THE REACHABLE SPACE OF THE HEAT EQUATION FOR A FINITE ROD AS A REPRODUCING KERNEL HILBERT SPACE

MARCOS LÓPEZ-GARCÍA

Abstract. We use some results from the theory of Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces to show that the reachable space of the heat equation for a finite rod with either one or two Dirichlet boundary controls is a RKHS of analytic functions on a square, and we compute its reproducing kernel. We also show that the null reachable space of the heat equation for the half line with Dirichlet boundary data is a RKHS of analytic functions on a sector, whose reproducing kernel is (essentially) the sum of pullbacks of the Bergman and Hardy kernels on the half plane \( \mathbb{C}^+ \). We also consider the case with Neumann boundary data.

1. Introduction

Let \( T > 0 \) fixed. Consider the following control system

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t w - \partial_{xx} w &= 0, & 0 < x < 1, & 0 < t < T, \\
w(0,t) &= u_\ell(t), & w(1,t) &= u_r(t), & 0 < t < T, \\
w(x,0) &= 0, & 0 < x < 1,
\end{aligned}
\]

which models the temperature propagation in a rod with length 1.

In control theory is an important issue to describe the so-called null reachable space, at time \( T > 0 \), defined as follows

\[ \mathcal{R}_T := \{ w(\cdot,T) : w \text{ is solution of system (1)} \} \]

Using the null controllability of the system (1) in any positive time (see [4, Theorem 3.3]), one can show that the set of states \( w(\cdot,T) \) reached by solutions of system (1) from any initial datum \( w(x,0) \in L^2(0,1) \) coincides with \( \mathcal{R}_T \). The null controllability also implies that \( \mathcal{R}_T \) does not depend on \( T > 0 \) (see a proof in [5]), thus \( \mathcal{R} \) will denote this space.

The problem is to identify the space of all analytic extensions of the functions in (some subspace of) \( \mathcal{R} \) in terms of spaces of analytic functions with some structure.

From [2 Theorem 1.1] and [7 Theorem 2.1] we have that

\[ \text{hol}(\overline{Q}) \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \text{hol}(\overline{Q}), \]

where \( Q = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y| < x, |y| < 1-x\} \) and \( \text{hol}(\overline{Q}) \) is the set of all analytic functions on a neighborhood of \( \overline{Q} \). Hence these results established the domain of
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analyticity to deal with.

In [5] was proved that

\[ E^2(Q) \subset R \subset A^2(Q), \]

where \( E^2(Q) \) is the Hardy-Smirnov space on \( Q \) and \( A^2(Q) \) is the (unweighted) Bergman space on \( Q \). Thus, in this work well-known spaces of analytic functions with some structure appeared for the first time.

In [8] the author has proved that the null reachable space \( R \) is the sum of two Bergman spaces on sectors (whose intersection is \( Q \)), i.e.

\[ R = A^2(\Delta) + A^2(1 - \Delta), \]

where \( \Delta := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{arg} \ z < \pi/4 \} \).

As the author has remarked, given any function \( f \in \text{hol}(Q) \), how can we write \( f \) as a sum of two functions in those different Bergman spaces? Notice that \( A^2(\Delta) \) and \( A^2(1 - \Delta) \) are RKHSs on different domains.

In this work our main result (Theorem 4) shows that the null reachable space \( R \) is the sum of two RKHSs on the same domain \( Q \), therefore \( R \) is a RKHS on \( Q \) (by properties of the RKHSs). Corollary 2.1 in [9, page 98] gives a necessary and sufficient condition so that a function \( f \in \text{hol}(Q) \) can be in \( R \).

2. Statement of the results

To get the characterization of \( R \) as a RKHS on \( Q \), we proceed in several steps. We characterize the subspaces in \( R \) corresponding to the cases either \( u_r = 0 \) or \( u_\ell = 0 \) or \( u_r = -u_\ell \) or \( u_r = u_\ell \) as RKHSs of analytic functions on a square.

In [2] the authors ask for a characterization of the null reachable space, at time \( T > 0 \), with just one Dirichlet boundary control. So we consider the null reachable space, at time \( T > 0 \),

\[ R^\ell_T := \{ w(\cdot, T) : w \text{ is solution of system (1) with } u_\ell \in L^2(0, T), u_r \equiv 0 \} \]

with one Dirichlet boundary control on the left. As before, we can see that the space \( R^\ell_T \) does not depend on \( T > 0 \), so \( R^\ell \) will denote this space.

Motivated by the idea in [5] of writing the solution of system (1) in terms of integral operators having well known heat kernels (see [9], and by using the characterization of the image of a linear mapping as a RKHS (see [9, page 134]) we have obtained the characterization of \( R^\ell \) as a RKHS on a square.

