Nonclassical light at exceptional points of a quantum $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric two-mode system
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A two-mode optical parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetric system, with gain and damping, described by a quantum quadratic Hamiltonian with additional small Kerr-like nonlinear terms, is analyzed from the point of view of nonclassical-light generation. Two kinds of stationary states with different types of (in)stability are revealed. Properties of one of these are related to the presence of semiclassical exceptional points, i.e., exotic degeneracies of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian describing the studied system without quantum jumps. The evolution of the logarithmic negativity, principal squeezing variances, and sub-shot-noise photon-number correlations, considered as entanglement and nonclassicality quantifiers, is analyzed in the approximation of linear-operator corrections to the classical solution. Suitable conditions for nonclassical-light generation are identified in the oscillatory regime, especially at and around exceptional points that considerably enhance the nonlinear interaction and, thus, the non-classicality of the generated light. The role of quantum fluctuations, inevitably accompanying attenuation and amplification in the evolution of quantum states, is elucidated. The evolution of the system is analyzed for different initial conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetric Hamiltonians have attracted a great deal of attention after the occurrence of the paper by Bender and Boettcher [1–3] in which they showed how such Hamiltonians can be applied for describing physical systems. We note that Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is considered to be $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric if it is invariant under the combined action of the parity $\mathcal{P}$ and the time-reversal $\mathcal{T}$ operators (i.e., $\hat{H}$ commutes with the $\mathcal{PT}$ operator.) Such Hamiltonians, although involving damping and amplification, are endowed with real eigenvalues in certain ranges of their parameters [4]. This is so due to certain balance between the damping and amplification and other parameters describing an open quantum system. Such Hamiltonians have been successfully used for describing numerous classical physical systems involving optical coupled structures [5–8], optical waveguides [9, 10], optical lattices [11–14], coupled optical microresonators [15–19], quantum-electrodynamics circuits (QED) [20], optomechanical systems [21, 22], systems with complex potentials [23], photonics molecules [24], etc. Enhanced sensing in such systems has been demonstrated [17, 25]. Also $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric chaotic systems [26–28] and systems exhibiting bidirectional invisibility [29] have been addressed.

Balance between damping and amplification leads, among others, to considerable effective enhancement of both linear and nonlinear interactions in physical systems for suitable parameters, especially at and around exceptional points (EPs) [30, 31]. This is appealing for quantum physicists as it may result in the enhancement of nonclassical behavior of such systems. Here, the question arises what is the counterpart of classical $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians in quantum physics [32–37] and how they behave. The crucial problem here is the conservation of commutation relations among the field operators that is disturbed by the processes of both damping and amplification. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem tells us that both damping and amplification are accompanied by fluctuating forces that affect an analyzed system [35]. Whereas damping and amplification can compensate (equilibrate) each other in the classical evolution, the fluctuating forces related to both of them cannot due to their randomness and quantumness [35]. Especially the noise occurring in the amplification represents a problem [35] that can be circumvented in passive quasi-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems [38]. Although the presence of fluctuating forces disturb the balance between damping and amplification, to a certain extent, the enhancement of interactions remains. Strong effective nonlinear interactions can then be used to support the generation of nonclassical light [33, 34]. Moreover, other purely quantum effects have been predicted in quantum $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems including the generation of en-
tangle [39] and the quantum Zeno effect [40]. Also their application in quantum-information processing has been discussed in Ref. [41].

The main goal of this work is the analysis of nonclassical properties of light generated in a typical two-mode $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system with the emphasis on their improvement due to the enhancement of nonlinear interactions in the vicinity of EPs. Here we study the standard (i.e., semiclassical) EPs, corresponding to $\mathcal{PT}$ phase transitions, which are degeneracies of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians describing effectively the system in its semiclassical regime (i.e., without quantum jumps). The motivation for this goal is a rapidly growing research interest and progress, both theoretical and experimental, on EPs in the last decade, as summarized in two recent reviews [30, 31]. This interest has been stimulated by the observation of nontrivial and often counter-intuitive optical and condensed-matter phenomena in the vicinity of EPs, together with the enhancement of interactions. These phenomena can be used to enhance light-matter interactions, as well as to control the generation, transfer, and detection of light. These EP-induced effects include: enhancement of sensing [17, 25, 42], loss-induced photon [16, 43, 44] and phonon [45, 46] lasing, nonreciprocal light transmission [15, 44], unidirectional invisibility [47, 48], chiral modes and directional lasing [49], lasing with enhanced-mode selectivity [50, 51], asymmetric mode switching [52], group velocity control via optomechanically-induced transparency [53], and enhanced optomechanical cooling [54], among many other effects. Applications of EPs are not limited to standard photonics, but also have been proposed for, e.g., microwave photonics using superconducting quantum circuits [20], quantum plasmonics [55] (for a review see [56]), electronics [57, 58], metamaterials [59], cavity optomechanics [45, 54, 60], and acoustics [61, 62]. The EPs, which correspond to $\mathcal{PT}$ phase transitions, are useful to reveal and describe dynamical phase transitions in condensed-matter open quantum systems and to classify their topological phases [63–70] or topological energy transfers [71]. Inspired by these various applications of EPs in the vast majority of semiclassical systems, we now study the behavior of a typically pure quantum $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system at and around EPs.

Although we consider a typical quantum-optical $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system composed of two optical modes, the underlying dynamical operator equations and the resulting behavior are relevant for effective description of analogous systems including matter-field interactions and discussed in some of the above references in the semiclassical approach. Two considered optical modes, one damped and the other amplified, interact via the linear coupling (for scrutinizing the results, see Ref. [72]). A Kerr-type nonlinearity, typical for physical $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems and originating, e.g., in the gain saturation, is assumed in both modes [33, 34]. Nonclassical behavior of the analyzed system that stems from the Kerr nonlinearity is accompanied by that originating in parametric down-conversion [73, 74]. Whereas the role of parametric down-conversion in nonclassical-light generation dominates for lower field intensities, the Kerr nonlinearity gives considerable contribution to nonclassical properties at higher field intensities.

