A NOTE ON $\mu$-STABILIZERS IN ACVF
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Abstract. This short note is an extension of our recent work [KSY19]. We study $\mu$-stabilizers for groups definable in ACVF in the valued field sort. We prove that Stab$^\mu(p)$ is an infinite definable subgroup of $G$ when $p$ is standard and unbounded. In the particular case when $G$ is affine algebraic, we show that Stab$^\mu(p)$ is a solvable algebraic subgroup of $G$, with dim(Stab$^\mu(p)) = \dim(p)$ when $p$ is $\mu$-reduced.

1. Introduction

In [PS99], it was shown that, in an o-minimal theory, given a definable group $G$ and a definable curve $C \subseteq G$ that is unbounded, one can canonically associate to the curve a one-dimensional definable subgroup $H_C$ of $G$. In fact, such groups depend only on the type $p$ of a branch of the curve at infinity. Subsequently, in [PS17], a general machinery was developed on definable topological group actions, with an emphasis on groups definable in o-minimal theories. In their language, the group $H_C$ is exactly $\mu$-stabilizer of the type $p$ of a branch of the curve at infinity in their language. In our recent work [KSY19] with Kamensky and Starchenko, we generalized the notion of $\mu$-stabilizers to the context of affine algebraic groups over an algebraically closed field and analyzed the dimension and structure of such groups, even though a notion of “infinitesimal neighborhood” around the identity is not clear in the theory of algebraically closed fields.

It is natural to ask if such a machinery can be developed in the context of groups $G$ defined over an algebraically closed valued field $F$, equipped with the valuation topology. In this context, the notion of “infinitesimal neighborhood” is apparent. It is given by the intersection of all the $F$-definable neighbourhood. Hence the setting is closer to [PS17] than [KSY19]. However, the techniques in [KSY19] still apply. In particular, the correspondence between definably connected components and orbits of $\mu$-reduced types is still present. From this, we obtain the following.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a closed group embedded in $\mathbb{A}^n$. Assume further that the group operations on $G$ are continuous with respect to the valuation topology. For $p$ a standard unbounded $G$-type over $F$, Stab$^\mu(p)$ is an infinite definable subgroup of $G$.

In this case, it is hard to deduce the dimension of the stabilizers. However, when restrict to the case that $G$ is algebraic, we have the following.

Theorem. If $p \in S_G(F)$ is $\mu$-reduced and standard, where $G$ is affine algebraic, then Stab$^\mu(p) \subseteq G$ is a solvable algebraic group with dim(Stab$^\mu(p)) = \dim(p)$.
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2. $\mu$-types in ACVF

2.1. Definable group actions in ACVF. In this section, we develop the basics of $\mu$-types and their stabilizers in an algebraically closed valued field. Most of the results can be found in [PS17]. We include them for completeness. From this section onwards, the underlying theory is fixed to be the theory of algebraically closed valued fields (ACVF). For general facts concerning ACVF, we refer the readers to [vdD14].

We work in $L_{\text{val}}$, the usual 3-sorted language of ACVF. We use $\Gamma$ to denote the sort for the value group without the point at positive infinity.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ be a group defined in some product of the $\text{VF}$-sort over some $F$, where $F$ is algebraically closed valued field, assume further the group operation and inversions are continuous with respect to the valuation topology. We assume further that $G$ is closed in the valuation topology. By a $G$-formula $\phi(x)$, where $x$ is a $\text{VF}$-sort variable, we mean a formula $\phi(x)$ such that $\phi(x) \models x \in G$. For a set of parameters $A$, we use $L_G(A)$ to denote the set of $G$-formulas over $A$. And by a (partial) $G$-type, we mean a small consistent set of $G$-formulas.

Notation 1. For $G$ a definable group as above, let $\varphi(x), \psi(x)$ be $G$-formulas, we use $\varphi \cdot \psi(x)$ to denote the formula

$$\exists x_1 \exists x_2 (\varphi(x_1) \land \psi(x_2) \land x = x_1 \cdot x_2)$$

Similarly for partial $G$-types $\Sigma(x), \Pi(x)$, $\Sigma \cdot \Pi(x)$ is the following partial type

$$\{ \varphi \cdot \psi(x) : \varphi \in \Sigma, \psi \in \Pi \}$$

Note that $G(F)$ acts on the $G$-formulas and $G$-types as well.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Sigma(x)$ be a partial $G$-type over $A$ where $A$ is a small set. We define $\text{Stab}(\Sigma)$ to be

$$\{ g \in G(F) : \text{ for all } \phi \in L_G(A) : \Sigma \models \phi \iff \Sigma \models g \cdot \phi \}$$

We use $g \cdot \varphi(x)$ to mean $(x = g) \cdot \varphi$.

