

Generating Synthetic Magnetism via Floquet Engineering Auxiliary Qubits in Phonon-Cavity-Based Lattice

Xin Wang,^{1,2} Hong-Rong Li,^{1,2,*} and Fu-Li Li^{1,2}

¹*Institute of Quantum Optics and Quantum Information,*

School of Science, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

²*MOE Key Laboratory for Nonequilibrium Synthesis and Modulation of Condensed Matter,*

School of Science, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

Abstract

Gauge magnetic fields have a close relation to breaking time-reversal symmetry in condensed matter. In the presence of the gauge fields, we might observe nonreciprocal and topological transport. Inspired by these, there is a growing effort to realize exotic transport phenomena in optical and acoustic systems. However, due to charge neutrality, realizing analog magnetic flux for phonons in nanoscale systems is still challenging in both theoretical and experimental studies. Here we propose a novel mechanism to generate synthetic magnetic field for phonon lattice by Floquet engineering auxiliary qubits. We find that, a longitudinal Floquet drive on the qubit will produce a resonant coupling between two detuned acoustic cavities. Specially, the phase encoded into the longitudinal drive can exactly be transformed into the phonon-phonon hopping. Our proposal is general and can be realized in various types of artificial hybrid quantum systems. Moreover, by taking surface-acoustic-wave (SAW) cavities for example, we propose how to generate synthetic magnetic flux for phonon transport. In the presence of synthetic magnetic flux, the time-reversal symmetry will be broken, which allows to realize the circulator transport and analog Aharonov-Bohm effects for acoustic waves. Last, we demonstrate that our proposal can be scaled to simulate topological states of matter in quantum acoustodynamics system.

keywords: synthetic magnetism; Floquet engineering; quantum simulations; quantum acoustodynamics

* hrli@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

A moving electron can feel a magnetic field, and due to the path-dependent phases, many exotic transport phenomena, including the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effect, matter-wave interference and chiral propagation, can be observed in experiments [1–3]. In topological matters, an external magnetic field is often used to break time reversal symmetry (TRS) of the whole system. For example, in the presence of magnetic fields, the integer quantum Hall effect allows to observe topologically protected unidirectional transport around the edge [4–6]. In photonic and phononic systems, mimicking the dynamics of charged particles allows to observe the phenomena of topological matters and realizing quantum simulations of strongly correlated systems [7–9]. By breaking TRS, the chiral and helical transports for classical acoustic waves were already demonstrated in macroscopic meta-material setups such as coupled spring systems and circulating fluids [9–13].

However, due to the charge neutrality, phonons or photons, cannot acquire the vector-potential induced phase (analog to Peierls phases) effectively [14, 15]. To this end, one needs to create analog magnetic fields via specific control methods. Much efforts have been devoted into realizing synthetic magnetism for neutral particles, in the artificial quantum systems [7, 16–21]. These include exploring topological photonics and phononics in circuit-QED-based photon lattices, optomechanical arrays and microwave cavity systems [15, 22–26]. For example, as discussed in Ref. [17, 27], one can artificially generate synthetic magnetism for photons in an optomechanical systems via site-dependent modulated laser fields.

In recent years, manipulating acoustic waves at a single-phonon level has drawn a lot of attention [28], and the quantum acoustodynamics (QAD) based on piezoelectric surface acoustic waves (SAW) has emerged as a versatile platform to explore quantum features of acoustic waves [29–36]. Unlike localized mechanical oscillations [37–39], the piezoelectric surface is much larger compared with the acoustic wavelength. Therefore, the phonons are itinerant on the material surface and in the form of propagating waves [31]. To control the quantized motions effectively, the SAW cavities are often combined with other systems, for example, with superconducting qubits or color centers, to form as hybrid quantum systems [40]. Analog to quantum controls with photons, many acoustic devices, such as circulators, switches and routers, are also needed for generating, controlling, and detecting SAW phonons in QAD experiment [10, 35, 41–47]. However, it is still challenging to realize

these nonreciprocal acoustic quantum devices in the artificial nano- and micro-scale SAW systems [27, 48].