In optics, such models comprising two mutually linearly interacting Kerr nonlinear oscillators are referred to as the Kerr coupled systems. They result in truncation of the Hilbert space (nonlinear quantum scissors) [83–86] and subsequent generation of highly entangled states [77, 84, 87]. Various forms of quantum correlations such as the entanglement and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) steering were also predicted in short chains of quantum Kerr oscillators [88–91]. On the other hand, the process of parametric down-conversion analyzed alone without any damping or amplification gives rise to both single-mode squeezing and entanglement between both modes expressed through sub-shot-noise photon-number correlations [92].

In our quantum $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric model, parametric down-conversion is treated exactly, contrary to the Kerr nonlinearity that is incorporated by using linear-operator corrections to the classical solution obtained for mean values. Properties of steady states including their stability are related to the presence of EPs. In our analysis, we focus on the region with oscillations in the evolution, where real eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are found and which is the most promising for nonclassical-light generation. EPs lie at the border of this region and provide the greatest effective enhancement of nonlinear interactions. The analysis of similar systems in the regime with amplification, in which complex eigenvalues occur, can be found in Refs. [33, 34] including squeezed-light generation. The oscillatory regime with $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry may also be broken due to larger mode intensities, as shown in Ref. [93] by numerical simulations of a classical nonlinear evolution.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the model and find its solution in the approximation of linear-operator corrections to the classical solution. Also entanglement and non-classicality quantifiers used in the analysis are introduced and determined in Sec. II. Steady states of the corresponding classical nonlinear equations and their stability are discussed in Sec. III. Section IV brings the analytical solution of the simplified model that includes just the linear coupling between modes and parametric down-conversion as the source of non-classicality and entanglement. The evolution of quantum states, including the effect of entangle-
ment sudden depth and rebirth, is discussed in general in Sec. V. Section VI is devoted to the generation of quantum states at EPs. Finally, Sec. VII brings conclusions. In Appendix A, stability analysis of steady states is given.

II. TWO-MODE $\mathcal{PT}$-SYMMETRIC SYSTEM WITH PARAMETRIC DOWN-CONVERSION AND ITS QUANTUM EVOLUTION

A. Hamiltonian

We consider a quantum system composed of two optical oscillator modes, labelled as 1 and 2, with identical frequencies $\omega$ described by the following Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ written in the interaction representation [94]:

$$\hat{H} = -i\gamma_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 - i\gamma_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \left[ \epsilon \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \kappa \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \beta_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^2 + \beta_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^2 + \beta_3 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2. \tag{1}$$

In Eq. (1), symbol $\hat{a}_j$ ($\hat{a}_j^\dagger$) stands for the annihilation (creation) operator of a photon in mode $j$, $j = 1, 2$, and h.c. replaces the Hermitian conjugated terms. Whereas mode 1 dissipates at the rate $\gamma_1 \geq 0$, mode 2 is amplified at the rate $-\gamma_2 \geq 0$. Both modes are linearly connected via the coupling constants $\epsilon$ and $\kappa$. The coupling constant $\epsilon$ describes the exchange of photons between both modes, that conserves the energy. It originates, e.g., in the overlap of evanescent waves of wave-guided fields. On the other hand, the coupling constant $\kappa$ characterizes parametric down-conversion with the simultaneous creation (or annihilation) of two photons, one in mode 1, the other in mode 2. This constant, that quantifies adding or removing the energy in/from the system, is responsible for nonclassical behavior. We note that this term also usually occurs in QED Hamiltonians when non-rotating-wave-approximation (non-RWA) solutions are considered [20]. Moreover, also small Kerr nonlinear terms with nonlinear coupling constants $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ appropriate for modes 1 and 2, respectively, as well as a small cross-Kerr nonlinear term ($\beta_3$) are written in Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ in Eq. (1). These Kerr terms occur naturally in nonlinear photonic structures [73] and also when an optical field interacts with two-level atoms to experience damping or amplification [95].

A $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric form of Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ written in Eq. (1) requires that the exchange of operators of modes 1 and 2 in Eq. (1) transforms the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ into its Hermitian conjugated operator $\hat{H}^\dagger$. This occurs provided that all constants appearing in Eq. (1) are real and

$$\gamma_2 = -\gamma_1, \quad \beta_2 = \beta_1. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, to be useful in physics, the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric form of Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ should have real eigenvalues [see the condition in Eq. (6) below]. We note that the role of quantum noise in nonlinear evolution of the system under the conditions in Eq. (2) and $\kappa = 0$ was addressed in [30].

B. Heisenberg approach without Langevin forces

To analyze the dynamics of the considered system, we invoke the usual canonical commutation relations for field operators [96] and derive the Heisenberg equations from Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ in Eq. (1):

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_1}{dt} = -\gamma_1 \hat{a}_1 - i\epsilon \hat{a}_2 - i\kappa \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 - 2i\beta_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^2, \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_2}{dt} = -\gamma_2 \hat{a}_2 - i\epsilon \hat{a}_1 - i\kappa \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 - 2i\beta_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^2,$$

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_1^\dagger}{dt} = -\gamma_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger + i\epsilon \hat{a}_2^\dagger + i\kappa \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1^\dagger - 2i\beta_1 \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^2, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_2^\dagger}{dt} = -\gamma_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger + i\epsilon \hat{a}_1^\dagger + i\kappa \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2^\dagger - 2i\beta_2 \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^2.$$ 

Algebraic analysis of the dynamical matrix of Eq. (4) results in two doubly degenerate eigenfrequencies $\bar{\nu}_{1,2}$:

$$\bar{\nu}_{1,2} = -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \pm \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - \kappa^2 - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)^2}. \tag{5}$$

According to Eq. (5), real frequencies occur provided that $\gamma_2 = -\gamma_1 \equiv -\gamma$ and

$$\mu^2 \equiv \epsilon^2 - \kappa^2 - \gamma^2 \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

