INTERACTING DIFFUSIONS ON POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES

NEIL O’CONNELL

Abstract. We consider systems of Brownian particles in the space of positive definite matrices, which evolve independently apart from some simple interactions. We give examples of such processes which have an integrable structure. These are related to \( K \)-Bessel functions of matrix argument and multivariate generalisations of these functions. The latter are eigenfunctions of a particular quantisation of the non-Abelian Toda chain.

1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been much progress in the development of integrable models in probability, particularly interacting particle systems related to representation theory and integrable systems. A well-known example is the coupled system of SDE’s

\[
\begin{align*}
    dx_1 &= d\beta_1, \\
    dx_i &= d\beta_i + e^{x_i-1-x_i} dt, \quad i = 2, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta_i \) are independent one-dimensional Brownian motions. This process has close connections to the Toda lattice and has been extensively studied \([4, 5, 14, 19, 24, 27, 28]\).

It is natural to consider non-commutative generalisations of such processes. In this paper, we consider some interacting systems of Brownian particles in the space \( \mathcal{P} \) of positive \( n \times n \) real symmetric matrices. One of the main examples we consider is a generalisation of the system (1.1), a diffusion process in \( \mathcal{P}^N \) with infinitesimal generator

\[
T = \Delta_X + \sum_{i=2}^N [\Delta_X + 2 \text{tr} (X_{i-1} \partial_X)],
\]

where \( \Delta_X \) denotes the Laplacian, and \( \partial_X \) denotes the partial matrix derivative, on \( \mathcal{P} \). In the case \( n = 1 \) with \( x_i = \ln X_i \), it is equivalent to the system (1.1). We will show that this process is related to a quantisation of the \( N \)-particle non-Abelian Toda chain in \( \mathcal{P} \).

In another direction, Matsumoto and Yor \([17]\) obtained an analogue of Pitman’s \( 2M - X \) theorem for exponential functions of Brownian motion, which was recently extended to the matrix setting by Rider and Valkó \([26]\). We discuss this example in some detail, and give a new proof (and slight generalisation) of Rider and Valkó’s result. We also consider an example of a pair of interacting Brownian particles in \( \mathcal{P} \) with a ‘reflecting wall’.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In the next section we present some preliminary background material. This is followed, in Sections 3–6, by a series of examples with small
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numbers of particles. In Section 7, we discuss the above example and its relation to the quantum non-Abelian Toda chain. In Section 8, we outline how the interacting particle system of Section 7 is related to some Bäcklund transformations for the classical non-Abelian Toda chain. In Section 9, we briefly discuss a related class of processes in which the underlying motion of particles is not governed by the Laplacian, but instead based on a related diffusion process which was introduced and studied in the paper [18].

2. Preliminaries

We mostly follow the nomenclature of Terras [30], to which we refer the reader for more background. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the space of positive $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices. For $a \in GL(n)$ and $X \in \mathcal{P}$, write $X[a] = a^t X a$. This defines an action of $GL(n)$ on $\mathcal{P}$. For $X \in \mathcal{P}$, we denote by $X^{1/2}$ the unique positive square root of $X$.

2.1. Differential operators. The partial derivative on $\mathcal{P}$ is defined, writing $X = (x_{ij})$, by

$$\partial X = \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{ij}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$ 

We define the Laplacian on $\mathcal{P}$ by $\Delta X = \text{tr} \partial^2 X$, where $\partial X = X \partial_X$. The Laplacian is a $GL(n)$-invariant differential operator on $\mathcal{P}$, meaning $(\Delta f)^a = \Delta f^a$ for all $a \in GL(n)$, where $f^a(X) = f(X[a])$. In fact, the differential operators $L_k = \text{tr} (\partial_X)^k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ are all $GL(n)$-invariant [30 Exercise 1.1.27]. This follows from the fact that, if $Y = X[a]$ for some fixed $a \in GL(n)$, then

$$\partial_X = a \partial_Y a^t.$$ 

If $Y = X^{-1}$, then $\partial_Y = -\partial_X$, where $\partial_X f = (\partial_X f)X$. It follows that $L_k^Y = (-1)^k L_k^X$. In particular, the Laplacian is invariant under this change of variable.

For later reference, we note here a chain rule for a quadratic change of variables. For each $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a unique $A \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $Y = AXA$. This can be seen for example as a consequence of [31 Theorem 4.1]. If $X$ is fixed, then

$$(2.2) \quad \partial A = XA \partial_Y + \partial_Y AX.$$ 

2.2. Calculus. We note here some basic formulas:

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_X \text{tr} (AX) = XA, \quad \partial_X \text{tr} (AX^{-1}) = -AX^{-1}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta_X \text{tr} (AX) = \frac{n + 1}{2} \text{tr} (AX), \quad \Delta_X \text{tr} (AX^{-1}) = \frac{n + 1}{2} \text{tr} (AX^{-1})$$

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta_X F = \left[ \Delta_X \ln F + \text{tr} (\partial_X \ln F)^2 \right] F$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta(fg) = (\Delta f)g + f(\Delta g) + 2 \text{tr} [(\partial_X f)(\partial_X g)]$$
2.3. Integration. Denote the $GL(n)$-invariant volume element on $\mathcal{P}$ by

$$\mu(dX) = |X|^{-(n+1)/2} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} dx_{ij},$$

where $dx_{ij}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. If we write $X = a[k]$, where $a$ is diagonal with entries $a_1, \ldots, a_n > 0$ and $k \in O(n)$, then

$$(2.7) \quad \mu(dX) = c_n dk \prod_{i<j} |a_i - a_j| \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{-(n-1)/2-1} da_i,$$

where $dk$ is the normalised Haar measure on $O(n)$ and $c_n$ is a normalisation constant.

2.4. Power and Gamma functions. For $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define the power function

$$p_s(X) = \prod_{k=1}^n |X^{(k)}|^{s_k},$$

where $X^{(k)}$ denotes the $k \times k$ upper left hand corner of $X$. For $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad 2\Re(s_1 + \ldots + s_n) > k - 1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n$$

define

$$\Gamma_n(s) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} p_s(X) \exp(-X) \mu(dX) = \pi^{n(n-1)/4} \prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma(s_k + \ldots + s_n - \frac{k-1}{2}),$$

where $\exp(A) = \exp(\text{tr} A)$. For $s = (0, \ldots, 0, \nu)$, we will write $p_s(X) = e_{\nu}(X) = |X|^{\nu}$ and $\Gamma_n(\nu) = \Gamma_n(0, \ldots, 0, \nu)$. The spherical functions on $\mathcal{P}$ are defined, for $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$, by

$$h_s(X) = \int_{O(n)} p_s(X[k]) \, dk,$$

where $dk$ denotes the normalised Haar measure on $O(n)$.

The power function $p_s$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian on $\mathcal{P}$, with eigenvalue

$$\lambda_2(s) = 4 \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i + \ldots + s_n)^2 + \frac{n - n^3}{48}.$$ 

We note that the functions $p_{s,k}(X) = p_s(X[k])$, $k \in O(n)$, and $h_s(X)$, are also eigenfunctions of $\Delta$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_2(s)$.

For $s = (0, \ldots, 0, \nu)$, so that $p_s(X) = e_{\nu}(X) = |X|^{\nu}$, we note:

$$(2.9) \quad \partial_X e_{\nu}(X) = \nu e_{\nu}(X) I_n, \quad \text{tr} \partial_X e_{\nu}(X) = n \nu e_{\nu}(X),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_X e_{\nu}(X) = n \nu^2 e_{\nu}(X), \quad \Delta_X \ln e_{\nu}(X) = 0.$$
2.5. **Bessel functions.** For $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $V, W \in \mathcal{P}$, define

$$K_n(s|V, W) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} p_s(Y) \etr(-VY - WY^{-1})\mu(dY).$$

If $s = (0, \ldots, 0, \nu)$ we will write $K_n(s|V, W) = K_n(\nu|V, W)$. We note that

$$(2.11) \quad K_n(s|gVg^T, g^{-1}g^{-1})p_s(g'g) = K_n(s|V, I).$$

For $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $X \in \mathcal{P}$, define

$$(2.12) \quad B_\nu(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} e_\nu(Y) \etr(-XY - Y^{-1})\mu(dY).$$

This function was introduced by Herz [13], and is related to $K_n$ by

$$B_\nu(X) = K_n(\nu|X, I).$$

We note that $B_{-\nu}(X) = e_\nu(X)B_\nu(X)$, which implies

$$(2.13) \quad e_\nu(V)K_n(\nu|V, W) = e_\nu(W)K_n(-\nu|V, W).$$

The asymptotic behaviour of $B_\nu(X)$ for large arguments has been studied via Laplace’s method in the paper [6], see also [11, Appendix B]. If we fix $M \in \mathcal{P}$ and let $X = z^2M^2/2$, then it holds that, as $z \to \infty$,

$$B_\nu(X) = C(\nu, M)z^{-\nu-n(n+1)/4}e^{-z\text{tr}M(1 + O(z^{-1}))},$$

where

$$C(\nu, M) = 2^{\nu-n(n+1)/4}\pi^{n(n+1)/4}|M|^{-\nu-1/2}\prod_{i<j}(m_i + m_j)^{-1/2},$$

and $m_i$ denote the eigenvalues of $M$. In particular, taking $M = I$ and $z^2/2 = \alpha$, say, we deduce from the same application of Laplace’s method, the following lemma, which we record here for later reference.

**Lemma 2.1.** For $\alpha > 0$, let $A(\alpha)$ be distributed according to the (matrix GIG) law

$$B_\nu(\alpha I)^{-1}\etr(-\alpha A - A^{-1})\mu(dA).$$

Then $\alpha^{1/2}A(\alpha) \to I$, in probability, as $\alpha \to \infty$.

