

# LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL DIRAC-KLEIN-GORDON EQUATIONS IN FOURIER-LEBESGUE SPACES

HARTMUT PECHER

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN  
BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL

GAUSSSTR. 20  
42119 WUPPERTAL  
GERMANY

E-MAIL PECHER@UNI-WUPPERTAL.DE

**ABSTRACT.** The local well-posedness problem is considered for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimensions for data in Fourier-Lebesgue spaces  $\widehat{H}^{s,r}$ , where  $\|f\|_{\widehat{H}^{s,r}} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{f}\|_{L^{r'}}$  and  $r$  and  $r'$  denote dual exponents. We lower the regularity assumptions on the data with respect to scaling improving the results of d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg in the classical case  $r = 2$ . Crucial is the fact that the nonlinearities fulfill a null condition as detected by these authors.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider the Cauchy problem for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon equations in two space dimensions

$$i(\partial_t + \alpha \cdot \nabla)\psi + M\beta\psi = -\phi\beta\psi \quad (1)$$

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \Delta)\phi + m\phi = -\langle \beta\psi, \psi \rangle \quad (2)$$

with (large) initial data

$$\psi(0) = \psi_0, \phi(0) = \phi_0, \partial_t \phi(0) = \phi_1. \quad (3)$$

Here  $\psi$  is a two-spinor field, i.e.  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ , and  $\phi$  is a real-valued function, i.e.  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\nabla = (\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2})$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \nabla = \alpha^1 \partial_{x_1} + \alpha^2 \partial_{x_2}$ .  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \beta$  are hermitian  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrices satisfying  $\beta^2 = (\alpha^1)^2 = (\alpha^2)^2 = I$ ,  $\alpha^j \beta + \beta \alpha^j = 0$ ,  $\alpha^j \alpha^k + \alpha^k \alpha^j = 2\delta^{jk} I$ .

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -scalar product. A particular representation is given by  $\alpha^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\alpha^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The Cauchy data are assumed to belong to Fourier-Lebesgue spaces:  $\psi_0 \in \widehat{H}^{s,r}$ ,  $\phi_0 \in \widehat{H}^{l,r}$ ,  $\phi_1 \in \widehat{H}^{l-1,r}$ . Here  $\widehat{H}^{s,r}$ ,  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , denotes the completion of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with respect to the norm  $\|f\|_{\widehat{H}^{s,r}} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{f}\|_{L^{r'}}$ , where  $r$  and  $r'$  denote dual exponents and  $\widehat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

Following [2] it is possible to simplify the system (1),(2),(3) by considering the projections onto the one-dimensional eigenspaces of the operator  $-i\alpha \cdot \nabla$  belonging to the eigenvalues  $\pm|\xi|$ . These projections are given by  $\Pi_{\pm}(D)$ , where  $D = \frac{\nabla}{i}$  and  $\Pi_{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(I \pm \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \alpha)$ . Then  $-i\alpha \cdot \nabla = |D|\Pi_+(D) - |D|\Pi_-(D)$  and  $\Pi_{\pm}(\xi)\beta = \beta\Pi_{\mp}(\xi)$ . Defining  $\psi_{\pm} := \Pi_{\pm}(D)\psi$  and splitting the function  $\phi$  into the sum  $\phi =$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35Q40, 35L70

*Key words and phrases*: Dirac-Klein-Gordon, local well-posedness, Fourier-Lebesgue spaces

$\frac{1}{2}(\phi_+ + \phi_-)$ , where  $\phi_{\pm} := \phi \pm iA^{-1/2}\partial_t\phi$ ,  $A := -\Delta + 1$ , the Dirac - Klein - Gordon system can be rewritten as

$$(-i\partial_t \pm |D|)\psi_{\pm} = -M\beta\psi_{\mp} + \Pi_{\pm}(\phi\beta(\psi_+ + \psi_-)) \quad (4)$$

$$(i\partial_t \mp A^{1/2})\phi_{\pm} = \mp A^{-1/2}\langle\beta(\psi_+ + \psi_-), \psi_+ + \psi_-\rangle \mp A^{-1/2}(m+1)(\phi_+ + \phi_-). \quad (5)$$

The initial conditions are transformed into

$$\psi_{\pm}(0) = \Pi_{\pm}(D)\psi_0, \phi_{\pm}(0) = \phi_0 \pm iA^{-1/2}\phi_1 \quad (6)$$

The aim is to minimize the regularity of the data so that local well-posedness holds. Persistence of higher regularity is then a consequence of the fact that the results are obtained by a Picard iteration.

The decisive detection by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg [2] was that both nonlinearities satisfy a null condition. This implies that the Cauchy problem in three space dimensions is locally well-posed in the classical case  $r = 2$  for data  $(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in H^s \times H^l \times H^{l-1}$ , where  $s > 0$ ,  $l = s + \frac{1}{2}$ . This is almost optimal with respect to scaling.

