arXiv:1910.07085v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 31 Jul 2020

Magnetocaloric effect in molecular spin clusters and their assemblies: Exact and Monte Carlo studies using exact cluster eigenstates

Sumit Haldar[1,*] and S. Ramasesha[1,†]

[1]*Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru - 560012, India.*

Abstract

Frustrated magnetic molecules are promising alternatives to refrigerant materials for low temperature magnetic refrigeration. We investigate the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) in un-frustrated and frustrated spin clusters formed from spin chains of six sites, with site spins $s = 1$, 3/2 and 2 possessing site diagonal anisotropies and anisotropic exchange interactions, using exact diagonalization method. We also study MCE in spin clusters, on a chain, a 2-D square lattice and a 3-D cubic lattice with spin-dipolar interactions by a Monte Carlo method in spin-1 systems which uses exact eigenstates of a cluster. The magnetocaloric effect is closely related to the magnetic Grüneisen parameter Γ_H. In this paper, we compute the magnetic Grüneisen parameter Γ_H, and study its dependence on exchange anisotropy and spin-dipolar interaction. With increase of exchange anisotropy, the maxima in Γ_H shifts to higher magnetic fields and becomes a sharp singularity. The singularities in Γ_H correlate with cusps in the entropy as a function of magnetic field strength, and with crossover in the magnetization in the ground state in isolated clusters. The first maximum in Γ_H shifts to lower fields as we increase spin-dipolar interaction. The first maximum in Γ_H also shifts to lower magnetic field strength as the magnitude of the site spin increases. We show the dependence of Γ_H on the dimensionality of the lattice for a fixed lattice constant.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetocaloric effect (MCE) has been extensively used in refrigeration by the adiabatic demagnetization (AD) method to obtain temperatures as low as a few micro Kelvin[1–4]. In the AD method, a magnetic field is applied isothermally to a magnetic material and the field is removed adiabatically resulting in the cooling of the magnetic substance. The magnetic materials used in AD are mostly paramagnetic inorganic salts or oxides of rare earth elements because of the large magnetic moment associated with them[5,6]. In recent years, there has been considerable interest in molecular magnets, in the quest for efficient MCE materials[7–15]. While MCE was discovered more than a century ago[16], the molecular magnets have a more recent history, of less than fifty years. Molecular magnets are usually polynuclear inorganic complexes of transition and/or rare earth metals[17–19]. The metal centers are magnetic and have exchange interactions among them. The resulting spin of the molecule is large and hence the magnetic entropy associated with these systems is also expected to be large. Thus, one would expect a large increase in magnetic entropy when the magnetizing field is switched off adiabatically. This should translate to a large MCE, since to compensate the increase in magnetic entropy, the lattice entropy will decrease, resulting in the cooling of the sample. Indeed there have been many studies on the well known single molecule magnets (SMMs) Mn_{12} and Fe_8[9,10,14,15], in which large MCE has been observed but the effect diminishes at liquid Helium temperatures due to thermal blocking of the magnetization. However, there is also recent report that says SMMs are not good magneto caloric materials[20]. There have been studies on MCE of one-dimensional antiferromagnets and high spin cycles[21,22].

The SMMs are characterized by frustrated exchange interactions between anisotropic magnetic centers[23]. There have been many theoretical studies in SMMs and single chain magnets (SCMs) and the role of on-site anisotropies and exchange anisotropies in determining the overall magnetic anisotropy of the SMMs and SCMs[24,25]. Frustration in the exchange interaction leads to degeneracy close to the ground state and thus large variations in the magnetic entropy when the material is demagnetized[26–29]. Indeed a large MCE in comparison with previous known values, has been found in a Fe_{14} molecular cluster which is characterized by large spin ground state, small magnetic anisotropy, and high density of states close to the ground state[30]. Later on, Zheng *et al.* synthesized a Wells-Dawson type $\{Ni_6Gd_6P_6\}$ cage[31]. From the magnetism data, they have reported that the system