2.6. **Standard probability distributions.** The Wishart distribution on $\mathcal{P}$ with parameters $\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ and $p > n - 1$ is defined by

$$\Gamma_n(p/2)^{-1}|\Sigma^{-1}X|^2|\Sigma^{-1}X/2|^{p/2}\etr(-\Sigma^{-1}X/2)\mu(dX).$$

The matrix GIG (generalised inverse Gaussian) distribution on $\mathcal{P}$ with parameters $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined by

$$K_n(\nu|A, B)^{-1}|X|^{\nu}\etr(-AX - BX^{-1})\mu(dX).$$
2.7. **Invariant kernels.** A kernel \( k(X,Y) \) is invariant if, for all \( a \in GL(n) \),
\[
k(X[a],Y[a]) = k(X,Y).
\]
For \( k \) sufficiently smooth, this implies that
\[
\Delta_X k(X,Y) = \Delta_Y k(X,Y).
\]
Examples include
\[(2.14) \quad k(X,Y) = \text{etr}(-YX^{-1})\]
and, more generally, for \( \nu \in \mathbb{C} \),
\[(2.15) \quad k_\nu(X,Y) = e^{-\nu(YX^{-1})} \text{etr}(-YX^{-1}).\]
We note that the kernel \( k \) defined by (2.14) satisfies
\[(2.16) \quad \vartheta_X \ln k = -\vartheta_Y \ln k = YX^{-1},\]
\[(2.17) \quad \Delta_X k = \Delta_Y k = \left[ -\frac{n+1}{2} \text{tr}(YX^{-1}) + \text{tr}(YX^{-1}YX^{-1}) \right] k.\]

2.8. **Brownian motion.** We define Brownian motion in \( P \) with drift \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \) to be the diffusion process in \( P \) with generator
\[
\Delta^{(\nu)}_X = \Delta_X + 2\nu \text{ tr } \vartheta_X.
\]
More generally, if \( \varphi \) is a positive eigenfunction of the Laplacian on \( P \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \), then we may consider the corresponding Doob transform
\[
\Delta^{(\varphi)}_X = \varphi(X)^{-1} \circ (\Delta_X - \lambda) \circ \varphi(X) = \Delta_X + 2 \text{ tr } (\vartheta_X \ln \varphi(X) \vartheta_X).
\]
If \( \varphi(X) = |X|^\nu \) for some \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( \lambda = \nu^2 n \) and \( \Delta^{(\varphi)}_X = \Delta^{(\nu)}_X \). We shall refer to the diffusion process with infinitesimal generator \( \Delta^{(\varphi)}_X \) as a Brownian motion in \( P \) with drift \( \varphi \).

A Brownian motion in \( P \), with drift \( \nu \), may be constructed as follows. Let \( b_t, \ t \geq 0 \) be a standard Brownian motion in the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \) of real \( n \times n \) matrices, that is, each matrix entry evolves as a standard Brownian motion on the real line. Set \( \beta_t = b_t/\sqrt{2} + vt \). Define a Markov process \( G_t, \ t \geq 0 \) in \( GL(n) \) via the Stratonovich SDE: \( \partial G_t = \partial \beta_t G_t \).
When \( \nu = 0 \), this is called a right-invariant Brownian motion in \( GL(n) \); thus, we shall refer to \( G \) as a right-invariant Brownian motion in \( GL(n) \) with drift \( \nu \). Then \( Y = G'G \) is a Brownian motion in \( P \) with drift \( \nu \). Note that \( Y \) satisfies
\[
\partial Y = G'(\partial \beta + \partial \beta')G.
\]
By orthogonal invariance of the underlying Brownian motion in \( \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \), one may replace the \( G \) and \( G' \) factors in this equation by \( Y^{1/2} \) to obtain a closed SDE for the evolution of \( Y \).
3. **Brownian particles with one-sided interaction**

Let

\[(3.1) \quad T = \Delta_Y + \Delta_X + 2 \text{tr} (Y \partial_X).\]

Let \(k(X, Y) = \text{etr}(-YX^{-1})\) and consider the integral operator defined, for suitable test functions \(f\) on \(\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}\), by

\[(Kf)(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} k(X, Y)f(X, Y)\mu(dY).\]

Then, on a suitable domain, the following intertwining relation holds:

\[(3.2) \quad \Delta \circ K = K \circ T.\]

Indeed, let us write \(k = k(X, Y)\), \(f = f(X, Y)\) and note the following identities:

\[\Delta_X k = \Delta_Y k, \quad \theta_X k = YX^{-1},\]

\[\Delta_X (kf) = f \Delta_X k + k \Delta_X f + 2 \text{tr} (\theta_X k \partial_X f) = f \Delta_Y k + k \Delta_X f + 2k \text{tr} (Y \partial_X f).\]

It follows, using the fact that \(\Delta\) is self-adjoint with respect to \(\mu\), that

\[\Delta (Kf)(X) = \Delta_X \int_{\mathcal{P}} kf\mu(dY) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} (f \Delta_X k + k \Delta_X f + 2k \text{tr} (Y \partial_X f))\mu(dY) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} k(Tf)\mu(dY),\]

as required.

Now suppose \(\varphi\) is a positive eigenfunction of \(\Delta\) with eigenvalue \(\lambda\) such that

\[\tilde{\varphi}(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y)k(X, Y)\mu(dY) < \infty.\]

Then \(\tilde{\varphi}\) is also a positive eigenfunction of \(\Delta\) with eigenvalue \(\lambda\):

\[\Delta_X \tilde{\varphi}(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y)\Delta_X k(X, Y)\mu(dY) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y)\Delta_Y k(X, Y)\mu(dY) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} (\Delta_Y \varphi(Y))k(X, Y)\mu(dY) = \lambda \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y)k(X, Y)\mu(dY) = \lambda \tilde{\varphi}(X).\]

For example, if \(\varphi = p_s\), for some \(s \in \mathbb{R}^n\) satisfying (2.8), then \(\tilde{\varphi} = \Gamma_n(s)\varphi\) (see, for example, [30, Exercise 1.2.4]). Similarly, if \(s \in \mathbb{R}^n\) satisfies (2.8), and \(\varphi = h_s\) or \(\varphi = p_{s,k}\) for some \(k \in O(n)\), then it also holds that \(\tilde{\varphi} = \Gamma_n(s)\varphi\). More generally, \(\tilde{\varphi}\) is a constant multiple of \(\varphi\).
whenever \( \varphi \) is a simultaneous eigenfunction of the Laplacian and the integral operator with kernel \( k(X,Y) \); note that these two operators commute, since \( \Delta_X k = \Delta_Y k \).

Define
\[
(K_{\varphi} f)(X) = \tilde{\varphi}(X)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y) k(X,Y) f(X,Y) \mu(dY),
\]
and
\[
T^{(\varphi)} = \varphi(Y)^{-1} \circ (T - \lambda) \circ \varphi(Y) = \Delta_Y^{(\varphi)} + \Delta_X + 2 \text{tr} \left( Y \partial_X \right).
\]
Then (3.2) extends to:
\[
(3.3) \quad \Delta^{(\tilde{\varphi})} \circ K_{\varphi} = K_{\varphi} \circ T^{(\varphi)}.
\]

The intertwining relation (3.3) has a probabilistic interpretation, as follows. Set
\[
\pi(X,Y) = \tilde{\varphi}(X)^{-1} \varphi(Y) k(X,Y).
\]
Let \( \rho \) be a probability measure on \( \mathcal{P} \) and define a probability measure on \( \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \) by
\[
\sigma(dX,dY) = \pi(X,Y) \rho(dX) \mu(dY).
\]
Suppose that \( \varphi \) is such that the martingale problems associated with \( \langle \Delta^{(\tilde{\varphi})}, \rho \rangle \) and \( \langle T^{(\varphi)}, \sigma \rangle \) are well-posed, and that \((X_t,Y_t)\) is a diffusion process with infinitesimal generator \( T^{(\varphi)} \) and initial law \( \sigma \). Then it follows from the theory of Markov functions [15] that, with respect to its own filtration, \( X_t \) is a Brownian motion with drift \( \tilde{\varphi} \) and initial distribution \( \rho \); moreover, the conditional law of \( Y_t \), given \( X_s \), \( s \leq t \), only depends on \( X_t \) and is given by \( \pi(X_t,Y) \mu(dY) \).

This statement is analogous to the Burke output theorem for the \( M/M/1 \) queue, although in this context the ‘output’ (a Brownian motion with drift \( \tilde{\varphi} \)) need not have the same law as the ‘input’ (a Brownian motion with drift \( \varphi \)). Note however that these Brownian motions are equivalent whenever \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is a constant multiple of \( \varphi \), so whenever this holds the output does have the same law as the input. This is always the case when \( n = 1 \), as was observed in the paper [24]. We note that the intertwining relation (3.3) also implies that
\[
(T^{(\varphi)})^* \pi = 0,
\]
where \( (T^{(\varphi)})^* \) is the formal adjoint of \( T^{(\varphi)} \).

One can replace \( k \) by any invariant kernel \( k' \) and the above remains valid with
\[
T = \Delta_Y + \Delta_X + 2 \text{tr} (Y \partial_X \ln k'(X,Y) \partial_X).
\]
For example, if \( k' = k_\nu \), defined by (2.15), then
\[
T = \Delta_Y^{(\nu)} + \Delta_X^{(\nu)} + 2 \text{tr} (Y \partial_X).
\]
In this case, we require \( \tilde{\varphi} = k_\nu \varphi \) to be finite, where
\[
k_\nu \varphi(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y) k_\nu(X,Y) \mu(dY).
\]
For example, if $\varphi(X) = |X|^4$, then this holds provided $2(\lambda - \nu) > n - 1$, in which case $k_\nu \varphi = \Gamma_n(\lambda - \nu) \varphi$. For this example, the associated martingale problems are well posed, as shown in Appendix A (Example 2), so we may state the following theorem.

For $2a > n - 1$, we define the Markov kernel

$$
\Pi_n(X, dY) = \Gamma_n(a)^{-1} |YX^{-1}|^a \etr(-YX^{-1}) \mu(dY).
$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose $2(\lambda - \nu) > n - 1$, and let $(X_t, Y_t)$ be a diffusion process in $\mathcal{P}^2$ with infinitesimal generator

$$
T_{\lambda, \nu} = \Delta_Y^{(\lambda)} + \Delta_X^{(\nu)} + 2 \tr(Y \partial_X),
$$

and initial law $\delta_{X_0}(dX)\Pi_{\lambda, \nu}(X, dY)$. Then, with respect to its own filtration, $X_t$ is a Brownian motion with drift $\lambda$ started at $X_0$. Moreover, the conditional law of $Y_t$, given $X_s$, $s \leq t$, only depends on $X_t$ and is given by $\Pi_{\lambda, \nu}(X_t, dY)$.

