

Matrix elements of vertex operators and fermionic limit of Spin Calogero-Sutherland system

S.M. Khoroshkin^{o*}, M.G. Matushko^{o*}

^o*National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia;*

^{*}*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia;*

^{*}*Center for Advanced Studies, Skoltech, Moscow, Russia*

Abstract

We present a construction of an integrable model as a projective type limit of spin Calogero-Sutherland model with N fermionic particles, where N tends to infinity. It is implemented in the multicomponent fermionic Fock space. Explicit formulas for limits of Dunkl operators and the Yangian generators are presented by means of fermionic fields.

1 Introduction

Recent researches on limits of Calogero-Sutherland (CS) systems [15, 16, 18] followed the cornerstones of the theory of symmetric functions, where the ring Λ of symmetric functions on the one side is regarded as the projective limit of the spaces of symmetric polynomials in finite number of variables [11], and on the other side is a representation of the Heisenberg algebra \mathcal{H} and thus can be related to the Fock space. Operators, forming the Hamiltonians of the finite CS system can be rewritten in a way admitting a translation in the Fock space.

These constructions heavily use an equivariant family of Heckman-Dunkl [6] operators as a counterpart of the Lax operator in integrable systems. The procedure requires a creation of an auxiliary space of functions symmetric over all variables except one [15, 16] or as a variant of polynomials in one variable with coefficients being symmetric functions of all variables [18, 14]. These spaces are created by the vertex operator

$$\Phi_+(z) = \exp \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}$$

which use in the finite system is nothing more than the Taylor expansion. Here $p_n = \sum_k x_k^n$ are Newton polynomials. Instead of taking trace of the Lax operator here one sums up the images of all powers of Dunkl operators. The latter is equivalent to the integration with the weight function $\varphi_-(z)$ being the negative part of the bosonic field

$$\varphi_-(z) = \sum_{n > 0} \frac{p_n}{z^n}.$$

The spin CS system has more symmetries, which constitute the representation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_s)$. The integrals of motion of the system can be chosen as coefficients of the

related quantum determinant. We provide a description of finite CS system in Section 2. This limiting bosonic construction system was generalized in [9] to spin CS system using the language of polysymmetric functions, that is polynomials, symmetric on the groups of variables. See also [14].

In [8] analogous ideas were applied to CS system of fermionic particles. The finite object is the same – the ring Λ of symmetric functions, but its finite reincarnations are different: these are antisymmetric polynomials. Then the Vandermonde $\prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)$ brings the appearance of the full vertex operator

$$\Psi(z) = z^{p_0} \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{p_n}{nz^n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}\right)$$

in the creation of the auxiliary space. The weight function of the integration is now

$$\Psi^*(z) = z^{-p_0} \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{p_n}{nz^n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} -z^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}\right).$$

The fermionic limit of spin CS system was studied by D. Uglov. In his fundamental research [19] he constructed the integrable system being an inductive limit of finite-dimensional spin CS system. In this paper we suggest and develop a different approach, realized as a projective type limit. It leads to the limiting system which differs from [19]. We start from the fermionic Fock space \mathcal{F}^s , generated by s fermion fields $\Psi_c(z)$, $c = 1, \dots, s$ and define the phase space of the finite-dimensional spin CS system as the space of matrix coefficients

$$\pi_N(|v\rangle) = \langle 0 | \Psi(z_N) \Psi(z_2) \cdots \Psi(z_1) | v \rangle, \quad |v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$$

where $\Psi(z) = \sum_{c=1}^s \Psi_c(z) \otimes e_c$ and $e_c \in \mathbb{C}^s$ are basic vectors of \mathbb{C}^s . Then we systematically construct the pullback with respect to the maps π_N of all operation required for the construction of the Yangian action on the finite-dimensional spin CS system. Finally this gives the Yangian action on the Fock space \mathcal{F}^s , which is a pullback of the Yangian action on finite-dimensional CS system. In particular, this includes the construction of commuting Hamiltonians in \mathcal{F}^s . Note the importance of the polynomial property of the total zero mode in the constructed Yangian action on the Fock space \mathcal{F}^s , which we do not prove. See Proposition 4.3.

The same language can be applied for the consideration of bosonic limit of CS system constructed previously in [9]. In this case the bosonic projection map

$$\bar{\pi}_N(|v\rangle_+) = {}_+ \langle 0 | \Phi(z_N) \Phi(z_2) \cdots \Phi(z_1) | v \rangle_+, \quad |v\rangle_+ \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$$

actually coincides with that used in [2, 3]. We include this case in Section 3, since it can clarify both the expositions of [9] and of the main ideas of the present work given in Section 4.

2 Spin Calogero-Sutherland system

The phase space of the quantum spin Calogero-Sutherland (CS) system consists of functions with values in vector space $(\mathbb{C}^s)^{\otimes N}$ while the dependence on spin in the Hamiltonian

$$H^{CS} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\beta(\beta - K_{ij})}{\sin^2(q_i - q_j)},$$

is implicit [7]. Here K_{ij} is the coordinate exchange operator of particles i and j . After conjugating by the function $\prod_{i<j} |\sin(q_i - q_j)|^\beta$ which represents the degenerated vacuum state, and passing to the exponential variables $x_i = e^{2\pi i q_i}$ we arrive to the effective Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \beta \sum_{i<j} \frac{x_i + x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) - 2\beta \sum_{i<j} \frac{x_i x_j}{(x_i - x_j)^2} (1 - K_{ij}).$$

which we restrict to the spaces $\Lambda_{\pm}^{s,N}$ of total invariants or respectively skewinvariants of the symmetric group S_N in the space $V^{\otimes N}$,

$$\Lambda_{\pm}^{s,N} = (V^{\otimes N})^{(\pm)}.$$

Here¹ $V = \mathbb{C}[z] \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$. The (skew)invariants are taken with respect to the diagonal action of the symmetric groups, $\sigma_{ij} \mapsto K_{ij} P_{ij}$, where K_{ij} is as above and P_{ij} is the permutation of i -th and j -th tensor copy of the vector space \mathbb{C}^s .

Further we use the Heckman–Dunkl operators $\mathcal{D}_i^{(N)} : V \otimes \Lambda_{\pm}^{s,N-1} \rightarrow V \otimes \Lambda_{\pm}^{s,N-1}$ in the form suggested by Polychronakos [17]:

$$\mathcal{D}_i^{(N)} = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x_i}{x_i - x_j} (1 - K_{ij}). \quad (2.1)$$

These operators satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} \mathcal{D}_i^{(N)} &= \mathcal{D}_j^{(N)} K_{ij}, \\ [\mathcal{D}_i^{(N)}, \mathcal{D}_j^{(N)}] &= \beta (\mathcal{D}_j^{(N)} - \mathcal{D}_i^{(N)}) K_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

which coincide with the relations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra after the renormalization $\mathcal{D}_i^{(N)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta} \mathcal{D}_i^{(N)}$. By Drinfeld duality [5], this representation of degenerate affine Hecke algebra transforms to the representation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_s)$ in $\Lambda_{\pm}^{s,N}$, see [1, 10]

$$t_{ab}(u) = \delta_{ab} + \beta \sum_i \frac{E_{ab,i}}{\beta u \pm \mathcal{D}_i^{(N)}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $E_{ab,i}$ describes the action of \mathfrak{gl}_s on i -th tensor component,

$$E_{ab,i} \left(\dots \otimes \underbrace{(e^c \otimes x^k)}_i \otimes \dots \right) = \delta_{bc} \left(\dots \otimes \underbrace{(e^a \otimes x^k)}_i \otimes \dots \right).$$

¹We also use the notation $V = V(z)$ when we need to specify the name of the variable

and $t_{ab}(u)$, $a, b = 1, \dots, s$,

$$t_{ab}(u) = \delta^{ab} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_{ab,i} u^{-i-1} \quad (2.3)$$

are generating functions of the generators $t_{ab,i}$ of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_s)$. The defining relations of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_s)$ are [13]

$$[t_{ab}(u), t_{cd}(v)] = \frac{t_{cb}(u)t_{ad}(v) - t_{cb}(v)t_{ad}(u)}{u - v}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then the higher Hamiltonians of spin CS system can be chosen as coefficients of the quantum determinant

$$q \det t(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} t_{\sigma(1),1}(u) t_{\sigma(2),2}(u-1) \dots t_{\sigma(m),m}(u-m+1).$$

which generate the center of the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_s)$ [4, 13].

The main goal of the present paper is to construct the limit of the above Yangian action when N tends to infinity. In particular, we get the limits of the above commuting family of Hamiltonians.

3 Bosonic limit

In this section we observe the results of [9] using slightly different language.

