{B} \rightarrow \sigma_{C} \circ F_{i} \\
\sigma_{G_{j}}: \sigma_{A} \rightarrow \sigma_{B} \circ G_{j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A:=\mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{N}, B:=\mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{N}$ and $C:=\mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N}$. We define

$$
I_{\mathscr{C}}(\sigma):=\sigma_{C} \text { with balancing } \alpha: \sigma_{C} F_{i} \Rightarrow \sigma_{C} F_{2}: \quad \alpha:=\sigma_{F_{2}} \sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1}
$$

A morphism of $\operatorname{Diag}_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\mathbf{C a t}_{k}\right)(X, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathscr{C}))$ is a natural isomorphism $\Gamma: \sigma \rightarrow \eta$, we define

$$
I_{\mathscr{C}}(\Gamma):=\Gamma
$$

## $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ is a well-defined functor

This requires one to prove two things: $\alpha$ is an $\mathscr{A}$-balancing and $\Gamma$ is a natural transformation of $\mathscr{A}$ balanced functors. To show $\alpha$ is a balancing of $\sigma_{C}$ we must show that the diagram

commutes for all $(m, a, b, n) \in \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{N}$. This is the case as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{3}\right)\left(\alpha G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{1}\right)\left(\alpha G_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{2}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\Delta_{22} \Delta_{23}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} G_{3}\right) \Delta_{13} \Delta_{21}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} G_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} G_{1}\right) \Delta_{11} \Delta_{12}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

by definition of $\alpha$ and compatibility relations of $\sigma$

$$
=\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} G_{2}\right) \sigma_{G_{2}} \sigma_{G_{3}}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{F_{2}}^{-1} G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{1}} G_{3}\right) \sigma_{G_{3}} \sigma_{G_{1}}^{-1}
$$

$$
\left(\sigma_{F_{2}}^{-1} G_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} G_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} G_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{1}} G_{1}\right) \sigma_{G_{1}} \sigma_{G_{2}}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} G_{2}\right)
$$

by definition of $\Delta_{i j}$
$=\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} G_{2}\right)\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} G_{2}\right)$
cancelling terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} \sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1}\right) G_{2} \\
& =\alpha G_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\sigma_{C}$ is $\mathscr{A}$-balanced with balancing $\alpha$.

Now we shall show that the natural transformation $\Gamma_{C}: \sigma_{C} \rightarrow \eta_{C}$ is a natural transformation of $\mathscr{A}$ balanced functors. To show this me must show that that following diagram commutes:


This is the case as by the definition of $\Gamma$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{F_{1}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{1}\right) \sigma_{F_{1}}=\eta_{F_{2}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{2}\right) \sigma_{F_{2}} \\
\Longrightarrow & \eta_{F_{2}} \eta_{F_{1}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{1}\right)=\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{2}\right) \sigma_{F_{2}} \sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} \\
\Longrightarrow & \alpha_{\eta}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{1}\right)=\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{2}\right) \alpha_{\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\Gamma_{C}: \sigma_{C} \rightarrow \eta_{C}$ is a natural transformation of $\mathscr{A}$-balanced functors, and we have concluded the proof that $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ is well-defined.

## $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ is surjective

Let $F: \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathscr{A}$-balanced functor with balancing $\alpha$ i.e. $F$ is an object of $\mathbf{F u n}_{\mathscr{A}}$-bal $(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} ; \mathscr{C})$. Define