The above example extends naturally to a system of $N$ particles with one-sided interactions, as follows. Let $\nu_2, \ldots, \nu_N \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\varphi$ a positive eigenfunction of $\Delta$ such that

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(X) = (k_{\nu_N} \circ \cdots \circ k_{\nu_2}) \varphi(X) < \infty.
$$

For example, if $\varphi(X) = |X|^\nu$ then this condition is satisfied provided $\nu_i < \nu_1$ for all $1 < i \leq N$, in which case we have

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(X) = \prod_{i=2}^N \Gamma_n(\nu_1 - \nu_i) |X|^\nu_1.
$$

Define

$$
T = \Delta_X^{(\varphi)} + \sum_{i=2}^N [\Delta_X^{(\nu_i)} + 2 \tr(X_{i-1} \partial_X)],
$$

$$
\pi(X_1, \ldots, X_N) = \tilde{\varphi}(X_N)^{-1} \varphi(X_1) \prod_{i=2}^N k_{\nu_i}(X_i, X_{i-1}),
$$

$$
(Kf)(X_N) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^{N-1}} \pi(X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1}, X_N) f(X_1, \ldots, X_N) \mu_{N-1}(dX_1, \ldots, dX_{N-1}).
$$

Then

$$
\Delta_X^{(\varphi)} \circ K = K \circ T.
$$

This implies that $T^* \pi = 0$ and, moreover, if $\varphi$ is such that the relevant martingale problems are well posed and the system is started in equilibrium, then $X_N$ is a Brownian motion, in its own filtration, with drift $\tilde{\varphi}$. This certainly holds in the case $\varphi(X) = |X|^\nu_1$, with $\nu_i < \nu_1$ for all $1 < i \leq N$. Note that this can also be seen as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Finally we remark that, by inversion, one may also consider

$$
T' = \Delta_X^{(\varphi)} + \sum_{i=2}^N [\Delta_X^{(\nu_i)} - 2 \tr(X_i X_{i-1}^{-1} \partial_X)].
$$
In this case, if we assume that $\tilde{\varphi} = (k_{-\nu_N} \circ \cdots \circ k_{-\nu_2})\varphi$ is finite, and define

$$\pi'(X_1, \ldots, X_N) = \tilde{\varphi}(X_N)^{-1} \varphi(X_1) \prod_{i=2}^{N} k_{-\nu_i}(X_{i-1}, X_i),$$

$$(K' f)(X_N) = \int_{\mathcal{P}^{N-1}} \pi'(X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1}, X_N) f(X_1, \ldots, X_N) \mu_{N-1}(dX_1, \ldots, dX_{N-1}),$$

then it holds that $\Delta_{X_N}^{(\tilde{\varphi})} \circ K' = K' \circ T'$, with the analogous conclusions.

4. Connection with Bessel functions

The previous example, with two particles, extends naturally to

$$G = \Delta_Y + \Delta_X + 2 \text{tr} \,(Y \partial X_1) + \Delta_{X_2} - 2 \text{tr} \,(X_2 Y^{-1} X_2 \partial X_2).$$

Note that this is a combination of the $T$ and $T'$ of the previous section.

Writing $X = (X_1, X_2)$, define

$$H = \Delta_{X_1} + \Delta_{X_2} - 2 \text{tr} \,(X_1^{-1} X_2), \quad q(X, Y) = \text{etr} \,(-Y X_1^{-1} - X_2 Y^{-1}).$$

Consider the integral operator, defined for suitable test functions $f$ on $\mathcal{P}^2 \times \mathcal{P}$ by

$$(Q f)(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} q(X, Y) f(X, Y) \mu(dY).$$

Then the following intertwining relation holds:

(4.1) \quad $H \circ Q = Q \circ G$.

Indeed, let us write $q = q(X, Y)$, $f = f(X, Y)$ and note that

$$H_X q = \Delta_Y q, \quad H_X(q f) = f \Delta_Y q + q(G - \Delta_Y) f.$$

The claim follows, using the fact that $\Delta$ is self-adjoint with respect to $\mu$.

Suppose that $\varphi$ is a positive eigenfunction of $\Delta$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$ such that

$$\psi(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y) q(X, Y) \mu(dY) < \infty.$$

Then $\psi$ is a positive eigenfunction of $H$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$. We remark that, if $\varphi = p_s$, then

$$\psi(X) = K_n(s | X_1^{-1}, X_2).$$

Let us define

$$(Q \varphi f)(X) = \psi(X)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(Y) q(X, Y) f(X, Y) \mu(dY),$$

$$H^{(\psi)} = \psi(X)^{-1} \circ (H - \lambda) \circ \psi(X),$$

$$G^{(\varphi)} = \varphi(Y)^{-1} \circ (G - \lambda) \circ \varphi(Y) = \Delta_Y^{(\varphi)} + \Delta_{X_1} + 2 \text{tr} \,(Y \partial X_1) + \Delta_{X_2} - 2 \text{tr} \,(X_2 Y^{-1} X_2 \partial X_2).$$

Then (4.1) extends to:

(4.2) \quad $H^{(\psi)} \circ Q = Q \circ G^{(\varphi)}$. 
This intertwining relation has a probabilistic interpretation, as follows. Let \( \rho \) be a probability measure on \( \mathcal{P}^2 \) and define a probability measure on \( \mathcal{P}^2 \times \mathcal{P} \) by
\[
\sigma(dX, dY) = \psi(X)^{-1} \rho(dX) \varphi(Y) q(X, Y) \mu(dY).
\]
Suppose that \( \varphi \) is such that the martingale problems associated with \( (H^{(\varphi)}, \rho) \) and \( (G^{(\varphi)}, \sigma) \) are well-posed, and that \( (X_t, Y_t) \) is a diffusion process with infinitesimal generator \( G^{(\varphi)} \) and initial law \( \sigma \). Then, with respect to its own filtration, \( X_t \) is a diffusion with generator \( H^{(\varphi)} \) and initial distribution \( \rho \); moreover, the conditional law of \( Y_t \), given \( X_s, \ s \leq t \), only depends on \( X_t \) and is given by
\[
\psi(X_t)^{-1} \varphi(Y) q(X_t, Y) \mu(dY).
\]
The above example is a special case of a more general construction which will be discussed in Section 7.

5. Matrix 2M – X theorem

Let \( M = \Delta_Y + \text{tr}(Y \partial_A) \). If \( Y = AXA \) then, in the variables \( (X, A) \), we can write
\[
M = \Delta_X - 2 \text{tr}(XAX \partial_X) + \text{tr}(AXA \partial_A).
\]
To see this, let \( f = f(X, A) = g(AXA, A) \) and first note that, by invariance,
\[
\Delta_X f = \Delta_Y g(Y, A) \bigg|_{Y=AXA}.
\]
Let us write \( g_1(Y, A) = \partial_Y g(Y, A), g_2(Y, A) = \partial_A g(Y, A) \). By (2.1) and (2.2),
\[
\partial_X f = \partial_Y g(Y, A) + \partial_A g(Y, A), \quad \partial_Y f = XAG_1(AXA, A) + g_1(AXA, A)AX.
\]
It follows that
\[
\text{tr}(AXA \partial_A)f - 2 \text{tr}(XAX \partial_X)f = \text{tr}(AXA g_2(AXA, A)) = \text{tr}(Y \partial_A)g(Y, A) \bigg|_{Y=AXA},
\]
as required.

Let us define
\[
J = \Delta_X - \text{tr} X, \quad p(X, A) = \text{etr}(-AX - A^{-1})
\]
and the corresponding integral operator
\[
(Pf)(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} p(X, A)f(X, A) \mu(dA).
\]
Then, on a suitable domain, the following intertwining relation holds:
\[
(5.1) \quad J \circ P = P \circ M.
\]
Indeed, let us write \( p = p(X, A), f = f(X, A) \) and first note that
\[
\Delta_X(pf) = f \Delta_X p + p(\Delta_X - 2 \text{tr}(XAX \partial_X))f.
\]
Now, using the fact that \( \Delta \) is self-adjoint with respect to \( \mu \), together with the identity
\[
\Delta_X \text{etr}(-AX) = \Delta_A \text{etr}(-AX),
\]
we have
\[
\text{tr}(XAX \partial_X)f = \text{tr}(AXA \partial_A)f = (5.1).
\]
we have
\[ \int_{\mathcal{P}} f(\Delta X p)\mu(dA) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \text{etr}(-A^{-1}) f [\Delta_A \text{etr}(-AX)] \mu(dA) \]
\[ = -\int_{\mathcal{P}} \text{tr}(\partial_A \text{etr}(-AX) \partial_A (\text{etr}(-A^{-1}f))) \mu(dA) \]
\[ = -\int_{\mathcal{P}} p \text{tr}(-AX \partial_A - AXA^{-1}) f \mu(dA). \]

It follows that \( J(P f)(X) = P(M f)(X) \), as required.