In this case, the eigenvectors $\hat{Y}_{\nu_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, can be derived in the following form:

$$\hat{Y}_{\nu_1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^\pm \xi, -\zeta^\mp \xi, \pm \zeta^\pm (\mu + i\gamma), \mp \zeta^\mp (\mu + i\gamma) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{Y}_{\nu_2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^\pm \xi, -\zeta^\mp \xi, \pm \zeta^\pm (\mu - i\gamma), \mp \zeta^\mp (\mu - i\gamma) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\xi = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - \kappa^2}$, $\zeta^\pm = \sqrt{\epsilon \pm \xi}$, and $\mu = \sqrt{\xi^2 - \gamma^2}$. Equality in Eq. (6) identifies semiclassical EPs [1] that form the boundary in the space of parameters. For the EPs, the eigenvectors belonging in Eq. (7) to different eigenfrequencies coincide, i.e., $\hat{Y}_{\nu_1} = \hat{Y}_{\nu_2}$. We note that Eq. (3) does not include quantum Langevin forces. Thus, the calculated EPs are semiclassical, which might be completely different from quantum EPs related to the Liouvillians, except for the special case of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) with $\epsilon > 0$ and $\kappa = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_\epsilon = 0$. 


Indeed, as shown in Ref. [37], in this case the quantum and semiclassical EPs are essentially equivalent for larger excitations.

The corresponding classical equations are written for complex amplitudes $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ of fields (in the coherent states $|\alpha_1\rangle$ and $|\alpha_2\rangle$) in modes 1 and 2, respectively:

$$\frac{d\alpha_1}{dt} = -\gamma_1 \alpha_1 - i\epsilon \alpha_2 - i\kappa_2 - i [\beta_1 |\alpha_2|^2 + 2\beta_2 |\alpha_1|^2] \alpha_1,$$

$$\frac{d\alpha_2}{dt} = -\gamma_2 \alpha_2 - i\epsilon \alpha_1 - i\kappa_1 - i [\beta_1 |\alpha_2|^2 + 2\beta_2 |\alpha_1|^2] \alpha_2.$$

These equations allow in general only for numerical solution.

C. Langevin-Heisenberg approach for quantum amplitude corrections

To solve the nonlinear operator equations in Eq. (3), we adopt the method of linear-operator corrections to the classical solution. Some of the quantum features of the evolving states are then described by the equations for the operator-amplitude corrections $\delta a_j$, $j = 1, 2$, to the classical solution ($\tilde{a}_j = \alpha_j + \delta a_j$). For the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), they attain the form:

$$\frac{d\delta a_1}{dt} = - (\gamma_1 + 4i\beta_1 |\alpha_1|^2 + i\beta_2 |\alpha_2|^2) \delta a_1 - 2i\beta_1 |\alpha_1|^2 \delta a_1^\dagger$$

$$- i(\epsilon + \beta_\alpha |\alpha_2|^2) \delta a_2 - i(\kappa + \beta_\alpha |\alpha_2|^2) \delta a_2^\dagger + i\tilde{l}_1,$$

$$\frac{d\delta a_2}{dt} = -i(\epsilon + \beta_\alpha |\alpha_2|^2) \delta a_1 - i(\kappa + \beta_\alpha |\alpha_2|^2) \delta a_1^\dagger$$

$$- (\gamma_2 + 4i\beta_2 |\alpha_2|^2 + i\beta_\alpha |\alpha_1|^2) \delta a_2 - 2i\beta_2 |\alpha_2|^2 \delta a_2^\dagger$$

$$+ i\tilde{l}_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The newly introduced fluctuating Langevin operator forces $\tilde{l}_1$ and $\tilde{l}_2$ independently compensate for the damping in mode 1 and the amplification in mode 2. We assume their statistical properties in the Markov and Gaussian form [32, 36, 96]:

$$\langle \tilde{l}_1(t)\tilde{l}_1(t') \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \tilde{l}_1(t)\tilde{l}_2(t') \rangle = 2\gamma_1 \delta(t - t'),$$

$$\langle \tilde{l}_2(t)\tilde{l}_2(t') \rangle = 2\gamma_2 \delta(t - t'), \quad \langle \tilde{l}_2(t)\tilde{l}_1(t') \rangle = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\delta$ stands for the Dirac function.

Equations (9) together with those for the Hermitian-conjugated operator amplitude corrections $\delta a_j^\dagger$, $j = 1, 2$, represent a closed set of four linear operator equations having the following matrix form:

$$\frac{d\delta \hat{A}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{M} \delta \hat{A}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\delta \hat{A}^T = (\delta a_1, \delta a_1^\dagger, \delta a_2, \delta a_2^\dagger)$, $\mathbf{L}^T = (\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_1^\dagger, \tilde{l}_2, \tilde{l}_2^\dagger)$, and $\mathbf{M}$ is the appropriate matrix. The solution of Eq. (11) is written as [75]:

$$\delta \hat{A}(t) = \mathbf{P}(t, 0) \delta \hat{A}(0) + \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t) = \int_0^t d\tau \mathbf{P}(t, \tau) \tilde{\mathbf{L}}(\tau).$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The evolution matrix $\mathbf{P}(t, t')$ is obtained as a solution of the equation

$$d\mathbf{P}(t, t')/dt = \mathbf{M}(t)\mathbf{P}(t, t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

assuming $\mathbf{P}(t', t)$ is the unity matrix. The correlation functions of the fluctuating operator forces $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t)$ defined in Eq. (13) are derived from those in Eq. (10) using the formula [75]:

$$\langle \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t)\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^T(t) \rangle = \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^t d\tau' \mathbf{P}(t, \tau) \langle \tilde{\mathbf{L}}(\tau)\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^T(\tau') \rangle \mathbf{P}^T(t, t').$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Using Eq. (12), we express the solution of Eq. (9) for the original operator amplitude corrections in the simplified form:

$$\delta \hat{a}(t) = \mathbf{U}(t)\delta \hat{a}(0) + \mathbf{V}(t)\delta \hat{a}_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $\delta \hat{a}_0 \equiv (\delta a_1, \delta a_2)$, $U_{j,k}(t) = P_{2j-1,2k-1}(t, 0)$, $V_{j,k}(t) = P_{2j-1,2k}(t, 0)$, and $\tilde{f}_j(t) = \tilde{F}_{2j-1}(t)$, $j, k = 1, 2$.

