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Abstract. For any polynomial \( p(x) \) over \( \mathbb{F}_l \) we determine the asymptotic density of hyperelliptic curves over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of genus \( g \) for which \( p(x) \) divides the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius acting on the \( l \)-torsion of the Jacobian, and give an explicit formula for this density. We prove this result as a consequence of more general density theorems for quotients of Tate modules of such curves, viewed as modules over the Frobenius. The proof involves the study of measures on \( R \)-modules over arbitrary rings \( R \) which are finite \( \mathbb{Z}_l \)-algebras. In particular we prove a result on the convergence of sequences of such measures, which can be applied to the moments computed in [LT19] to obtain the above results. We also extend the random model for finite \( R \)-modules proposed in [LT19] to such rings \( R \), and prove several of its properties. Notably the measure obtained is in general not inversely proportional to the size of the automorphism group.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background. Cohen, Lenstra and Martinet [CL84, CMS87] put forth heuristics for predicting the distribution of the \( l \)-part of the class group of certain families of number fields. Their proposed distribution on the set of finite abelian \( l \)-groups in the most simple case is

\[
\mu_{CL}(A) = \frac{c}{|\text{Aut}(A)|}
\]

for some normalizing constant \( c \). This distribution can be modelled by cokernels of Haar-random \( n \times n \) matrices over \( \mathbb{Z}_q \), in the limit \( n \to \infty \) [Was86]. We will assume \( l \) is an odd prime throughout the paper, and \( q \) is a power of a prime distinct from \( l \).

These heuristics have been extended to the setting of Jacobians of curves over finite fields. Let \( \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q) \) be the space of smooth projective curves over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). In their simplest form the heuristics say that the distribution of the finite abelian group \( \text{Jac}(C)(\mathbb{F}_q)[l^\infty] \) in the \( g \)-limit is the Cohen-Lenstra distribution \( \mu_{CL} \). As a consequence of ground-breaking results on homological stabilization Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW16] were able to prove this heuristic holds in the \( g,q \)-limit.

Lipnowski and the second author [LT19] further extended the above heuristics, essentially by considering the whole group \( \text{Jac}(C)[l^\infty] \) as a module over the Frobenius operator \( F \). Though there is no distribution on \( \text{Jac}(C)[l^\infty] \), this is remedied by considering the distribution of \( \ker P(F) \) for any suitable polynomial \( P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X] \). Indeed \( \text{Jac}(C)(\mathbb{F}_q)[l^\infty] = \ker (1 - F) \) so this generalizes the previous setting.
Furthermore they developed and studied a similar random model for finite modules over rings $R$ which are finite local $\mathbb{Z}_l$-algebras containing $\mathbb{Z}_l$ by considering the distribution of cokernels of large Haar-random matrices over $R$. This gives rise to a measure on finite $R$-modules denoted $\mu_R$. They conjectured when $R = \mathbb{Z}_l [F] / \langle P (F) \rangle$ this models the distribution of $\text{Jac} (C) [l^\infty] / \langle P (F) \rangle$. Extending the methods of Ellenberg-Venkatesh-Westerland [EVW16] they proved that as $C$ varies over $\mathcal{M}_g (\mathbb{F}_q)$ the moments converge to 1 in the $g, q$ limit,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{M}_g (\mathbb{F}_q)} \left[ \text{Surj}_{\mathbb{Z}_l [F]} (\text{Jac} (C), G) \right] \longrightarrow 1
$$

for all finite $\mathbb{Z}_l [F]$-modules $G$.

In this paper we determine the density of hyperelliptic curves in $\mathcal{M}_g (\mathbb{F}_q)$ for which any polynomial $P (X) \in \mathbb{F}_l [X]$ divides the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius acting on $\text{Jac} (C) [l]$ in the $g, q$-limit, and we give an explicit formula for this density. We obtain this and other results about the structure of torsion $R$-submodules of $\text{Jac} (C) [l^\infty]$ as a consequence of the more general result that the density of $\text{Jac} (C) [l^\infty] / \langle P (F) \rangle$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_l [F] / \langle P (F) \rangle$-module converges weakly to $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_l [F]/\langle P (F) \rangle}$ in the $g, q$-limit.

In particular we prove a technical result which says that if $R$ is any finite $\mathbb{Z}_l$-algebra, then for any sequence of measures on the set of $R$-modules convergence of moments implies convergence of measures under suitable conditions. We combined this with the above mentioned moment results of [LT19].

To obtain explicit formulas in our results we also extend the random model in [LT19] to any finite $\mathbb{Z}_l$-algebra $R$ and prove all the analogous properties in this case. The measure is no longer inversely proportional to the size of the automorphism group in general, though this does hold if for example $R$ contains $\mathbb{Z}_l$. We also prove an explicit formula for the distribution of ranks and its moments in this model.

1.2. Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves. Our main result is the following explicit formula for the densities of factors of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius acting on $\text{Jac} (C) [l]$.

For any ring $R$ let $S_R$ be the set of finite $R$-modules. We will use $\text{Prob} (\cdot)$ to denote the density of points in $\mathcal{M}_g (\mathbb{F}_q)$ for which some property holds (implicitly depending on $q$ and $g$). For $C \in \mathcal{M}_g (\mathbb{F}_q)$ let $P_C (X)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius acting on $\text{Jac} (C) [l]$. Throughout the paper we will use the notation $\eta (F) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - |F|^{-u} \right)$ for any field $F$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $P (X) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} P_i (X)^{m_i}$ where the $P_i (X) \in \mathbb{F}_l [X]$ are irreducible polynomials which are coprime and such that $l \nmid P (q)$. Let $R_i = \mathbb{F}_l [X] / \langle P_i (X)^{m_i+1} \rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}_i = R_i / \langle P_i (X) \rangle$. Assume $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \eta (\mathbb{F}_i) \geq 1/2$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $N$ such that if $g, q > N$

$$
\left| \text{Prob} (P (X) \| P_C (X)) - \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{M \in S_{R_i} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} M = m_i} \frac{\eta (\mathbb{F}_i)}{|\text{Aut}_{R_i} (M)|} \right| < \epsilon.
$$
Corollary. It is necessary to obtain a non-trivial answer. As an example we have the following goes to infinity, hence reducing to the question of divisibility as in the above theorem in turn holds if \( l \) is large enough, or deg \( P_i \) is large enough for all \( i \). For example if \( s = 1 \) it holds for all \( l > 2 \).

Also note that \( \text{Prob}(P_C(X) = P(X)) = 0 \) for any fixed \( P(X) \in \mathbb{F}_l[X] \), since deg \( P_C \) goes to infinity, hence reducing to the question of divisibility as in the above theorem is necessary to obtain a non-trivial answer. As an example we have the following Corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** For \( l > 2 \) and any \( a \in \mathbb{F}_l \) we have

\[
\text{Prob}((X - a) \parallel P_C(X)) \to \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - l^{-i}) \left[ \frac{1}{(l^2 - 1)(l^2 - l)} + \frac{1}{l^2 - 1} \right]
\]

and

\[
\text{Prob}((X - a) \nmid P_C(X)) \to \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - l^{-i})
\]

in the \( g, q \)-limit.

We also prove the asymptotic independence of the appearance of certain submodules of \( \text{Jac}(C)[l^{\infty}] \). Call \( \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l} \) a Weil \( q \)-number if it satisfies \( |\phi(\lambda)| = \sqrt{q} \) for any embedding \( \phi : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l} \to \mathbb{C} \).

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( \epsilon > 0 \). Let \( P_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X] \) be irreducible, pairwise coprime modulo \( l \), and \( l \nmid P_i(q) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, s \). Suppose the roots of \( P_i(X) \) are not Weil \( q \)-numbers and \( \prod_{i=1}^{s} \eta(\mathbb{F}_l) > 1/2 \).