By applying an external time periodic drive on a static system, the effective Hamiltonian of the whole system for the longtime evolution can be tailed freely, which allows to observe topological band properties. This approach is referred as Floquet engineering [49–51], and has been exploited to simulate the topological toy models such as Haldane and Harper-Hofstadter lattices in artificial systems [21]. Inspired by these studies, we propose a novel method to realize synthetic magnetism in the phonon systems by Floquet engineering qubits coupled to phonons. Different from previous methods based on optomechanical systems [15, 20, 27], we find that the Floquet driving can be effectively realized in an opposite way, i.e, via the unconventional cavity optomechanics (UOM) discussed in Ref. [52], where the mechanical frequency is effectively modulated by an electromagnetic field via an auxiliary qubit. We find that, the phase of the longitudinal drive on the qubit, can be effectively encoded into the phonon transport between two SAW cavities. Consequently, considering a SAW-based phonon lattice with closed loops, the phonons can feel a synthetic magnetic flux and behave as charged particles.

In our discussions, we take the SAW-based phonon lattice as an example. However, the proposed method to generate synthetic magnetism is general and can be applied to other artificial quantum systems, for realizing nonreciprocal control of phonons, or even photons. We show that, the TRS will be broken by tuning the synthetic magnetism flux of a loop in the lattice, and it is possible to realize a phonon circulator and simulate Aharonov-Bohm effect in an acoustic system. Additionally, our findings might pave another way to simulate the topological models by breaking TRS for charge neutral polaritons.

II. QUBIT-INTERMEDIATED SAW-CAVITY COUPLING

We start our discussions by considering two coupled mechanical modes. The coupling can be direct, or intermediated by auxiliary element such as qubit or photon cavities. For example, as shown in Fig. 1, we assume that two SAW cavities (confined by two phonon Bragg mirrors), are coupled to a same superconducting transmon (or charge) qubit located at the cross section [53–56]. The couplings are mediated by capacitances of the interdigitated-transducer (IDTs) type [30, 33]. In our discussions, the qubit (noted as c-qubit) is employed

for realizing a tunable couplings between two acoustic modes. The acoustic motions on piezo-electric crystal surface will produce phonon-induced oscillating voltages on the interdigitated capacitances C_i [30, 31]. Note that the frequency separations between the discrete acoustic modes are much narrower than those in the optical cavities, which might be one obstacle for single-mode quantum control [32]. In experiments, one can effectively select one resonant mode coupled to the qubit by: (i) designing the Bragg mirror to achieve high reflection for a narrow bands [33], (ii) controlling positions of the IDT electrodes, and (iii) setting the space periodicity of IDT capacitance the same with the coupled SAW modes [31, 57]. Those methods allow to select a single SAW mode to couple with the superconducting qubit, and the quantum control and measurement of a single acoustic phonon has been experimentally realized [31, 33, 57, 58]. Consequently, the Hamiltonian for the system in Fig. 1 reads

$$H_{12} = \frac{\omega_{qc}}{2} \sigma_c^z + \sum_{i=1,2} \omega_{mi} b_i^\dagger b_i + \sum_{i=1,2} g_i (\sigma_c^+ b_i + \sigma_c^- b_i^\dagger), \quad (1)$$

where b_i and (b_i^\dagger) is the annihilation (creation) operators of i th SAW cavity, respectively; $\sigma_c^z = |e_c\rangle\langle e_c| - |g_c\rangle\langle g_c|$ and $\sigma_c^x = |e_c\rangle\langle g_c| + |g_c\rangle\langle e_c|$ are the qubit Pauli operators, where $|g_c\rangle$ and $|e_c\rangle$ are the ground and excited states of the c-qubit with ω_{qc} being the transition frequency.