of spins has alternating ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic nearest neighbour interaction and next-nearest neighbour ferromagnetic interaction present between $\{Ni_3\}$ triangle and weak interactions between lanthanide ions and Ni^{2+} ions. However, we have not seen any systematic theoretical study of the MCE in SMMs or SCMs in the literature. In this paper, we study MCE in a class of spin chains which are characterized by frustrated next nearest neighbor exchange interactions, on-site magnetic anisotropy and exchange anisotropy for nearest neighbor interactions. We employ the exact diagonalization method to study MCE in small spin chains of spin $s = 1$, $3/2$ and 2 with site diagonal anisotropy. We also study an assembly of these spin chains with spin-dipolar interactions using the Monte Carlo method. Specifically, we deal with two kinds of spin chains (i) alternating ferromagnetic (F) and antiferromagnetic (AF) exchange interactions between nearest neighbors and (ii) the above model with an additional next nearest neighbor ferromagnetic interactions. Experimentally, such systems have been realized in $Ni_6Gd_6P_6$, $(CH_3)CHNH_3CuCl_3$, $CuNb_2O_6$ and $M[(4,4^{'}-dimethylbipyridine)(N_3)_2]_n[M = Cu(II), Mn(II), Ni(II) and Fe(II)]$[31–35]. In the first model there is no frustration while in the second model frustration is built in due to the next nearest neighbor ferromagnetic interactions. In the next section we introduce the model spin Hamiltonians and discuss numerical method for obtaining the MCE coefficient characterized by the magnetic Grüneisen parameter Γ_H. In section 3 we discuss the dependence of Γ_H on frustration and magnetic anisotropy, from both exact diagonalization and Monte Carlo studies. In the last section we summarize our results.

II. MODEL HAMILTONIAN AND METHODOLOGY

The Hamiltonian of the two models we have studied are given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}_1} = -J_1\Bigg[\sum_{k=1}^{N/2}(\hat{s}^z_{2k-1}\hat{s}^z_{2k} - \hat{s}^z_{2k}\hat{s}^z_{2k+1}) + (1-\epsilon)\Bigg\{(\hat{s}^x_{2k-1}\hat{s}^x_{2k} - \hat{s}^x_{2k}\hat{s}^x_{2k+1}) + (\hat{s}^y_{2k-1}\hat{s}^y_{2k} - \hat{s}^y_{2k}\hat{s}^y_{2k+1})\Bigg\}\Bigg] - \sum_{k=1}^{N} g\mu_B\hat{s}^z_k h^z + d\sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{s}^{z^2}_k, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}_2} = \hat{\mathcal{H}_1} - J_2\sum_{k=1}^{N-2}\vec{s}_k\cdot\vec{s}_{k+2}, \quad (2)$$

where the summations imply chosen boundary conditions, h^z is the magnetic field along the z-axis. The nearest neighbor (nn) exchange is alternating ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic, with the same magnitude $|J_1|$, ϵ is the deviation of the nn exchange from isotropy and is taken to be the same for both ferro and antiferro magnetic exchanges and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ reduces to an Ising model for $\epsilon = 1$. The exchange interactions are shown schematically in Fig. 1. The site anisotropy of the spins is assumed to be axial with the magnitude d for all sites.




FIG. 1. Schematic diagrams of the exchange interactions between spins in the two models described by Hamiltonians $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2$ shown for an open chain of eight sites. The nearest neighbor exchange interactions are anisotropic and have the same magnitude of anisotropy irrespective of the nature (ferro/antiferro) of the exchange. Second neighbor interactions are always isotropic.

We have taken d to be negative so that the resulting spin cluster is magnetic and it also defines the easy axis of magnetization. The nnn interaction is taken to be ferromagnetic. The off-diagonal site anisotropy is usually very much smaller than d and plays an important role in studying the dynamics of magnetization relaxation.

Both $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2$ conserve the z-component of total spin and hence the Hamiltonian matrix is block diagonal in M_s. We solve for all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors in all the M_s sectors as we are interested in computing thermodynamic properties. The quantity we compute is the magnetic Grüneisen parameter Γ_H given by

$$\Gamma_H = \frac{1}{T}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial H}\right)_S = -\frac{1}{C_H}\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_H, \tag{3}$$

where the symbols have the usual meaning and the equality is obtained from Maxwell relation. A larger Γ_H implies higher cooling efficiency in the AD process. The specific heat, C_H and the derivative $(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T})_H$ can be obtained from the relations

$$C_H = \frac{(\langle E^2\rangle - \langle E\rangle^2)}{T^2}; \tag{4}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_H = \frac{(\langle ME\rangle - \langle M\rangle\langle E\rangle)}{T^2}. \tag{5}$$

Thus, computation of Γ_H is carried out from the full eigenvalue spectrum of the Hamiltonian for chain lengths $N = 6$ and 8 and site spins $s = 1$ and for chain length $N = 6$ for spins 3/2 and 2. The entropy of a single cluster is calculated explicitly from the partition function as

$$S = \frac{1}{TZ} \sum_n E_n e^{-E_n/T} + lnZ, \tag{6}$$

where Z is the partition function.