Let \( R = \mathbb{Z}_l[X]/(\prod_{i=1}^{s} P_i(X)^{m_i}) \). Let \( M \in S_R \) and let \( M_i = M/(P_i(X)^{m_i})M \). There exists \( N \) such that if \( g, q > N \) then

\[
\left| \text{Prob} \left( \text{Jac}(C) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{s} P_i(F)^{m_i} \right] \cong M \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{s} \text{Prob}(\text{Jac}(C)[P_i(F)^{m_i}] \cong M_i) \right| < \epsilon.
\]

We now discuss the crucial theorem needed to prove the results stated above. In Section 3 we define a measure \( \mu_R \) on the set of \( R \)-modules \( S_R \) by taking cokernels of random matrices over \( R \) (see also Theorem 1.5 below). By proving a convergence of moments implies convergence of measures result (Theorem 2.6) we can combine it with [LT19, Theorem 1.1] to show that the densities of the cokernel of Frobenius acting on the Tate module of \( C \in \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q) \) converge to \( \mu_R \).

Let \( T_l(\text{Jac}(C)) \) denote the \( l \)-adic Tate module. Let \( P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X] \) and suppose the roots of \( P(X) \) are not Weil \( q \)-numbers. Fix \( g, q \) and define the probability measure on \( S_R \) by

\[
\mu_{g,q}(M) = \frac{|\{ C \in \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid T_l(\text{Jac}(C)) / \langle P(F) \rangle \cong M \}|}{|\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)|}.
\]
Note this measure is defined using the the cokernel of the action of Frobenius instead of the kernel which we consider above, but by duality this amounts to the same thing under certain hypothesis (see Lemma 1.3).

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume \( \prod_{i=1}^{s} \eta(\mathbb{F}_i) > 1/2 \). The sequence of probability measures \( \{\mu_{g,q}\} \) converges weakly to \( \mu_R \) as \( g,q \to \infty \) along any sequence with \( l \nmid P(q) \).

We prove this theorem more generally for any sequence of measures \( \{\nu_n\} \) on \( S_R \) whose moments converge to 1, where \( R \) is any finite \( \mathbb{Z}_l \)-algebra. This result is proven by showing that there is no escape of mass along the sequence \( \{\nu_n\} \) with respect to the integrals \( \int_{S_R} \text{Surj}_R(X,A) \, d\nu_n(X) \) for any \( A \in S_R \). This is done by finding \( A' \in S_R \) for which the ratio \( \text{Surj}_R(X,A)/\text{Surj}_R(X,A') \) can be made arbitrarily small and taking advantage of the fact that the moments are uniformly bounded.

1.3. **A random model.** On the random model side we prove the following theorem on the measure \( \mu_R \) which is analogous to the classic Cohen-Lenstra measure, and generalizes Theorem 1.2 from [LT19].

They in fact constructed a more general random model for triples of the form \((G,F,\omega)\) where \( G \) is a finite \( l \)-group on which \( F \) acts invertibly, \( \omega \in \wedge^2 G \), and \( F\omega = q\omega \) (see Section 1.3.2 of [LT19]) which is a refinement of the classical random matrix model of Friedman-Washington [FW89]. They conjectured a refinement of \( \mu_{g,q} \), which additionally accounts for isomorphism of the Weil pairing on \( \text{Jac}(C) \) with \( \omega \), converges to the measure given by their random model in the \( g \) limit. However their proofs were restricted to the case when \( \omega = 0 \) and Weil pairing is trivial, that is the measure becomes \( \mu_{g,q} \). Theorem 1.4 proves this conjecture in the \( g \), \( q \)-limit (the condition \( l \nmid P(q) \) implies the Weil pairing is trivial).

There is also the recent work of Cheong-Huang [CH18] who proved identities related to the measure \( \mu_R \) in the case when \( R \) is a complete discrete valuation ring.

We let \( \{m_1, \ldots, m_s\} \) be the recent work of Cheong-Huang [CH18] who proved identities related to the measure \( \mu_R \) in the case when \( R \) is a complete discrete valuation ring.

We let \( \{m_1, \ldots, m_s\} \) be the maximal ideals of \( R \) and \( \mathbb{F}_i = R/m_i \). For any \( M \in S_R \) define \( d_{m_i}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} M \otimes_R \mathbb{F}_i - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} \text{Tor}^1_R(M, \mathbb{F}_i) \). Let \( T_R \subseteq S_R \) be the set of \( R \)-modules which are isomorphic to the cokernel of some square matrix over \( R \). For \( \vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \) define the subset \( T_{R,\vec{j}} \subseteq S_R \) by

\[
T_{R,\vec{j}} = \{ M \in T_R \mid d_{m_i}(M) = j_i \}.
\]

**Theorem 1.5.** Assume \( \prod_{i=1}^{s} \eta(\mathbb{F}_i) > 1/2 \). There exists a unique probability measure \( \mu_R \) on \( S_R \) such that

\[
\int_{S_R} \text{Surj}_R(X,A) \, d\mu_R(X) = 1
\]

for all \( A \in S_R \). The measure \( \mu_R \) is supported on \( \bigcup_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} T_{R,\vec{j}} \) and for every \( \vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s \) and \( M \in T_{R,\vec{j}} \) we have the formula

\[
\mu_R(M) = \frac{c_{R,\vec{j}}}{|\text{Aut}_R M|}
\]

where

\[
c_{R,\vec{j}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{N_i(n, j_i) |\text{GL}_{n-j_i}(\mathbb{F}_i)|}{|M_{n \times n-j_i}(\mathbb{F}_i)|}
\]
and $\mathcal{N}(n, j)$ denotes the number of subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ of dimension $j$.

If $\mathbb{Z}_l \subset R$ then $\mu_R(M)$ is inversely proportional to $|\text{Aut}_R M|$ for all $M \in S_R$.

In general $\mu_R$ is not inversely proportional to the size of the automorphism group as the constant $c_{R, j}$ depends on the module $M$. If $\mathbb{Z}_l \subset R$ it turns out that $T_{R, j}$ is empty unless $j = 0$.

Additionally in Section 3.1 we prove some formulas for the distribution and moments of the function $rk_l$ on $S_R$ which are needed to prove our theorems, but which may be of independent interest.

2. Measures on $R$-modules

2.1. Preliminaries. Let $R$ be a ring which is a finite $\mathbb{Z}_l$-algebra. Let $J = J(R)$ be the Jacobson radical of $R$. Let $\{m_1, \ldots, m_s\}$ be the set of maximal ideals of $R$. Let $R_i \cong R/m_i$ be the residue field of $m_i$. By the Chinese remainder theorem we have $R/J(R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s R_i$ and for any finite $R$-module $M$ we have $M/J(R) M \cong \prod_{i=1}^s V_i$ where $V_i \cong M/m_i M$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_i$ vector space.

Let $S_R$ be the set of finite $R$-modules. For any $e \geq 1$ let $S_e \subset S_R$ be the set of modules $M$ such that $m_i^e M = 0$ for all $i$. Clearly every finite $R$-module is contained in $S_e$ for large enough $e$. We have $S_e = \{M \otimes_R \prod_{i=1}^s R/m_i^e \mid M \in S_R\}$.

Lemma 2.1. For each $i$ the field $\mathbb{F}_i$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_l$.

Proof. Suppose towards a contradiction that $l \notin m_i$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_l \rightarrow R$ defined by $\phi(x) = x \cdot 1_R$. Since $\phi^{-1}(m_i)$ is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_l$ it is either 0 or (l). Hence in our case $\phi^{-1}(m_i) = 0$ so in particular $\phi$ is an injection and $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_l) \cap m_i = 0$. Since $R/m_i$ is a field containing $\mathbb{Z}_l$ it is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_l$.

Now $R$ is a Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module since it is finite over the Noetherian ring $\mathbb{Z}_l$. Hence its quotient $R/m_i$ is a Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module. But this implies the submodule $\mathbb{Q}_l$ of $R/m_i$ is also Noetherian, a contradiction. Thus we conclude $l \in m_i$.

Since $R$ is finite over $\mathbb{Z}_l$ this implies $\mathbb{F}_i = R/m_i$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_l = \mathbb{Z}_l/(l)$. □

Lemma 2.2. For each $i$ the ring $R/m_i^e$ is finite.