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{A}: A \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \text { is } F  \tag{1}\\
\sigma_{B}: B \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \text { is } F F_{1} \\
\sigma_{C}: C \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \text { is } F F_{1} G_{2} \\
\sigma_{F_{1}}: F F_{1} \rightarrow F F_{2} \text { is the identity } \\
\sigma_{F_{2}}: F F_{1} \rightarrow F F_{2} \text { is } \alpha \\
\sigma_{G_{1}}: F F_{1} G_{2} \rightarrow F F_{1} G_{1} \text { is } F \kappa_{2}^{-1} \\
\sigma_{G_{2}}: F F_{1} G_{2} \rightarrow F F_{1} G_{2} \text { is the identity } \\
\sigma_{G_{3}}: F F_{1} G_{2} \rightarrow F F_{1} G_{3} \text { is }\left(\alpha^{-1} G_{3}\right)\left(F \kappa_{3}^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha G_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $\sigma$ is a well-defined element of $\mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\mathbf{C a t}_{k}\right)(X, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathscr{C}))$ then $I_{\mathscr{C}}(\sigma)=F$, so it remains to show that $\kappa_{1}=\Delta_{13} \Delta_{21}^{-1}, \sigma_{C} \kappa_{2}=\Delta_{12} \Delta_{11}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{C} \kappa_{3}=\Delta_{22} \Delta_{23}^{-1}$ where $\Delta_{i j}:=\left(\sigma_{F_{i}} G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{13} \Delta_{21}^{-1} & =\left(\sigma_{F_{1}} G_{2}\right) \sigma_{G_{2}} \sigma_{G_{1}}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} G_{1}\right) \text { by definition of } \Delta_{i j} \\
& =F \kappa_{2} \text { by definition of } \sigma_{F_{i}}, \sigma_{G_{j}} . \\
\Delta_{22} \Delta_{23}^{-1} & =\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} G_{2}\right) \sigma_{G_{2}} \sigma_{G_{3}}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{F_{2}}^{-1} G_{3}\right) \text { by definition of } \Delta_{i j} \\
& =\left(\alpha G_{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{-1} G_{2}\right)\left(F \kappa_{3}\right)\left(\alpha G_{3}\right)\left(\alpha^{-1} G_{3}\right) \text { by definition of } \sigma_{F_{i}}, \sigma_{G_{j}} . \\
& =F \kappa_{3} . \\
\Delta_{13} \Delta_{21}^{-1} & =\left(\sigma_{F_{1}} G_{3}\right) \sigma_{G_{3}} \sigma_{G_{1}}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{F_{2}}^{-1} G_{1}\right) \text { by definition of } \Delta_{i j} \\
& =\left(\alpha^{-1} G_{3}\right)\left(F \kappa_{3}^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha G_{2}\right)\left(F \kappa_{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{-1} G_{1}\right) \text { by definition of } \sigma_{F_{i}}, \sigma_{G_{j}} \\
& =F \kappa_{1} \text { i.e. identity, by pentagon of } \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

## $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ is full and faithful.

Suppose $I_{\mathscr{C}}(\Gamma)=I_{\mathscr{C}}(\Xi)$. By definition of $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ this is $\Gamma=\Xi$; hence, $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ is faithful.
Let $\xi: F \Rightarrow G$ be a $\mathscr{A}$-balanced natural transformation between the $\mathscr{A}$-balanced functors $F, G: \mathscr{M} \times$ $\mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$, i.e. $\xi$ is a morphism of $\operatorname{Fun}_{\mathscr{A}-\operatorname{bal}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} ; \mathscr{C})$. We have already shown $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ to be surjective, so we have $\sigma$ and $\eta$ such that $I_{\mathscr{C}}(\sigma)=F$ and $I_{\mathscr{C}}(\eta)=G$ where $\sigma$ is defined in Equation (1) and $\eta$ is defined analogously. In order to show that $I_{\mathscr{C}}$ is full we must find a morphism $\Gamma: \sigma \rightarrow \mu$ in $\mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\mathbf{C a t}_{k}\right)(X, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathscr{C}))$ such that $I_{\mathscr{C}}(\Gamma)=\xi$.

Define

$$
\Gamma=\binom{\mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N}}{\sigma_{C}\left(\underset{\mathscr{C}}{ } \stackrel{\Gamma_{C}:=\xi}{\Longrightarrow}\right.}
$$

As $I_{\mathscr{C}}(\Gamma)=\xi$, it remains to show $\Gamma$ is a well-defined morphism in $\mathbf{D i a g}_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\mathbf{C a t}_{k}\right)(X, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathscr{C}))$ i.e. that

