NON-OPENNESS OF $V$-ADIC GALOIS REPRESENTATION FOR $A$-MOTIVES

MAIKE ELLA ELISABETH FRANTZEN

Abstract. Drinfeld-modules and $A$-motives are the function field analogues of elliptic curves and abelian varieties. For the latter one can construct the $l$-adic Galois representation and can ask if its image is open. For Drinfeld-modules this question was answered by Pink and his coauthors. We clarify the rank one case and show that the image of Galois is open if and only if the virtual dimension is prime to the characteristic of the ground field.
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1. Introduction

Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $d$ over a number field $K$, where $K$ is fixed inside $\mathbb{C}$. For any rational prime $l$, the $l$-adic Tate-module of $A$ is given by $T_l A = \lim_{\leftarrow} A[l^{n+1}] (K^{\text{sep}})$, for $K^{\text{sep}}$ being a separable closure of $K$. It is a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module of rank $2d$ and carries a natural $G_K := \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$-action. The rational $l$-adic Tate-module is the $2d$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}_l$ given by $V_l A := T_l A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \mathbb{Q}_l$. This defines a natural representation $\rho_l : G_K \to \text{Aut}(T_l A)$ contained in $\text{Aut}(V_l A) \cong \text{GL}_{2d} (\mathbb{Q}_l)$. This is called the $l$-adic Galois representation attached to an abelian variety $A$. Another algebraic group associated to $A$ is the Mumford-Tate group $\text{MT}(A)$. It is a $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$, where $V := H_1 (A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ is the first rational homology group of $A$. The Mumford-Tate conjecture asks if the Zariski closure of $\rho_l (G_K)$ is equal to the Mumford-Tate group $\text{MT}(A)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_l$. One can furthermore ask, if an open subgroup of $\rho_l (G_K)$ is also an open subgroup of the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-valued Mumford Tate group.

The same question can be asked in the theory function field arithmetic. Here elliptic curves and abelian varieties are replaced by Drinfeld modules and $A$-motives. In the Drinfeld case, the above question was answered by Richard Pink in [13]. Here he proves the Mumford-Tate conjecture for Drinfeld modules by showing the openness for the Galois representation. As higher generalization of Drinfeld modules, Greg Anderson defined in [1] abelian $t$-modules and their dual notion of $t$-motives. For these objects Andreas Maurischat gives a result on Galois openness in [11]. There, he defines a density for the representation [11 definition 3.1] and relies the $t$-adically non-openness to this density [11 proposition 3.2]. Note that our main example, the Carlitz-module [2.7], has density 1, which coincides with our result.

These objects can be slightly generalized to $A$-motives. To define them, let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $q$ elements and odd prime characteristic $p$. Then let $\mathcal{C}$ be a smooth projective and geometrically irreducible curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $\infty$ be a fixed closed point of $\mathcal{C}$. Denote by
$A := \Gamma(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\infty\}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C})$ the ring of regular functions of $\mathcal{C}$ outside $\infty$ and by $Q = \mathbb{F}_q(\mathcal{C})$ its fraction field.

Let $L$ be a finite field extension of $Q$, fixed in the algebraic closure $Q^{alg}$ of $Q$ and set $A_L := A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} L$ and $\sigma = id_A \otimes \text{Frob}_q$ $\mathcal{O}_L$. We consider for an $A_L$-module $M$ the pullback $\sigma^* M := M \otimes_{A_L} A_L$ and for a $A_L$-homomorphism $f : M \to N$ the pullback homomorphism $\sigma^* f := f \otimes id_A : \sigma^* M \to \sigma^* N$. Set $\gamma : A \to L$ for the embedding of $A \subset Q \subset L$ and consider $J := (a \in 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a) | a \in A)$ as the maximal ideal in $A_L$. Then $\gamma$ can be reconstructed as $A \to A_L/J = L$ with $a \mapsto a \mod J$. Thus we define the $A$-characteristic of $L$ to be the ideal $\epsilon := \ker \gamma \subseteq A$.

**Definition 1.1.** An $A$-motive $\overline{M}$ over $L$ is a pair $(M, \tau_M) \in \text{LocFreeA}(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of a locally free $A_L$-module $M$ of rank $r$ and an isomorphism $\tau_M : \sigma^* M |_{\text{Spec} A_L \setminus \mathcal{V}(J)} \to M |_{\text{Spec} A_L \setminus \mathcal{V}(J)}$ of the associated sheaves outside $\mathcal{V}(J) \subseteq \text{Spec} A_L$.

The rank of $\overline{M}$ is the rank of the $A_L$-module $M$ and denoted by $\text{rk} \overline{M}$. The (virtual) dimension $\dim A \overline{M}$ is defined as

$$\dim A \overline{M} := \dim LM / (M \cap \tau_M(\sigma^* M)) - \dim L \tau_M(\sigma^* M) / (M \cap \tau_M(\sigma^* M)) .$$

A morphism of $A$-motives $f : \overline{M} \to \overline{N}$ is an $A_L$-homomorphism $f : M \to N$ satisfying $f \circ \tau_M = \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f$. We denote by $\text{Hom}_A(\overline{M}, \overline{N})$ the set of morphism. An $A$-motive $\overline{M}$ is called effective if $\tau_M$ comes from an $A_L$-homomorphism $\sigma^* M \to M$. An effective $A$-motive has virtual dimension $d \geq 0$ given by the dimension of $\text{coker} \tau_M$.

With an $A$-motive one can associate $v$-adic Galois representations in the following way: Let $A_v$ be the $v$-adic completion of $A$ at a closed point $v \neq \infty$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and let $Q_v$ be its fraction field. The $A$-motive has an associated $v$-adic etale realization $\mathbb{H}_v^1(M, A_v)$ (Tate-module) defined by

$$\mathbb{H}_v^1(M, A_v) := \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}v} : (M \otimes_{A_L} A_{v, L^{\text{sep}}})^\flat,$$

see definition 3.1 and example 2.5 for the definition of $A_{v, L}$. This group is also called $\text{Hom}_{A'}( \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbb{M}_v)$ and let $\mathbb{M}_v := (M \otimes_{A_L} A_{v, L^{\text{sep}}})^\flat \otimes_{A_v} Q_v$.

This factors through its Zariski closure $H^1_M$ in $A_v$, its 'monodromy group'. This group is also an algebraic group over $Q_v$, see for example [9] Lem. 4.2. The image of the $v$-adic Galois representation $\rho_{\mathcal{M}_v}$ attached to the $v$-adic etale realization (Tate-module) can be a non-open in its Zariski closure. Note that also in the number field case, a compact subgroup can be non-open in its Zariski closure if the closure is not semisimple, see [10]. The aim of this paper is to give a criterion for this phenomena for rank one $A$-motives and conclude a condition for the Galois openness of $A$-motives of higher rank.

**Overview.** We start in the second and third section with a brief introduction to a local object associated to an $A$-motive, a so-called local shtuka. Here we define Tate-modules and their associated Galois representation. Then section four deals with the Tannakian theory of the category of shtukas. We also introduce the construction of Tannakian lattices and their associated Tannakian theory. In the last section, we formulate the main results of this paper. If $R$ is a valuation ring of a complete, discretely valued field, then they are as follows:

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $\overline{M}$ be a local shtuka of rank 1 and virtual dimension $d > 0$ over $R$ and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Let $d' \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the largest number such that $d \geq p^e \cdot d'$ holds for $p = \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $p \leq d'$ and $e \geq 0$. Then $\rho_{\mathbb{M}}(\hat{G}(K))$ is contained and open in $\text{GL}_1(\mathbb{F}_\ell[2])$. In particular, $\rho_{\mathbb{M}}(\hat{G}(K))$ is open in $H^1(M, Q_v)$ if and only if $p \not| d$. 

Proposition 5.5. Let $\hat{M}$ be a local shtuka of rank $r \geq 1$ and dimension $d$ over $R$. If $\text{char}(F_q)|d$ then $\rho_{\hat{M}}(G_K) \subseteq \text{GL}_r(F_q[[z]])$ is not open.

Corollary 5.8. Let $\hat{M}$ be a $A$-motive of rank $r$ and dimension $d$ over a finite field extension $L$ over $Q$. If $\text{char}(F_q)|d$ then $\rho_{\hat{M}}(G_K) \subseteq \text{GL}_r(F_q[[z]])$ is not open.

2. Local shtukas

In this section we introduce local shtukas which can be attached to $A$-motives. When we define the Tate module of an $A$-motive this structure is somewhat in between. However, in contrast to the Tate module, it can be defined for all places of $C$. So we will first give a general introduction to local shtukas and will explain their connection to $A$-motives in example 2.5.

