Simultaneous longitudinal and transverse oscillations in filament threads after a failed eruption
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ABSTRACT

\textbf{Context.} Longitudinal and transverse oscillations are frequently observed in the solar prominences and/or filaments. These oscillations are excited by a large scale shock wave, impulsive flares at one leg of the filament threads, or due to any low coronal eruptions. We report simultaneous longitudinal and transverse oscillations in the filament threads of a quiescent region filament. We observe a big filament in the north-west of the solar disk on 6\textsuperscript{th} July 2017. On 7\textsuperscript{th} July 2017 it starts rising around 13:00 UT. Then we observe a failed eruption and subsequently, the filament threads start to oscillate around 16 UT.

\textbf{Aims.} We observe both transverse and longitudinal oscillations in a filament thread. The oscillations damp down and filament threads almost disappear.

\textbf{Methods.} We place horizontal and vertical artificial slits on the filament threads to capture the longitudinal and transverse oscillations of the threads. Data from Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) onboard Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) is used to detect the oscillations.

\textbf{Results.} We find the signatures of large amplitude longitudinal oscillations (LALOs). We also detect damping in LALOs. In one thread of the filament, we observe transverse oscillations (LATOs). Using the pendulum model we estimate the lower limit of magnetic field strength and radius of curvature from the observed parameter of LALOs.

\textbf{Conclusions.} We show the co-existence of two different wave modes in the same filament threads. We estimate magnetic field from LALOs and hence suggest a possible range of the length of the filament threads using LATOs.
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1. Introduction

A filament is a cool structure in the solar corona appearing as a dark elongated feature in the solar disk. The same feature, when seen at the limb, appears bright and termed as prominence. The eruption of filament often produces Coronal Mass Ejection (CME) which has an adverse effect on space weather (Gilbert et al. 2000; Gopalswamy et al. 2003; Jing et al. 2004). Thus, the study of the filament dynamics is quite relevant for the space weather objectives and forecasting.

The different modes of oscillation of the filaments provide valuable information on the prominence characteristics that are hard-to-measure by direct means. Prominence seismology combines theoretical modelling with observations to infer the local plasma conditions and magnetic properties (see, e.g., Nakariakov & Verwichte 2005; Andries et al. 2005; Arregui & Ballester 2011; Arregui 2012). According to Oliver & Ballester (2002) filament oscillations can be roughly divided into two categories: large-amplitude oscillations (LAOs) and small amplitude-oscillations. Small-amplitude oscillations in the filament have velocities less than 2-3 km s\textsuperscript{-1} and localized in a small portion of the filament. Small amplitude oscillations reveal the local and small-scale properties of the plasma. In contrast, LAOs are oscillation with velocities larger than 10 km s\textsuperscript{-1} and they disturb a large portion of the filament if not the whole filament. LAOs provide information on the global filament properties (see, Arregui et al. 2018; Luna et al. 2018, for a discussion on the oscillation classification). There are two types of LAOs, large-amplitude transverse oscillations (LATOs) and large-amplitude longitudinal oscillations (LALOs). The periods of LATOs are reported in the range of 6 minutes to 150 minutes (Tripathi et al. 2009), whereas the LALOs are within the range of 40 to 160 minutes.

The observations reveal that LATOs and LALOs are excited from different sources. LATOs are generally triggered by distant flares, CMEs and Moreton waves (Moreton & Ramsey 1960; Hyder 1966; Ramsey & Smith 1966; Eto et al. 2002; Okamoto et al. 2004; Isobe & Tripathi 2006; Pouget et al. 2006; Isobe et al. 2007; Gilbert et al. 2008; Hershaw et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2013; Zhang & Ji 2018). In some cases, LATOs are associated with filament eruptions (Isobe & Tripathi 2006; Isobe et al. 2007; Pintér et al. 2008). In contrast, LALOs are generally excited by nearby microflares, small jets or partial eruption of the filament (Jing et al. 2003, 2006; Vršnak et al. 2007; Chandra et al. 2011; Li &
Zhang 2012; Zhang et al. 2012; Luna et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2017b; Luna et al. 2017). However, Shen et al. (2014) have reported a LACO in a filament and LALO in another filament from the same shock wave. Pant et al. (2015), Pant et al. (2016) and Zhang et al. (2017a) reported that both LALO and LATO can be triggered simultaneously in the same filament by EUV wave from a nearby flare. Recently, Chen et al. (2017) reported that longitudinal oscillations along the filament threads can be sometimes (mis)interpreted as transverse oscillations.

