ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF GENERALIZED WITTEN INTEGRALS
FOR CIRCLE ACTIONS ON SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

BENJAMIN KÜSTER AND PABLO RAMACHER

Abstract. On a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian $S^1$-action, we derive an infinite asymptotic expansion for generalized Witten integrals via singular stationary phase asymptotics, characterizing the coefficients in the expansion as integrals over the symplectic strata of the corresponding reduced space.
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1. Introduction

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a symplectic manifold carrying a Hamiltonian action of the circle group $T = S^1$ with momentum map $\mathcal{J} : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$, where $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of $T$. In this paper, we derive an infinite asymptotic expansion in powers of $\varepsilon$ for oscillatory integrals of the following type, which we call generalized Witten integrals:

$$I_\zeta^a(\varepsilon) := \int_M e^{i(\mathcal{J}(p) - \zeta(x))/\varepsilon} a(p, x) \, dM(p) \, dx, \quad \zeta \in \mathfrak{t}^*, \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+.$$  

Here $dx$ is a fixed Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{t}$, $dM = \omega^n/n!$ is the symplectic volume form on $M$, and the amplitude $a \in C^\infty(M \times \mathfrak{t})$ is such that there is a compact set $K \subset M$ with $\text{supp} \ a(\cdot, x) \subset K$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $a(p, \cdot) \in S(\mathfrak{t})$ for all $p \in K$, where $S(\mathfrak{t})$ is the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathfrak{t}$. The motivation to study such integrals comes from their relevance in equivariant cohomology: If $\Omega^*_T(M)_c$ denotes the complex of compactly supported equivariant differential forms on $M$, then, up to normalization, the Witten integral associated to $\varphi$ in \cite{17} (when $M$ is compact) is given by

$$W_\varphi(\varepsilon) := \int_M \left[ \int_M e^{i(\mathcal{J}(x) - \varphi(x))/\varepsilon} \right] e^{-\varepsilon x^2} \, dx, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$  

It is straightforward to write $W_\varphi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\deg \varphi} \varepsilon^{-j/2} I_{\varphi, j}^{\varepsilon} (\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ with amplitudes $a_j$ determined by $\varphi$, where $\deg \varphi$ is the polynomial degree of $\varphi$. Thus, \cite{17} can be regarded as a generalization of \cite{12}. If $\zeta$ is a regular value of the momentum map $\mathcal{J}$, the phase function $\psi(x, \varphi) := \mathcal{J}(x) - \zeta(x)$ in
is a Morse–Bott function, and the usual stationary phase theorem yields a complete asymptotic expansion of \( I_\alpha^\zeta(\varepsilon) \). However, serious difficulties arise when \( \zeta \) is a singular value of \( \mathcal{J} \) because then the stationary phase principle cannot be applied. To overcome these difficulties, we linearize the problem and are then able to derive asymptotic expansions of the corresponding linearized oscillatory integrals by following an approach of Brummelhuis, Paul, and Uribe [2]. To state our results, consider the stratification of the symplectic quotient \( \mathcal{M}^\zeta := \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{\zeta\})/T \) by infinitesimal orbit types

\[
\mathcal{M}^\zeta = \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta \cup \mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta,
\]

where \( M_{(h_\text{top})} \) denotes the stratum of \( M \) of infinitesimal orbit type \((h_\text{top}) \) with \( h_\text{top} = \{0\} \) and \( h_\text{sing} = t \). The stratum \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta \) is dense, an orbifold, and called the top stratum, while \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}} \) is a manifold whose components are diffeomorphic to the components \( F \) of the set \( M^T \) of fixed-points of the \( T \)-action on \( M \). The set of all \( F \) will be denoted by \( \mathcal{F} \). Let \( \omega_N \) be the unique symplectic form on \( \mathcal{M}_N^\zeta \) characterized by the condition \( i^*\omega = \pi^*\omega_N \), where \( i : \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \cap M_{(h_\text{top})} \rightarrow M \) is the inclusion and \( \pi : \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \cap M_{(h_\text{top})} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N^\zeta \) is the canonical projection. Further, denote by \( d\mathcal{M}_N^\zeta := \omega_N^{\dim \mathcal{M}_N^\zeta/2}/(\dim \mathcal{M}_N^\zeta/2)! \) the corresponding symplectic volume forms.

Our main result is the following asymptotic expansion of the generalized Witten integral:

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 3.2). For sufficiently small \( |\zeta| \) one has

\[
I_\alpha^\zeta(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{1+j} \left[ \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{J}(F) \neq 0} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F) \, d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{J}(F) = 0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \zeta^l \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} R_{j,l}(a\chi_F) \, d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta} S_{j,l}(a\chi_F, \zeta/\varepsilon) \, d\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta \right) \right],
\]

where all coefficients are given explicitly, \( \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F) \, d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta \) is smooth in \( \zeta \), \( S_{j,l}(a\chi_F, \nu) \) is smooth in \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \), and the limits \( \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \pm \infty} S_{j,l}(a\chi_F, \nu) \) exist. Here \( d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta \) and \( d\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta \) are the symplectic measures defined by the reduced symplectic forms \( \omega_N \) on the corresponding strata.

**Remark 1.2.** We will soon publish an improved and simplified version of this paper based on methods that were suggested to us recently by Michèle Vergne.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Panagiotis Konstantis for his interest in our work and many stimulating conversations. Furthermore, we thank Michèle Vergne for pointing out to us that our computations can be significantly simplified (cf. Remark 1.2) and many other helpful remarks. This research has been partially funded by the ERC grant no. 725967 IPFLOW.

### 2. Background and setup

#### 2.1. Hamiltonian manifolds and reduced spaces.

Let \( M \) be a \( 2n \)-dimensional symplectic manifold with symplectic form \( \omega \). Assume that \( M \) carries a Hamiltonian action of a compact connected Lie group \( K \) of dimension \( d \) with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{k} \), and denote the corresponding Kostant-Souriau momentum map by

\[
\mathcal{J} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad \mathcal{J}(p)(X) = J(X)(p),
\]

which is characterized by the property

\[
dJ(X) = \iota_X \omega \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{k},
\]

where \( \hat{X} \) denotes the fundamental vector field on \( M \) associated to \( X \), \( d \) is the de Rham differential, and \( \iota \) denotes contraction. Note that \( \mathcal{J} \) is \( K \)-equivariant in the sense that \( \mathcal{J}(k^{-1}p) = \text{Ad}^*(k)\mathcal{J}(p) \).

Let \((\Lambda^K_\bullet(M)_c, D)\) be the complex of compactly supported equivariant differential forms on \( M \). The elements in \( \Lambda^K_\bullet(M)_c \) can be regarded as \( K \)-equivariant polynomial maps \( \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \Lambda^K_\bullet(M)_c \), where \( K \) acts on.
\( \mathfrak{t} \) by the adjoint action \( \text{Ad}(K) \) and on the algebra \( \Lambda^{\bullet}(M) \) of compactly supported differential forms by the pullbacks associated to the \( K \)-action on \( M \). The differential \( D \) is then defined by
\[
D(\alpha)(X) := d(\alpha(X)) + \iota_X(\alpha(X)), \quad \alpha \in \Lambda^{\bullet}(M). \tag{2.2}
\]
We denote the cohomology of the complex \((\Lambda^{\bullet}(M), D)\), which is called the \emph{equivariant cohomology of \( M \)}, by \( H^*_K(M) \). Further, let
\[
\omega := \omega - \mathcal{J}
\]
be the equivariantly closed extension \( \omega \) of the symplectic form \( \omega \). The approach used here is usually called the \emph{Cartan model}.

**Remark 2.1 (Sign convention).** The sign in the definition of the differential \( D \) varies in the literature. Our definition is in coherence with [1], while some authors use \( D(\alpha)(X) := d(\alpha(X)) - \iota_X(\alpha(X)) \). Depending on this convention, the equivariantly closed extension of the symplectic form \( \omega \) is given by \( \omega = \omega - \mathcal{J} \), as in this paper, or by \( \omega = \omega + \mathcal{J} \), as in [7].

From the definition of the momentum map it is clear that the kernel of its derivative is given by
\[
\ker d\mathcal{J}|_p = (\mathfrak{t} \cdot p)^{\omega}, \quad p \in M,
\]
where we denoted the symplectic complement of a subspace \( V \subset T_p M \) by \( V^{\omega} \), and wrote \( \mathfrak{t} \cdot p = \{ \tilde{x}|_p : x \in \mathfrak{t} \} \). Consequently, if \( \zeta \in \mathcal{J}(M) \) is a regular value of \( \mathcal{J} \), \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \) is a (not necessarily connected) manifold of codimension 1, and \( T_p(\mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})) = \ker d\mathcal{J}|_p = (\mathfrak{t} \cdot p)^{\omega} \), which is equivalent to the fact that
\[
\tilde{x}_p \neq 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}), \ 0 \neq x \in \mathfrak{t},
\]
compare [14] Chapter 8. The latter condition means that all stabilizers of points \( p \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \) are finite, and therefore either of exceptional or principal type, so that \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})/K^\zeta \) is an orbifold (here \( K^\zeta \subset K \) denotes the stabilizer group of \( \zeta \) with respect to the \( \text{Ad}^*(K) \)-action). In addition, in view of the exact sequence
\[
0 \to T_p(\mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})) \xrightarrow{\partial_\zeta} T_p M \xrightarrow{d\mathcal{J}} T_p \mathfrak{t}^{\omega} \to 0, \quad p \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}),
\]
where \( \partial_\zeta : \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \hookrightarrow M \) denotes the inclusion, and the corresponding dual sequence, \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \) is orientable because \( M \) is orientable, compare [11] Chapter XV.6.

If \( \zeta \) is not a regular value, both \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \) and \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})/K^\zeta \) are singular and given by Whitney stratified spaces, compare [16]. In particular, for any \( \zeta \in \mathfrak{t}^* \) the space \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \) has a decomposition into smooth manifolds given by
\[
\mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) = \bigsqcup_{H<K} \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})_{(H)},
\]
where \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})_{(H)} := \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \cap M_{(H)} \) denotes the union of orbits in \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \) of isotropy type \( (H) \). Furthermore, there is a stratum \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})_{(H_{\text{reg}})} \), called the regular stratum, which is open and dense in \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \). To this decomposition corresponds a stratification of the symplectic quotient
\[
\mathcal{M}^\zeta := \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})/K^\zeta
\]
into a union of disjoint symplectic manifolds
\[
\mathcal{M}^\zeta = \bigsqcup_{H<K} \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})_{(H)}/K^\zeta,
\]
see [16] Theorem 2.1, \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})_{(H_{\text{reg}})}/K^\zeta \) being the regular stratum. Note that \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \}) \) and the strata \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})_{(H)} \) might not be connected.