Proof. The field $\mathbb{F}_i = R/m_i$ is finite since it is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_l$. Note $m_i^j/m_i^{j+1} \cong m_i^j \otimes_R R/m_i$ and this is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_i$-vector space since $R$ is a Noetherian ring. Then by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow m_i^j/m_i^{j+1} \rightarrow R/m_i^{j+1} \rightarrow R/m_i^j \rightarrow 0$$

and induction we get the result. □

For any $X \in S_R$ let $r_i(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} X/m_i X$ and let $r(X) = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i(X)$.

Lemma 2.3. Fix $e \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $r > 0$ there exists $n > 0$ such if $X \in S_e$ and $|X| > n$ then $r(X) > r$.
Proof. Since $X \in S_e$ we can view it as a $\prod_{i=1}^s R/m_i^e$-module. Hence by Nakayama’s lemma and Lemma 2.2 for any $X \in S_e$ we have

$$|X| \leq \sum_{i=1}^s r_i(X)|R/m_i^e|$$

$$\leq r(X) \cdot \max_i |R/m_i^e|.$$

\[Q.E.D.\]

### 2.2. Moments and limits of measures on $R$-modules.

For any $A \in S_R$ let $f_A(X) = |\text{Surj}_R(X, A)|$ for all $X \in S_R$.

We first restrict to the set $S_e$ and prove the following.

**Proposition 2.4.** For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $A \in S_e$ there exists $A' \in S_e$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$f_A(X) \leq \epsilon f_{A'}(X)$$

for all $X \in S_e$ with $|X| > c$.

**Proof.** Let $A' = A \oplus R/J(R)$. Recall $R/J(R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s R/m_i$. For each $f \in \text{Surj}_R(X, A)$ we will construct a set of surjections from $X$ to $R/J(R)$ whose size grows with the rank of $\ker f$.

By Lemma 2.3 for any $N_0 > 0$ we can take $c$ large enough such that $r(\ker f) > N_0$ for all $f \in \text{Surj}_R(X, A)$ and all $|X| > c$.

Fix $X \in S_e$ with $|X| > c$ such that $f_A(X) > 0$. Fix $f \in \text{Surj}_R(X, A)$. The set $\text{Surj}_R(\ker f, R/J(R))$ is in bijection with

$$\text{Surj}_R(\ker f \otimes_R R/J(R), R/J(R)).$$

For any $N_1 > 0$ there exists $N_0$ such that if $r(\ker f) > N_0$ then $f_{R/J(R)}(\ker f \otimes_R R/J(R)) > N_1$ since we are reduced to considering maps of vector spaces. We have shown that for any $N_1 > 0$ there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $|X| > c$ and all $f \in \text{Surj}_R(X, A)$ we have $f_{R/J(R)}(\ker f \otimes_R R/J(R)) > N_1$.

Let $\pi_{X,f} \in \text{Surj}(X, ker f/R(J))$ be the composition of projections

$$X \rightarrow X/R(J) \cong V \oplus \ker f/R(J) \rightarrow ker f/R(J).$$

The second isomorphism follows since $ker f/R(J) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \ker f/m_i$ and $ker f/m_i$ is a subspace of $X/m_iX$ hence a direct summand. Given any $g' \in \text{Surj}_R(\ker f/R(J), R/J(R))$ we get $g \in \text{Surj}_R(X, R/m)$ by letting $g = g' \circ \pi_{X,f}$. Letting

$$G(X,f) = \{ g = g' \circ \pi_{X,f} \mid g' \in \text{Surj}_R(\ker f/R(J), R/J(R)) \} \subset \text{Surj}_R(X, R/J(R))$$

we have $|G(X,f)| > N_1$. Thus for any $N_1 > 0$ there exists $c$ such that if $|X| > c$ then $|G(X,f)| > N_1$ for all $f \in \text{Surj}_R(X, A)$.

We claim that

$$T(X) = \{(f,g) \mid f \in \text{Surj}_R(X, A), g \in G(X,f) \} \subset \text{Surj}_R(X, A')$$

for all $X \in S_e$. Note for any $(f,g) \in T(X)$ we have that $g|_{\ker f}$ is already a surjection to $R/J(R)$. If $(x,a) \in A' = A \oplus R/J(R)$ then pick $y_1 \in X$ such that $f(y_1) = x$ and $y_2 \in \ker f$ such that $g(y_2) = a - g(y_1)$. Then $(f,g)(y_1 + y_2) = (x,a)$. 

We conclude that for any $N_1 > 0$ we can take $c$ large enough such that $|T(X)| > N_1 \cdot f_A(X)$ for all $|X| > c$. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

For the next lemma make the notation $Y_c = \{X \in S_R \mid |X| > c\}$.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $e \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $A \in S_e$ there exists $c > 0$ and $A' \in S_e$ such that

$$\int_{Y_c} f_A(X) \, d\nu < \epsilon \int_{Y_c} f_{A'}(X) \, d\nu$$

for all measures $\nu$ on $S_R$.

**Proof.** For any $A \in S_e$ and $X \in S_R$ we have $\text{Surj}_R(X, A) = \text{Surj}_R(X \otimes \prod_{i=1}^s R/m_i^e, A)$. Hence by Proposition 2.4 there exists $c > 0$ and $A' \in S_e$ such that

$$\int_{Y_C} f_A(X) \, d\nu = \int_{Y_C} f_A\left(X \otimes \prod_{i=1}^s R/m_i^e\right) \, d\nu$$

$$< \epsilon \int_{Y_C} f_{A'}\left(X \otimes \prod_{i=1}^s R/m_i^e\right) \, d\nu$$

$$= \epsilon \int_{Y_C} f_{A'}(X) \, d\nu$$

for any measure $\nu$. \hfill \Box

We now prove a “convergence of moments” implies “convergence of measures” result. We make use of the above results to ensure no escape of mass for the sequence of measures in question.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $\{\nu_n\}$ be a sequence of probability measures on $S_R$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\nu_n = 1$$

for all $A \in S_R$. Then the sequence $\{\nu_n\}$ converges weakly to a probability measure $\mu$ satisfying $\int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\mu = 1$ for all $A \in S_R$.

**Proof.** Note that by restricting to a subsequence we may assume the $\nu_n$ weakly converge to some measure $\nu_\infty$ (which may not be a probability measure).

For any $c > 0$

$$\int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\nu_\infty = \int_{|X| < c} f_A(X) \, d\nu_\infty + \int_{|X| > c} f_A(X) \, d\nu_\infty$$

$$\geq \int_{|X| < c} f_A(X) \, d\nu_\infty.$$
This last integral is a finite sum, hence by weak convergence of the $\nu_n$ we have
\[
\int_{|X|<c} f_A(X) \, d\nu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|X|<c} f_A(X) \, d\nu_n = 1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|X|>c} f_A(X) \, d\nu_n.
\]
Thus for any $c > 0$
\[
\int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\nu \geq 1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|X|>c} f_A(X) \, d\nu_n.
\]
Then by Lemma 2.5 for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $c > 0$ and $A'$ such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|X|>c} f_A(X) \, d\nu_n \leq \epsilon \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|X|>c} f_{A'}(X) \, d\nu_n \leq \epsilon.
\]
Thus $\int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\nu \geq 1 - \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. By Fatou’s Lemma for measures
\[
\int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\nu \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\nu_n = 1.
\]
Thus $\int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\nu = 1$ for all $A \in S_R$. Setting $A = 1$ shows $\nu_\infty$ is in fact a probability measure.

\[\square\]

3. The Cohen-Lenstra measure on $R$-modules

We now define a particular measure on $R$-modules using the standard method of taking cokernels of large random matrices. We prove various properties of this measure, in particular that it is determined by its moments. This generalizes [L149 Section 2] to rings $R$ which are finite $\mathbb{Z}_l$-algebras. Some of the arguments are similar but we reproduce them here for completeness and since additional work is required to deal with the more general rings in our case.

Let $R$ and $S_R$ be as defined above. Let $\mu_{\text{haar},n}$ be the Haar measure induced on $\text{End}_R(R^n)$ (since $R$ is finite over $\mathbb{Z}_l$) and let $\phi_n : \text{End}_R(R^n) \to S_R$ be defined by $\phi_n(f) = \text{coker} f$. For each $n$ we have a probability measure on $S_R$ given by $\mu_n = (\phi_n)_* \mu_{\text{haar},n}$. Finally let $\mu_R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n$ be the weak limit of measures.