1. $\eta_{F_{1}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{1}\right) \sigma_{F_{1}}=\eta_{F_{2}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{2}\right) \sigma_{F_{2}}$ and
2. $\left(\Delta_{i j}^{\eta}\right)^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{i} G_{j}\right) \Delta_{i j}^{\sigma}=\left(\Delta_{k l}^{\eta}\right)^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{k} G_{l}\right) \Delta_{k l}^{\sigma}$ for all $i, k=1,2 ; j, l=1,2,3$.
3. As $\xi$ is an $\mathscr{A}$-balanced natural transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\eta_{F_{2}} \eta_{F_{1}}^{-1}\right)_{m, a, n} \xi_{(m \triangleleft a, n)}=\xi_{(m, a \triangleright n)}\left(\sigma_{F_{2}} \sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1}\right)_{m, a, n} \\
& \text { where } \sigma_{F_{2}} \sigma_{F_{1}}^{-1} \text { is the balancing of } I_{\mathscr{C}}(\sigma) \\
& \text { and } \eta_{F_{2}} \eta_{F_{1}}^{-1} \text { is the balancing of } I_{\mathscr{C}}(\eta) \\
\Longrightarrow & \left(\eta_{F_{1}}^{-1}\right)_{m, a, n} \xi_{(m \triangleleft a, n)}\left(\sigma_{F_{1}}\right)_{m, a, n}=\left(\eta_{F_{2}}^{-1}\right)_{m, a, n} \xi_{(m, a \triangleright n)}\left(\sigma_{F_{2}}\right)_{m, a, n} \\
\Longrightarrow & \eta_{F_{1}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{1}\right) \sigma_{F_{1}}(m, a, n)=\eta_{F_{2}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{2}\right) \sigma_{F_{2}}(m, a, n) \\
\Longrightarrow & \eta_{F_{1}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{1}\right) \sigma_{F_{1}}=\eta_{F_{2}}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{2}\right) \sigma_{F_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Denote $\operatorname{Eq}(i, j):=\left(\Delta_{i j}^{\eta}\right)^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{C} F_{i} G_{j}\right) \Delta_{i j}^{\sigma}$. By definition of $\Delta_{i, j}, \sigma$ and $\eta$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1 j}^{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{F_{1}} G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}}=\sigma_{G_{j}} \\
& \Delta_{1 j}^{\eta}=\left(\eta_{F_{i}} G_{j}\right) \eta_{G_{j}}=\eta_{G_{j}} \\
& \Delta_{2 j}^{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{F_{1}} G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}}=\left(\alpha_{\sigma} G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}} \\
& \Delta_{2 j}^{\eta}=\left(\eta_{F_{1}} G_{j}\right) \eta_{G_{j}}=\left(\alpha_{\eta} G_{j}\right) \eta_{G_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Eq}(1, j) & =\eta_{G_{j}}^{-1}\left(\xi F_{1} G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}} \text { and } \\
\operatorname{Eq}(2, j) & =\eta_{G_{j}}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1} G_{j}\right)\left(\xi F_{2} G_{j}\right)\left(\alpha_{\sigma} G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}} \\
& =\eta_{G_{j}}^{-1}\left(\left(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1}\left(\xi F_{2}\right) \alpha_{\sigma}\right) G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}} \\
& =\eta_{G_{j}}^{-1}\left(\xi F_{1} G_{j}\right) \sigma_{G_{j}} \text { as } \xi \text { is } \mathscr{A} \text {-balanced } \\
& =\operatorname{Eq}(1, j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means it remains to show $\operatorname{Eq}(1,1)=\mathrm{Eq}(1,2)=\mathrm{Eq}(1,3)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Eq}(1,2) & =\eta_{G_{2}}^{-1}\left(\xi F_{1} G_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\xi F_{1} G_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{Eq}(1,1) & =\eta_{G_{1}}^{-1}\left(\xi F_{1} G_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\eta_{C} \kappa_{2}\right)\left(\xi F_{1} G_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{2}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
=\mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{N} \xrightarrow{F_{1} G_{2}} \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{N}
$$

$$
=\left(\xi F_{1} G_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{Eq}(1,2) \\
\operatorname{Eq}(1,3) & =\left(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1} G_{2}\right)\left(\eta_{C} \kappa_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{\eta} G_{3}\right)\left(\xi F_{1} G_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{\sigma}^{-1} G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{3}^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{\sigma} G_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1} G_{2}\right)\left(\eta_{C} \kappa_{3}\right)\left(\left(\alpha_{\eta}\left(\xi F_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{\sigma}^{-1}\right) G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{3}^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{\sigma} G_{2}\right)\right. \\
& =\left(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1} G_{2}\right)\left(\eta_{C} \kappa_{3}\right)\left(\xi F_{2} G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{3}^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{\sigma} G_{2}\right) \text { as } \xi \text { is } \mathscr{A} \text {-balanced } \\
& =\left(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1} G_{2}\right)\left(\xi F_{2} G_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{\sigma} G_{2}\right) \text { by }(\dagger) \\
& =\xi F_{1} G_{2} \text { as } \xi \text { is } \mathscr{A} \text {-balanced } \\
& =\operatorname{Eq}(1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where ( $\dagger$ ):

$$
\left(\eta_{C} \kappa_{3}\right)\left(\xi F_{2} G_{3}\right)\left(\sigma_{C} \kappa_{3}^{-1}\right)
$$


$=\xi F_{2} G_{2}$

### 2.4 Equivalence of Skein Categories and $k$-linear Factorisation Homology of Surfaces

We now shall combine the results proven so far in this paper with the characterisation of factorisation homology in Theorem 2.18 to prove the following:

Theorem 2.28. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be $k$-linear strict ribbon category $\mathscr{V}$. The functor

$$
\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(-): \mathbf{M f l}_{2}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}
$$

is the $k$-linear factorisation homology

$$
\int_{-}^{\mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}} \mathscr{V}: \mathbf{M f l}_{2}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}
$$

of surfaces with coefficients in $\mathscr{V}$.
Proof. Firstly we note that as $\mathscr{V}$ is a ribbon category it defines a framed $E_{2}$-algebra $F_{\mathscr{V}}: \mathbf{M f l}_{2}^{\text {or, } \sqcup} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}_{k}^{\times}$ such that $F_{\mathscr{V}}(\mathbb{D})=\mathscr{V}$, so the $k$-linear factorisation with coefficients in $\mathscr{V}$ is well defined.