Let us now restrict to the case where \( K = T = S^1 \), in which case only the isotropy types
\[
H = \begin{cases} 
S^1, \\
\text{cyclic subgroup of } S^1, \\
\{e\} \text{ (the trivial group)}
\end{cases}
\]
can occur. Let $M^T$ denote the set of fixed points of the $T$-action $M$. The connected components $F$ of $M^T$ are submanifolds of possibly different dimensions, and we denote the set of these components by $\mathcal{F}$. For our purposes, it will actually be more convenient to work with the stratification of the symplectic quotient $\mathcal{M}^C = J^{-1}(\{\zeta\})/T$ by infinitesimal orbit types [12 Section 3]

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{M}^C = \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^C \sqcup \mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^C, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^C := (J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \cap M(\mathfrak{h}_t))/T,
\end{equation}

where $M(\mathfrak{h}_t)$ denotes the stratum of $M$ of infinitesimal orbit type $(\mathfrak{h}_t)$ with $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{top}} = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{sing}} = t$. Since $\omega$ is non-degenerate, we see from (2.4) that

\[ dJ|_p = 0 \implies p \in M^T = M(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{sing}}). \]

Since $J$ is constant on each $F$ we have

**Lemma 2.2.** The momentum map $J : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ has no critical points in $M(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{top}})$. \hfill \Box

The stratum $\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^C$ is dense, an orbifold, and called the top stratum, while $\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^C$ is a manifold, each of its components being diffeomorphic to some $\mathcal{M}^C_{\text{sing}}$ characterized by the condition $i^*\omega = \pi^*\omega_R$, where $i : J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \cap M(\mathfrak{h}_t) \to M$ is the inclusion and $\pi : J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \cap M(\mathfrak{h}_t) \to \mathcal{M}_R^C$ is the canonical projection.

### 2.2. Witten-type integrals.

As explained in the introduction, the central objects of our study are generalized Witten integrals, and our main tools will be Fourier analysis and singular stationary phase expansion. Let $T = S^1$, $dx$ and $d\zeta$ be measures on $t$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$ that correspond to the $\text{Ad}(T)$-invariant inner product on $t$ and agree with Lebesgue measure under the identification $t \cong \mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathbb{R}$. Denote by

\[ \mathcal{F}_t : S(t^*) \to S(t), \quad \mathcal{F}_t : S(t) \to S^* (t^*) \]

the $t$-Fourier transform on the Schwartz space and the space of tempered distributions, respectively, given by\(^1\)

\begin{equation}
\widehat{\psi}(x) := (\mathcal{F}_t \psi)(x) := \int_{t^*} e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \psi(\xi) \, d\xi, \quad \langle \xi, x \rangle := \xi(x), \quad x \in t, \quad \psi \in S(t^*),
\end{equation}

and recall that $\omega = J - \mathcal{F}$ is the equivariantly closed extension of the symplectic form $\omega$. Consider now the *generalized Duistermaat-Heckman integral*

\begin{equation}
L_g : t \to \mathbb{C}, \quad L_g(x) := \int_M e^{-i\xi(x)} \varrho(x), \quad \varrho \in \Omega^*_t(M),
\end{equation}

regarded as a tempered distribution in $S^* (t)$, compare [17, 4, 5, 6, 7]. If $\varrho = 1$, $L_g$ is the classical Duistermaat-Heckman integral, whose $t$-Fourier transform is given by the pushforward $J_* (\omega^n / n!)$ of the Liouville form along $J$, which is a piecewise polynomial measure on $t^*$.\(^2\) Motivated by this, we shall examine the behavior of the Fourier transform of $L_g$ near the origin, and for this sake consider an approximation of the $\delta$-distribution centered at $\zeta$ in $t^*$ given by

\[ \phi_{\xi}(\xi) := \phi((\xi - \zeta)/\varepsilon) / \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \]

where $\phi \in C_c^\infty (t^*)$ is a test function satisfying $\widehat{\phi}(0) = 1$. We are then interested in the limit

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{t^*} L_g(x) \phi_{\xi}(x) \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{t^*} L_g(x) \phi_{\xi}(x) \, dx
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{t^*} L_g(x) e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \phi(\varepsilon x) \, dx
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_M \left[ \int_M e^{i(J - \xi)(x)/\varepsilon - \omega(x)/\varepsilon} \phi(x/\varepsilon) \right] \phi(x) \, dx,
\end{equation}

1Regarding normalisation conventions, see also [8, footnotes on p. 125].

2Jeffrey and Kirwan use the notation $\Pi_\xi (\omega e^{-i\zeta})$ for our map $L_g$, see [7, p. 209].
where we took into account that \( \hat{\varphi}_t(x) = e^{-i(\zeta, x)}\hat{\varphi}(x) \). The limit (2.7) might not exist in general. We are particularly interested in the dependence of the limit (and its existence) on the parameter \( \zeta \) in a neighbourhood of \( 0 \in \mathfrak{t}^* \). Thus, we are led to the definition of the Witten-type integral

\[
W_{\varphi, \phi}^\zeta(\varepsilon) := \int_1^\varepsilon \int_M e^{i(J-\zeta)(x)} e^{-i\omega \varphi(x)} \hat{\phi}(\varepsilon x) \, dx, \quad \varphi \in \Omega_T^*(M), \ \phi \in S(t^*), \ \varepsilon > 0, \ \zeta \in \mathfrak{t}^*,
\]

and to the investigation of its asymptotic behavior as \( \varepsilon \to 0^+ \) and \( \zeta \to 0 \). Note that in this notation, \( \langle \mathcal{L}_\varphi, \varphi^\zeta \rangle = W_{\varphi, \phi}^\zeta(\varepsilon) \). Furthermore, if \( \varphi \) is equivariantly closed, \( W_{\varphi, \phi}^\zeta(\varepsilon) \) actually only depends on the cohomology class of \( \varphi \) in view of [13, Lemma 1].

To formulate (2.8) more explicitly, write \( \varphi \) as a finite linear combination

\[
\varphi(x) = \sum_{e, f} \varphi_{e, f} x^e, \quad \varphi_{e, f} \in \Omega^f(M), \quad e, f \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

For those \( \varphi_{e, f} \) which are differential forms of odd degree, there is no appropriate power \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \omega^k \wedge \varphi_{e, f} \) is a volume form, therefore only the \( \varphi_{e, f} \) with \( f \) even contribute to \( W_{\varphi, \phi}^\zeta(\varepsilon) \). Thus,

\[
W_{\varphi, \phi}^\zeta(\varepsilon) = \sum_{e, f, f \text{ even}} \varepsilon^{-e-1} \int_1^\varepsilon \int_M e^{i(J-\zeta)(x)} e^{-i\omega \varphi_{e, f} x^e} \frac{(-i\omega)^{n-f/2} \varphi_{e, f}}{(n-f/2)!} \, dx. \tag{2.9}
\]

We associate to each \( \varphi_{e, f} \) a \( T \)-invariant function \( b_{e, f} \in C^\infty(M) \) by the relation

\[
\frac{(-i\omega)^{n-f/2} \varphi_{e, f}}{(n-f/2)!} = b_{e, f} \, dp,
\]

where we introduced the symplectic volume form \( dp := \omega^n/n! \) on \( M \). This way, we have reduced the study of the integral \( W_{\varphi, \phi}^\zeta(\varepsilon) \) to the study of the generalized Witten integral

\[
I^\zeta_a(\varepsilon) := \int_1^\varepsilon \int_M e^{i\psi^\zeta(p, x)/\varepsilon} a(p, x) \, dp \, dx, \quad \zeta \in \mathfrak{t}^*, \ \varepsilon \to 0^+,
\]

where the amplitude \( a \in C^\infty(M \times t) \) is of the shape

\[
a(p, x) = b(p) \sigma(x), \quad b \in C^\infty(M), \ \sigma \in S(t),
\]

and the phase function \( \psi^\zeta \in C^\infty(t \times M) \) is given by

\[
\psi^\zeta(p, x) := J(p)(x) - \zeta(x). \tag{2.13}
\]

Now, when trying to describe the asymptotic behavior of the integrals \( I^\zeta_a(\varepsilon) \) by means of the generalized stationary phase principle, one faces the serious difficulty that the critical set of the phase function \( \psi^\zeta \) is in general not smooth. Indeed, due to the linear dependence of \( J(x) \) on \( x \) we obtain

\[
\partial_x \psi^\zeta(p, x) = J(p) - \zeta,
\]

and because of the non-degeneracy of \( \omega \),

\[
dJ(x) = \iota_x \omega = 0 \iff \bar{x} = 0,
\]

where \( \bar{x} \) denotes the fundamental vector field on \( M \) associated to \( x \). Hence, the critical set reads

\[
\text{Crit}(\psi^\zeta) := \{ (p, x) \in M \times t : \partial_x \psi^\zeta(p, x) = 0 \} = \{ (p, x) \in J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \times t : \bar{x}_p = 0 \}.
\]

Let us first assume that \( \zeta \) is a regular value. As was discussed in Section 2.1, \( J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \) is an orientable manifold, and all stabilizers of points \( p \in J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \) are finite. Consequently, \( \text{Crit}(\psi^\zeta) = J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \times \{0\} \); in particular, it is an orientable manifold. Even more, the critical set of the phase function \( \psi^\zeta \) is clean [13, Proof of Proposition 2], and the generalized stationary phase theorem [13, Theorem C] can be applied, yielding a complete asymptotic expansion for \( I^\zeta_a(\varepsilon) \). Slightly more generally, we have the following
Proposition 2.3. Assume that $J$ is regular on the support of the amplitude $a$. Then, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_{N,\psi^a,\alpha} > 0$ such that

$$|I^a_\alpha(\varepsilon) - 2\pi \varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varepsilon^j Q_j(\psi^a, \alpha)| \leq C_{N,\psi^a,\alpha} \varepsilon^{N+1},$$

where the coefficients $Q_j(\psi^a, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}$ can be expressed explicitly in terms of measures on $J^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ is an open set consisting entirely of regular values of $J$ on the support of $a$, then the functions $\mathcal{V} \ni \zeta \mapsto Q_j(\psi^a, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}$ are smooth.

Proof. See [15, Proposition 2].

If $\zeta$ is not a regular value, both $J^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})$ and $J^{-1}(\{ \zeta \})/T$ are singular. Consequently, $\text{Crit}(\psi^a)$ is no longer clean, and the usual stationary phase theorem cannot be applied. Instead, we shall normalize the generalized Witten integral in suitable coordinates and derive a complete asymptotic expansion by generalizing a stationary phase lemma of Brummelhuis, Paul, and Uribe [2].

3. Asymptotic expansion of the generalized Witten integral for circle actions

3.1. A local normal form for the momentum map and Witten-type integrals. As before, consider a 2n-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ carrying a Hamiltonian action of $T = S^1$ with momentum map $J : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$. We commence our study of the integrals (2.11) by introducing suitable coordinates on $M$ near the set of fixed points

$$M^T := \{ p \in M : t \cdot p = p \quad \forall t \in T \}. $$

The connected components of $M^T$ are symplectic submanifolds of $M$ of possibly different dimensions, and we denote the set of these components by $F$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ be fixed, and consider the symplectic perpendicular bundle $E_F := TF^\omega$ of the tangent bundle $TF$ of $F$ in the tangent bundle $TM$ of $M$. Since $F$ is symplectic, $TM|_F = TF \oplus E_F$, so that $E_F$ corresponds to the normal bundle $NF$ of $F$, and carries a symplectic structure. Furthermore, $T$ acts on $E_F$ fiberwise, and we may choose a $T$-invariant complex structure on $E_F$ compatible with the symplectic one. Each fiber of $E_F$ splits into a direct sum of 1-dimensional representations of $T = S^1$, so that with $\dim F = 2n_F$

$$E_F = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-n_F} \mathcal{E}_j^F,$$

the $\mathcal{E}_j^F$ being complex line bundles over $F$ on which $t$ acts as

$$(\mathcal{E}_j^F)_p \ni v \mapsto i\lambda_j^F(x)v \in (\mathcal{E}_j^F)_p, \quad p \in F, x \in t, \lambda_j^F \in \mathfrak{t}^*,$$

where the weights $\lambda_j^F$ of the torus action are given by integers, and split into positive weights $\lambda_1^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^+}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$ and negative weights $\lambda_{\ell^++1}^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^++\ell^-}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\text{codim} F = 2(n-n_F) = 2(\ell^+ + \ell^-)$. They do not depend on the point $p$. We shall now make use of the local normal form theorem for the momentum map $J$ due to Guillemin-Sternberg [3] and Marle [13], which in our situation reads as follows.