Denote by $\Lambda^{(s)}$ the free unital associative commutative algebras generated by the elements

$$p_{a,k}, \quad a = 1, \dots, s, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The ring $\Lambda^{(s)}$ can be viewed as the ring of polysymmetric functions, that is the projective limit of polynomial functions on the variables $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n_1}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2n_2}, \dots, x_{s1}, \dots, x_{sn_s}$, symmetric on each group of variables x_{a1}, \dots, x_{an_a} , $a = 1, \dots, s$. Here $p_{a,k}$ corresponds to the Newton sums $x_{a1}^k + x_{a2}^k + \dots$. Denote by $\hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$ the free unital associative commutative algebras generated by the elements

$$p_{a,k}, \quad a = 1, \dots, s, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We have $\hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \supset \Lambda^{(s)}$. Additional "zero modes" $p_{a,0}$ will further serve to count the numbers of variables in each group.

Let \mathcal{H}^s be the Heisenberg algebra with generators $a_{c,k}$, $c = 1, \dots, s$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $(q_c)^{\pm 1}$, which satisfy the relations

$$[a_{c,k}, a_{d,l}] = k \delta_{cd} \delta_{k,-l}, \quad q_c a_{d,k} = (a_{d,k} + \delta_{cd} \delta_{k0}) q_c. \quad (3.1)$$

The space $\hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$ is a representation of the Heisenberg algebra \mathcal{H}^s , where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{c,k} &\mapsto p_{c,-k}, & k &\leq 0, \\ a_{c,k} &\mapsto k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{c,k}}, & k &> 0, \end{aligned}, \quad q_c \mapsto e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{c,0}}}.$$

The unit of the ring $\hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$ is then identified with the vacuum vector $|0\rangle_+$, so that

$$a_{c,k}|0\rangle_+ = 0, \quad c = 1, \dots, s, \quad k > 0, \quad q_c|0\rangle_+ = |0\rangle_+, \quad c = 1, \dots, s. \quad (3.2)$$

Denote by ${}_+ \langle 0|$ the vector of the dual space, which satisfies the relations

$${}_+ \langle 0|a_{c,k} = 0, \quad c = 1, \dots, s, \quad k \leq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

For $c = 1, \dots, s$ denote by $\varphi_c^-(z)$ the series

$$\varphi_c^-(z) = \sum_{n \leq 0} a_{c,n} z^n \quad (3.4)$$

and by e_c^\perp the linear operator $\mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by the relation

$$e_c^\perp(e_b) = \delta_{bc}.$$

Define linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c(z) &= \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{a_{c,n}}{n} z^n\right) q_c : & \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} &\rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z], \quad c = 1, \dots, s, \\ \Phi(z) &= \sum_c \Phi_c(z) \otimes e_c : & \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} &\rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V, \\ \Phi^*(z) &= \sum_c \varphi_c^-(z) \cdot \Phi_c^{-1}(z) \otimes e_c^\perp : & \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V &\rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, for any $|v\rangle_+ \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$

$$\Phi^*(z)(|v\rangle_+ \otimes z^k \otimes e_c) = z^k \Phi_c^{-1}(z)|v\rangle_+,$$

where

$$\Phi_c^{-1}(z) = \varphi_c^-(z) \cdot q_c^{-1} \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} -\frac{a_{c,n}}{n} z^n\right).$$

For any $|v\rangle_+ \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$ consider the matrix element $\bar{\pi}_N(|v\rangle_+) \in V^{\otimes N}$,

$$\bar{\pi}_N(|v\rangle_+) = {}_+ \langle 0|(\Phi(z_N) \otimes 1^{\otimes(N-1)}) \cdots (\Phi(z_2) \otimes 1) \Phi(z_1)|v\rangle_+$$

which we shortly denote by

$$\bar{\pi}_N(|v\rangle_+) = {}_+ \langle 0|\Phi(z_N)\Phi(z_2) \cdots \Phi(z_1)|v\rangle_+ \quad (3.5)$$

In components,

$$\bar{\pi}_N(|v\rangle_+) = \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s {}_+ \langle 0|\Phi_{c_N}(z_N) \cdots \Phi_{c_1}(z_1)|v\rangle_+ \cdot e_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_1}.$$

The commutativity

$$\Phi_b(z_1)\Phi_c(z_2) = \Phi_c(z_2)\Phi_b(z_1) \quad (3.6)$$

implies that the matrix element (3.5) belongs to the space $\Lambda_+^{s,N}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij} & \left(\sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \cdots \Phi_{c_j}(z_j) \cdots \Phi_{c_i}(z_i) \cdots | v \rangle_+ \cdots \otimes e_{c_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_i} \otimes \cdots \right) = \\ & = \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \cdots \Phi_{c_j}(z_i) \cdots \Phi_{c_i}(z_j) \cdots | v \rangle_+ \cdots \otimes e_{c_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_j} \otimes \cdots = \\ & = \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \cdots \Phi_{c_i}(z_i) \cdots \Phi_{c_j}(z_j) \cdots | v \rangle_+ \cdots \otimes e_{c_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_i} \otimes \cdots \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we change the indices of summation c_i by c_j .

Our goal is to pull back the Yangian action (2.2) in $\Lambda_+^{s,N}$ through the map $\bar{\pi}_N$. The dissection of the relation (2.2) shows that the application of each Yangian generator to a vector $|v\rangle_+ \in \Lambda_+^{s,N}$ can be decomposed into several steps. First we present the symmetric tensor $|v\rangle_+ \in \Lambda_+^{s,N}$ as an element of $(\mathbb{C}[x_i] \otimes \mathbb{C}^s) \otimes \Lambda_+^{s,N-1}$ for each tensor component, producing an equivariant family of vectors, which can be completely described by the element of $V \otimes \Lambda_+^{s,N-1} \sim (\mathbb{C}[x_i] \otimes \mathbb{C}^s) \otimes \Lambda_+^{s,N-1}$ — the decomposition of $|v\rangle_+$ over the first tensor component. Then we apply the power of Heckman operator $\mathcal{D}_i^{(N)}$ to the i -th vector of this equivariant family and get another equivariant family. The last step is the symmetrization — the sum of all members of the equivariant family.

Denote by $\iota_N : \Lambda_+^{s,N} \rightarrow V \otimes \Lambda_+^{s,N-1}$ the decomposition of the symmetric tensor v over the first tensor component,

$$\iota_N \left(\sum_k f_{1k}(z) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{Nk}(x_N) \right) = \sum_k f_{1k}(z) \otimes (f_{2k}(x_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{Nk}(x_N)). \quad (3.7)$$

Here $f_{1k}(z)$ and $f_{jk}(x_k)$, $j > 1$ are \mathbb{C}^s valued polynomials.

Lemma 3.1 . We have the following equality of linear maps $\hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \rightarrow \Lambda_+^{s,N}$:

$$(\bar{\pi}_{N-1} \otimes 1) \Phi(z) = \iota_N \bar{\pi}_N. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Applying both sides of (3.8) to a vector $|v\rangle_+ \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$ we get the tautology: both sides are equal to

$$\langle 0 | \Phi(x_N) \Phi(x_{N-1}) \cdots \Phi(x_2) \Phi(z) | v \rangle_+.$$

□

For each tensor $u \in V \otimes \Lambda_+^{s,N-1}$, symmetric with respect to diagonal permutations of all tensor factor except the first, denote by $E_N(u)$ its total symmetrization

$$E_N(u) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_{1j}(u), \quad (3.9)$$

where $\sigma_{ij} = K_{ij} P_{ij}$ is the permutation of i -th and j -th tensor factors. On the other hand, for each $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V$ define the element $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}(z)) \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$ as the formal integral

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}(z)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dz}{z} \Phi^*(z) \mathbf{F}(z), \quad (3.10)$$

which counts zero term of the Laurent series. The following lemma establishes the map \mathcal{S} as the pullback of the finite symmetrization. This is the crucial point of the construction.