We saw in Remark 1.6 that an embedding of surfaces $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Pi$ induces a functor $\mathbf{S k}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{S k}(\Pi)$ between their skein categories, and in Remark 1.18 that isotopies of embeddings define natural transformations. This implies that

$$
\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(-): \mathbf{M f l}_{2}^{\mathrm{or}, \sqcup} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}_{k}
$$

is a 2 -functor. So it remains to apply Theorem 2.18

1. From Corollary 1.10 we have an equivalence of categories $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \simeq \mathscr{V}$.
2. From Remark 1.7 we have for any 1-manifold $C$ that $\mathbf{S k}(C \times[0,1])$ has a canonical monoidal structure induced from the inclusions of intervals.
3. In Theorem 1.22 we have proven that given suitable thickened embeddings there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right) \simeq \mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(A)} \mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(N)
$$

where $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(A)} \mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(N)$ is the Tambara relative tensor product of $k$-linear categories (see Definition 1.16). In Theorem 2.27 we prove that

$$
\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(A)} \mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(N) \simeq \mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(M) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(A)} \mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(N)
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}\left(M \sqcup_{A} N\right) \simeq \mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(M) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(A)} \mathbf{S}_{\mathscr{V}}(N)
$$

and skein categories satisfy the excision of factorisation homologies.
As a factorisation homology is fully characterised by the above (Theorem 2.18), this concludes the proof.

## 3 Quantised Character Varieties

In this final section we shall give an application to the quantisation of character varieties.
Definition 3.1. Let $G$ be a reductive Lie group. The representation variety $\mathfrak{R}_{G}(\Sigma)$ is the affine variety

$$
\Re_{G}(\Sigma)=\left\{\rho: \pi_{1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow G\right\}
$$

of homomorphisms from the fundamental group of $\Sigma$ to $G$.
Definition 3.2. The character variety $\operatorname{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$ is the affine categorical quotient $\mathfrak{R}_{G}(\Sigma) / / G$ of the representation variety of the surface $\mathfrak{R}_{G}(\Sigma)$ by the group $G$ acting upon it by conjugation. The character variety $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$ has a Poisson structure AB 83 , Gol84 so by a quantisation of $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$ we mean a deformation of this Poisson structure.

We shall prove that the skein algebra $\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)}(\Sigma)$, of a punctured surface $\Sigma$ coloured by the category of finite-dimensional representations of the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ where q is a generic parameter, is a deformation quantisation of the character variety $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$.

In order to do this we shall first use the characterisation of skein categories as $k$-linear factorisation homology (Theorem 2.28 to prove that the free cocompletion of the skein category $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ is the $\mathbf{L F P} \mathbf{P}_{k}$ factorisation homology $\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{V})$ (Theorem 3.27). We shall then use the results of Ben-Zvi, Brochier and Jordan $[\overline{\mathrm{BBJ} 18}]$ who showed that one can acquire quantisations of character varieties via $\mathbf{L F P} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ factorisation homologies with coefficients in $\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)$.

### 3.1 The Category $\mathrm{LFP}_{k}$

Firstly, we define the category $\mathbf{L F P}_{k}$.
Definition 3.3. A category is locally finitely presentable if it is locally small, cocomplete and is generated under filtered colimits by a set of compact objects.

Remark 3.4. A category $\mathscr{C}$ is locally finitely presentable if it is $\aleph_{0}$-locally presentable.
Definition 3.5. A functor $F: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}$ is compact if it preserves compact objects i.e. if $c$ is a compact object of $\mathscr{C}$ then $F(c)$ is a compact object of $\mathscr{D}$.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathbf{L F} \mathbf{P}_{k}$ be the (2,1) - category with

1. objects: locally finitely presentable $k$-linear categories $\dagger$

[^10]2. 1-morphisms: compact cocontinuous $k$-linear functors;
3. 2-morphisms: natural isomorphisms.