Explaining the nature of LALOs is challenging since strong restoring force is needed to create a huge acceleration. The energy associated is also enormous due to filament’s huge mass and large velocity of the motions involved. Luna & Karpen (2012) developed the so-called pendulum model based on the numerical simulations by Luna et al. (2012). The authors found that gravity projected along the dipped magnetic field is the restoring force of the oscillations. Zhang et al. (2012) found a similar result by numerical means and compared the pendulum model with observations. The authors measured a LALOs of an active region prominence observed on 2007 February 6 with Hinode/SOT. Due to the high spatial resolution of the observation, the authors measured the curvature of the dipped field lines. They found a good agreement between the observations and the pendulum model. Zhang et al. (2013) performed a parametric theoretical study of LALOs. The simulations showed that, in LALOs, the gas-pressure gradients are small and do not influence the oscillation, indicating that the nature of LALOs is not magnetosonic. Luna et al. (2016) found that the oscillations are dependent mainly on the radius of curvature of the magnetic field and no other details of the field line geometry. Additionally, Luna et al. (2016) found that there is no coupling between longitudinal motion and transverse motions in 2D numerical simulations. All these results indicate the robustness of the pendulum model for explanation and analysis of LALOs. More recently, Luna et al. (2017) compared the magnetic field geometry inferred from LALO seismology with the field obtained from photospheric field extrapolation technique finding a very good agreement. The pendulum model have been used to estimate the magnetic field and the radius of the curvature of the filament from LALOs (i.e., Luna et al. 2014; Pant et al. 2016).

Both LALOs and LATOs are damped oscillations (see reviews by Tripathi et al. 2009; Arregui et al. 2018). Several mechanisms for strong damping in LALOs are have been proposed (see review by Tripathi et al. (2009)) but the matter is still under debate. Mass accretion by the cool prominence has been suggested for the strong damping of LALOs by (Luna & Karpen 2012; Luna et al. 2014; Pant et al. 2016; Luna et al. 2016; Ruderman & Luna 2016). Similarly, Zhang et al. (2013) suggested mass drainage as another mechanism for the damping of LALOs. Additionally, Zhang et al. (2013) performed a parametric numerical study and found that the radiative cooling could explain the LALOs’ damping. In contrast, LATOs have a magnetic origin and it is accepted that resonant absorption is the cause of their damping.

There is an increasing number of publications on LAOs since the early observations at the beginning of the 20th century (see review by Tripathi et al. 2009). Luna et al. (2018) catalogued almost 200 oscillations in prominences with nearly half of the events being LAOs. The authors found that LAO events are very common with one LACO every two days on average on the visible side of the Sun. The large number of LACO events makes the prominence seismology a very powerful tool to infer the global characteristics of the filaments.

In this work, we report an interesting event in which we observed both LALOs and LATOs in filament threads followed by a failed filament eruption. Partial filament eruption leading to the transverse oscillations in the nearby coronal loops is reported in Zhang et al. (2015). However in this case, we report the LALOs and LATOs in the filament threads exhibiting the failed eruption. Furthermore, we also observe damping in LALOs. The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we narrate our observation. In section 3 we discuss our data analysis and results. In section 4 we summarize our work and conclusions are drawn.

