

The Minimal Abstract Robust Subdifferential

M.D. Voisei

Abstract

This paper introduces new subdifferential concepts together with their main properties and place with respect to other subdifferential notions.

1 The minimality of the core subdifferential

Let (X, s) be a real metrizable topological vector space with topological dual space X^* and duality product $\langle x, x^* \rangle := x^*(x)$, $x \in X$, $x^* \in X^*$. Let d be a (non necessarily translation-invariant) metric on X compatible with s , that is, d generates the linear topology s of X . Denote by $B_d(x; r) := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq r\}$ the closed ball centered at x with radius $r \geq 0$.

In the sequel a set-valued operator is denoted by $T : A \rightrightarrows B$, $T(a) \subset B$, $a \in A$ while $\text{Graph}(T) := \{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid b \in T(a)\}$ stands for its graph.

Definition 1 *The core subdifferential, $\partial : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is denoted by $\partial(f, x_0) =: \partial f(x_0)$, for $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X := \{g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}$, $x_0 \in X$.*

By a *subdifferential* ∂ we understand any $\partial : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$.

The convergence of a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in (X, s) is denoted by $x_n \rightarrow^s x$. Similar notations are made for net or sequence convergences in X or X^* endowed with various topologies.

Let μ^* be a linear topology on X^* and let $\partial : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a subdifferential. For $x \in X$, consider the class of functions $S_{\mu^*}(\partial, x) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ given by: $L \in S_{\mu^*}(\partial, x)$ if there exist M a μ^* -bounded subset of X^* and V a neighborhood of x such that L is lower semicontinuous on V (l.s.c. near x for short) and for every $y \in V$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $x^* \in X^*$

$$\partial(L + x^* + \lambda d(\cdot, y))(y) \subset \partial L(y) + x^* + \lambda M. \quad (1)$$

Theorem 2 *Let (X, s) be a metrizable topological vector space and let d be a compatible metric on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let μ^* be a linear topology on X^* and let $\partial : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a subdifferential with the property:*

[M] *For every $L : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, if x_0 is a local minimum of L and $L(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ then $0 \in \partial L(x_0)$.*

Then for every $x \in X$, $L \in S_{\mu^}(\partial, x)$,*

$$\partial L(x) \subset s \times \mu^* - \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \partial L(y).$$

Here $x^ \in s \times \mu^* - \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \partial L(y)$ if there exists a sequence $\{(y_n, y_n^*)\}_n \subset \text{Graph}(\partial L)$ such that $y_n \rightarrow^s x$ in X , $y_n^* \rightarrow^{\mu^*} x^*$ in X^* , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(y_n) = L(x)$.*

Remark 3 Most of the time the subdifferential $\partial : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ satisfies a sum rule of the form

$$\partial(L + x^* + \lambda d(\cdot, y))(y) \subset \partial L(y) + x^* + \lambda \partial d(\cdot, y)(y), \quad (2)$$

together with $\partial d(\cdot, y)(y)$ is μ^* -bounded, for some linear topology μ^* of X^* .

For example when $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space and $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|$, $x, y \in X$ then $\partial d(\cdot, y)(y) = \partial d(\cdot, 0)(0) = B_{X^*}(0; 1) := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$ is strongly bounded whenever ∂ coincides with the Fenchel subdifferential on the class of convex continuous functions.

Recall that for $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ a Banach space we call an *abstract subdifferential* or *quasi presubdifferential* on $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ (see [1, p. 128] or [2, p. 178]) a multifunction $\partial : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ with the property:

[P] If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex continuous, $x \in X$ are such that $f(x)$ is finite and x is a local minimum of $f + g$ then

$$0 \in \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \partial f(y) + \mathfrak{D}g(x). \quad (3)$$

Here “ \mathfrak{D} ” stands for the Fenchel (convex) subdifferential, s is the strong topology of X , and $\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \partial f(y)$ is the sequential $s \times w^*$ -limit superior defined in Theorem 2.

In a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ we use the simplified notation $B(x_0; r) := \{x \in X \mid \|x - x_0\| \leq r\}$, $r > 0$ and “ \rightarrow ” for the strong convergences in X or X^* .