First, we introduce some notation and definitions. Consider the square \( D := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y| < x, |y| < 2 - x \} \), the open set \( D^\infty := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n + D) \) and the following positive definite function on the sector \( \Delta \),

\[ K_0(z, w; T) := \frac{z w}{\pi} e^{\frac{-z^2 + w^2}{4T}} \left( \frac{1}{(z^2 + w^2)^2} + \frac{1}{4T (z^2 + w^2)} \right), \quad z, w \in \Delta. \]
Theorem 1. For each $T > 0$ fixed, we have that
\[ \mathcal{R}^\ell = \{ f \in \text{hol}(D^\ell_{\infty}) : f(z + 2) = f(z) = -f(-z), f\vert_D \in \mathcal{H}^\ell_T(D) \} \]
where $\mathcal{H}^\ell_T(D)$ is the RKHS of analytic functions on $D$ with reproducing kernel
\[ K_\ell(z, w; T) := \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_0(z + 2n, w + 2m; T), \quad z, w \in D. \]

The space $\mathcal{H}^\ell_T(D)$ is endowed with the norm given in [13].

Notice that the properties of 2-periodicity, oddness and analyticity domain of $f \in \mathcal{R}^\ell$ are inherited from those of the analytic extension of the heat kernel $(\partial_t \theta)(x, t)$, see Remarks [11].

We also have the corresponding result for the null reachable space with one Dirichlet boundary control on the right, defined as follows
\[ \mathcal{R}^\ell_T := \{ w(\cdot, T) : w \text{ is solution of system (1) with } u_\ell \equiv 0, u_r \in L^2(0, T) \}. \]

Theorem 2. Let $D^\ell_{\infty} = -1 + D^\ell_{\infty}$. For each $T > 0$ fixed, we have that
\[ \mathcal{R}^r = \{ f \in \text{hol}(D^r_{\infty}) : f(z + 2) = f(z) = -f(-z), f\vert_{-1 + D} \in \mathcal{H}^r_T(-1 + D) \} \]
where $\mathcal{H}^r_T(-1 + D)$ is the RKHS of analytic functions on $-1 + D$ with reproducing kernel
\[ K_r(z, w; T) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_0(z + 2n + 1, w + 2m + 1; T), \quad z, w \in -1 + D. \]

The space $\mathcal{H}^r_T(-1 + D)$ is endowed with the norm given in [14].

By (1) and Theorem 1 we also have
\[ \mathcal{R}^r = \{ f \in \text{hol}(D^r_{\infty}) : f(z + 2) = f(z), f(-z) = -f(z), f(\cdot - 1)\vert_D \in \mathcal{H}^r_T(D) \}. \]

Our approach also provides the characterization of the following subspaces in $\mathcal{R}$,
\[ \mathcal{R}^+_T := \{ w(\cdot, T) : w \text{ is solution of system (1) with } u_\ell \in L^2(0, T), u_r = -u_\ell \}, \]
and $\mathcal{R}^-_T := \{ w(\cdot, T) : w \text{ is solution of system (1) with } u_\ell \in L^2(0, T), u_r = u_\ell \}$.

Once again, (2.14), (2.15) and Theorem 3.3 in [4] imply the null controllability of system (1) with initial datum $w(x, 0) \in L^2(0, 1)$ and the controls $u_\ell, u_r \in L^2(0, T)$ satisfying either $u_r = -u_\ell$ or $u_r = u_\ell$. Thus, the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+_T, \mathcal{R}^-_T$ do not depend on $T > 0$.

Theorem 3. Let $Q_\infty := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (n + Q)$. For each $T > 0$ fixed we have that
\[ \begin{aligned}
(1) \quad &\mathcal{H}^+_{\infty}(Q) = \{ f \in \text{hol}(Q_\infty) : f(z + 1) = f(z) = -f(-z), f\vert_Q \in \mathcal{H}^+_{\infty}(Q) \} \\
&\text{where } \mathcal{H}^+_{\infty}(Q) \text{ is the RKHS on } Q \text{ with reproducing kernel} \\
&K_+(z, w; T) := \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_0(z + n, w + m; T) \\
&\quad \quad = K_\ell(z, w; T) + K_\ell(z + 1, w + 1; T) + K_\ell(z + 1, w; T) + K_\ell(z, w + 1; T),
\end{aligned} \]
for $z, w \in Q$. The space $\mathcal{H}^+_{\infty}(Q)$ is endowed with the norm given in [10].
\( (2) \mathcal{R}^- = \{ f \in \text{hol}(Q_\infty) : -f(z + 1) = f(z) = -f(-z), f|_Q \in H^+_T(Q) \} \) where \( H^+_T(Q) \) is the RKHS on \( Q \) with reproducing kernel

\[
\mathcal{K}_-(z, w; T) := \mathcal{K}_E(z, w; T) + \mathcal{K}_E(z + 1, w + 1; T) - \mathcal{K}_E(z + 1, w; T) - \mathcal{K}_E(z, w + 1; T),
\]

for \( z, w \in Q \). The space \( H^+_T(Q) \) is endowed with the norm given in \([17]\).