Lemma 3.2 *For each $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V$ and any natural N we have the equality of elements of $\Lambda_+^{s,N}$:*

$$E_N(\bar{\pi}_{N-1} \otimes 1)(\mathbf{F}(z)) = \bar{\pi}_N \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}(z)). \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}(z)$ has the form

$$\mathbf{F}(z) = \sum_{c=1}^s F_c(z) \otimes e_c, \quad F_c(z) \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z].$$

Consider first the LHS of (3.11). This is the symmetrization (3.9) of the tensor

$$\sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \Phi_{c_N}(x_N) \cdots \Phi_{c_2}(x_2) F_{c_1}(x_1) \cdot e_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_1},$$

which can be written by means of proper changes of summation indices as the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \Phi_{c_N}(x_N) \cdots \Phi_{c_{k+1}}(x_{k+1}) \Phi_{c_{k-1}}(x_{k-1}) \cdots \Phi_{c_2}(x_2) \cdots F_{c_k}(x_k) \cdot e_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_1}.$$

Inserting in each summand the corresponding product

$$1 = \Phi_{c_k}(x_k) \Phi_{c_k}^{-1}(x_k)$$

and using the commutativity (3.6) we rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \Phi_{c_j}(x_j) \cdot \Phi_{c_k}^{-1}(x_k) F_{c_k}(x_k) \cdot e_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_1} = \\ & \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \circ x_k} dz \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \Phi_{c_j}(x_j) \cdot \frac{\Phi_{c_k}^{-1}(z) F_{c_k}(z)}{z - x_k} \cdot e_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The RHS of (3.11) is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \Phi(x_j) \oint \frac{dz}{z} \Phi^*(z) \mathbf{F}(z).$$

In components it looks as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{a=1}^s \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \Phi_{c_j}(x_j) \oint \frac{dz}{z} \varphi_a^-(z) \Phi_a^{-1}(z) F_a(z) \cdot e_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_1}. \quad (3.13)$$

The normal ordering of the above matrix elements assumes due to (3.3) the move of all $\varphi_a^-(z)$ to the left vacuum using the relation

$$\Phi_c(x) \varphi_a^-(z) = \left(\varphi_a^-(z) + \frac{\delta_{ac}}{1 - \frac{x}{z}} \right) \Phi_c(x) \quad (3.14)$$

which follows from (3.1). In particular, the formal integral in (3.13) can be regarded as a contour integral, where the contour C of integration encloses all points x_j . Since

$$+\langle 0 | \varphi_a^-(z) = 0,$$

we arrive to the expression

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \in \odot x_k} +\langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \Phi_{c_j}(x_j) \oint \frac{dz}{z} \frac{\Phi_{c_k}^{-1}(z) F_{c_k}(z)}{1 - \frac{x_k}{z}} \cdot e_{c_N} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{c_1},$$

which is identical to (3.12). \square

We now apply statements of Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 for the construction of a pullback of the Dunkl operator.

Let $\mathcal{D} : \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V$ be the linear map, such that for any $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V$

$$\mathcal{D}\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(z) = z \frac{d}{dz} \mathbf{F}^{(1)}(z) + \frac{\beta z}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2(1 - \frac{z}{\xi})} \Phi^{*(2)}(\xi) \Phi^{(2)}(z) \mathbf{F}^{(1)}(\xi) \quad (3.15)$$

Here the upper index (i) , $i = 1, 2$ indicates in which tensor copy of \mathbb{C}^s the corresponding vector lives or an operator acts. In components,

$$\mathcal{D}(F_a(z) \otimes e_a) = \left(z \frac{d}{dz} F_a(z) + \frac{\beta z}{2\pi i} \oint \sum_{c=1}^s \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2(1 - \frac{z}{\xi})} \varphi_c^-(\xi) \Phi_c^{-1}(\xi) \Phi_c(z) F_a(\xi) \right) \otimes e_a$$

We state that the operator \mathcal{D} is the pullback of the equivariant family of Heckman operators $\mathcal{D}_i^{(N)}$.

Proposition 3.1 *For any $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V$ we have*

$$(\bar{\pi}_{N-1} \otimes 1) \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{F}(x_1)) = \mathcal{D}_1^{(N)} (\bar{\pi}_{N-1} \otimes 1) \mathbf{F}(x_1) \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. The only nontrivial part is the pullback of the difference part of the Heckman operator. The difference part $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1^{(N)}$ of Heckman operator $\mathcal{D}_1^{(N)}$ in the space $V(x_1) \otimes \Lambda_+^{s, N-1}$, where $V(x_1) = \mathbb{C}[x_1] \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$, can be described as the composition of three operations. First we include into $V(x_1) \otimes V(x_2) \otimes \Lambda_+^{s, N-2}$ by means of $1 \otimes \iota_{N-1}$, then apply the operator $\frac{1 - K_{12}}{x_1 - x_2}$ and finally sum up over all the variables except x_1 by means of the summation E_{N-1} ,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1^{(N)} = E_{N-1} \circ \frac{1 - K_{12}}{x_1 - x_2} \circ 1 \otimes \iota_{N-1}$$

The pullback of the inclusion $1 \otimes \iota_{N-1}$ is $\Phi^{(2)}(x_2)$ due to Lemma 3.1, the pullback of E_{N-1} is $\oint \Phi^{*(2)}(x_2) \frac{dx_2}{x_2}$, the pullback of the operator $\frac{1 - K_{12}}{x_1 - x_2}$ is this very operator $\frac{1 - K_{12}}{x_1 - x_2}$.

We see that the pullback of the difference operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1^{(N)}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{D}\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(x_1) = \frac{x_1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \Phi^{*(2)}(x_2) \frac{\Phi^{(2)}(x_2) \mathbf{F}^{(1)}(x_1) - \Phi^{(2)}(x_1) \mathbf{F}^{(1)}(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} \quad (3.17)$$

Any matrix element of the ratio inside the integral is a polynomial on x_1 and x_2 and can be equally decomposed into a series either in the region $|x_1| < |x_2|$ or in the region $|x_1| > |x_2|$. In the region $|x_1| < |x_2|$ in the first integral

$$\frac{x_1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \Phi^{*(2)}(x_2) \frac{\Phi^{(2)}(x_2) \mathbf{F}^{(1)}(x_1)}{x_1 - x_2} = \sum_{c=1}^s \frac{x_1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \varphi_c^-(x_2) \frac{\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(x_1)}{x_1 - x_2}$$

we have only negative powers of x_2 and this integral vanish. Thus we get (3.15). \square

Let $E_{ab} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^s$, be the matrix unit, $E_{ab}(e_c) = \delta_{bc} e_a$. Denote by \mathcal{E}_{ab} , the operator $1 \otimes 1 \otimes E_{ab} : \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}^{(s)} \otimes V$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} \mathbf{F}(z) = F_b(z) \otimes e_a.$$

For $a, b = 1, \dots, s$ and $n = 1, \dots$ set

$$T_{ab,n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi i \beta^n} \oint \frac{dz}{z} \Phi^*(z) \mathcal{E}_{ab} \mathcal{D}^n \Phi(z) \quad (3.18)$$

Summarazing the statements above we get the following result [9]

Proposition 3.2 *The operator $T_{ab,n}$, see (3.18) is the pullback of the Yangian generator $t_{ab,n}$, see (2.2), (2.3):*

$$\bar{\pi}_N T_{ab,n} = t_{ab,n} \bar{\pi}_N \quad \text{for any } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, the operators (3.18) form level zero representation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_s)$ in $\hat{\Lambda}^{(s)}$. Here we use the property

$$\cap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ker } \bar{\pi}_N = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

of the ring of symmetric functions which we assume to be known.

4 Fermionic limit

Let \mathcal{H}_-^s be the algebra of s free fermion fields. It is generated by the elements ψ_{nc} and ψ_{nc}^* , where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c = 1, \dots, s$, which subject the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{an} \psi_{bm} + \psi_{bm} \psi_{an} &= 0, & \psi_{an}^* \psi_{bm}^* + \psi_{bm}^* \psi_{an}^* &= 0, \\ \psi_{an} \psi_{bm}^* + \psi_{bm}^* \psi_{an} &= \delta_{ab} \delta_{n,-m}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The algebra \mathcal{H}_-^s is graded with

$$\deg \psi_{cn} = \deg \psi_{cn}^* = -n. \quad (4.2)$$

The algebra \mathcal{H}_-^s admits a family of commuting automorphisms \hat{Q}_c , $c = 1, \dots, s$ given by the relations

$$\hat{Q}_c(\psi_{bn}) = \psi_{b,n-\delta_{bc}}, \quad \hat{Q}_c(\psi_{bn}^*) = \psi_{b,n+\delta_{bc}}^*. \quad (4.3)$$

Let \mathcal{F}^s be the left representations of \mathcal{H}_- , generated by the vacuum state $|0\rangle$, and \mathcal{F}^{s*} be the right \mathcal{H}_- -module generated by the vacuum state $\langle 0|$, such that

$$\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{cn}|0\rangle = \psi_{cm}^*|0\rangle = 0 & \quad c = 1, \dots, s, \quad n \geq 0, \quad m > 0, \\ \langle 0|\psi_{cn} = \langle 0|\psi_{cm}^* = 0, & \quad c = 1, \dots, s, \quad n < 0, \quad m \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

We use the following fermionic normal ordering rule:

$$:\psi_{cn}^*\psi_{dm}: = \begin{cases} \psi_{cn}^*\psi_{dm}, & m \geq 0 \\ -\psi_{dm}\psi_{cn}^*, & m < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (4.5)$$

It is compatible with relations (4.4).