Now suppose \( \varphi \) is a positive eigenfunction of \( \Delta \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \) such that
\[ \beta(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(AXA)p(X,A)\mu(dA) < \infty. \]

Then it follows from (5.1) that \( \beta \) is a positive eigenfunction of \( J \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \). Note that if we write \( \beta(X) = \varphi(X)B_{\varphi}(X) \), then this implies
\[ (\Delta_X^{(\varphi)} - \text{tr} X)B_{\varphi}(X) = 0. \]

This suggests that, for suitable \( \varphi \), the function \( B_{\varphi} \) admits a natural probabilistic interpretation, via the Feynman-Kac formula, and this is indeed the case.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( Y \) be a Brownian motion in \( \mathcal{P} \) with drift \( \varphi \) started at \( X \), and denote by \( \mathbb{E}_X \) the corresponding expectation. Suppose that \( \varphi \) is such that, for all \( X \in \mathcal{P} \),
\[ (5.3) \quad \int_0^\infty Y_s \, ds < \infty \]
almost surely, and define
\[ M_{\varphi}(X) = \mathbb{E}_X \exp \left( -\int_0^\infty \text{tr} Y_s \, ds \right). \]

Suppose also that \( \lim_{X \to 0} M_{\varphi}(X) = 1 \) and
\[ (5.4) \quad \lim_{X \to 0} B_{\varphi}(X) = C_{\varphi}, \]
where \( C_{\varphi} > 0 \) is a constant. Then \( B_{\varphi}(X) = C_{\varphi} M_{\varphi}(X) \) and, moreover, \( B_{\varphi} \) is the unique bounded solution to (5.2) satisfying the boundary condition (5.4).

**Proof.** It follows from the Feynman-Kac formula that \( M_{\varphi} \) satisfies
\[ (\Delta_X^{(\varphi)} - \text{tr} X)M_{\varphi}(X) = 0. \]
To prove uniqueness, up to a constant factor, suppose \( U(X) \) is another bounded solution which vanishes as \( X \to 0 \). Note that, by (5.3), it must hold that \( Y_t \to 0 \) almost surely as \( t \to \infty \). Thus,
\[ U(Y_t) \exp \left( -\int_0^t \text{tr} Y_s \, ds \right) \]
is a bounded martingale which converges to 0 almost surely, as \( t \to \infty \), hence must be identically zero almost surely, which implies \( U = 0 \), as required. \( \square \)
As before, with the change of variables $Y$, (5.5) extends to:

This intertwining relation has a probabilistic interpretation, as follows. Let $Y$ is inverse Wishart distributed with parameters $Dufresne$’s identity [9].
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follows: if $Y$ is a Brownian motion in $P$ with drift $-\nu/2$, started at the identity, then $\int_0^\infty Y_s \, ds$ is inverse Wishart distributed with parameters $I/2$ and $2\nu$. When $n = 1$, this is known as Dufresne’s identity [9].

Let us define

\[
(P_\varphi f)(X) = \beta(X)^{-1} \int_P \varphi(AXA)p(X, A)f(X, A)\mu(dA),
\]

\[
J^{(\beta)} = \beta(X)^{-1} \circ (J - \lambda) \circ \beta(X),
\]

\[
M^{(\varphi)} = \varphi(Y)^{-1} \circ (M - \lambda) \circ \varphi(Y) = \Delta_X^{(\varphi)} + \tr(Y\delta_A).
\]

As before, with the change of variables $Y = AXA$, we can also write

\[
M^{(\varphi)} = \Delta_X^{(\varphi)} - 2\tr(XAX\delta_X) + \tr(XAX\delta_A).
\]

Then (5.1) extends to:

\[
(5.5) \quad J^{(\beta)} \circ P_\varphi = P_\varphi \circ M^{(\varphi)}.
\]

This intertwining relation has a probabilistic interpretation, as follows. Let $\rho$ be a probability measure on $P$ and define a probability measure on $P \times P$ by

\[
\sigma(dX, dA) = \rho(dX)\gamma_X(dA),
\]

where

\[
\gamma_X(dA) = \beta(X)^{-1} \varphi(AXA)p(X, A)\mu(dA).
\]

Suppose that $\varphi$ is such that the martingale problems associated with $(J^{(\beta)}, \rho)$ and $(M^{(\varphi)}, \sigma)$ are well-posed, and that $(X_t, A_t)$ is a diffusion process with infinitesimal generator $M^{(\varphi)}$ and initial law $\sigma$. Then, with respect to its own filtration, $X_t$ is a diffusion with generator $J^{(\beta)}$ and initial distribution $\rho$; moreover, the conditional law of $A_t$, given $X_s$, $s \leq t$, only depends on $X_t$ and is given by $\gamma_X(\cdot)(dA)$.

These conditions certainly hold when $\varphi(X) = |X|^{\nu/2}$, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, in which case we obtain the following generalisation of [26] Proposition 23. Define $\beta_\nu(X) = |X|^{\nu/2}B_\nu(X)$.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $Y_t$, $t \geq 0$ be a Brownian motion in $P$ with drift $\nu/2$ started at $1$, and let $A_t = \int_0^t Y_s \, ds$. Fix $X_0 \in P$, choose $A_0$ at random, independent of $Y$, according to the distribution $\gamma_{X_0}(dA)$, and define

\[
\tilde{Y}_t = \tilde{A}_0X_0^{1/2}Y_tX_0^{1/2}, \quad \tilde{A}_t = \tilde{A}_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{Y}_s \, ds.
\]

Then $X_t = \tilde{A}_t^{-1}\tilde{Y}_t\tilde{A}_t^{-1}$, $t \geq 0$ is a diffusion in $P$ with infinitesimal generator

\[
L_\nu = \Delta_X + 2\tr(\theta_X \ln \beta_\nu(X) \theta_X),
\]
started at \( X_0 \). In particular, as a degenerate case, the process \( A_t^{-1}Y_tA_t^{-1} \), \( t > 0 \) is a diffusion in \( \mathcal{P} \) with infinitesimal generator \( L_\nu \).

**Proof.** The relevant martingale problems are well posed, as shown in Appendix A (Example 5), so the first claim follows from the theory of Markov functions [15, 16], as outlined above. For the second, we can let \( X_t = mI \) and consider the limit as \( m \to \infty \). By Lemma 2.1, \( m^{1/2}A_t \to I \) in probability, as required.

The second statement was proved, under the condition \( 2|\nu| > n - 1 \), by Rider and Valkó [26], where it was anticipated that this condition was an artefact of their method of proof and not actually necessary for the conclusion to hold. In the case \( n = 1 \), the above theorem is due to Matsumoto and Yor [17], see also Baudoin [2]. We note that, as observed in [26], the law of the process with generator \( L_\nu \) is invariant under a change of sign of the underlying drift \( \nu \), as a consequence of the symmetry \( \beta_\nu = \beta_{-\nu} \), cf. (2.13).

More generally, if \( \varphi \) is such that, as \( X^{-1} \to 0 \), the measure \( \gamma_X(dA) \) is concentrated around \( AXA = I \), and the relevant martingale problems are well-posed, then the corresponding statement should hold: if \( Y_t \) is a Brownian motion in \( \mathcal{P} \) with drift \( \varphi \) and \( A_t = \int_0^t Y_s ds \), then \( A_t^{-1}Y_tA_t^{-1} \), \( t > 0 \) is a diffusion in \( \mathcal{P} \) with infinitesimal generator \( J^{(\beta)} \).

6. TWO PARTICLES WITH ONE-SIDED INTERACTION AND A ‘REFLECTING WALL’

Let \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \), and define

\[
R_Q = \Delta^{(\nu/2)}_Q + \text{tr} \, \hat{\partial} Q, \quad N = R_Q + \Delta^{(-\nu/2)}_X + 2 \text{tr} (Q \partial_X).
\]

We first note that \( R \) is self-adjoint with respect to the measure

\[
(6.1) \quad \pi(dQ) = |Q|^{\nu} \text{etr}(-Q^{-1})\mu(dQ).
\]

Define

\[
S_X = \Delta^{(-\nu/2)}_X - \text{tr} X^{-1}, \quad C f(X) = \int_\mathcal{P} \text{etr}(-QX^{-1}) f(X, Q) \pi(dQ).
\]

Then \( R_Q \text{etr}(-QX^{-1}) = S_X \text{etr}(-QX^{-1}) \), which implies \( S \circ C = C \circ N \).

Now suppose \( \rho \) is a positive eigenfunction of \( R \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \) such that

\[
\gamma(X) = \int_\mathcal{P} \rho(Q) \text{etr}(-QX^{-1}) \pi(dQ) < \infty.
\]

Then \( \gamma \) is a positive eigenfunction of \( S \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \). Define

\[
R^{(\rho)} = \rho(Q)^{-1} \circ (R - \lambda) \circ \rho(Q), \quad N^{(\rho)} = \rho(Q)^{-1} \circ (N - \lambda) \circ \rho(Q),
\]

\[
(C_\rho f)(X) = \gamma(X)^{-1} \int_\mathcal{P} \rho(Q) \text{etr}(-QX^{-1}) f(X, Q) \pi(dQ).
\]

Then the above intertwining relation extends to

\[
S^{(\gamma)} \circ C_\rho = C_\rho \circ N^{(\rho)}.
\]
For example, if \( \rho = 1 \), then \( \gamma(X) = B_{\rho}(X^{-1}) \). We note that \( S \) is related to the \( J \) of the previous section, via \( S_X = J_Y^{(\varphi)} \), where \( Y = X^{-1} \) and \( \varphi(Y) = |Y|^{\nu/2} \).

These intertwining relations (and their probabilistic interpretations) extend the following known relation between reflecting Brownian motion and the three-dimensional Bessel process: for appropriate initial conditions, a Brownian motion, reflected off a Brownian-motion-reflected-at-zero, is a three-dimensional Bessel process (\([7]\), see also \([1]\) Prop. 3.5)).

7. Whittaker functions and related processes

7.1. Whittaker functions of several matrix arguments. For \( X = (X_1, \ldots, X_N) \in \mathcal{P}^N \) and \( \nu \in \mathbb{C} \), we define

\[
e_{\nu}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} e_{\nu}(X_i)\]

and, for \( X = (X_1, \ldots, X_N) \in \mathcal{P}^N \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N \),

\[
e_{\lambda}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} e_{\lambda_i}(X_i).
\]

For \( N \geq 1 \), we define the product measure

\[
\mu_N(dX) = \mu(dX_1) \ldots \mu(dX_N).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}^N \) and, for \( X \in \mathcal{P}^N \), denote by \( \mathcal{T}(X) \) the set of \( Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_N) \in \mathcal{T} \) such that \( Y^N = X \). For \( Y \in \mathcal{T} \), define

\[
\mathcal{F}(Y) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m < N} \text{tr} [Y_i^m (Y_{i+1}^m)^{-1}] + \text{tr} [Y_{i+1}^m (Y_i^m)^{-1}].
\]

For \( Y \in \mathcal{T} \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N \), define

\[
e_{\lambda}(Y) = e_{\lambda_i}(Y_1^1) \prod_{2 \leq m \leq N} e_{\lambda_m}(Y^m) e_{-\lambda_m}(Y^{m-1}).
\]

Proposition 7.1. For \( X \in \mathcal{P}^N \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N \), the integral

\[
\psi_{\lambda}(X) = \int_{\mathcal{T}(X)} e_{\lambda}(Y) e^{-\mathcal{F}(Y)} \prod_{1 \leq m < N} \mu_m(dY^m)
\]

converges.