As a consequence, we get a description of the null reachable space \( \mathcal{R} \).

**Theorem 4.** We have that

\[
\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^+ + \mathcal{R}^- \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^+ \cap \mathcal{R}^- = \{0\}.
\]

Moreover, \( \mathcal{R}|_Q := \{ f|_Q : f \in \mathcal{R} \} = H^+_{\mathcal{T}}(Q) + H^-_{\mathcal{T}}(Q) \) with reproducing kernel

\[
\mathcal{K}_E(z, w; T) + \mathcal{K}_E(z + 1, w + 1; T), \quad z, w \in Q.
\]

The space \( \mathcal{R}|_Q \) is endowed with the norm given in \([18]\).

Clearly, the condition \( \mathcal{R}^+ \cap \mathcal{R}^- = \{0\} \) follows from the functional equations in the last result.

Next we consider the case with Neumann boundary data.

\[
(\partial_x v)(t, 0) = u_t(t), \quad (\partial_x v)(t, 1) = u_r(t), \quad 0 < t < T
\]

\[
v(0, x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < \infty.
\]

We set \( \mathcal{R}^N_T := \{ v(\cdot, T) : v \text{ is solution of system } (4) \text{ with } u_t, u_r \in L^2_T(0, T) \} \).

**Corollary 5.** We have that \( \mathcal{R}^N_T \) does not depend on \( T > 0 \), and

\[
\mathcal{R}^N_T = \{ f \in \text{hol}(Q_\infty) : f' \in \mathcal{R} \}.
\]

In some situations, the null reachable space at time \( T > 0 \) of a certain heat equation can be described in terms of well known analytic functions spaces. For instance, consider the heat equation for the half line,

\[
\partial_t v - \partial_{xx} v = 0, \quad 0 < x < \infty, 0 < t < T,
\]

\[
(\partial_x v)(t, 0) = u_t(t), \quad (\partial_x v)(t, 1) = u_r(t), \quad 0 < t < T
\]

\[
v(0, x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < \infty.
\]

Its corresponding null reachable space at time \( T > 0 \) is given by \( \mathcal{R}^N_T := \{ v(\cdot, T) : v \text{ is solution of system } (5) \text{ with } u \in L^2_T(0, T) \} \).

As usual, \( \Re z, \Im z \) denote the real and the imaginary parts of \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). Let \( \mathbb{C}^+ = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z > 0 \} \) be the positive half space. The following result characterizes the null reachable space \( \mathcal{R}^N_T \) as a RKNS whose reproducing kernel is (essentially) a sum of pullbacks of the Bergman and Hardy kernels on \( \mathbb{C}^+ \).

**Theorem 6.** We have that \( \mathcal{R}^N_T \) does not depend on \( T > 0 \), and

\[
\mathcal{R}^N_T = e^{-z^2} A^2(\Delta) + ze^{-z^2} \{ f \circ \varphi | f \in H^2(\mathbb{C}^+) \},
\]

where \( \varphi(z) = z^2, z \in \Delta \), \( A^2(\Delta) \) is the unweighted Bergman space on \( \Delta \) and \( H^2(\mathbb{C}^+) \) is the Hardy space on \( \mathbb{C}^+ \). The space \( \mathcal{R}^N_T \) is endowed with the norm given in \([20]\).
This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we introduce notation, give some results about RKHSs, and make the computations needed to prove the results. In Section 4 we provide the proofs.

3. Preliminaries

In this section we use some results about the one dimensional heat equation that can be found in [1]. First, consider the heat kernel on the upper half plane $\mathbb{R}^2_+ := \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t > 0\}$ given as follows

$$K(x, t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+.$$ 

In order to describe the solution $w(x, t)$ of system (1) we introduce the so-called theta function

$$\theta(x, t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K(x + 2n, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+,$$

so we have the system (1) admits a unique solution $w \in C([0, \infty), W^{-1,2}(0, 1))$ given by (see [1, Theorem 6.3.1], [5])

$$w(x, t) = -2 \int_0^t (\partial_x \theta)(x, t - \tau)u_\ell(\tau)d\tau + 2 \int_0^t (\partial_x \theta)(x - 1, t - \tau)u_r(\tau)d\tau. \quad (6)$$