In the case  $m = M = 0$  the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system is invariant under the rescaling

$$\psi_{\lambda}(t, x) = \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\psi(\lambda t, \lambda x) \quad , \quad \phi_{\lambda}(t, x) = \lambda\psi(\lambda t, \lambda x) ..$$

Because in  $N$  space dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{\lambda}(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} &= \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\psi(0, \lambda x)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} \sim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}+s-\frac{N}{r}}\|\psi(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}, \\ \|\psi_{\lambda}(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2},r}} &= \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\phi(0, \lambda x)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2},r}} \sim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}+s-\frac{N}{r}}\|\psi(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2},r}} \end{aligned}$$

the scale-invariant space is

$$(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in \dot{H}^{\frac{N}{r}-\frac{3}{2},r} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{N}{r}-1,r} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{N}{r}-2,r},$$

where  $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} = \|\xi|\widehat{f}|\|_{L^{r'}}$ . Thus in the two-dimensional case the critical spaces are

$$(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times L^2 \times H^{-1} \quad \text{for } r = 2$$

and

$$(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in \widehat{H}^{\frac{1}{2}-,1+} \times \widehat{H}^{1+,1+} \times \widehat{H}^{0+,1+} \quad \text{for } r = 1+.$$

We remark that  $\dot{H}^{\frac{N}{r}-\frac{3}{2},r} \sim \dot{H}^{\sigma,2}$ , where  $\sigma = s + N(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r})$  in terms of scaling, because  $\|\psi_0(\lambda x)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} \sim \lambda^{s-\frac{N}{r}}\|\psi_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}$ .

In two space dimensions local well-posedness in the classical case  $r = 2$  was proven by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg [1] for  $s > -\frac{1}{5}$  and  $\max(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{s}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, s) < l < \min(\frac{3}{4} + 2s, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3s}{2}, 1+s)$ , especially for  $(s, l) = (-\frac{1}{5} +, \frac{7}{20})$  and  $(s, l) = (0, \frac{1}{4}+)$ . Global well-posedness was obtained by Grünrock and the author [8] for  $r = 2$  and  $s \geq 0$ ,  $l = s + \frac{1}{2}$ , using the charge conservation law  $\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \text{const}$ . This means that there is still a gap concerning LWP between the known results and the minimal regularity predicted by scaling, namely  $(s, l) = (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  leaving open the problem what happens for  $-\frac{1}{2} < s < -\frac{1}{5}$  and  $0 < l < \frac{7}{20}$  or else  $-\frac{1}{2} < s < 0$  and  $0 < l \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . We want to approach this problem by leaving the  $L^2$ -based data and study the local well-posedness problem for data in  $\widehat{H}^{s,r}$ -spaces for  $1 < r < 2$ , especially for  $r = 1+$ . The critical spaces are  $(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in \widehat{H}^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{3}{2},r} \times \widehat{H}^{\frac{2}{r}-1,r} \times \widehat{H}^{\frac{2}{r}-2,r}$ , i.e.  $(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in \widehat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}+,r} \times \widehat{H}^{0+,r} \times \widehat{H}^{-1+,r}$  for  $r = 1+$ .

Our main Theorem 1.1 shows that especially for  $r = 1+$  we may assume  $(\psi_0, \phi_0, \phi_1) \in \widehat{H}^{\frac{5}{8}+,r} \times \widehat{H}^{\frac{5}{4}+,r} \times \widehat{H}^{\frac{1}{4}+,r}$  leaving open the interval  $\frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{5}{8}$  for the spinor and  $1 < l \leq \frac{5}{4}$ . As remarked above in terms of scaling  $\widehat{H}^{\frac{5}{8}+,1+} \sim H^{-\frac{3}{8}+}$

and  $H^{\frac{5}{4}+,1+} \sim H^{\frac{1}{4}+}$ . Thus in this sense the gap for the spinor significantly shrinks to  $-\frac{1}{2} < s \leq -\frac{3}{8}$  from  $-\frac{1}{2} < s \leq -\frac{1}{5}$  in the pure  $L^2$ -case.

This gap phenomenon especially for the low dimensional case  $N = 2$  also appears for other types of nonlinear wave equations with quadratic nonlinearities. In the three-dimensional case Grürock [7] proved for quadratic derivative nonlinear wave equations like  $\square u = (\partial u)^2$  an almost optimal well-posedness result in the sense of scaling as  $r \rightarrow 1$ . This problem was considered in the two-dimensional case by Grigoryan-Tanguay [5]. For  $r = 2$  the critical exponent is  $s = 1$ . The authors prove by use of Strichartz type estimates that  $s > \frac{7}{4}$  is sufficient for LWP. For  $1 < r < 2$  these authors proved LWP for  $s > 1 + \frac{3}{2r}$ , thus  $s > \frac{5}{2}$  for  $r = 1+$ , which scales like  $H^{\frac{3}{2}+}$ , half a derivative away from the critical exponent.

If however a null condition is satisfied for a system of the form  $\square u = Q(u, u)$ , where  $Q$  is one of the null forms of Klainerman, then this gap could be closed by Grigoryan-Nahmod [4], who established LWP for  $s > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2r}$ , which for  $r = 1+$  scales like  $H^{1+}$ , as desired.