Let $A$ and $Q$ be as in the introduction. Let $K$ be a field, which is complete with respect to a non-trivial and non-archimedean absolute value $| \cdot | : K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Assume further that its value group $[K^\times]$ is discrete in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and let $K^\text{alg}$ and $K^\text{sep}$ a fixed algebraic and separable closure of $K$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{x \in K : |x| \leq 1\}$ be the valuation ring of $K$, $\mathfrak{m}_R = \{x \in K : |x| < 1\}$ be the maximal ideal of $R$ and $k := R/\mathfrak{m}_R$ its residue field. Let $\gamma : A \to R$ be an injective ring morphism from $A$ to $R$. It induces a homomorphism $A \to k$. Denote by $v = \gamma^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_R)$ its induced kernel. We assume that $v$ is a maximal ideal. For example $K$ can be the completion of a finite field extension $L$ of $Q$ at a place above $v$.

Denote by $F_v := A/v$ the residue field of $A$ at $v$ and set $q_v := #F_v$. We fix a uniformizing parameter $z := z_v$ at $O_{C,v}$. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism between the completion of $O_{C,v}$, denoted by $A_v$, and $F_v[[z]]$; see [7, Lem. 1.2 & 1.3]. The canonical isomorphism also implies $O_v \cong F_v((z))$. The homomorphism $\gamma$ extends by continuity to a homomorphism $A_v \to R$ and set $\zeta := \gamma(z)$.

Let $R[[z]]$ be the ring of formal power series in the variable $z$ over $R$. We set $\hat{\sigma} := \sigma[F_{v},F_{v}]$ as the endomorphism with $\hat{\sigma}(z) = z$ and $\hat{\sigma}(a) = a^{q_v}$ for $a \in R$. For a $R[[z]]$-module $M$ set $\hat{\sigma}^M := M \otimes_{R[[z]],\hat{\sigma}} R[[z]], M[\frac{1}{z-\zeta}] := M \otimes_{R[[z]]} R[[z]][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$ and also $M[\frac{1}{z}] := M \otimes_{R[[z]]} R[[z]][\frac{1}{z}]$.

Definition 2.1. A local $\hat{\sigma}$-shtuka (or local shtuka) over $R$ of rank $r$ is a pair $\hat{M} = (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}})$ consisting of a free $R[[z]]$-module $\hat{M}$ of rank $r$ and an isomorphism

$$\tau_{\hat{M}} : \hat{\sigma}^\hat{M} \left[ \frac{1}{z-\zeta} \right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{M} \left[ \frac{1}{z-\zeta} \right].$$

A morphism of local shtukas $f : \hat{M} = (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}}) \to \hat{N} = (\hat{N}, \tau_{\hat{N}})$ over $R$ is a morphism of $R[[z]]$-modules $f : \hat{M} \to \hat{N}$ satisfying $\tau_{\hat{N}} \circ \hat{\sigma}^\hat{M} f = f \circ \tau_{\hat{M}}$. We denote the set of morphism by $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sht}}(\hat{M}, \hat{N})$. The category of local shtukas over $R$ is denoted $\text{Sht}_{A_v,R}$.

A quasi-morphism between local shtukas $f : \hat{M} = (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}}) \to \hat{N} = (\hat{N}, \tau_{\hat{N}})$ over $R$ is a morphism of $R((z))$-modules $f : \hat{M} \left[ \frac{1}{z} \right] \to \hat{N} \left[ \frac{1}{z} \right]$ satisfying $\tau_{\hat{N}} \circ \hat{\sigma}^\hat{M} f = f \circ \tau_{\hat{M}}$. A quasi-morphism is called quasi-isogeny if it is an isomorphism of $R((z))$-modules. We denote the set of quasi-morphism by $\text{QHom}_{\text{Sht}}(\hat{M}, \hat{N})$. The category with local shtukas as objects and $\text{QHom}_{\text{Sht}}(\hat{M}, \hat{N})$ as Hom-set is denoted by $\text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}$.

If $\tau_{\hat{M}}(\hat{\sigma}^\hat{M} M) \subseteq M$ then $\hat{M}$ is called effective. If furthermore $\tau_{\hat{M}}(\hat{\sigma}^\hat{M} M) = \hat{M}$ holds, then $\hat{M}$ is called étale.

By [S, Cor. 4.5] $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sht}}(\hat{M}, \hat{N})$ is a finite free $A_v$-module of rank at most $\text{rk} \hat{M}, \text{rk} \hat{N}$. Furthermore, $\text{QHom}_{\text{Sht}}(\hat{M}, \hat{N}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Sht}}(\hat{M}, \hat{N}) \otimes_{A_v} Q_v$ holds.

Lemma 2.2. ([S, Lem. 2.3]) Let $\hat{M} = (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}})$ be a local shtuka of rank $r$ over $R$.

1. There is an integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{det} \tau_{\hat{M}} \in (z - \zeta)^d R[[z]]$.

2. If $\hat{M}$ is effective the integer from (1) satisfies $d \geq 0$ and $\hat{M}/\tau_{\hat{M}}(\hat{\sigma}^\hat{M} M)$ is a free $R$-module of rank $d$ which is annihilated by $(z - \zeta)^d$.

Definition 2.3. The integer $d$ defined by the above lemma is called the dimension of $\hat{M}$ and denoted by $\text{dim} \hat{M}$. 
Corollary 2.4. Every local shtuka $\hat{M}$ over $R$ is isomorphism to the tensor product of a power of the Carlitz shtuka over $R$ and an effective local shtuka over $R$. In the case of rank 1 local shtukas, we have $\hat{M} = (R[z], c \cdot (z - \zeta)^d)$ for a $c \in R[z]^\times$.

Proof. With Lemma 2.2 every local shtuka $\hat{M} \in \text{Sht}_{F_q((z))}$ is effective after tensoring it with $\mathbb{F}_q(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\hat{M} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q(n) =: \hat{M}'$ is effective. Thus $\hat{M} \cong \hat{M}' \otimes \mathbb{F}_q(-n)$.

A rich class of examples is given by the category of $A$-motives in the following way:

Example 2.5. Let $L$ be a finite extension of $Q$ as in the introduction, let $K$ be a completion of $L$ at a place $w$ lying above $A$ and let $R$ be a valuation ring of $K$. An $A$-motive $\hat{M}$ over $R$ is a pair $(\hat{M}, \tau_M)$, consisting of a locally free $A_R := A \otimes R$-module $\hat{M}$ of finite rank and an isomorphism $\tau_M : \sigma^* \hat{M}|_{\text{Spec} A_R \setminus V(J)} \to \hat{M}|_{\text{Spec} A_R \setminus V(J)}$ of the associated sheaves outside the vanishing locus of the ideal $J := (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a) | a \in A) = \ker(\gamma \otimes id_R : A \to R)$. An $A$-motive $\hat{M}$ over $R$ has a good model over $R$, if there exists an $A$-motive $\hat{M}$ over $R$ satisfying $\hat{M} \otimes R \rightarrow M$ is an $A$-motive over $R/m_R$. Note that the $A$-motive $\hat{M}$ over $R$ always has generic $A$-characteristic and the reduction has $A$-characteristic $v = \ker(A \to m_R)$. We denote by $A_{w,R}$ the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{C_w}$ at the closed subscheme $v \times \text{Spec} R$ and by $\mathbb{Q}_{v,R} = A_{w,R}[v^{-1}])$ its fraction field. We do not assume that $v \times \text{Spec} R$ consists of one point. Thus, to give the associated shtuka to an $A$-motive over $R$, we are required to take $f_v := [\mathbb{F}_v : \mathbb{F}_q] \geq 1$ into considerations. If $f_v = 1$ then there is only one point over $v$ and the fields $\mathbb{F}_v \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ are isomorphic, with $q_v = q$, $\sigma = \sigma^{|v}$ and hence $A_{w,R} = R[\frac{1}{z}]$ holds. Thus we associate with $\hat{M}$ the local shtuka defined by $\hat{M}((\hat{M})) := \hat{M} \otimes_{A_R} R[\frac{1}{z}] = (\hat{M} \otimes R[\frac{1}{z}], \tau_M \otimes \text{id}_{R[\frac{1}{z}]})$ over $R$.