The automorphisms (4.3) define invertible linear maps Q_c and Q_c^{-1} of the Fock space to itself which are compatible with these automorphisms and anticommute for different indices c_1 and c_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_c^{-1}(x|0\rangle) &= \hat{Q}_c^{-1}(x)\psi_{c,0}^*|0\rangle, & Q_c(x|0\rangle) &= \hat{Q}_c(x)\psi_{c,-1}|0\rangle, \\ \langle 0|Q_c^{-1} &= \langle 0|\psi_{c,1}^*, & \langle 0|Q_c &= \langle 0|\psi_{c,0}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

so that for any $x \in \mathcal{H}_-$ and $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$ we have

$$\hat{Q}_c(x)|v\rangle = Q_c x Q_c^{-1}|v\rangle. \quad (4.7)$$

Indeed, for $|v\rangle = y|0\rangle$ the RHS of (4.7) equals

$$\begin{aligned} Q_c x Q_c^{-1}|v\rangle &= Q_c x Q_c^{-1}(y|0\rangle) = Q_c \left(x \hat{Q}_c^{-1}(y)\psi_{c,0}^*|0\rangle \right) = \hat{Q}_c(x)y\psi_{c,1}^*\psi_{c,-1}|0\rangle = \\ &= \hat{Q}_c(x)y(1 - \psi_{c,-1}\psi_{c,1}^*)|0\rangle = \hat{Q}_c(x)y|0\rangle = \hat{Q}_c(x)|v\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we use the distinguished product of s such maps and automorphisms

$$\hat{Q} := \hat{Q}_s \cdots \hat{Q}_1, \quad Q = Q_s \cdots Q_1. \quad (4.8)$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0|Q^{-1} &= \langle 0|\psi_{s,1}^* \cdots \psi_{1,1}^*, & Q^{-1}|0\rangle &= \psi_{s,0}^* \cdots \psi_{1,0}^*|0\rangle, \\ \langle 0|Q &= \langle 0|\psi_{s,0} \cdots \psi_{1,0}, & Q|0\rangle &= \psi_{s,-1} \cdots \psi_{1,-1}|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_-^s(z)$ the space of Laurent series

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n z^n \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z), \quad (4.10)$$

where each coefficient h_n is a series

$$h_n = \sum_k a_k^{11} + \sum_{kl} a_k^{21} a_l^{22} + \sum_{klm} a_k^{31} a_l^{32} a_m^{33} + \dots$$

where a_k^{ij} are either ψ_{cn} or ψ_{cn}^* for some c and n , such that the matrix coefficient $\langle \xi | h_n | v \rangle$ is well defined for any $\xi \in \mathcal{F}^{s*}$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}^s$.² We can assume for instance all series h_n to be fermionic normal ordered according to (4.5), $h_n = :h_n:$. We also use the notation $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$ for the space $\mathcal{F}^s \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$.

Let $\Psi_c(z)$ and $\Psi_c^*(z)$ be the following elements of $\mathcal{H}_-(z)$,

$$\Psi_c(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{cn} z^n, \quad \Psi_c^*(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{cn}^* z^{n-1}. \quad (4.11)$$

The field $\Psi_c(z)$ is of total degree zero, and the field $\Psi_c^*(z)$ is of total degree -1 , once we set $\deg z = 1$. The relations (4.4) imply the commutativity

$$\Psi_c(x)\Psi_d(y) + \Psi_d(y)\Psi_c(x) = \Psi_c^*(x)\Psi_d^*(y) + \Psi_d^*(y)\Psi_c^*(x) = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

and normal ordering rules

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_c(x)\Psi_d(y) &= :\Psi_c(x)\Psi_d(y):, & \Psi_c^*(x)\Psi_d^*(y) &= :\Psi_c^*(x)\Psi_d^*(y):, \\ \Psi_c(x)\Psi_d^*(y) &= :\Psi_c(x)\Psi_d^*(y): + \frac{\delta_{cd}}{y-x}, & x < y, \\ \Psi_c^*(x)\Psi_d(y) &= :\Psi_c^*(x)\Psi_d(y): + \frac{\delta_{cd}}{y-x} & x < y. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

which imply the relation

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \circ w} \Psi_c(w)\Psi_c^*(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \circ w} \Psi_c^*(w)\Psi_c(z) dz = 1. \quad (4.14)$$

One can also see that

$$\hat{Q}(\Psi_c(z)) = z\Psi_c(z), \quad \hat{Q}(\Psi_c^*(z)) = z^{-1}\Psi_c^*(z), \quad c = 1, \dots, s.$$

Boson–fermion correspondence says that the space \mathcal{F}^s is a representation of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$ of level one. The degree $-n$ generators $E_{ab,n}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$, where $a, b = 1, \dots, s$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy the relations

$$[E_{ab,n}, E_{cd,m}] = \delta_{bc}E_{ad,n+m} - \delta_{ad}E_{cb,n+m} + n\delta_{n,-m}\delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}$$

They are presented in $\text{End } \mathcal{F}^s$ by operators

$$E_{ab,n} = \sum_{k+l=n} :\psi_{al}^* \psi_{bk}:, \quad (4.15)$$

where $::$ means fermionic normal ordering (4.5). The generators

$$a_{b,n} := E_{bb,n}$$

²For instance the monomial $z^n (\sum_{k>0} \psi_{ck}^* \psi_{c,-k}) \notin \mathcal{H}_-(z)$.

form the Heisenberg algebra \mathcal{H}^s ,

$$[a_{b,n}, a_{c,m}] = n\delta_{b,c}\delta_{n,-m}$$

so that

$$a_{c,k}|0\rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0|a_{c,l} = 0, \quad c = 1, \dots, s, \quad k \geq 0, l \leq 0.$$

On the other side of boson–fermion correspondence we have the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_c(z) &= z^{a_{c,0}} \exp\left(\sum_{n<0} \frac{a_{c,n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{a_{c,n}}{n} z^n\right) Q_c \\ \Psi_c^*(z) &= z^{-a_{c,0}} \exp\left(-\sum_{n<0} \frac{a_{c,n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{a_{c,n}}{n} z^n\right) Q_c^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The element

$$a_0 = \sum_{c=1}^s a_{c,0} = \sum_{c=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{ck}^* \psi_{c,-k} \quad (4.16)$$

is central in $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$ and satisfies the relation

$$Q a_0 Q^{-1} = a_0 + s.$$

The Fock space \mathcal{F}^s admits the orthogonal decomposition into direct sum of eigenspaces of operator a_0 ,

$$\mathcal{F}^s = \bigoplus_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_N^s, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}_N^s = \{|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s : a_0|v\rangle = N|v\rangle\}. \quad (4.17)$$

The relation (4.17) implies that

$$Q \mathcal{F}_N^s = \mathcal{F}_{N-s}^s. \quad (4.18)$$

In the following we use the notation τ_N for the projection of \mathcal{F}^s to \mathcal{F}_N^s parallel to other eigenspaces of a_0 :

$$\tau_N|v\rangle = \delta_{N,k} \cdot |v\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad |v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_k^s. \quad (4.19)$$

Let $\Psi(z)$ and $\Psi^*(z)$ be the following elements of $\mathcal{H}_-(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ and $\mathcal{H}_-(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{s*}$ correspondingly,

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_c \Psi_c(z) \otimes e_c \quad \Psi^*(z) = \sum_c \Psi_c^*(z) \otimes e_c^\perp. \quad (4.20)$$

The field $\Psi(z)$ defines the map from \mathcal{F}^s to $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$,

$$\Psi(z)|v\rangle = \sum_c \Psi_c(z)|v\rangle \otimes e_c,$$

which we denote by the same symbol $\Psi(z)$. The field $\Psi^*(w)$ defines a map from $\mathcal{H}_-(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ to $\mathcal{H}_-(z, w)$,

$$\Psi^*(w) \left(\sum_c F_c(z) \otimes e_c \right) = \sum_c \Psi_c^*(w) F_c(z),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_-^s(z, w)$ is defined in the same way as $\mathcal{H}_-^s(z)$ (4.10). Here we regard e_c^\perp as the linear map $e_c^\perp : \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that $e_c^\perp(e_d) = \delta_{cd}$.

For any $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$ consider the matrix element

$$\pi_N(|v\rangle) = \langle 0 | (\Psi(z_N) \otimes 1^{\otimes(N-1)}) \cdots (\Psi(z_2) \otimes 1) \Psi(z_1) | v \rangle,$$

which we shortly denote by

$$\pi_N(|v\rangle) = \langle 0 | \Psi(z_N) \Psi(z_2) \cdots \Psi(z_1) | v \rangle. \quad (4.21)$$

In components,

$$\pi_N(|v\rangle) = \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \Psi_{c_N}(z_N) \cdots \Psi_{c_1}(z_1) | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_N}.$$

The commutativity (4.12) and the properties of the left vacuum (4.4) imply that the matrix element (4.21) belongs to the space $\Lambda_-^{s, N}$. Note that the map π_N factors through the projection τ_N (4.19),

$$\pi_N = \pi_N \tau_N$$

and equals zero for any \mathcal{F}_λ^s with $|\lambda| \neq N$.