In the classical case  $r = 2$  it is by now standard to reduce LWP for semi-linear wave equations to estimates for the nonlinearities in Bourgain-Klainerman-Machedon spaces  $X^{s,b}$ . Grünrock [6] proved that a similar method also works for  $1 < r < 2$ . He also obtained the necessary bilinear estimates for the derivative wave equation by use of the calculations of Foschi-Klainerman [3]. Later this approach was also used by [4] and by the author [9] for the Chern-Simons-Higgs and the Chern-Simons-Dirac equations. Using the fact that the nonlinear terms in the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system fulfill a null condition, as was shown by [1], we now combine the estimates in [3] and a bilinear estimate by [5].

We now formulate the main result for the DKG system.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $1 < r \leq 2$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $s = s_0 + \delta$ ,  $l = l_0 + \delta$ . Here  $(s_0, l_0) = (\frac{33}{20r} - \frac{41}{40}, \frac{9}{5r} - \frac{11}{20})$  (minimal  $s$ ) and  $(s_0, l_0) = (\frac{5}{4r} - \frac{5}{8}, \frac{2}{r} - \frac{3}{4})$  (minimal  $l$ ) are admissible. Assume*

$$\psi_0 \in \widehat{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^2), \phi_0 \in \widehat{H}^{l,r}(\mathbb{R}^2), \phi_1 \in \widehat{H}^{l-1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

*Then there exists  $T > 0$ ,  $T = T(\|\psi_0\|_{\widehat{H}^{s,r}}, \|\phi_0\|_{\widehat{H}^{l,r}}, \|\phi_1\|_{\widehat{H}^{l-1,r}})$  such that the DKG system (1),(2),(3) has a unique solution*

$$\psi \in X_{s,b,+}^r[0,T] + X_{s,b,-}^r[0,T], \phi \in X_{l,b,+}^r[0,T] + X_{l,b,-}^r[0,T],$$

$$\partial_t \phi \in X_{l-1,b,+}^r[0,T] + X_{l-1,b,-}^r[0,T],$$

*where  $b = \frac{1}{r} +$ . This solution satisfies*

$$\psi \in C^0([0,T], \widehat{H}^{s,r}), \phi \in C^0([0,T], \widehat{H}^{l,r}), \partial_t \phi \in C^0([0,T], \widehat{H}^{l-1,r}).$$

The spaces  $X_{s,b,\pm}^r$  are generalizations of the Bourgain-Klainerman-Machedon spaces  $X^{s,b}$  (for  $r = 2$ ). We define  $X_{s,b,\pm}^r$  as the completion of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{1+2})$  with respect to the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r} := \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \pm |\xi| \rangle^b \tilde{\phi}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}}$$

for  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ , where  $\tilde{\cdot}$  denotes the Fourier transform with respect to space and time.

**Remark 1:** By Theorem 1.2 the solution depends continuously on the data.

**Remark 2:** We recover the case  $r = 2$  with  $(s_0, l_0) = (-\frac{1}{5}+, \frac{7}{20}+)$  or  $(s_0, l_0) = (0, \frac{1}{4}+)$  from [1] and the pair  $(s_0, l_0) = (\frac{5}{8}+, \frac{5}{4}+)$  for  $r = 1+$ .

**Remark 3:** By interpolation of the case  $r = 1+$  with the whole range of pairs  $(s, l)$  for  $r = 2$  from [1] (cf. Prop. 2.5 below) one obtains further admissible pairs  $(s_0, l_0)$  for  $1 < r < 2$ . We omit the details.

Using the following general local well-posedness theorem (cf. [6], Theorem 1) we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to bilinear estimates for the nonlinearities.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $N(u)$  be a nonlinear function of degree  $\alpha > 0$ . Assume that for given  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$  there exist  $b > \frac{1}{r}$  and  $b' \in (b-1, 0)$  such that the estimates*

$$\|N(u)\|_{X_{s,b',\pm}^r} \leq c \|u\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r}^\alpha$$

and

$$\|N(u) - N(v)\|_{X_{s,b',\pm}^r} \leq c (\|u\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r}^{\alpha-1} + \|v\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r}^{\alpha-1}) \|u - v\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r}$$

are valid. Then there exist  $T = T(\|u_0\|_{\hat{H}^{s,r}}) > 0$  and a unique solution  $u \in X_{s,b,\pm}^r[0, T]$  of the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t u \pm iDu = N(u) \quad , \quad u(0) = u_0 \in \hat{H}^{s,r} ,$$

where  $D$  is the operator with Fourier symbol  $|\xi|$ . This solution is persistent and the mapping data upon solution  $u_0 \mapsto u$ ,  $\hat{H}^{s,r} \rightarrow X_{s,b,\pm}^r[0, T_0]$  is locally Lipschitz continuous for any  $T_0 < T$ .

