PT-symmetric topological edge-gain effect
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We show that a uniform non-Hermitian material can exhibit a state where non-Hermiticity, i.e. gain and loss, only manifests on the edge but not in the bulk. Such a state can generally exist in any topologically gapped structure formed by two sub-systems, e.g. a quantum spin Hall system, with a suitable non-Hermitian coupling between the spins. We also indicate a potential implementation of this effect for topological lasers.

The hallmark of an electric topological insulator is the striking contrast between its edge and its bulk characteristics [1–3]. There, the bulk is in a gapped insulating phase with a band structure having a non-trivial topology. As a result of such a non-trivial topology, the edge is metallic supporting edge states that carries charge or spin currents [4–10]. Similar contrast exists for many photonic topological systems as well [11–25].

Most of the initial works on topological electronic or photonic systems assume a Hermitian Hamiltonian. On the other hand, there have been significant recent interests in exploring the topological behaviors of non-Hermitian systems where gain and/or loss are present [26–48]. In such non-Hermitian systems, here we report a different kind of contrast between the bulk and edge: the bulk shows no amplification or attenuation in spite of the presence of pumping throughout the system, while only the edge carries gain and loss as guaranteed by the topology of the bulk band structure. In such a system, the bulk is in the parity-time (PT) exact phase protection by a topological gap, while the gapless edge states exhibit gain through a thresholdless PT phase transition [49–61]. We show that such a separation of non-Hermitian phases in the bulk and on the edge can be created in any quantum spin Hall (QSH)-like topologically gapped system, by introducing a non-Hermitian coupling between its two spins. As an potential application, such a scheme point to a new route towards a topological laser.

In the momentum space, the Hamiltonian in Eq. 1 is block-diagonalized as

\[ h = (d_1 \Gamma^1 + d_12 \Gamma^{12} + d_15 \Gamma^{15} + i \gamma_s \Gamma^{13} = \begin{pmatrix} h_\uparrow & i \gamma_s \xi^\downarrow \\ i \gamma_s \xi^\uparrow & h_\downarrow \end{pmatrix} \] (2)

where the first three terms form the original Kane-Mele Hamiltonian, i.e. \( h_{KM} = d_1 \Gamma^1 + d_12 \Gamma^{12} + d_15 \Gamma^{15} \). They generate the off-diagonal blocks in Eq. 2, where \( h_{\uparrow, \downarrow} \) are the uncoupled Haldane Hamiltonians for each spin. We use the same representation for the Clifford \( \Gamma \) matrices as in Ref. 5, where \( \Gamma^1 = \sigma^x \otimes I, \Gamma^{12} = -\sigma^y \otimes I, \Gamma^{15} = \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^y \), and \( \Gamma^{13} = \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^x \). Here \( \sigma^i \) operates in the pseudo-spin space describing the two sub-lattices. The expressions for the coefficients are \( d_1 = t(1 + 2 \cos \kappa_x / 2 \cos \sqrt{3} \kappa_y / 2) \), \( d_12 = -2t \cos \kappa_x / 2 \sin \sqrt{3} \kappa_y / 2 \), \( d_15 = \lambda_{so} (2 \sin \kappa_x - 4 \sin \kappa_x / 2 \cos \sqrt{3} \kappa_y / 2) \), where we have set the nearest neighbor distance to 1. The fourth term in Eq. 2 is the non-Hermitian addition to the Kane-Mele Hamiltonian. It corresponds to the off-diagonal blocks in the matrix of Eq. 2.