We start by showing that $\mu_R$ is indeed a probability measure.

Lemma 3.1. The measure $\mu_R$ is a probability measure on $S_R$ and $\int_{S_R} f_A(X) \, d\mu_R = 1$ for all $A \in S_R$. 

Proof. We claim \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{S_R} f_A (X) \, d\mu_n = 1\) for all \(A \in S_R\). For any \(n\) by definition of \(\mu_n\) as the push-forward we have

\[
\int_{S_R} f_A (X) \, d\mu_n = \int_{\varphi \in \text{End}_R (R^n)} f_A (\text{coker} \varphi) \, d\mu_{\text{haa}, n}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\psi \in \text{Surj} (R^n, A)} \mu_{\text{haa}, n} (\{ \varphi \in \text{End}_R (R^n) \mid \text{im} \varphi \subseteq \ker \psi \})
\]

\[
= |\text{Surj} (R^n, A)| \cdot |A|^{-n}
\]

where we are counting surjections to \(A\) in two different ways. The last equality follows since \(\ker \psi\) has index \(|A|\) in \(R^n\). Then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} |\text{Surj} (R^n, A)| = |\text{Hom} (R^n, A)| = |A|\).

This proves the claim. Hence the result follows by Theorem 2.6. \(\square\)

Now we characterize the support of \(\mu_R\) under some additional assumptions on \(R\). We will see the support of \(\mu_R\) is a strict subset of \(S_R\).

Let \(T_R\) be the union of \(\text{im} \phi_n\) for all \(n\).

Recall we let \(\{m_1, \ldots, m_s\}\) be the maximal ideals of \(R\) and \(\mathbb{F}_i = R/m_i\). For any \(M \in S_R\) define \(d_{m_i} (M) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} M \otimes_R \mathbb{F}_i - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} \text{Tor}_1^R (M, \mathbb{F}_i)\). Let \(d (M) = \sum_{i=1}^s d_{m_i} (M)\).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \(M \in S_R\). Then \(M \in T_R\) if and only if \(d_i (M) \geq 0\) for all \(i\).

**Proof.** Since \(M\) is finite there is an exact sequence

\[
0 \to K \to R^n \to M \to 0
\]

which we can localize at any maximal ideal \(m\) of \(R\). Let \(\mathbb{F} = R/m\). Note \(\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Tor}_1^R (R_n, \mathbb{F}) = 0\). Hence tensoring with \(\mathbb{F} \cong R/m R/m\) we see that

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{F}} M_m \otimes_{R_m} \mathbb{F} - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Tor}_1^R (M_m, \mathbb{F}) = n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K_m \otimes_{R_m} \mathbb{F},
\]

Suppose \(M \in T_R\). Then there is an exact sequence

\[
0 \to N \to R^n \to R^n \to M \to 0.
\]

Let \(K = R^n/N\). Then \(\dim_{\mathbb{F}} R^n/N \otimes \mathbb{F} \leq n\) so it follows from (3.2) that \(d_{m_i} (M) \geq 0\) for all \(i\).

Conversely suppose \(d_i (M) \geq 0\). Let \(n\) and \(K\) be as in (3.1). Then \(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} K \otimes_{R} \mathbb{F}_i \leq n\) for all \(i\). Thus \(K/J (K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{F}_i^{n_i}\) for \(n_i \leq n\) and consequently by Nakayama’s lemma \(K\) is generated by at most \(n\) elements over \(R\). Hence there is a surjective map \(R^n \to K\) so \(K \cong R^n/N\) for some submodule \(N\). Thus \(M\) is the cokernel of a map \(R^n \to R^n\) so \(M \in T_R\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

We now give a specific formula for \(\mu_R\) analogous to the classic Cohen-Lenstra measure. Let \(T_R \subset S_R\) be the set of modules in the image of \(\phi_n\) for at least one \(n\). Recall \(R/J (R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{F}_i\).

For \(j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) define the subset \(T_{R,j} \subset T_R\) by

\[
T_{R,j} = \{ M \in T_R \mid d_{m_i} (M) = j_i \}.
\]

Clearly we can partition \(T_R\) into the disjoint union \(T_R = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} T_{R,j}\) (though some of the \(T_{R,j}\) may be empty).
Theorem 3.3. The measure $\mu_R$ is supported on $T_R$ and for every $\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ and $M \in T_{R,\vec{j}}$ we have the formula

$$\mu_R(M) = \frac{c_{R,\vec{j}}}{|\text{Aut}_R M|},$$

where

$$c_{R,\vec{j}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{N}_i(n, j_i) |\text{GL}_{n-j_i}(F_i)|}{|M_{n \times n-j_i}(F_i)|}$$

and $\mathcal{N}_i(n, j_i)$ denotes the number of subspaces of $F_i^n$ of dimension $j_i$.

Remark 3.4. Note that Theorem 3.3 shows that the formula for $\mu_R$ is not always inversely proportional to the size of the automorphism group as the constant $c_{R,\vec{j}}$ depends on the module $M$. See Lemma 3.5 for an explicit formula for $c_{R,\vec{j}}$.

Proof. Clearly $\mu_R$ is only supported on $T_R$ since the $\mu_n$ are. Let $M \in T_R$.

Let

$$X = \{(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{End}_R(R^n) \times \text{Surj}_R(R^n, M) \mid \text{im} \varphi = \ker \psi\}$$

and let $\mu$ denote the product measure on $\text{End}_R(R^n) \times \text{Surj}_R(R^n, M)$ given by the product of $\mu_{\text{haar},n}$ with the counting measure. We will compute the measure of $X$ in two different ways. Firstly by definition

$$\mu_{\text{haar},n} \left( \{ \varphi \in \text{End}_R(R^n) \mid \ker \varphi \cong M \} \right) = \mu_{R,n}(M).$$

For each $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(R^n)$ the group $\text{Aut}(M)$ acts freely faithfully on the set

$$X_\varphi = \{ \psi \in \text{Surj}_R(R^n, M) \mid (\varphi, \psi) \in X \}.$$

Thus $\mu(X) = \mu_{R,n}(M) |\text{Aut}(M)|$.

We can also express $\mu(X)$ as

$$\mu(X) = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Surj}_R(R^n, M)} \mu_{\text{haar},n} \left( \{ \varphi \in \text{End}_R(R^n) \mid \text{im} \varphi = \ker \psi \} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\psi \in \text{Surj}_R(R^n, M)} \mu_{\text{haar},n} \left( \text{Surj}_R(R^n, \ker \psi) \right).$$

Now fix any $\psi \in \text{Surj}_R(R^n, M)$.

For some large enough fixed $m$ there exists $f \in \text{End}_R(R^n)$ such that $M \cong \ker f$. Let $g : R^m \to R^n / \text{im} f$ be the projection. Since $M \in T_{R,\vec{j}}$ we have $m - \dim \ker g \otimes_R F_i = j_i$ for all $i$.

Let $\{e_i\}$ be the standard basis for $R^n$. First suppose there is a subset $V \subset \{e_i\}$ of size $m$ such that $\psi \mid_{(V)} = g$. Then we can find an invertible transformation of $R^n$ under which $R^n \cong (V) \oplus W$ with $W \cong R^{n-m}$ and $\psi(W) = 0$. Thus in this case we have $\ker \psi \cong \ker g \oplus R^{n-m}$. We can find $n$ large enough such that the proportion of $\psi \in \text{Surj}_R(R^n, M)$ for which this holds is arbitrarily close to 1.

Note

$$\mu_{\text{haar},n} \left( \text{Hom}_R(R^n, \ker \psi) \right) = \mu_{\text{haar},n} \left( \{ \varphi \in \text{End}_R(R^n) \mid \text{im} \varphi \subseteq \ker \psi \} \right)$$

$$= |M|^{-n}.$$
and \(|\text{Hom}_R (R^n, M)| = |M|^n\). Also \(\lim_{n \to \infty} |\text{Surj}_R (R^n, M)| / |\text{Hom}_R (R^n, M)| = 1\).