2. Observation

The event considered in this work occurred in a big filament located in the north-west of the solar disk on 6th July 2017. In this study we have used the data from the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) passband of Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) instrument on board Solar Dynamic Observatory (SDO; Lemen et al. 2012). AIA provides full disk images of the Sun from seven EUV passbands. In particular we have used the AIA 171 Å, and AIA 193 Å passbands. AIA have spatial resolution of 1.2” with pixel size of 0.6” and a temporal cadence of 12 seconds. Figures 1(a) and 1(d) shows the north-west quadrant of the solar disk on 7th July 2017 as recorded in AIA 171Å and 193 Å respectively. The filament is marked within the black box which is enlarged in Figures 1(b) and 1(e). Figures 1(c) and 1(f) shows a detailed view of the filament. The seven artificial horizontal slits and one artificial vertical slits are overplotted in white in both panels (c) and (f). The horizontal slits are labelled from 1 to 7. The vertical slit is named as slit A. We use the same slits to analyze the 171Å and 193Å data. We check the signatures of oscillations by placing artificial slits co-spatially in AIA 211Å and GONG Hz data but found no significant differences. Therefore in the subsequent analysis we focus on 171Å and 193Å passbands of AIA.

![Fig. 1. The upper row panels and lower row panels shows the filament in SDO/AIA 193Å and 171Å respectively. Both panels (a) and (d) show the context of the filament we are considering. Central panels (b) and (e) show a closer view of the studied filament and the region considered in this study (black box). Panels (c) and (f) depict the filament in AIA 193Å and 171Å in the field of view chosen by the rectangular box in (b) and (e). The artificial slits are overplotted on the filament. The slits are labeled by the corresponding numbers.](image-url)
2.1 Filament rise and trigger of the oscillations

The event considered in this work consists in a partial and failed eruption of the southern part of the filament and the subsequent LAOs observed in the northern part of the same filament. In this work we focus on the study of the LAOs. It is difficult to study the triggering of the oscillations by the partial eruption because the erupted plasma is very faint and only visible in 304Å channel. We have included an online movie where the faint erupted plasma is seen. In Figure 2 and associated animation, it can be clearly seen that the southern part of the filament, marked with the arrows, started to lift-off. The rest of the filament also rose with a slower rate compared to the southern part. Next, a small portion of the southern part of the filament erupts and transient brightening outlined by a circle in Figure 2(d) due to change in magnetic field topology is noted. This brightening is also seen in AIA 171Å and 193Å data (right panels of Figure 1 at (x,y)=(710,415)). No signatures of coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are seen in LASCO/C2 field-of-view which lead us to conclude that it could be a failed or partial eruption (since only southern portion of the filament exhibits eruption). Furthermore, rest of the filament returned to the equilibrium position after a brief lift-off. This might have triggered LAOs probably due to the large displacement from mean position. It should be noted that this is a mere speculation based on the temporal sequence of the eruption and initiation of the oscillations. In the current study, we focus our analysis on the co-existence of the longitudinal and transverse oscillations and their seismological implications, regardless of how they are triggered.

![Fig. 2. Temporal evolution of the rising filament that probably triggered LAOs.](image)

2.2 Co-existence of longitudinal and transverse oscillations

Figure 3(a) shows the filament at the moment of the triggering of the LAO at 16:00 UT. The green arrow showing the direction of the movement of the filament threads towards the left. In Figure 3(b) the movement of filament threads is towards further left direction at 16:20:09 UT. Figure 3(c) depicts the rightward movement of the filament threads at 16:40:09 UT. Finally in Figure 3(d) the motion is again reversed at 17:20:09 UT. Furthermore, we also note the signatures of the transverse oscillations in small bunch of filament threads exhibiting longitudinal oscillations. A detailed analysis follows in the coming sections.