To understand the effect of the non-Hermitian couplings, we first note that with a zero coupling strength \( \gamma \), our model reduces to that of the Kane-Mele. There, the two spins are decoupled, and the eigen spectrum is doubly degenerate with a bulk topological gap, as is shown in Fig. 1b. The added non-Hermitian term, residing on the off-diagonal blocks in the matrix of Eq. 2,
couples the two spins. However, it can be shown that such a non-Hermitian coupling in Eq. 2 does not couple the two degenerate bulk states on the same side of the gap [73]. Instead, the non-Hermitian interaction only couples a state on one side of the gap to the state of the other spin on the other side of the gap. After we switch on the non-Hermitian coupling, the system preserves a $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, where $\mathcal{P} = \sigma_x \otimes I$, corresponding to the spatial inversion, and $\mathcal{T} = I \otimes s_x K_0$ is the Bosonic time reversal operator with $K_0$ being the complex conjugation. To see the implication of this, in Fig. 1c-f we plot the energy bands after we switch on the non-Hermitian coupling. With a small non-Hermitian coupling of $\gamma < 3\sqrt{3} \lambda_{so}$, the bulk gap remains, and the entire system is in the $\mathcal{PT}$ exact phase, where the eigenenergies of all the bulk bands are real. With increased coupling strength $\gamma$, the energies of the modes at the upper and lower gap edge approaches each other. With a larger coupling of $\gamma > 3\sqrt{3} \lambda_{so}$, the bulk gap closes as the eigenenergies of the upper and lower bands become complex conjugate pairs near the $K$ and $K'$ points. The system thus undergoes a $\mathcal{PT}$ phase transition and enters the $\mathcal{PT}$ broken phase, where the eigenstates exhibit gain and loss. Below, we refer to such phase transition phenomena where as the strength of the non-Hermitian coupling increases the eigenenergies of two states becoming complex conjugate pairs as "zipping".
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**Figure 1.** The non-Hermitian topological structure. (a) A schematic of the model. For convenience, we draw the lattices of the two spins in two layers. The added non-Hermitian couplings are indicated by the red arrows. The calculated real (b, c, and e) and imaginary (d and f) parts of the bulk band structure are shown. Here the nearest and next nearest neighbor coupling strengths are set to $t = 1$ and $\lambda_{so} = 0.05$, respectively, $\gamma = 0$ for (b), $\gamma = 0.2 \times 3\sqrt{3} \lambda_{so}$ for (c) and (d), and $\gamma = 1.5 \times 3\sqrt{3} \lambda_{so}$ for (e) and (f).

The situation at the edge is qualitatively different from that of the bulk. In Fig. 2 we show the calculated band structure in a semi-infinite stripe geometry with the zigzag boundary. Before the non-Hermitian couplings are applied, the eigen spectrum reduces to that of the original Kane-Mele model featuring a pair of gapless edge states, as is shown in Fig. 2a. For a non-Hermitian coupling of $\gamma < 3\sqrt{3} \lambda_{so}$, the bulk bands cannot zip due to the non-zero bulk gap, as discussed above. However, the gapless edge state can always zip starting from the crossing point, as is shown in Fig. 2c and d. As such, the bulk and the edge reside in different $\mathcal{PT}$ phases, i.e. while the bulk bands remain in the $\mathcal{PT}$ exact phase exhibiting no imaginary parts in the eigenenergy, the edge resides in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase where gain and loss manifests. Below, we refer to this effect where the bulk and edge reside in different $\mathcal{PT}$ phases in spite of the presence of uniform gain distribution as the topological edge-gain effect.
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**Figure 2.** Calculated real (a and c) and imaginary (b and d) part of the bands in a stripe with zigzag boundary. A schematic of the shape is shown in the inset of (b). $\gamma = 0$ for (a) and (b), and $\gamma = 0.2 \times 3\sqrt{3} \lambda_{so}$ for (c) and (d). The edge bands are colored in red while those of the bulk are in blue.

In our model, the coupled edge states inherits the robustness against disorder from the edge states in a regular QSH system [4–7]. In the latter, a defect introduced on the edge will not cause reflection or localization as long as it does not flip the spins. This can be seen in Fig. 3a, where the edge state is uniformly distributed in
Figure 3. Calculated wave functions in a finite structure with non-Hermitian couplings. (a) The eigenenergy spectrum without non-Hermitian couplings, and the wave function of one of the mid-gap edge states. The structure is a triangle with a defect on an edge. Degenerate energies in the spectrum are offset for visual clarity. The arrows mark the edge state that is plotted. The up-spin and down-spin components of the edge state are shown on the right. (b) The eigenenergy spectrum with a non-Hermitian coupling strength of $\gamma = 0.2 \times 3\sqrt{3}\lambda_{so}$, and the wave function of the zipped edge state with gain.