We have also carried out MCE calculations on an assembly of the spin clusters. We have assumed classical spin dipolar interaction between clusters, whose energy is given by

$$E_{ij}^{dip} = \frac{\vec{M}_i \cdot \vec{M}_j}{r_{ij}^3} - 3\frac{(\vec{M}_i \cdot \vec{r}_{ij})(\vec{M}_j \cdot \vec{r}_{ij})}{r_{ij}^5}. \tag{7}$$

In the Monte Carlo calculations, which uses the Metropolis algorithm, the states of the Markov chain comprises of all the eigenstates of all the clusters in the system, namely the set $\{i_k\}$ where i_k is the i^{th} state of the k^{th} molecule with z-component of the magnetization given by $M_{i,k}$. We neglect the x and y component of the magnetization in treating the dipolar interactions.

In the implementation of the MC algorithm, we choose a site k at random and choose a state $i^{'}$ to which we wish to make a transition from the initial state i. The energy difference ΔE for this change is given by

$$\Delta E = E_{i'} - E_i + \sum_l \left[\frac{(M_{i'k} - M_{i,k})M_{j,l}}{r_{k,l}^3} - 3\frac{(M_{i'k} - M_{i,k})M_{j,l}z_{k,l}^2}{r_{k,l}^5} \right] \tag{8}$$

If ΔE is -ve the state of the spin cluster at site k is changed from i to $i^{'}$ otherwise it is changed with a probability $\{exp(-\frac{\Delta E}{T})\}$. This is distinct from the single spin flip mechanism as $M_{i',k}$ does not necessarily differ from $M_{i,k}$ by unity. We found that restricting to single spin flip mechanism ($\Delta M = \pm 1$) does not yield the correct thermodynamic properties even after a very large number of MC steps, in the case of two and three spin clusters for which exact thermodynamic calculation can be carried out. Hence, we have used a general mechanism in which the state at site k can flip from any magnetization $M_{k,i}$ to any other magnetization $M_{k,i'}$. In Fig. 2, we have compared exact results for a three spin-clusters with Monte Carlo calculations using single and multiple spin flip algorithms. We find that the multiple spin flip algorithm reproduces exact results while single spin flip mechanism fails to converge to exact values. We have also estimated errors in the case of the chains by using the thermal averaged data for averages obtained at intervals of 1 million steps from 491 million to 500




FIG. 2. Dependence of magnetization (M_z) on temperature ($T/|J|$) for a system with three spin clusters of six sites with site spin $s = 1$ and on-site anisotropy $|d/J| = 0.1$ in the presence of magnetic field $g\mu_B H/|J| = 0.05$, computed by two different methods namely by the Monte Carlo method and exact diagonalization. In Fig. (a), Monte Carlo calculations are done using single flip mechanism and in Fig. (b) employs multiple spin flip mechanism.

million MC steps and fitting these averages using a linear least squares fit. The estimated error bars are shown in Fig. 7. All our simulations employ multiple spin flip algorithm and the number of MC steps for thermalization is 500 million and the thermal averaging is done over the next 500 million steps. In the case of a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ lattice, thermalization was carried out for 50 billion steps and averaging is done over further 50 billion steps to confirm the oscillations in the Grüneisen parameters. We have studied a chain, a 2-D square lattice and a 3-D simple cubic lattice with up to 125 spin clusters. In the case of the chain, the anisotropy d is along the chain axis, which is the z-axis. In the 2-D and 3-D cases the anisotropy is along one of the unit cell axes.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have carried out studies on the two models for different site spins, for single cluster as well as for 1, 2 and 3-D assemblies, each involving about a hundred spin clusters. We have computed the magnetic Grüneisen parameter for single cluster as well as for the cluster assemblies.




FIG. 3. Variation of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$ for different values of exchange anisotropy ϵ, in the presence of on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ for spin chains with site spins $s = 1$, 3/2, and 2 in chains of six sites. Inset in the top panel is for low magnetic field strengths.