Now let $f_v > 1$, then it gets more complicated as $A_{w,R} = \text{not integral domain}$; compare [2] after Prop. 4.8. Consider the extension $\gamma : A_v \rightarrow R$ and define for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/f_v\mathbb{Z}$ the ideals $a_i := (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a)^i | a \in A_v)$ in $A_{w,R}$. As $\mathbb{F}_v$ is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_v$, it is given by some element $a \in \mathbb{F}_v \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\mathbb{F}_v = \mathbb{F}_q(a)$ and minimal polynomial $m_a$. Thus $m_a$ divides the polynomial $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/f_v\mathbb{Z}}(X - a^i)$, in which each factor represents an $a_i$ and hence $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/f_v\mathbb{Z}} a_i = 0$. By the Chinese remainder theorem we have the decomposition

$$A_{w,R} = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/f_v\mathbb{Z}} A_{w,R}/a_i$$

and a similar decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}_{v,R}$. The ideals $a_i$ corresponds to the places $w_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_{C,w}$ lying above $v$. Each factor of the decomposition is canonically isomorphic to $R[\frac{1}{z}]$ and is permuted by $\sigma$ as $\sigma(a_i) = a_{i+1}$ holds. In particular $\sigma^{|v}$ fixes each factor. Under the above decomposition $J$ splits up as $J : A_{w,R}/a_0 = (z - \zeta)$ and $J : A_{w,R}/a_i = (1)$ for $i \neq 0$. Thus we associate with $\hat{M}$ the local shtuka defined by $\hat{M}((\hat{M})) := \hat{M} \otimes_{A_R} A_{v,R}/a_0 = (M \otimes_{A_R} A_{v,R}/a_0, (\tau_M \otimes \text{id})^{|v})$ where $\tau_M^{|v} := \tau_M \circ \sigma^{|v} \tau_M \circ \cdots \circ \sigma^{f_v-1}|v \tau_M$.

Definition 2.6. The local $\hat{\sigma}$-shtuka associated at $v$ to an $A$-motive $\hat{M}$ over a valuation ring $R$ is defined as

$$\hat{M}((\hat{M})) := \hat{M} \otimes_{A_R} A_{v,R} = (M \otimes_{A_R} A_{v,R}, (\tau_M \otimes \text{id}_{A_{v,R}})^{|v})$$

where $f_v = [\mathbb{F}_v : \mathbb{F}_q]$ and $\tau_M^{|v} := \tau_M \circ \sigma^{|v} \tau_M \circ \cdots \circ \sigma^{f_v-1}|v \tau_M$.

The local shtuka associated at $v$ to an $A$-motive in the above way preserves effectiveness and etaleness, see [3] Ex. 2.2 and has rank $\text{rk} \hat{M}((\hat{M})) = \text{rk} \hat{M}$ and dimension $\text{dim} \hat{M}((\hat{M})) = \text{dim} \hat{M}$.

Example 2.7. Let $C = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, $Q = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ and let $L = \mathbb{F}_q(\vartheta)$. Then $\gamma : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q(\vartheta)$ is given by $t \mapsto \vartheta$ and induces an isomorphism $Q \cong L$. The Carlitz $A$-motive is defined as the $A$-motive

$$C = (L[t], (t - \vartheta))$$
over $L$. Consider the ideal $v = (z(t))$ generated by a monic irreducible polynomial $z(t) \in A$ of arbitrary degree. The ideal $v$ is maximal and set $F_v := A/(z)$. Let $A_v$ be the completion $\mathcal{O}_{L,v}$ at $v$ and hence there exists the canonical isomorphism $F_v[z] \cong A_v$.

Let $\zeta$ be the image of $z$ under $\gamma$ and consider the valuation ring $F = F_v[\zeta]$. Denote again by $\vartheta$ the image of $t$. The Carlitz $A$-motive $\hat{C}$ has a good model over $R$ with good reduction given by the $A$-motive $\hat{C} = (R[t], (t - \vartheta))$ over $R$. The associated local shtuka is given by

$$\hat{C} := \hat{M}_v(\hat{C}) = (R[z], z - \zeta)$$

regardless of the degree of $z(t)$, see [3 Ex. 2.7].

Remark 2.8. Note that the Carlitz shtuka defined above is exactly the Tate object in the category $\text{Sht}_{A_v,R}$ and $\text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}$. Therefore, we will denote it by $\hat{C}$. It has rank and dimension 1. A tensor power is given by $\hat{C}^\otimes n := (R[z], (z - \zeta)^n)$ and has the same rank as $\hat{C}$ but dimension $n$.

3. Tate modules and Galois representation

We keep the notation from the last section and let $\hat{M}$ be a local shtuka over $R$. This implies that $\tau_M$ is an isomorphism over the extension to $K[z]$. So $\hat{M} \otimes_{R[z]} K[z]$ is an étale local shtuka and we have the following definition:

**Definition 3.1.** The (dual) Tate module of a local shtuka $\hat{M}$ over $R$ is defined as

$$\hat{T}_v \hat{M} := (\hat{M} \otimes_{R[z]} K^{\text{sep}}[z])^\vee := \{ m \in \hat{M} \otimes_{R[z]} K^{\text{sep}}[z] | \tau_M(\hat{\sigma}^*(m) = m) \}$$

and the rational Tate module is defined as

$$\hat{V}_v \hat{M} := \{ m \in \hat{M} \otimes_{R[z]} K^{\text{sep}}[z] | \tau_M(\hat{\sigma}^*(m) = m) \} = \hat{T}_v \hat{M} \otimes_{A_v} Q_v$$

The name dual comes from the following reason. If $\hat{M} = \hat{M}(\hat{M})$ comes from an $A$-motive $\hat{M}$ as in example [2.3] and if $\hat{M} = \hat{M}(\hat{\varphi})$ is the $A$-motive associated to a Drinfeld-module $\hat{\varphi}$, then $\hat{T}_v \hat{M}$ is dual to the Tate-module $T_v \hat{\varphi} := \lim_{\searrow} \hat{\varphi}[v^n]$ of $\hat{\varphi}$, see [3 Prop. 4.8].

They are also called the the $v$-adic realization of $\hat{M}$ and thus are denoted by $H_1^v(\hat{M}, A_v) := \hat{T}_v \hat{M}$.

The Tate-module of the associated local shtuka to an $A$-motive and the Tate-module of the $A$-motive are isomorphic, see [3 Prop. 4.6]. However the former has a continuous $G_K$- and the latter a continuous $GL$-action. It is also shown in [3 Prop. 4.2] that $\hat{T}_v \hat{M}$ is a free $A_v$-module of rank equal to $\text{rk} \hat{M}$ and that $\hat{V}_v \hat{M}$ is a $Q_v$-vector space of dimension also equal to $\text{rk} \hat{M}$. Then Hartl and Kim shows that $\hat{M} \otimes_{R[z]} K[z]$ can be recovered by the Galois invariants $(\hat{T}_v \hat{M} \otimes_{A_v} K^{\text{sep}}[z]) \hat{\otimes}_K$ via the canonical isomorphism

$$\hat{T}_v \hat{M} \otimes_{A_v} K^{\text{sep}}[z] \hat{\otimes}_K \hat{M} \otimes_{R[z]} K^{\text{sep}}[z].$$

To give an $A_v$-basis for the (rational) Tate module of $\hat{M} := (\hat{M}, \tau_M)$ over $R$, set $r = \text{rk} \hat{M}$. We choose a $R[z]$-basis of $\hat{M}$ and denote by $T$ the structure homomorphism $\tau_M$ with respect to this basis.

Note that $T \in GL_r(R[z], \frac{1}{(z \frac{d}{dz})})$. Then a $F_v[z]$-basis $U \in GL_r(K^{\text{sep}}[z])$ for the Tate module has to satisfy by definition $T(\hat{\sigma}(U)) = U$. In the proof of [3 Proposition 4.2 & Remark 4.3] the authors shows that $U$ is already in $K(\frac{d}{dz})$. Then by [3 Lemma 5.22] one can solve for an effective local shtuka the equation $\hat{\sigma}(X) = X \cdot T$ for $X \in \mathcal{O}_{K^{\text{sep}}[z]}^{\text{frv}}$ and hence $U$ is the inverse of the solution.

**Example 3.2.** We continue with the Carlitz shtuka $\hat{C} = (R[z], (z - \zeta))$ from example [2.7]. The rank of $\hat{C}$ is $r = 1$, so after choosing a $R[z]$-basis for $\hat{C}$ we get $T = \tau_{\hat{C},R[z]} = (z - \zeta)$. Let
\(K = \text{Frac}(R)\). Then an inverse element \(x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i z^i \in GL_1(K^{\text{sep}}[z])\) of the Tate-module basis has to satisfy
\[
x_0^{-1} = -\zeta \\
x_i + \zeta x_i = x_{i-1} \text{ for } i > 0
\]
(3)
In what follows these equations are considered as Carlitz-Tate equations. Let \(l_i \in K^{\text{sep}}\) be the solutions of the Carlitz-Tate equations for \(i \geq 0\) and set \(l_+ := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l_i z^i \in \mathcal{O}_{K^{\text{sep}}}[z]\). The element satisfies \(\sigma(l_+) = (z-\zeta)l_+\). Then a basis \(u\) for \(\hat{T}_v\mathcal{C}\) is defined by \(u = l_+^{-1}\) which implies \(\hat{T}_v\mathcal{C} = l_+^{-1} A_v\) and \(\hat{V}_v\mathcal{C} = l_+^{-1} Q_v\). The basis depends on the choice of \(l_i\) and a different choice leads to a different power series which is a multiple of \(l_+\) and an unit of \((K^{\text{sep}}[z])^\sigma = A_v^\times\), cf. [8, Ex. 4.10]. The canonical isomorphism \(\Phi\) is given by sending the generator \(l_+^{-1}\) of \(\hat{T}_v\mathcal{M}\) to the same element in \((K^{\text{sep}})^\times\).