## 2. BILINEAR ESTIMATES

We start by collecting some fundamental properties of the solution spaces. We rely on [6]. The spaces  $X_{s,b,\pm}^r$  with norm

$$\|\phi\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r} := \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \pm |\xi| \rangle^b \tilde{\phi}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}}$$

for  $1 < r < \infty$  are Banach spaces with  $\mathcal{S}$  as a dense subspace. The dual space is  $X_{-s,-b,\pm}^{r'}$ , where  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ . The complex interpolation space is given by

$$(X_{s_0,b_0,\pm}^{r_0}, X_{s_1,b_1,\pm}^{r_1})_{[\theta]} = X_{s,b,\pm}^r ,$$

where  $s = (1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{r_0} + \frac{\theta}{r_1}$ ,  $b = (1-\theta)b_0 + \theta b_1$ . Similar properties has the space  $X_{s,b}^r$ , defined by its norm

$$\|\phi\|_{X_{s,b}^r} := \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle |\tau| - |\xi| \rangle^b \tilde{\phi}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}} .$$

We also define

$$X_{s,b,\pm}^r[0, T] = \{u = U_{|[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2} : U \in X_{s,b,\pm}^r\}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r[0,T]} := \inf\{\|U\|_{X_{s,b,\pm}^r} : U_{|[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2} = u\}$$

and similarly  $X_{s,b}^r[0, T]$ .

If  $u = u_+ + u_-$ , where  $u_\pm \in X_{s,b,\pm}^r[0, T]$ , then  $u \in C^0([0, T], \hat{H}^{s,r})$ , if  $b > \frac{1}{r}$ .

The "transfer principle" in the following proposition, which is well-known in the case  $r = 2$ , also holds for general  $1 < r < \infty$  (cf. [4], Prop. A.2 or [6], Lemma 1). We denote  $\|u\|_{\hat{L}_t^p(\hat{L}_x^q)} := \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_t^{p'}(L_\xi^q)}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Assume that  $T$  is a bilinear operator which fulfills*

$$\|T(e^{\pm 1itD} f_1, e^{\pm 2itD} f_2)\|_{\hat{L}_t^p(\hat{L}_x^q)} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\hat{H}^{s_1,r}} \|f_2\|_{\hat{H}^{s_2,r}} .$$

Then for  $b > \frac{1}{r}$  the following estimate holds:

$$\|T(u_1, u_2)\|_{\hat{L}_t^p(\hat{L}_x^q)} \lesssim \|u_1\|_{X_{s_1,b,\pm 1}^r} \|u_2\|_{X_{s_2,b,\pm 2}^r} .$$

At first we are primarily interested in the case  $r = 1+$ . Thereafter we obtain the general case  $1 < r \leq 2$  by bilinear interpolation with the known results for the case  $r = 2$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $r = 1+$ ,  $l \geq s \geq \frac{5}{8r}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4r} < l \leq 1 + \frac{1}{4r}$  and  $b > \frac{1}{r}$ . The following estimates apply:*

$$\|\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)\psi' \rangle\|_{X_{l-1, b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{X_{s, b, \pm 1}^r} \|\psi'\|_{X_{s, b, \pm 2}^r}, \quad (7)$$

$$\|\Pi_{\pm 2}(D)(\phi \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}\psi)\|_{X_{s, b-1+, \pm 2}^r} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{l, b}^r} \|\psi\|_{X_{s, b, \pm 1}^r}. \quad (8)$$

By duality (8) is equivalent to

$$\int \int \langle \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)(\phi \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi), \psi' \rangle dt dx \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{l, b}^r} \|\psi\|_{X_{s, b, \pm 1}^r} \|\psi'\|_{X_{-s, 1-b-, \pm 2}^r}.$$

The left hand side equals

$$\int \int \phi \langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)\psi' \rangle dt dx \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{l, b}^r} \|\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)\psi' \rangle\|_{X_{-l, -b}^{r'}},$$

so that (8) reduces to

$$\|\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)\psi' \rangle\|_{X_{-l, -b}^{r'}} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{X_{s, b, \pm 1}^r} \|\psi'\|_{X_{-s, 1-b-, \pm 2}^r}. \quad (9)$$

The null structure rests on the following property of the Fourier symbol which is given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** (cf. [1], Lemma 2)

$$\Pi_{\pm 2}(\eta - \xi) \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(\eta) = \beta \Pi_{\mp 2}(\eta - \xi) \Pi_{\pm 1}(\eta) = O(\angle(\pm 1\eta, \pm 2(\eta - \xi))),$$

where  $\angle(\eta, \xi)$  denotes the angle between the vectors  $\eta$  and  $\xi$ .

This has the following consequence:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{F}(\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)\psi' \rangle)(\tau, \xi)| \\ & \lesssim \int |\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(\eta) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda, \eta), \Pi_{\pm 2}(\eta - \xi) \tilde{\psi}'(\lambda - \tau, \eta - \xi) \rangle| d\lambda d\eta \\ & = \int |\langle \Pi_{\pm 2}(\eta - \xi) \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(\eta) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda, \eta), \tilde{\psi}'(\lambda - \tau, \eta - \xi) \rangle| d\lambda d\eta \\ & \lesssim \int \angle(\pm 1\eta, \pm 2(\eta - \xi)) |\tilde{\psi}(\lambda, \eta)| |\tilde{\psi}'(\lambda - \tau, \eta - \xi)| d\lambda d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For the angle between two vectors the following elementary estimates apply.