For each $\phi \in L_G(A)$, let $B_\phi$ be the set

$$\{ g \in G(F) : \Sigma(x) \models g \cdot \phi \}$$

We define $\text{Stab}_\phi(\Sigma) = \text{Stab}(B_\phi)$, note that it is a subgroup of $G(F)$ as well.

Remark 2.3. Note that we can similarly develop the notion of right stabilizers by considering $G$ acting on the right. The construction in the paper later on can be modified accordingly to deal with the right action. But keep in mind that the group $\text{Stab}(\Sigma)$ as constructed later in the paper might be different when we consider right-stabilizers.

It is very easy to see the following.
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Fact 1. $\text{Stab}(\Sigma) = \bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A)} \text{Stab}_\phi(\Sigma) = \bigcap_{\Sigma \models \phi} \text{Stab}_\phi(\Sigma)$

We say a partial type over $F$, $\Sigma(x)$ is definable if for each $\phi(x,y)$, there is $\psi(y) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{val}}(F)$ such that $\phi(x,c) \in \Sigma$ iff $\psi(c)$. By the preceding fact, we have a better description of $\text{Stab}(\Sigma)$.

Fact 2 ([PS17 Proposition 2.13]). Let $\Sigma(x)$ be a partial definable $G$-type over $F$ of ACVF, $\text{Stab}(\Sigma)$ is type-definable over $F$.

From now on, $F$ is a given algebraically closed valued field and $G$ is a group definable over $F$. Let us assume that $G \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is closed in the valuation topology and the group operations are continuous with respect to the valuation topology. Let $p \in S_G(F)$ be a complete $G$-type in over $F$. Then clearly $G(F)$ acts on the space $S_G(F)$ by left multiplication described above. As in [PS17], there is a $F$-type-definable subgroup of $G$, call it $\mu$, given by all the $F$-definable neighbourhoods of identity in $G$. It is not hard to see that it induces the following equivalence relation: $p \sim \mu q$ if there is $a \models p$, $b \models q$ such that there is $\epsilon \models \mu$ such that $a = \epsilon \cdot b$.

We use $p_{\mu}$ to denote the equivalence class of $p$, and refer to it as the $\mu$-type of $p$. We use $S^\mu_G(F)$ to denote the quotient of $S_G(F)$ under above equivalence relation. Clearly, the $G(F)$-action respect the equivalence relation and hence we have an induced $G(F)$-action on $S^\mu_G(F)$. Here we recall some facts concerning $\mu$-types and the $G(F)$-actions from [PS17].

Notation 2. We use $S_G(F)$ to denote the space of complete $G$-types over $F$. We use $\text{Stab}^\mu(p)$ to denote $\text{Stab}(\mu \cdot p)$, for $p \in S_G(F)$.

Then we have the following easy fact. A proof of this can be found in [PS17].

Fact 3 ([PS17 Claim 2.15]). Let $p \in S_G(F)$, assume that $p$ is definable over $F$, $\mu \cdot p$ is definable over $F$ as a partial type.

We call the group $\text{Stab}^\mu(p) = \text{Stab}(\mu \cdot p)$ the $\mu$-stabilizer of $p$. It is not hard to see it is the same as the stabilizer of $p_{\mu}$ under the $G(F)$ action of $S^\mu_G(F)$.

Unfortunately, ACVF does not have descending chain condition for definable groups, hence it does not follow automatically that $\text{Stab}^\mu(p)$ is definable, even for definable types $p$. However, in later sections, we will see this is indeed the case.

We recall one last fact from [PS17].

Fact 4 ([PS17 Claim 2.18]). Let $g \in G(F)$ and $p,q \in S_G(F)$ be such that $g \cdot p_{\mu} = q_{\mu}$, we have $\text{Stab}^\mu(q) = g \text{Stab}^\mu(p) g^{-1}$.