A. Non-frustrated Spin Chains (Model I)

The exact magnetic Grüneisen parameter Γ_H is shown in Fig. 3 for clusters of six spins with site spins $s = 1$, $s = 3/2$ and 2. We note that Γ_H peaks in the low field limit in all the cases. The peak is higher for larger exchange anisotropy. These features are consistent with the fact that the system is magnetic in the ground state and the magnetization of the system increases with anisotropy in the exchange constant J_1 and the site spins. What is interesting is the behaviour of Γ_H in the higher field regime. For isotropic exchange, there are oscillations in Γ_H at higher fields. As the exchange anisotropy is increased all these systems give rise to a single sharp minima followed by a maxima, arising from a level crossing. This is true for all site spins, although the magnetic field at which this strong criticality is observed increases with the value of the site spin.

To understand this behaviour, we have examined the evolution of the low-lying energy levels as a function of the magnetic field. We note that for isotropic exchange, there are several energy level crossings of states with different magnetization, M_s (Fig. 4). We find broad oscillations (for small exchange anisotropy) or sharp singularities (for large exchange anisotropies) at magnetic fields at which the ground state M_s value changes. For low-anisotropy, the crossover in the ground states M_s value occurs between $M_s = 2$ and $M_s = 3$, with $M_s = 4$ closely following the crossover from $M_s = 3$. The crossover in the ground state M_s is from $M_s = 2$ to $M_s = 6$ and there are no other crossovers in M_s after this. These energy level crossovers also manifest as cusps in the magnetic entropy vs magnetic field (Fig. 5) for all the different site spins. The sharp cusp in the magnetic entropy when the ground state M_s value changes manifests as sharp singularities in the magnetic Grüneisen constants.

We have also studied the behaviour of the magnetic Grüneisen parameters, in an assembly of spin clusters with inter-chain spin dipolar interactions. We have carried out these studies on a chain of 100 spin clusters, a 10×10 square lattice and a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ simple cubic lattice with 125 spin clusters. The nearest neighbor spin dipolar interaction energy E_{12}^d are chosen to be $1.2J_1$, $2.4J_1$ and $6J_1$ for a fully polarized spin 6 cluster (M=6) with magnetization oriented along chain axis for a pair of nearest neighbor clusters. This in turn scales with the nearest neighbor distance. The lattice constant of the system in all dimensions are taken to be unity. The Monte Carlo calculations have been carried out only on the spin-1 systems.

We obtained the Grüneisen parameter Γ_H for systems of $N = 2$, 3, 10 and 100 clusters




FIG. 4. Field dependence of few low lying states above the ground state for the exchange anisotropies $\epsilon = 0$, 0.1 and 0.4 at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$, in the presence of on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ for spin chains of six sites with site spin $s = 1$. Solid and broken lines are for different $\pm M_s$ values which are color coded as shown in the side bar.

for dipolar interactions strength E^d_{12}=1.2J_1, 2.4J_1 and 6J_1 and nearest neighbor exchange anisotropies $\epsilon = 0.3$ and 0. The system sizes $N = 2$ and 3 were chosen also as a test




FIG. 5. Variation of magnetic entropy, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) at temperature $k_B T/|J_1| = 0.1$ for different exchange anisotropy values ϵ, with on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$, for spin chains of six sites with site spins $s = 1$, $3/2$, and 2.

of the Monte Carlo algorithm, as for these sizes, exact calculations are feasible. In small system Fig. 6 with $N = 2$ and 3 singularities in Γ_H shifts to lower fields as the strength of dipolar interactions increases. For systems sizes with $N = 10$ and 100 Fig. 7 the low field




FIG. 6. Variation of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) for two small spin clusters $N = 2$ and 3 on a chain with different values of spin-dipolar interactions $E_{12}^d = 1.2J_1$, $2.4J_1$ and $6J_1$ for exchange anisotropies $\epsilon = 0$ and 0.3 and on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ for spin cluster with site spin $s = 1$ at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$.

singularity of Γ_H nearly vanishes for large anisotropy and strong dipolar interaction. In the isotropic model as in the isolated cluster case there are several broad singularities of Γ_H which become sharper with increasing anisotropy parameter ϵ. However when the dipolar interaction becomes strong then these singularities are suppressed considerably in all cases.

In 2-d and 3-d systems (Fig. 8), increase in dipolar interaction strength in the isotropic model shifts singularities in Γ_H to lower fields and in the strong anisotropic case ($\epsilon = 0.3$), strong dipolar interaction suppresses the magnetocaloric effect almost completely, at low field. However we see small Γ_H at low fields and sharp singularity at intermediate fields in both the cases for weak dipolar interactions.