The (2, 1)-category $\mathbf{L F P} \mathbf{P}_{k}$ is a strict monoidal category with the Kelly-Deligne tensor product $\boxtimes$ as the monoidal product ${ }^{\dagger}$

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C} \in \mathbf{L F P}_{k}, \operatorname{Cocont}(\mathscr{A} \boxtimes \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C})$ be the category of cocontinuous functors $\mathscr{A} \boxtimes \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ and $\operatorname{Cocont}(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B} ; \mathscr{C})$ be the category of bilinear functors $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ which are cocontinuous in each variable separately. The Kelly-Deligne tensor product of $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ is the category $\mathscr{A} \boxtimes \mathscr{B} \in \mathbf{L F P}_{k}$ together with a bilinear functor $S: \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{A} \boxtimes \mathscr{B} \in \operatorname{Cocont}(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B} ; \mathscr{C})$ such that composition with $S$ defines an equivalence of categories

$$
\operatorname{Cocont}(\mathscr{A} \boxtimes \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Cocont}(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B} ; \mathscr{C}) \cong \operatorname{Cocont}(\mathscr{A}, \operatorname{Cocont}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}))
$$

for all $\mathscr{C} \in \mathbf{L F P}_{k}$.
Remark 3.8. Kelly [Kel82, Proposition 4.3] proved the existence of $\mathscr{A} \boxtimes \mathscr{B}$ for categories $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B} \in$ Rex where Rex is the (2,1)-category of essentially small, finitely cocomplete categories with right exact functors as 1-morphisms and natural isomorphisms as 2-morphisms. Franco [Fra13, Theorem 18] showed that for the abelian categories $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$, their Kelly tensor product $\mathscr{A} \boxtimes \mathscr{B}$ is the Deligne tensor product of abelian categories Del90 when the Deligne tensor product exists; hence, the name Kelly-Deligne tensor product. For the existence of the Kelly-Deligne tensor product in $\mathbf{L F P}{ }_{k}$ see Ram17, Section 2.4.1] and the references therewithin.

As the category $\mathbf{L F P}{ }_{k}^{\boxtimes}$ is $\boxtimes$-presentable BBJ18, Proposition 3.5], one can define $\mathbf{L F P}$ factorisation homology.

### 3.2 The $\mathrm{LFP}_{k}$ Factorisation Homology of Punctured Surfaces

We shall now very briefly recall the relevant results of Ben-Zvi, Brochier and Jordan [BBJ18] as to how to acquire quantisations of character varieties via $\mathbf{L F} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ factorisation homology. For a fuller account see BBJ18 or Coo19, Section 3.1, Section 3.3]. Throughout this section let $\mathscr{E} \in \mathbf{L F P}_{k}$ be a rigid abelian balanced braided monoidal $k$-linear category.

Example 3.9. Let $G$ be a connected Lie group such that its Lie group $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ is semisimple. The category $\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)$ of finite-dimensional, integrable $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear ribbon category, so is a suitable choice of $\mathscr{E}$. Another choice of $\mathscr{E}$ is the category of integrable representations $\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)$ whose objects are possibly infinite direct sums of simple modules in $\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)$.

Firstly note that, the factorisation homology $\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \mathscr{E}$ of the punctured surface $\Sigma$ can be given the structure of an $\mathscr{E}$-module category as follows:


Figure 9: An illustration of the map $\Sigma \sqcup \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Sigma$. The surface $\Sigma_{2,1}$ has a interval marked in red along its boundary along which the disc $\mathbb{D}$ is attached. The resultant surface is isotopic to $\Sigma_{2,1}$.

Choose an interval along the boundary of $\Sigma^{\ddagger}$ The mapping $\Sigma \sqcup \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Sigma$, which attaches the disc $\mathbb{D}$ to $\Sigma$ along the marked interval, induces a $\int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathscr{E}$-module structure on $\int_{\Sigma} \mathscr{E}$. As $\int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathscr{E} \simeq \mathscr{E}$ in $\mathbf{L F P}$, this means that

[^11]$\int_{\Sigma} \mathscr{E}$ is a $\mathscr{E}$-module. This module structure defines the action map
$$
\operatorname{act}_{m}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}_{k}} \mathscr{E}: a \mapsto a \cdot m
$$
for any $m \in \int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \mathscr{E}$, and this action map has a right adjoint
$$
\operatorname{act}_{m}^{R}: \int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}_{k}} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}
$$

Not only is $\int_{\Sigma} \mathscr{E}$ an $\mathscr{E}$-module category, but it is the category of modules of an algebra $A_{\Sigma}$ in $\mathscr{E}$. This algebra $A_{\Sigma}$ is an internal Hom:
Definition $3.10 \mathrm{Eti}+15$ p. 147 , Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a right $\mathscr{E}$-module category and let $m, n \in \mathscr{M}$. The internal $\operatorname{Hom}^{\ddagger}$ from $m$ to $n$ is the object $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(m, n) \in \mathscr{E}$ which represents the functor $x \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{M}}(m \cdot x, n)$ i.e. such that there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\eta^{m, n}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{M}}\left(m \cdot_{-}, n\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{E}}(-, \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(m, n)) .
$$

For any $m \in \mathscr{M}, \underline{\operatorname{End}}(m):=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(m, m)$ is an algebra in $\mathscr{E}$ Eti+15, p. 149] called the internal endomorphism algebra of $m$.