In our analysis, in which we assume incident vacuum or coherent states, the generated states remain Gaussian and so we express their normal characteristic function $C_N$ as [94]

$$C_N(\mu_1, \mu_2, t) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2} \left[ (\alpha_j^*(t)\mu_j - c.c.) - B_j(t)\mu_j^2 + (C_j(t)\mu_j^2 - c.c.) / 2 \right] \right\} + (D(t)\mu_1^2 + D(t)\mu_2 + c.c.),$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where c.c. replaces the complex-conjugated term. The functions $B_j, C_j (j = 1, 2), D$, and $\tilde{D}$ introduced in Eq. (17) are given for the incident coherent states as:

$$B_j(t) = \langle \delta a_j^*(t)\delta a_j(t) \rangle = \sum_{l=1,2} \left[ |V_{jl}(t)|^2 + \langle \tilde{f}_j(t)\tilde{f}_j(t) \rangle \right],$$

$$C_j(t) = \langle |\delta a_j(t)|^2 \rangle = \sum_{l=1,2} \left[ U_{jl}(t)V_{2l}(t) + \langle \tilde{f}_j(t) \rangle^2 \right],$$

$$D(t) = \langle \delta a_1(t)\delta a_2(t) \rangle = \sum_{l=1,2} \left[ U_{1l}(t)V_{2l}(t) + \langle \tilde{f}_1(t)\tilde{f}_2(t) \rangle \right],$$

$$\tilde{D}(t) = -\langle \delta a_1(t)\delta a_2(t) \rangle = -\sum_{l=1,2} \left[ V_{1l}^*(t)V_{2l}(t) + \langle \tilde{f}_1(t)\tilde{f}_2(t) \rangle \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

As the functions $D$ and $\tilde{D}$ occur in the characteristic function $C_N$ in Eq. (18), the described state may exhibit entanglement. It can be quantified via the logarithmic negativity $E_N$ determined from the symmetric covariance matrix as described in Ref. [97]. This entanglement may lead to quantum correlations between the photon numbers $n_1$ and $n_2$ of both modes. These correlations are usually quantified by the sub-shot-noise parameter
For the characteristic function \( \hat{\rho} \) from the third one multiplied by \( \hat{\rho} \) and \( \hat{\bar{\rho}} \) and \( R^{<} \) stability. Expressing the complex amplitudes we find two nontrivial steady states and analyze their coefficients in Eq. (22) and find \( R^{<} \).

\[ R = 1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2} \left[ \beta_j^2 + |c_j|^2 \right] - 2|d|^2 - 2|\bar{d}|^2 \right\} / (b_1 + b_2) \]  
where \( b_j = |\alpha_j|^2 + B_j, c_j = \alpha_j^2 + C_j (j = 1, 2), d = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + D, \) and \( d = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - D. \)

The generated states exhibit their non-classicality also as the squeezing of phase fluctuations of their complex amplitudes. Both single-mode squeezing quantified by the principal squeezing variances \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) smaller than 1 \([98]\) and two-mode squeezing described by the principal squeezing variance \( \lambda < 2 \) \([94]\) may be observed:

\[ \lambda_j = 1 + 2(B_j - |C_j|), \quad j = 1, 2, \] 
\[ \lambda = 1 + 2(B_1 + B_2 - D - D^\ast - |C_1 + C_2 + 2D|). \]  

\[ \text{III. STEADY STATES AND THEIR STABILITY ANALYSIS} \]

For the general form of Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) in Eq. (1), we find two nontrivial steady states and analyze their stability. Expressing the complex amplitudes \( \alpha_j \) as \( g_j \exp(i \varphi_j), j = 1, 2, \) we transform the nonlinear equations in Eq. (3) into the form:

\[ \frac{d\varphi_1}{dt} = -\gamma_1 \varphi_1 + [\epsilon \sin(\varphi) - \kappa \sin(\psi)] \varphi_2, \] 
\[ \frac{d\varphi_2}{dt} = -\gamma_2 \varphi_2 - [\epsilon \sin(\varphi) + \kappa \sin(\psi)] \varphi_1, \] 
\[ \frac{d\varphi_1}{dt} = -\left[ \epsilon \cos(\varphi) + \kappa \cos(\psi) \right] \frac{\varphi_2}{\varphi_1} - \beta_c \varphi_1^2 - 2\beta_1 \varphi_1^2, \] 
\[ \frac{d\varphi_2}{dt} = -\left[ \epsilon \cos(\varphi) + \kappa \cos(\psi) \right] \frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2} - \beta_c \varphi_2^2 - 2\beta_2 \varphi_2^2, \]  

where \( \psi = \varphi_2 + \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi = \varphi_2 - \varphi_1. \)

To reveal steady states of Eqs. (22), we set

\[ \frac{d\varphi_1}{dt} = \frac{d\varphi_2}{dt} = \frac{d\varphi_1}{dt} = \frac{d\varphi_2}{dt} = 0. \]  

The subtraction of the fourth equation multiplied by \( g_1^2 \) from the third one multiplied by \( g_2^2 \) leads us to the relation between the amplitudes \( g_1 \) and \( g_2\):

\[ g_1^* = \beta_{12} g_2^*, \] 

where \( \beta_{12} = \sqrt[4]{\beta_1 / \beta_2} \) (we assume \( \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0 \)). For the steady states and their stability analysis in the special case in which only the cross-Kerr nonlinearity is present \((\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0, \beta_c \neq 0)\), see Ref. \([99]\).