![Fig. 3. Temporal evolution of the filament in AIA 193Å in different phases of the oscillations.](image)

3. Data Analysis and Results

3.1 Longitudinal Oscillation in Filament Threads from AIA 171Å

As described in Section 2, we clearly see the oscillations of filament threads (see available online animation). We identify visually the motion of several threads. We place 7 artificial horizontal slits labeled from 1 to 7 in Figure 1(c). These slits are placed along the different filament threads to track their motions. These horizontal slits capture the longitudinal oscillations of filament threads. From the artificial slits, we generate time-distance maps, time in the horizontal axis and distance along the slits in the vertical axis (x-t map). Figures 4(a)-(g) show the x-t map produced from slits 1-7 respectively. In all the x-t maps we observe longitudinal oscillation of dark filament threads. In Figures 4(h)-(n), points shown in black are the detected intensity minimum points from corresponding x-t maps of Figures 4(a)-(g) respectively. The red curves in the Figures 4(h)-(n) are the fitted damped sinusoidal curves to the detected minimum intensity points with best fit parameters. The damped sinusoidal curve is represented by the following equation (see, e.g., Aschwanden et al. 1999)

\[ y(t) = C + A \sin(\omega t + \phi) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}, \]

where \(C\) is a constant, \(A\) is the amplitude, \(\omega\) is the angular frequency, \(\tau\) is the damping time and \(\phi\) is the initial phase of the oscillation. We perform the least square fitting in interactive data language (IDL) using MPFIT.pro (Markwardt 2009).

The best fit values and the associated errors in the amplitudes, periods \((P = 2\pi/\omega)\), damping time and velocity amplitudes of the longitudinal oscillations are quoted in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slit No.</th>
<th>A (Mm)</th>
<th>P (min.)</th>
<th>(\tau) (min.)</th>
<th>(V = \omega A(\text{km s}^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.53 ± 2.97</td>
<td>76 ± 0.10</td>
<td>58 ± 0.2</td>
<td>22.78 ± 4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.09 ± 2.46</td>
<td>81 ± 0.06</td>
<td>71 ± 0.25</td>
<td>20.80 ± 3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.89 ± 1.79</td>
<td>74 ± 0.06</td>
<td>77 ± 0.28</td>
<td>18.24 ± 2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.82 ± 1.11</td>
<td>76 ± 0.06</td>
<td>119 ± 1</td>
<td>12.12 ± 1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.30 ± 2.16</td>
<td>86 ± 0.14</td>
<td>51 ± 0.24</td>
<td>15.04 ± 2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.12 ± 1.97</td>
<td>86 ± 0.05</td>
<td>61 ± 0.20</td>
<td>17.07 ± 2.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Table of parameters for the damped sinusoidal fitting of longitudinal oscillation in filament thread in AIA 171Å
3.2. Longitudinal Oscillation in Filament Threads from AIA 193 Å

We additionally analyze the AIA 193 Å channel using the same 7 horizontal slits shown in Figure 1(c). Figure 5 shows the seven time-distance diagrams from the AIA 193 Å data. As in previous case black asterisks show the position of the minimum intensity associated to the central location of the threads where the absorption is maximum. We fit the oscillation with Equation (1) and the resulting parameters are shown in the Table 2. The time period varies from 75 minutes to 84 minutes with an average value of 80.3 ± 0.4 minutes. The damping time varies in a wide range from 62 minutes to 203 minutes and the average value of the damping time is estimated to be 97.67 ± 2.74 minutes. The displacement amplitudes, A, have also a large range of values from 10 to 16 Mm. As in previous case we discard the fitting parameters from slit 1. The results are similar to the findings from the AIA 171 wavelength. The similarity of results are expected as the geometry remains same for two different wavelengths.

The parameters of the longitudinal oscillations are in agreement with the previous findings (Luna et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2017b) with similar range of periods and damping times. We see little differences in the fitted parameters in AIA 171 Å and 193 Å passbands. Since we are following the cool plasma, the absorption could be slightly different in different channels of AIA which influences the estimation of the best fit parameters.

3.3. Transverse Oscillation in Filament Threads

The uppermost thread of the filament in slit 7 also oscillates in the transverse direction for a shorter time span in comparison to longitudinal oscillation. Tracking the transverse motion is difficult due the simultaneous longitudinal displacement of the filament thread. We place a new slit labeled as A perpendicular to slit 7 (see Figs. 1(c) and (f)). We move the slit A along the slit 1 following the longitudinal movement. In this way we can track the motion in the transverse direction producing the time-distance diagram in the slit A using the AIA 171 Å and 193 Å. It should be noted that the slit A remains perpendicular to the slit 1 at all instances so that spurious oscillations are not detected. From the diagrams in both channels we identify a signature of the oscillation (see Figures 6). As in the case of the longitudinal oscillations we fit the decaying sinusoidal function (Eq. (1)) to these intensity minimum points.