2.2. Computing $\mu$-stabilizers via standard parts. We follow the construction in [PS17] and [KSY19] to construct the $\mu$-stabilizers via some standard part map. Take $U$ to be a monster model of ACVF extending $F$. Working in $U$, we first claim the following.

Proposition 2.4. Let $p \in S_G(F)$, then $\text{Stab}^\mu(p) = (\mu \cdot p(U)) \cdot (\mu \cdot p(U))^{-1} \cap F = \mu \cdot p(U) \cdot a^{-1} \cap F$ for any $a \models p$.

Proof. Let us begin by proving the second equality $(\mu \cdot p(U)) \cdot (\mu \cdot p(U))^{-1} \cap F = \mu \cdot p(U) \cdot a^{-1} \cap F$. Clearly $\text{RHS} \subseteq \text{LHS}$ by definition. For the reverse containment, we
consider the following. Let $h = \epsilon_1 \cdot c \cdot b^{-1} \cdot \epsilon_2 \in \text{LHS}$. Since $h \in F$ and $g \cdot \mu \cdot g^{-1} = \mu$ for $g \in G(F)$, we can assume that $\epsilon_2 = e$, the group identity. Now, take an automorphism $\sigma$ of $U$ over $F$ such that $\sigma(b) = a$, we have that $h = \sigma(h) = \sigma(\epsilon_1) \cdot \sigma(c) \cdot \sigma(a)^{-1}$.

Now we show $\text{Stab}^\mu(p) = \mu \cdot p(U) \cdot a^{-1} \cap F$. Clearly, if $g \in \text{Stab}^\mu(p)$, we have that $g \cdot a \models \mu \cdot p$. Conversely, if $g \cdot a \in \mu \cdot p(U)$. Then for any $b \models p \cdot g \cdot b \in \mu \cdot p(U)$, hence $g \in \text{Stab}^\mu(p)$.

However, the above description is less than ideal since the $F$-points of a definable set is in general not definable and we wish to realize $\text{Stab}^\mu(p)$ via some definable objects. This leads to the following terminology. Like the notion of residually algebraic types in [KSY19], we have the following analogue for non-trivially valued fields.

Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field extending $F$, satisfying the following property

$$\forall b \in K((\exists a \in F \ v(b) > v(a)) \Rightarrow (\exists a \in F \forall c \in F^* \ v(b - a) > v(c)))$$

It is not hard to see by [CKY19 Appendix], that for any tuple $a \in K$, $tp(a/F)$ is definable over $F$. By the property above, if $b \in K$ with $v(b) > v(a)$ for some $a \in F$, there is a unique $b' \in F$ such that $v(b - b') > \Gamma(F)$. We use the $st$ to denote the above map $b \mapsto b'$. We use $\text{conv}_F(x)$ to denote the following $F$-$v$-definable set.

$$\{x : \exists b \in F \ v(x) > v(b)\}$$

Note that the standard part map has domain $\text{conv}_F(K)$, which is a valuation subring of $K$.

Since the map resembles the standard part map in tame pairs of o-minimal theories, we will call such extensions $F \preceq K$ standard. And for a type $p$ over $F$, we say that $p$ is standard if $p$ has a realization in some standard $F \preceq K$. Furthermore, for proper standard extensions $F \preceq K$, $\mu(K) \neq \emptyset$. And it is not hard to see that standard extensions always exist.