In Fig. 9 we show the dependence of Γ_H on dimensionality of the lattice for a fixed lattice constant corresponding to $E_{12}^d = 1.2J_1$, for different anisotropies. In a chain, as the anisotropy of the exchange interaction increases, the singularity in Γ_H shifts to higher fields and also becomes sharper. Besides, for the isotropic system there are two broad oscillations.




FIG. 7. Variation of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) for two larger spin clusters with $N = 100$ and 10 on a chain with different values of spin-dipolar interactions $E_{12}^d = 1.2J_1$, $2.4J_1$ and $6J_1$ for exchange anisotropies $\epsilon = 0$ and 0.3 and on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ for spin cluster with site spin $s = 1$ at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$. Error bars for the estimation are also shown for each data point.

The wavelengths of these oscillations decrease with increasing ϵ and for $\epsilon = 0.2$ and 0.3 there is only one sharp singularity but Γ_H is smaller for $\epsilon = 0.3$ than for $\epsilon = 0.2$. When we go to a square lattice, while the general behaviour is similar to that of the chain, the singularities in Γ_H for the same ϵ are at a lower field than for the corresponding chain. Besides Γ_H in 2-d is smaller than in 1-d at the same field for corresponding systems. In 3-d the Γ_H is the smallest and the first singularity is at a much lower field than in the 1-d and 2-d cases. Besides, the high field behaviour in 3-d shows many singularities. This can be understood from the fact that in 3-d a spin has more neighbors at the same distance than in 1-d or 2-d. This would mean many more micro states of spin orientations which are close in energy. Thus, a slight field shift can take the system from one spin configuration to another.




FIG. 8. Variation of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) for the two different systems with $N = 100$ and 125 on a square lattice and a cubic lattice respectively for different values of spin-dipolar interactions $E_{12}^d = 1.2J_1$, $2.4J_1$ and $6J_1$ for exchange anisotropies $\epsilon = 0$ and 0.3 and on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$, for spin cluster with site spin $s = 1$ at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$.

B. Frustrated spin clusters (Model II)

We have studied the magnetic Grüneisen parameter in models with next nearest neighbor ferromagnetic interactions which result in spins frustration. In Fig. 10 we have shown the dependence of Γ_H for a single spin cluster of site spins $s = 1$, 3/2 and 2. In all the cases, we find large Γ_H parameters in the low-field region with Γ_H increasing with site spin as in model I. We also find that for the case of the isotropic model and the weak anisotropic model ($\epsilon = 0$, 0.1), there are two broad oscillations in the $s = 1$ and 3/2 cases and three oscillations for $\epsilon = 0$ and two oscillations for $\epsilon = 0.1$ in the case of $s = 2$ models. The magnetic fields at which these oscillations occur increases with increasing site spin. The magnitude of Γ_H increases with increasing site spin in both the low field case and the intermediate field case. The magnetic entropy for the $s = 1$ case shows two peaks, in the intermediate field region for




FIG. 9. Plots of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, as a function of applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) for an assembly of spin clusters on a chain with system size $N = 100$, on 10×10 square lattice and $5 \times 5 \times 5$ simple cubic lattice for constant spin-dipolar interaction $E_{12}^d = 1.2J_1$ for different exchange anisotropies ϵ, for spin cluster with site spin $s = 1$ at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$.

$\epsilon = 0$, 0.1 and a single peak for larger ϵ. In the $s = 3/2$ and 2 cases the isotropic model shows




FIG. 10. Plots of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, as a function of applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) in case of model II with next nearest neighbor isotropic ferromagnetic interactions ($J_2 = 0.2J_1$) at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$ for different values of exchange anisotropy ϵ, in the presence of on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ for spin chains of six sites with site spins $s = 1$, 3/2, and 2.

many cusps in the intermediate field regime. For higher anisotropies the entropy shows Fig. 11 a sharp peak which is reflected as a sharp singularity in Γ_H at the intermediate fields.




FIG. 11. Variation of magnetic entropy, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) at temperature $k_B T/|J_1| = 0.1$ for different values of exchange anisotropy ϵ, in the presence of on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ for spin chains of six sites with site spins $s = 1$, 3/2, and 2 for nnn isotropic ferromagnetic interactions ($J_2 = 0.2J_1$).

In all cases there is an increase in the entropy near zero field. This behaviour is consistent with the observed Γ_H dependence on the applied field.