Using this relation, the first and second equations in Eqs. (22) are transformed into the form:

\[ \gamma_2 \beta_{12} = -\epsilon \sin(\varphi^*) - \kappa \sin(\psi^*), \] 
\[ \gamma_1 / \beta_{12} = \epsilon \sin(\varphi^*) - \kappa \sin(\psi^*). \]  

The solution of the linear algebraic equations in Eq. (25) with respect to \( \sin(\varphi^*) \) and \( \sin(\psi^*) \) leaves us with the formulas for angles \( \varphi^* \) and \( \psi^* \):

\[ \psi^* = \arcsin \left[ \frac{-\gamma_2 \beta_{12} - \gamma_1 / \beta_{12}}{2\kappa} \right], \] 
\[ \varphi^* = \arcsin \left[ \frac{-\gamma_2 \beta_{12} + \gamma_1 / \beta_{12}}{2\kappa} \right]. \]  

Finally, applying Eq. (24) in the third equation in Eqs. (22) we arrive at the formula for \( g_1^* \) (we assume \( \beta_c > -2\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} \))

\[ g_1^* = \sqrt{\frac{-c_e - c_\kappa}{\beta_c \beta_{12} + 2\beta_1 / \beta_{12}}} \]  

that identifies two distinct steady states. We have for the first one

\[ c_e = \mp \epsilon |\cos(\varphi^*)| \] 
\[ \text{and} \quad c_\kappa = \mp \kappa |\cos(\psi^*)|, \]  

where the combination of signs has to guarantee that \( g_1^* \geq 0. \) On the other hand, the second steady state is identified via one combination of signs assuring that \( g_1^* \geq 0:\)

\[ c_e = \pm \epsilon |\cos(\varphi^*)| \] 
\[ \text{and} \quad c_\kappa = \pm \kappa |\cos(\psi^*)|. \]  

A numerical analysis of the first steady state of Eq. (28) reveals the expected physical behavior. The state is stable [unstable] if the damping in the system dominates [is dominated by] its amplification \((\gamma_1 > |\gamma_2|) \quad [(\gamma_1 < |\gamma_2|)]. \) For the \( PT \)-symmetric case \( \gamma_2 = -\gamma_1, \) the frequencies in the stability analysis are real (for the analytical results in this case, see Appendix A).

On the other hand, the second steady state of Eq. (29) is unstable independently of the relation between the values of \( \gamma_1 \) and \( |\gamma_2|. \) Only in EPs occurring in \( PT \)-symmetric systems, all frequencies in the stability analysis are zero (see Fig. 1). We note that the analyzed EPs are semiclassical, i.e., they belong to open quantum models in their semiclassical limit, where quantum jumps are ignored. In open models with quantum jumps, quantum EPs can be analyzed using the Liouvillian spectra, as recently demonstrated in \([37]\). In the analyzed semiclassical EPs, the amplitudes \( \beta_1^* \) and \( \beta_2^* \) of the steady state vanish, i.e., the steady state coincides with the trivial steady state. In our opinion, the presence of such specific steady states in nonlinear systems reveals the same values of parameters as those identified by the linear analysis as EPs [compare Eq. (6)].

If a steady state is considered as the classical solution, the matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) of the linear operator equations in Eq. (11) is time independent and the evolution can be
formulated in terms of its eigenvalues \((-i\tilde{\nu}_j)\) and corresponding eigenvectors \(Y_j, j = 1, \ldots, 4\). Forming a matrix \(Y\) from the eigenvectors \(Y_j\) placed as columns and introducing the matrix \(Y^\dagger\) as its inverse, we can express the elements of the matrices \(U\) and \(V\) in Eq. (16) and the matrix \((\tilde{F} F^\dagger)\) in Eq. (15) as follows:

\[
U_{jk}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{4} \exp(-i\tilde{\nu}_l t) Y_{2j-1,l} Y_{2k-1,l},
\]
\[
V_{jk}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{4} \exp(-i\tilde{\nu}_l t) Y_{2j-1,l} Y_{2k,l},
\]
\[
(\tilde{F} F^\dagger)(t) = 2i \sum_{j,l,l',l''=1}^{4} Y_{kl} Y_{k'l'} \frac{\exp[-i(\tilde{\nu}_l + \tilde{\nu}_{l'}) t] - 1}{\tilde{\nu}_l + \tilde{\nu}_{l'}} \times \left[ \gamma_{1l} y_{1,l} y_{2,2} - \gamma_2 y_{4,l} y_{3,l} \right].
\]

**IV. PARAMETRIC DOWN-CONVERSION AS THE SOURCE OF NON-CLASSICALITY IN \(\mathcal{PT}\)-SYMMETRIC SYSTEM**

Before we analyze the behavior of the system under general conditions numerically, we derive analytical formulas for the considered quantities in the most simplified form of Hamiltonian \(\tilde{H}\) in which only \(\epsilon\) and \(\kappa\) are nonzero. Zero values of \(\gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\) mean no fluctuating forces. This analysis provides an insight into the behavior of non-classicality.

In this case, the field operators obey Eq. (4) with \(\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0\). Equation (5) gives us two doubly degenerate real eigenfrequencies \(\tilde{\nu}_{1,2} = \pm \xi, \xi = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - \kappa^2}\). The solution of the Heisenberg equations is then obtained as

\[
\dot{a}_1(t) = \cos(\xi t) a_1(0)
\]
\[
- i \sin(\xi t) \left[ (\epsilon/\xi) \dot{a}_2(0) + (\kappa/\xi) a_2^\dagger(0) \right],
\]
\[
\dot{a}_2(t) = \cos(\xi t) \dot{a}_2(0)
\]
\[
- i \sin(\xi t) \left[ (\epsilon/\xi) a_1(0) + (\kappa/\xi) a_1^\dagger(0) \right].
\]

For the solution in Eq. (31), the parameters of the characteristic function \(C_N\) defined in Eqs. (18) attain the form:

\[
B_1(t) = B_2(t) = (\kappa/\xi)^2 \sin^2(\xi t),
\]
\[
C_1(t) = C_2(t) = -(\kappa/\xi^2) \sin^2(\xi t),
\]
\[
D(t) = -i(\kappa/\xi) \sin(2\xi t)/2,
\]
\[
\tilde{D} = 0.
\]