**Lemma 2.2.** (cf. [1])

$$\angle(\eta, \eta - \xi) \sim \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} (|\xi| - |\eta| - |\eta - \xi|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\eta - \xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\angle(\eta, \xi - \eta) \sim \frac{(|\eta| + |\xi - \eta|)^{\frac{1}{2}} (|\eta| + |\eta - \xi| - |\xi|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\eta - \xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (12)$$

$$\angle(\pm 1\eta, \pm 2(\eta - \xi)) \lesssim \left( \frac{\langle |\tau| - |\xi| \rangle + \langle \lambda \pm 1|\eta| \rangle + \langle \lambda - \tau \pm 2|\eta - \xi| \rangle}{\min(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta - \xi \rangle)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

*Proof of (7).* By the fractional Leibniz rule the estimate (7) follows from

$$\|\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)\psi' \rangle\|_{X_{0, b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{X_{\frac{3}{8r}, b, \pm 1}^r} \|\psi'\|_{X_{\frac{5}{8r}, b, \pm 2}^r} \quad (14)$$

and the similar estimate

$$\|\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}(D)\psi' \rangle\|_{X_{0, b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{X_{\frac{5}{8r}, b, \pm 1}^r} \|\psi'\|_{X_{\frac{3}{8r}, b, \mp 2}^r}.$$

We only prove the first one, because the last one is handled in exactly the same way. It is equivalent to

$$\|\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm_1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm_2}(D)\overline{\psi'} \rangle\|_{X_{0,b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{X_{\frac{3}{8r},b,\pm_1}^r} \|\psi'\|_{X_{\frac{5}{8r},b,\mp_2}^r}. \quad (15)$$

The left hand side is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}(\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm_1}\psi, \Pi_{\pm_2}\overline{\psi'} \rangle)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}} \\ &= \left\| \int \langle \beta \Pi_{\pm_1}(\eta)\tilde{\psi}(\lambda, \eta), \Pi_{\pm_2}(\eta - \xi)\tilde{\psi}'(\tau - \lambda, \xi - \eta) \rangle d\lambda d\eta \right\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Let now  $\psi(t, x) = e^{\pm_1 itD} \psi_0^{\pm_1}(x)$  and  $\psi' = e^{\mp_2 itD} \psi_0'^{\mp_2}(x)$ , so that we obtain  $\tilde{\psi}(\tau, \xi) = c\delta(\tau \mp_1 |\xi|)\widehat{\psi_0^{\pm_1}}(\xi)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}'(\tau, \xi) = c\delta(\tau \pm_2 |\xi|)\widehat{\psi_0'^{\mp_2}}(\xi)$ . Then we obtain by Lemma 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}(\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm_1}\psi, \Pi_{\pm_2}\overline{\psi'} \rangle)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}} \\ &= c^2 \left\| \int \langle \Pi_{\pm_2}(\eta - \xi)\beta \Pi_{\pm_1}(\eta)\delta(\lambda \mp_1 |\eta|)\widehat{\psi_0^{\pm_1}}(\eta), \delta(\tau - \lambda \pm_2 |\xi - \eta|) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \widehat{\psi_0'^{\mp_2}}(\xi - \eta) \rangle d\eta d\lambda \right\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \int \angle(\pm_1\eta, \pm_2(\eta - \xi))\delta(\tau \mp_1 |\eta| \pm_2 |\xi - \eta|)|\widehat{\psi_0^{\pm_1}}(\eta)| |\widehat{\psi_0'^{\mp_2}}(\xi - \eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We now distinguish between the different signs. It suffices to consider the cases  $\pm_1 = \pm_2 = +$  (hyperbolic case) and  $\pm_1 = +, \pm_2 = -$  (elliptic case).

**Case**  $\pm_1 = \pm_2 = +$ . Then we obtain from (11) and Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} (17) &\lesssim \left\| \int \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\xi| - |\tau|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\eta - \xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \delta(\tau - |\eta| + |\xi - \eta|) |\widehat{\psi_0^+}(\eta)| |\widehat{\psi_0'^-}(\xi - \eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\tau, \xi} I \|\widehat{D^{\frac{3}{8r}}\psi_0^+}\|_{L^{r'}} \|\widehat{D^{\frac{5}{8r}}\psi_0'^-}\|_{L^{r'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \delta(\tau - |\eta| + |\xi - \eta|) |\eta|^{-\frac{3}{8} - \frac{r}{2}} |\eta - \xi|^{-\frac{5}{8} - \frac{r}{2}} d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

We want to show  $\sup_{\tau, \xi} I \lesssim 1$ .

Subcase  $|\eta| + |\xi - \eta| \leq 2|\xi|$ . By [3], Prop. 4.5 we obtain

$$\int_{|\eta| + |\xi - \eta| \leq 2|\xi|} \delta(\tau - |\eta| + |\xi - \eta|) |\eta|^{-\frac{3}{8} - \frac{r}{2}} |\eta - \xi|^{-\frac{5}{8} - \frac{r}{2}} d\eta \sim |\xi|^A |\tau| - |\xi|^B,$$

with  $A = \max(\frac{5}{8} + \frac{r}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) - 1 - r = \frac{1}{2} - r$  and  $B = 1 - \max(\frac{5}{8} + \frac{r}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) = -\frac{1}{2}$  for  $r = 1+$ . This implies

$$I^r \lesssim |\xi|^{\frac{r}{2}} |\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{r}{2}} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2} - r} |\tau| - |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = |\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{r}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2}} \lesssim 1,$$

because  $|\tau| \leq |\xi|$ .