Notationally, we use $B_{>\gamma,x}$ to denote the set $\{y : v(y_i - x_i) > \gamma \text{ for each } i\}$, where the subscript denotes the $i$-th coordinate. We define $B_{>\gamma,x}$ similarly. And for $g \in G$, $G_{>\gamma,g}$ is used to denote $B_{>\gamma,g} \cap G$. We define similarly $G_{\geq \gamma,g}$. Lastly, we use $G_{=\gamma,g} = G_{>\gamma,g} \setminus G_{\geq \gamma,g}$. And we use $\nu$ to denote the the intersection of $F$-definable neighbourhoods of $e$ in $\mathbb{A}^n$, where $e$ is the group identity of $G$. And we use $\mu$ to denote the intersection of neighbourhoods of the identity in $G$ and $\nu$ to denote the intersection of $F$-definable neighbourhoods of the origin in $\mathbb{A}^n$.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $G$ be given as in the assumption and $F \preceq K$ a standard extension of algebraically closed valued fields, then $\text{st} : G(\text{conv}_F(K)) \rightarrow G(F)$ is well-defined. Furthermore, for $g \in G(F)$, $\mu(K) \cdot g = (g + \nu(K)) \cap G$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that given $g \in G(\text{conv}_F(K))$, $\text{st}(g) \in G(F)$. Assume to the contrary that $\text{st}(g) \notin G(F)$. Since $G$ is closed in the valuation topology, this means that there is some $\gamma \in \Gamma(F)$ such that $F \models B_{>\gamma,\text{st}(g)} \cap G = \emptyset$. However, this implies that $g \notin G(K)$, a contradiction. The furthermore part follows from the fact that group operations are continuous.

Now let us come back to the $\mu$-types.
Definition 2.6. We say a $G$-type $p \in S_G(F)$ is $\mu$-reduced if $p$ is of minimal dimension in its $\mu$-class $p_\mu$, where the dimension means $VF$-dimension. Note for it agrees with the dimension of the minimal variety $V$ over $F$ such that $p \models x \in V$. We say $p$ is $\mu$-closed to a definable set $X$ if $\cup \models \mu \cdot p \cap X \neq \emptyset$

The following is immediate from the definition.

Lemma 2.7. Let $p$ be $\mu$-reduced and $g \in G(F)$, then $g \cdot p$ is also $\mu$-reduced.

Proof. If $g \cdot p$ is $\mu$-closed to $W$ where $W$ has smaller dimension then $\dim(p)$, we might assume that $W \subseteq G$. Then $g^{-1} \cdot W$ is $\mu$-close to $p$ and contradicts our assumption that $p$ is $\mu$-reduced.

Lemma 2.8. Let $p$ be a standard $G$-type over $F$. Then there is a standard type $q$ such that $q$ is $\mu$-reduced.

Proof. Consider standard $p$ given, if $p$ is not $\mu$-reduced, then there is a set of smaller dimension, $C$ defined over $F$ such that $\mu \cdot p$ is consistent with $C$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma(F)$, recall that $G_{> \gamma, g} = \{g' \in G : \nu(g' - g_i) > \gamma \text{ for all } i\}$, where the subscript $i$ denote the $i$-th coordinate the corresponding element. Then we have for $\gamma \in \Gamma(F)$

$$p \models \exists y \exists z \cdot x = y \cdot z \land y \in G_{> \gamma, e} \land z \in C$$

, where $e$ stands for the group identity. Take $a \models p$ a realization in $K$, where $K$ is a standard extension of $F$. By above, we have some $g^* \in G_{> \gamma, e}(K)$ and $b \in C(K)$. In particular, $g^*$ has coordinates in $conv_F(K)$, so we can take the standard part of $g^*$, call it $g \in G(F)$. So there is some $\epsilon \in \mu(K)$ such that $a = g \cdot e \cdot b$. Let $q'(x) = tp(b/F)$, it is standard and has smaller dimension. Then we can see that $q(x) = g \cdot q'(x)$ has the same dimension as $q'$ and it is in the $\mu$-class of $p$. If $q$ is $\mu$-reduced, we have finished the proof. Otherwise, proceed as above until $q$ is $\mu$-reduced.

2.3. Tame topology on definable sets. In this section, we recall some results in [HL16]. The results are presented slightly differently than in their work, but they suffice for our purpose. These results will give us the key isolation result later in the paper.

Definition 2.9. Let $V$ be an algebraic variety over a valued field $F$, a subset $X \subseteq V$ is $v$-open if it is open for the valuation topology. A subset $X \subseteq V$ is $g$-open if it is a positive Boolean combination of Zariski open, closed sets and sets of the form

$$\{x \in U : v \circ f(x) > v \circ g(x)\}$$

where $f$ and $g$ are regular functions defined on $U$, a Zariski open subset of $V$. If $Z \subseteq V$ is a definable subset of $V$, a subset of $Z$ is said to be $v$(respectively $g$)-open if $Z$ is of the form $V \cap Y$, where $Y$ is $v$(respectively $g$)-open in $V$. The complement of a $v$(respectively $g$)-open is called $v$(respectively $g$)-closed. We say $X$ is $v+g$-open (respectively $v+g$-closed) if it is both $v$-open and $g$-open (respectively both $v$-closed and $g$-closed).
Note that the $v$-$g$-opens does not form a topology. However, when restricted to definable versions of topological notions like connected components, the behaviour of definable sets remains relatively tame.