We define the covariant functors induced by the Tate modules as
\[
\hat{T}_v : \text{Sh}_A, R \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cont}}^A(\mathcal{G}_K), \quad \hat{M} \mapsto (\rho : \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow GL(\hat{T}_v\mathcal{M})) \\
\hat{V}_v : \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{C}Q}, R \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cont}}^\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}_K), \quad \hat{M} \mapsto (\rho : \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow GL(\hat{V}_v\mathcal{M})).
\]
The category \(\text{Rep}_{\text{cont}}^\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}_K)\) of continuous \(\mathcal{G}_K\)-representation is a neutral Tannakian category with fiber functor \(\omega_{\text{rep}} : \text{Rep}_{\text{cont}}^\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}_K) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathcal{C}Q}\), given by \((\rho : \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow GL(V)) \mapsto V\). Let \(\hat{M}\) be a local shtuka, then we can associate a Tannakian subcategory \(\langle \langle \hat{V}_v\mathcal{M} \rangle \rangle\) of \(\text{Rep}_{\text{cont}}^\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}_K)\). Note that it makes no difference in which shtuka category \(\hat{M}\) lives, as the natural transformation \(\mathcal{M} \mapsto \langle \langle \hat{V}_v\mathcal{M} \rangle \rangle\) or more to the point in the centralizer of \(Q\text{End}(\hat{M})\) in \(GL(\hat{V}_v\mathcal{M})\).

For all \(g \in \mathcal{G}_K\), \(\rho(g)\) is already an automorphism of \(\hat{V}_v\mathcal{M}\) over \(Q_v\). Moreover they are already automorphism on the subcategory generated by \(\hat{V}_v\mathcal{M}\) and \(\rho(g)\) is an element of \(\text{Aut}^\nabla(\omega_{\text{rep}}\langle \langle \hat{V}_v\mathcal{M} \rangle \rangle)\) and so in \(H_{\hat{M}}\).

**Definition 3.3.** We define the *Galois representation of a local shtuka* \(\hat{M}\) of rank \(r\) as the representation
\[
\rho_{\hat{M}} := \rho_{\hat{M}, g} : \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow H_{\hat{M}}(Q_v).
\]
If we choose a basis for a local shtuka \(\hat{M}\) and a basis \(U\) for its Tate-module \(\hat{T}_v\mathcal{M}\), then it also follows by [8, Remark 4.3] that \(U \in GL_r(K^{\text{sep}}[z])\). Thus \(U\) is a basis transformation matrix for \(H_{\hat{M}}(Q_v)\) with \(U^{-1} g(U) \in GL_r(A_v)\) for a \(g \in \mathcal{G}_K\). Then \(\rho_{\hat{M}}\) is given by \(g \mapsto U^{-1} g(U)\) for a \(g \in \mathcal{G}_K\).

By [9, Lem. 4.2] the algebraic group \(H_{\hat{M}}\) is the Zariski closure of \(\rho_{\hat{M}}(\mathcal{G}_K)\) in \(GL_{Q_v}(\hat{V}_v\mathcal{M})\).

**Example 3.4.** Continue with example 3.3 of the Carlitz shtuka \(\check{C}\). We have chosen an uniformizer \(z\) and hence have \(A_v \cong \mathbb{F}_v[z]\) and \(K = \mathbb{F}_v((\zeta))\) as \(R\) contains \(\mathbb{F}_v[\zeta]\). Then set \(K_n := \mathbb{F}_v((\zeta))(l_0, \ldots, l_{-1})\) and \(K^{\infty} := \mathbb{F}_v((\zeta))(l_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0)\) for the field extension of \(K\) by the solutions of the Carlitz-Tate equations. This field tower is the function field analogous of the cyclotomic tower \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{1})i \in \mathbb{N}_0\) and we call it the Carlitz-Tate towers. Then we get the so called \(v\)-adic cyclotomic character
\[
\rho_{\hat{C}} : \text{Gal}(K^{\infty}/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_v[\zeta][\zeta^{-1}] = \mathbb{G}_m[\mathbb{F}_v[\zeta]] = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_v[\zeta]}(\hat{T}_v\mathcal{M}) \\
g \mapsto l_+ g(l_+)^{-1} = \rho_C(g).
\]
which satisfies \(g(l_+)^{-1} = \rho_C(g) \cdot l_+^{-1}\) for all \(g \in \text{Gal}(K^{\infty}/K)\). Note that, as \(\hat{C}\) has rank 1, \(\mathbb{G}_m\) is the only canonical choice for \(H_{\hat{C}}\).
The reason for the isomorphism (11) is that $K_n$ is totally ramified over $K$ of degree $(q-1)q^n$, see [3] Example 4.10. In particular, if $K^{un} = \mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ is the completion of the maximal unramified field extension, then still $K_n \cdot K^{un}$ is totally ramified over $K^{un}$ of degree $(q-1)q^n$. So the isomorphism (11) also holds for $K^{un}$ instead of $K$.

4. Tannakian theory on Sht

The categories $\text{Sht}_{A_v, R}$ and $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$ are additive, have internal homs, a tensor structure and are rigid, which all descents from their $R[[z]]$-module structure. To be more precise, let $\hat{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ and $\hat{N} = (N, \tau_N)$ be two local shtukas over $R$. The internal Homs $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ are defined for the modules $\hat{M}$ and $\hat{N}$ as the usual $\text{Hom}_{R[[z]]}(\hat{M}, \hat{N})$ and the shtuka structure is given by $h \mapsto \tau_N \circ h \circ \tau_M^{-1}$ for $h \in \sigma^* \text{Hom}(\hat{M}, \hat{N})$. In the same way is the tensor product given by the tensor product of the modules $\hat{M} \otimes_R \hat{N}$ and the shtuka structure by the tensor product $\tau_M \otimes \tau_N$. The unit element associated to the tensor structure is the shtuka $\mathbb{1}(0) := (R[[z]], id_{R[[z]]})$. We define a tensor power of an local shtuka $\hat{M}$ by $\hat{M}^\otimes n := \mathbb{1}(0)$ and $\hat{M}^\vee := \hat{M}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \hat{M}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The dual $\hat{M}^\vee := \text{Hom}_{R[[z]]}(\hat{M}, \mathbb{1}(0))$ consists of the module $\hat{M}^\vee := \text{Hom}_{R[[z]]}(R[[z]], \hat{M})$ and the isomorphism $(\tau_M)^{-1}$.

Proposition 4.1. The categories $\text{Sht}_{A_v, R}$ and $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$ are rigid additive tensor category over $A_v$ and respectively $Q_v$. Furthermore $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$ is abelian.

Proof. By additivity and [3 1.15] is $\text{Sht}_{A_v, R}$ a $A_v$-linear and $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$ a $Q_v$-linear categories. The endomorphism ring of $\text{Sht}_{A_v, R}$ is given by $\text{End}_{\text{Sht}_{A_v, R}}(\mathbb{1}(0)) = A_v$ and of $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$ by $\text{End}_{\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}}(\mathbb{1}(0)) = Q_v$.

Let $\hat{M}, \hat{N} \in \text{Sht}_{A_v, R}$ and $f : \hat{M} \to \hat{N}$. Consider the kernel and image of $f$ in the category of free modules $\text{Mod}_{A_v}^f$. As submodules of $\hat{M}$ and $\hat{N}$ they have a shtuka-structure. The cokernel in $\text{Mod}_{A_v}^f$ is given by the usual cokernel modulo torsion. It also inherits the shtuka structure of $\hat{N}$ as submodule. However the natural morphism $\text{Cokernel} f \to \text{Image} f$ is only an isogeny. Thus only an isomorphism in $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$, which was to show.

To relate the abelian theory of $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$ to $\text{Sht}_{A_v, R}$, we introduce so called abelian and Tannakian lattices; compare [15] and [3].

Definition 4.2. Let $\tau : S \to S'$ be a ring homomorphism of Dedekind rings and let $C$ be an $S$-linear category. We define the local scalar extension $C$ along $\tau$ as the category $C_{S'}$ with the same object class as $C$ and $\text{Hom}$-set of two objects $X, Y \in C_{S'}$ given by

$$\text{Hom}_{C_{S'}}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_C(X, Y) \otimes_R S'$$

Thus $C_{S'}$ is an $S'$-linear category with a $S'$-linear functor $C \to C_{S'}$.

We define the local fraction extension of $C$ as the extension $C_{\text{Frac}(S)}$.