Further, the symmetric covariance matrix \(C_S\) is derived in the following form:

\[
C_S = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + d_1(t) & 0 & 0 & d_3(t) \\
0 & 1 + d_2(t) & d_3(t) & 0 \\
0 & d_3(t) & 1 + d_1(t) & 0 \\
d_3(t) & 0 & 0 & 1 + d_2(t)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \(d_1(t) = -[2\kappa/(\epsilon + \kappa)]\sin^2(\xi t)\), \(d_2(t) = [2\kappa/(\epsilon - \kappa)]\sin^2(\xi t)\), and \(d_3(t) = -[\kappa/\xi]\sin(2\xi t)\). The symplectic eigenvalues \(\sigma_{\pm}\) of the positive-partial-transposed (PPT) covariance matrix \(C_S\) with \(\det(C_S) = 1\) fulfill \(\sigma_{\pm}^2 = (\Delta \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 4})/2\) \([97, 100]\) where the seralian \(\Delta\) (the second global invariant of matrices \(4 \times 4\), that accompanies the determinant, see, e.g., \([97]\)) is derived as:

\[
\Delta = 2 + (2\kappa/\xi^2) \sin^2(2\xi t).
\]

Using these results, the positive logarithmic negativity \(E_N\) \([97, 100]\) is determined by the formula \(E_N = -\log(\sigma_-^2)/2\) applicable if \(|\sigma_-| < 1\):

\[
E_N(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + 2 \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + g^2(t)}}{g^2(t)} \right)
\]

and \(g(t) = \xi/|\kappa\sin(2\xi t)|\).
Assuming the incident vacuum states, Eq. (19) provides the sub-shot-noise parameter $R$ as

$$R(t) = 2(\epsilon/\xi)^2\sin^2(\xi t).$$

(36)

The principal squeezing variances, determined along Eqs. (20) and (21), are obtained for arbitrary incident coherent states as:

$$\lambda_1(t) = \lambda_2(t) = 1 - 2[\kappa/(\epsilon + \kappa)]\sin^2(\xi t),$$

$$\lambda(t) = 2 \left[ 1 + 2[\kappa/(\epsilon + \kappa)]\sin^2(\xi t) - 2(\kappa/\xi^2)|\sin(\xi t)| \times \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - \kappa^2\cos^2(\xi t)} \right].$$

(37)

For the semiclassical EP occurring for $\epsilon = \kappa$, the above formulas simplify to

$$E_N(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + 8\epsilon^2 t^2 - 4\epsilon t \sqrt{1 + 4\epsilon^2 t^2} \right),$$

$$R(t) = 2\epsilon^2 t^2,$$

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1,$$

$$\lambda(t) = 2 \left( 1 + 2\epsilon^2 t^2 - 2\epsilon t \sqrt{1 + 4\epsilon^2 t^2} \right).$$

(38)

According to Eq. (38), the non-classicality is ‘encoded’ only in the correlations between the modes. Whereas the sub-shot-noise parameter $R$ is nonclassical only for short times, two-mode squeezing gradually develops with time and it becomes maximal for $t \to \infty$. Except for $t = 0$, the states are entangled and the logarithmic negativity $E_N$ even goes to infinity for $t \to \infty$.

For a system with general parameters ($\epsilon \neq \kappa$), the maximal value $E_N^{\max}$ of the logarithmic negativity and the minimal values of the sub-shot-noise parameter $R^{\min}$ and the principal squeezing variances $(\lambda_1^{\min}, \lambda_2^{\min})$ taken over $t \in (0, \infty)$ reveal the system potential to generate nonclassical states:

$$E_N^{\max} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\epsilon - \kappa}{\epsilon + \kappa} \right),$$

$$R^{\min} = 0,$$

$$\lambda_1^{\min} = \lambda_2^{\min} = \frac{\epsilon - \kappa}{\epsilon + \kappa}.$$

(39)

The analysis of formulas in Eq. (39) confirms that the best conditions for the generation of nonclassical states occur at the EP, i.e. if $\epsilon = \kappa$. Moreover, the larger the distance $\epsilon - \kappa$ from the EP in the space of parameters is, the worse the non-classicality parameters are. It is worth noticing that the extremal values in Eq. (39) are reached at different time instants: $\xi t = \pi/4 + n\pi$ for $E_N^{\max}$, $\xi t = \pi/2 + n\pi$ for $\lambda_1^{\min}$, $\lambda_2^{\min}$ and $\lambda^{\min}$, and $\xi t = (n + 1)\pi$ for $R^{\min}$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$.

V. NONCLASSICAL STATES AND THEIR TIME EVOLUTION

Based on numerical analysis, we extend the discussion of nonclassical properties of the generated states from Sec. IV to the case with damping and amplification. First, we consider only weak damping and amplification. In this case, the system with parametric down-conversion as a source of non-classicality has enough time to evolve an initial classical state into highly nonclassical states endowed with entanglement, squeezing, and sub-shot-noise photon-number correlations, as shown in Fig. 2. Even, provided that we consider an initial state close to the second stationary state of Eq. (29) we observe the effect of entanglement sudden death and rebirth in the evolution of the logarithmic negativity $E_N$ [see Fig. 2(a)]. A comparison of the curves in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) reveals that the states exhibit single mode non-classicality evidenced by the single-mode principal squeezing variances $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ even at time instants in which the entanglement vanishes. Also, the time evolution of the two-mode principal squeezing variance $\lambda$ in Fig. 2(b) documents that its nonclassical values may originate either in single-mode non-classicalities or two-mode entanglement. In general, the curves in Fig. 2 show that even small values of damping and amplification result in a gradual loss of non-classicality during the time evolution.