Definition 3.11. The embedding $\emptyset \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ induces a pointing map $k \mathbf{M o d} \rightarrow \int_{\Sigma} \mathscr{E}$ on the factorisation homology $\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \mathscr{E}$. The distinguished object $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{E}, \Sigma}$ of the factorisation homology $\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \mathscr{E}$ is the image of $k$ under this pointing map $k \mathbf{M o d} \rightarrow \int_{\Sigma} \mathscr{E}$.
Definition 3.12. Th $\underbrace{*}$ algebra object $A_{\Sigma}$ of $\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \mathscr{E}$ is the internal endomorphism algebra of the distinguished object

$$
A_{\Sigma}:=\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\mathscr{E}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{E}, \Sigma}\right)
$$

This is called the moduli algebra of $\Sigma$ in BBJ18.
 the factorisation homology $\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \mathscr{E}$. There is an equivalence of categories

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \mathscr{E} \simeq A_{\Sigma}-\bmod _{\mathscr{E}}
$$

where $A_{\Sigma}-\bmod \mathscr{E}$ is the category of right modules over $A_{\Sigma}$ in $\mathscr{E}$.
Remark 3.14. Note that as the factorisation homology is equivalent to a category of modules of an algebra, it is an abelian category.
Remark 3.15. There is a combinatorial description of $A_{\Sigma}$ in terms of the gluing pattern of the surface (see BBJ18. Section 5]).

Now assume $\mathscr{E}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e p }}_{q}(G)$, the category of integrable representation of $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$. As $A_{\Sigma} \in \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e p }}_{q}(G)$ it is a $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Hence, there is an action of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $A_{\Sigma}$.
Definition 3.16. The algebra of invariants $\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}$ of the punctured surface $\Sigma$ with respect to the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ is $A_{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})}$, the algebra of invariants of $A_{\Sigma}$ under the action of $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$. In other words, $\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}$ is the subgroup of $x \in A_{\Sigma}$ such that $g \cdot x=\epsilon(g) x$ for all $g \in \mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ where $\epsilon$ is the unit of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$.

[^12]To quantise the character variety $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$ one deforms the Poisson algebra of functions on $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$, and a suitable deformation of this Poisson algebra is given by $\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}$ :

Theorem 3.17 BBJ18, Theorem 7.3]. Let $\Sigma$ be a punctured surface. The algebra of invariants $\mathscr{A} \Sigma$ of $\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)$ is a deformation quantisation of the character variety $\operatorname{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$.

### 3.3 Cauchy and Free Completions

We shall now define free cocompletions, Cauchy cocompletions and the subcategory of compact projectives. These are needed to state Theorem 3.27. In this subsection let $\mathscr{V}$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category with all its limits and colimits e.g. $\mathscr{V}=$ Set or $\mathscr{V}=k$ Mod. Let $\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{E}$ denote small $\mathscr{V}$-enriched categories and let $\mathscr{X}$ denote a not necessarily small $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category. We begin by defining free cocompletion:

Definition 3.18. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a small $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category. The free cocompletion Free $(\mathscr{C})$ is the enriched functor category $\left[\mathscr{C}^{o p}, \mathscr{V}\right]$.

Proposition 3.19 Kel82, p. 44]. The free cocompletion Free $(\mathscr{C})$ of the small $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category $\mathscr{C}$ is locally finitely presentable i.e. Free $(\mathscr{C}) \in \mathbf{L F P}_{k}$.

Note that there is an equivalence

$$
\left[\mathscr{C}^{o p}, \mathscr{V}\right] \simeq \operatorname{Prof}_{\mathscr{V}}(\mathbf{P t}, \mathscr{C})
$$

where $\operatorname{Prof}_{\mathscr{V}}$ is the $(2,1)$-category of $\mathscr{V}$-enriched profunctors and $\mathbf{P t}$ is the one-point category defined in Section 2.1. This is needed to define the Cauchy cocompletion as the Cauchy cocompletion is defined as a subcategory of $\operatorname{Prof}_{\mathscr{V}}$ rather than of $\left[\mathscr{C}^{o p}, \mathscr{V}\right]$.

Definition 3.20. A $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category $\mathscr{C}$ is Cauchy complete if it has all absolute colimits.
Example 3.21. When $\mathscr{V}=k$ Mod, a category $\mathscr{C}$ is Cauchy complete if and only if it is idempotent complete and has all direct sums.

Definition 3.22. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a small $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category. The Cauchy completion Cauchy $(\mathscr{C})$ of $\mathscr{C}$ is the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Prof}_{\mathscr{V}}(\mathbf{P t}, \mathscr{C})$ consisting of profunctors which have a right adjoint in $\operatorname{Prof}_{\mathscr{V}}$.