For an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, the (unweighted) Bergman space on $\Omega$ is the vector space

$$A^2(\Omega) := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}| f \text{ analytic on } \Omega \text{ and } f \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

endowed with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{A^2(\Omega)} := \frac{1}{\pi} \int \Omega f(z)\overline{g(z)}dzdy.$$ 

We also consider the Hardy space on the half space $\mathbb{C}^+$,

$$H^2(\mathbb{C}^+) := \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}| f \text{ analytic on } \mathbb{C}^+ \text{ and } \sup_{x > 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x + iy)|^2dy < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)} := \sup_{x > 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x + iy)\overline{g(x + iy)}dy.$$ 

Consider the following positive definite functions on $\Delta$

$$K_1(z, w) := \frac{4zw}{(z^2 + w^2)^2}, \quad K_2(z, w) := \frac{1}{z^2 + w^2}, \quad z, w \in \Delta,$$

and the biholomorphism $\varphi(z) = z^2$ from $\Delta$ onto $\mathbb{C}^+$.

Remark 7. Notice that $K_1(z, w) = K_B(\varphi(z), \varphi(w))\varphi'(z)\overline{\varphi'(w)}$ where $K_B(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel for the Bergman space $A^2(\mathbb{C}^+)$, so that $K_1(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel for the Bergman space $A^2(\Delta)$ (see [3, page 12]).

The following result shows that $K_2(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel for the pull-back space, induced by the function $\varphi$, of the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)$. 

Lemma 8. $K_2(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel for the RKHS $\mathcal{H}_\varphi(\Delta) := \{f \circ \varphi : f \in H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)\}$. 

Proof. Here $K_H$ stand for the reproducing kernel for $H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)$. Let $ev_z : H^2(\mathbb{C}^+) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the functional evaluation at $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. If $g \in \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} \ker (ev_{\varphi(p)})$ then $g \equiv 0$, so Theorem 2.9 in [9, page 81] implies that the RKHS with reproducing kernel $K_H(\varphi(z), \varphi(w)) = K_2(z, w)$ is the space $H_2(\Delta)$ equipped with the inner product

$$ (f \circ \varphi, g \circ \varphi)_{H_2(\Delta)} = (f, g)_{H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)}.$$ 

For each $t > 0$ fixed, consider the entire function

$$ (\partial_x K)(z, t) := -\frac{z}{4 \sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

which is the analytic extension of the function $(\partial_x K)(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 9. i) For each $t > 0$ fixed, the function

$$ (\partial_x \theta)(z, t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\partial_x K)(z + 2n, t)$$

is holomorphic on $D$ and continuous on $\overline{D}$.

ii) For each compact set $\mathcal{F} \subset D$ and $t > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{F}, t} > 0$ such that

$$ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_0^t \left| (\partial_x K)(z + 2n, t - \tau) \right|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \leq C_{\mathcal{F}, t} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{F}. $$

Proof. For $n \leq -2$ we have that $n^2 \geq -2nx$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and $3n^2 \geq 4 - 4x - 4nx$ if $1 \leq x \leq 2$; therefore

$$ |z + 2n| e^{-\frac{2(n^2 + 2n^2)}{4t}} \leq \begin{cases} 4n e^{-\frac{n^2}{4t}}, & n \geq 1, \quad z \in \overline{D}, \\ 2e^{-\frac{\Re(z)^2}{4t}}, & n = 0, \quad z \in D, \\ 2e^{-\frac{\Re((z - 2)^2)}{4t}}, & n = -1, \quad z \in D, \\ 4|n| e^{-\frac{n^2}{4t}}, & n \leq -2, \quad z \in \overline{D}. \end{cases} $$

Since $e^{-s} \leq C_s s^{-\sigma}$ for all $s, \sigma > 0$, together the Weierstrass M-test imply the series in [8] converges absolutely and uniformly on $\overline{D}$, and the result i) follows.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}, z \in \overline{D}$ we have

$$ \int_0^t |(\partial_x K)(z + 2n, t - \tau)|^2 d\tau \leq \frac{4}{\pi n^2} \int_{n^2/(2t)}^{\infty} \rho e^{-\rho} d\rho \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{n^2}{4t}}. $$

Let $z_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\Re(z_0^2) = \min_{z \in \mathcal{F}} \Re(z^2)$, therefore

$$ \int_0^t |(\partial_x K)(z, t - \tau)|^2 d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\pi (\Re(z_0^2))^2} \int_{\Re(z_0^2)/(2t)}^{\infty} \rho e^{-\rho} d\rho = \frac{1}{\pi (\Re(z_0^2))^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\Re(z_0^2)}{2t} \right) e^{-\frac{\Re(z_0^2)}{2t}} $$

for all $z \in \mathcal{F}$.