Let \(K = \ker g \oplus R^{n-m}\). Combining the above facts we see that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu (X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} |M|^n \cdot \mu_{\text{haar}, n} (\text{Surj}_R (R^n, \ker g \oplus R^{n-m}))
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{\text{haar}, n} (\text{Surj}_R (R^n, K)) / \mu_{\text{haar}, n} (\text{Hom}_R (R^n, K)).
\]

Tensoring with \(R/J (R)\) induces a map

\[
\text{Hom}_R (R^n, K) \to \text{Hom}_{R/J (R)} ((R/J (R))^n, K \otimes R/J (R))
\]

which by Nakayama’s lemma restricts to a map between the corresponding sets of surjections. Since \(\mu_{\text{haar}, n}\) pushes forward to the uniform measure on \(\text{End}_{R/J (R)} ((R/J (R))^n)\) we have

\[
\mu_{\text{haar}, n} (\text{Hom}_R (R^n, K)) = |\text{Hom}_{R/J (R)} ((R/J (R))^n, K \otimes R/J (R))|
\]

and similarly for the corresponding sets of surjections.

Recall \(K = \ker g \oplus R^{n-m}\) and \(M \in T_{R,j}\). Hence \(K \otimes R/J (R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{F}_i^{m-j_i} \times \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{F}_i^{n-m}\). We have shown that

\[
K \otimes R/J (R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{F}_i^{n-j_i}.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\text{Hom}_{R/J (R)} ((R/J (R))^n, K \otimes R/J (R)) = \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n \times n-j_i} (\mathbb{F}_i)
\]

and

\[
\text{Surj}_{R/J (R)} ((R/J (R))^n, K \otimes R/J (R)) = \prod_{i=1}^s \text{Surj} (\mathbb{F}_i^n, \mathbb{F}_i^{n-j_i}).
\]

Note \(|\text{Surj} (\mathbb{F}_i^n, \mathbb{F}_i^{n-j_i})| = \mathcal{N} (n, j_i) |\text{GL}_{n-j_i} (\mathbb{F}_i)|\) where \(\mathcal{N} (n, j_i)\) is the number of subspaces of \(\mathbb{F}_i^n\) of dimension \(j_i\). We conclude

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu (X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{N} (n, j_i) |\text{GL}_{n-j_i} (\mathbb{F}_i)| / |M_{n \times n-j_i} (\mathbb{F}_i)|
\]

which completes the proof. \(\square\)

The constant \(c_{R,j}\) defined in Theorem 3.3 can be computed explicitly.

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose \(R/J (R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{F}_i\). For any \(\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s\) we have

\[
c_{R,j} = \prod_{i=1}^s \eta (\mathbb{F}_i) \frac{|\mathbb{F}_i^{j_i+1/2}|}{\prod_{k=1}^{j_i} (|\mathbb{F}_i|^k - 1)}
\]
Proof. Recall we let
\[ c \overline{R, j} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{N_i(n, j_i) |\GL_{n-j_i}(\mathbb{F}_i)|}{|M_{n \times n-j_i}(\mathbb{F}_i)|} \]
\[ = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{N_i(n, j_i) |\GL_{n-j_i}(\mathbb{F}_i)|}{|\mathbb{F}_i|^{(n-j_i)j_i}} |\GL_{n-j_i}(\mathbb{F}_i)|. \]

There is the well known formula
\[ N_i(n, j_i) = \left( \frac{\prod_{k=n-j_i+1}^{n} |\mathbb{F}_i|^k - 1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} |\mathbb{F}_i|^k} \right). \]

Note the denominator does not depend on \( n \). We have
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\prod_{k=n-j_i+1}^{n} |\mathbb{F}_i|^k - 1}{|\mathbb{F}_i|^{(n-j_i)j_i}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\prod_{k=n-j_i+1}^{n} |\mathbb{F}_i|^k}{|\mathbb{F}_i|^{(n-j_i)j_i}} \]
\[ = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\mathbb{F}_i|^{nj_i-j_i^2/2+j_i/2} \]
\[ = |\mathbb{F}_i|^{j_i^2/2+j_i/2}. \]

It can be shown that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\GL_n(\mathbb{F}_i)|}{|M_n(\mathbb{F}_i)|} = \eta(\mathbb{F}_i). \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

As mentioned in Remark 3.4, \( \mu_R \) is not inversely proportional to \( |\Aut_R(M)| \) in general. We give a condition for when this does hold.

**Lemma 3.6.** If \( R \) contains \( \mathbb{Z}_l \) then \( d_i(S_R) \leq 0 \) for all \( i \).

**Proof.** Let \( M \in S_R \). As in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we have the sequence
\[ (3.4) \quad 0 \to K \to R^n \to M \to 0 \]
which we can localize at any maximal ideal \( m \) of \( R \) and obtain the equation \( d_i(M) = n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K_m \otimes_{R_m} \mathbb{F} \).

Since \( R \) contains \( \mathbb{Z}_l \) we have \( R_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l \neq 0 \) and hence \( (R_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l)^n \) requires at least \( n \) elements to generate over \( R_m \).

Now \( M_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l = 0 \). Hence tensoring with \( \mathbb{Q}_l \) we see that a minimal generating set for \( K_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l \cong (R_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l)^n \) over \( R_m \) has at least \( n \) elements. Hence by Nakayama’s lemma \( n \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K_m \otimes_{R_m} \mathbb{F} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K \otimes_R \mathbb{F} \). This holds for all maximal ideals \( m \) of \( R \). Thus \( d_i(M) \leq 0 \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.7.** If \( R \) contains \( \mathbb{Z}_l \) then \( \mu_R \) is supported on \( T_R \) and
\[ \mu_R(M) = \frac{c_R}{|\Aut_R(M)|} \]
where \( c_R = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \eta(\mathbb{F}_i) \).
Proof. Combining Lemma 3.2 and 3.6 we see that \( d_i(S_R) = 0 \). Thus \( T_R = T_{R,0} \) and the result follows from Theorem 3.3.

The following is Lemma 2.4 from [LT19] the proof of which works identically in our case. It shows that \( \mu_R \) is determined by its moments under an assumption on the normalizing constant \( c_R \).

**Lemma 3.8.** Suppose \( c_R > 1/2 \). Let \( \nu : S_R \to \mathbb{R} \) be a positive function satisfying

\[
\int_{S_R} |\text{Surj}_R(B, A)| \, d\nu(B) = 1
\]

for all \( A \in S_R \). Then \( \nu = \mu_R \).

Thus we have shown that \( \mu_R \) satisfies all of the same familiar properties as the classical Cohen-Lenstra measure on finite abelian \( l \)-groups.

### 3.1. The distribution of the function \( \text{rk}_l \) on \( S_R \)

Let \( R_0 = R/lR \). Since \( R \) is finite over \( \mathbb{Z}_l \) this implies \( R_0 \) is finite.

**Lemma 3.9.** For any \( m' \) we have

\[
\mu_R \left( \{ M \in S_R \mid \text{rk}_l M/lM = m' \} \right) = \mu_{R_0} \left( \{ M \in S_{R_0} \mid \text{rk}_l M = m' \} \right).
\]

**Proof.** By definition for any \( M \in S_R \) we have \( \mu_R(M) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{R,n}(M) \) and

\[
\mu_{R,n}(M) = \mu_{\text{haar}} \left( \{ N \in \text{Mat}_n(R) \mid \text{coker}N = M \} \right).
\]

Since the pushforward of \( \mu_{\text{haar}} \) by the reduction \( \mathbb{Z}_l \to \mathbb{F}_l \) is the uniform measure (and consequently the same is true for \( \text{End}(\mathbb{F}_l^n) \to \text{End}(\mathbb{F}_l^n) \)) we have for any \( M_0 \in S_{R_0} \)

\[
\mu_{R_0,n}(M_0) = |R_0|^{-n^2} \cdot |\{ \phi \in \text{End}(\mathbb{F}_l^n) \mid \text{coker}\phi = M_0 \}|
\]

\[
= \mu_{\text{haar}} \left( \bigcup_{M \in S_R} \{ \phi \in \text{End}(\mathbb{F}_l^n) \mid \text{coker}\phi = M \} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{M \in S_R, M/lM \cong M_0} \mu_{R,n}(M).
\]

The result follows by taking the limit in \( n \) and partitioning the sum over \( M \in S_R \) into their reductions modulo \( l \).