**Definition 2.10.** Let $X$ be a definable subset of $V$, an algebraic variety. We say that $X$ is **definably connected** if $X$ cannot be written as a disjoint union of two $v$-$g$-open proper subsets of $X$. We say that $X$ has **finitely many definably connected components** if $X$ can be written as a finite disjoint union of $v$-$g$-clopen, definably connected subsets.

**Definition 2.11.** Let $f : V \to W$ be a definable function from $V$ to $W$, we say $f$ is **$v$-(respectively $g$)-continuous** if $f^{-1}(X)$ is $v$-(respectively $g$)-open for $X$ a $v$-(respectively $g$)-open subset of $W$. We say $f$ is **$v$+$g$-continuous** if $f$ is both $v$-continuous and $g$-continuous.

**Proposition 2.12** (Hrushovski, Loeser). If $f$ is $v$+$g$-continuous then the image of definably connected sets under $f$ are definably connected. Furthermore, if $V$ is a geometrically irreducible variety, then $V$ is definably connected.

The following is an easy corollary of the main results in [HL16]. It is not the full theorem, but it is sufficient for our purpose.

**Theorem 2.13** (Hrushovski, Loeser). Let $X$ be a definable subset of some quasi-projective variety in the valued field sort, then $X$ has finitely many definably connected components. Furthermore, if $X_t$ is a uniformly definable family, the number of definably connected components of $X_t$ is uniformly bounded.

Here we need one last definition/theorem.

**Definition 2.14.** Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be definable, we say that $X$ is **bounded** if there is $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $v(X) \geq \gamma$, where $v$ denotes the pointwise valuation map. Note that a type $p \in S_G(F)$ is **unbounded** iff no $X \in p$ is bounded.

**Theorem 2.15.** Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety over $F$, $V$ is bounded iff $V$ has dimension zero.

**Proposition 2.16.** If $G$ is unbounded, then there is always a standard unbounded type on $G$.

*Proof.* Take a standard extension $F \preceq K$ and take any $a \in G(K)$ such that $a \notin G(\text{conv}_F(K))$. $tp(a/F) \in S_G(F)$ will be standard. It is clearly unbounded by definition.

\[ \square \]

### 3. **Proof of the main theorem**

For standard types, we don’t have to work in the monster model to compute its $\mu$-stabilizer. We will see that for standard extensions $F \preceq K$, they compute the $\mu$-stabilizers of standard types $p$ realized in $K$ correctly, even though $K$ is not saturated. From now on, let us fix a standard $K$ extending $F$.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $p$ be a standard type $p \in S_G(F)$ such that $p$ has a realization $a \in K$. Then for any $S$ to be a $F$-definable set with $S \subseteq G$, then $\text{Stab}^\mu(p) \subseteq \text{st}(S \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K)))$. 
Proof. We may assume that \( S \subseteq G \). Let \( g \in \text{Stab}^\#(p) \), hence \( g \cdot p \in p_\mu \). Then for any \( \gamma \in \Gamma(F) \), we see that
\[
g \cdot p(x) \models \exists y \exists z \ y \in G_{>\gamma,e} \land z \in S \land x = y \cdot z
\]
Hence, in \( K \) as a model of ACVF, one can define
\[
\{ \gamma : \exists y \exists z \ y \in G_{>\gamma,e} \land z \in S \land g \cdot a = y \cdot z \}
\]
By \( \alpha \)-minimality of \( \Gamma(K) \), and the fact that \( \Gamma(F) \preceq \Gamma(K) \) as divisible ordered abelian groups, it follows that there is \( \gamma \in \Gamma(K) \), \( \gamma > \Gamma(F) \) with \( g' \in G_{>\gamma,e}(K) \) and \( b \in S \) such that \( g' \cdot b = g \cdot a \). Then \( g = \text{st}(b \cdot a^{-1}) \) by the choice of \( g' \). \( \square \)

Proposition 3.2. Let \( G \) be as above, and let \( p \in S_G(F) \) be \( \mu \)-reduced, standard with \( a \models p \). Take \( \gamma \in \Gamma(F) \), and fix a definably connected component of \( G_{>\gamma,e}(K) \cdot a \cap V \), denoted by \( B \). Then for any \( b_1, b_2 \in B \), we have \( tp(b_1/F) = tp(b_2/F) \).