In a similar way, let $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\Re((z_1 - 2)^2) = \min_{z \in \mathcal{F}} \Re((z - 2)^2)$, therefore

$$ \int_0^t |(\partial_x K)(z - 2, t - \tau)|^2 d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\pi (\Re((z_1 - 2)^2))^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\Re((z_1 - 2)^2)}{2t} \right) e^{-\frac{\Re((z_1 - 2)^2)}{2t}} $$

for all $z \in \mathcal{F}$. □
Remark 10. In fact, by making an easy modification in the last proof we get that 
\((\partial_t \theta)(\cdot,t) \in \text{hol}(D_\infty)\). Clearly, for each \(t > 0\) fixed the function \((\partial_z \theta)(\cdot,t)\) fulfills the following functional equations
\[
(11) \quad f(z+2) = f(z) = -f(-z), \quad z \in D_\infty.
\]

Remark 11. Let \(t > 0\) fixed and \(u \in L^2_\mathbb{C}(0,t)\). Lemma 9 together Morera and Fubini's theorems imply that the continuous function (by (10) and the dominated convergence theorem)
\[
z \mapsto \int_0^t u(\tau)(\partial_z \theta)(z,t-\tau)d\tau
\]
is holomorphic on \(D_\infty\) and satisfies the functional equations in (11).

We write \(\overline{D}\) for the closure of the set \(D\).

**Proposition 12.** Let \(t > 0\) fixed. The series introduced in (2) converges absolutely and uniformly on \(\overline{D} \times D\) (or \(D \times \overline{D}\)). Thus \(K_t(\cdot,\cdot; t)\) is an analytic function on \(D\), and \(K_t(\cdot,\cdot; t)\) is an anti-analytic function on \(D\).

**Proof.** For \(|n|, |m| \geq 3\) and \(z, w \in \overline{D}\) we have that
\[
|(z+2n)^2 + (\overline{w} + 2m)^2| \geq 4(n^2 + m^2 - 2|n| - 2|m| - 2) \geq 16.
\]
By using (10) and the last inequality we have the series defining \(K_t(\cdot,\cdot; t)\) converges absolutely and uniformly on \(\overline{D} \times D\) whenever we sum over all the indexes satisfying \(|n|, |m| \geq 3\).

Now suppose that there exist \(z \in \overline{D}, w \in D\) such that \((z+2n)^2 + (\overline{w} + 2m)^2 = 0\). Then \(z + 2n = \pm i(\overline{w} + 2m)\), thus \(2n + \Re z = |3w| \leq 1\), so \(n = 0\) or \(n = -1\). By simmetry, we also have \(m = 0\) or \(m = -1\). If \(n = m = 0\) then \(z = \pm i\overline{w}\), which is a contradiction because \(\overline{D} \cap (\pm iD) = \emptyset\). In any case, we get a contradiction because \((\overline{D} - 2) \cap (\pm i(D - 2)) = \emptyset, (\overline{D} - 2) \cap (\pm iD) = \emptyset \text{ and } \overline{D} \cap (\pm i(D - 2)) = \emptyset\). This completes the proof.

Let \(\mathcal{F}(E)\) be the vector space consisting of all complex-valued functions on a set \(E\), and let \((\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mathcal{H})\) be a Hilbert space. For a mapping \(h : E \to \mathcal{H}\), consider the induced linear mapping \(L : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{F}(E)\) defined by
\[
L(f)(p) = \langle f, h(p) \rangle_\mathcal{H}.
\]
The vector space \(\mathcal{R}(L) := \{L(f) : f \in \mathcal{H}\}\) is endowed with the norm
\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}(L)} = \inf\{\|f\|_\mathcal{H} : f \in \mathcal{H}, f = L(f)\}.
\]
A fundamental problem about the linear mapping \(L\) is to characterize the vector space \(\mathcal{R}(L)\). The following result summarizes Theorems 2.36, 2.37 in [9] pages 135–137 and provides an answer to the last question.

**Theorem 13.**
1. \((\mathcal{R}(L), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}(L)})\) is a RKHS with reproducing kernel
\[
K(p, q) = \langle h(q), h(p) \rangle_\mathcal{H}, \quad p, q \in E.
\]
2. The mapping \(L : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{R}(L)\) is a surjective bounded operator with operator norm less than 1.
4. Proofs of the results

Proof of Theorem 1. By (6) and Remark 11 we have that \( w(\cdot, T) \in hol(D_\infty) \) and fulfills the functional equations in (11).