Note the set on the right-hand side in Lemma 3.9 is finite. We can give an even more explicit formula for this sum when \( R_0 \) is a quotient of a Euclidean domain, using the structure theorem and the formula for the size of the automorphism group \( \text{Aut}_R(M) = \text{Aut}_{R_0}(M) \) given in [HR07] (stated there for finite abelian groups, but the proof works for any Euclidean domain).

For simplicity we will assume for the remainder of this section that \( R \) is local which will suffice for our applications. Since by assumption \( R_0 \) is a finite discrete valuation ring there exists \( m \) such that \( \pi^m R_0 = 0 \) where \( (\pi) \) is the maximal ideal of \( R_0 \). Let \( \mathcal{P}(m') \) be the set of partitions of the integer \( m' \), that is tuples of positive integers for
Proposition 3.11. Suppose \( k \) is the sum of the co-ordinates is \( m' \). For any positive integer \( j \) we define the subset \( \mathcal{P}_j (m') \subset \mathcal{P} (m') \)
\[ \mathcal{P}_j (m') = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{P} (m') \mid \text{exactly } j \text{ co-ordinates of } \lambda \text{ are equal to } m \}. \]

Corollary 3.10. Suppose \( R \) is local and \( R_0 \) is a quotient of a Euclidean domain. Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be the residue field of \( R_0 \) and let \( |\mathbb{F}| = t^k \). Then
\[ \mu_R (\{ M \in S_R \mid \text{rk}_R M/\lambda M = m' \}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m'/k} c_{R,j} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_j (m'/k)} \prod_{k=1}^{n_\lambda} \left( |\mathbb{F}|^d_k - |\mathbb{F}|^{k-1} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n_\lambda} \left( |\mathbb{F}|^{\lambda_j} \right)^{-n_\lambda + d_j} \]
\[ \times \prod_{i=1}^{n_\lambda} \left( |\mathbb{F}|^{\lambda_i} \right)^{-c_i - 1} \]
where for each \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P} (m') \) of the form \( (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n_\lambda}) \) we define \( d_k = \max \{ r \mid \lambda_r = \lambda_k \} \) and \( c_k = \min \{ r \mid \lambda_r = \lambda_k \} \).

Proof. We reduce to a finite sum over \( R_0 \)-modules by Lemma 3.9. Note since \( R_0 \) is a quotient of a PID every \( R_0 \)-module \( M \) is of the form
\[ M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_0 / (\pi)^{n_i} \]
and if \( \text{rk}_R M = m' \) this implies \( n \leq m' / k \).

Recall the support of \( \mu_{R_0} \) is a disjoint union \( T_{R_0} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} T_{R_0,j} \) (see (3.3)). In this case, since \( R_0 / (\pi)^m \cong R_0 \), it is easy to see that \( M \in T_{R_0,j} \) is equivalent to exactly \( j \) of the \( n_i \) being equal to \( m \).

We can also compute the moments of this distribution.
We start by recalling some definitions. Henceforth by a partition \( \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots) \) we will mean a tuple of integers such that \( \mu_i \geq \mu_{i+1} \). We write \( \mu \leq \lambda \) if \( \mu_i \leq \lambda_i \) for all \( i \). Given a partition \( \mu \) we denote by \( \mu^t \) the transpose partition.

For positive integers \( k \leq n \) we define
\[ \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right] = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} (i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (i - 1)} \]
which is equal to the number of \( k \)-dimensional subspaces of \( \mathbb{F}^n \).

Proposition 3.11. Suppose \( R \) is local and \( R_0 \) is a quotient of a Euclidean domain. The \( k \)th moment of the function \( M \mapsto |l^{rk}_R M| \) on \( S_R \) is
\[ \sum_{M \in S_R} \mu_R (M) |l^{rk}_R M| = \sum_{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j+1}^{k-\mu_j} \left[ \mu_j - \mu_{j+1} \right] \]
where \( \lambda_m = (m, \ldots, m) \) is a partition of rank \( k \).

Proof. We write the \( k \)th moment as
\[ \sum_{M \in S_R} \mu_R (M) |l^{rk}_R M| = \sum_{M \in S_R} \mu_R (M) |\text{Hom}_{R_0} (M, \mathbb{F}_l)|^k. \]
Let \( R_0 = R/l \) and denote by \( R_0^\wedge \) the \( \mathbb{F}_l \)-dual. By the tensor-hom adjunction we have

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_l} (M, \mathbb{F}_l) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_l} (M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_l} R_0, \mathbb{F}_l) \\
\cong \text{Hom}_R (M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_l} (R_0, \mathbb{F}_l)).
\]

The action of \( R \) on \( R_0^\wedge \) can be described as follows. Choose an \( \mathbb{F}_l \)-basis for \( R_0 \). This gives a map \( R \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{rkM} (\mathbb{F}_l) \). Then for \( f \in R_0^\wedge \) and \( r \in R \) we have \( r \cdot f (x) = f (r^t x) \) where \( r^t \) is the transpose matrix. Then it is easy to see that with the above choice of basis the canonical map \( R_0 \rightarrow R_0^\wedge \) is an \( R \)-module isomorphism.

Thus we have

\[
\sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}_R} \mu_R (M) \left| \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_l} (M, \mathbb{F}_l) \right| = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}_R} \mu_R (M) \left| \text{Hom}_R (M, R_0^\wedge) \right|.
\]

We rewrite this in terms of surjection moments which we can apply our previous results to. By rearranging the sum and applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain

\[
\sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}_R} \mu_R (M) \left| \text{Hom}_{R_0} (M/lM, R_0^k) \right| = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}_R} \mu_R (M) \sum_{N \leq R_0^k} \left| \text{Surj}_R (M, N) \right|
\]

\[
= \sum_{N \leq R_0^k} \sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}_R} \mu_R (M) \left| \text{Surj}_R (M, N) \right|
\]

\[
= \sum_{N \leq R_0^k} 1.
\]

Recall \( R_0 \) is a quotient of a PID and we can assume \( \pi^m R_0 \) for some \( m \), where \( (\pi) \) is the maximal ideal of \( R_0 \). Thus isomorphism classes of \( R_0 \)-modules are in bijection with partitions \( \mu \) with \( \mu_i \leq m \) for all \( i \).

Let \( \lambda_m = (m, \ldots, m) \). Hence each submodule of \( R_0^k \) has a corresponding partition \( \mu \subset \lambda_m \). Given a partition \( \mu \) the number of submodules of \( R_0^k \) corresponding to \( \mu \) is given by the following formula which can be found for instance in [But87, Bir35]

\[
\prod_{j=1}^m (\mu_j^{j+1} (k - \mu_j^{j+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^m (\mu_j^{j+1} (k - \mu_j^{j+1})
\]

\[
\sum_{N \leq R_0^k} 1 = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda_m} \prod_{j=1}^m (\mu_j^{j+1} (k - \mu_j^{j+1})
\]

\[
\square
\]

4. Jacobians of Hyperelliptic Curves

Let \( \mathcal{M}_g (\mathbb{F}_q) \) denote the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves of genus \( g \) defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). For each \( C \in \mathcal{M}_g \) let \( \text{Jac} (C) \) denote the Jacobian. Let \( F \) be the Frobenius operator.

Let \( P (X) \in \mathbb{Z}_d [X] \) such that \( P (0) \) is invertible in \( \mathbb{Z}_d \). Define the ring \( R = \mathbb{Z}_d [X] / \langle P (X) \rangle \).
Let $T_l(Jac(C))$ denote the $l$-adic Tate module of $Jac(C)$. We will view this as a module over $\mathbb{Z}_l[X]$ with $X$ acting as $F$. Let $G$ be a fixed finite etale group scheme. Lipnowski and the second author proved a theorem \cite{LT19} Theorem 1.1 about the averages of $|\text{Surj}(Jac(C), G)|$ as $C$ varies over $\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which roughly says that the average of this function approaches 1 as $g$ and $q$ go to infinity. We can combine this with the results of Section 2.2 to prove the distributions of the cokernels $T_l(Jac(C))/\langle P(F) \rangle$ approach the measure $\mu_{R}$ defined in Section 3.