Proposition 3.1. Fix a component $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, there exist

1. a faithful unitary representation $\Pi_F : S^1 \to (S^1)^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \subset U(\ell^+ + \ell^-)$ with positive weights $\lambda_1^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^+}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$ and negative weights $\lambda_{\ell^++1}^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^++\ell^-}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$,
2. a principal $K_F$-bundle $P_F \to F$, where $K_F$ is a subgroup of $U(\ell^+) \times U(\ell^-)$ that commutes with $\Pi_F(S^1)$

such that

$$E_F = P_F \times_{K_F} \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-},$$

where the weights $\lambda_j^F$ of the torus action are given by integers, and split into positive weights $\lambda_1^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^+}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$ and negative weights $\lambda_{\ell^++1}^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^++\ell^-}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\text{codim} F = 2(n-n_F) = 2(\ell^+ + \ell^-)$. They do not depend on the point $p$. We shall now make use of the local normal form theorem for the momentum map $J$ due to Guillemin-Sternberg [3] and Marle [13], which in our situation reads as follows.
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where the weights $\lambda_j^F$ of the torus action are given by integers, and split into positive weights $\lambda_1^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^+}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$ and negative weights $\lambda_{\ell^++1}^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^++\ell^-}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\text{codim} F = 2(n-n_F) = 2(\ell^+ + \ell^-)$. They do not depend on the point $p$. We shall now make use of the local normal form theorem for the momentum map $J$ due to Guillemin-Sternberg [3] and Marle [13], which in our situation reads as follows.

Proposition 3.1. Fix a component $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, there exist

1. a faithful unitary representation $\Pi_F : S^1 \to (S^1)^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \subset U(\ell^+ + \ell^-)$ with positive weights $\lambda_1^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^+}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$ and negative weights $\lambda_{\ell^++1}^F, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell^++\ell^-}^F \in \mathbb{Z}$,
2. a principal $K_F$-bundle $P_F \to F$, where $K_F$ is a subgroup of $U(\ell^+) \times U(\ell^-)$ that commutes with $\Pi_F(S^1)$

such that

$$E_F = P_F \times_{K_F} \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-},$$
where \( P_F \times_{K_F} \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \rightarrow F \) denotes the bundle associated to \( P_F \). Furthermore, there is an open set \( U_F \subset M \) around \( F \) and a diffeomorphism \( \Phi_F : U_F \rightarrow V_F \) to a neighborhood \( V_F \) of the zero section in \( E_F \), which is equivariant with respect to the \( S^1 \)-action on \( P_F \times_K \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \) given by \( \Pi_F \), and

\[
(3.2) \quad \mathcal{J} \circ \Phi_F^{-1}([q, w]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \lambda_j^2 \left| w_j \right|^2 + \mathcal{J}(F), \quad w = (w_1, \ldots, w_{\ell^+ + \ell^-}).
\]

In particular, \( 2\ell^- \) and \( 2\ell^+ \) are the dimension of the negative and positive eigenspaces of the Hessian of \( \mathcal{J} \) at a point of \( F \), respectively.

**Proof.** See [12, Lemma 3.1]. \( \square \)

Next, consider a partition of unity \( \{\chi_{t_{\text{top}}}, \chi_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \) subordinated to the cover

\[
(3.3) \quad M = M_{(t_{\text{top}})} \cup \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} U_F,
\]

where we may assume that it consists of \( T \)-invariant functions and that each \( \chi_F \) satisfies \( \chi_F \equiv 1 \) in a neighborhood of the fixed point \( F \). The generalized Witten integral (2.11) can then be written as a sum

\[
(3.4) \quad I_{\alpha}^\zeta(\varepsilon) = I_{\alpha\chi_{t_{\text{top}}}}^\zeta(\varepsilon) + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} I_{\alpha\chi_F}^\zeta(\varepsilon).
\]

We shall focus our attention in the following on the integrals \( I_{\alpha\chi_F}^\zeta(\varepsilon) \). In terms of the coordinates provided by \( \Phi_F \) we obtain with (3.2)

\[
(3.5) \quad I_{\alpha\chi_F}^\zeta(\varepsilon) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell} e^{i(\mathcal{J} \circ \Phi_F^{-1}([q, w]) - \zeta(\varepsilon)/\varepsilon)(a\chi_F)(\Phi_F^{-1}([q, w]), x)} d([q, w]) dx
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell} e^{i\mathcal{F}((Q_F w, w) - 2\zeta_F)} (a\chi_F)(\Phi_F^{-1}([q, w]), x) d([q, w]) dx,
\]

where \( d([q, w]) \) denotes the pullback of the symplectic volume form \( d\mu \) on \( M \) along the symplectomorphism \( \Phi_F \), which coincides precisely with the symplectic volume form on \( V_F \),

\[
\zeta_F := \zeta - \mathcal{J}(F),
\]

and we introduced on \( \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \) the non-degenerate quadratic form

\[
\langle Q_F w, w \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \lambda_j^2 \left| w_j \right|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \lambda_j^2 \left( (\text{Re} w_j)^2 + (\text{Im} w_j)^2 \right).
\]

Since \( \mathcal{J} \circ \Phi_F^{-1}([q, w]) \) actually defines a function on \( P_F \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \), we shall lift \( I_{\alpha\chi_F}^\zeta \) accordingly. For this, let us note that since \( \pi_F : P_F \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \rightarrow P_F \times_{K_F} \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \) is a principal \( K_F \)-bundle there exists for every volume density \( d_{\text{vol}} \) on \( P_F \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-} \) a form \( \eta \) on the same space such that \( d_{\text{vol}} = |\pi_F^*(d([q, w])) \wedge \eta| \) and the restriction of \( \eta \) to each fiber \( \pi_F^{-1}([q, w]) \) defines a volume density denoted by \( \eta([q, w]) \) (cf [11, p. 430]). Then by [11 Theorem 4.8], we have for any continuous function \( f \) with compact support in \( V_F \) the equality

\[
(3.6) \quad \int_{P_F \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell^+ + \ell^-}} \pi_F^* (f) d_{\text{vol}} = \int_{V_F} \left[ \int \pi_F(f) \eta([q, w]) \right] d([q, w]) = \int_{V_F} f([q, w]) \mathcal{V}([q, w]) d([q, w]),
\]

where \( \mathcal{V}([q, w]) := \int_{\pi^{-1}([q, w])} \eta([q, w]) \) is the volume of the fiber over \( [q, w] \) with respect to \( \eta([q, w]) \). Note that \( \pi_F^* (f) \) has still compact support since the fibers are compact. Now, let \( dq \) be a volume density on
$P_F$ and $dw$ the canonical symplectic volume form on $C^\ell+\ell^-$. Applying the previous considerations to our integral $I^\zeta_{\alpha r} F$ with respect to the volume density $d_{vol} = dq \, dw$ yields with the Fubini theorem

$$I^\zeta_{\alpha r} F (\varepsilon) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{P_F \times C^\ell+\ell^-} e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} ((Q_F w, w) - 2 \zeta_F)} (a \chi_F)(\Phi_F^{-1}(\pi_F(q, w))) (V(\pi_F(q, w)))^{-1} d_{vol} (q, w) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{C^\ell+\ell^-} e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} ((Q_F w, w) - 2 \zeta_F)} \left[ \int_{P_F} (a \chi_F)(\Phi_F^{-1}(\pi_F(q, w))) V(\pi_F(q, w)))^{-1} \, dq \right] \, dw \, dx$$

$$=: \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(\ell^+ + \ell^-)}} e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} ((Q_F w, w) - 2 \zeta_F)} \tilde{a}_F(w, x) \, dw \, dx,$$

where we identified $C^\ell+\ell^-$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2(\ell^+ + \ell^-)}$. With respect to this identification, denote by

$$n_+^F := 2\ell^+, \quad n_-^F := 2\ell^-$$

the dimensions of the positive and negative eigenspaces of $Q_F$, and assume first that $n_+^F \neq 0$ and $n_-^F \neq 0$. In polar coordinates $w^+ = (w_1, \ldots, w_{\ell^+}) = r\theta^+ \in \mathbb{R}^{2\ell^+}$, $w^- = (w_{\ell^++1}, \ldots, w_{\ell^++\ell^-}) = s\theta^- \in \mathbb{R}^{2\ell^-}$ in these directions, the integral $I^\zeta_{\alpha r} F (\varepsilon)$ reads

$$I^\zeta_{\alpha r} F (\varepsilon) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} (r^2 - s^2 - 2\zeta_F)} \alpha_F(r, s, x) \, dr \, ds \, dx,$$

where

$$\alpha_F(r, s, x) := r^{n_+^F - 1} s^{n_-^F - 1} \int_{S_{n-1}^+} \int_{S_{n-1}^-} \tilde{a}_F((r\theta^+, s\theta^-), x) \, d\theta^+ \, d\theta^-.$$
3.2. Regular asymptotics. We are now ready to give an asymptotic expansion of the generalized Witten integral, and begin with the regular contributions.

3.2.1. Contribution of the top chart \( M_{b_{top}} \). Recall that by Lemma 2.2 the momentum map is regular on \( M_{b_{top}} \). Therefore Proposition 2.2 yields a complete stationary phase expansion for \( I_{\alpha_{\chi_{top}}}(\varepsilon) \). The coefficients \( Q_j(\psi^k, a_{\chi_{top}}) \) do have a geometric interpretation in terms of measures on \( J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \) and are smooth in \( \zeta \).