Lemma 9 (ii) implies that the function \( h : D \to L^2_c(0, T) \) given by

\[
(12) \quad h_z(t) = (\partial_x \theta)(z, T - t), \quad t \in (0, T),
\]

makes sense, and Remark 11 implies that the linear operator \( L_T^f : L^2_c(0, T) \to hol(D) \) given by

\[
(L_T^f u)(z) = \langle u, h_z \rangle_{L^2_c(0, T)}, \quad z \in D,
\]
is well defined. By (6) we have

\[
w(z, T) = -2(L_T^f u_\ell)(z), \quad z \in D, \quad u_\ell \in L^2_c(0, T).
\]

Theorem 13 implies that \( \mathcal{H}_T^f(D) := \mathcal{R}(L_T^f) \) is a RKHS on \( D \) with reproducing kernel

\[
K^*(z, w; T) = \langle h_w, h_z \rangle_{L^2_c(0, T)}.
\]
The inequality in (9), the dominated convergence theorem and Proposition 12 allow us to compute

\[
K^*(z, w; T) = \lim_{N, M \to \infty} \sum_{|n| \leq N} \sum_{|m| \leq M} \frac{(z + 2n)(w + 2m)}{16\pi} \int_0^T \frac{1}{(T - t)^3} e^{-(z + 2n)^2 - (w + 2m)^2} dt
\]

\[
= \lim_{N, M \to \infty} \sum_{|n| \leq N} \sum_{|m| \leq M} K_0(z + 2n, w + 2m; T) = K_0(z, w; T).
\]

We also have

\[
\|w(\cdot, T)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T^f(D)} = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2_c(0, T)} : w(\cdot, T) = -2L_T^f u, u \in L^2_c(0, T) \right\}.
\]

\( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 2. We only give a sketch. Let \( \bar{h} : -1 + D \to L^2_c(0, T) \) given by

\[
\bar{h}_z(t) = (\partial_x \theta)(z + 1, T - t), \quad t \in (0, T),
\]

and the linear operator \( L_T^f : L^2_c(0, T) \to hol(-1 + D) \) given by

\[
(L_T^f u)(z) = \langle u, \bar{h}_z \rangle_{L^2_c(0, T)}, \quad z \in -1 + D.
\]

By (6) and Remark 10 we have

\[
w(z, T) = 2(L_T^f u_\tau)(z), \quad z \in -1 + D, \quad u_\tau \in L^2_c(0, T).
\]

Theorem 13 implies that \( \mathcal{H}_T^f(-1 + D) := \mathcal{R}(L_T^f) \) is a RKHS on \(-1 + D\) with reproducing kernel

\[
\langle \bar{h}_w, \bar{h}_z \rangle_{L^2_c(0, T)} = K_\tau(z, w; T).
\]

We also have

\[
\|w(\cdot, T)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T^f(-1 + D)} = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2_c(0, T)} : w(\cdot, T) = 2L_T^f u, u \in L^2_c(0, T) \right\}.
\]

\( \square \)
Remark 14. 1) Since $K_t(\cdot, w; T) \in \mathcal{H}_T(D)$ for all $w \in D$ (see [9] Proposition 2.1, page 71), we get that the function $K_t(\cdot, y; 1) : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathcal{R}_T$ for all $y \in (0, 2)$. 2) Since $K_r(\cdot, w; T) \in \mathcal{H}_T^+ (D)$ for all $w \in D$, we get that the function $K_r(\cdot, y; 1) : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathcal{R}^+$ for all $y \in (0, 2)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. (1) We set $u_r = -u_\ell$ in (6) to get
\[
\begin{align*}
 w(x, T) & = -2 \int_0^T [(\partial_x \theta)(x, T - \tau) + (\partial_x \theta)(x - 1, T - \tau)]u_\ell(\tau)d\tau \\
 & = -2 \int_0^T (\partial_x \tilde{\theta})(x, T - \tau)u_\ell(\tau)d\tau
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\tilde{\theta}(x, t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K(x + n, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2.
\]

For $t > 0$ fixed, clearly the function $(\partial_x \tilde{\theta})(z, t)$ has similar properties to the analytic theta function $(\partial_x \theta)(z, t)$ in Lemma 9 and also satisfies the following functional equations,
\[
f(z + 1) = f(z) = -f(-z), \quad z \in Q_\infty.
\]

Therefore, $w(\cdot, T) \in \text{hol}(Q_\infty)$ and fulfills the last functional equations.