Theorem 4 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and let $\partial : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be an abstract subdifferential on \mathcal{F} . Then for every $x \in X$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}$ that is l.s.c. near x ,

$$\mathfrak{D}L(x) \subset \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \partial L(y). \quad (4)$$

2 Definition, properties, and a sum rule

Theorem 5 Let (X, s) be a metrizable topological vector space and let d be a compatible metric on X . Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

(a) For every $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{D}f(x) \subset \mathfrak{D}f(x)$. If, in addition, f is convex then, for every $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{D}f(x) = \mathfrak{D}f(x)$.

(b) If x is a local minimum of f then $0 \in \mathfrak{D}f(x)$.

(c) If $\mathfrak{D}f(x) \neq \emptyset$ then f is l.s.c. at x .

(d) If $g = f$ near x then $\mathfrak{D}g(x) = \mathfrak{D}f(x)$.

If, in addition, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space then

(e) For every $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{D}f(x)$ is closed.

(f) If $g(x) = f(x + x_0)$, $x \in X$ then $\mathfrak{D}g(0) = \mathfrak{D}f(x_0)$.

(g) For every $x_0^* \in X^*$, $\lambda > 0$, $\mathfrak{D}(\lambda f + x_0^*)(x_0) = \lambda \mathfrak{D}f(x_0) + x_0^*$.

Remark 6 The core subdifferential can differ from the Fenchel subdifferential. Take $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ a normed space and $S := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| = 1\} \cup \{0\}$. Then $\Theta_{\iota_S}(x) = \{0\}$ while $\partial\iota_S(x) = X^*$. Indeed, $x_0 = 0$ is a global minimum point of ι_S so $0 \in \Theta_{\iota_S}(0)$; whence

$$\Theta_{\iota_S}(0) = \Theta \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{co} \iota_S(0) = \Theta_{\iota_{B(0;1)}}(0) = \{0\};$$

$$\partial\iota_S(0) = X^* \neq \partial \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{co} \iota_S(0) = \partial\iota_{B(0;1)}(0) = \Theta_{\iota_{B(0;1)}}(0) = \{0\}.$$

Remark 7 Note first that

$$\partial(-\|\cdot\|)(0) = \emptyset. \quad (5)$$

Relation (5) shows that a sum rule of the form

$$\partial(f+g)(x) \subset \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$$

for every g convex continuous and f Lipschitz continuous, cannot hold because it does not hold for $f = -\|\cdot\|$, $g = \|\cdot\|$, $x = 0$.

Similarly, a rule of the form

$$0 \in \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$$

whenever x is a local minimum point of $f+g$, f is Lipschitz continuous and g convex continuous, does not hold under the same counterexample.

Proposition 8 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $x_0 \in X$, $g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

- (a) If x_0 is a local maximum point of g then $\partial g(x_0) \subset \{0\}$.
- (b) If g is Gateaux differentiable at x_0 then $\partial g(x_0) \subset \{\nabla g(x_0)\}$. Here $\nabla g(x_0)$ denotes the Gateaux derivative of g at x_0 .
- (c) If g is Fréchet differentiable at x_0 then $\partial g(x_0) = \{\nabla g(x_0)\}$. Here $\nabla g(x_0)$ denotes the Fréchet derivative of g at x_0 .
- (d) If g is proper convex and $\partial(-g)(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ then g is Fréchet differentiable at x_0 and $\partial g(x_0) = -\partial(-g)(x_0) = \{\nabla g(x_0)\}$.

Lemma 9 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. If $x_0 \in X$ is a local minimum point of $f+g$ and $f(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\partial(-g)(x_0) \subset \partial f(x_0)$.

Theorem 10 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $f, g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. If $x_0 \in X$ is a local minimum point of $f+g$, g is proper convex, and $\partial(-g)(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ then $0 \in \partial f(x_0) + \partial g(x_0)$.

References

- [1] L. Thibault. A note on the Zagrodny mean value theorem. *Optimization*, 35(2):127–130, 1995.
- [2] C. Zălinescu. *Convex analysis in general vector spaces*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.