We have studied the spin-1 model in 1-D (upto 100 sites), in 2-D (10×10 square lattice) and in 3-D ($5 \times 5 \times 5$ simple cubic lattice). We have also studied the 1-D system for different cluster sizes. For a cluster of 2 and 3 molecules with isotropic exchange, the singularity in Γ_H shift to lower fields as the interaction strength is increased (Fig. 12). The




FIG. 12. Variation of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) for two small spin clusters $N = 2$ and 3 (on a chain), with different values of spin-dipolar interactions $E_{12}^d = 1.2J_1$, $2.4J_1$ and $6J_1$ for exchange anisotropies $\epsilon = 0$ and 0.3 and on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ with nnn isotropic ferromagnetic interactions ($J_2 = 0.2J_1$) for spin cluster with site spin $s = 1$ at temperature, $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$.

number of oscillations in intermediate fields decrease with increase in inter-molecular spin dipolar interactions. When the exchange anisotropy is strong, the oscillations in Γ_H become sharp singularities and shift to lower fields as the inter cluster interactions become stronger. For larger clusters ($N = 10$ and 100) increasing the inter-molecular interactions strength shift the oscillations to lower fields and at higher fields, in the $N = 100$ clusters many closely lying singularities are found (Fig. 13). Again, these singularities become sharper




FIG. 13. Variation of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, with applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) for two spin clusters with $N = 100$ and 10 on a chain with different values of spin-dipolar interactions $E_{12}^d = 1.2J_1$, $2.4J_1$ and $6J_1$ for exchange anisotropies $\epsilon = 0$ and 0.3 and on-site anisotropy $|d/J_1| = 0.1$ with nnn isotropic ferromagnetic interactions ($J_2 = 0.2J_1$) for spin cluster with site spin $s = 1$ at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$.

for large exchange anisotropy and appear to be much weaker for strong inter-molecular interactions. We have compared the Γ_H behaviour as a function of the anisotropy in 1-D, 2-D and 3-D systems in Fig. 14. In 1-D, at very low-field strength, the Γ_H is larger in 1-D than in 2-D and the 2-D Γ_H is larger than the Γ_H in 3-D. In 1-D for all values of the anisotropy in exchange, there is a singularity at $g\mu_B H/J_1 \sim 0.08$ to 0.09 and a subsequent singularities are $g\mu_B H/J_1 \sim 0.14$ to 0.15. In 2-D these singularities shift to ~ 0.06. In 3-D there is a broad hump in Γ_H whose maxima shifts from ~ 0.12 for $\epsilon = 0$ to 0.02 as ϵ is increased to 0.03. Systems with exchange anisotropy, $\epsilon = 0.1$ and 0.2, show many oscillation in Γ_H which are smoothened as ϵ increases to 0.3. For the isotropic models, in 2-D the oscillation in Γ_H become sharper and shift to higher fields as the inter-molecular interaction strength increases. While in 3-D, from a broad oscillation the Γ_H shows sharp variation at intermediate inter-molecular interactions, which eventually disappears for large




FIG. 14. Comparison of Grüneisen parameter, Γ_H, for different dimensionalities. Applied magnetic field ($g\mu_B H/|J_1|$) for an assembly of spin clusters on a chain with system size $N = 100$, on a 10×10 square lattice and a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ cubic lattice for constant spin-dipolar interaction $E^d_{12} = 1.2J_1$ for different exchange anisotropies ϵ, with nnn isotropic ferromagnetic interactions ($J_2 = 0.2J_1$) for spin cluster with site spin $s = 1$ at temperature $k_BT/|J_1| = 0.1$.