Obviously the extension the functor $C \to C_{S'}$ is essential surjective. If $\tau$ is flat, it preserves epi- and monomorphism and the converse holds if $\tau$ is faithfully flat, see [15 §3.6]. If $C$ is a monoidal category, then $C_S$ also, see [15 §3.8]. A $S$-linear functor $s : C \to D$ induces a $S'$-linear functor $s_{S'} : C_{S'} \to D_{S'}$.

Definition 4.3. An abelian lattice $\Lambda$ over a Dedekind ring $S$ is a $S$-linear additive symmetric monoidal category $\Lambda$ with an isomorphism $\Lambda \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{1}_A)$ and in which kernels and images exist, such that the local fraction extension $\Lambda_{\text{Frac}(S)}$ is a rigid abelian monoidal category over $\text{Frac}(S)$.

The existence of images is in the sense that for each morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $C$ an image factorization exists. That is the most general definition of an image and follows in the case of local shtukas from the existence of the cokernel.
**Corollary 4.4.** \(\text{Sht}_{A_v,R} \) is a rigid abelian tensor lattice over \(A_v\) with local fraction extension \((\text{Sht}_{A_v,R})_Q_v = \text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}\).

**Proof.** This follows by definition 2.11 and proposition 4.3.

**Definition 4.5.** [2.2.2] Let \(S\) be a Dedekind ring. A neutral Tannakian lattice \(\Lambda\) over \(S\) is a rigid abelian lattice \(\Lambda\) equipped with a \(S\)-linear additive tensor functor \(\omega : \Lambda \to \text{Mod}_S\), such that \(\omega\) is faithful, preserves kernels and images and is fully faithful restricted to the monoidal subcategory generated by the unit element.

**Proposition 4.6.** The category \(\text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}\) is a neutral Tannakian category with fiber functor \(\omega_{\text{Sht}_{Q_v}} := \hat{V}_v : \text{Sht}_{Q_v,R} \to \text{Vec}_{Q_v}\) and the category \(\text{Sht}_{A_v,R}\) is a neutral Tannakian lattice with fiber functor \(\omega_{\text{Sht}_{A_v}} := \hat{T}_v : \text{Sht}_{A_v,R} \to \text{Mod}_{A_v}\).

**Proof.** With [8] Theorem 4.20 both functors are fully faithful and hence fiber functors in the sense described above.

By Tannakian duality one can associate to \(\hat{M} \in \text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}\) an affine linear algebraic group \(\hat{\Gamma}_\hat{M} := \text{Aut}^0(\omega_{\text{Sht}_{Q_v}}(\langle\hat{M}\rangle)) \subseteq \text{GL}(\hat{V}_v\hat{M})\).

**Definition 4.7.** \(\hat{\Gamma}_\hat{M}\) is called the Motivic Galois group of \(\hat{M}\).

\(\text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}\) is a Tannakian category and \(\hat{V}_v\) is an exact tensor functor over \(\text{Rep}_{Q_v}^p(\hat{G}_K)\). So we have that the monodromy group \(\text{H}_{\hat{M}}\) of a local shtuka \(\hat{M}\) is a subgroup of the motivic Galois group \(\hat{\Gamma}_\hat{M}\).

In [5] Nguyen Dai Duong and Phùng Hô Hai established a similar theory for Tannakian lattices. Then main theorem is as follows

**Theorem 4.8.** [5 2.3.2] Let \((\Lambda, \omega)\) be a Tannakian lattice over a Dedekind ring \(S\). Then the groups scheme \(\text{Rep}^p_{\Lambda} := \text{Aut}^0(\omega|\Lambda)\) is faithfully flat over \(S\) and \(\omega\) induces an equivalence between \(\Lambda\) and the category of finite projective representations \(\text{Rep}^p_{S}(\text{Rep}^p_{\Lambda})\).

We call the algebraic group \(\text{Rep}^p_{\Lambda} := \text{Aut}^0(\omega|\langle\hat{M}\rangle)\) associated to \(\hat{M} \in \text{Sht}_{A_v,R}\) the integral motivic Galois group of \(\hat{M}\). Moreover, \(\hat{\Gamma}_\hat{M}\) is after extension from \(A_v\) to \(Q_v\) the motivic Galois group of \(\hat{M}\). Thus, going back to the categories \(\text{Sht}_{A_v,R}\) and \(\text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}\), the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sht}_{A_v,R} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sht}_{Q_v,R} \\
\tau_v | & & | \tau_v \\
\text{Rep}^p_{A_v}(\hat{G}_K) & \longrightarrow & \text{Rep}^p_{Q_v}(\hat{G}_K) \\
\omega_{\text{rep}} | & & | \omega_{\text{rep}} \\
\text{Mod}_{A_v} & \longrightarrow & \text{Vec}_{Q_v} \\
\otimes_{A_v} Q_v | & & | \otimes_{A_v} Q_v \\
\end{array}
\]

and hence

(5)

\(\hat{\Gamma}_\hat{M} = \Gamma_{\hat{M} \times A_v Q_v}\)

holds for a \(\hat{M} \in \text{Sht}_{A_v,R}\); see [15 Cor. 6.21] for further properties.

Now consider a finite homomorphism \(f : F_v[z'] \to F_v[z]\) of rings. Let \(\gamma' : F_v[z'] \to R\) be the morphism sending \(z' \mapsto \zeta'\) and let \(\gamma : F_v[z] \to R\) the one sending \(z \mapsto \zeta\). Thus \(\gamma' = \gamma \circ f\) holds and we have an induced functor

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Sht}_{F_v[z],R} & \to \text{Sht}_{F_v[z'],R} \\
\hat{M} & \mapsto f_*(\hat{M})
\end{align*}
\]
where \( f_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}} \) viewed as a \( R[[z]] \)-module. The \( z' \)-rank of the local shtuka \( f_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) is given by the formula \( \text{rk}_R(f_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) = \text{rk}_R \overline{\mathcal{M}} \cdot \deg f \).

It is natural to ask for a functor \( f^*: \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]} \to \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]} \) induced by the module-tensor product. However, it produces in general not a local shtuka in \( \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]} \). For example let \( F_v = F_q \) and \( f: F_q[[z']] \to F_q[[z]] \) given by \( z' \mapsto z^2 \). Then \( \gamma \) is given by \( z \mapsto \zeta \) and \( \gamma' = \gamma \circ f \) by \( z' \mapsto z^2 = \zeta^2 \). Consider the Carlitz-shtuka \( \overline{\mathcal{C}} = (R[[z]], z - \zeta) \) in \( \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]} \). Then \( f^*(\overline{\mathcal{C}}) \) is given by the module \( R[[z']] \otimes_{F_v[[z']]} F_q[[z]] \) and the morphism \( z^2 - \zeta^2 = (z - \zeta)(z + \zeta) \). It is only an isomorphism after inverting \( z - \zeta \) and \( z + \zeta \) and so not a local shtuka. To solve this issue, it suffice to consider only \( z' \) which are positive char \( F_q \)-powers of \( z \). In general let \( z' = z^{p^n} \) for \( p = \text{char}(F_q) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and define the functor \( f^* \) by

\[
f^*: \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]} \to \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]} \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}} \mapsto f^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) := \overline{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{F_v[[z]]} F_q[[z]]
\]

**Proposition 4.9**. Let \( z' = z^{p^n} \) with \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( p = \text{char}(F_q) \). Then the functors \( f^* \) and \( f_* \) dare adjoint, ie. \( \text{Hom}_{\text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}, \overline{\mathcal{N}})} = \text{Hom}_{\text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]}(M, f_*(\overline{\mathcal{N}}))} \) holds.

**Proof.** The functor \( f^* \) interchanges with \( \sigma^* \) as \( \sigma \) is the identity restricted to the uniformizing parameter on \( A_v \).

Let \( \overline{\mathcal{M}} \in \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]} \) and by extension to \( R[[z']] \) we get a homomorphism \( f^*_R: R[[z']] \to R[[z]] \) commuting with \( f^* \) and \( \gamma \). The same argument holds for \( f_* \). The unit and counit are induced by the \( R[[z]] \) and respectively \( R[[z']] \)-module structures. So it suffice to show their compatibility with the shtuka structure morphism.

Let \( \eta: \text{id}_{\text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})} \to f_* \circ f^* \) given by

\[
\eta_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}: \overline{\mathcal{M}} = (M', \tau_{M'}) \to f_* \circ f^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) := (\overline{M'} \otimes_{F_v[[z]]} F_v[[z]], \tau_{f_* f^* M'}) = (\tau_{M'} \otimes \text{id})
\]

for an element \( \overline{M'} \in \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]} \). Let \( m \in \sigma^* \overline{M} \). Then \( \eta_{\overline{M}'}(\tau_{M'}(m)) = \tau_{M'}(m) \otimes 1 \) and \( \tau_{f_* f^* M'} \circ \sigma^* \eta_{\overline{M}'}(m) = (\tau_{M'} \otimes \text{id})(\sigma^* \eta_{\overline{M}'}(m)) = \tau_{M'}(m) \otimes 1 \) holds, as \( f^* \sigma^* = (f \otimes \text{id}_R)^* \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{Frob}_q)^* = \sigma^* f^* \) holds. Thus is unit-morphism \( \eta_{\overline{M}'}: \overline{M} \to \text{data} \) a shtuka morphism.