Greater values of damping and amplification naturally lead to a faster loss of non-classicality for two possible reasons. The first reason is the presence of damping and amplification terms in the Heisenberg equations in Eqs. (9), the second one is the presence of fluctuating Langevin forces in Eq. (9) that constantly add the

![Fig. 2. (a) Logarithmic negativity $E_N$ and (b) principal squeezing variances $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, and $\lambda$ as they depend on dimensionless time $\xi t$.](image-url)
FIG. 3. (a) Logarithmic negativity $E_N$, (b) principal squeezing variance $\lambda_2$, (c) principal squeezing variance $\lambda$, and (d) sub-shot-noise parameter $R$ as they depend on dimensionless time $\varepsilon t$; $\gamma = 0.1\varepsilon$, $\kappa = 0.9\varepsilon$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.05\varepsilon$, and $\beta_c = 0$. The initial steady state in Eq. (28) is assumed, evolution is treated with [without] the fluctuating Langevin forces (black solid [red dashed] curves).

Increasing the damping and amplification constants $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ in general reduces the system ability to provide nonclassical states. Greater values of the coupling constant $\kappa$, that is the source of non-classicality, allow the observation of highly nonclassical states for greater values of the damping and amplification constants $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$. According to Fig. 4(a), the logarithmic negativity $E_N^{\text{max}}$ attains its greatest values [the greatest non-classicality] for $\kappa/\varepsilon$ close to 1 and $\gamma$ close to 0 and decreasing (increasing) values of $\kappa$ ($\gamma$) systematically reduce its values [reduce the non-classicality]. From the point of view of non-classicality, the sub-shot-noise parameter $R^{\text{min}}$ and the two-mode principal squeezing variance $\lambda^{\text{min}}$ behave qualitatively similarly as the logarithmic negativity $E_N^{\text{max}}$. Also the single-mode principal squeezing variances $\lambda_1^{\text{min}}$ and $\lambda_2^{\text{min}}$ reach their smallest values [the greatest non-classicality] in the area with greater $\kappa$ and small $\gamma$, as shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). The comparison of Figs. 4(b) and 4(c) confirms our general observation that the single-mode non-classicality develops more extensively in the damped mode 1 rather than in the amplified mode 2.

VI. NONCLASSICAL STATES AT EXCEPTIONAL POINTS

As already discussed in Sec. III, the most promising conditions for the nonclassical-light generation are found in EPs in the parameter space, i.e. if $\varepsilon^2 - \kappa^2 - \gamma^2 = 0$ [see Eq. (6)]. This stems from the fact that the interactions...
between modes are enhanced in these points [39]. The ability to generate nonclassical states decreases with the increasing damping and amplification constants $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, as shown in Fig. 5. According to the curves in Fig. 5 drawn for $E_N^{\text{max}}$, $\lambda_1^{\text{min}}$, $\lambda_2^{\text{min}}$, and $R^{\text{min}}$, greater intensities of the incident coherent states lead to greater values of the logarithmic negativity $E_N^{\text{max}}$ and smaller values of the principal squeezing variances $\lambda_1^{\text{min}}$ and $\lambda_2^{\text{min}}$. Moreover, they allow for the observation of single- as well as two-mode squeezing in case of strong damping and amplification, which is impossible for initial coherent states close to the vacuum state. The behavior of sub-shot-noise parameter $R^{\text{min}}$ strongly depends on the relative phase of the initial coherent states [see Fig. 5(d)]. If the initial amplitudes are in-phase, the sub-shot-noise photon-number correlations gradually vanish with the increasing mode intensities. On the other hand, if the initial amplitudes are out of phase, the photon-number correlations become nonclassical ($R^{\text{min}}$) only for greater incident mode intensities.

Nonclassical properties of the generated states depend strongly on initial conditions, namely on the overall initial intensity $I^{\text{in}}$ ($I \equiv |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2$), the initial-intensities unbalance quantified by the angle $\theta^{\text{in}}$ [$|\alpha_1|^2 = \cos^2(\theta)I$, $|\alpha_2|^2 = \sin^2(\theta)I$] and the initial relative phase $\varphi^{\text{in}}$ defined below Eq. (22). We note that strong dependence of the classical solution of the two-mode Kerr system on initial conditions was widely discussed in [93] in relation to $PT$-symmetry breaking. As shown in Fig. 6, the patterns giving the logarithmic negativity $E_N^{\text{max}}$ in the plane $(\theta^{\text{in}}, \varphi^{\text{in}})$ qualitatively depend on the overall initial intensity $I^{\text{in}}$.

Whereas the logarithmic negativity $E_N^{\text{max}}$ depends smoothly on the initial-intensities unbalance $(\theta^{\text{in}})$ for small intensities $I^{\text{in}}$, its strong dependence is observed for greater intensities $I^{\text{in}}$ [compare Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)]. The presence of ring-like structures in Fig. 6(b) reflects stronger influence of the nonlinear Kerr terms to the evolution [the terms with $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$ and $\beta_3$ in Eqs. (3)]. These terms that are weak for small intensities start to play an important role for greater intensities. They, in parallel to those depending on $\kappa$, also serve as a source of non-classicality of the generated states. Qualitatively different patterns of sub-shot-noise parameter $R^{\text{min}}$ drawn for small and large intensities $I^{\text{in}}$ in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) confirm the role of Kerr terms in the state evolution and the development of its nonclassical properties. The patterns of the principal squeezing variances $\lambda_1^{\text{min}}$, $\lambda_2^{\text{min}}$, and $\lambda_3^{\text{min}}$ are similarly affected by the initial intensity $I^{\text{in}}$. 

FIG. 5. (a) Logarithmic negativity $E_N^{\text{max}}$, (b) principal squeezing variance $\lambda_1^{\text{min}}$, (c) principal squeezing variance $\lambda_2^{\text{min}}$, and (d) sub-shot-noise parameter $R^{\text{min}}$ as they depend on dimensionless damping and amplification constant $\gamma/\epsilon$ for semiclassical EPs; $\kappa = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - \gamma^2}$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.05\epsilon$, and $\beta_3 = 0$. Considered initial states: $\alpha_1^{\text{in}} = \alpha_2^{\text{in}} = 1 \times 10^{-8}$ (solid curves with $\circ$), $\alpha_1^{\text{in}} = \alpha_2^{\text{in}} = 1/\sqrt{2}$ [10/\sqrt{2}] (solid curves with $\star(\Delta)$) and $\alpha_1^{\text{in}} = -\alpha_2^{\text{in}} = -i/\sqrt{2}$ [-10i/\sqrt{2}] (dashed curves with $\ast(\bigtriangleup)$).