inter-molecular interaction strengths. A similar behaviour is also found when the exchange anisotropy is large.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have calculated exact magnetic Grüneisen parameters Γ_H for two different spin models (i) spin chains with alternating ferro and antiferro magnetic exchange interactions and (ii) frustrated spin chains with additional next nearest neighbor ferromagnetic interaction. For efficient cooling by AD, we need systems with large Γ_H. In isolated spin chains, we have seen two different characteristics in high and low applied magnetic field regimes. Γ_H exhibits peaks in low field for all spin chains and these peaks are higher for larger exchange anisotropy. In high field region, we have observed oscillations in Γ_H and these oscillations become singularities with increase of exchange anisotropy and these singularities shift to higher fields as site spin increases. The singularities in Γ_H correspond to cusps in the magnetic entropy plot in all cases and also coincide with crossovers in the ground state magnetization in the Zeeman plots. Furthermore, we have systematically studied the behaviour of magnetic Grüneisen parameters in an assembly of spin clusters on a chain of 100 clusters, a 10×10 square lattice and a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ cubic lattice for spin-1 systems with inter-chain spin-dipolar interactions using Monte Carlo method. For all system sizes (N = 2, 3, 10 and 100), the singularities in Γ_H shift to lower fields with increase in spin-dipolar interaction strength and in case of large system the singularity vanishes for $E_{12}^{dip} = 6J_1$. These singularities become sharper with the increase in exchange anisotropy. We have also studied the dependence of Γ_H on the dimensionality of the lattice for a fixed spin-dipolar interaction strength, $E_{12}^{d} = 1.2J_1$. We observe that as the exchange anisotropy increases, the singularities in Γ_H shift to higher fields in chains while in square and cubic lattice, these singularities shift to lower fields although $|\Gamma_H|$ becomes smaller. Similar behaviour is seen in frustrated spin model, although the magnitude of Γ_H is smaller than in the corresponding non-frustrated spin model. Because of built in magnetic entropy in the frustrated model, the net change in magnetic entropy due to adiabatic demagnetization will be less sharp implying lower cooling efficiency in frustrated systems.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SR thanks INSA for a senior scientist position and DST India for support through project EMR/2016/005183.

---

* sumithaldar@iisc.ac.in

† ramasesh@iisc.ac.in

[1] O. V. Launasmaa, Experimental Principles and Method Below 1K, Academic press London, (1974), Chapter 5.

[2] V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Magnetocaloric effect and magnetic refrigeration, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater* 200, 44 (1999).

[3] Tegus O, Bruck E, Buschow KHJ, de Boer FJ, Transition-metal-based magnetic refrigerants for room temperature application, *Nature* 415, 150 (2002).

[4] Tishin AM, Spichkin I, The Magnetocaloric effect and Its Applications, Institute of physics publishing, bristol, (2003).

[5] W. F. Giauque and D. P. MacDougall, Attainment of Temperature below 1^0 Absolute by Demagnetization of $Gd_2(SO_4)_3.8H_2O$, *Phys. Rev.* 43, 768 (1933).

[6] R. D. McMichael, R. D. Shull, L. J. Swartzendruber, L. H. Bennett and R. E. Watson, Magnetocaloric effect in superparamagnets, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater* 111, 29 (1992).

[7] P. Poddar, S. Srinath, J. Gass, B. L. V. Prasad and H. Srikanth, Magnetic Transition and Large Magnetocaloric effect Associated with Surface Spin Disorder in Co and $Co_{core}Ag_{shell}$ Nanomagnets, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 111, 14060-14066 (2007).

[8] S. Ma, W. B. Cui, D. Li, N. K. Sun, D. Y. Geng, X. Jiang and Z. D. Zhang, Large cryogenic magnetocalric effect of $DyCo_2$ nanoparticles without encapsulation, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 92, 173113 (2008).

[9] F. Torres, X. Bohigas, J. M. Hernandez and J. Tejada, Magnetocaloric effect in Mn_{12}2-Cl benzoate, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 15, L119 (2003).

[10] X. X. Zhang, H. L. Wei, Z. Q. Zhang and L. Zhang, Anisotropic Magnetocaloric effect in Nanostructured magnetic clusters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 157203 (2001).

[11] M. Evangelisti and E. K. Brechin, Recipes for enhanced molecular cooling, *Dalton Trans.* 39, 4672 (2010).

[12] R. Sessoli, Chilling with magnetic molecules, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 51, 43-45 (2012).

[13] M. Affronte, A. Ghirri, S. Carretta, G. Amoretti, S. Piligkos, G. A. Timco and R. E. P. Winpenny, Engineering molecular rings for magnetocaloric effect, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 84, 3468 (2004).

[14] M. Envangelisti, A. Candini, A. Ghirri, M. Affronte, E. K. Brechin and E. J. L. McInnes, Theoretical design of molecular magnets for magnetic refrigeration, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 87, 872504 (2005).

[15] Yu. I. Spickin, A. K. Zvezdin, S. P. Gubin, A. S. Mischenko and A. M. Tishin, Magnetic molecular clusters as promising materials for refrigeration in low-temperature regions, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 34, 1162 (2001).