Call $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ a Weil $q$-number if it satisfies $|\phi(\lambda)| = \sqrt{q}$ for any embedding $\phi : \mathbb{Q}_l \to \mathbb{C}$. All the eigenvalues of $F$ acting on $T_l(Jac(C)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ are Weil $q$-numbers \cite{Del80}. Though we prove the next theorem under the assumption that the roots of $P(F)$ are not Weil-$q$ numbers, we expect it is true when restricted to a subset of $\mathcal{M}_g$ where $T_l(Jac(C))/\langle P(F) \rangle$ is finite.

Fix $g,q$ and define the probability measure on $S_R$ by

$$\mu_{g,q}(M) = \frac{|\{ C \in \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q) | T_l(Jac(C))/\langle P(F) \rangle \cong M \}|}{|\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)|}.$$ 

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume $\prod_{i=1}^s \eta(F_i) > 1/2$. Suppose the roots of $P(F)$ are not Weil $q$-numbers. Then the sequence of probability measures $\{\mu_{g,q}\}$ converges weakly to $\mu_R$ along any sequence with $l \nmid P(q)$.

**Proof.** Fix $G \in S_R$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 in \cite{LT19} shows that there exists $N$ such that if $g,q > N$ and $l \nmid P(q)$ then $\left| \int_{\mathcal{S}_R} \text{Surj}(M,G) | d\mu_{g,q} - 1 \right| < \epsilon$. Hence the by Theorem 2.6 $\lim_{g,q \to \infty} \mu_{g,q} = \nu$ for some probability measure $\nu$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{S}_R} \text{Surj}(M,G) | d\nu = 1$ for all $G \in S_R$. By Lemma 3.8 $\nu = \mu_R$. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark 4.2.** The version of Theorem 4.1 for abelian groups proved in \cite{EVW16} is stated using iterated limits, by first taking the limsup and liminf in $g$ and then taking the limit in $q$. That version is implied by the one above.

Theorem 4.1 proves a more general form of Conjecture 1.1 from \cite{LT19} which applies to $Jac(C)[P(F)]$ for any $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X]$ in the case $l \nmid P(q)$ (which implies $\wedge^2 G = 0$ for all $\mathbb{Z}_l[F]/\langle P(F) \rangle$-modules $G$), up to the limit in $q$.

For the applications in the next section we need a different interpretation of the measures $\mu_{g,q}$. Define

$$\mu'_{g,q}(M) = \frac{|\{ C \in \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q) | Jac(C)[P(F)] \cong M \}|}{|\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)|}.$$ 

We have the following lemma relating the measures $\mu'_{g,q}$ and $\mu_{g,q}$. Though we prove it under the assumption that the roots of $P(F)$ are not Weil-$q$ numbers, we expect it is true in general.

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose the roots of $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X]$ are not Weil $q$-numbers. Then for any $C \in \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)$

$$Jac(C)[P(F)] \cong T_l(Jac(C))/\langle P(F) \rangle.$$ 

In particular, for all $g,q$ we have $\mu'_{g,q} = \mu_{g,q}$.
Proof. Consider the exact sequence
\[ 0 \to T_l(\text{Jac}(C)) \to T_l(\text{Jac}(C)) \to \text{Jac}(C)[l^n] \to 0 \]
where the first map is multiplication by \(l^n\). Apply the snake lemma to the diagram consisting of two rows being the above sequence and the vertical maps being multiplication by \(P(F)\).

We claim \(\ker P(F) = 0\) for \(P(F)\) acting on \(T_l(\text{Jac}(C))\). Suppose \(\ker P(F) \neq 0\). Then \((F - \lambda) x = 0\) for some \(x \in T_l(\text{Jac}(C)) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}\) and \(\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}\) some root of \(P(F)\). This implies \(\lambda\) is an eigenvalue of \(F\), contradicting that \(\lambda\) is not a Weil \(q\)-number. Thus the connecting homomorphism is injective.

We can let \(n\) be large enough such that \(l^n T_l(\text{Jac}(C)) \subset P(F) T_l(\text{Jac}(C))\), making the connecting homomorphism surjective. We can also make \(n\) large enough such that \(\text{Jac}(C)[l^n][P(F)] = \text{Jac}(C)[P(F)]\)

Thus the connecting homomorphism induces an isomorphism between \(\text{Jac}(C)[l^n][P(F)]\) and \(T_l(\text{Jac}(C)) / \langle P(F) \rangle\).

\[ \square \]

5. Densities of eigenspaces of Frobenius

As in Section 4 let \(R = \mathbb{Z}_l[X] / \langle P(X) \rangle\) and view \(\text{Jac}(C)[P(F)]\) as an \(R\)-module with \(X\) acting as \(F\).

5.1. Independence of torsion subgroups of \(\text{Jac}(C)\).

Lemma 5.1. Let \(P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} P_i(X)\) where \(P_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X]\) are irreducible. Suppose the \(P_i(X)\) are coprime modulo \(l\). Let \(R_i = \mathbb{Z}_l[X] / \langle P_i(X) \rangle\). For any \(M \in S_R\) we have
\[ \mu_R(M) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mu_{R_i}(M \otimes_R R_i). \]

Proof. Note \(R \cong \prod_{i=1}^{s} R_i\) since the \(P_i(X)\) are coprime modulo \(l\). Let \(M_i = M \otimes_R R_i\). Then \(M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} M_i\). Let \(m_i = \langle l, P_i(X) \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_l[X]\). Then \(m_i R_i\) is the unique maximal ideal of \(R_i\). Since the \(P_i(X)\) are not coprime modulo \(l\) we see that \(P_i(X) \notin m_j\) for \(i \neq j\). This implies \(\text{Hom}_R(M_i, M_j) = 0\) for \(i \neq j\).

Hence \(\text{End}_R(M) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \text{End}_R(M_i)\) and so \(\text{Aut}_R(M) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \text{Aut}_R(M_i)\). The maximal ideals of \(R\) are \(m_i R\) so \(\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{F}_l\). Recall the constants \(c_{R_i}, c_R\) given by Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.6 (since \(\mathbb{Z}_l\) is contained in \(R_i\) and \(R\)). We have
\[ c_R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \left| \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_l) / |M_n(\mathbb{F}_l)| \right| \]
\[ = \prod_{i=1}^{s} c_{R_i} \]
and the result follows. \(\square\)

We have the following consequence of Theorem 4.1 which says that any finitely many given eigenspaces of Frob are distributed independently in the \((g,q)\) limit.
Corollary 5.2. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $P_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X]$ be irreducible, pairwise coprime modulo $l$ and let $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Suppose the roots of $P_i(X)$ are not Weil $q$-numbers and assume $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \eta(F_i) > 1/2$. Suppose $M \in S_R$ and $M \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{s} M_i$. There exists $N$ such that if $g,q > N$ then

$$\left| \text{Prob} \left( \text{Jac} (C) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{s} P_i(F)^{n_i} \right] \cong M \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{s} \text{Prob} \left( \text{Jac} (C) \left[ P_i(F)^{n_i} \right] \cong M_i \right) \right| < \epsilon.$$ 

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 5.1. \hfill \Box

5.2. Densities of divisors of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius. We can apply Theorem 4.1 to obtain densities for the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius acting on the Tate module of the Jacobian of hyperelliptic curves.

Let $C \in \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let $P_C(X)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $F$ acting on $\text{Jac} (C)[l]$.

We can use the measure $\mu_R$ to detect how often $P_i(X)^m \mid P_C(X)$.