3.2.2. Contributions of the charts \( U_F \) in the case \( J(F) \neq 0 \). Next, let us fix a component \( F \in \mathcal{F} \) and consider the corresponding chart \( U_F \). By Lemma 2.2, the only singular value of \( J : U_F \to \mathfrak{t}^* \) is \( J(F) \), so that Proposition 2.2 yields a complete stationary phase expansion for \( I_{\alpha_{\chi_F}}(\varepsilon) \) if \( |\zeta| < |J(F)| \) with coefficients \( Q_j(\psi^k, a_{\chi_F}) \) that are smooth in \( \zeta \) and given in terms of measures on \( J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \) in what follows we shall calculate them in terms of the normal form of the momentum map, based on the expressions (3.7), (3.9). In fact, we shall show

**Proposition 3.2.** For each \( \zeta_0 \in (0, |J(F)|) \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) there is a constant \( C_{N, \zeta_0} > 0 \) such that for all \( \zeta \in [-\zeta_0, \zeta_0] \) one has

\[
|I_{\alpha_{\chi_F}}(\varepsilon)| \leq C_{N, \zeta_0} \varepsilon^{N+2},
\]

where the coefficients \( Q_{F,a}^k(\zeta) \) are given by the expressions

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^k}{ds^k} & \left[ s^{n-1}S_F(\sqrt{s}) \right] (\pm 2[\zeta - J(F)]), \\
\int_0^\infty & t^{n_F} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \left[ (t^2 + s)^{n_F/2-1}S_F(\sqrt{t^2 + s}, t) \right] (2\zeta + 2|J(F)|) \frac{dt}{t}, \\
& n_Fn_F \neq 0, J(F) < 0,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty t^{n_F} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \left[ (t^2 + s)^{n_F/2-1}S_F(t, \sqrt{t^2 + s}) \right] (-2\zeta + 2J(F)) \frac{dt}{t}, \\
& n_Fn_F \neq 0, J(F) > 0.
\]

In particular, the map \( (-|J(F)|, |J(F)|) \ni \zeta \mapsto Q_{F,a}^k(\zeta) \) is smooth.

**Proof.** Let us begin with the simpler case \( n_F^\mp = 0 \), so that \( \mp J(F) > 0 \). We first linearize the phase function via the substitution \( r^2 \mapsto t \), yielding

\[
I_{\alpha_{\chi_F}}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}(-2\zeta + 2J(F))} t^{n-1}S_F(\sqrt{t}) dt \sigma(x) dx.
\]

Next, we introduce a cutoff function \( \chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( \text{supp} \chi \subset (0, \infty) \) and \( \chi \equiv 1 \) on \((2|J(F)| - 2\zeta_0, 2|J(F)| + 2\zeta_0) \) for some \( \zeta_0 \in (0, |J(F)|) \). We then define the smooth function

\[
\Gamma_{F, \chi}(t) := \begin{cases} t^{n-1}S_F(\sqrt{t})\chi(t), & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \end{cases}
\]
and get for $|\zeta| \leq \zeta_0$ and all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the equality

$$I^\zeta_{F,\chi}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{it \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))} t^{n-1} S_F(\sqrt{t}) \chi(t) \, dt \, \sigma(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{it \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))} t^{n-1} S_F(\sqrt{t})(1-\chi(t)) \, dt \, \sigma(x) \, dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i t \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))} \Gamma_{F,\chi}(t) \, dt \, \sigma(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \varepsilon^N (-i)^N 2^{N-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^N}{dx^N} \left[ e^{i \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))} \right] \sigma(x) \, dx \, \frac{t^{n-1} S_F(\sqrt{t})(1-\chi(t))}{(t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))^N} \, dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i t \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))} \Gamma_{F,\chi}(t) \, dt \, \sigma(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \varepsilon^N i^N 2^{N-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))} \sigma^{(N)}(x) \, dx \, \frac{t^{n-1} S_F(\sqrt{t})(1-\chi(t))}{(t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))^N} \, dt,$$

the last summand being of order $O_{\zeta,\chi}(\varepsilon^N)$ uniformly in $\zeta$. Performing the substitutions $x \mapsto 2\varepsilon x$ and $t \mapsto \mp t \pm 2\zeta \mp 2\mathcal{J}(F)$ yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i t \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (t+2\zeta+2\mathcal{J}(F))} \Gamma_{F,\chi}(t) \, dt = \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixt} \Gamma_{F,\chi}(\mp t \pm 2\zeta \mp 2\mathcal{J}(F)) \, dt \, \sigma(2\varepsilon x) \, dx$$

$$= \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}[\Gamma_{F,\chi}(\mp t \pm 2\zeta \mp 2\mathcal{J}(F))](x) \sigma(2\varepsilon x) \, dx.$$
Taking everything together, we arrive for $n^\perp_F = 0$ and $|\zeta| < |J(F)|$ at the expansion

$$I^c_{\alpha x_F}(\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon \sum_{k \geq 0} Q^k_{F,a}(\zeta)\varepsilon^k,$$

where

$$Q^k_{F,a}(\zeta) = 2\pi \varepsilon^k \frac{(\pm i)^k k! 2^{k\sigma(\zeta)(0)}}{k!} \left( t^{n-1} S_F(\sqrt{t}) \right) \left( \pm 2(\zeta - J(F)) \right).$$

Let us now turn to the case $n^\perp_F \neq 0, n^\parallel_F \neq 0$, and first assume that $J(F) < 0$. As in the previous case, we linearize the phase function to obtain

$$I^c_{\alpha x_F}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}(t-u-2\zeta+2J(F))} t^{n^\perp_F/2-1} u^{n^\parallel_F/2-1} S_F(\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{u}) \chi(t-u) dt du \sigma(x) dx,$$

and introduce a cutoff function $\tilde{\chi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $\tilde{\chi} \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$ on $(2|J(F)| - 2\zeta_0, 2|J(F)| + 2\zeta_0)$ for some $\zeta_0 \in (0, |J(F)|)$. Similarly as above we get for $|\zeta| \leq \zeta_0$

$$I^c_{\alpha x_F}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}(t-u-2\zeta+2J(F))} t^{n^\perp_F/2-1} u^{n^\parallel_F/2-1} S_F(\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{u}) \tilde{\chi}(t-u) dt du \sigma(x) dx + O_{\zeta_0}(\varepsilon^\infty)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}(t-u-2\zeta+2J(F))} (t+u)^{n^\perp_F/2-1} u^{n^\parallel_F/2-1} S_F(\sqrt{t+u}, \sqrt{u}) \tilde{\chi}(t) dt du \sigma(x) dx + O_{\zeta_0}(\varepsilon^\infty),$$

where

$$\Gamma^+_{F,a}(t) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t+u)^{n^\perp_F/2-1} u^{n^\parallel_F/2-1} S_F(\sqrt{t+u}, \sqrt{u}) \tilde{\chi}(t) du, & t > 0, \\
0, & t \leq 0. \end{array} \right.$$
where
\[ \Gamma_{F,\chi}^{-}(u) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n_{F}/2-1}(t + u)^{n_{F}/2-1} S_{F}(\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{t + u}) \, dt \, \tilde{\chi}(u), & \quad u > 0, \\
0, & \quad u < 0.
\end{array} \right. \]

Comparing again with (3.11), we arrive at the expansion
\[ (3.15) \]
\[ I_{\alpha,F}^{\epsilon}(\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon \sum_{k \geq 0} Q_{F,\alpha}^{k}(\zeta) \varepsilon^{k}, \quad |\zeta| < |\mathcal{J}(F)|, \]

where
\[ Q_{F,\alpha}^{k}(\zeta) = \frac{\pi \varepsilon^{k}(\zeta) k^{k} \sigma^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{du^{k}} \left( \Gamma_{F,\chi}^{-}(u - 2\zeta + 2\mathcal{J}(F)) \right) (0) \]
\[ = \frac{\pi \varepsilon^{k}(\zeta) k^{k} \sigma^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{k}}{du^{k}} \left[ (t + u)^{n_{F}/2-1} S_{F}(\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{t + u}) \right] (-2\zeta + 2\mathcal{J}(F)) t^{n_{F}/2-1} \, dt, \]

and renaming \((u, t)\) to \((t, u)\) and substituting \(u \mapsto v^{2}\) finishes the proof. \(\square\)

3.3. Singular asymptotics. In what follows, we shall derive an asymptotic expansion for Witten-type integrals in the charts \(U_{F}\) in the singular case when \(\mathcal{J}(F) = 0\).

3.3.1. The contributions of the indefinite charts. Let us begin by considering a chart \(U_{F}\) in which \(Q_{F}\) is indefinite, that is, \(n_{F}^{+} \neq 0\) and \(n_{F}^{-} \neq 0\). In this case, complete asymptotic expansions for integrals of the type \(I_{\alpha,F}^{\epsilon}(\varepsilon)\) were derived by Brummelhuis, Paul, and Uribe [2, Section 3] if \(\zeta_{F} = 0\), and it is not too difficult to extend their analysis to cover also the case \(|\zeta_{F}| < 1\). Indeed, since \(n_{F}^{+}\) and \(n_{F}^{-}\) are even, a computation shows that
\[ I_{\alpha,F}^{\epsilon}(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^{1+j} \left[ (B_{j}(\beta_{F}) + q_{2j}^{+}(\beta_{F})) \Xi_{j}(\zeta_{F}/\varepsilon) + (\tilde{B}_{j}(\beta_{F}) + \tilde{q}_{2j}(\beta_{F})) \tilde{\Xi}_{j}(\zeta_{F}/\varepsilon) \right] \]
holds, where
\[ B_{j}(\beta_{F}) := -\frac{1}{(j!)^{2}2^{2j+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \right)^{j} \beta_{F} \right] (t, t) \log(2t) \, dt \]
\[ = \frac{1}{(j!)^{2}2^{2j+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \right)^{j} \beta_{F} \right] (t, t) \frac{dt}{t}, \]
\[ q_{j}(\beta_{F}) := \frac{1}{2j} \sum_{k=0}^{j} A_{j,k} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \right)^{(j-k)} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \text{sgn}(2k - j) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^{2j} \beta_{F} \left( 0, 0 \right), \]
the \(A_{j,k}\) being certain computable\(^3\) combinatorial coefficients fulfilling \(A_{j,j-k} = -A_{j,k}\), while
\[ (3.17) \]
\[ \tilde{B}_{j}(\beta_{F}) := B_{j}(\beta_{F,\text{opp}}) = (-1)^{j} B_{j}(\beta_{F}), \quad \tilde{q}_{j}(\beta_{F}) := q_{j}(\beta_{F,\text{opp}}) \]

\(^3\)Here we corrected [2] (79) on p. 497 by inserting an overall factor \(\frac{1}{2}\) on the right hand side, and we integrated partially taking into account
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ f(r, r) \log(2r) \right] = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) f \right] (r, r) \log(2r) + \frac{1}{r} f(r, r), \quad f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2}), \]
and the fact that \(\left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \right)^{j} \beta_{F} \) is smooth and antisymmetric in both \(r\) and \(s\), and therefore vanishes at \(r = 0\) and \(s = 0\) of order at least \(O(r s)\).

