Person re-identification based on Res2Net network
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Abstract—Person re-identification (re-ID) has been gaining in popularity in the research community owing to its numerous applications and growing importance in the surveillance industry. Person re-ID remains challenging due to significant intra-class variations across different cameras. In this paper, we propose a multi-task network that simultaneously computes the identification loss and verification loss. Given a pair of input images, the network predicts the identities of the two input images and whether they belong to the same identity. In order to obtain deeper feature information of pedestrians, we propose to use the latest Res2Net network for feature extraction. Experiments on several large-scale person re-ID benchmark datasets demonstrate the accuracy of our approach. For example, rank-1 accuracies are 82.67% (+0.51) and 92.93% (+0.21) for the DukeMTMC and Market-1501 datasets, respectively. The proposed method shows encouraging improvements compared with state-of-the-art methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Person re-identification (re-ID) is usually viewed as an image retrieval problem, which aims to match pedestrians from multiple cameras [1-3]. Given a person-of-interest (query), person re-ID determines whether the person has been observed by another camera [4]. Recent progress in this area has been flourished due to two factors: (1) the availability of the large-scale pedestrian datasets and (2) the learned pedestrian descriptor using a convolutional neural network (CNN). Presently, deep learning methods dominate this community, with convincing superiority against hand-crafted competitors. Deeply-learned representations provide high discriminative ability, especially when aggregated from deeply-learned part features [5]. The latest state of the art on re-ID benchmarks are achieved with part-informed deep features.

Hand-crafted part features for person retrieval. Before deep learning methods dominated the re-ID research community, hand-crafted algorithms had developed approaches to learn part or local features. Gray and Tao [6] partition pedestrians into horizontal stripes to extract color and texture features. Similar partitions have then been adopted by many works [7, 8]. Some other works employ more sophisticated strategy. Gheissari et al. [9] divided the pedestrian into several triangles for part feature extraction. Cheng et al. [10] employed pictorial structure to parse the pedestrian into semantic parts. Das et al. [11] applied HSV histograms on the head, torso and legs to capture spatial information.

Deeply-learned part features. The state of the art on most person retrieval datasets is presently maintained by deep learning methods [1]. When learning part features for re-ID, the advantages of deep learning over hand-crafted algorithms are two-fold. First, deep features generally obtain stronger discriminative ability. Second, deep learning offers better tools for parsing pedestrians, which further benefits the part features. In particular, human pose estimation and landmark detection have achieved impressive progress [12]. Several recent works in re-ID employ these tools for pedestrian partition and report encouraging improvement [13]. However, the underlying gap between datasets for pose estimation and person retrieval remains a problem when directly utilizing these pose estimation methods in an off-the-shelf manner. Others abandon the semantic cues for partition. Yao et al. [14] cluster the coordinates of max activations on feature maps to locate several regions of interest. Both Liu et al. [15] and Zhao et al. [16] embed the attention mechanism [17] in the network, allowing the model to decide where to focus by itself.

Table 1. Comparison of the Advantages and limitations of Verification and Identification models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Strong Label</th>
<th>Similarity Estimation</th>
<th>Re-ID Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verification Models</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Models</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Model (Verification + Identification Models)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recently, the CNN has shown potential for learning state-of-the-art feature embeddings or deep metrics [4, 18-20]. Verification models and identification models are two major types of CNN models structures in person re-ID. The two models are different concerning input, feature extraction, and loss function for training. Many previous works treat person re-ID as a binary classification task [3, 21-23] or a similarity regression task [23]. However, the major problem in the verification models is that they only use weak re-ID labels (same / different) and do not take all of the annotated information into consideration. Therefore, the verification network lacks the ability to consider the relationship between the image pairs in the datasets and other images. In the attempt to take full advantages of the re-ID labels, the identification models take a single image as input x and predicts the predefined identity label \( f(x) \rightarrow t \). The main disadvantage of
the identification model is that the training objective is different from the testing process, that is, it does not account for the similarity measurement between pairs of images, which may cause problems during the pedestrian retrieval process. Table 1 shows that our proposed multi-task models combine the strength of the two models.

II. PROPOSED METHOD

A. Multi-task convolutional neural network

The proposed network is a multi-task convolutional network that combines identification loss and verification loss. Fig. 1 briefly illustrates the architecture of the multi-task convolutional network. Given two images of resized to 256×128 as inputs, the multi-task network simultaneously predicts the identity label of the two input images and the similarity score.

The multi-task convolutional network consists of two ImageNet pre-trained Res2Net [24] models; one square layer, three convolutional layers, and three losses. The three optimization objectives include one verification loss and two identification losses.

Fig. 1. A proposed Multi-Task Network model structure.

B. Res2Net: A New Multi-scale Backbone Architecture

Res2Net was a novel building block for CNNs, proposed by Shanghua Gao et al [24], by constructing hierarchical residual-like connections within one single residual block. The Res2Net represents multi-scale features at a granular level and increases the range of receptive fields for each network layer.