Despite of a missing site on the edge. In our model, the non-Hermitian interaction induces a coupling between the spins. However, since the non-Hermitian coupling is uniformly distributed over the entire structure, the eigenstate consists of an admixture of the up and down spin eigenstates of a Hermitian QSH system, as can be seen in Fig. 3b. Consequently, the edge states of our non-Hermitian system carry over the robustness against order. This is also seen in Fig. 3b, where in the presence of the edge defect, the edge states retain a uniform distribution.

Such robustness against disorder has been exploited in the design of topological lasers, as it may help with supressing the modal competition for better single-mode performance [45, 46, 48, 62–72]. However, previous approaches to topological lasers are based on non-reciprocal models, which is challenging to implement in optoelectronic platforms. In contrast, our construction explores topological effects in a time-reversal invariant setting, which is compatible with standard photonic platforms such as photonic crystals or coupled ring resonator arrays. Moreover, in our system, only the edge states are in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase with gain, whereas the entire bulk states remain in the $\mathcal{PT}$ exact phase with zero gain. Thus with a uniform pumping, only the edge state can lase. This is in contrast to previous works on topological lasers, where a spatially selective pumping scheme is usually required to ensure that only the edge state lases.

**General recipe.** The topological edge-gain effect can be constructed in any QSH-like systems that consist of two topologically gapped sub-systems [73]. For a time reversal invariant QSH system with inversion symmetry, denoting $h_\uparrow(k)$ and $h_\downarrow(k)$ as the Hamiltonians of the two spins in the momentum space, the following form of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} h_\uparrow(k) & f_1(k)\kappa(k) \\ f_2(k)\kappa(k) & h_\downarrow(k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa(k) = h_\uparrow(k) - h_\downarrow(k)$$

provides the topological edge-gain effect, with arbitrary complex functions of $f_1(k)$ and $f_2(k)$, given that $f_1(k)f_2(k)$ is real. It can be shown that such a Hamiltonian satisfies a $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry [73]. With a non-Hermitian coupling strength that does not close the bulk gap, the bulk states remain in the $\mathcal{PT}$ exact phase and has no gain or loss, whereas the edge states always exhibits gain thorough a thresholdless $\mathcal{PT}$ phase transition. As such, the spatial restriction of gain to the edge is guaranteed by the bulk topology and $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. In our example model above, $\kappa = 2d_{15}(k)s^2$, and we have chosen $f_1(k) = f_2(k) = i\gamma/d_{15}(k)$.

Several well-known QSH models do have inversion symmetry, including the Kane-Mele model discussed above, the Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang model discussed in
the supplementary [6, 7, 73], and the model of magnetic flux on a lattice discussed in the following paragraphs.

Implementation by coupled ring optical resonators. Here we discuss an implementation of our model in coupled-ring optical resonator arrays. The structure is shown in Fig. 4, which is based on that studied in Refs. 12, 45, and 62. Here, the two spins are realized by the clockwise (cw) and counter-clockwise (ccw) modes in each ring. To induce a non-Hermitian coupling between the two spins, we add two waveguide segments coupled to the ring resonator shown in Fig. 4a [74, 75]. Each of the waveguides has a reflecting end and a lossy end, and they evanescently couple to the ring resonator. The cw (ccw) mode leak into the couplers, and in the designated coupler it gets reflected by the mirror and fed into ccw (cw), respectively. Each of such a ring is described by the following coupled-mode equation [73]:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\frac{\gamma}{\tau} & i\frac{\gamma}{\tau} e^{i\phi_1} \\ i\frac{\gamma}{\tau} e^{i\phi_2} & -i\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]  

where \(a_{1,2}\) is the wave amplitude of the cw and ccw modes, respectively, \(1/\tau\) is the leakage rate into the couplers, and \(\phi_{1,2}\) are the phases accumulated by the wave traveling from the coupling region to the mirror and back. \(\phi_{1,2}\) can be adjusted by tuning the lengths of the coupling waveguides. The diagonal terms of \(-2i/\tau\) in Eq. 4 are losses induced by the couplers, which can be compensated by a pumping of the gain medium in each ring resonator. The off-diagonal matrix elements in Eq. 4 give us the desired non-Hermitian couplings between the cw and ccw modes.