Subcase  $|\eta| + |\xi - \eta| \geq 2|\xi|$ . We apply [3], Lemma 4.4, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\eta| + |\xi - \eta| \geq 2|\xi|} \delta(\tau - |\eta| + |\xi - \eta|) |\eta|^{-\frac{3}{8} - \frac{r}{2}} |\eta - \xi|^{-\frac{5}{8} - \frac{r}{2}} d\eta \\ &\sim (|\xi|^2 - \tau^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_2^\infty (|\xi|x + \tau)^{-\frac{r}{2} + \frac{3}{8}} (|\xi|x - \tau)^{-\frac{r}{2} + \frac{5}{8}} (x^2 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\sim (|\xi|^2 - \tau^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_2^\infty \left(x + \frac{\tau}{|\xi|}\right)^{-\frac{r}{2} + \frac{3}{8}} \left(x - \frac{\tau}{|\xi|}\right)^{-\frac{r}{2} + \frac{5}{8}} (x^2 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx |\xi|^{1-r}. \end{aligned}$$

The lower limit of the integral can be chosen as 2 by inspection of the proof of [3]. Because  $|\tau| \leq |\xi|$  the integral is bounded and we obtain

$$I^r \lesssim |\xi|^{\frac{r}{2}} |\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{r}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^{1-r}}{|\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\tau| + |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{r}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{r}{2}} \lesssim 1.$$

**Case**  $\pm_1 = +, \pm_2 = -$ . We use (12) and Hölder and obtain in the case  $|\eta| \geq |\xi - \eta|$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (17) &\lesssim \left\| \int \frac{|\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|\eta - \xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \delta(\tau - |\eta| - |\xi - \eta|) |\widehat{\psi_0^+}(\eta)| |\widehat{\psi_0'^+}(\xi - \eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\tau, \xi} I \| \widehat{D^{\frac{3}{8r}} \psi_0^+} \|_{L^{r'}} \| \widehat{D^{\frac{5}{8r}} \psi_0'^+} \|_{L^{r'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = |\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \delta(\tau - |\eta| - |\xi - \eta|) |\eta|^{-\frac{3}{8}} |\eta - \xi|^{-\frac{5}{8}-\frac{r}{2}} d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

By [3], Lemma 4.3 we obtain

$$\int \delta(\tau - |\eta| - |\xi - \eta|) |\eta|^{-\frac{3}{8}} |\eta - \xi|^{-\frac{5}{8}-\frac{r}{2}} d\eta \sim \tau^A |\tau| - |\xi|^B,$$

with  $A = \max(\frac{5}{8} + \frac{r}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) - (\frac{r}{2} + 1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2}$  and  $B = 1 - \max(\frac{5}{8} + \frac{r}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) = -\frac{1}{2}$  for  $r = 1 +$ . Using  $|\xi| \leq \tau$  this implies

$$I^r \lesssim |\tau| - |\xi|^{\frac{r}{2}} \tau^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{r}{2}} |\tau| - |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 1.$$

We omit the case  $|\eta| \leq |\xi - \eta|$ , because it can be treated similarly.

In any case we arrive at the estimate

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm_1} \psi, \Pi_{\pm_2} \overline{\psi'} \rangle)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^{r'}} \lesssim \| \widehat{D^{\frac{3}{8r}} \psi_0^{\pm_1}} \|_{L^{r'}} \| \widehat{D^{\frac{5}{8r}} \psi_0'^{\mp_2}} \|_{L^{r'}}.$$

By the transfer principle Prop. 2.1 we obtain (14), which completes the proof.  $\square$

For the proof of (9) we need the following propositions, where we refer to the authors's paper [9] and the Grigoryan-Tanguay paper [5].

**Proposition 2.3.** *Assume  $1 < r \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha_0 > \frac{1}{r} - \gamma$ ,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > \frac{2}{r}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ ,  $\max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \neq \frac{3}{2r}$ ,  $b \geq \gamma$ , and either  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_0 > \gamma + \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2r}$ , or  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_0 \geq \gamma + \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2r}$ . Moreover  $\gamma \geq \max(\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{r}, \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{r})$ ,  $b > \frac{1}{r}$ . Then the following estimate holds:*

$$\|uv\|_{X_{\alpha_0, \gamma}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\alpha_1, b}^r} \|v\|_{X_{\alpha_2, b}^r}.$$

*Proof.* [9], Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

In the case  $\gamma = 0$  we need the following non-trivial result.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > \frac{3}{2r}$ ,  $b_1 + b_2 > \frac{3}{2r}$  and  $b_1, b_2 > \frac{1}{2r}$ . Then the following estimate holds*

$$\|uv\|_{X_{0,0}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\alpha_1, b_1}^r} \|v\|_{X_{\alpha_2, b_2}^r}.$$