The parameters of oscillation are shown in Table 3. The transverse oscillations have much smaller amplitudes with displacements of 1.2 and 1.6 Mm in 171 Å and 193 Å respectively. In contrast, the velocities are comparable to the longitudinal case. The period of oscillations are also considerably

Fig. 4. Panels (a) to (g) are time-distance diagrams corresponding to the slits 1 to 7 respectively (see Fig. 1(n)). In panels (h) to (n) show the minimum intensity position (black asterisks) of corresponding time distance map of Figures (a)-(h) respectively. The damped exponential sinusoidal fit is depicted by red solid lines. The green dashed lines are drawn to estimate the extent of the damped exponential sinusoidal fit.

Fig. 5. The subfigure (a)-(g) are time distance map of slits 1-7 (see upper right panel of Figure 1) respectively in AIA 193 Å. In Figures (h)-(n) we the black asterisks represent the minimum intensity points of corresponding time distance map of Figures (a)-(h) respectively. The damped exponential sinusoidal fit is depicted by red solid lines. The green dashed lines are drawn to estimate the extent of the damped exponential sinusoidal fit.

Table 2. Table of parameters for the damped sinusoidal fitting for longitudinal oscillation of filament thread in AIA 193 Å

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slit No.</th>
<th>A (Mm)</th>
<th>P (min.)</th>
<th>τ (min.)</th>
<th>V = \omega A (kms⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>slit 2</td>
<td>14.13 ± 2.02</td>
<td>75 ± 0.06</td>
<td>88 ± 0.43</td>
<td>19.72 ± 2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slit 3</td>
<td>16.09 ± 2.46</td>
<td>81 ± 0.07</td>
<td>71 ± 0.25</td>
<td>20.91 ± 3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slit 4</td>
<td>14.21 ± 1.89</td>
<td>76 ± 0.05</td>
<td>97 ± 0.04</td>
<td>19.55 ± 2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slit 5</td>
<td>10.89 ± 1.33</td>
<td>83 ± 0.04</td>
<td>203 ± 1.50</td>
<td>13.73 ± 1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slit 6</td>
<td>12.00 ± 1.82</td>
<td>83 ± 0.10</td>
<td>62 ± 0.29</td>
<td>15.20 ± 2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slit 7</td>
<td>16.01 ± 2.56</td>
<td>84 ± 0.08</td>
<td>65 ± 0.23</td>
<td>20.04 ± 3.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table of parameters for the damped sinusoidal fitting for longitudinal oscillation of filament thread in AIA 193 Å.
the Sun, $P$ is the period of the longitudinal oscillation. Using the angular frequency, $\omega$ is related to the surface gravity, $g$, the linear density, $\rho$, and the length of the flux tube, $L$ as

$$\omega = \frac{2 \pi}{P} = \sqrt{\frac{g_0}{R}} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_p}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $g_0$ is the surface gravity of the Sun, $P$ is the period of the longitudinal oscillation. Using the average value of the period for 171 Å data, $P = 79.83 \pm 0.47$ minutes we can estimate, $R = 160 \pm 1$ Mm. Similarly, using the average periods from the 193 Å data, $P = 80.3 \pm 0.4$ we obtain an identical result, $R = 161 \pm 1$. Both results are similar because the geometry of the filament is same and independent of the AIA wavelength.