\(^4\)See [3.41] for a computation of the first few values.
with $\beta_{F, \text{opp}}(r, s) := \beta_F(s, r)$, and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$
\Xi_j(t) := \int_{-t}^{\infty} (t + \xi)^j \tilde{\sigma}(-\xi) \, d\xi = \sum_{l=0}^{j} t^l \binom{j}{l} \int_{-t}^{\infty} \xi^{j-l} \tilde{\sigma}(-\xi) \, d\xi = \sum_{l=0}^{j} t^l \tilde{\xi}_{j,l}(t),
$$

(3.18)

$$
\tilde{\Xi}_j(t) := \int_{t}^{\infty} (-t + \xi)^j \tilde{\sigma}(\xi) \, d\xi = \sum_{l=0}^{j} (-t)^l \binom{j}{l} \int_{-t}^{\infty} (-\xi)^{j-l} \tilde{\sigma}(-\xi) \, d\xi = \sum_{l=0}^{j} t^l \tilde{\xi}_{j,l}(t).
$$

Note that if $\sigma(x) = x^{\epsilon} \hat{\phi}(x)$ for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(-\xi) = ([i \partial]^\infty \hat{\phi})(-\xi) = 2\pi i [i \partial]^\epsilon \hat{\phi}(-\xi) = 2\pi (-i)^\epsilon (\partial^\epsilon \phi)(\xi).
$$

Clearly, $|\Xi_{j,l}(t)|, |\tilde{\Xi}_{j,l}(t)| \ll j, l, \epsilon$ and

$$
\Xi_{j,l}(t) + (-1)^j \tilde{\Xi}_{j,l}(t) = \binom{j}{l} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^{j-l} \tilde{\sigma}(-\xi) \, d\xi,
$$

$$
B_j(\beta_F) \Xi_{j,l}(t) + \tilde{B}_j(\beta_F) \tilde{\Xi}_{j,l}(t) = \binom{j}{l} B_j(\beta_F) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^{j-l} \tilde{\sigma}(-\xi) \, d\xi
$$

as a consequence of (3.17). Collecting everything we arrive at

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $|\zeta_F| \ll 1$, and assume that $Q_F$ is indefinite. Then, there is an asymptotic expansion

$$
I_{\alpha \chi_F}^{\zeta}(\epsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2\epsilon)^{1+j} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{l+j,l}(\zeta_F/\epsilon)(2\epsilon)^l,
$$

where the coefficients

$$
\Theta_{j,l}^{F}(t) := \binom{j}{l} B_j(\beta_F) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^{j-l} \tilde{\sigma}(-\xi) \, d\xi + q_{2j}(\beta_F) \Xi_{j,l}(t) + \tilde{q}_{2j}(\beta_F) \tilde{\Xi}_{j,l}(t)
$$

(3.20)

can be computed explicitly, and satisfy $\Theta_{l+j,l}^{F}(t) \ll j, l, 1$. \qed

Thus, we have obtained a complete asymptotic expansion for the integrals $I_{\alpha \chi_F}^{\zeta}(\epsilon)$ simultaneously in both parameters $\zeta$ and $\epsilon$. In the following, we shall examine the $B_j$-coefficients from (3.16) more closely. To this end, recall (3.8) and introduce the notation

$$
\partial_{\pm} := \partial_r \pm \partial_s := \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad n_{r} := n_{r}^{+} + n_{r}^{-} = 2(k + l - 1).
$$

**Lemma 3.4.** The coefficients $B_j(\beta_F)$ are given by the following expressions:

(1) If $j < \frac{n_{r}}{2}$,

$$
B_j(\beta_F) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \mu_k \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n_{r}^{+} - 2j + k}(\partial_{-}S_F)(t, t) \frac{dt}{t} =: B_{j}^{\text{top}}(\beta_F);
$$
(2) if \( n_F^0 \leq j < n_F^0 \),
\[
B_j(\beta_F) = \sum_{k=2j-n_F^0+1}^{j} \mu_k \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n_F^0-2j+k} (\partial_+^{k} S_F) (t,t) \frac{dt}{t} + \sum_{k=0}^{2j-n_F^0} \omega_k \int_{0}^{\infty} T_F^{j,k} (t) \ dt =: B_{j}^{\text{top}}(\beta_F) + \sum_{k=0}^{2j-n_F^0} \eta_k (\partial_+^{2j-n_F^0-k} \partial_-^{k} S_F)(0,0);
\] =: B_{j}^{\text{sing}}(\beta_F)

(3) if \( j \geq n_F^0 \),
\[
B_j(\beta_F) = \sum_{k=j-n_F^0}^{j} \omega_j \int_{j}^{\infty} T_F^{j,k} (t) \ dt + \sum_{k=j-n_F^0+1}^{j} \eta_k (\partial_+^{2j-n_F^0-k} \partial_-^{k} S_F)(0,0) \]
\[=: B_{j}^{\text{top}}(\beta_F) + \sum_{k=j-n_F^0+1}^{j} \eta_k (\partial_+^{2j-n_F^0-k} \partial_-^{k} S_F)(0,0) =: B_{j}^{\text{sing}}(\beta_F)
\]

Here
\[
\eta_j := (-1)^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{n_F^0-j+k-1} (n_F^0-j+k-l-1)! C_{k,l}^j, \quad \mu_k := \sum_{l=0}^{j-l} (j-l)! C_{k,l}^j, \quad \omega_k := (-1)^{k} C_{k,n_F^0-j+k}^j,
\]
where
\[
C_{k,l}^j := \frac{(n_F^0-1)! (n_F^0-1)!}{(j!)^2 2^{2j+1}} \binom{j}{k} \binom{j}{l} \sum_{w=\max(0,j-k+l-n_F^0+1)}^{\min(j-k,w)} \frac{\sum_{v=\max(0,w-l)}^{\min(j-k,w)} \binom{l}{v} \binom{j-k}{l+v}}{n_F^0-1-w}!(n_F^0-1-j+k-l+w)!
\]

and the functions \( T_F^{j,k} \in C^\infty((0,\infty)) \) are defined as
\[
T_F^{j,k}(t) := \frac{1}{2t} \left( (\partial_+^{2j-n_F^0-k} \partial_-^{k} \partial_+^{2j-n_F^0-k} S_F)(t,t) \right)
\]
where the expression that is multiplied with \( \frac{1}{2t} \) is of order \( O(t) \) as \( t \to 0^+ \).

**Proof.** To begin, we notice for \( f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \) the equalities
\[
\partial_{\pm}(f \cdot g) = (\partial_{\pm} f) g + f \partial_{\pm} g, \quad (\partial_+^{2} - \partial_-^{2}) = (\partial_+ \partial_- - \partial_- \partial_+) f = (\partial_- \partial_+) f,
\]
as well as
\[
\partial_{\pm}[f(t,t)] = (\partial_{\pm} f)(t,t).
\]

In view of the above relations we can re-write the \( B_j \)-coefficients as
\[
B_j(\beta_F) = \frac{1}{(j!)^2 2^{2j+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} [(\partial_+^{2j-n_F^0-k} \partial_-^{k} \partial_+^{2j-n_F^0-k} S_F)](t,t) \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{(j!)^2 2^{2j+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \partial_+^{2} \beta_F(t,t) \frac{dt}{t}.
\]
If the amplitude \( b \) were supported away from the fixed point \( F \), the integrand would be 0 in a neighborhood of \( r = 0 \), and partial integration would yield
\[
B_j(\beta_F) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j!)^2 2^{2j+1}} \partial_- \beta_F(t,t) \frac{dt}{t}
\]
up to multiplication by a constant, which corresponds to the coefficient of order \( j \) in the usual stationary phase expansion. In general, it is not possible to proceed like this, since non-integrable terms would arise. Instead, notice that
\[
(\partial_+ \partial_-)^j \beta_F(t,t) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{j} c_{k,l}^j (\partial_+^{k} \partial_-^{l} R_F)(t,t) \left( \partial_+^{l-k} \partial_-^{k} S_F \right)(t,t),
\]
where \( c^{j}_{k,l} = \binom{j}{k} \binom{1}{l} \). Furthermore,

\[
(\partial^{k}_{\tau} \partial^{k}_{\tau} R_F)(t, t) = \begin{cases} 
  d_{k,l} t^{n^+_F - k - l}, & k + l \leq n^+_F, \\
  0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where

\[
d_{k,l} = (n^+_F - 1)! (n^-_F - 1)! \sum_{w=\max(0, k+(-n^-_F-1))}^{\min(k+l, n^+_F-1)} \sum_{v=\max(0, w-l)}^{\min(k, w)} \binom{l-w}{v} \binom{k}{v} (1)^{k-v} \partial^{u}_{\tau} \partial^{l-u}_{\tau} \partial^{k-v}_{\tau} \partial^{k-l+u}_{\tau},
\]

To see this, we compute

\[
\partial^{k}_{\tau} \partial^{k}_{\tau} = (\partial^{k}_{\tau} + \partial^{k}_{\tau})(\partial^{k}_{\tau} - \partial^{k}_{\tau}) = \sum_{u=0}^{l} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{l}{u} \binom{k}{v} (-1)^{k-v} \partial^{k}_{\tau} \partial^{u}_{\tau} \partial^{l-u}_{\tau} \partial^{k-l+u}_{\tau},
\]

so that with \( R_F(r, s) = r^{n^+_F-1} s^{n^-_F-1} \) we get

\[
\partial^{k}_{\tau} \partial^{k}_{\tau} R_F(r, s) = \sum_{0 \leq w \leq k + l} \sum_{w \leq n^+_F-1, k+l-w \leq n^-_F-1} \frac{(n^+_F-1)!}{(n^+_F-1-w)!} \frac{(n^-_F-1)!}{(n^-_F-1-k-l+w)!} r^{n^+_F-1-w} s^{n^-_F-1-k-l+w}.
\]

From this we infer

\[
\partial^{k}_{\tau} \partial^{k}_{\tau} R_F(t, t) = \sum_{u=\max(0, k+l-(n^-_F-1))}^{\min(k+l, n^+_F-1)} \sum_{v=\max(0, k+(-n^-_F-1))}^{\min(k, w)} \binom{l-w}{v} \binom{k}{v} (1)^{k-v} \partial^{u}_{\tau} \partial^{l-u}_{\tau} \partial^{k-l+u}_{\tau},
\]

proving (3.25) and (3.26). In particular, if \( k + l = n^+_F \), we obtain

\[
d_{k,l} = (n^+_F - 1)! (n^-_F - 1)! \sum_{v=\max(0, n^-_F-1-l)}^{\min(k, n^+_F-1)} \binom{l}{v} (1)^{k-v},
\]

yielding the relation

\[
d_{k,l} = -d_{l,k} \quad \text{if} \quad k + l = n^+_F,
\]

which follows from (3.27) by substituting \( v \) by \( n^+_F - 1 - v \). Note that

\[
C^{j}_{k,l} = (-1)^{j+k} \frac{c^{j}_{k-l} d^{j-k}_{l}}{(j!)^2 2^{2j+1}}.
\]
Now, from (3.23) - (3.26) we get
\[ B_j(\beta_F) = \frac{1}{(j!)^2} \sum_{0 \leq k, l \leq j} c^i_{k,l} d_{k,l} \int_0^\infty t^n_{\beta_F} \left( \partial_{+}^{j-l} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt. \]

Let us turn first to the case \( j < \frac{n_0}{4} \). Then (3.22) and partial integration give
\[
(j!)^2 2^{2j+1} B_j(\beta_F) = \sum_{0 \leq k, l \leq j} c^i_{k,l} d_{k,l} \int_0^\infty t^n_{\beta_F} \left( \partial_{+}^{j-l} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=0}^{j} c^i_{k,l} d_{k,l} (-1)^{j-l} (j-l)! \int_0^\infty t^n_{\beta_F} \left( \partial_{+}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=0}^{j} c^i_{j-k,l} d_{j-k,l} (-1)^{j-l} (j-l)! \int_0^\infty t^n_{\beta_F} \left( \partial_{+}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt.
\]

yielding (1). Assume next that \( j \geq n_0^0 \). In this case,
\[
(j!)^2 2^{2j+1} B_j(\beta_F) = \sum_{k+l \leq n_0^0} c^i_{k,l} d_{k,l} \int_0^\infty t^n_{\beta_F} \left( \partial_{+}^{j-l} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt \\
= \sum_{k+l \leq n_0^0} c^i_{k,l} d_{k,l} (-1)^{n_0^0-k-l} (n_0^0 - k - l - 1)! \int_0^\infty \left( \partial_{+}^{j-n_0^0+k} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt \\
+ \sum_{k+l \leq n_0^0} \alpha_{k,l} \int_0^\infty \left( \partial_{+}^{j-l} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt.
\]