Proof. We first show that \( b_i \)'s are \( \mu \)-reduced as well. Assume to the contrary, then there is a subset \( W \) of \( G \), with \( \dim(W) < \dim(V) \), such that \( b_1 \) is \( \mu \)-close to \( W \). But note \( b_1 = g \cdot a \) for some \( g \in G_{>\gamma,e}(K) \). The above implies that \( a \) is \( \mu \)-close to \( \text{st}(g^{-1} \cdot W) \), which has the same dimension as \( W \), a contradiction.

From the above, we see that no regular function in \( F[V] \) vanishes on \( b_i \)'s. So it is possible to evaluate all rational functions \( f \in F(V) \) on \( b_i \)'s. If the \( b_i \)'s have different types over \( F \), by QE in ACVF, without loss of generality, there must be a rational function \( f \) on \( V \) such that \( v(f(b_1)) \leq 0 \) and \( v(f(b_2)) > 0 \). By the fact that \( K \) is standard over \( F \), one see that we can assume that \( v(f(b_2)) > \Gamma(F) \). Now, look at \( f(B) \), by \( C \)-minimality on ACVF, and definably connectedness, we see that \( f(B) \) is of the form \( C \setminus (\cup_i C_i) \), where \( C_i \)'s are disjoint subballs of \( C \).

Claim 1. \( f(B) \) contains a \( F \)-point.

Proof of claim. First of all, \( C \) must be a ball large enough to contain a point with valuation greater than \( \Gamma(F) \), yet having some points \( a \), with \( v(a) \leq 0 \). This means that \( C \) has to contain the maximal ideal of the valuation ring. If \( f(B) \) has no \( F \)-point, then the \( F \)-points of the maximal ideal has to be covered by the \( C_i \)'s. However, by the assumption that \( F \preceq K \) is standard, the \( F \)-points of maximal ideal cannot be covered by a finite union of proper subballs of the maximal ideals in \( K \), hence one of the \( C_i \)'s contains the maximal ideal in \( K \). But this contradict to our assumption that \( f(B) \) has a point of positive valuation. \( \square \)

However, this shows that \( f \) when evaluated on a generic point of \( V \), gives a \( F \)-point. Hence \( f \) is a constant function, which is again a contradiction. Hence established the proposition. \( \square \)

Note that the family \( G_{>\gamma,e} \) is uniformly definable in \( K \) in ACVF. Take \( a \models p \) a standard \( \mu \)-reduced type in \( S_G(F) \) and \( V \) to be its Zariski closure over \( F \). Consider \( G_{>\gamma,e}(K) \cdot a \cap V \) as a uniform definable family in ACVF. There is a uniform bound on number of definably connected components. Now, we have our desired result.

Theorem 3.3. For \( p \) standard, \( \mu \)-reduced and \( a \models p \). There is some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and finitely many types \( p = p_1, \ldots, p_n \), such that if for \( g \in G(\text{conv}_F(K)) \) with \( g \cdot a \in V \),
the minimal irreducible variety over $F$ with $a \in V$, then $tp(g \cdot a/F) = p_i$ for one of the $i$’s. In particular, we have a $F$-definable set $S \subseteq G$ with $a \in S$, and for $g \in G(\text{conv}_F(K))$, $g \cdot a \in S$ iff $st(g) \in \text{Stab}^a(p)$.  