Analogously to the rings of symmetric functions in N variables and to the spaces of antisymmetric functions in N variables, the spaces $\Lambda_-^{s, N}$ form a projective system. Regard an element f of $\Lambda^{s, N+s}$ as $(\mathbb{C}^s)^{\otimes(N+s)}$ valued function $f = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+s})$. Set

$$\omega_N(f) = \frac{(1^{\otimes N} \otimes e_1^\perp \otimes e_2^\perp \cdots \otimes e_s^\perp) f(x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, \dots, 0)}{x_1 \cdots x_N}. \quad (4.22)$$

In components,

$$\omega_N(x_1^{a_1} e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{N+s}^{a_{N+s}} e_{c_{N+s}}) = \delta_{a_{N+1}, 0} \cdots \delta_{a_{N+s}, 0} \delta_{c_{N+1}, 1} \cdots \delta_{c_{N+s}, s} x_1^{a_1-1} e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_N^{a_N-1} e_{c_N}.$$

One can see that ω_N is a linear map from $\Lambda^{s, N+s}$ to $\Lambda^{s, N}$.

Lemma 4.1 *For any $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$ we have the equality*

$$\pi_N(Q|v\rangle) = \omega_N \cdot \pi_{N+s}(|v\rangle). \quad (4.23)$$

Proof. The LHS of (4.23) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_N(Q|v\rangle) &= \langle 0 | \Psi(x_N) \cdots \Psi(x_2) \Psi(x_1) Q | v \rangle = \\ &= (x_1 \cdots x_N)^{-1} \cdot \langle 0 | Q \Psi(x_N) \cdots \Psi(x_2) \Psi(x_1) | v \rangle = \\ &= (x_1 \cdots x_N)^{-1} \cdot \langle 0 | \psi_{s,0} \cdots \psi_{1,0} \Psi(x_N) \cdots \Psi(x_2) \Psi(x_1) | v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The last line is precisely the RHS of (4.23). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_N \cdot \pi_{N+s}(|v\rangle) &= \omega_N \cdot \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_{N+s}=1}^s \langle 0 | \Psi_{c_{N+s}}(x_{N+s}) \cdots \Psi_{c_2}(x_2) \Psi_{c_1}(x_1) | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_{N+s}} \\ &= (x_1 \cdots x_N)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \psi_{s,0} \cdots \psi_{1,0} \Psi_{c_N}(x_N) \cdots \Psi_{c_2}(x_2) \Psi_{c_1}(x_1) | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We are going now to construct the pullback through the maps π_N of the components of the Yangian generators.

Denote by $\iota_N : \Lambda_-^{s,N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^s[z] \otimes \Lambda_-^{s,N-1}$ the decomposition of the antisymmetric tensor v over the first tensor component, given by the relation (3.7). Denote by $\pi_{N-1,1} : (\mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s) \otimes \mathcal{F}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_2, \dots, x_N, z, z^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}^{s \otimes N}$ the map defined as

$$\pi_{N-1,1}(\mathbf{F}(z) \otimes |v\rangle) = \langle 0 | \Psi(x_N) \cdots \Psi(x_2) \mathbf{F}(z) | v \rangle.$$

Lemma 4.2 . *We have the following equality of linear maps $\mathcal{F}^s \rightarrow \Lambda_-^{s,N}$:*

$$\pi_{N-1,1} \Psi(z) = \iota_N \pi_N. \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. This is again a tautology like in the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

For each polynomial tensor $\mathbb{C}^s[z] \in V \otimes \Lambda_-^{s,N-1}$, antisymmetric with respect to diagonal permutations of all tensor factor except the first, denote by $A_N(u)$ its total (nonnormalized) antisymmetrization

$$A_N(u) = u - \sum_{j=2}^N \sigma_{1j}(u). \quad (4.25)$$

On the other hand, for each $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ define the element $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{F}(z)) \in \mathcal{H}$ as the integral

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{F}(z)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{z \circ 0} dz \int_{u \circ z} du \frac{\Psi^*(u) \mathbf{F}(z)}{u - z}. \quad (4.26)$$

Remark. The integral over z is actually formal. The form (4.26) indicates the following. Assume that $\mathbf{F}(z)$ depends on a parameter w . Then the contour C of integration over z should not enclose the point $z = w$. One can always assume the condition $|w| > |z|$.

Let an element $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad \pi_{N,1}(\mathbf{F}(z) \otimes |v\rangle) \quad \text{is a polynomial on } z \text{ for any } N \in \mathbb{N}, v \in \mathcal{F}^s \quad (4.27)$$

$$(ii) \quad \deg \mathbf{F}(z) = 0 \quad (4.28)$$

Here we assume that $\deg e_c = 0$ for any $e_c \in \mathbb{C}^s$.

The following lemma establishes the map \mathcal{A} as the pullback of the finite antisymmetrization. This is the crucial point of the construction.

Lemma 4.3 *For each $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ satisfying the conditions (4.27) and (4.28), any $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$ and any natural N we have the equality of elements of $\Lambda_-^{s,N}$:*

$$A_N \pi_{N-1,1}(\mathbf{F}(z) \otimes |v\rangle) = \pi_N \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{F}(z)) |v\rangle. \quad (4.29)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}(z)$ has the form

$$\mathbf{F}(z) = \sum_{c=1}^s F_c(z) \otimes e_c, \quad F_c(z) \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z)$$

Consider first the LHS of (4.29). This is the antisymmetrization (4.25) of the tensor

$$\sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \Psi_{c_N}(x_N) \cdots \Psi_{c_2}(x_2) F_{c_1}(x_1) | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_N}.$$

which can be written by means of proper changes of summation indices as the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \Psi_{c_N}(x_N) \cdots \Psi_{c_{k+1}}(x_{k+1}) \Psi_{c_{k-1}}(x_{k-1}) \cdots \Psi_{c_1}(x_1) F_{c_k}(x_k) | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_N}.$$

Using the relation

$$\int_{z \circ x_k} dz \frac{F_{c_k}(z)}{x_k - z} = -F_{c_k}(x_k),$$

we rewrite the LHS of (4.29) as

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^k \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \Psi_{c_N}(x_N) \cdots \Psi_{c_{k+1}}(x_{k+1}) \Psi_{c_{k-1}}(x_{k-1}) \cdots \Psi_{c_1}(x_1) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \circ x_k} dz \frac{F_{c_k}(z)}{x_k - z} | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_N}.$$

Using (4.14), we insert the integral:

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{u \circ x_k} \Psi_{c_k}(x_k) \Psi_u^*(u) du = 1$$

into each summand of the k -th group. Then the LHS of (4.29) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \int_{z \circ x_k} dz \int_{\substack{u \circ x_k \\ |z-x_k| \gg |u-x_k|}} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{x_k - z} | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_N} = \\ & - \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \int_{z \circ x_k} dz \int_{\substack{u \circ x_k \\ |z-x_k| \gg |u-x_k|}} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u - z} | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{c_N}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Now in each summand we move the contour of integration for z close to the point x_k , crossing the singularity at $z = u$. Then the integral in every such summand transforms

into the sum of two integrals,

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{z \circlearrowleft x_k} dz \int_{\substack{u \circlearrowleft x_k \\ |z-x_k| \gg |u-x_k|}} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle = \\
& - \int_{z \circlearrowleft x_k} dz \int_{\substack{u \circlearrowleft x_k \\ |u-x_k| \gg |z-x_k|}} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle + \\
& \int_{z \circlearrowleft x_k} dz \int_{u \circlearrowleft z} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

In the first integral, see the middle line of (4.31), after the change of the order of integration we observe its vanishing due to condition (i) of (4.27): there is no singularity of the integral at any point $z = x_j$. We now conclude that the LHS of (4.29) equals to the double integral

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \int_{z \circlearrowleft x_k} dz \int_{u \circlearrowleft z} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{c_N}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \int_C dz \int_{u \circlearrowleft z} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{c_N}, \tag{4.32}$$

where the contour C encloses all the points x_k but does not enclose zero.