Different from some concurrent works [25-27] that improved the multi-scale ability by utilizing features with different resolutions; the multi-scale of our proposed method refers to the multiple available receptive fields at a more granular level. To achieve this goal, we replace the 3×3 filters of n channels, with a set of smaller filter groups, each with w channels (without loss of generality we use n = s × w). As shown in Fig. 2, these smaller filter groups are connected in a hierarchical residual-like style to increase the number of scales that the output features can represent. Specifically, we divide input feature maps into several groups. A group of filters first extracts features from a group of input feature maps. Output features of the previous group are then sent to the next group of filters along with another group of input feature maps. This process repeats several times until all input feature maps are processed. Finally, feature maps from all groups are concatenated and sent to another group of 1×1 filters to fuse information altogether. Along with any possible path in which input features are transformed to output features, the equivalent receptive field increases whenever it passes a 3 × 3 filter, resulting in many equivalent feature scales due to combination effects.

C. Identification Loss

The network has two Res2Net [24] models; they share weights and simultaneously predict two identity labels of the input images. Because the number of the training identities is 751 in Market1501 datasets, the convolutional layer has 751 kernels of size 1×1×4096 connected to the output f of Res2Net [24] and uses Softmax unit to normalize the network output. The size of the output is 1×1×751. We choose the cross-entropy loss for identity prediction, which is

\[ \hat{p} = \text{softmax}(\theta_i \circ f) \]

\[ \text{Identify}(f, t, \theta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} -p_i \log(\hat{p}_i) \]  

where \( \circ \) is a convolutional operator, \( f \) is a 1×1×4096 tensor, \( t \) is the target class, and \( \theta_i \) denotes the parameters of the convolutional layer. The \( \hat{p} \) and \( p_i \) are the predicted probability and the target probability, respectively, where \( p_i = 0 \) for all \( i \) except \( p_i = 1 \).

D. Verification Loss

As shown in Fig. 1, we use a square layer to compare the features. The square layer takes \( f_i, f_j \) as input and \( f_i \) is the output of the square layer. The square layer is denoted as

\[ f_i = (f_i - f_j)^2 \]

We treat pedestrian verification as a binary classification problem and use cross-entropy loss for predicted probability, which is

\[ \hat{q} = \text{softmax}(\theta_i \circ f_i) \]

\[ \text{Verify}(f_i, f_j, s, \theta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} -q_i \log(\hat{q}_i) \]

where the size of \( f_i \) and \( f_j \) is 1×1×4096, \( s \) is the target class (same/different), \( \theta_i \) are parameters of the convolutional layer, and \( \hat{q} \) is the predicted probability. If
the inputs image depicts the same person, \( q_1 = 1, q_2 = 0 \); otherwise, \( q_1 = 0, q_2 = 1 \).

### III. EXPERIMENTS

#### A. Datasets

In this study, we used Market-1501 [23], DukeMTMC-reID [28, 29] datasets for evaluation of Re-ID. The datasets are summarized in Table 2. Some images from these two datasets are shown in Fig. 3.

Market-1501: It contains 32,668 annotated bounding boxes of 1,501 identities. Images of each identity are captured by at most 6 cameras [23]. According to the dataset setting, the training set contains 12,936 cropped images of 751 identities, and the testing set contains 19,732 cropped images of 750 identities and distractors [5]. They are directly detected by the deformable part model (DPM) instead of using hand-drawn bounding boxes, which is closer to the realistic setting. For each query, we aim to retrieve the ground-truth images from the 19,732 candidate images.

DukeMTMC-reID: It is a subset of the newly-released multi-target, multi-camera pedestrian tracking dataset. The original dataset contains eight 85-minute high resolution videos from eight different cameras. The DukeMTMC-reID dataset contains 1,404 identities, 16,522 training images, 2,228 queries, and 17,661 gallery images [28, 29]. With so many images captured by 8 cameras, DukeMTMC-reID manifests itself as one of the most challenging re-ID datasets up to now.

#### Table 2. Summary of datasets for RE-ID and attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Images</th>
<th>Gallery</th>
<th>Identities</th>
<th>Cameras</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market1501</td>
<td>12,936</td>
<td>19,732</td>
<td>1,501</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DukeMTMC</td>
<td>16,522</td>
<td>17,661</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Sample images from Market-1501 (Top) and DukeMTMC (Bottom) datasets.

#### B. Implementation details

Image preprocessing. The images have been randomly cropped to \( 256 \times 128 \) and used in a random order. Then we sample another image from the same/different class to compose a positive / negative pair.

Training. The number of training epochs is set to 256 for the proposed network. The initial learning rate was set as 0.05 and then decay learning rate by a factor of 0.1 every 40 epochs. For optimizer, we adopt the mini-batch Stochastic Gradient Descent to update the parameters of the multi-task network [30]. There are three type of loss in the proposed network. Therefore, we first compute all gradients produced by every loss respectively and add the weighted gradients together to update the network. We assign a weight 0.5 for the two gradients produced by two identification losses and weight 1 to the gradient produced by the verification loss.