**Proof.** Take $n$ to be the maximum number of definably connected components of $G_{>\gamma,e}(K) \cdot a \cap V$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Assume the theorem does not hold, then there will be $g_1, \ldots, g_{n+1} \in G(\text{conv}_F(K))$ such that $g_i \cdot a \in V$ and $tp(g_i \cdot a/F) \neq tp(g_j \cdot a/F)$ for $i \neq j$. Take $\gamma \in \Gamma(F)$ to be such that $g_i \in G_{>\gamma,e}(K)$ for all $i$. This contradicts the previous theorem. Now, by QE in ACVF, for each $p_i, p_j$, there is a rational function $f_{ij}$ that separates the types $p_i, p_j$. Take $S$ to be defined by $G$ and the corresponding valuative inequalities of $f_{ij}$’s on $V$. Clearly $\text{Stab}^a(p) \subseteq st(S \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K)))$ by Proposition 5.1. Conversely, if $g \cdot a \in S$ and $g \in G(\text{conv}_F(K))$. By the previous part, we see that $g \cdot a = p$, hence $st(g) \cdot a = \mu \cdot p$, hence $st(g) \in \text{Stab}^a(p)$. □

Let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be the types as in the previous theorem, it is not hard to see that for $a \models p$, and if $g \cdot a \models p_i$ for $g \in G(F)$, then $g \cdot S$ computes $\text{Stab}^a(p_i)$ correctly in the sense of the previous theorem. Furthermore, by the previous theorem, for $V$ as in the statement, it is easy to see that $st((V \cap G) \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K)))$ is a finite union of cosets of $\text{Stab}^a(p)$.

Next we argue that $\text{Stab}^a(p)$ is infinite. Assume to the contrary that $\text{Stab}^a(p)$ is finite, then the set $A = \{g_1/g_1 \in G(F), \mu(K) \cdot g_1 \cdot a \cap V \neq \emptyset\} = st((V \cap G) \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K)))$ is finite. Take $\gamma_i$ to be such that $\gamma_i \in \Gamma(F)$ and $G_{>\gamma_i,e}(K) \cap A = \{g_i\}$. Consider $X_i = \{\gamma \in \Gamma(K) \mid \gamma > \gamma_i \exists g \in G_{=\gamma,e}, g \cdot a \in V \Rightarrow tp(g \cdot a/F) = tp(g_i \cdot a/F)\}$. Note that the above set is definable in $K$ by the previous theorem. By definition, $X_i > \Gamma(F)$, take $\gamma_i'$ to be below $X_i$ but above $\Gamma(F)$. Such a point exists by $\alpha$-minimality and the fact that $\Gamma(F)$ is a convex subgroup of $\Gamma(K)$. Hence we see that, working in $K$, $V \cap (\cup_i G_{>\gamma_i,e} \cdot a) = V \cap (\cup_i G_{>\gamma_i,e} \cdot a) = V \cap (\cup_i G_{>\gamma_i',e} \cdot a)$ for any $\Gamma(F) < \gamma_i'' \leq \gamma_i'$. Hence working in $K$, it is easy to see the above set is $v+g$-clopen in $V \cap G$ (say for example by [HL16 Lemma 9.1.1]). So it is a finite union of definably connected components of $V \cap G$. However, both $V$ and $G$ are defined over $F = \text{ACVF}$. Thus the definably connected components of $V \cap G$ are definable over $F$ as well. This implies that the component of $V \cap G$ that contains $a$ is bounded, and it contradicts our assumption that $tp(a/F)$ is an unbounded type. Hence we have that $\text{Stab}^a(p)$ is infinite for $p$ standard and unbounded.

Next we see that $\text{Stab}^a(p)$ is definable in $F$. This would follow from methods in [CKY19] for standard types and the relative QE result of the main theorem. But we give a direct argument here. By the previous theorem, there is $S \subseteq G$ definable over $F$ such that $st(S \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K))) = \text{Stab}^a(p)$. For notational simplicity, we omit $G(\text{conv}_F(K))$. Work in $K$, we see that for $g \in G(F)$, $g \in st(S \cdot a^{-1})$ iff for there $\gamma > \Gamma(F)$ and $\epsilon \in G_{>\gamma,e}(K)$ such that $\epsilon \cdot g \in S \cdot a^{-1}$. Consider the formula $\psi(x, y)$ of the following form

$$x \in G \wedge y \in G \wedge \forall \gamma \exists g \in G_{>\gamma,e} \ g \cdot y \in S \cdot x^{-1}$$

Look at $d_y\psi(y)$, we claim that $d_y\psi(y)$ defines exactly $st(S \cdot a^{-1})$.