On the other hand, the RHS of (4.29),

$$\langle 0 | \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \oint dz \int_{u \circlearrowleft z} du \frac{\Psi^*(u) \mathbf{F}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle$$

in components looks like

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_N=1}^s \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \oint dz \int_{u \circlearrowleft z} du \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle \cdot e_{c_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{c_N}.$$

The region of analyticity of any matrix coefficient $\langle \xi | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F(z) | v \rangle$ is $0 < |x_N| < |x_{N-1}| < \dots < |x_1| < |u| < |z|$ so the integral over z can be replaced by the contour integral over the contour enclosing all x_k and zero. Deforming this contour we see that the RHS of (4.29) equals to the sum (4.30) plus the integral which enclose zero and not the points x_k . To prove the equality (4.29) it is sufficient to verify that each integral

$$\int_{z \circlearrowleft 0} dz \int_{u \circlearrowleft z} du \langle 0 | \prod_{N \geq i \geq 1} \Psi_{c_i}(x_i) \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u-z} | v \rangle \tag{4.33}$$

vanishes. In the latter integral all singularities at the diagonals $z = x_i$ and $u = x_i$ are out of the domain of integration. Thus the vanishing of these integrals is equivalent to vanishing of the vector valued integral

$$\int_{z \circ 0} dz \int_{u \circ z} du \langle 0 | \frac{\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)}{u - z}. \quad (4.34)$$

The domain of analyticity of the expression $\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)$ is $|u| < |z|$ and all the singularities are poles of finite order on the diagonal $z = u$, so that the relation

$$(z - u)^N \Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z) = (z - u)^N F_{c_k}(z) \Psi_{c_k}^*(u) \quad (4.35)$$

holds for sufficiently big N , where the sign is chosen according to parity of the field $F_{c_k}(z)$. The relation (4.35) implies that the analytic continuation of $\Psi_{c_k}^*(u) F_{c_k}(z)$ to the region $|u| > |z|$ is $\pm F_{c_k}(z) \Psi_{c_k}^*(u)$. By definition (4.4) of the vacuum state and the related rules of the normal ordering (4.5) the integral (4.34) can be formally rewritten as

$$\int_{z \circ 0} dz \langle 0 | (\Psi_{c_k,-}^*(z) F_{c_k}(z) \pm F_{c_k}(z) \Psi_{c_k,+}^*(z)), \quad (4.36)$$

where the sign depends on the parity of $F_{c_k}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n z^n$ and

$$\Psi_{c_k,-}^*(z) = \sum_{n \leq 0} \psi_{c_k,n}^* z^{n-1}, \quad \Psi_{c_k,+}^*(z) = \sum_{n > 0} \psi_{c_k,n}^* z^{n-1}.$$

In Fourier modes (4.34) looks as

$$\sum_{n \leq 0} \psi_{c_k,n}^* f_{-n} \pm \sum_{n > 0} f_{-n} \psi_{c_k,n}^*.$$

The first sum vanishes due to (4.4). By assumption, $\deg F_{c_k}(z) = 0$ thus $\deg f_n = -n$. We then see that in the second term all f_n have positive degree and if we assume them to be normal ordered they contain in each summand either ψ_{an} or ψ_{bn}^* with $n < 0$ at their left end. Thus $\langle 0 | f_{-n} = 0$ for $n > 0$ and the integral (4.34) vanishes. \square

Define an operator $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{F}(z) &= z \frac{d}{dz} \mathbf{F}(z) + \\ &\frac{\beta z}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\substack{w \circ 0 \\ |w| < |z|}} dw \int_{u \circ w} du \Psi^{*(2)}(u) \frac{\Psi^{(2)}(w) \mathbf{F}^{(1)}(z) - \Psi^{(2)}(z) \mathbf{F}^{(1)}(w)}{(u - w)(z - w)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

Here upper indices (1) and (2) indicate tensor components where corresponding operators act. In components,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}F_c(z) \otimes e_c &= z \frac{d}{dz} F_c(z) \otimes e_c + \\ &\frac{\beta z}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{b=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circ 0 \\ |w| < |z|}} dw \int_{u \circ w} du \Psi_b^*(u) \frac{\Psi_b(w) F_c(z) - \Psi_b(z) F_c(w)}{(u - w)(z - w)} \otimes e_c. \end{aligned}$$

By means of of Lemma 4.3 we now can identify the operator \mathcal{D} as a pullback of the equivariant family of Heckman operators $\mathcal{D}_i^{(N)}$ acting in the space of partially antisymmetric tensors

Proposition 4.1 For any $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ satisfying the condition (4.27) and (4.28), $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the equality

$$\pi_{N-1,1}(\mathcal{D}\mathbf{F}(x_1) \otimes |v\rangle) = \mathcal{D}_1^{(N)} \pi_{N-1,1}(\mathbf{F}(x_1) \otimes |v\rangle). \quad (4.38)$$

Proof. First we note that once the element $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$ satisfies the conditions (4.27) and (4.28), the same is true for the divided difference

$$\frac{\Psi^{(2)}(w)\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(z) - \Psi^{(2)}(z)\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(w)}{z - w}.$$

The property (4.27) is valid because both the differential and difference derivatives preserve the polynomial property. The property (4.28) is evident: the difference derivatives are homogeneous of degree zero. We thus can use Lemma 4.3. Now the rest of the proof is identical to the proof of Proposition 3.1. \square

Note that the application of the operator \mathcal{D} to some $\mathbf{F}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$, which satisfies the conditions (4.27) and (4.28), preserves these conditions by the same reasons of homogeneity and preservation of polynomial spaces by both difference and differential derivatives. This gives rise to the formulas for pullback of sum of powers of Dunkl operators.

Let $E_{ab} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^s$, be the matrix unit, $E_{ab}(e_c) = \delta_{bc}e_a$. Denote by \mathcal{E}_{ab} , the operator $1 \otimes E_{ab} : \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-^s(z) \otimes \mathbb{C}^s$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{ab}\mathbf{F}(z) = F_b(z) \otimes e_a.$$

For $a, b = 1, \dots, s$ and $n = 1, \dots$ define the element $T_{ab,n} \in \mathcal{H}_-^s$ by the relation

$$T_{ab,n} = \beta^{-n} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}_{ab}\mathcal{D}^n\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \odot 0} dz \mathcal{T}_{ab,n}. \quad (4.39)$$

Here $\mathcal{T}_{ab,n}$ is the n -th order density defined by the formula:

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i \beta^n} \int_{u \odot z} du \frac{\Psi^*(u)\mathcal{E}_{ab}\mathcal{D}^n\Psi(z)}{u - z}. \quad (4.40)$$

In Appendix we give expressions for the first densities for $n = 0, 1, 2$ in terms of normal ordering fermionic fields and in terms of generators of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$, see (4.15).

Summarizing the statements above we establish the operator $T_{ab,n}$ as the pullback of the Yangian generator $t_{ab,n}$ in $\Lambda_-^{s,N}$.

Proposition 4.2 For any $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the equality

$$\pi_N(T_{ab,n}|v\rangle) = t_{ab,n}\pi_N|v\rangle.$$

Moreover these operators themselves form a representation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_s)$:

Proposition 4.3 The operators $T_{ab,n}$ satisfy Yangian relations (2.4)

In particular, the coefficients of the quantum determinant $q \det T(u)$ form a commutative family which can be regarded as the limits of the higher Hamiltonians of CS system.

Contrary to the bosonic case, this statement is not straightforward since the intersections of the kernels of maps π_N is not zero. For instance all subspaces \mathcal{F}_N^s with $N < 0$ belong to this kernel. Below we present arguments in favour of the statement which can be developed up to a rigorous proof.

Recall the characterization of the subspace \mathcal{F}_N^s as the eigenspace of the operator a_0 , see (4.17):

$$\mathcal{F}_N^s = \{|v\rangle : a_0|v\rangle = N|v\rangle\}.$$

The space \mathcal{F}_N^s contains the vector $|N\rangle = Q^{-N}|0\rangle$ and any vector $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_N^s$ can be obtained from $|N\rangle$ by applying some element of zero charge,

$$|v\rangle = x|N\rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad QxQ^{-1} = x,$$

for instance x is a sum of products of fermions where in each monomial the total number of ψ_{cn} equals to the total number of ψ_{cn}^* . Alternatively, any vector $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_N^s$ can be obtained from $|N\rangle$ by applying elements of affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$. In this realization powers of the operator Q identify different spaces \mathcal{F}_N^s ,

$$|v\rangle = x|N\rangle \implies Q^m|v\rangle = x|N\rangle \quad \text{if} \quad QxQ^{-1} = x.$$

The crucial property of the constructed operators $T_{ab,n}$, which force them to preserve the Yangian relations, is their *polynomial* dependence on a_0 . Namely, each operator $A = T_{ab,0}$ can be presented as a polynomial

$$A = A_0 + a_0A_1 + \dots + a_0^kA_k, \quad QA_jQ^{-1} = A_j \quad (4.41)$$

where each A_j is an element of \mathcal{H} of zero charge presenting an operator in \mathcal{F}^s . Indeed, each Yangian relation became nontrivial in the space $\Lambda^{s,N}$ for big enough N . This means that for any vector $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^s$ this relation is nontrivial on $\pi_N(Q^{k+N}|v\rangle)$ for some k and big enough N and thus any matrix coefficient of the corresponding relation in the Heisenberg algebra is a polynomial on N equal zero for N big enough and thus is identically zero.