The proof is given in Appendix B.3 We note that, when \( N = 2 \),

\[
\psi_{\lambda}(X) = e_{\lambda_1}(X) K_n(|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|, X_1^{-1}, X_2).
\]

The following properties are straightforward to verify from the definition.
Proposition 7.2. Let $X \in \mathcal{P}^N$, $a \in GL(n)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and write $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i + \nu$ and $X' = (X_N^{-1}, \ldots, X_1^{-1})$. Then
\[
\psi_{\lambda}(X_1[a], \ldots, X_N[a]) = |a'|^\nu \sum_i a_i^\nu \psi_{\lambda'}(X),
\]
\[
\psi(X) = e_\nu(X) \psi_{\lambda}(X), \quad \psi_{\lambda}(X) = \psi_{\lambda}(-X').
\]

We also anticipate that $\psi_{\lambda}(X)$ is symmetric in the parameters $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$; in the case $N = 2$, this symmetry holds and follows from (2.13).

7.2. Interpretation as eigenfunctions. Consider the differential operator
\[
H^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_{X_i} - V(X), \quad V(X) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \text{tr}(X_i^{-1} X_{i+1}).
\]
This is a quantisation of the $N$-particle non-Abelian Toda chain on $\mathcal{P}$.

For $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}^N \times \mathcal{P}^{N-1}$ define
\[
Q^{(N)}_\nu(X, Y) = e_\nu(X) e_{-\nu}(Y) \text{etr} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (Y_i X_i^{-1} + X_{i+1} Y_{i+1}^{-1}) \right).
\]
We identify $Q^{(N)}_\nu$ with the integral operator defined, for appropriate $f$, by
\[
Q^{(N)}_\nu f(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}^{N-1}} Q^{(N)}_\nu(X, Y) f(Y) \mu_{N-1}(dY).
\]
Note that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$,
\[
\psi^{(N)}_{\lambda} = Q^{(N)}_{\lambda_N} \psi^{(N-1)}_{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{N-1}}, \quad \psi^{(1)}_{\lambda}(X) = |X|^\Delta.
\]
It is straightforward to show that
\[
(H_X^{(N)} - n\nu^2) Q^{(N)}_\nu(X, Y) = H^{(N-1)}_\nu Q^{(N)}_\nu(X, Y),
\]
with the convention $H^{(1)} = \Delta$. It follows that, on a suitable domain,
\[
(H^{(N)} - n\nu^2) \circ Q^{(N)}_\nu = Q^{(N)}_\nu \circ H^{(N-1)}.
\]
For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$, set
\[
H_{\lambda} = H^{(N)} - \sum_{i=1}^N n\lambda_i^2.
\]
The intertwining relation (7.4) implies that, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$,
\[
H_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda} = 0.
\]
The integral representation of Proposition 7.1 is a generalisation of the Givental-type formula obtained in [12] for the eigenfunctions of the quantum Toda lattice, also known as $GL(n)$-Whittaker functions. We remark that a slightly richer family of eigenfunctions of $H^{(N)}$ can be obtained by taking $\psi^{(1)}_{\lambda}$ to be an arbitrary eigenfunction of $\Delta$ in the recursive definition (7.3), provided the corresponding integrals converge.
7.3. **Feynman-Kac interpretation.** Define Brownian motion in \( \mathcal{P}^N \) with drift \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N \) to be the diffusion process in \( \mathcal{P}^N \) with infinitesimal generator

\[
\Delta_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_{\lambda_i}^{(X_i)}.
\]

We begin with a lemma.

**Lemma 7.3.** Let \( Y \) be a Brownian motion in \( \mathcal{P}^2 \) with drift \( \lambda \) started at \( X \), with \( \nu = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 > (n-1)/2 \). Then

\[
\int_0^\infty \text{tr} [Y_1(t)^{-1}Y_2(t)] dt \overset{law}{=} \text{tr} (AW^{-1}),
\]

where \( A = X_1^{-1/2}X_2X_1^{-1/2} \) and \( W \) is a Wishart random matrix with parameters \( I \) and \( 2\nu \).

The proof is given in Appendix B.4. Now let \( Y(t), t \geq 0 \) be a Brownian motion in \( \mathcal{P}^N \) with drift \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N \) started at \( X \). Suppose that \( \lambda_i - \lambda_j > (n-1)/2 \) for all \( i < j \), and define

\[
\varphi_\lambda(X) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( -2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_0^\infty \text{tr} [Y_i(t)^{-1}Y_{i+1}(t)] dt \right).
\]

**Proposition 7.4.** Suppose that \( \lambda_i - \lambda_j > (n-1)/2 \) for all \( i < j \). Then

\[
\psi_\lambda(X) = \prod_{i<j} \Gamma_n(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_\lambda(X) \varphi_\lambda(X).
\]

Moreover, under these hypotheses, \( \psi_\lambda(X) \) is the unique solution to (7.6), up to a constant factor, such that \( e_\lambda(X) \psi_\lambda(X) \) is bounded.

**Proof.** Define

\[
\varphi_\lambda(X) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( -2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_0^\infty \text{tr} [Y_i(t)^{-1}Y_{i+1}(t)] dt \right).
\]

It follows from Lemma 7.3 that

\[
\lim_{V(X) \to 0} \varphi_\lambda(X) = 1.
\]

By Feynman-Kac, \( (\Delta_\lambda - V)\varphi_\lambda = 0 \), hence \( f_\lambda = e_\lambda \varphi_\lambda \) satisfies the eigenvalue equation (7.6). A standard martingale argument (as in the proof of 5.1) then shows that \( f_\lambda \) is the unique solution to (7.6) such that \( e_\lambda f_\lambda \) is bounded and

\[
\lim_{X \to +\infty} e_\lambda(X) f_\lambda(X) = 1.
\]

It therefore suffices to show that \( e_\lambda \psi_\lambda \) is bounded and

\[
\lim_{V(X) \to 0} e_\lambda(X) \psi_\lambda(X) = \prod_{i<j} \Gamma_n(\lambda_i - \lambda_j).
\]

We prove these statements by induction on \( N \), using the recursion (7.3).
For $N = 1$, the claim holds since $\psi_A(X) = e_A(X)$ in this case. For $N \geq 2$, we have

$$\psi_A^{(N)}(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}_{N-1}} Q_{A_N}(X, Y) \psi_A^{(N-1)}(Y) \mu_{N-1}(dY),$$

where

$$Q_{A}^{(N)}(X, Y) = e_{A}(X) e_{-A}(Y) \text{etr} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(Y_i X_i^{-1} + X_{i+1}^{-1} Y_i\right) \right).$$

Let us write

$$g_A^{(N)}(X) = e_{-A}(X) \psi_A^{(N)}(X)$$

and

$$R_A(Y) = e_{A_1-A_N, \ldots, A_{N-1}-A_N}(Y) \text{etr} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(Y_i + A_i Y_i^{-1}\right) \right),$$

where $A_i = X_i^{-1/2} X_{i+1} X_i^{-1/2}$. Changing variables from $Y_i$ to $X_i^{-1/2} Y_i X_i^{-1/2}$, we can write

$$g_A^{(N)}(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}_{N-1}} R_A(Y) g_A^{(N-1)}(Y') \mu_{N-1}(dY'),$$

where $Y_i' = X_i^{-1/2} Y_i X_i^{1/2}$. By induction on $N$, we see immediately that

$$g_A^{(N)}(X) \leq \prod_{i<j} \Gamma_r(\lambda_i - \lambda_j).$$

Here we are using

$$R_A(Y) \leq e_{A_1-A_N, \ldots, A_{N-1}-A_N}(Y) \text{etr} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} Y_i \right),$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{N-1}} R_A(Y) \mu_{N-1}(dY) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \Gamma_n(\lambda_i - \lambda_N).$$

Now observe that, for each $Y \in \mathcal{P}^{N-1}$, if $V(X) \rightarrow 0$ then $V^{(N-1)}(Y') \rightarrow 0$. Thus the claim follows, again by induction, using the dominated convergence theorem. \(\square\)

In the scalar case $n = 1$, the above proposition is a special case of [3] Proposition 5.1, see also [21] Corollary 3.

7.4. Whittaker measures on $\mathcal{P}^N$. The following generalises an integral identity due to Stade [29]. The proof is straightforward, by induction, using (7.3).

**Proposition 7.5.** Let $s \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, $A \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Set $a = \sum_i (\lambda_i + \nu_i)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}^N} p_s(X_1) e^{-tr(A^{-1}X_1)} \psi_A(X) \psi_r(X) \mu_N(dX) = \frac{\Gamma_n(s + ae_r)}{\Gamma_n(a)} p_{s + ae_r}(A) \prod_{i,j} \Gamma_n(\lambda_i + \nu_j).$$
In particular, if \( \lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^N \) satisfy \( \lambda_i + \nu_j > (n - 1)/2 \) for all \( i, j \), then
\[
\Omega_{\lambda, \nu}(dX) = \prod_{i,j} \Gamma_n(\lambda_i + \nu_j)^{-1} e^{-\text{tr}(X_i)} \psi_\lambda(X) \psi_\nu(X) \mu_N(dX)
\]
defines a probability measure on \( \mathcal{P}^N \), which generalises the Whittaker measures of [8, 23].