[16] E. Warburg, Magnetische Untersuchungen, *Ann. Phys. Chem.* 13, 141 (1881).

[17] O. Khan, Molecular magnetism, VCH, New York, 1993.

[18] Dante Gatteschi, Roberta Sessoli, and Jacques Villain, *Molecular Nanomagnets* 9780198567, 316, Oxford University Press (2007).

[19] Xin-Yi Wang, C. Avendano and K. R. Dunbar, Molecular magnetic materials based on $4d$ and $5d$ transition metals, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 40, 3213-3238 (2011).

[20] C. Beckmann, J. Ehrens and J. Schnack, Rotational magnetocaloric effect of anisotropic giant-spin molecular magnets, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 482, 113-119 (2019).

[21] M. E. Zhitomirsky, A. Honecker Magnetocaloric effect in one-dimensional antiferromagnets, *J. Stat. Mech.:Theor. Exp.* P0701, (2004).

[22] A. Baniodeh, N. Magnani, Y. Lan, G. Buth, C. E. Anson, J. Richter, M. Affronte, J. Schnack and A. K. Powell, High spin cycles: topping the spin record for a single molecule verging on quantum criticality, *npj Quantum Materials* 3:10, (2018).

[23] C. Raghu, Indranil Rudra, Diptiman Sen, and S. Ramasesha, Properties of low-lying states in some high-nuclearity Mn, Fe, and V clusters: Exact studies of Heisenberg models, *Phys. Rev. B* 64, 064419 (2001).

[24] S. Haldar, R. Raghunathan, J. P. Sutter, and S. Ramasesha, Modelling magnetic anisotropy of single-chain magnets in $|d/J| \geq 1$ regime, *Mol. Phys.* 115, 2849 (2017).

[25] S. Haldar, R. Raghunathan, J. P. Sutter, and S. Ramasesha, Modeling molecular magnets with large exchange and on-site anisotropies, *Phys. Rev. B* 98, 214409 (2018).

[26] M. E. Zhitomirsky, Enhanced magnetocaloric effect in frustrated magnets, *Phys. Rev. B* 67, 104421 (2003).

[27] J. Schnack, R. Schmidt and J. Richter, Enhanced magnetocaloric effect in frustrated magnetic molecules with icosahedral symmetry, *Phys. Rev. B* 76, 054413 (2007).

[28] G. Garlatti, S. Carretta, M. Affronte, E. C. Saũdo, G. Amoretti and P. santini, Magnetic properties and relaxation dynamics of a frustrated Ni_7 molecular nanomagnet, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 24, 104006 (2012).

[29] J. W. Sharples, D. Collison, E. J. L. McInnes, J. Schnack, E. Palacios and M. Evangelisti, Quantum signatures of a molecular nanomagnet in direct magnetocaloric measurements, *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5321 (2014).

[30] M. Evangelisti, A. Candini, A. Ghirri and M. Affronte, Spin-enhanced magnetocaloric effect in molecular nanomagnets, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 87, 072504 (2005).

[31] Yan-Zhen Zheng, M. Evangelisti, and R. E. P. Winpenny, Large magnetocaloric effect in a Wells-Dawson type $\{Ni_6Gd_6P_6\}$, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 50, 3692 (2011).

[32] Manaka H., Yamada I., Yamaguchi K., Ferromagnetic dominant alternating Heisenberg chains with ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions formed in $(CH_3CHNH_3CuCl_3)$, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 66, 564 (1997).

[33] Kodama K., Harashina H., Sasaki H., Kato M., Sato M., Kakurai K., Nishi M., Neutron scattering study on the quasi-one dimensional spin-gap system $CuNb_2O_6$, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 68, 237 (1999).

[34] Hao-Yu Shen, Wei-Ming Bu, En-Qing Gao, Dai-Zhang Liao, Zong-Hui Jiang, Shi-Ping Yan and Geng-Lin Wang, New alternating ferro- and antiferromagnetic one-dimensional complexes. Synthesis, characterization, crytal structure, and magnetic properties of $M[(4,4^{'}-dimethylbipyridine)(N_3)_2]_n[M = Cu(II), Mn(II), Ni(II) and Fe(II)]$, *Inorg. Chem.* 39, 396 (2000).

[35] M. B. Stone, W. Tian, M. D. Lumsden, G. E. Granroth, D. Mandrus, J. H. Chung, N. Harrison and S. E. Nagler, Quantum spin correlations in an organometallic alternating sign chain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 087204 (2007).