*Proof.* Selberg [10] proved this in the case  $r = 2$ . The general case  $1 < r \leq 2$  was given by Grigoryan-Tanguay [5], Prop. 3.1, but in fact the case  $r = 1$  is also admissible. More precisely the result follows from [5] after summation over dyadic pieces in a standard way.  $\square$

*Proof of (9).* We apply Lemma 2.1 and estimate the angle by (13), where we replace the power  $\frac{1}{2}$  by  $\frac{1}{2r}$ , which is certainly possible. This allows to reduce (9) by the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\bar{v}\|_{X_{-l,-b+\frac{1}{2r}}^{r'}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b,\pm 1}^r} \|v\|_{X_{-s+\frac{1}{2r},1-b-, \pm 2}^{r'}} , \\
\|u\bar{v}\|_{X_{-l,-b+\frac{1}{2r}}^{r'}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s+\frac{1}{2r},b,\pm 1}^r} \|v\|_{X_{-s,1-b-, \pm 2}^{r'}} , \\
\|u\bar{v}\|_{X_{-l,-b}^{r'}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b-\frac{1}{2r},\pm 1}^r} \|v\|_{X_{-s+\frac{1}{2r},1-b-, \pm 2}^{r'}} , \\
\|u\bar{v}\|_{X_{-l,-b}^{r'}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s+\frac{1}{2r},b-\frac{1}{2r},\pm 1}^r} \|v\|_{X_{-s,1-b-, \pm 2}^{r'}} , \\
\|u\bar{v}\|_{X_{-l,-b}^{r'}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b,\pm 1}^r} \|v\|_{X_{-s+\frac{1}{2r},1-b-\frac{1}{2r}, \pm 2}^{r'}} , \\
\|u\bar{v}\|_{X_{-l,-b}^{r'}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s+\frac{1}{2r},b,\pm 1}^r} \|v\|_{X_{-s,1-b-\frac{1}{2r}, \pm 2}^{r'}} .
\end{aligned}$$

By duality it suffices to prove

$$\|uw\|_{X_{s-\frac{1}{2r},b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{l,b-\frac{1}{2r}}^r} , \quad (18)$$

$$\|uw\|_{X_{s,b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s+\frac{1}{2r},b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{l,b-\frac{1}{2r}}^r} , \quad (19)$$

$$\|uw\|_{X_{s-\frac{1}{2r},b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b-\frac{1}{2r}}^r} \|w\|_{X_{l,b}^r} , \quad (20)$$

$$\|uw\|_{X_{s,b-1+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s+\frac{1}{2r},b-\frac{1}{2r}}^r} \|w\|_{X_{l,b}^r} , \quad (21)$$

$$\|uw\|_{X_{s-\frac{1}{2r},b-1+\frac{1}{2r}+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{l,b}^r} , \quad (22)$$

$$\|uw\|_{X_{s,b-1+\frac{1}{2r}+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s+\frac{1}{2r},b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{l,b}^r} . \quad (23)$$

(18) follows from the fractional Leibniz rule and Prop. 2.4, which is fulfilled for  $l + \frac{1}{2r} > \frac{3}{2r} \Leftrightarrow l > \frac{1}{r}$  and  $2b - \frac{1}{2r} > \frac{3}{2r} \Leftrightarrow b > \frac{1}{r}$ . (19), (20) and (21) follow similarly.

Next we prove (23). We use Prop. 2.3 with parameters  $\gamma = b - 1 + \frac{1}{2r} + = \frac{3}{2r} - 1 +$ ,  $\alpha_0 = s > \frac{5}{8r} > \frac{1}{r} - \gamma$ ,  $\alpha_1 = s + \frac{1}{2r}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = l$ , so that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_0 = l + \frac{1}{2r} > \gamma + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{5}{2r} - 1 +$ , because by assumption  $l > \frac{2}{r} - 1$ . Moreover  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = s + \frac{1}{2r} + l > \frac{2}{r}$ , because by assumption  $s > \frac{5}{8r}$  and  $l > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4r}$ . We also need  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2r} - 1 + \geq \max(\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{r}, \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{r}) = \max(s - \frac{1}{2r}, l - \frac{1}{r})$ , because we may assume without loss of generality  $l \leq \frac{5}{2r} - 1$  and  $s \leq \frac{2}{r} - 1$ .

Finally we have to prove (22), where it suffices to consider the case  $l = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4r} +$ . By the fractional Leibniz rule we reduce to the estimates

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,b-1+\frac{1}{2r}+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2r},b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{4r}+,b}^r} , \quad (24)$$

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,b-1+\frac{1}{2r}+}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{4r}-s+\frac{1}{2r}+,b}^r} . \quad (25)$$

Concerning (24) we apply Prop. 2.3 with  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2r}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2r} +$ , so that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{r} + > \frac{2}{r}$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{r} + > \gamma + \frac{1}{r}$ . Thus

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,1}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2r},b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{1+\frac{1}{2r}+,b}^r} .$$

Moreover we apply Prop. 2.4 with  $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2r}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{r} +$ ,  $b_1 = b_2 = b$ , thus  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > \frac{3}{2r}$  and  $b_1 + b_2 > \frac{3}{2r}$ . Thus

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,0}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2r},b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{\frac{1}{r}+,b}^r} .$$

Interpolation between these estimates implies

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2r},b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{4r}+,b}^r} ,$$



which proves (24).