Following Luna & Karpen (2012) and Luna et al. (2014) we can estimate the minimum magnetic field by assuming that the magnetic tension in the dipped part of the tubes must be larger than the weight of the threads. With this assumption it is possible to find the relation,

$$B(G) \geq 26 \left(\frac{n_e}{10^{11}}\right)^2 P(\text{hr})$$,  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $B$ is the magnetic field, $n_e$ is the electron number density in $\text{cm}^{-3}$ and $P$ is the period of the oscillation in hours. In absence of a direct measurement, here we use the typical value of electron number density in the range of $10^{10} - 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Labrosse et al. 2010). In the estimation of magnetic field the density is the most important source of the uncertainty as the error in estimation of period is much less than the range of density given. Under these conditions the equation 3 becomes

$$B(G) > (17 \pm 9)P(\text{hr})$$,  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

Using this average value of the longitudinal oscillations we obtain the lower limit of the magnetic field of 22.6± 11.9 G and 22.8±12.0 G for 171 Å and 193 Å respectively which are comparable with the typical values of reported magnetic field (Mackay et al. 2010; Labrosse et al. 2010) by direct means.

In contrast to the LALOs, the transverse oscillations of Section 3.3 have a MHD nature. So we can study the transverse oscillation applying MHD models. We apply the linear model by Díaz et al. (2002); Dymova & Ruderman (2005). Figure 7 shows the equilibrium configuration we consider. The prominence thread has a length 2W and density $\rho_p$. The thread is embedded in a flux tube of length 2L and density $\rho_e$. Both sides of the flux tubes are called evacuated regions and they have probably a density larger than the ambient corona (see models by Dymova & Ruderman 2005; Díaz et al. 2002). The piecewise density along the tube is given by

$$\rho_\ell(z,t) = \begin{cases} \rho_p & |z| \leq W, \\ \rho_e & |z| > W, \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$
where \( z = 0 \) is the middle point of the tube and \( z = \pm L \) are the end points of the cylinder. The magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) is along the axis of the cylinder and it is same inside and outside of the cylinder. To study the dynamics of the thread we can assume the ratio of the width of the thread to length of it is very small which is called thin tube approximation. Terradas et al. (2008) performed a similar seismological analysis. They found that the flow has a little influence on the oscillation periods with corrections below the error bar of their observation. For this reason we have only studied the special case of negligible flow of the thread. The normal modes of steady non flowing filament threads were studied by Díaz et al. (2002) and Dymova & Ruderman (2005). The system supports fast and Alfvén waves. The Alfvén waves results azimuthal (i.e. torsional) motions so they do not cause displacement of cylinder axis resulting the transverse oscillation of filament thread as we observe. Dymova & Ruderman (2005) gives a simple dispersion relation since it assume the thin tube approximation. The following formula (Eq. (27) in their study) gives the dispersion relation

\[
\tan \left( \Omega(1 - l) \sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{2}} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{1 + e_c}} \cot \left( \Omega \left( \sqrt{\frac{1 + c}{2}} \right) \right) = 0, \tag{6}
\]

where \( e = \rho_e/\rho_c, \ c = \rho_p/\rho_e, \ l = W/L, \) and \( \Omega = \omega L/v_\text{ke}, \) with \( \omega \) the frequency and \( v_\text{ke} \) the coronal Alfvén speed. The Alfvén speed in the thread plasma and in the evacuated parts of the cylinder are respectively \( v_\text{ke} = c^{-1/2} v_\text{ke} \) and \( v_\text{ke} = e^{-1/2} v_\text{ke} \), expressed in terms of the density ratios \( c \) and \( e \) and \( v_\text{ke} = B^2/(\mu_0 \rho_c). \) The frequency of kink mode is given by smallest positive root of Equation (6). This equation establish a relation between the field strength \( B \), the period \( P \) of the transverse oscillation, density contrasts \( c \) and \( e \), thread half-length \( W \) and half-length of the field line \( L \). It is usual to compute the magnetic field measuring some parameters or assuming their typical values. However, we use the values of \( B \) obtained from the seismology of the longitudinal oscillations and then we can infer the value of the length of the field line 2\( L \). This is a completely new approach combining both polarizations. From Equation (6) we obtain the half-length of the flux tube in terms of the rest of parameters,

\[
L = \frac{W}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + e_c}} \tan^{-1} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{1 + e_c}} \cot \left( \frac{\omega W}{v_\text{ke}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + c}{2}} \right) \right]. \tag{7}
\]