7.5. **Triangular processes.** Consider the differential operator on \( \mathcal{T} \) defined for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N \) by

\[
G_\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m \leq N} \Delta^{(i,m)}_{Y_m} + 2 \text{tr} \left[ \epsilon m_i Y^{-1}_m (Y^{-1}_m - 1) \partial Y_m \right],
\]
where \( \epsilon_{ij} = 1 - \delta_{ij} \). Define a kernel from \( \mathcal{P}^N \) to \( \mathcal{T} \) by
\[
\Sigma_\lambda(X, dY) = \delta_X(dY^N) e^{\lambda(Y)} \prod_{1 \leq m < N} \mu_m(dY^m),
\]
and note that
\[
\psi_\lambda(X) = \int \Sigma_\lambda(X, dY).
\]

Then the following intertwining relation holds:

\[
H_\lambda \circ \Sigma_\lambda = \Sigma_\lambda \circ G_\lambda.
\]

This extends (7.4) and is readily verified by induction. Define

\[
L_\lambda = \psi_\lambda(X)^{-1} \circ H_\lambda \circ \psi_\lambda(X).
\]

It is shown in Appendix (Example 6) that, for any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N \), the martingale problems associated with \( G_\lambda \) and \( L_\lambda \) are well posed, for any initial conditions. We thus deduce from the intertwining relation (7.8) the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.6.** Let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( X \in \mathcal{P}^N \), and suppose that \( Y(t), t \geq 0 \) is a diffusion in \( \mathcal{T} \) with generator \( G_\lambda \) and initial law
\[
\sigma_\lambda(X, dY) = \psi_\lambda(X)^{-1} \Sigma_\lambda(X, dY).
\]

Then \( Y^N(t), t \geq 0 \) is a diffusion in \( \mathcal{P}^N \) with generator \( L_\lambda \). Moreover, for each \( t \geq 0 \), the conditional law of \( Y(t) \), given \( Y^N(s), s \leq t \), is \( \sigma_\lambda(Y^N(t), dY) \).

We note that \( G_\lambda \) contains the autonomous
\[
T_\lambda = \Delta_\lambda + 2 \sum_{i=2}^N \text{tr} [X_{i-1} \partial X_i], \quad T_\lambda' = \Delta_\lambda - 2 \sum_{i=2}^N \text{tr} [X_i X_{i-1} \partial X_i].
\]

These are the diffusions with one-sided interactions discussed in Section 3. It follows from the above theorem that, if \( X(t), t \geq 0 \) is a diffusion in \( \mathcal{P}^N \) with generator \( T_\lambda \) (respectively \( T_\lambda' \)), with appropriate initial conditions, then \( X_N(t), t \geq 0 \) is distributed as the first (respectively last) coordinate of a diffusion in \( \mathcal{P}^N \) with generator \( L_\lambda \).
8. The non-Abelian Toda chain

The non-Abelian Toda chain is a Hamiltonian system which describes the evolution of a system of particles $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ in the space of invertible $n \times n$ matrices. Writing $A_i = X_i^{-1}X_{i+1}$ and $B_i = X_i^{-1}X_i$, the equations of motion are

$$\dot{A}_i = A_iB_{i+1} - B_iA_i, \quad \dot{B}_i = A_{i-1} - A_i.$$ Equivalently, we can write

$$\dot{X}_i = P_i, \quad \dot{P}_i = P_iX_i^{-1}P_i + X_iX_i^{-1}X_i - X_{i+1}.$$ In these $(X, P)$ coordinates, the space $\mathcal{P}^N \times S^N$ is stable under the dynamics, where $S$ denotes the set of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. The system therefore admits a natural quantisation in this space, with Hamiltonian (7.2). The diffusion with generator $G_A$, defined by (7.7) with $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$, is in fact a stochastic version of a series of Bäcklund transformations between non-Abelian Toda chains with different numbers of particles.

We illustrate this here in the case $N = 2$. Writing $B_1 = X_1^{-1}X_1, B_2 = X_2^{-1}X_2$, and $A = X_1^{-1}X_2$, the equations of motion are

$$\dot{A} = AB_2 - B_1A, \quad \dot{B}_1 = -A, \quad \dot{B}_2 = A.$$ There are two constants of motion, namely $L_1 = B_1 + B_2$ and $L_2 = B_1B_2 + A$.

Consider the following coupled system of ODE’s:

$$X_1^{-1}\dot{X}_1 = X_1^{-1}Y + \nu, \quad X_2^{-1}\dot{X}_2 = -Y^{-1}X_2 + \nu, \quad Y^{-1}\dot{Y} = X_1^{-1}Y - Y^{-1}X_2 + \nu.$$ Let us write $B_1 = X_1^{-1}\dot{X}_1, B_2 = X_2^{-1}\dot{X}_2, A = X_1^{-1}X_2$ and $C = Y^{-1}\dot{Y}$. Suppose that, initially, $\nu$ commutes with $B_1$ and $B_2$. Then this property persists, $B_1, B_2$ and $A$ satisfy (8.1), and $\dot{C} = 0$. Moreover, setting $C = \lambda$, we have $B_1 + B_2 = \lambda + \nu$ and $B_1B_2 + A = \nu\lambda$. Note that, under these hypotheses, it must hold that the parameters $\lambda$ and $\nu$ commute.

This defines a Bäcklund transformation between the two-particle and one-particle non-Abelian Toda chains. In the case $\nu = 0$, it can be seen as a simple degeneration of the auto-Bäcklund transformation for the semi-infinite non-Abelian Toda chain described in the paper [25]. It enables one to construct solutions for the two-particle system, as follows.

Denote by $\Lambda_{\lambda, \nu}$ the set of invertible matrices $X_1, X_2, Y$ which satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\nu, X_1^{-1}Y] = [\nu, Y^{-1}X_2] = 0,$$

together with the (generalised) algebraic Riccati equation

$$\nu + X_1^{-1}Y = \lambda + Y^{-1}X_2.$$ Then, assuming $\lambda$ and $\nu$ are such that it is non-empty, the set $\Lambda_{\lambda, \nu}$ is stable under the evolution

$$\dot{X}_1 = Y + X_1\nu, \quad \dot{X}_2 = -X_2Y^{-1}X_2 + X_2\nu, \quad \dot{Y} = Y\lambda,$$

under which $X$ is a realisation of the non-Abelian Toda flow with parameters $\lambda, \nu$. 

If $\lambda$ and $\nu$ are real numbers, then it follows from the theory of Riccati equations (see, for example, [31 Theorem 4.1]) that, for any initial condition $X(0) \in P^2$, there is a unique solution $Y(0) \in P$ to (5.2).

If $B_1 + B_2 = \lambda + \nu = 0$ then, writing $B = B_2$, we have $A = B^2 - \lambda^2$ and the equations of motion reduce to the ‘rank-one’ system

$$\dot{A} = AB + BA, \quad \dot{B} = A.$$ 

Finally we note that, for $\lambda$ and $\nu$ real, the diffusion with generator $G_{\lambda, \nu}/2$, where

$$G_{\lambda, \nu} = \Delta_Y^{(\lambda)} + \Delta_{X_1}^{(\nu)} + 2 \text{tr} (Y \partial_X X_1) + \Delta_{X_2}^{(\nu)} - 2 \text{tr} (X_2 Y^{-1} X_2 \partial_X X)$$

(as defined by (7.7); in the case $N = 2$) has precisely the evolution (8.3), with additional noise, in the space $P^2 \times P$.

These observations extend naturally to the $N$-particle case, in a recursive fashion, just as in the scalar ($n = 1$) setting [20,22].

9. A RELATED CLASS OF PROCESSES

Let $G$ be a right-invariant Brownian motion in $GL(n)$, satisfying $\partial G = \partial \beta G$, where $\beta$ is a Brownian motion in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with each entry having infinitesimal variance $1/2$. Then $Y = G^t G$ is a Brownian motion in $P$. As the evolution of $Y$ is governed by $\Delta$, its law is invariant under the action of $GL(n)$ on $P$.

Norris, Rogers and Williams [18] consider the closely related Markov process $X = GG^t$. This process has the same eigenvalues as $Y$, but its eigenvectors behave quite differently. It satisfies $\partial X = \partial \beta X + X \partial \beta^t$, from which the Markov property is evident, and one may compute its infinitesimal generator:

$$\Omega_X = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\partial_X \partial_X^t + \partial_X^t \partial_X) = \text{tr} (X^2 \partial_X^2) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \partial_X + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (X) \text{tr} \partial_X.$$ 

The differential operator $\Omega$ is easily seen to be $O(n)$-invariant, but not $GL(n)$-invariant. Nevertheless, it bears many similarities to the Laplacian. For example, it agrees with the Laplacian when applied to radial functions on $P$, is self-adjoint with respect to $\mu$, and is invariant under the change of variables $Y = X^{-1}$. If $k(X,Y) = \text{etr} (-XY^{-1})$, then $\Omega_X k = \Omega_Y k$. An important difference is the associated product rule, cf. (2.6):

$$\Omega(fg) = (\Omega f) g + f (\Omega g) + \text{tr} [X^2 (\partial_X f)(\partial_X g)] + \text{tr} [X^2 (\partial_X g)(\partial_X f)].$$

Many of the diffusions we have considered have natural analogues in which the underlying motion of particles is governed by $\Omega$ rather than $\Delta$. Let $G$ be a right-invariant Brownian motion in $GL(n)$ with drift $\nu/2$, started at the identity. Then $Y = G^t G$ is a Brownian motion in $P$ with drift $\nu/2$, and $X = GG^t$ is a diffusion in $P$ with generator

$$\Omega_X^{(\nu/2)} = \Omega_X + \nu \text{tr} \partial_X.$$ 

We note that this is the Doob transform of $\Omega_X$ via the positive eigenfunction $|X|^\nu/2$. 

Consider the process in $\mathcal{P}$ defined by $Q = G(Q_0 + A)G'$, where $A_t = \int_0^t Y_s^{-1} ds$ and $Q_0$ is independent of $G$. This is a diffusion in $\mathcal{P}$ with infinitesimal generator

$$
\mathcal{R} = \Omega_X^{y/2} + \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{Q}.
$$

This process (with a different normalisation) was studied in [26], where it was observed that $\mathcal{R}$ is self-adjoint with respect to the measure (6.1), as in the case of a Brownian particle.