A lattice of coupled ring resonators with non-Hermitian couplings are shown in Fig. 4b. Apart from the coupler waveguides in each ring, the structure is identical to that used in Ref. 12. The Hamiltonian of this system with non-Hermitian couplings has the form

\[
H = -i \sum_{x,y} \left( \epsilon_{x,y}^c e^{-i2\pi\alpha y s} c_{x,y} + c_{x,y+1}^{\dagger} c_{x,y} + h.c. \right) + \sum_{x,y} c_{x,y}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\gamma s \\ i\gamma s & 0 \end{pmatrix} s^x c_{x,y}
\]

Here \(t\) is the hopping strength between nearest neighbor modes, \(c_{x,y} = (c_{x,y,\uparrow}, c_{x,y,\downarrow})\) is the annihilation operator for the two spins on each site, and \(s^x\) act on the spin sub-space. The first line of the Hamiltonian describes a square lattice under a uniform magnetic field as described in the Landau gauge. \(\alpha = p/q\) is the magnetic flux through each lattice unit cell, and \(p\) and \(q\) are incommensurate integers. The second line in Eq. 5 is the non-Hermitian coupling, constructed through the general recipe Eq. (3). Here, \(\mu = ((y+(q−1)/2) \mod q)−(q−1)/2\), \(\gamma_{0} = 0\), and \(\gamma_{1,2,\ldots,(q−1)/2}\) is a set of arbitrary real numbers.

We simulate the structure with a magnetic flux of 1/3, and the photonic lattice is shown in Fig. 4b. We adjust the in-ring couplers so the non-Hermitian coupling coefficients for the three sub-lattice sites are 0, \(i\gamma\), and \(-i\gamma\), respectively. We calculate the band structure of this system in a stripe geometry that is finite in \(y\) and periodic in \(x\). The results are plotted in Fig. 5. For this calculation, we assume \(t = 1\), and \(\gamma = 0.3\). Again, although pumping is introduced throughout the ring-resonator arrays, gain only manifests on the edge but not in the bulk. Thus, same as the Kane-Mele QSH system discussed above, a topological localization of gain to the edge also occurs. The coupled ring-resonator array structure in Fig. 4b can be implemented through the same fabrication process as in previous experimental platforms [14, 62].

As a note, in the absence of pumping, the zipped edge state with the highest gain becomes a dark mode of the structure. At the same time, all other modes becomes lossy. Thus, although lossy ports are introduced in the structure to provide the non-Hermitian coupling, the actual lasing mode is not affected by the extra loss.

In conclusion, we have shown that a uniform non-Hermitian topological system can exhibit a scenario where only the edge show gain and loss, while the entire bulk bands are non-amplifying. Such a topological edge-gain effect is protected by the bulk topology and \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetry, which lead to different \(\mathcal{PT}\) phases in the bulk and on the edge. This effect can be generally induced by applying a suitable \(\mathcal{PT}\)-preserving non-Hermitian coupling between two sub-systems. Several examples including the Kane-Mele model, the Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang model, and the model of magnetic flux on lattice are discussed, together with an analysis of general recipes for the non-Hermitian couplings. Such an separation of non-Hermitian phases between the bulk and the edge adds to the understanding of non-Hermitian topology. As an ap-
plication, the designing of topological lasers can benefit from this effect. In contrast to existing theoretical models for topological lasers, our construction is explicitly reciprocal. Furthermore, as gain is topologically localized to the edge, a non-degenerate lasing state is defined globally without the need of selective pumping.
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