We use the average period of the transverse oscillations, \( P = 16 \) minutes (see Table 3). From Figure 6 we estimate the thread length to 2\( W \sim 1.5 \) Mm. From the longitudinal oscillations seismology we have estimated \( B \sim 11 - 35 \) G using the pendulum model. Assuming typical coronal electron densities of \( 10^8 \sim 10^9 \text{cm}^{-3} \) we obtain that \( v_\text{ke} \geq 759 \) km s\(^{-1} \) up to several thousands of km s\(^{-1} \). However, we assume a maximum value of 1500 km s\(^{-1} \) in agreement with the observations. We assume typical values for \( c = 100 - 200 \) (see Mackay et al. 2010; Labrosse et al. 2010) and \( e \) identical to the range of values considered by Dymova & Ruderman (2005), i.e., \( e = 0.6 - 2 \). With these ranges of parameters we obtain a range of lengths of the field lines as \( 2L = 206 - 713 \) Mm. The range of lengths comprises long to very long field lines. There is no direct measurement of the length of the field lines. However, theoretical models assume that the field lines are long with few hundreds of Mm (see Mackay et al. 2010; Luna et al. 2012; Terradas et al. 2008) and our range of values overlaps the theoretical estimations. Probably, the largest values of the range obtained here by seismology are overestimated due to the uncertainties of the method. This result agrees with the idea that field lines supporting the prominence are very large. Many models of prominence field structure assumes a flux rope with many turns. We can assume that the radius of the rope is \( R \). Then the ratio \( L/\pi R \) gives an idea of the number of turns of the flux rope. From the seismology we obtain a number smaller than one suggesting that the field structure consists in a rope with less than one turn.

The damping of the oscillation offers additional information about the prominence and the processes involved on it. Similarly to the oscillation period, assuming a physical damping mechanism we can infer some parameters. In Luna et al. (2012) and later in Ruderman & Luna (2016) we modelled the damping as a result of the mass accretion associated to the evaporation-condensation process (Mackay et al. 2010). From the approximate Equation (46) of Ruderman & Luna (2016) we can estimate the mass accretion rate as

\[
\frac{M}{M} = \frac{2.12}{\tau}, \tag{8}
\]

being \( M \) the mass of the thread and \( M = \frac{dM}{dt} \). The average longitudinal damping time is \( \tau = 85 \) min. Using this number we can estimate that \( M/M = 0.025 \text{minutes}^{-1} \). However, this number should be considered as a crude estimation because Equation (46) from Ruderman & Luna (2016) is an approximation.

Fig. 8. Left panel shows the variation of damping time (\( \tau \)) with velocity (\( v \)) of the oscillation in AIA 171Å. Right panel is similar to the left but for AIA 193Å. The black asterisks represents the observed data. A non-linear curve given by the relation \( \tau \sim aV^b \) is fitted to the data. Curves with different exponents and constants are overplotted in different colors and linestyles. Curve in solid red in left (right) panel represents the best fit curve with \( a \) and \( b \) to be 450 (3657) and -0.7(-1.3) respectively.

Luna et al. (2018) found observationally a non-linear behaviour of LAOs. The authors found that the damping time \( \tau \) depends on the oscillation velocity, \( V \). In Figure 8 we have plotted \( \tau \) as function of the velocity amplitude on each slit. In both panels it seems that there is a trend of reducing \( \tau \) for increasing \( V \) in agreement with previous findings. Zhang et al. (2013) found that the radiative cooling could explain this trend and consequently the damping. The authors found a nonlinear relationship between \( \tau \) and \( V \) as \( \tau \sim V^b \) with \( b = -0.3 \). In Figure 8 we have over plotted this scaling law. The Zhang’s scaling law seems to follow the trend of the data. However, different exponents of the scaling law, \( b \) produces similar results. In addition, we have fitted the data with a general form \( \tau = aV^b \) and we have obtained that \( a \sim 0.5 \) that is very different of the Zhang’s scaling law. Moreover, Zhang et al. found a complex dependence of \( \tau \) of the
different parameters of the thread and the field line as the thread length, field line length, the geometry of the dip and the velocity amplitude. The exponents of the parameters are quite large except for $V$ indicating that $\tau$ is more sensitive to the parameters of the thread and the field lines than for $V$. In the model by Ruderman & Luna (2016) the damping is not related directly to the oscillation velocity. However, events with larger $V$ are associated with violent events, which could produce increased evaporation and consequently stronger damping. More recently, Zhang et al. (2019) have found by numerical simulations that wave leakage is an important ingredient of the LAOs damping but in weak field prominences. It is necessary additional observational and theoretical efforts to determine the combination of mechanisms responsible of the strong LAOs damping and their applicability to seismology.