FIG. 6. Logarithmic negativity $E_N^{\text{max}}$ for (a) $I^{\text{in}} = 1$ and (b) $I^{\text{in}} = 100$ as it depends on initial angle $\theta^{\text{in}}$ giving intensity unbalance and phase difference $\varphi^{\text{in}}$ for $\psi^{\text{in}} = 0$ and the semiclassical EP given as $\gamma/\epsilon = 0.1$, $\kappa = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - \gamma^2}$; $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.05\epsilon$ and $\beta_3 = 0$. 
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VII. CONCLUSIONS

Quantum evolution of a two-mode optical system, described by a quadratic Hamiltonian with additional small nonlinear Kerr terms and exposed to simultaneous damping and amplification, was discussed in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric configuration. Two kinds of stationary states were revealed for the classical nonlinear equations corresponding to the quantum Heisenberg equations of the analyzed system. Whereas the stationary states of the first kind are stable for damping prevailing the amplification, the stationary states of the second kind are always unstable. The latter states coincide with the vacuum state in EPs. This allows, in principle, to look for the EPs through seeking for the stationary states with specific properties. Quantum consistent evolution of fields in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric model was formulated using linear-operator corrections to the classical solution that was found in general numerically. In the model, parametric down-conversion with its creation and annihilation of photons in pairs represents the main source of non-classicality of the emitted fields at lower intensities. These fields can exhibit both two-mode entanglement and single-mode non-classicalities. Stronger parametric down-conversion together with weak damping and amplification were shown to be suitable for the generation of highly nonclassical states judged via the values of the logarithmic negativity, the principal squeezing variances, and the sub-shot-noise parameter. Highly nonclassical states were especially observed at EPs due to the effective enhancement of nonlinearity. It holds in general that the evolution gradually conceals the non-classicality of the evolving states for nonzero damping and amplification. Highly non-classical states are thus obtained for finite time instants. It was shown that the presence of fluctuating Langevin forces (not the sheer presence of damped and amplified terms in the Heisenberg equations) is the main reason for this gradual loss of nonclassicality. The analyzed $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system with parametric down-conversion has been revealed as the source of highly nonclassical light, especially at EPs with weak damping and amplification. These nonclassical properties represent a challenge for its practical realization in the form of a nonlinear photonic structure.
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Appendix A: Stability analysis of steady states

To analyze stability of the steady states revealed in Sec. III and described in Eqs. (28) and (29), we express the used quantities via their deviations $\delta \vartheta_{j}, \delta \varphi, \delta \varphi,$ and $\delta \psi$ from their steady-state values:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_{j} &= \vartheta_{j}^{\text{st}} + \delta \vartheta_{j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \\
\varphi &= \varphi^{\text{st}} + \delta \varphi, \\
\psi &= \psi^{\text{st}} + \delta \psi.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(A1)

The linearized equations for the deviations are derived as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \vartheta_{1} \\ \delta \vartheta_{2} \\ \delta \varphi \\ \delta \psi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_{1} & s_{x} - s_{c} & -c_{c} \varphi^{\text{st}} & -c_{c} \vartheta_{2}^{\text{st}} \\
-s_{x} - s_{c} & -\gamma_{2} & -c_{c} \varphi^{\text{st}} & -c_{c} \vartheta_{2}^{\text{st}} \\
G^{+} & H^{+} & I^{+} s_{x} & I^{+} s_{c} \\
G^{-} & H^{-} & I^{-} s_{x} & I^{-} s_{c}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \vartheta_{1} \\
\delta \vartheta_{2} \\
\delta \varphi \\
\delta \psi
\end{bmatrix},
\]  

(A2)

In Eq. (A2), $s_{x} = \epsilon \sin(\varphi^{\text{st}})$, $s_{c} = \kappa \sin(\psi^{\text{st}})$, and $c_{c}$ and $c_{c}$ are defined in Eqs. (28) and (29) for both steady states.
Moreover, the parameters $G^\pm$, $H^\pm$, and $I^\pm$ introduced in Eq. (A2) are determined as:

\[ G^\pm = -(c_e + c_c) \left[ 1 \pm \beta_{12}^2 \right] \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} + 2(\pm 2\beta_1 - \beta_c) \theta_{2t}, \]
\[ H^\pm = (c_e + c_c) \left[ 1 \pm \beta_{12}^2 \right] \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} - 2(2\beta_2 \mp \beta) \theta_{2t}, \]
\[ I^\pm = \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} \pm \beta_{12}. \quad \text{(A3)} \]

Whereas the dynamical matrix of deviations in Eq. (A1) can in general be diagonalized only numerically, analytical results are obtained in the case of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry ($\gamma_1 = -\gamma_2 \equiv -\gamma$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 \equiv \beta$):

\[ \theta_{1t}^m = \theta_{2t}^m = -(c_e + c_c)/(2\beta + \beta_c), \]

\[ s_c = \gamma, \quad s_\kappa = 0, \quad |c_e| = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \kappa^2}, \quad |c_c| = \kappa. \quad \text{(A4)} \]

Writing the evolution of these deviations in the form $\exp(-i\tilde{\nu}_j t)$, the frequencies $\tilde{\nu}_j$ are obtained as follows:

\[ \tilde{\nu}_{1,2} = \pm 2i \sqrt{-c_c(c_e + c_c)}, \]
\[ \tilde{\nu}_{3,4} = \pm 2i \sqrt{\frac{\beta c_c(c_e + c_c)}{\beta + c_c/2}}. \quad \text{(A5)} \]

According to Eq. (A5), all $\tilde{\nu}_j$ are purely real for the steady state in Eq. (28) that lies at the border between the stable and unstable regions. On the other hand, just one out of four frequencies $\tilde{\nu}_j$ has a positive imaginary part, meaning the instability for the steady state in Eq. (29). Only if $c_c = -c_e$, all four frequencies $\tilde{\nu}_j$ equal zero. This is the case of EPs.