The counit is given by the natural transformation \( \varepsilon : f^* f_* \to \text{id}_{\text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})} \) which for is for an \( \overline{M} \in \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]} \) given by \( a \circ m \to a \circ m \). Then the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{id}_{\text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\overline{M}}} & \overline{M} \\
\downarrow {f^* f_* M} & & \downarrow {M} \\
F_v[[z]] \otimes_{F_v[[z']]} \sigma^* M & \xrightarrow{\sigma^* \eta_{\overline{M}}} & \sigma^* M \\
\end{array}
\]

commutes. \( \square \)

Let \( \text{Mod}_{\text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]}(F_v[[z]])} \) be the category of \( F_v[[z]] \)-modules in \( \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]} \). It’s objects are pairs \( (\overline{M}, \phi) \), where \( \overline{M} \in \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]} \) and \( \phi: F_v[[z]] \to \text{End}_R(\overline{M}) \). A morphism of \( F_v[[z]] \)-modules is a morphism of shtukas in \( \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]} \) compatible with \( \phi \).

**Proposition 4.10**. There exists an equivalence of categories between

\[
G: \text{Mod}_{\text{Sht}_{F_v[[z']]}(F_v[[z]])} \cong \text{Sht}_{F_v[[z]]} : H
\]

**Proof.** We will construct the functors \( G \) and \( H \).

We define \( G \) as the functor, which sends an element \( (\overline{M}, \phi) \) to \( \overline{M} \), but with \( M' \) considered as a module over the tensor product \( R[[z]] \otimes_{F_v[[z]]} F_v[[z]] = R[[z]] \) via \( \phi \). We have that \( \text{rk}_R(\overline{M}) = [F_v[[z]] : F_v[[z']]] \cdot \text{rk}_R(\overline{M}) \) holds, which is possible as the extension by \( f^* \) is finite.
We define $H$ as the functor, which considers a $\hat{M} \in \text{Sht}_{F_v[z]}$ as a $R[[z]]$-module via the ring homomorphism $f$. The morphism $\phi : F_v[z] \to \text{End}(\hat{M})$ comes from the internal module structure of $\hat{M}$. Here we have $rk_{R[[z]]}\hat{M} = rk_{F_v[z]}[\hat{M}, [F_v[z] : F_v[z]]]$. By the remark after definition 4.2, $f^*$ and $f_*$ can be extended to $Q_v$-linear functors on $\text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}$, maintaining their properties. Especially, proposition 4.10 can be extended to $\text{Sht}_{Q_v,R}$. We denote them in the same way.

**Proposition 4.11.** Let $z' = z^{p^n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = \text{char}(F_q)$. Let $\hat{M}' \in \text{Sht}_{F_v((z'))}$ and $f' : F_v((z')) \to F_v((z))$. Then there exists an equivalence of algebraic groups $\Gamma_{f', \hat{M}'} = \Gamma_{\hat{M}', \times_{F_v((z'))} F_v((z))}$ over $F_v((z))$.

**Proof.** Let $\hat{M}' \in \text{Sht}_{F_v((z'))}$. Then by Tannakian duality $\langle \hat{M}' \rangle \cong \text{Rep}_{Q_v}(\Gamma_{\hat{M}'})$ is an equivalence of categories. Denote by $\langle f' \hat{M}' \rangle$ the strictly full Tannakian subcategory of $\text{Sht}_{F_v((z'))}$ generated of the essential image of $f'$ restricted to $\langle \hat{M}' \rangle$. It follows by the work of Deligne and Milne, cf. [4, Prop. 3.11], and proposition 4.10 that

$$\text{Rep}_{F_v((z))}(\Gamma_{f', \hat{M}'}) = \text{Rep}_{F_v((z))}(\Gamma_{\hat{M}'})$$

holds. By [4 Rem. 3.12] we consider the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Vec}_{F_v((z))} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \text{Vec}_{F_v((z'))} \\
\downarrow_{\text{Rep}_{F_v((z))}(\Gamma_{\hat{M}'})} & & \downarrow_{\text{Rep}_{F_v((z))}(\Gamma_{\hat{M}'})}
\end{array}$$

with $\phi(\hat{M}) = \hat{V}_v \hat{M} \otimes_{F_v((z'))} F_v((z))$ for $\hat{M} \in \langle \hat{M}' \rangle$. Set $(\Gamma_{\hat{M}'})_{F_v((z))} := \text{Aut}(\omega'|\langle \hat{M}' \rangle)$. This induces again by [4 Rem. 3.12] the equivalence $\text{Rep}_{F_v((z))}(\Gamma_{\hat{M}'}) \cong \text{Rep}_{F_v((z))}(\Gamma_{\hat{M}'})_{F_v((z))}$. Here $\Gamma_{\hat{M}', F_v((z))}$ is the usual base change of algebraic groups. □

Note that in the case of local shtukas the tensor product is already defined over a finite extension. So there is no need to go to an Ind-completed category, as all objects have a finite basis, in contrary to the general case studied in the paper of Deligne and Milne [4]. By that, we mean that the field extension construction of them demand the existence of an external tensor product $\otimes$ with the category of vector spaces. By proposition 4.10 the functor $f_* f^*$ defines $\text{Sht}_{F_v[z]}$ as a $\text{Mod}_{R[[z]]}$-module category, see [6, 7.1.1] for definition of this category. This structure fulfills the requirements of the external tensor product described above. The association of an algebraic group to a Tannakian lattice is done in a similar sense as Deligne’s for fields. So with equation (5) we can use the proof of proposition 4.11 also for our Tannakian lattice $\text{Sht}_{F_v[z]}$ and get the following.

**Corollary 4.12.** Let $\hat{M}' \in \text{Sht}_{F_v[z]}$ and let $z' = z^{p^n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = \text{char}(F_q)$. Then there exists an equivalence of algebraic groups $\Gamma_{f', \hat{M}'} = \Gamma'_{\hat{M}', \times_{F_v[z]} F_v[z]}$. Moreover, it is compatible with the field extension to $\Gamma'_{\hat{M}'}$.

5. A CONDITION FOR OPENNESS

In section [3] we constructed a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\hat{M}} : G_K \to H_{\hat{M}}(Q_v)$$

associated to a local shtuka $\hat{M}$ of rank $r$ over $\text{Spec} R$. For a general $\hat{M}$, there exists by Tannakian theory an inclusion $H_{\hat{M}} \subseteq \Gamma_{\hat{M}} \subseteq \text{GL}_r$ of algebraic groups over $Q_v$. We have seen that for all $g \in G_K$ its image under $\rho_{\hat{M}}$ is contained in $\text{Aut}_{Q_v}(\hat{V}_v \hat{M})$. In addition, $\rho_{\hat{M}}(g) \in \text{Aut}(\omega_{\text{Sht}_{Q_v}}(\langle \hat{M} \rangle))(Q_v) = \Gamma_{\hat{M}}(Q_v)$ holds. So the image of $G_K$ under $\rho_{\hat{M}}$ and
 respectively its Zariski closure $H_{\mathit{M}}$ is already contained in $\Gamma_{\mathit{M}}(Q_v)$ and in $\Gamma_{\mathit{M}}$, respectively. If the rank of the local shtuka $\mathit{M}$ is 1, this inclusion becomes an equality. In the last section we have computed the integral motivic Galois group $\Gamma_{\mathit{M}}^1$ which is an algebraic group over $A_v$ with $\Gamma_{\mathit{M}} = \Gamma_{\mathit{M}}^1 \times Q_v$. Let $K^{un}$ and $R^{un}$ the maximal unramified extension of $K$ and $R$.

**Proposition 5.1.** Every local shtuka $\mathit{M}$ of rank 1 and virtual dimension $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ over $R^{un}$ is isomorphic to the $d$-th tensor power $\mathit{M}^{\otimes d}$ of the Carlitz-shtuka over $R^{un}$.