Testing. Because two Res2Net share weights, the model has nearly the same memory consumption with the pre-trained model. Thus, we extract features by only activating one finetuned model. Given a \( 256 \times 128 \) gallery image, we feed forward the image to one Res2Net [24] model in our multi-task network and get a pedestrian descriptor \( f \) of which size is 4096 \times 1. Once the descriptors for the gallery are sets and then they are stored offline. Given a \( 256 \times 128 \) query image, the network will extract its descriptor online and then sort the cosine distance between the query image and all gallery features to obtain the final ranking result.

#### C. Some tricks for training model

Random Erasing Augmentation. In person Re-ID, persons in the images are sometimes occluded by other objects. To improve the generalization ability and address the occlusion problem of our models, we use the Random Erasing Augmentation (REA) [31] method to preprocessing the images before inputting the network [11]. Some examples are shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Sampled examples of random erasing augmentation. The first row shows five original training images. The processed images are presented in the second low.

In practice, we found that when REA [31] is set to 0.4, the model can get the best rank-1 ranking and when set to 0.5, the mAP had the best result.

Warmup Learning Rate. Learning rate has a great impact for the performance of a person Re-ID model. Since the weights of the model are randomly initialized at the beginning of training, choosing a large learning rate at this time may bring instability to the model [30]. A warmup strategy is applied to bootstrap the network for better
performance. In practice, we used a learning rate of 0.1 times for the first five epochs of the training network.

Table 3. Comparison with the different REA values on Market-1501 datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REA</th>
<th>Rank-1</th>
<th>mAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.0</td>
<td>88.24%</td>
<td>71.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.1</td>
<td>89.82%</td>
<td>75.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.2</td>
<td>90.05%</td>
<td>75.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.3</td>
<td>89.96%</td>
<td>75.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.4</td>
<td>90.47%</td>
<td>76.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.5</td>
<td>90.02%</td>
<td>76.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.6</td>
<td>89.46%</td>
<td>74.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.7</td>
<td>89.04%</td>
<td>74.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.8</td>
<td>89.01%</td>
<td>74.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_0.9</td>
<td>89.16%</td>
<td>74.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er_1.0</td>
<td>89.19%</td>
<td>74.14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Performance evaluation

The results on Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID datasets are shown in Table 4 which indicates the effectiveness of the proposed feature extracting strategy. In the experiment set, ten existing methods, include LOMO+XQDA [32], BoW+kissme [23], SVDNet [33], PAR [16], PAN [34], GAN [29], SVDNet+Era [31], MultiScate [35], PCB [36], MultiLoss [37] are tested on Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID datasets to compare with the proposed method. The proposed model using Res2Net-50 produces the best performance—92.93% in rank-1 accuracy and 77.35% in mAP—which outperforms other state-of-the-art algorithms. As shown in Table 5, we also report the results obtained with the ResNet-50 and ResNet-121 networks, respectively, on Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID datasets. The experiment results on two different datasets have validated the proposed method better than other existing methods.

Table 4. Comparison of the proposed method with the state-of-the-art methods on Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Market-1501</th>
<th>DukeMTMC-reID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rank-1</td>
<td>mAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOMO+XQDA [16]</td>
<td>43.79</td>
<td>22.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BoW+kissme [23]</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVDNet [33]</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR [16]</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN [34]</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAN [29]</td>
<td>83.97</td>
<td>66.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVDNet+Era [31]</td>
<td>87.08</td>
<td>71.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MultiScate [35]</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MultiLoss [37]</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB [36]</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>92.93</td>
<td>77.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Comparison of the proposed method with the ResNet-50 and ResNet-121 on Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Market-1501</th>
<th>DukeMTMC-reID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rank-1</td>
<td>mAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResNet-50</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>76.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResNet-50</td>
<td>92.93</td>
<td>77.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResNet-121</td>
<td>90.76</td>
<td>75.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res2Net-121</td>
<td>93.14</td>
<td>77.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instance Retrieval. we apply the multi-task network to the generic pedestrian retrieval task. The results are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. The image in the leftmost is the query images. The retrieved images are sorted according to the similarity score from left to right. The label of the correctly matched image is recorded in green, and the label of the false matching image is in red with a frame.

Fig. 5. Samples of pedestrian retrieval on the Market-1501 dataset

Fig. 6. Samples of pedestrian retrieval on the DukeMTMC-reID dataset

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper contributed to solving the pedestrian re-identification problem. We proposed a multi-task network with a novel building block Res2Net that simultaneously considers verification loss and identification loss. The
proposed model learns a discriminative embedding and a similarity measurement at the same time. The experimental results demonstrate the proposed method is better than other benchmarked methods. In the future, we will try to improve our network with better loss functions and data augmentation methods. Adapting this approach to video-based re-identification is another future avenue to invest.
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