Concerning (25) we argue similarly. We obtain

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,1}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{1+\frac{1}{r}-s,b}^r}$$

and

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,0}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{\frac{3}{2r}-s+,b}^r},$$

so that interpolation implies

$$\|uw\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}}^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^r} \|w\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{5}{4r}-s+,b}^r},$$

which proves (25) and completes the proof of (9).  $\square$

**Remark:** It is (22) which prevents the optimal choice  $s = \frac{1}{2}+$ ,  $l = 1+$  in the case  $r = 1+$ . All the other estimates which are necessary for the proof of our main theorem are valid for this choice.

The bilinear estimates in the case  $r = 2$  by [1], Theorem 1 are given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $r = 2$ . The estimates (7) and (8) are fulfilled in the region*

$$s > -\frac{1}{5}, \quad \max\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{s}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, s\right) < l < \min\left(\frac{3}{4} + 2s, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3s}{2}, 1 + s\right).$$

The admissible pairs  $(s, l)$  in the general case  $1 < r \leq 2$  are now obtained by bilinear interpolation between the estimates in Prop. 2.2 and Prop. 2.5. Because we are mainly interested in the minimal possible choice of  $s$  and  $l$  we concentrate on the following result for simplicity.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $1 < r \leq 2$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{r}+$  and  $\delta > 0$ . The estimates (7) and (8) are fulfilled in the cases  $(s, l) = (\frac{33}{20r} - \frac{41}{40} + \delta, \frac{9}{5r} - \frac{11}{20} + \delta)$  (minimal  $s$ ) and  $(s, l) = (\frac{5}{4r} - \frac{5}{8} + \delta, \frac{2}{r} - \frac{3}{4} + \delta)$  (minimal  $l$ ).*

*Proof.* We interpolate between the pair  $(s, l) = (\frac{5}{8}+, \frac{5}{4}+)$  in the case  $r = 1+$  on the one hand and the pairs  $(s, l) = (-\frac{1}{5}+, \frac{7}{20})$  and  $(s, l) = (0, \frac{1}{4}+)$  in the case  $r = 2$  on the other hand to obtain the first and second claimed pair  $(s, l)$ , respectively. We concentrate on the second pair. Let  $\delta > 0$  be given and  $s = \frac{5}{4r} - \frac{5}{8} + \delta$ ,  $l = \frac{2}{r} - \frac{3}{4} + \delta$ . If  $r > 1$  is sufficiently close to 1 we have  $\delta > \frac{5}{4} - \frac{5}{4r}$ , so that  $\delta = \frac{5}{4} - \frac{5}{4r} + \omega$ , where  $\omega > 0$ . For  $\omega = 0+$  we obtain  $s = \frac{5}{8}+$  and  $l = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4r}+$ . In this case the estimates (7) and (8) are satisfied. By the fractional Leibniz rule this is also true for every  $\omega > 0$ , thus for the given  $\delta$  and  $r$  close enough to 1. Bilinear interpolation with the case  $s = \delta$  and  $l = \frac{1}{4} + \delta$  in the case  $r = 2$  implies the estimates (7) and (8) for the given pair  $(s, l)$  in the whole range  $1 < r \leq 2$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. d'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg: *Local well-posedness below the charge norm for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimensions*. J. Hyperbolic Diff. Equns. 4 (2007), no. 2, 295-330
- [2] P. d'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg, *Null structure and almost optimal local regularity for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (2007), no. 4, 877-899
- [3] D. Foschi and S. Klainerman: *Bilinear space-time estimates for homogeneous wave equations*. Ann. Sc. ENS. 4. serie, 33 (2000), 211-274
- [4] V. Grigoryan and A. Nahmod: *Almost critical well-posedness for nonlinear wave equation with  $Q_{\mu\nu}$  null forms in 2D*. Math. Res. Letters 21 (2014), 313-332
- [5] V. Grigoryan and A. Tanguay: *Improved well-posedness for the quadratic derivative nonlinear wave equation in 2D*. J. Math. Analysis Appl. 475 (2019), 1578-1595
- [6] A. Grünrock: *An improved local well-posedness result for the modified KdV equation*. Int. Math. Res. Not. (2004), no.61, 3287-3308

- [7] A. Grünrock: *On the wave equation with quadratic nonlinearities in three space dimensions*. J. Hyperbolic Diff. Equ. 8 (2011), 1-8
- [8] A. Grünrock and H. Pecher: *Global solutions for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimensions*. Comm. Part. Diff. Eqs. 35 (2009), 89-112
- [9] H. Pecher: *The Chern-Simons-Higgs and the Chern-Simons-Dirac equations in Fourier-Lebesgue spaces*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 39 (2019), 48754893.
- [10] S. Selberg: *Bilinear Fourier restriction estimates related to the 2D wave equation*. Adv. Diff. Equ. 16 (2011), 667-690