Taking into account (3.22) this can be simplified further, yielding
\[
(j!)^2 2^{2j+1} B_j(\beta_F) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_0^0-1} \left[ - \sum_{l=0}^{n_0^0-k-1} \alpha_{k,l} \right] \left( \partial_{+}^{j-n_0^0+k} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (0, 0) \\
+ \sum_{k=0}^{n_0^0} \omega_{k,n_0^0-k} \int_0^\infty \left( \partial_{+}^{j-n_0^0+k} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt \\
= \sum_{k=n_0^0}^{j} \left[ - \sum_{l=0}^{j-k-1} \alpha_{j-k,l} \right] \left( \partial_{+}^{j-n_0^0+k} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (0, 0) \\
+ \sum_{k=n_0^0}^{j} \omega_{j-k,n_0^0-j+k} \int_0^\infty \left( \partial_{+}^{j-n_0^0-k} \partial_{-}^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt.
\]

Note that this expression contains non-integrable summands which, nevertheless, cancel out each other, see below.
The last sum contains non-integrable contributions which, nevertheless, must cancel out each other, since all other terms in the above equality are finite. To see this, and identify the integrable contributions, note that (3.28) and $c^j_{kl} = c^l_{jk}$ imply with $L := 2j - n_F^0$.

\begin{equation}
\sum_{k=j-n_F^0}^j \omega_k^j \int_0^\infty (\partial_+^{L-k} \partial_-^k S_F)(t,t) \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=j-n_F^0}^j \omega_k^j \int_0^\infty \left[ (\partial_+^{L-k} \partial_-^k - \partial_-^{L-k}) S_F \right](t,t) \frac{dt}{t}.
\end{equation}

Assume $L - k \leq k$ as we may, and write $k - (L - k) = 2\mu$ for some natural number $\mu$, taking into account that $n_F^0$ is even. Then

\begin{equation}
\partial_+^{L-k} \partial_-^k - \partial_-^{L-k} \partial_+^k = (\partial_- \partial_+)^{L-k} (\partial_-^{2\mu} - \partial_+^{2\mu}).
\end{equation}

Note that $(\partial_- \partial_+)^{L-k} = (\partial_r^2 - \partial_s^2)^{L-k}$ is a sum of derivatives in the variables $r$ and $s$ of even order, respectively, while

\begin{equation}
\partial_-^{2\mu} - \partial_+^{2\mu} = - \sum_{\nu=1/2}^{2\mu} 2^\nu \binom{2\mu}{\nu} \partial_r^\nu \partial_s^{2\mu-\nu}
\end{equation}

involves only derivatives of odd order in $r$ and $s$, respectively. Since $S_F(r,s)$ is even in $r$ and $s$, applying $(\partial_- \partial_+)^{L-k}$ to $S_F$ yields an even function in $r$ and $s$. If we now also apply $\partial_-^{2\mu} - \partial_+^{2\mu}$ we obtain an odd function in $r$ and $s$. Consequently, $(\partial_+^{L-k} \partial_-^k - \partial_-^{L-k}) S_F(r,r)$ must be even in $r$ and vanish of order $O(r^2)$ as $r \to 0$, so that each of the integrands on the right-hand side of (3.29) is integrable. Treating the case $L - k \geq k$ alike we have shown (3). Finally, in the intermediate case $\frac{n_F^0}{2} \leq j < n_F^0$. 


one computes

\[
(j!)^2 2^{2j+1} B_j(\beta_F) = \sum_{0 \leq k, l \leq j, k + l \leq n_F^+} c_{k,l}^j d_{k,l} \int_0^\infty t^{n_F^+ - k - l - 1} \left( \partial_t^{j-1} \partial_t^{j-k} S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^j \int_0^\infty t_n^{n_F^+ - k - 1} \left( \partial_t^j S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{j}{n_F^+ - k - 1} \int_0^\infty t_n^{n_F^+ - k - 1} \left( \partial_t^j S_F \right) (t, t) \, dt
\]

Again, the final sum is finite by the arguments given above and we obtain (2).

In the relevant case where \( n_F^+ = n_F^- \), half of the coefficients in Lemma 3.4 vanish. Indeed, we have the following

**Lemma 3.5.** Assume that \( n_F^+ = n_F^- \). If \( j - k \) is odd,

\[\mu_k^j = \omega_k^j = 0.\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \( k \) is odd. Clearly,

\[\partial_t^k R(r, s) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{\frac{j}{i}}{i} (-1)^{k-i} \partial_t^i \partial_t^{j-i} (rs)^{\frac{n_F^+}{2}}.\]

Now, note that

\[\left( \partial_t^i \partial_t^{j-i} (rs)^{\frac{n_F^+}{2}} \right) |_{r=s} = \left( \partial_t^{k-i} \partial_t^i (rs)^{\frac{n_F^+}{2}} \right) |_{r=s}\]

and

\[\binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} = -\binom{k}{k-i} (-1)^{i}.
\]

Indeed, if both \( k \) and \( i \) are odd the difference \( k - i \) is even. On the other hand, if \( i \) is even then \( k - i \) is odd. Since there is an even number of summands which are cancelling each other by the above
relations we conclude that $\partial^k R(t, t) = 0$. From this we infer

$$ (\partial^k_t, \partial^k_s) R(t, t) = \partial^k_t [\partial^k_s R(t, t)] = 0, $$

which implies that $d_{k,t} = 0$ if $k$ is odd. Finally, since each of the coefficients $\mu^j_k$, $\omega^j_k$ and $n^j_k$ is given by an expression of the form $\sum \lambda_t \cdot d_{j-k,t}$, each summand is zero in case that $j - k$ is odd. \hfill \Box

In addition, for particular amplitudes there might be cancellations between contributions coming from different charts.

**Lemma 3.6.** Assume that $S_F(r, s) = f(r) g(s)$ and $S_{-F}(r, s) = g(r) f(s)$ for a pair of charts $(U_F, \Phi_F)$ and $(U_{-F}, \Phi_{-F})$. Then

$$ (\partial^k S_F)(t, t) = (-1)^k (\partial^k S_{-F})(t, t) $$

**Proof.** With $(\binom{k}{v})$ one clearly has

$$ (\partial_r - \partial_s)^k S_F(r, s) = \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} (\partial_r^{k-v} - \partial_s^v) S_F(r, s) = \sum_{v=0}^k (-1)^v \binom{k}{v} f^{(k-v)}(r) g^{(v)}(s) $$

$$ = (-1)^k \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^l \binom{k}{l} g^{(k-l)}(s) f^{(l)}(r) $$

and the assertion follows. \hfill \Box

In what follows, we shall interpret the coefficients $B^\text{top}_j(\beta_F)$ and $B^\text{sing}_j(\beta_F)$ geometrically. The derivatives of $S_F$ at $r = s = 0$ occurring in the terms $B^\text{sing}_j(\beta_F)$ can clearly be associated to derivatives of the $\delta_0$-distribution in $\mathbb{R}^{\text{codim} F}$. Regarding the remaining terms, recall that the Liouville measure $\mu_{Q_F}$ on the quadric $\Sigma_{Q_F} := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{codim} F} : \langle Q_F w, w \rangle = 0 \}$ is a well-defined locally finite measure for $n \geq 2$ determined by the condition

$$ dw = dq_F \wedge d\mu_{Q_F}, $$

where $q_F(w) := \langle Q_F w, w \rangle$. In terms of the inertial polar coordinates introduced in Section 3.1 one computes

$$ d\mu_{Q_F} = r^{n-1} s^{n-1} d\theta^+ \wedge d\theta^- \wedge \sqrt{\frac{r ds + s dr}{2(r^2 + s^2)}} $$

since $q_F(w) \equiv r^2 - s^2$ and

$$ dq_F \wedge \frac{r ds + s dr}{2(r^2 + s^2)} = (r dr - s ds) \wedge \frac{r ds + s dr}{r^2 + s^2} = dr \wedge ds, $$

compare [2] Page 498. Consequently, if

$$ S_f(r, s) := \int_{S^n_{\theta^+}} \int_{S^n_{\theta^-}} f(r\theta^+, s\theta^-) d\theta^+ d\theta^-, \quad r, s \in (0, \infty), $$

denotes the double spherical mean value of a function $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^{\text{codim} F}),$

$$ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} S_f(t, t) \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{ r = s \}} \frac{r^{n+1} s^{n+1} - S_f(r, s) ds + r^{n+1} s^{n+1} S_f(r, s) dr}{r^2 + s^2} $$

$$ = \int_{\Sigma_{Q_F}} f(w) d\mu_{Q_F}(w). $$

The first step in direction of the geometric interpretation of the coefficients $B^\text{top}_j(\beta_F)$ is now the following
Lemma 3.7. Let $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^{\text{codim } F})$ be a double spherical mean value as in (3.32). Then, for arbitrary $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, one has

$$
\int_0^\infty t^{n_F^+} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^j \left( \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^k S_f \right] (t, t) \frac{dt}{t}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_w \pm = \sum_{i=1}^{n_F^\pm} \frac{d^2}{d(w_i^\pm)^2}
$$

denotes the Euclidean Laplacian with respect to the variables $w^\pm$.