For several particles with one-sided interactions, the interactions need to be modified on account of the product rule (9.1). For example, we may consider

$$
\mathcal{T} = \Omega_Y^{(\lambda)} + \Omega_X + \operatorname{tr} [(XYX^{-1} + X^{-1}YX)\partial_X].
$$

Assume $2\lambda > n - 1$. Then $\Omega_Y^{(\lambda)} \circ K = K \circ \mathcal{T}$, where $(K\lambda f)(X) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} f(X, Y) \Pi_\lambda(X, dY)$ and $\Pi_\lambda$ is defined by (3.4). Assuming the associated martingale problem is well posed, this yields the following analogue of the ‘Burke’ Theorem 3.1: if $(X_t, Y_t)$ be a diffusion in $\mathcal{P}^2$ with generator $\mathcal{T}$ and initial law $\delta_{X_0}(dX)\Pi_\lambda(X, dY)$ then, with respect to its own filtration, $X_t$ is a diffusion in $\mathcal{P}$ with generator $\Omega_X^{(\lambda)}$, started at $X_0$; moreover, the conditional law of $Y_t$, given $X_s$, $s \leq t$, only depends on $X_t$ and is given by $\Pi_\lambda(X_t, dY)$.

**Appendix A. Well-posedness of martingale problems**

In all of the examples we consider, we have generators of the form

$$
L = \sum_{i=1}^r [\Delta_{X_i} + \operatorname{tr} (b_i(X)\partial_{X_i})],
$$

where the $b_i$ are locally Lipschitz functions on $\mathcal{P}^r$. The corresponding SDE therefore admits a unique strong solution, for any given initial condition, up to an explosion time $\tau$. If $\tau = \infty$ almost surely, then the corresponding martingale problem is well-posed. To show that $\tau = \infty$ almost surely, it suffices to find a positive function $U$ and constant $c$ such that $U(X) \to \infty$ as $X \to \partial \mathcal{P}^N$ and $LU \leq cU$. Such a function $U$ is called a Lyapunov function.

In the following, we exhibit Lyapunov functions for some of the generators considered in the previous sections.

**Example 1.** Suppose $\varphi = p_s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$
L = \Delta_X^{(\varphi)} = \varphi(X)^{-1} \circ (\Delta_X - \lambda_2(s)) \circ \varphi(X) = \Delta_X + 2 \operatorname{tr} (\varphi(X)^{-1} \ln \varphi(X) \varphi(X)^{-1}).
$$

If we let

$$
U(X) = |X| + |X|^{-1},
$$

then

$$
LU \leq [4n + 8\delta]U, \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i + \cdots + s_n.
$$

We note that, since $|X[k]| = |X|$ for all $k \in O(n)$, the same Lyapunov function works for $\varphi = h_s$ or $\varphi = p_{s,k}$.
Example 2. In Section 3 we encounter

\[ T = \Delta_Y + \Delta_X + 2 \text{tr} (Y \partial_X) . \]

Let

\[ V(X, Y) = \text{tr} (YX^{-1}) - \ln |XY^{-1}| . \]  

(A.1)

Note that \( V \) is positive, since \( X^{-1/2}YX^{-1/2} \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( e^x > x \).

We compute

\[ \Delta_X V = \Delta_Y V = \frac{n + 1}{2} \text{tr} (YX^{-1}) \]

and

\[ \text{tr} (Y \partial_X) V = \text{tr} (YX^{-1}) - \text{tr} (YX^{-1}YX^{-1}) . \]

For the first identity we have used (2.4) and (2.10), and for the second we have used (2.3) and (2.9). It follows that

\[ TV \leq (n + 3) \text{tr} (YX^{-1}) . \]

Now, using \( X^{-1/2}YX^{-1/2} \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( e^x > 2x \), it holds that

\[ \text{tr} (YX^{-1}) \leq 2V , \]

(A.2)

and so \( TV \leq 2(n + 3)V \). For the Lyapunov function we can now take

\[ U = |Y| + |Y|^{-1} + V . \]

Noting that

\[ \Delta_Y(|Y| + |Y|^{-1}) = n(|Y| + |Y|^{-1}) , \]

we obtain \( TU \leq 2(n + 3)U \), as required.

One may also consider the same process with drifts:

\[ T' = \Delta_Y^{(d)} + \Delta_X^{(v)} + 2 \text{tr} (Y \partial_X) . \]

In this case, if we define \( U' = U + d/c \), where \( d = 2(\nu - \lambda)^*n \) and \( c = 2(n + 3) + 4n(\lambda | + |\nu|) \), then it holds that \( T'U' \leq cU' \).

Example 3. For

\[ G = \Delta_Y^{(d)} + \Delta_X^{(v)} + 2 \text{tr} (Y \partial_X) + \Delta_X^{(v)} - 2 \text{tr} (X_2Y^{-1}X_2 \partial_X) , \]

we let \( U = |Y| + |Y|^{-1} + V' \), where

\[ V'(X_1, X_2, Y) = V(X_1, Y) + V(Y, X_2) = \text{tr} (YX_1^{-1}) + \text{tr} (X_2Y^{-1}) - \ln |X_1^{-1}X_2| , \]

with \( V \) defined by (A.1). Then it holds that

\[ GV' \leq (2n + 6 + 4(\lambda | + |\nu|))V' , \]

and hence

(A.3)

\[ GU \leq (2n + 6 + 4(\lambda | + |\nu|))U . \]
Example 4. Consider
\[ L = \psi_{\lambda,\nu}^{(2)}(X)^{-1}H_{\lambda,\nu,\psi_{\lambda,\nu}^{(2)}}(X), \]
where
\[ H_{\lambda,\nu} = \Delta X_1 + \Delta X_2 - 2 \frac{\text{tr}(X_1^{-1}X_2)}{n\lambda^2 - n\nu^2}. \]
Let \( G \) and \( U \) be as in the previous example. From (7.4), we have the intertwining
\[ H_{\lambda,\nu} \circ Q_{\lambda,\nu}^{(2)} = Q_{\lambda,\nu}^{(2)} \circ G. \]
Together with (A.3), this implies that
\[ L\tilde{U} \leq (2n + 6 + 4(|\lambda| + |\nu|))\tilde{U}. \]
where
\[ \tilde{U}(X) = \psi_{\lambda,\nu}^{(2)}(X)^{-1}Q_{\lambda,\nu}^{(2)}U(X). \]
By Lemma [B.1]
\[ 2U(X,Y) \leq 2|Y| + 2|Y|^{-1} + \text{tr} X_1^{-2} + \text{tr} X_2^2 + \text{tr} Y^2 + \text{tr} Y^{-2} - 2 \ln |X_1^{-1}X_2|. \]
Together with Lemma [B.3] this implies that \( \tilde{U}(X) < \infty \) for all \( X \in \mathcal{P}^2 \). Finally, by Fatou’s lemma, \( \tilde{U}(X) \to \infty \) as \( X \to \partial \mathcal{P}^2 \), as required.

Example 5. In Section 5 especially Theorem 5.2, we encounter
\[ M_\nu = \Delta_\nu^{(\nu/2)} + \text{tr} (Y \partial A), \quad L_\nu = \Delta_\nu + 2 \text{tr} (\partial \ln \beta_\nu(X) \partial X). \]
Recall that \( L_\nu = J^2 \beta_\nu(X)^{-1} \circ (J - \lambda) \circ \beta_\nu(X) \), where \( J = \Delta_\nu - \text{tr} X \), \( \beta_\nu(X) = |X|^{\nu/2} B_\nu(X) \) and \( \lambda = n\nu^2/4 \).
For the first process, we can take
\[ U(Y, A) = \text{tr} Y + \text{tr} Y^{-1} + \text{tr} A + \text{tr} A^{-1}. \]
Then it holds that \( M_\nu U \leq c U \), where \( c = (n + |\nu| + 3)/2 \). It follows, using the intertwining relation (5.5) together with Fatou’s lemma (as in the previous example), that \( \hat{U} = P_\nu U \) is a Lyapunov function for the generator \( L_\nu \), where
\[ (P_\nu f)(X) = B_\nu(X)^{-1} \int_\mathcal{P} |Y|^{\nu} \text{etr}(AX - A^{-1}) f(X, A) \mu(dA). \]
Note that, by Lemma [B.1]
\[ 2U(AXA, A) \leq \text{tr} A^4 + \text{tr} A^4 + \text{tr} A^{-4} + \text{tr} X^{-2} + \text{tr} A + \text{tr} A^{-1}, \]
which together with Lemma [B.3] implies
\[ \hat{U}(X) = B_\nu(X)^{-1} \int_\mathcal{P} |Y|^{\nu} \text{etr}(AX - A^{-1}) U(AXA, A) \mu(dA) < \infty. \]
In the application of Fatou’s lemma, we use the fact that, for each fixed \( A \in \mathcal{P} \), \( X \to \partial \mathcal{P} \) iff \( AXA \to \partial \mathcal{P} \).
Example 6. Here we consider the generators $G_A$ and $L_A$ defined by (7.7) and (7.9), respectively, with $A \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We follow the same approach as in Examples 3 and 4 above, which treat the case $N = 2$. If $U$ is a Lyapunov function for $G_A$ with $\Sigma_A U < \infty$, then, by the intertwining relation (7.8), $\tilde{U}(X) = \psi_A(X)^{-1}\Sigma_A U(X)$ is a Lyapunov function for $L_A$.

Consider the directed graph with vertices \{(i, m) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m \leq N\}, and edges

$$(i, m) \to (i, m + 1), \ 1 \leq i \leq m < N, \quad (i, m) \to (i - 1, m - 1), \ 1 < i \leq m \leq N.$$ Let us simplify notation and write, for example, $\nu_i$, $\delta_{i1}$, $\Delta A_i$, etc. We will also write $\nu a = \lambda_m$, for $a = (i, m)$. Finally, for $a = (i, m)$ denote $a' = (i, m - 1)$ and $a'' = (i - 1, m - 1)$, whenever these neighbouring vertices exist. Then we can write

$$G_A = \sum_a [\Delta^{(\nu_a)} + \delta_a], \quad \delta_a = 2 \text{tr}(Y_a \vartheta_a) - 2 \text{tr}(Y_a Y^{-1} \vartheta_a).$$

Here we adopt the convention that the term involving $a'$ is defined to be zero when $a = (m, m)$ and similarly the term involving $a''$ is defined to be zero when $a = (1, m)$.