The element $T_{ab,n}$ is by construction is an ordered series in elements of \mathcal{H}_- of total degree zero in a sense of (4.3), with each summand being a product of at most $2n$ elements ψ_{ck} and n elements ψ_{bm}^* . Calculation for small n show that it can be rewritten as an ordered series of elements of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$ with each summand be a product of at most $n+1$ generators $E_{ab,m}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$. Thus $T_{ab,n}$ should be a polynomial on a_0 of order $n+1$.

We have no rigorous proof of the latter statement. Instead one can equivalently establish the relation

$$\text{ad}_Q^{n+1}(T_{ab,n}) = 0 \quad (4.42)$$

where $\text{ad}_Q(x) = Qx - xQ$, and check this equality on \mathcal{F}_N^s for big N . The equality (4.42) is evidently clear for the differential part of sums of power of Dunkl operators,

$$A = \dot{\sum}_{k,c} k^n \psi_{c,-k}^* \psi_{ck} \dot{}$$

Indeed,

$$QAQ^{-1} - \mathcal{D}_n = \sum_{k,c} ((k+1)^n - k^n) \dot{\psi}_{c,-k}^* \psi_{ck}. \quad (4.43)$$

The coefficients at the RHS are now polynomials on k of order $n-1$. Repeating (4.43) we get the equality $\text{ad}_Q^{n+1}(A) = 0$.

Another way to check relations (4.42) is to use the realization of the operator Q in antisymmetric functions of finite variables, see Lemma 4.1. Namely, denote by $\omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp$ the operator $e_1^\perp \otimes \dots \otimes e_s^\perp$ applied to the last tensor components of the space $\Lambda^{s,N+s}$, see (4.22).

Consider an operator $\tilde{A} = \sum \tilde{A}_i$ in $\Lambda^{s,N}$ being the sum of powers of Dunkl operators multiplied possibly by E_{ab} . Let A be its pullback to the space \mathcal{F}^s given by the relation (4.39). Choose a vector $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{N+s}^s$. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_{N+s}) = \pi_{N+s}(|v\rangle)$ be the corresponding vector valued function. Then by Lemma 4.1 the difference $\pi_N(QA - AQ)|v\rangle$ can be presented as a sum

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_N(QA - AQ)|v\rangle &= \omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp \prod_{k=1}^N x_k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N+s} \left(\tilde{A}_i f(x_1, \dots, x_{N+s}) \right) |_{x_{N+1}=\dots=x_{N+s}=0} - \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{A}_i \left(\omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp \prod_{k=1}^N x_k^{-1} f(x_1, \dots, x_{N+s}) \right) |_{x_{N+1}=\dots=x_{N+s}=0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

We are to show that finite iteration of the RHS of (4.44) vanishes. The nontrivial part is to verify this for sums of powers of Dunkl operators. Note that due to the structure of Dunkl operators both sums in RHS of (4.44) can be taken from 1 to N . For instance, if \tilde{A}_i is a differentiation, $\tilde{A}_i = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, their sum measure degree of homogeneity, which differs by N for two summands of (4.44). Hence the Euler operator has order two in accordance to what we showed before. If \tilde{A} is a sum of difference parts of Dunkl operators, then the RHS can be reduced to the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp \prod_{k=1}^N x_k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \frac{(1 - K_{ij})f}{x_i - x_j} \right) |_{x_{N+1}=\dots=x_{N+s}=0} = \\ &\omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp \prod_{k=1}^N x_k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N (1 - K_{ij})f + x_j \frac{(1 - K_{ij})f}{x_i - x_j} \right) |_{x_{N+1}=\dots=x_{N+s}=0} = \\ &\omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp \prod_{k=1}^N x_k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N (1 - K_{ij})f \right) |_{x_{N+1}=\dots=x_{N+s}=0} = \\ &\omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp \prod_{k=1}^N x_k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N (1 + P_{ij})f \right) |_{x_{N+1}=\dots=x_{N+s}=0}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter sum equals N times $\omega_N f(x_1, \dots, x_{N+s})$ due to the definition of $\omega_{N+1,N+s}^\perp$. This shows that the order of the sum of difference parted of Dunkl operators is also two. Combinations of the above arguments should show that the order of the sums of m -th powers of Dunkl operators has the order $m+1$.

5 Appendix

Here we present the expressions for the first densities $\mathcal{T}_{ab,n}(z)$ (4.40) $n = 0, 1, 2$ for the Yangian generators. There will be given two types of expressions for each density, the first answer is a normal ordered combination of fermionic fields $\Psi_c(z)$, $\Psi_d^*(z)$, the second is not normal ordered, it is given in terms the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$ generators.

Now we introduce several notations. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{kl}(z)$ a coefficient of β^{-l} in $\mathcal{T}_{ab,k+l}(z)$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab,n}(z) = \sum_{l=0}^n \beta^{-l} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{n-l,l}(z).$$

Denote by $E_{ab}(z)$ a generating functions for the elements of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$:

$$E_{ab}(z) = \sum_n E_{ab,n} z^n = :z\Psi_a^*(z)\Psi_b(z):.$$

For a formal series $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n z^n$ we denote by $f(z)_+$ the series

$$f(z)_+ = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n z^n = \int_{\substack{u \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |u| \gg |z|}} du \frac{f(u)}{u-z}$$

and by $f(z)_-$ the series

$$f(z)_- = \sum_{n < 0} f_n z^n = \int_{\substack{u \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |u| \ll |z|}} du \frac{f(u)}{z-u}.$$

For $n = 0$ we simply have

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab,0}(z) = \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,0}(z) = :z\Psi_a^*(z)\Psi_b(z): = \frac{1}{z} E_{ab}(z). \quad (5.1)$$

For $n = 1$

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab,1}(z) = \beta^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(z) + \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,0}(z).$$

We distinguish the answers for diagonal $\mathcal{T}_{aa,n}(z)$ and nondiagonal part $\mathcal{T}_{ab,n}(z)$, where $a \neq b$. Firstly we present the expressions for nondiagonal elements $a \neq b$ as normal ordered combination of fermionic fields:

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(z) = :z\Psi_a^*(z)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Psi_b(z):,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,0}(z) = & : \sum_{c=1}^s z\Psi_a^*(z)\Psi_b(z) (\Psi_c^*(z)\Psi_c(z))_- + \sum_{c=1}^s z\Psi_a^*(z)\Psi_c(z) (\Psi_c^*(z)\Psi_b(z))_- \\ & + (s+1) \Psi_a^*(z) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Psi_b(z) \right)_- - \Psi_b(z) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ :. \end{aligned}$$

The bosonic answer has the recurrent form, we express it from $\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,0}(z)$ (5.1):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(z) &= \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{aa}(z) \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,0}(w), \\ \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,0}(z) &= \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{dw}{(z-w)} E_{ac}(z) \mathcal{T}_{cb}^{0,0}(w) + \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,0}(z) E_{cc}(w) - \\ &\quad - \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{w(w-z)} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,0}(z) E_{aa}(w).\end{aligned}$$

For diagonal elements in case $n = 1$ we present the answers in the same way, firstly as a normal ordered combination of fermionic fields:

$$\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) = :\Psi_a^*(z) z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a(z):,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{1,0}(z) &= :\sum_{b=1}^s z \Psi_a^*(z) \Psi_a(z) (\Psi_b^*(z) \Psi_b(z))_- + \sum_{b=1}^s z \Psi_a^*(z) \Psi_b(z) (\Psi_b^*(z) \Psi_a(z))_- \\ &\quad - \sum_{b=1}^s \Psi_b(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b^*(z) \right)_+ + (s+1) \Psi_a^*(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a(z) \right)_- - \Psi_a(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ :.\end{aligned}$$

Then the recurrent answer from previous densities in terms the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$ generators:

$$\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{aa}(z) \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(w) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(w),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{1,0}(z) &= \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{dw}{(z-w)} E_{ac}(z) \mathcal{T}_{ca}^{0,0}(w) + \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) E_{cc}(w) - \\ &\quad - \sum_{c=1}^s \left(\int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{cc}(z) \mathcal{T}_{cc}^{0,0}(w) - \mathcal{T}_{cc}^{0,1}(z) \right) + \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(w) - \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z).\end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab,2}(z) = \beta^{-2} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,2}(z) + \beta^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1}(z) + \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{2,0}(z)$$

We split $\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1}(z)$ into two summands :

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1}(z) = (\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})'(z) + (\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})''(z).$$

Here $(\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})'(z)$ means that firstly we apply $z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and then the difference part of the Dunkl operator, $(\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})''(z)$ backwards.