Proof. By the formula of Ostrogradski one has

$$
\int_{S^{n-1}} \partial_r [h(r \theta)] \ d\theta = \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle \text{grad } h(r \theta), \theta \rangle \ d\theta = \frac{1}{r} \int_{B^1} \Delta h(r \theta) \ dw = r \int_{B^1} \Delta h(r w) \ dw
$$

for an arbitrary function $h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^l)$, where we wrote $h_r(w) := h(r w)$ and $B^1 := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^l \mid ||w|| \leq 1 \}$. Consequently,

$$
\left[ \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^j \left( \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^k S_f \right] (r, s) = \int_{S^{n_F^+ - 1}} \int_{B^{n_F^+}} [\Delta_w f](r w^+, s \theta^+) \ dw^+ \ d\theta^-
$$

$$
= \int_{S^{n_F^+ - 1}} \int_{S^{n_F^- - 1}} \int_0^1 [\Delta_w f](t_1 r \theta^+, s \theta^-) t_1^{n_F^- - 1} \ dt_1 \ d\theta^+ \ d\theta^-
$$

$$
= : S_{f_{1,0}}(r, s)
$$

is again a spherical mean value with respect to the new function $f_{1,0} \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^{\text{codim } F})$ given by

$$
f_{1,0}(w) := \int_0^1 [\Delta_w f](t_1 w^+, w^-) t_1^{n_F^- - 1} \ dt_1.
$$

A similar formula holds for the operator $s^{-1} \partial_s$, so that iterating we obtain for arbitrary $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the relation

$$
\left[ \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^j \left( \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^k S_f \right] (r, s) = S_{f_{j,k}}(r, s),
$$

where $f_{j,k} \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^{\text{codim } F})$ is given by

$$
f_{j,k}(w) := \int_{(0,1)^j} \int_{(0,1)^k} [\Delta_w^j \Delta_w^k f](t_1 \cdots t_j w^+, \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k w^-)
$$

$$
\cdot t_1^{n_F^+ + 2j - 3} \cdots t_j^{n_F^+ + 2j - 5} \cdots t_j^{n_F^+ - 1} \sigma_1^{n_F^+ + 2k - 3} \cdots \sigma_k^{n_F^+ + 2k - 5} \ dt \ d\sigma.
$$
If \( j, k \geq 1 \), the substitutions \( t_j \mapsto t_j^{-1} \) transform \( f_{j,k}(w) \) into

\[
\int_{(0,1)^j} \int_{(0,1)^k} \left[ \Delta^j_{w^+} \Delta^k_{w^-} f \right] (t_j w^+, \sigma_k w^-) t_1^{-1} \cdots t_{j-1}^{-1} n_1^r t_2^{2j-3} n_2^r + 2j-5 \cdots (t_1^{-1} \cdots t_{j-1}^{-1} t_j)^{n_j^r - 1} dt \cdot ds.
\]

where we took into account that

\[
\int_{(0,1)} \int_{(0,1)} \left[ \Delta^j_{w^+} \Delta^k_{w^-} f \right] (t_j w^+, \sigma_k w^-) t_1^{2j-3} t_2^{2j-5} \cdots t_{j-1} t_j^{n_j^r - 1} dt \cdot ds = \frac{1}{2^{j+k-2}(j-1)!(k-1)!} \int_{(0,1)} \int_{(0,1)} \left[ \Delta^j_{w^+} \Delta^k_{w^-} f \right] (t_j w^+, t_j w^-) t_1^{n_1^r - 1} t_2^{n_2^r - 1} dt \cdot ds.
\]

The assertion now follows in this case with (3.33). The intermediate cases \( j \geq 1, k = 0 \) and \( j = 0, k \geq 1 \) are treated analogously. \( \square \)

As a next step, we need certain formulae for the derivatives of smooth even functions. For this, we introduce the notation

\[
\delta_r := \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr}.
\]

Lemma 3.8. (1) Let \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) be an even function. Then \( \delta_r f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) is even and the \( j \)-th derivative of \( f \) can be expressed as

\[
(3.35) \quad f^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^{j} p_{ij} \cdot \delta_r^i f
\]

with \( p_{ij}(r) = c_{ij} r^{2j-i} \) and \( c_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \).

(2) Let \( g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \) be such that \( g = g(r, s) \) is even in both variables \( r \) and \( s \). Then \( \delta_r^j \delta_s^k g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \) is even. Furthermore, the \( j \)-th derivative of \( g \) with respect to \( \partial_r = \partial_r + \partial_s \) can be expressed as

\[
(\partial_r^j g)(t, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} p_{ij}(t) \left[ (\delta_r + \delta_s)^i g \right](t, t)
\]

where \( p_{ij} \) is above.

Proof. Let us first prove (1). Since \( f \) is even, \( f' \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) is odd, so that \( f'(0) = 0 \). Moreover,

\[
f'(r) = \int_0^r f''(s) ds = r \int_0^1 f''(rs) ds.
\]

Consequently, \( \frac{1}{2} \cdot f'(r) = \int_0^1 f''(rs) ds \), yielding \( \delta_r f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \). Since \( \delta_r f \) is even, we inductively obtain \( \delta_r^j f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \). Next, we prove (3.35) by induction on \( j \). Clearly,

\[
f'(r) = r \cdot (\delta_r f)(r).
\]
Suppose now that (4.35) is true for some \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( j \) is even,
\[
f^{(j+1)}(r) = \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}^{j} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ c_{ij} r^{2i-j} (\delta_i f)(r) \right]
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}^{j} \left[ c_{ij} (2i-j) r^{2i-j-1} (\delta_i^0 f)(r) \right] + \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}^{j} \left[ c_{ij} r^{2(i+1)-j-1} (\delta_i^1 f)(r) \right]
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}^{j+1} c_{ij} (j+1) r^{2i-j-1} (\delta_i^0 f)(r)
\]
since \( c_{ij} (2i-j) = 0 \) for \( i = j/2 \). If \( j \) is odd,
\[
f^{(j+1)}(r) = \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor}^{j} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ c_{ij} r^{2i-j} (\delta_i f)(r) \right]
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor}^{j} \left[ c_{ij} (2i-j) r^{2i-j-1} (\delta_i^0 f)(r) \right] + \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}^{j} \left[ c_{ij} r^{2(i+1)-j-1} (\delta_i^1 f)(r) \right]
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor}^{j+1} c_{ij} (j+1) r^{2i-j-1} (\delta_i^0 f)(r)
\]
since \( \lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor \), and (1) follows. To see (2), note that the first claim follows directly from (1).

To prove the formulae for the derivatives, note that for \( f(t) := g(t, t) \) we have \( f^{(j)}(t) = (\partial_i^j g)(t, t) \).

Moreover, \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) is an even function, and applying (1) to \( f \) we obtain
\[
(\partial_i^j g)(t, t) = f^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}^{j} p_{ij}(t) \delta_i^0 f(t) = \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}^{j} p_{ij}(t) \left[ (\delta_i + \delta_s)^i \right] g(t, t).
\]

As a consequence, we now deduce

**Lemma 3.9.** The integrals
\[
(3.36) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^R \frac{t^{2j+k} S_{\Sigma}(t, t)}{t} \frac{dt}{t}
\]
occuring in the expressions for the \( B_j \)-coefficients in Lemma 3.4 can be interpreted geometrically as integrals over \( \Sigma_{Q_P} \) with respect to the Liouville measure \( \mu_{Q_P} \).

**Proof.** Let \( f = f(t) \in C^\infty([0, \infty)) \) be an even function with compact support. By partial integration we have the identity
\[
(3.37) \quad \int_0^\infty r^k f(r) \ dr = -\frac{1}{k+1} \int_0^\infty t^{k+2} \delta_2 f(r) \ dr,
\]
provided \( k \geq 0 \). Furthermore, with Lemma 3.8 one computes
\[
(\partial_s^k S_{\Sigma})(r, s) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} (\partial_i^0 \partial_s^{k-i} S_{\Sigma})(r, s)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{\mu=\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\nu=\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} c_{\mu, i} c_{\mu, k-i} r^{2\mu-i} s^{2\nu+k+i+1} \delta_2^\mu \delta_s^\nu S_{\Sigma}(r, s).
\]
Now, note that in Lemma 3.4 we either have
\[ n_F^0 - 2j > 0 \] and \( 0 \leq k \leq j \), or
\[ n_F^0 / 2 \leq j < n_F^0 \] and \( 2j - n_F^0 + 1 \leq k \leq j \).
In both cases,
\[ n_F^0 - 2j + 2(\mu + \nu) \geq n_F^0 - 2j + 2 \min_{0 \leq i \leq k} \left( \left\lceil i/2 \right\rceil + \left\lceil (k-i)/2 \right\rceil \right) \geq n_F^0 - 2j + k > 0, \]
as well as \( j - (\mu + \nu) \geq j - k \geq 0 \). With \( \text{(3.38)} \) we therefore obtain
\[
\int_0^\infty t^{n_F^0 - 2j + k} \left( \partial_x^k S_F \right)(t, t) \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_{i, \mu, \nu} c_{i, \mu, \nu, k} \int_0^\infty t^{n_F^0 - 2j + k} \left( \delta_x^\mu \delta_s^\nu S_F \right)(t, t) \frac{dt}{t}
\] for suitable constants \( c_{i, \mu, \nu, k} \subset \mathbb{Z} \). Lemma \( \text{(3.37)} \) then implies that all summands above can be interpreted geometrically.

Similarly, one shows

**Lemma 3.10.** The integrals
\[
\int_0^\infty T_x^k(t) \, dt
\]
occuring in the expressions for the \( B_j \)-coefficients in Lemma \( \text{(3.4)} \) can be interpreted geometrically as integrals over \( \Sigma_{Q_F} \) with respect to the Liouville measure \( \mu_{Q_F} \).

**Proof.** By \( \text{(3.21)} \), the relevant integrals to be considered are
\[
\int_0^\infty \left[ \left( \partial_x^{L-k} \partial_s^k - \partial_x^k \partial_s^{L-k} \right) S_F \right](t, t) \frac{dt}{t},
\]
where \( L := 2j - n_F^0 \), and either
\( (I) \ 0 \leq k \leq 2j - n_F^0 \) if \( n_F^0 / 2 \leq j < n_F^0 \), or
\( (II) \ j - n_F^0 \leq k \leq j \) if \( n_F^0 \leq j \).

Assume as we may that \( L - k \leq k \). The arguments in \( \text{(3.30)} - \text{(3.31)} \) imply that
\[
\partial_x^{L-k} \partial_s^k - \partial_s^k \partial_x^{L-k} = (\partial_+ \partial_-)^{L-k} (\partial_x^{2\mu} - \partial_s^{2\mu}) = \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{l+l=1(2)} c_l \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta^{L-l}
\]
with \( 2\mu := 2k - L \) even, and with Lemma \( \text{(3.8)} \) \( (1) \) we obtain
\[
\left[ \left( \partial_+^{L-k} \partial_s^k - \partial_s^k \partial_+^{L-k} \right) S_F \right](t, t) = \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{l+l=1(2)} \sum_{\alpha=\left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{\beta=\left\lfloor \frac{L-l}{2} \right\rfloor} c_{l, \alpha, \beta} t^{2(\alpha+\beta)-L} (\delta_x^\alpha \delta_s^\beta S_F)(t, t).
\]
Note that the lowest exponent of \( t \) in the sum above is given by \( 2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{L-l}{2} \right\rfloor \right) - \alpha - \beta = L - 2 \) since \( w \) is odd and \( L \) is even. In this way we see that \( \text{(3.38)} \) is given by a linear combination of integrals of the form
\[
\int_0^\infty t^{2(\alpha+\beta)-L-1} (\delta_x^\alpha \delta_s^\beta S_F)(t, t) \, dt, \quad 2(\alpha + \beta) - L - 1 \geq 1.
\]
To proceed, we need a more refined description of the integrals that can occur in \( \text{(3.39)} \). For this notice that, when applied to functions which are even in \( x \) and \( s \),
\[
\partial_x^{2\mu} - \partial_s^{2\mu} = \sum_{\nu=q}^{2\mu} \sum_{\nu=1(2)} c_{\nu} \partial_x^\nu \partial_s^{2\mu-\nu} = \sum_{\nu=q}^{2\mu} \sum_{\nu=1(2)} c_{\nu} \partial_x^\nu \partial_s^{2\mu-\nu},
\]
with \( 2\mu := 2j - L \) even.
by Lemma 3.8 (1). In both cases (I) and (II), the degree of the monomial $r^{2u-v}s^{2v-2u+v}$ is even and at most $2\mu \leq n_F^0$. Similarly one computes with Leibniz’ rule

\[
\partial^M_+ \partial^N_- = \partial^M_+ \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \partial^n_+ \partial^{N-n}_- = \partial^M_+ \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{v=\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor}^{N-n} c_{\mu \nu \eta \rho} r^{2u-n}s^{2v'-2u+n} \delta^m_\mu \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s,
\]

where $\partial^M_+ = \partial^M_+(r^{2u-n}s^{2v'-2u+n})$ is a sum of monomials of degree $0, \ldots, N - M + m$ and parity equal to the parity of $N - M + m$. If we now apply $(\partial_+ \partial_-)^{L-k}$ to (3.40) we are reduced to the study of

\[
(\partial_+ \partial_-)^{L-k} \left( r^{2u-v}s^{2v-2u+v} \delta^m_\mu \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s \right) = \sum_{N=0}^{L-k} \sum_{M=0}^{L-k} c_{NM} \partial^L_{-k-M} \partial^L_{-k-N} (r^{2u-v}s^{2v-2u+v}) \partial^N_+ \partial^M_+ \partial^N_\mu \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s.
\]