Let

$$U(Y) = |Y_1^-| + |Y_1^+|^{-1} + \sum_{a < b} U_{ab},$$

where

$$U_{ab} = \text{tr}(Y_a Y_b^{-1}) - \ln |Y_a Y_b^{-1}|,$$

and the sum is over all pairs of distinct vertices $a, b$ such that there is a directed path starting at $a$ and ending at $b$. We first note that

$$\Delta_{Y_1^-}(|Y_1^-| + |Y_1^+|^{-1}) = n(|Y_1^-| + |Y_1^+|^{-1}),$$

and for each pair $a < b$, using (2.4) and (A.2),

$$\Delta_a U_{ab} = \Delta_b U_{ab} = \frac{n + 1}{2} \text{tr}(Y_a Y_b^{-1}) \leq (n + 1)U_{ab}.$$ Hence

$$\sum_a \Delta_a U \leq 2(n + 1)U.$$ We also have, using (2.3) and (2.9), respectively,

$$(2\nu_a \text{tr} \vartheta_a + 2\nu_b \text{tr} \vartheta_b)U_{ab} = 2(\nu_a - \nu_b) \text{tr}(Y_a Y_b^{-1}) + 2(\nu_b - \nu_a)n \leq 2(\nu_a - \nu_b)^+ U_{ab} + 2(\nu_b - \nu_a)^+ n,$$

and

$$2\lambda_1 \text{tr} \vartheta_{Y_1^-}(|Y_1^-| + |Y_1^+|^{-1}) \leq 2|\lambda_1| n (|Y_1^-| + |Y_1^+|^{-1}).$$

Combining these gives

$$\sum_a \Delta^{(\nu_a)} U \leq cU + d,$$

where $c = 2(n + 1) + 2|\lambda_1| n + 4 \max_{a < b}(\nu_a - \nu_b)^+$ and $d = 2n \sum_{a < b}(\nu_b - \nu_a)^+$. 
Finally, we note that
\[
\delta_a U_{ab} \leq 2 \text{tr} (Y_a Y_b^{-1}) + 2 \text{tr} 2 \text{tr} (Y_a Y_b^{-1}) \leq 4(U_a b + U_{aa^*}),
\]
and
\[
\delta_b U_{ab} \leq 2 \text{tr} (Y_a Y_b^{-1}) + 2 \text{tr} 2 \text{tr} (Y_b Y_b^{-1}) \leq 4(U_{ab^*} + U_{b'b}).
\]

hence
\[
\sum_a \delta_a U \leq 4 \sum_{a < b} (U_{a'b} + U_{aa^*} + U_{ab^*} + U_{b'b}) \leq 2(N + 2)(N - 1) U.
\]

We thus obtain a Lyapunov function
\[
U' = U + \frac{d}{c'}
\]
for \( G_\lambda \) with \( G_\lambda U \leq c' U' \) and \( c' = c + 2(N + 2)(N - 1) \). It remains to show that \( \Sigma \lambda U' < \infty \). This is straightforward, using
\[
2 \text{tr} (Y_a Y_b^{-1}) \leq \text{tr} Y_a^2 + \text{tr} Y_b^{-2},
\]
and proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 7.1.

**Appendix B.**

**B.1. Matrix inequalities.**

**Lemma B.1.** For \( A, B, C \in \mathcal{P} \), we have
\[
\text{tr} A^2 B + \text{tr} C^2 B^{-1} \geq 2 \text{tr} AC.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( X = B^{1/2} A - B^{-1/2} C \). Then
\[
X^t X = ABA + C B^{-1} C - CA - AC,
\]
and \( \text{tr} X^t X \geq 0 \) implies the result. \( \square \)

Note that this implies, for \( A, B, C \in \mathcal{P} \),
\[
\text{tr} AB^{-1} + \text{tr} BC^{-1} \geq 2 \text{tr} (A^{1/2} C^{-1/2}).
\]

Also, taking \( B = C \), this becomes
\[
\text{tr} AB^{-1} \geq 2 \text{tr} (A^{1/2} B^{-1/2}) - r,
\]
and we note that iterating this gives
\[
\text{tr} AB^{-1} \geq 2^k \text{tr} (A^{1/2} B^{-1/2}) - r(2^k - 1).
\]

**Lemma B.2.** For \( A_1, \ldots, A_m \in \mathcal{P} \), there exist constants \( a, c > 0 \) and \( d \geq 0 \) (depending only on \( m \)) such that
\[
\text{tr} A_1 A_2^{-1} + \text{tr} A_2 A_3^{-1} + \cdots + \text{tr} A_{m-1} A_m^{-1} \geq c \text{tr} (A_1^a A_m^{-a}) - d.
\]

**Proof.** From the above lemma,
\[
\text{tr} A_1 A_2^{-1} + \text{tr} A_2 A_3^{-1} \geq 2 \text{tr} (A_1^{1/2} A_3^{-1/2})
\]
and
\[
\text{tr} A_3 A_4^{-1} + \text{tr} A_4 A_5^{-1} \geq 2 \text{tr} (A_3^{1/2} A_5^{-1/2}).
\]
Summing these and applying the lemma again gives
\[ \operatorname{tr} A_1 A_2^{-1} + \cdots + \operatorname{tr} A_4 A_5^{-1} \geq 4 \operatorname{tr} (A_1^{1/4} A_5^{-1/4}). \]
Continuing this procedure implies the statement for \( m \) odd, in which case we can take \( c = 2^{(m-1)/2}, \alpha = 1/c \) and \( d = 0 \). For \( m \) even, we bound the last term in the sum by
\[ \operatorname{tr} A_{m-1} A_m^{-1} \geq 2^k \operatorname{tr} (A_{m-1}^{1/2^k} A_m^{-1/2^k}) - r(2^k - 1) \]
with \( k = (m-2)/2 \), and then applying the lemma again implies the statement with \( c = 2^m \), \( \alpha = 1/c \) and \( d = n(2^k - 1) \).

\[ \square \]

B.2. Convergence lemma.

**Lemma B.3.** For any \( V, W \in \mathcal{P}, \nu, p \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \alpha > 0 \), the integrals

\[ I_1 = \int_{\mathcal{P}} e_\nu(Y) \operatorname{tr} Y^p \operatorname{etr} (-V Y^\alpha - W Y^{-\alpha}) \mu(dY), \]

and

\[ I_2 = \int_{\mathcal{P}} e_\nu(Y) \ln|Y| \operatorname{etr} (-V Y^\alpha - W Y^{-\alpha}) \mu(dY) \]

are convergent.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we can assume that \( \nu, p \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( \kappa \) is the smallest among the set of eigenvalues of \( V \) and \( W \), then

\[ |I_1| \leq \int_{\mathcal{P}} e_\nu(Y) \operatorname{tr} Y^p \operatorname{etr} (-\kappa[Y^\alpha + Y^{-\alpha}]) \mu(dY), \]

and

\[ |I_2| \leq \int_{\mathcal{P}} e_\nu(Y) \ln|Y| \operatorname{etr} (-\kappa[Y^\alpha + Y^{-\alpha}]) \mu(dY). \]

These integrals are easily seen to be finite using (2.7) (and in fact can be expressed as Pfaffians using de Bruijn’s formula). \( \square \)

B.3. **Proof of Proposition 7.1.** First note that, without loss of generality, we can assume \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N \). For each \( 1 \leq i < j \leq N \), define

\[ \mathcal{F}_{ij}(Y) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{m=N+i-j}^{N-1} \operatorname{tr} [Y_i^m(Y_{i+j}^{m+1})^{-1} + Y_{j-N}^{m+1}(Y_{i+j}^{m})^{-1}], \]

and observe that

\[ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \mathcal{F}_{ij}(Y) \leq \mathcal{F}(Y). \]

By Lemma B.2 there exist constants \( \alpha, c, d > 0 \) such that

\[ \mathcal{F}_{ij}(Y) \geq c \operatorname{tr} [X_i^\alpha(Y_{i+j}^{N+i-j})^{-\alpha} + (Y_{i+j}^{N+i-j})^\alpha X_i^{-1}] - d. \]
It follows that
\[ \psi_\lambda(X) \leq \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} R_{ij}(X_i, X_j), \]
where
\[ R_{ij}(X_i, X_j) = e^d \int e^{\lambda_{N+1-i-j} - \lambda_{N+1-i-j+1}}(Z) \text{etr} \left( -c[Z^\alpha Z^{-\alpha} + Z^\alpha X_i^{-\alpha}] \right) \mu(dZ). \]

These integrals converge by Lemma B.3.

B.4. **Proof of Lemma 7.3** This follows from the matrix Dufresne identity [26, Theorem 1], together with the fact that the eigenvalue process of \( Y_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} Y_2(t) Y_1(t) + \frac{1}{2} \) has the same law as that of a Brownian motion in \( P \) with generator \( 2\Delta - 2\nu \text{tr} \theta_X \) started at \( A \). The latter can be seen as follows. Consider a realisation of the process \( Y \) defined by
\[ Y_i = G_t i G_i, \]
where \( G_i \) are independent, right-invariant Brownian motions on \( GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \), with respective drifts \( \lambda_i \). Then the eigenvalues of \( Y_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} Y_2(t) Y_1(t) + \frac{1}{2} \) are the same as those of \( NN^t \), where \( N = G_2 G_1^{-1} \). The process \( NN^t \) is easily seen to be Markovian with infinitesimal generator \( \Delta_X^{\lambda_1} + \Omega_X^{\lambda_2} \), where \( \Omega_X^{\lambda_2} \) denotes the generator of \( G_2 G_1^t \). As far as the eigenvalues are concerned, we can replace \( \Omega_X^{\lambda_2} \) by \( \Delta_X^{\lambda_2} \) using orthogonal invariance, as required.
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