**Proof.** By corollary 2.4 $\mathit{M} = (R^{un}[z], \tau_\mathit{M} = e \cdot (z - \zeta)^d)$. Write $e = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i z^i$ with $c_i \in R^{un}$ and $c_0 \in (R^{un})^\times$. The isomorphism is given by sending $1 \in \mathit{M}$ to $u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i z^i \in \mathit{M}^{\otimes d}$ which satisfies $\sigma(u) = e \cdot u$ that is $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i^q z^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i} c_j u_{i-j} z^i$, or equivalently $u_0^q = c_0 u_0$ and $(u_0^{-1})^q - u_0^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{i-j} u_0^{-1} u_j$. Since $c_0 \in (R^{un})^\times$ all these equations indeed have solutions $u_i \in R^{un}$ and $u_0 \in (R^{un})^\times$. □

Note that isogenous shtukas has the same rank and dimension. Furthermore the category $\text{Sht}_{Q_v, R}$ is the category of shtukas except isogeny, so all isogenous shtukas are isomorphic.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $\mathit{M}$ be a local shtuka of rank 1 and virtual dimension $d > 0$ over $R$ and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Let $d' \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the largest number such that $d = p^e \cdot d'$ holds for $p = \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $p \neq d'$ and $e \geq 0$. Then $\rho_{\mathit{M}}(G_K)$ is contained and open in $\text{GL}_1(\mathbb{F}_v[\zeta^p])$. In particular, $\rho_{\mathit{M}}(G_K)$ is open in $H_{\mathit{M}}(Q_v)$ if and only if $p \nmid d$.

**Proof.** By proposition 2.3 $\mathit{M} \otimes R^{un}$ is isomorphic to $\mathit{M}^{\otimes d}$ over $R^{un}$. Let $d' \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the largest number such that $d = p^e \cdot d'$ holds for $p \neq d'$ and $e \geq 0$.

If $d = d' = 1$ holds, then it follows that $\rho_{\mathit{M}}(G_K)$ contains the subgroup $\rho_{\mathit{M}}(G_{K^{un}}) = \rho_{\mathit{M}}(G_{K^{\infty}})$, since $K^{un}$ is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{F}_v(\zeta)$. The group $\rho_{\mathit{M}}(G_{K^{\infty}})$ has finite index in $\mathbb{F}_v(\zeta^{1/p}) = \mathbb{F}_v[\zeta]^\times$, see example 3.4. So the assertion follows.

Let $d \neq 1$ and let $f : \mathbb{F}_v[\zeta'] \to \mathbb{F}_v[z]$ be the homomorphism with $\zeta' \mapsto z^{p^e}$. Then

$$\mathit{M} \otimes R^{un} = (R^{un}[z], \tau_\mathit{M}) \cong (R^{un}[z], (z - \zeta)^{p^e} \cdot d')$$

$$\cong (R^{un}[z], (z^{p^e} - \zeta^{p^e}) \cdot d')$$

$$\cong f^*(R^{un}[z'], (z' - \zeta^{p^e}) \cdot d')$$

$$\cong f^*(\mathbb{F}_v[\zeta'][z'], (z' - \zeta^{p^e}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_v[\zeta'][z'] \Rightarrow R'[z'])$$

holds. Set $R := \mathbb{F}_v[\zeta']$. Let $K, K^{un}$ and $\overline{K}$ be the fraction fields of $R, R^{un}$ and $\mathbb{F}_v$, respectively. Then the inclusions $G_K \subseteq G_{\mathit{M}}$ is open. Let $\mathit{M} = (R'[z'], z' - n)$ be the Carlitz shtuka over $\overline{K}$.

By equation (7), the algebraic group associated to the pullback $f^* \mathit{M}$ is a ring extension of the algebraic group $G_{\mathit{M}}$ associated to $\mathit{M}$ over $\mathbb{F}_v[z']$ to $\mathbb{F}_v[z]$, see corollary 4.12. So the image of the Galois representation is already in $\Gamma^1_{\mathit{M}}(\mathbb{F}_v[z']) = \mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times$ and the following inclusion exits:

$$\mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times \subseteq \Gamma^1_{\mathit{M}}(\mathbb{F}_v[z']) = F_v[z']^\times \subseteq \mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times$$

where the right side inclusion is an equality as both are the $\mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times$-valued points. So if $p \nmid d$ and hence $e \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times = \mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times \subseteq \mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times$, the image of Galois factors through $\mathbb{F}_v[z']^\times$. We compute the image of $\rho_{\mathit{M}}(\mathbb{F}_v[z'])$.
by the solution of the Carlitz-Tate polynomials, cf. example 3.2 and example 3.4. Like in Example 4.10 the extension \( K_0 \) over \( K \) is totally tamely ramified of degree \( q - 1 \) and \( K_n \) over \( K_{n-1} \) is totally wildly ramified of degree \( q \) for \( n \geq 1 \). Like in example 3.3 the field extension to \( \overline{K}^{in} \) and its Carlitz-Tate tower \( \overline{K}^{in}_n := K(l'_0, \ldots, l'_n) \) and \( \overline{K}^{in}_\infty := K(l'_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0) \) has the same ramification. So we can only consider the extension of the local shtukas to the maximal unramified extensions. By equation (7), \( \hat{\mathcal{V}} \) has the same ramification. So we can only consider the extension of the \( \hat{\mathcal{V}} \) to the tower of Carlitz-Tate towers \( \mathcal{C} \), defined by the solution of the Carlitz-Tate polynomials, cf. example 3.2 and example 3.4. Like in the previous example, the Tate-module \( \hat{\mathcal{V}} \) is generated as an \( A_\nu \)-module by \( a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \), which is a \( d' \)-th power of the inverse basis element of \( \hat{\mathcal{V}} \).

Set \( a = (l'_+)^d \) for \( l'_+ = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l'_iz^i \) and consider \( d' \) indices \( i_1, \ldots, i_{d'} \). Define \( j_m := i_m - i_{m+1} \) for \( 1 \leq m \leq d' \) and set \( n = i_1 = j_1 + \cdots + j_{d'} \). Set \( I_n := \{(j_1, \ldots, j_{d'}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{d'} \mid j_1 + \cdots + j_{d'} = n\} \) and \( I_{n'} := \{(j_1, \ldots, j_{d'}) \in I_n \mid j_m < n \forall m = 1, \ldots, d'\} \). Then we have by iteration of the product formula for formal power series

\[
a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l_i z^i \right)^d
\]

\[
= \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} (\cdots (\sum_{i_{d'}=0}^{\infty} l'_{i_{d'}-1} \cdots l'_{i_1-1})z^{i_1})z^{i_1}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (d' l'_0)^{d'-1} l'_n + \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_{d'}) \in I_n} l'_{j_1} \cdots l'_{j_{d'}} z^n
\]

(8)

Set \( \overline{K}^{in,d'}_n := \overline{K}^{in}_n(a_0 \ldots a_n) \). The coefficient \( l'_n \) is prime to \( \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_{d'}) \in I_{n'}} l'_{j_1} \cdots l'_{j_{d'}} \) for all \( n \) and hence \( \overline{K}^{in}_n = \overline{K}^{in,d'}_n(l_0) \) holds. Then \( l'_0 - a_0 \) is divided by the minimal polynomial of the field extension \( \overline{K}_0^{in} \overline{K}_0^{in,d'} \), which implies \( \overline{K}^{in}_n : \overline{K}^{in,d'}_n \leq d' \). Then \( \overline{K}^{in}_n \) is Galois over \( \overline{K}^{in,d'}_n \) and we consider the following diagram induced by Galois theory:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Gal}(\overline{K}^{in}_n / \overline{K}^{in}_n) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Gal}(\overline{K}^{in,d'}_n / \overline{K}^{in}_n) \\
\rho_{\overline{K}^{in,d'}} & \simeq & \rho_{\overline{K}^{in}_n}
\end{array}
\]

\[
((F_v[z^\infty] / (z^{n+1}))^\times g((F_v[z^\infty] / (z^{n+1}))^\times)^{d'})
\]

\[
= \rho_{\overline{K}^{in}_n}(g) \cdot \frac{q_l(a)}{q_l(a)}
\]

The morphism \( \rho_{\overline{K}^{in,d'}} \) is surjective as \( (x \mapsto x^{d'}) \circ \rho_{\overline{K}^{in}_n} \) is surjective. Let \( g(a) = a \), then \( g(a_i) = a_i \) holds for all \( i \).

But then \( g = \text{id} \). So \( \rho_{\overline{K}^{in,d'}} \) is also an isomorphism. It remains to show that \( \rho_{\overline{K}^{in}_n}((\text{Gal}(\overline{K}^{in}_n / \overline{K}^{in}_n)) \subseteq (F_v[z^\infty] / (z^{n+1}))^\times \) is open with index \( \leq d' \).

We know by Galois theory that \( \ker f = \text{Gal}((\overline{K}^{in}_n / \overline{K}^{in,d'}_n) \). So the number of elements in the kernel is lesser equal \( d' \). Then \( \ker g \) has also lesser equal \( d' \) number of elements. ker \( g \) is a subset of \( (F_v[z^\infty] / (z^{n+1}))^\times \) which consists \( (q_n - 1) \cdot q_n^e \) elements. Then \( \# \text{im } g \geq \frac{(q_n - 1) \cdot q_n^e}{d'} \).