Proposition 5.3. Let $P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} P_i(X)^{m_i}$ where each $P_i(X) \in \mathbb{F}_l[X]$ is an irreducible polynomial. Let $R_i = \mathbb{F}_l[X] / (P_i(X)^{m_i+1})$ and $\mathbb{F}_i = R_i / (P_i(X))$. Assume $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \eta(F_i) > 1/2$. There exists $N$ such that if $g,q > N$

$$\left| \text{Prob} (P(X) \mid P_C(X)) - \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} M = m_i} \mu_{R_i}(M) \right| < \epsilon.$$ 

Proof. For any curve $C \in \mathcal{M}_g$, $\text{Jac} (C)[l]$ is an $\mathbb{F}_l[X]$-module. Since $\mathbb{F}_l[X]$ is a PID we can apply the structure theorem. For any $M \in S_{\mathbb{F}_l[X]}$ and any irreducible $Q(X) \in \mathbb{F}_l[X]$ let $E_Q(M) = M [Q(X)^\infty]$. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_l[X] / (Q(X))$ be the residue field. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} E_Q(M)$ is the exact power of $Q(X)$ dividing the characteristic polynomial of $F$ acting on $M$ and it is easy to see that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} E_Q(M) = m$ if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} \ker (Q(X)^{m+1}) = m$ (note $m$ has to be divisible by $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{F}_i]$).

For each $i$ let $\widehat{P}_i(X)$ be a lift of $P_i(X)$ to $\mathbb{Z}_l[X]$ chosen such that the roots of $P_i'(X)$ are not Weil $q$-numbers. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_l[X] / \left( \prod_{i=1}^{s} \widehat{P}_i(X)^{m_i+1} \right)$. For any $M \in S_R$ we denote $M_i = M \otimes_R R_i$. Thus

$$\text{Prob} (\dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} E_{P_i}(\text{Jac} (C)[l]) = m_i \forall i)$$

$$= \sum_{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} M_i[l][\widehat{P}_i(X)^{m_i+1}] = m_i \forall i} \text{Prob} \left( \text{Jac} (C) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{s} \widehat{P}_i(X)^{m_i+1} \right] \cong M \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_i} M_i = m_i \forall i} \mu'_{R,g,q}(M)$$
where in the last line we change the condition in the sum using \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} M \, [l] \, [\widehat{P}_l(X)^{m_i+1}] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} M_i \). This follows from considering the exact sequences obtained from multiplication by \( l \) and by \( \widehat{P}_l(X)^{m_i+1} \) using that \( M \) is finite to get

\[
\left| M \, [l] \, [\widehat{P}_l(X)^{m_i+1}] \right| = \left| M \left( \widehat{P}_l(X)^{m_i+1} \right) / (l) \right| = \left| M / \left( \widehat{P}_l(X)^{m_i+1}, l \right) \right|.
\]

Let \( T_{m_i} = \{ M \in S_R \mid \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} M_i = m_i \} \). Then by Theorem 4.1, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( N \) such that if \( g, q > N \) then

\[
\left| \int_{\cap_i T_{m_i}} d\mu_{R,g,q} - \int_{\cap_i T_{m_i}} d\mu_R \right| < \epsilon.
\]

Let \( \widehat{R}_i = \mathbb{Z}_l [X] / (P_i(X)^{m_i+1}) \). Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

\[
\sum_{M \in S_R \ \text{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_l} M_i = m_i} \mu_R (M) = \sum_{M \in S_R \ \text{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_l} M_i = m_i} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mu_{\widehat{R}_i} (M \otimes_R R_i)
\]

\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{M \in S_{\widehat{R}_i} \ \text{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_l} M_i = m_i} \mu_{\widehat{R}_i} (M).
\]

Then the result follows by Lemma 3.9.

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.4.** This lemma shows that if we fix any \( P_i (X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l [X] \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, s \) irreducible and let \( \nu \) be the measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s \) given by

\[
\nu (m_1, \ldots, m_s) = \sum_{M \in S_R \ \text{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_l} M_i = m_i} \mu_R (M)
\]

then \( \text{Prob} (\prod_{i=1}^{s} P_i(X)^{m_i} \parallel P_C(X)) \) approaches \( \nu \) in the \( g,q \) limit. Note the \( m_i \) are allowed to be 0, that is we can exclude any finite set of divisors from \( P_C(X) \).

It is clear that \( \text{Prob} (P(X) = P_C(X)) = 0 \) for any fixed \( P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l [X] \) since the characteristic polynomial determines the \( \mathbb{F}_l [X] \)-module \( \text{Jac} C[l] \) up to a finite set of possibilities, and the density of each of these is 0.

A question which is not answered by the above is: given any infinite set of polynomials \( \{ P_i(X) \}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) and \( m_i \geq 0 \), what is \( \text{Prob} (\cup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \{ P_i(X)^{m_i} \parallel P_C(X) \}) \)?

We now focus on explicitly computing each factor in Proposition 5.3. Until further notice let \( R = \mathbb{F}_l [X] / (P(X)^{m+1}) \) for \( P(X) \in \mathbb{F}_l [X] \) irreducible. For \( M \in S_R \) let \( \text{rk}_l M = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} M \). We want to compute

\[
\sum_{M \in S_R \ \text{rk}_l M = m} \mu_R (M) = \mu_R (\{ M \in S_R \mid \text{rk}_l M = m \})
\]

for all \( m \) (note \( R \) also depends on \( m \)).
Lemma 5.5. We have
\[ \mu_R(\{M \in S_R \mid \text{rk}_l M = m\}) = \eta(\mathbb{F}) \sum_{M \in S_R \atop \text{rk}_l M = m} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}_R(M)|}. \]

Proof. Let \( S_{R,m} = \{M \in S_R \mid \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} M = m\} \). Applying the formula from Theorem 3.3 we have
\[ \mu_R(\{M \in S_R \mid \text{rk}_l M = m\}) = m'/k \sum_{j=0}^{m'/k} \sum_{M \in S_{R,m} \cap T_{R,j}} c_{R,j} \left| \text{Aut}_R(M) \right|. \]

Recall we denote by \( T_R \) the support of \( \mu_R \) which is a disjoint union \( T_R = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} T_{R,j} \) (see (3.3)).

We claim that \( S_{R,m} \subset T_{R,0} \). Let \( \pi = \mathcal{P}(X) \) so that \( (\pi) \) is the unique maximal ideal of \( R \). From the proof of Lemma 3.2 we see that if
\[ 0 \to K \to R^n \to M \to 0 \]
then \( d_m = n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K \otimes_R \mathbb{F} \). Hence we must show that \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K \otimes_R \mathbb{F} = n \).

Note \( R[\pi] = \pi^m R \). Let \( \phi : R^n \to M \) be the map above, so \( K = \ker \phi \). Since \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} M = m \) we have \( |M| = l^m \). Every \( R \)-module is of the form
\[ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R/ (\pi)^{n_i} \]
which combined with the previous fact implies that \( \pi^m M = 0 \). Thus \( R^n[\pi] \subseteq K[\pi] \) so we have equality \( R^n[\pi] = K[\pi] \).

Finally since \( R \) and \( K \) are finite we have \( |K \otimes_R \mathbb{F}| = |K[\pi]| \) and \( |R \otimes_R \mathbb{F}| = |R[\pi]| \). Thus we conclude that \( |K \otimes_R \mathbb{F}| = |R^n \otimes_R \mathbb{F}| = |\mathbb{F}|^n \). This proves the claim.

By Theorem 3.3 applied to \( R \) we have that for each \( M \in S_{R,m} \)
\[ \mu_R(M) = \frac{\eta(\mathbb{F})}{|\text{Aut}_R(M)|}. \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

It is also possible to apply Corollary 3.10 to the result of Lemma 5.5 to get a more explicit answer.

To demonstrate we compute some simple examples.

**Corollary 5.6.** For \( l > 2 \) and any \( a \in \mathbb{F}_l \) we have
\[ \text{Prob } ((X - a) \mid P_C(X)) \to \eta(\mathbb{F}_l) \left[ \frac{1}{(l^2 - 1)(l^2 - l)} + \frac{1}{l^2 - l} \right] \]

and
\[ \text{Prob } ((X - a) \nmid P_C(X)) \to \eta(\mathbb{F}_l) \]
in the \( g,q \)-limit.
Proof. We apply Lemma 5.5. For the first part we have $R = \mathbb{F}_l[X]/(X-a)^2$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_l$. The only two $R$-modules with $\mathbb{F}_l$-rank 2 are

$$R/(X-a) \oplus R/(X-a),$$

and applying the formula from Corollary 3.10 gives the desired result.

For the second part $R = \mathbb{F}_l[X]/(X-a)$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_l$ and the only module in the sum is the trivial one. 

\begin{flushright}
\square
\end{flushright}
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