The Cauchy completion Cauchy $(\mathscr{C})$ is in fact a Cauchy complete category due to:
Proposition 3.23 Str83, p. 378]. Every colimit weighted by the $\mathscr{V}$-enriched profunctor $\varphi$ is absolute if and only if $\varphi$ has a right adjoint in Prof $_{\mathscr{V}}$.

We now define the subcategory of compact projective objects.
Definition 3.24. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category. An object $x \in \mathscr{X}$ is compact projectiv $\oint^{\dagger}$ if the corepresentable functor $\mathscr{X}\left(x,{ }_{-}\right): \mathscr{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}$ preserves all small colimits.

Definition 3.25. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category. The full subcategory of compact projective objects of $\mathscr{X}$ is denoted $\operatorname{Comp}(\mathscr{X})$.

Finally, Free, Cauchy and Comp can be related as follows:
Proposition 3.26 Kel05, p. 95]. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a small $\mathscr{V}$-enriched category. Then

$$
\operatorname{Comp}(\operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{C})) \simeq \operatorname{Cauchy}(\mathscr{C})
$$

[^13]
### 3.4 Free Cocompletions of Skein Categories are $\mathbf{L F P}_{k}$ Factorisation Homologies

We now use the characterisation of skein categories as $k$-linear factorisation homology to relate skein categories to $\mathbf{L F P}_{k}$ factorisation homology.

Theorem 3.27. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be $k$-linear strict ribbon category and let $\Sigma$ be a surface. There are equivalences of categories

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Free}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Sigma)\right) \simeq \int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \\
& \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{A}) \\
& \text { and } \operatorname{Cauchy}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Sigma)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Comp}\left(\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }_{k} \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{A})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We begin with the first equivalence. By Theorem 2.28 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Free}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Sigma)\right) & \simeq \operatorname{Free}\left(\int_{\Sigma}^{\text {Cat }_{k}} \mathscr{A}\right) \\
& \simeq \int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}} \quad \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

as free cocompletion preserves Kan extensions Kel05, Section 4.1]. For the second equivalence apply Comp:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Comp}\left(\operatorname{Free}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Sigma)\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Comp}\left(\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}_{k}} \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{A})\right) \\
\Longrightarrow & \operatorname{Cauchy}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Sigma)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Comp}\left(\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}_{k}} \operatorname{Free}(\mathscr{A})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 3.26

### 3.5 Skein Algebras are Quantisations of Character Varieties

We conclude this paper by using Theorem 3.27 to prove that skein algebras of punctured surfaces with generic parameters correspond to the algebras of invariants of $\mathbf{L F P}{ }_{k}$ factorisation homology. Hence, these skein algebras are deformation quantisation of character varieties.

Definition 3.28. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a strict $k$-linear ribbon category and let $\Sigma$ be an oriented surface. The skein algebra

$$
\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma):=\operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{S k}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Sigma)}(\emptyset)=\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Cauchy}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)\right)}(\emptyset)^{\dagger}
$$

where the distinguished object of $\mathbf{S k}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$ is the empty set.
Theorem 3.29. Let $\Sigma$ be a punctured surface and $q \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be 1 or not a root of unity. There is an algebra isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)}(\Sigma) \cong \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}
$$

between the skein algebra and the algebra of invariants $\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}$ of $\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}}{ }^{\mathbb{C}} \boldsymbol{R e p}_{q}(G)$.
Proof. We begin with the right hand side. For brevity we shall denote $Z_{q}(\Sigma):=\int_{\Sigma}^{\mathbf{L F P}_{C}} \operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)$. By definition $\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}:=A_{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})}$, the algebra of invariants of the algebra object $A_{\Sigma}:=\underline{\operatorname{End}}(\mathscr{O})$ where $\mathscr{O}$ is the distinguished object of $Z_{q}(\Sigma)$. Hence,

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}=A_{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)}\left(\mathscr{O}, A_{S}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)}(\mathscr{O}, \underline{\operatorname{End}}(\mathscr{O}))
$$

[^14]As End $(m)=\operatorname{act}_{m}^{R}(m)$ BBJ18, Definition 3.9 ${ }^{\dagger}$,

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)}(\mathscr{O}, \underline{\operatorname{End}}(\mathscr{O}))=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)}\left(\mathscr{O}, \operatorname{act}_{\mathscr{O}}^{R}(\mathscr{O})\right)
$$

By the definition of the right adjoint

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}(G)}\left(\mathscr{O}, \operatorname{act}_{\mathscr{O}}^{R}(\mathscr{O})\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{Z_{q}(\Sigma)}\left(\operatorname{act}_{\mathscr{O}}(\mathscr{O}), \mathscr{O}\right)=\operatorname{End}_{Z_{q}(\Sigma)}(\mathscr{O})
$$