Therefore the index of the image is lesser equal \( d' \) for all \( n \).

**Remark 5.3.** Let \( \overline{M} \) be a local shtuka over \( R \) with fraction field \( K \). We call the field towers given by a basis of the Tate-module Tate tower of \( \overline{M} \) and the tower given by the Carlitz Tate polynomials Carlitz-Tate towers. Classically the last one is denoted by \( v \)-adic cyclotomic tower of \( K \). In the same way, we call the field extensions Tate field extensions of \( K \) generated by \( \overline{M} \) and Carlitz-Tate field extensions of \( K \).

The above computation shows that if we are in the open image situation, the Carlitz-Tate field extensions of \( K \) gives a upper bound for all Tate field extensions of \( K \) generated by \( \overline{M} \) of rank 1.
Example 5.4 (squared Carlitz local shtuka). Consider the Carlitz shtuka defined by \( \hat{C} : (R[z], (z - \zeta)) \) over \( R := F_\ell[[\zeta]] \) and set \( K = F_\ell[[\zeta]] \). Let \( l_+ = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l_i z^i \in O_{K,v}[[z]] \) be the solutions of the Carlitz-Tate polynomials, cf. equation (3). The squared Carlitz shtuka is defined as \( \hat{C}^{(2)} := (R[z], (z - \zeta^2)) \) and by proposition 5.2 it’s Tate-module is given by \( T_\hat{C}^{(2)} \). After solving this equation, the element \( a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i \) given by \( a_0 = l_0^0 \) and \( a_n = 2l_0 l_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l_i l_{n-i} \) for \( n > 0 \). Set \( K_n := K(l_0, \ldots, l_n) \) and \( K_2^n := K(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \). Then \( K_2^n(l_0) = K_n \) holds, as \( l_0 \) fulfills \( l_0 = \frac{1}{2a_0}(a_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l_i l_{n-i}) \). It follows that \( \min_{l_n/K_2^n} \) has to divide \( l_0^0 - a_0 \). Furthermore, it equals \( l_0^0 - a_0 \), as the extension of \( K_2^n \) by \( l_0 \) is a real extension. To show this, let \( q = 3 \). Then \( a_0^2 = \zeta^2 \) and we have that \( a_0 = l_0^0 + 1 = \zeta \) holds. Hence \( K_2^n(l_0) = K \) is a subfield of \( K_0 \) with \( [K_2^n : K] = (q - 1) = 2 \).

Now consider the Galois representation \( \rho_{\hat{C}^{(2)}} = (\rho_{\hat{C}})^{(2)} \) and the induced diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Gal}(K_n/F_\ell[[\zeta]]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(K_2^n/F_\ell[[\zeta]]) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F_\ell[[z]]^{\times}/(z^{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & (F_\ell[z])^{\times}/(z^{n+1})^{2}
\end{array}
\]

for all \( n \geq 0 \). Here, the ring \( (F_\ell[z])^{\times}/(z^{n+1})^{2} \) is given by the elements \( \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i z^i \) in \( F_\ell[z]^{\times} \) with \( b_0 \in (F_\ell)^{2} \). Then \( F_\ell[z]^{\times}/(F_\ell[z])^{\times} = F_\ell^{\times}/(F_\ell^{\times})^{2} = \{1, \alpha\} \) with \( \alpha \in F_\ell^{\times} \setminus (F_\ell^{\times})^{2} \) holds and it follows that the squared group has index 2 in the original. So it is an open subgroup, which implies the openness of the Galois representation.

Also by proposition 5.2, we have the Galois openness, as \( d' = d = 2 \) holds for \( \hat{C}^{(2)} \) and we assumed in the beginning that \( p \) is odd.

Example 5.5. We assume the same setup as in the previous example and consider the local shtuka \( \bar{M} := (R[z], \tau_M = (z^q - \zeta^q)) \) of rank 1 of dimension \( q \) over \( R \). Then the motivic Galois group is given by \( \bar{G}_m \). Thus by the previous proposition the image is given by \( F_\ell[[z]]^{\times} = \hat{C}^{(2)} \), which is not \( v \)-adically open in \( F_\ell[[z]]^{\times} \).

Proposition 5.6. Let \( \bar{M} \) be a local shtuka of rank \( r \geq 1 \) and dimension \( d \) over \( R \). If \( \text{char}(F_\ell) \mid d \) then \( \rho_{\bar{M}}(\bar{G}_K) \subseteq \text{GL}_r(F_\ell[[z]]) \) is not open.

Proof. Let \( \bar{M} \) be a local shtuka of rank \( r \geq 1 \) and dimension \( d \) over \( R \). We denote by \( \Lambda' \bar{M} := (R[[z]], \det_{\tau_M}) \) the determinant of \( \bar{M} \). \( \Lambda' \bar{M} \) has rank 1 but the same dimension \( d \) as \( \bar{M} \). Set \( p = \text{char}(F_\ell) \) and let \( d' \in \mathbb{Z} \) be the largest number such that \( d = p^r \cdot d' \) holds for \( p \mid d' \) and \( e \geq 0 \). Let \( \rho_{\bar{M}} : \bar{G}_K \to \text{GL}_r(F_\ell[[z]]) \) be the \( v \)-adic Galois representation attached to \( \bar{M} \) and \( \rho_{\Lambda' \bar{M}} : \bar{G}_K \to F_\ell[[z]]^{\times} \) the \( v \)-adic Galois representation attached to \( \Lambda' \bar{M} \). As \( \Lambda' \bar{M} \) has rank 1, \( \rho_{\Lambda' \bar{M}} \) factors by proposition 5.2 through \( F_\ell[[z^{p^r}]]^{\times} \) and we can consider the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{G}_K & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\bar{M}}} & \text{GL}_r(F_\ell[[z]]) \\
\downarrow{\rho_{\Lambda' \bar{M}}} & & \downarrow{\text{det}} \\
F_\ell[[z^{p^r}]]^{\times} & \xrightarrow{(\ast)} & F_\ell[[z]]^{\times}
\end{array}
\]
Assume $\rho_{M'}$ is open. Then there exists a standard open subset $1 + z^m F_v[z]^{r \times r}$ in $GL_r(F_v[z])$ for $m \gg 0$, onto which a standard open set of $G_K$ is sent. Let $h \in F_v[z]$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + z^m \cdot h & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \in 1 + z^m F_v[z]^{r \times r}$$

Then $\det(h) = 1 + z^m \cdot h \in F_v[z]^{r \times r}$, which is again inside a standard open set. So it follows that the morphism $(\ast)$ of diagram (9) has to be an open inclusion and furthermore $\rho_{M'} \subseteq F_v[z]^r$ is open. This implies with proposition 5.2 $d = d'$ and $p^e = 1$. □

The result of theorem 5.2 can be transferred to $A$-motives in the following way:

**Theorem 5.7.** Let $M$ be a $A$-motive of rank 1 and dimension $d$ over a finite field extension $L$ over $Q$. Let $d' \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the largest number such that $d = p^e \cdot d'$ holds for $p = \text{char}(F_v)$. Then $\rho_{M}(G_{L'})$ is contained and open in $GL_1(F_v[z^{p^e}])$. In particular, $\rho_{M}(G_{L'})$ is open in $H_{M}(Q_v)$ if and only if $p \nmid d$.

**Proof.** Let $L_w$ be the completion of $L$ at a place $w$ above $v$. Then the absolute Galois group $\hat{G}_{L_w}$ of $L_w$ is a subgroup of the absolute Galois group $\hat{G}_L$ of $L$. $\hat{G}_L$ is generated by the groups $\hat{G}_{L_w}g^{-1}$ for all $g \in \hat{G}_L$.

Then the image of $\rho_{M}(M)$ is inside $\text{Aut}_{A_v}(H^1_v(M), A_v) = \text{Aut}_{A_v}(H^1_v(M), A_v) = F_v[z]^\times$. The latter one is the image of $\rho_{M,v}$. For all $g \in \hat{G}_L$, $\rho_{M,v}(g \hat{G}_{L_w}g^{-1}) = \rho_{M,v}(G_{L_w})$ is invariant, as $F_v[z]^\times$ is commutative. So by [12, II, Prop. 8.5] $\rho_{M,v}(G_{L'})$ is generated by the groups $\rho_{M,v}(G_{L_w})$. Then the assertion follows by theorem 5.2. □

Furthermore, if we use the same reducing technique as in the previous proof we can extend the result also to $A$-motives of higher rank, compare 5.6.

**Corollary 5.8.** Let $M$ be a $A$-motive of rank $r$ and dimension $d$ over a finite field extension $L$ over $Q$. If $\text{char}(F_v)|d$ then $\rho_{M}(G_{K'}) \subseteq GL_r(F_v[z])$ is not open.
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