Here $\partial^L_{-k-M} \partial^L_{-k-N} (r^{2u-v}s^{2v-2u+v})$ is a sum of monomials of degree $0, \ldots, 2\mu - 2(L - k) + M + N$ and parity equal to the parity of $N - M$. Evaluating $\partial^L_{-k-M} \partial^N_\mu \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s$ with the aid of (3.41), which we are entitled to do since it will be applied to $S_F$, an even function in $r$ and $s$, we see that $\partial^L_{-k-M} \partial^N_\mu \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s$ is given by a linear combination of terms of the form

\[
P_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}(r, s) \partial^m_+ \partial^\nu_\eta \partial^\rho_\delta_s S_F,
\]

where $P_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}(r, s)$ is a sum of monomials of degree less or equal $n_F^0$ and parity equal to the parity of $m$. In view of Lemma 3.8 (2) we conclude that $\left( [\partial^L_{-k-M} \partial^N_\mu \partial^\nu_\eta \partial^\rho_\delta_s S_F] (t, t) \right)$ is given by a linear combination of terms of the form

\[
P_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}(t, t) \left[ \partial^m_+ \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F \right] (t, t) = P_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}(t, t) \sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{im} t^{2i-m} \left[ (\delta_r + \delta_s)^i \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F \right] (t, t),
\]

where, again, we took into account that $\delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F$ is an even function in $r$ and $s$. Thus, (3.38) is given by a linear combination of integrals of the form

\[
\int_0^\infty t^{p+2i-m-1} \left[ (\delta_r + \delta_s)^i \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F \right] (t, t) dt, \quad i = \left\lfloor m/2 \right\rfloor, \ldots, m, \quad p = 0, \ldots, n_F^0,
\]

with $p - m$ even. Moreover, taking into account (3.39) we must have $p + 2i - m - 1 \geq 1$. Integrating partially with respect to $\delta_t$ the latter integrals can be brought into the form of those in Lemma 3.7. In fact, if $D := n_F^0 - p - 2i + m > 0$,

\[
\int_0^\infty t^{p+2i-m-1} \left[ (\delta_r + \delta_s)^i \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F \right] (t, t) dt = C \int_0^\infty t^{p+2i-m-1+D/2} \delta^D_\delta S_F \left[ [\delta_r + \delta_s]^i \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F \right] (t, t) dt,
\]

for a certain constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, if $D < 0$,

\[
\int_0^\infty t^{p+2i-m-1} \left[ (\delta_r + \delta_s)^i \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F \right] (t, t) dt = \int_0^\infty t^{p+2i-m-1} \delta^D_\delta \left[ [\delta_r + \delta_s]^i \delta^\nu_\eta \delta^\rho_\delta_s S_F \right] (t, t) dt
\]

for some $C' \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the case $L - k > k$ can be treated alike, the assertion follows with Lemma 3.7. \qed
To conclude our analysis in the indefinite case, let us proceed to a closer description of the $q_j$-coefficients that will be convenient later, and note that

\[ q_j(\beta_F) = \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{k=0}^{[j/2]-1} A_{j,k} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \right)^k \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^{j-2k} \beta_F(0,0) \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{[j/2]-1} A_{j,k} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \right)^k \sum_{0 \leq m \leq j-2k \atop m \text{ odd}} (j-2k) \left( \frac{\partial^{j-2k-m}}{\partial \tau^{j-2k-m} \partial s^m} \right) \beta_F(0,0), \]

where taking derivatives at $r = s = 0$ amounts to localizing at the fixed point $F$. Expanding the $k$-th power and performing some substitutions in the sums, one finds

\[ q_{2j}(\beta_F) = \frac{j}{2} \sum_{N=1}^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-1)^k C_{j,k,N} \frac{\partial^{2(j-N)+1}}{\partial r^{2(j-N)+1} \partial s^{2N-1}} \beta_F(0,0), \]
\[ q_{2j}(\beta_F) = \frac{j}{2} \sum_{N=1}^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} C_{j,k,N} \frac{\partial^{2(j-N)+1}}{\partial r^{2(j-N)+1} \partial s^{2N-1}} \beta_F(0,0), \]

where

\[ C_{j,k,N} = -2^{1-2j} A_{2j,k} \sum_{m=\max(0,k-N+1)}^{\min(k,j-N)} (-1)^m \binom{k}{m} \frac{2(j-k)}{2(m+N-k-1)}. \]

Finally, computing the constants $A_{j,k}$ according to [2] p. 496, one finds that the first three values read

\[ A_{2,0} = \frac{1}{23}, \quad A_{4,0} = \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^6}, \quad A_{4,1} = \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^3}. \]

3.3.2. The contributions of the definite charts. It remains to study the less difficult case of a chart $U_F$ in which $Q_F$ is definite, so that either $n_F^+ = \text{codim} F$ or $n_F^- = \text{codim} F$. Again, a complete asymptotic expansions for integrals of the type $I^*_F(\varepsilon)$ can be derived by generalizing work of Brummehluis, Paul, and Uribe [2] Section 3. In fact, for $|\xi_F| \ll 1$ and $n_F^- = 0$ one has the asymptotic expansion

\[ I^*_F(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^{\text{codim} F+j} \frac{c_F^{(2j)}(0)}{2(2j)!} \Xi_{\text{codim} F+j-1}(\xi_F/\varepsilon) \]

and for $n_F^+ = 0$

\[ I^*_F(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^{\text{codim} F+j} \frac{\tilde{c}_F^{(2j)}(0)}{2(2j)!} \Xi_{\text{codim} F+j-1}(\xi_F/\varepsilon), \]

where $\Xi_j(t)$ and $\tilde{\Xi}_j(t)$ are as in (3.18). Clearly, the coefficients in these expansions are given in terms of derivatives of the $\delta_0$-distribution in $\mathbb{R}^{\text{codim} F}$. Thus, we obtain

**Proposition 3.11.** Let $|\xi_F| \ll 1$, and assume that $Q_F$ is definite. Then, there is an asymptotic expansion

\[ I^{\xi}_F(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^{1+j} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{j+l}(\xi_F/\varepsilon)(2\xi_F)^l, \]

where the coefficients $A_{j+l}(t)$ can be computed explicitly. \qed
3.4. The asymptotic expansion. The analysis carried out in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 yields a complete description of the asymptotic behavior of the generalized Witten integral $I_\delta^c(\varepsilon)$ defined in (2.11) simultaneously in $\zeta \in T^*$ and $\varepsilon \ll 1$, together with a geometric description of the coefficients in the local charts. In what follows, we shall give global expressions for them in terms of the stratification (2.4)

$$\mathcal{M}^\zeta = \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta \sqcup \mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta,$$

of the reduced spaces by infinitesimal orbit types. Let $\omega_N$ be the unique symplectic form on $\mathcal{M}^\zeta_N$ characterized by the condition $i^*\omega = \pi^*\omega_N$, where $i: J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \cap M_{(h_n)} \to M$ is the inclusion and $\pi: J^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \cap M_{(h_n)} \to \mathcal{M}^\zeta_N$ is the canonical projection. Also, recall the partition of unity $\{\chi_{\text{top}}, \chi_F\}_{F \in F}$ subordinated to the cover (3.3) of $M$.

**Theorem 3.12 (Asymptotic expansion of the generalized Witten integral).** For sufficiently small $|\zeta|$ one has

$$I_\delta^c(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{1+j} \left[ \sum_{F \in F, \mathcal{J}(F) \neq 0} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F) d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta \right] + \sum_{F \in F, \mathcal{J}(F) = 0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \zeta^l \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} \mathcal{R}_{j,l}(a\chi_F) d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta} \mathcal{S}_{j,l}(a\chi_F, \zeta/\varepsilon) d\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta \right),$$

where all coefficients are given explicitly, $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F) d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta$ is smooth in $\zeta$, $\mathcal{S}_{j,l}(a\chi_F, \nu)$ is smooth in $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, and the limits $\lim_{\nu \to \pm \infty} \mathcal{S}_{j,l}(a\chi_F, \nu)$ exist. Here $d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta$ and $d\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta$ are the symplectic measures given defined by the reduced symplectic forms $\omega_N$ on the corresponding strata.

**Proof.** With respect to the atlas $\{(U_F, \Phi_F)\}$ Propositions 3.3, 3.2 and 3.11 yield

$$I_\delta^c(\varepsilon) \sim 2\pi \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{F \in F, \mathcal{J}(F) \neq 0} \varepsilon^{1+j} Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F) + \sum_{F \in F, \mathcal{J}(F) = 0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^{1+j} \left[ \Theta_{F,j+l}(\zeta/\varepsilon)(2\zeta)^l \right] \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^{1+j} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{F,j+l}(\zeta/\varepsilon)(2\zeta)^l \right],$$

where the coefficients $\Theta_{F,j+l}(\zeta/\varepsilon)$ were defined in (3.20), the coefficients $Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F)$ are given by those in Proposition 3.2 via the equality

$$Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F) = 2\pi 2^j \frac{(-i \text{sign } \mathcal{J}(F))^{j} \sigma^{(j)}(0)}{j!} Q_{F,a}^{j}(\zeta),$$

and the coefficients $\Delta_{F,j+l}(\zeta/\varepsilon)$ come from Proposition 3.11. The measures occurring in these coefficients transform with respect to the local charts into symplectic measures on the corresponding strata, so that the coefficients $Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F)$ give rise to the contributions $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} Q_j(\psi^\zeta, a\chi_F) d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta$, the coefficients $B_j(\beta_F)$ to the contributions $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta} \mathcal{R}_{j,l}(a\chi_F) d\mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}^\zeta$, while the coefficients $Q_{F,j}(\beta_F)$, together with the coefficients $B_j(\beta_F)$ and $\Delta_{F,j+l}(\zeta/\varepsilon)$ result in the contributions $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta} \mathcal{S}_{j,l}(a\chi_F, \zeta/\varepsilon) d\mathcal{M}_{\text{sing}}^\zeta$. In the latter, $\zeta/\varepsilon$ appears only as a bound of integration in integrals of compactly supported functions which are independent of $\zeta$ and $\varepsilon$, so that these integrals are smooth in $\zeta/\varepsilon$ and the claimed limits exist.
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