**Proof of claim.** If $g \in G(F)$ satisfies $d_y\psi$, this means that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma(F)$, there is $\epsilon \in G_{>\gamma,e}$ such that $\epsilon \cdot g \in S \cdot a^{-1}$. Consider the function definable in $K$, that maps
Let $p$ be a standard unbounded $G$-type, $\text{Stab}^p(g)$ is infinite and definable.

3.1. **Affine algebraic groups.** Given a standard extension $F \preceq K$, one checks easily that $\text{conv}_F(K')$ is a valuation ring in $K$, we use $K'$ to denote the valuation on $K$ induced by $\text{conv}_F(K)$. Note that the standard part map can be viewed as the residue map in $K'$. In this section, we look at the special case when $G$ is an affine algebraic group over $F$. And we will obtain a more detailed description of $\text{Stab}^p(g)$, in terms of both its structure and dimension. The results follow essentially the same method as in [KSY19]. We begin with a corollary of the previous theorem.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let $a \in V$ be $\mu$-reduced, standard and $V$ is the Zariski closure of $a$ over $F$. Then there are finitely many types $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in S_G(F)$ for some $m$ such that if $g \in G(\text{conv}_F(K))$ and $g \cdot a \in V$, then $\text{tp}(g \cdot a/F) = p_i$ for some $i$.

**Corollary 3.6.** If $G$ is affine algebraic, embedded in $\mathbb{A}^n$ as a closed subvariety. Then $\text{Stab}^p(p)$ is an infinite affine algebraic group for $p$ standard and unbounded.

*Proof.* By considering the structure $K'$ and $F$ is embedded as residue field, $\text{st}(V \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K)))$ is definable in $F$ in the pure field language. Note it is also a finite union of cosets of $\text{Stab}^p(p)$. This implies that $\text{Stab}^p(p)$ is affine algebraic. 

We can now start the proof on the dimension of $\mu$-stabilizers. For each $a \in V$ $\mu$-reduced, since $\text{st}(V \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K)))$ will be a finite union of cosets of $\text{Stab}^p(p)$ by the above corollaries, we see that it suffices to show that $\dim \text{st}(V \cdot a^{-1} \cap G(\text{conv}_F(K)))$ has dimension $\dim V$. But this follows from the same arguments as in [KSY19] Subsection 4.2 and 4.3] by working in $K'$. Recall here that $\text{st}$ is the residue map in $K'$ and $V$ and $G$ are both definable over $K$ in the pure field language, thus they are $K'$ definable as well. The following can be obtained easily by the main theorem in [CKY19].

**Corollary 3.7.** If $p$ is $\mu$-reduced and standard, and $G$ is affine algebraic, then $\dim(p) = \dim \text{Stab}^p(p)$.

*Proof.* The proof is the same as in [KSY19]. In this case, the variety $V$ lives entirely in $G$ so the special fiber has the same dimension as $V$, which is a finite union of cosets of $\text{Stab}^p(p)$.

**3.2. Structure of $\text{Stab}^p(p)$.** In this section, we analyze the structure of $\text{Stab}^p(p)$. Let’s assume that $G$ is affine algebraic for the section. Just as in [KSY19] Subsection 4.4], we have the following.
Lemma 3.8. Let \( p \in S_G(F) \) be standard and let \( H \) be a \( F \)-definable Zariski-closed subgroup of \( G \) with \( p \in H \). Then \( \text{Stab}^\mu(p) \) computed in \( G \) and in \( H \) coincide.

The following is the Iwaswa Decomposition over non-archimedean fields, it can be found in [Bum98, Proposition 4.5.2].

Theorem 3.9. Let \( G \) be a reductive linear group over \( F \), then there is a solvable group \( H \) over \( F \) such that \( G(K) = G(\text{conv}_F(K)) \cdot H(K) \). As a particular case, there is a solvable linear group \( H \subseteq GL(n,K) \) over \( F \) such that \( GL(n, K) = GL(n, \text{conv}_F(K)) \cdot H(K) \).

Using the above, we have

Theorem 3.10. Let \( p \) be a \( G \)-type over \( F \) unbounded and standard, where \( G \) is affine algebraic, then \( \text{Stab}^\mu(p) \) is solvable.

Proof. The same as [KSY19, Theorem 4.20].
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