Now we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 consider the function $h^+ : Q \rightarrow L^2(0, T)$ given by
\[
h^+_\ell (t) = \frac{(\partial_x \theta)(z, T - t)}{(\partial_x \theta)(z, T - t) + (\partial_x \theta)(z + 1, T - t)}, \quad t \in (0, T),
\]
and the linear operator $\mathcal{L}^+_T : L^2(0, T) \rightarrow \text{hol}(Q)$ given by
\[
(\mathcal{L}^+_T u)(z) = \langle u, h^+_\ell \rangle_{L^2(0, T)}, \quad z \in Q.
\]

By [9] we have
\[
w(z, T) = -2(\mathcal{L}^+_T u_\ell)(z), \quad z \in Q, u_\ell \in L^2(0, T).
\]

Theorem 13 implies that $\mathcal{H}^+_T(D) := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}^+_T)$ is a RKHS on $Q$ with reproducing kernel (the computation is similar to (13))
\[
\langle h^+_w, h^+_z \rangle_{L^2(0, T)} = \mathcal{K}^+(z, w; T).
\]

By the other hand

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle h^+_{w}, h^+_z \rangle_{L^2(0, T)} & = \langle h_w, h_z \rangle_{L^2(0, T)} + \langle h_{w+1}, h_{z+1} \rangle_{L^2(0, T)} + \langle h_{w+1}, h_z \rangle_{L^2(0, T)} + \langle h_w, h_{z+1} \rangle_{L^2(0, T)} \\
& = \mathcal{K}_e(z, w; T) + \mathcal{K}_e(z + 1, w + 1; T) + \mathcal{K}_e(z, w + 1; T) + \mathcal{K}_e(z + 1, w; T),
\end{align*}
\]
for $z, w \in Q$, where $h$ is the function in $[12]$.

We also have
\[
\|w(\cdot, T)\|_{\mathcal{H}^+_T(D)} = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2(0, T)} : w(\cdot, T) = -2\mathcal{L}^+_T u, u \in L^2(0, T) \right\}.
\]
Theorem 13 implies that
\[ \| \| \| u \| \|_{H^{-1}(0, T)} = \inf \left\{ \| u \|_{L^2(0, T)} : w(\cdot, T) = -2L_T u, u \in L^2(0, T) \right\} . \]

Remark 15. 1) Since \( K_+(\cdot, w; T) \in \mathcal{H}_+(Q) \) for all \( w \in Q \), we get that the function \( K_+(\cdot, y; 1) : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R} \) is in \( \mathcal{R}^+ \) for all \( y \in (0, 1) \).
2) Since \( K_-(\cdot, w; T) \in \mathcal{H}_-(D) \) for all \( w \in Q \), we get that the function \( K_-(\cdot, y; 1) : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R} \) is in \( \mathcal{R}^- \) for all \( y \in (0, 1) \).

Proof of Theorem 4. Let \( u, u_r \in L^2(0, T) \). By (6) we have
\[ -w(z, T) = L_T^+ [u - u_r](z) + L_T^- [u + w_r](z), \quad z \in Q. \]
Therefore \( w(\cdot, T) \in H_T^+(D) + H_T^-(D) \). Since \( H_T^+(D) \) and \( H_T^-(D) \) are RKHS on \( Q \) with reproducing kernels \( \mathcal{K}_+(z, w; T) \) and \( \mathcal{K}_-(z, w; T) \) respectively, it follows that \( H_T^+(D) + H_T^-(D) \) is a RKHS with reproducing kernel
\[ \mathcal{K}_+(z, w; T) + \mathcal{K}_-(z, w; T) = 2\mathcal{K}_T(z, w; T) + 2\mathcal{K}_T(z + 1, w + 1; T), \]
z, w \in Q, and is equipped with the norm (see [9] page 93)
\[ \| f \| = \min\{\| f_1 \|_{H_T^+(D)} + \| f_2 \|_{H_T^-(D)} : f = f_1 + f_2, f_1, f_2 \in H_T^+(D), f_2 \in H_T^-(D)\}. \]
Proof of Corollary 6. If \( v \in C([0, T]; L^2_\mathcal{C}(0, 1)) \) is solution of system (11), with \( u_t, u_r \in L^2_\mathcal{C}(0, T) \), then \( (\partial_x v)(x, t) \) is solution of system (1), therefore \( (\partial_x v)(z, t) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} (v(z, t)) \in \mathcal{R}, 0 < t < T \). □

Proof of Theorem 13. When \( u \in C_C((0, T]) \) the solution of system (5) is

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle v(x, T) & = -2 \int_0^T (\partial_x K)(x, T-t)u(t)dt. \\
& \text{As in the proof of (10) case } n = 0, \text{ we have that for any compact set } \mathcal{F} \subset \Delta \text{ and } T > 0, \text{ there exist a constant } C_{\mathcal{F}, T} > 0 \text{ such that} \\
& \int_0^T |(\partial_x K)(z, T-t)|^2 dt \leq C_{\mathcal{F}, T}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence the integral operator in (19) is well defined for \( u \in L^2_\mathcal{C}(0, T) \). Fubini and Morera's theorems imply that the continuous function

\[
\int_0^T (\partial_x K)(z, T-t)u(t)dt
\]

is analytic on \( \Delta \).