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,2}(z) = :\Psi_a^*(z) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_b(z):$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})'(z) &= : \sum_{c=1}^s z\Psi_a^*(z) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b(z) \right) (\Psi_c^*(z)\Psi_c(z))_- + \sum_{c=1}^s z\Psi_a^*(z)\Psi_c(z) \left(\Psi_c^*(z)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b(z) \right)_- \\ &+ \left(s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Psi_a^*(z) \left(\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_b(z) \right)_- - \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b(z) \right) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ - \frac{1}{2} \Psi_a^*(z) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b(z) \right)_- : \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})''(z) &= : \sum_{c=1}^s \Psi_a^*(z)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z\Psi_c(z) (\Psi_c^*(z)\Psi_b(z))_-) + \sum_{c=1}^s \Psi_a^*(z)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z\Psi_b(z) (\Psi_c^*(z)\Psi_c(z))_-) \\ &+ (s+1) \Psi_a^*(z) \left(\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_b(z) \right)_- - \frac{1}{2} \Psi_b(z) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ \\ &- \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b(z) \right) \left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ - \frac{1}{2} \Psi_b(z) \left(\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ : \end{aligned}$$

The recurrent formula from previous densities in terms the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_s$ generators:

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,2}(z) = \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{aa}(z) \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})'(z) &= \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{dw}{(z-w)} E_{ac}(z) \mathcal{T}_{cb}^{0,1}(w) + \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(z) E_{cc}(w) - \\ &- \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{w(w-z)} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(z) E_{aa}(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,1})''(z) &= \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{aa}(z) \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{1,0}(w) + \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)} \left(\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) - \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) \right) E_{ab}(w) - \\ &- \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)^2} E_{aa}(z) E_{ab}(w) - \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{w(w-z)} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(z) E_{aa}(w) \\ &+ \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^3} E_{ab}(w) - \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{(z-w)^3} E_{ab}(w) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,2}(z) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_{ab}^{0,1}(z) \end{aligned}$$

For diagonal elements in case $n = 2$ we have more complicated formulas:

$$\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,2}(z) = :\Psi_a^*(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_a(z):$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{1,1})'(z) = & \sum_{c=1}^s z \Psi_a^*(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a(z) \right) (\Psi_c^*(z) \Psi_c(z))_- + \sum_{c=1}^s z \Psi_a^*(z) \Psi_c(z) \left(\Psi_c^*(z) z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a(z) \right)_- \\ & + \left(s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Psi_a^*(z) \left(\left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_a(z) \right)_- + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^s \Psi_c(z) \left(\left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_c^*(z) \right)_+ \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^s \Psi_c(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_c^*(z) \right)_+ - \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a(z) \right) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ \vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{1,1})''(z) = & \sum_{b=1}^s \Psi_a^*(z) z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z \Psi_a(z) (\Psi_b^*(z) \Psi_b(z))_-) + \sum_{b=1}^s \Psi_a^*(z) z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z \Psi_b(z) (\Psi_b^*(z) \Psi_a(z))_-) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^s \Psi_b(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b^*(z) \right)_+ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^s \Psi_b(z) \left(\left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_b^*(z) \right)_+ \\ & - \sum_{b=1}^s \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b(z) \right) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_b^*(z) \right)_+ + (s+1) \Psi_a^*(z) \left(\left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_a(z) \right)_- \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \Psi_a(z) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ - \frac{1}{2} \Psi_a(z) \left(\left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ - \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a(z) \right) \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_a^*(z) \right)_+ \vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,2}(z) = \frac{2}{3} \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{aa}(z) \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(w) - \frac{2}{3} \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^3} E_{aa}(w) + \frac{2}{3} \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(w) + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{1,1})'(z) = & \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{dw}{(z-w)} E_{ac}(z) \mathcal{T}_{ca}^{0,1}(w) + \sum_{c=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) E_{cc}(w) - \\ & - \sum_{c=1}^s \left(\int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{cc}(z) \mathcal{T}_{cc}^{0,1}(w) - \mathcal{T}_{cc}^{0,2}(z) \right) + \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(w) - \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,2}(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{1,1})''(z) = & \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} E_{aa}(z) \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{1,0}(w) + \\
& + \sum_{b=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)} \left(\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) - \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) \right) E_{bb}(w) - \\
& - \sum_{b=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)^2} E_{aa}(z) E_{bb}(w) - \sum_{b=1}^s \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{(z-w)^3} E_{bb}(w) \\
& + \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)} \left(\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) - \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) \right) E_{aa}(w) \\
& - \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{w(z-w)^2} E_{aa}(z) E_{aa}(w) - \int_{\substack{w \circlearrowleft 0 \\ |w| \ll |z|}} \frac{zdw}{(z-w)^3} E_{aa}(w) \\
& + \sum_{b=1}^s \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)} \left(\mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) - z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,0}(z) \right) E_{bb}(w) \\
& + \sum_{b=1}^s \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^3} E_{bb}(w) - \sum_{b=1}^s \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{dw}{(w-z)^2} E_{aa}(z) E_{bb}(w) \\
& + s \left(\int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(w) - \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^3} E_{aa}(w) \right) - \frac{s}{2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,2}(z) + \frac{s}{2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(z) \\
& + 2 \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^3} E_{aa}(w) - 2 \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{(w-z)^2} \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,1}(w) + \int_{w \circlearrowleft z} \frac{zdw}{w(w-z)^2} E_{aa}(w) + \mathcal{T}_{aa}^{0,2}(z)
\end{aligned}$$

The density $\mathcal{T}_{11}^{2,0}(z)$ has a cumbersome form and we do not present it here. In scalar case ($s = 1$) the matrix coefficient $T_{11}^{2,0}$ is a polynomial in zero mode of the scalar bosonic field:

$$T_{11}^{2,0} = \frac{1}{6} (2a_0^3 - 3a_0^2 + a_0).$$

In scalar case the same is for higher orders: the matrix coefficient $T_{11}^{n,0}$ is a polynomial of degree $(n + 1)$ in zero mode of the scalar bosonic field [12].

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to M.L. Nazarov and E.K. Sklyanin for fruitful discussions on the subject of the paper. The research by M.M. was carried out within the HSE University Basic Research Program and funded jointly by the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100'. It was also supported in part by the Simons Foundation. S.K appreciates the support of Russian Science Foundation grant, project 16-11-10316 used for the proofs of Propositions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 section 4.

References

- [1] T. Arakawa, *Drinfeld functor and finite-dimensional representations of the Yangian*, Commun. Math. Phys. **205** (1999), 1–18.
- [2] H. Awata, Y. Matsuo, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi *Collective field theory, Calogero-Sutherland model and generalized matrix models*, Physics Letters B 347.1 (1995), 49-55.
- [3] H. Awata, Y. Matsuo and T. Yamamoto, *Collective field description of spin Calogero-Sutherland models*, J. Phys. **A29** (1996) 3089-3098
- [4] Bernard, D., Gaudin, M., Haldane, F. D. M., Pasquier, V. *Yang-Baxter equation in spin chains with long range interactions*, J. Phys. A 26 (1993), 5219. arXiv preprint hep-th/9301084.
- [5] V. G. Drinfeld. Degenerate affine Hecke algebras and Yangians. Funct. Anal. Appl., **20**:1 (1986) 62–64.
- [6] G. J. Heckman, *An elementary approach to the hypergeometric shift operators of Opdam*, Inventiones mathematicae **103**:1 (1991), 341-350.
- [7] Y. Kato and Y. Kuramoto *Exact solution of the Sutherland model with arbitrary internal symmetry* Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** 1222 (1995)
- [8] S.M. Khoroshkin, M.G. Matushko, *Fermionic limit of the Calogero-Sutherland system*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 60.7 (2019): 071706.
- [9] S.M. Khoroshkin, M.G. Matushko, E.K.Sklyanin, *On spin Calogero–Moser system at infinity*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, **50**:11 (2017), 115203
- [10] S. M. Khoroshkin, M. L. Nazarov, *Yangians and Mickelsson algebras. II*, Moscow Mathematical Journal **6**:3 (2006), 477-504.
- [11] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford university press (1998).
- [12] M. G. Matushko, *Calogero-Sutherland system at free fermion point*, to appear in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics
- [13] A. Molev, M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **51** (1996), 205–282.
- [14] Nazarov, Maxim. *On the spin Calogero-Sutherland model at infinity*, Progress in Mathematics (2018). In Press
- [15] M.L. Nazarov and E. K. Sklyanin *Sekiguchi-Debiard operators at infinity*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 324.3 (2013): 831-849.

- [16] M.L. Nazarov and E.K. Sklyanin *Integrable hierarchy of the quantum Benjamin-Ono equation* Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications SIGMA **9** (2013), 078,
- [17] A. P. Polychronakos, *Exchange operator formalism for integrable systems of particles*, Physical Review Letters **69**:5 (1992), 703.
- [18] A. N. Sergeev, A. P. Veselov, *Dunkl operators at infinity and Calogero-Moser systems*, International Mathematics Research Notices, **21** (2015), 10959-10986.
- [19] Uglov D. *Yangian actions on higher level irreducible integrable modules of affine $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N$* , eprint arXiv preprint math/9802048. – 1998.