As $q$ is generic, the distinguished object $\mathscr{O}$ of $Z_{q}(\Sigma)$ is compact projective and $\operatorname{Comp}\left(Z_{q}(\Sigma)\right)$ is a full subcategory; hence,

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}=\operatorname{End}_{Z_{q}(\Sigma)}(\mathscr{O})=\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Comp}\left(Z_{q}(\Sigma)\right)}(\mathscr{O})
$$

By Theorem 3.27

$$
\operatorname{Comp}\left(Z_{q}(\Sigma)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Cauchy}\left(\mathbf{S k}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)}(\Sigma)\right)
$$

So, we conclude that

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}=\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Comp}\left(Z_{q}(\Sigma)\right)}(\mathscr{O}) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Cauchy}(\operatorname{Sk}(\Sigma))}(\emptyset)=\operatorname{SkAlg}(\Sigma)
$$

Corollary 3.30. Let $G$ be any connected Lie group such that $\operatorname{Lie}(G)=\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, $q \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be 1 or not a root of unity, and $\Sigma$ be a punctured surface. Then $\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\mathbf{R e p}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)}(\Sigma)$ is a deformation quantisation of the character variety $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.29 $\operatorname{SkAlg}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{q}^{\mathrm{fd}}(G)}(\Sigma) \simeq \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}$ and, by Theorem 3.17 . $\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}$ is a deformation quantisation of the character variety $\mathrm{Ch}_{G}(\Sigma)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The Kauffman bracket skein algebra is the case $G=\mathrm{SL}_{2}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ This is Theorem 1.22
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ This is Theorem 2.28

[^2]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ This is Theorem 3.27
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ This is Theorem 3.29 and Corollary 3.30

[^3]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Manifolds and surfaces are assumed throughout this paper to be finitary, smooth and oriented.
    $\ddagger$ It is sufficient for $\mathscr{V}$ to be a strict monoidal category with duals in this definition and for the definition of $\mathbf{R i b b o n}_{\mathscr{V}}(\Sigma)$. However, $\mathscr{V}$ needs to be a ribbon category to define the ribbon functor eval and, hence, in the definition of a skein category. To avoid confusion we have assumed it is a ribbon category throughout.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Note that this includes the colouring, framings and directions matching.
    $\ddagger$ The monoidal unit is the empty set.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Ribbon diagrams lie in $\Sigma \times[0,1]$. In this paper we refer to $[0,1]$ as the $t$-coordinate or direction.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Being able to do this relies on the ribbon diagram $u$ not starting or ending in the middle region.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ We use $s$ as this $u_{i}$ may only be part of one of the ribbon diagrams in the decomposition of $u$.
    $\ddagger$ To simplify the proof slightly, we assume that there are no points in the left crossing region which are not moved by the crossing.

    * $\left(b^{\prime}-b^{\prime \prime}\right)$ denotes set difference

[^8]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Throughout this paper we shall assume all 2-categories are strict i.e. categories enriched over Cat.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ As factorisation homology is defined via a universal construction we have uniqueness up to a contractible space of isomorphisms.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Note that in $\mathbf{L F P} \mathbf{P}_{k}$ the categories are not assumed to be small whereas in $\mathbf{C a t}_{k}$ they are.

[^11]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The monoidal unit of $\mathbf{L F P}_{k}^{\boxtimes}$ is $k \mathbf{M o d}$.
    $\ddagger$ The module structure depends on the choice of marking.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Note that Etignof et al. are assuming that $\mathscr{M}$ is a left $\mathscr{E}$-module category, whereas we are assuming that is is a right $\mathscr{E}$-module category. Also note that they assume the categories are finite, but the proofs work without modification for locally finitely presentable categories.
    $\ddagger$ Also known as the enriched Hom.
    *The algebra object is dependent on the choice marking of $\Sigma$
    $\S$ In Theorem 5.11 , it states that $\mathscr{E}$ is a rigid braided monoidal category rather than a balanced monoidal category. Any braided monoidal category constructs a (non-framed) $E_{2}$-algebra which are the coefficients for the framed version of factorisation homology. As we are working with the oriented version of factorisation homology we need a framed $E_{2}$-algebra, and hence $\mathscr{E}$ must be balanced monoidal.

[^13]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Compact projective objects are also known as small projective objects or tiny objects.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\dagger} \operatorname{As} \mathbf{S k}(\Sigma)$ is a full subcategory of Cauchy $(\mathbf{S k}(\Sigma))$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Ben-Zvi, Brochier and Jordan define $\underline{\operatorname{End}(m)}:=\operatorname{act}_{m}^{R}(m)$, but this definition is equivalent to ours as End $(m)$ is unique up to isomorphism and $\operatorname{act}_{m}^{R}(m)$ satisfies the required universal property.