Consider the function \( h^q : \Delta \to L^2_\mathcal{C}(0, T) \) given by

\[
h^q(t) = (\partial_x K)(z, T-t), \quad t \in (0, T),
\]

and the linear operator \( \mathcal{L}^q : L^2_\mathcal{C}(0, T) \to hol(\Delta) \) given by

\[
(\mathcal{L}^q u)(z) = \langle u, h^q \rangle_{L^2_\mathcal{C}(0, T)}, \quad z \in \Delta.
\]

Theorem 13 implies that \( \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}^q) \) is a RKHS on \( \Delta \) with reproducing kernel

\[
\mathcal{K}^q(z, w; T) = \langle h^q, h^q \rangle_{L^2_\mathcal{C}(0, T)}
\]

\[
= \frac{z \pi}{16 \pi} \int_0^T e^{-\frac{z^2}{(T-t)^3/2}} e^{-\frac{w^2}{(T-t)^3/2}} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4 \pi} e^{-\frac{z^2}{T}} e^{-\frac{w^2}{T}} \left( \frac{2 \pi}{(z^2 + w^2)^2} + \frac{2 \pi}{(z^2 + w^2)^2} \right).
\]

By Remark 7 and Corollary 2.5 in [9, page 107] we have that \( e^{-z^2/4 A^2(\Delta)} \) is a RKHS with reproducing kernel

\[
e^{-\frac{z^2}{T}} e^{-\frac{w^2}{T}} \frac{4 \pi}{(z^2 + w^2)^2}, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\langle e^{-\frac{z^2}{T}} f, e^{-\frac{w^2}{T}} g \rangle_{e^{-z^2/4 A^2(\Delta)}} = \langle f, g \rangle_{A^2(\Delta)}.
\]

By Lemma 8 and 7 we have that \( ze^{-z^2/4 H^q(\Delta)} \) is a RKHS with reproducing kernel

\[
e^{-\frac{z^2}{T}} e^{-\frac{w^2}{T}} \frac{2 \pi}{z^2 + w^2}, \quad \text{and}
\]

for all \( f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{C}^+) \) we have

\[
\langle ze^{-\frac{z^2}{T}} f \circ \varphi, ze^{-\frac{z^2}{T}} g \circ \varphi \rangle_{ze^{-z^2/4 H^q(\Delta)}} = \langle f \circ \varphi, g \circ \varphi \rangle_{H^q(\Delta)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)}.
\]
Thus \( R_{12} \), with norm 2.9 in [9, page 81]

\[ T > \]

The integral operator in (19) is the operator Remark 16.

Finally, consider the biholomorphism \( \psi: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \) given by \( \psi(z) = T^{-1/2}z \). Hence \( \mathcal{K}^q(z,w;T) = T \mathcal{K}^q(\psi(z),\psi(w);1) \) is the reproducing kernel of the space (see Theorem 2.9 in [9, page 81])

\[ \{ f \circ \psi : f \in e^{-z^2/4} A^2(\Delta) + ze^{-z^2/4} \mathcal{H}_\varphi(\Delta) \} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_T^q), \]

with norm

\[ \| f \circ \psi \|_{\ast \ast} = \| f \|_{\ast \ast}. \]

Thus \( (\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_T^q), \| \cdot \|_{\ast \ast}) \) is isometrically isomorphic to \( (e^{-z^2/4} A^2(\Delta) + ze^{-z^2/4} \mathcal{H}_\varphi(\Delta), \| \cdot \|_{\ast \ast}) \) for all \( T > 0 \). As a vector space \( \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_T^q) = e^{-z^2/4} A^2(\Delta) + ze^{-z^2/4} \mathcal{H}_\varphi(\Delta) \) for all \( T > 0 \).

**Remark 16.** The integral operator in ([10]) is the operator \( \bar{\Phi}_T \) in [5, 8].

**Conclusion**

It is well known that any RKHS is determined by its reproducing kernel, unfortunately in our cases we cannot write the reproducing kernels in terms of “elementary functions”. Here we have a fundamental problem: is it possible to found a more manageable description for the RKHSs \( (\mathcal{H}_T^q(D), \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}_T^q(D)}), (\mathcal{H}_T^q(D), \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}_T^q(D)}) \) and \( (\mathcal{H}_T^q(D), \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}_T^q(D)}) \)? The proof of Moore’s theorem (see [9] pages 68-71) gives an equivalent description of the latter spaces, but it is hard to handle too. We would like to have a description as in Theorem 6.

Our work shows that there is no unique description for the reachable space \( \mathcal{R} \) of the heat equation for a finite rod with two Dirichlet boundary controls. It would be interesting to establish a connection between our characterization and the ones obtained in [5, 8].
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