5. Summary and Conclusions

In this work, we report simultaneous longitudinal and transverse oscillation in the same filament threads for the first time. The event occurred in a large filament located in the North-West quadrant of the solar disk on 7th July 2017. The triggering of the LAOs is associated to the failed eruption of the filament. The filament starts to rise around 13 UT. The eruption fails and the filament falls to the equilibrium position. This produces the oscillations of part of the cool plasma of the filament. The oscillations are mainly longitudinal but one thread also oscillates in the transverse direction to the local field. We use the time-distance technique to analyze the oscillations and we obtain the oscillation parameters in both AIA 171Å and 193Å channels. Both channels show identical oscillations. The oscillations obtained are in the range of 75 to 86 minutes with an average value of 80 minutes. The velocities of the oscillations are in the range of 12 to 23 km s$^{-1}$ being LALOs. The oscillations are strongly damped with damping times comparable to the oscillation period. The transverse oscillations in one of the threads have a considerably smaller period of 16 minutes, an average velocity amplitude of 9 km s$^{-1}$ in both channels, and an average damping time of 24 minutes.

We have applied seismological technique to both oscillation polarizations. For the longitudinally oscillating case, we have used the pendulum model and we determined the radius of curvature of the magnetic dipoles hosting the prominence, $R$, and the minimum field strength, $B$, required to support the mass against gravity. The resulting average values in the 7 slits are $R = 160$ Mm and $B = 23 \pm 12$ G. For the transverse oscillations the restoring force is associated to the magnetic Lorentz force. In a novel way we combine the magnetic field obtained with the longitudinal oscillations with the dispersion relation of the transverse modes to obtain the length of the field lines hosting the prominence. The length of these field lines are in the range of 206 to 713 Mm.

We have applied the seismological techniques in a novel way that has allowed to measure the strength, the curvature of the dips and the length of the magnetic field lines supporting the prominence. Our findings suggest that the prominence structure consists in a flux rope with few turns, probably less than one.

Acknowledgements

We thank referee for valuable comments. VP was supported by the GOA-2015-014 (KU Leuven) and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 724326). M. L. acknowledges the support by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) through projects AYA2014-55078-P and under the 2015 Severo Ochoa Program MINECO SEV-2015-0548. M.L. & V.P. acknowledge support from the International Space Science Institute (ISSI), Bern, Switzerland to the International Team 413 “Large-Amplitude Oscillations as a Probe of Quiescent and Erupting Solar Prominences” (P.I. M. Luna). DB and VP acknowledge the support from the ISSI-Beijing for the formation of the international team titled "The eruption of solar filaments and the associated mass and energy transport" and its activities. R.M. acknowledges the support of United Grants Commission (UGC) and Center of Excellence in Space Sciences India (CESSI), IISER KOLKATA.

References

Arregui, I. 2012, Astrophysics and Space Science Proceedings, 33, 159
Arregui, I., Oliver, R., & Ballester, J. L. 2018, Living Reviews in Solar Physics, 15
Hyder, C. L. 1966, ZAp, 63, 78

Article number, page 7 of 8
Pouget, G., Bocchialini, K., & Solomon, J. 2006, in ESA Special Publication, Vol. 617, SOHO-17. 10 Years of SOHO and Beyond, 141