In experiments, the induced dispersive coupling between a qubit and a SAW mode based on large detuning has been observed [31, 36]. We assume that the two cavities are of large detuning with the c-qubit (i.e., in the dispersive coupling regime) [36, 57]. In the rotating frame, the Hamiltonian H_{12} becomes

$$H_{12}(t) = g_1 (\sigma_c^+ b_1 e^{i\Delta_1 t} + \sigma_c^- b_1^\dagger e^{-i\Delta_1 t}) + g_2 (\sigma_c^+ b_2 e^{i\Delta_2 t} + \sigma_c^- b_2^\dagger e^{-i\Delta_2 t}), \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta_i = \omega_{qc} - \omega_{mi}$ is the qubit-SAW detuning. Given that the considered time scale is much larger than $(\Delta_{1,2})^{-1}$, we can write the effective Hamiltonian as [59]

$$H_{12}(t) = \sum_{m,n=1}^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_m} + \frac{1}{\Delta_n} \right) [g_m \sigma_c^+ b_m, g_n \sigma_c^- b_n^\dagger] e^{i(\Delta_m - \Delta_n)t}, \quad (3)$$

In our discussion, we assumed that $|\Delta_2 - \Delta_1| \ll \{|\Delta_1|, |\Delta_2|\}$. Therefore, $H_{12}(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$H_{12}(t) = \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{g_i^2}{\Delta_i} \sigma_c^z (b_i^\dagger b_i + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{g_1 g_2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_2} + \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \right) \left[b_1^\dagger b_2 e^{i(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)t} + \text{H.c.} \right], \quad (4)$$




FIG. 1. The hopping model of two surface acoustic wave (SAW) cavities for generating synthetic magnetisms via Floquet engineering: the SAW cavity 1 (grey) and 2 (green) are mediated via a superconducting qubit (defined as c-qubit) located at their cross section. The qubit-SAW coupling is mediated via the capacitances $C_{1,2}$ of interdigitated-transducer (IDT) type (via the piezoelectric effect). To realize time-dependent Floquet engineering, the cavity 1 is dispersively coupled with another qubit (noted as p-qubit) via capacitance C_p . The p-qubit is longitudinally driven by a classical electromagnetic field, which will effectively change the resonant frequency of the phonon cavity 1 periodically.

where the first term will produce Stark shifts on SAW cavity and Lamb shift of the qubit. One can eliminate the qubit degree of freedom by assuming the c-qubit approximately in its ground state with $\sigma_c^z \simeq -1$. For simplification, we assume $g = g_1 = g_2$, and obtain

$$H_{12}(t) = - \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{g^2}{\Delta_i} b_i^\dagger b_i + \frac{g^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_2} + \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \right) \left[b_1^\dagger b_2 e^{i(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)t} + \text{H.c.} \right]. \quad (5)$$

In the rotating frame of the first term in Eq. (5), we obtain the interacting Hamiltonian between two phonon cavities mediated by the c-qubit

$$H_{c12}(t) = g_{12} (b_1^\dagger b_2 e^{i\delta'_{12}t} + \text{H.c.}). \quad g_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{g^2}{\Delta_i}, \quad \delta'_{12} = (\Delta_2 - \Delta_1) \left(1 - \frac{g^2}{\Delta_1 \Delta_2} \right), \quad (6)$$

from which we find that the detuning between two cavities is slightly shifted from $(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)$. In the limit $g^2 \ll |\Delta_1 \Delta_2|$, we can approximately obtain $\delta'_{12} \simeq (\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)$.

It should be noted that generating phonon-cavity coupling mediated by c-qubits is not a necessary step for our proposal. We discuss this since an intermediate coupling allows

more controlling flexibility. Alternatively, as discussed in Refs. [60, 61], one can directly couple different mechanical modes without other auxiliary quantum elements. Our following discussions can also be applied to the phonon-cavity lattice based on the direct couplings.

III. GENERATING SYNTHETIC MAGNETISM FOR PHONONS

To encode a phase factor into the phonon transport between SAW cavities, we consider SAW cavity 1 is coupled to another p-qubit (the transition frequency being ω_p) with strength g_p . The p-qubit is longitudinally driven by an external field [62–66], i.e.,

$$H_d = -\frac{\Omega_p}{2}\sigma_p^z \cos(\omega_d t + \phi),$$

with ϕ being the relative phase. In the rotating frame at the frequency ω_{m1} , the Hamiltonian for the Floquet engineering process reads

$$H_p(t) = -\frac{\Delta_p}{2}\sigma_p^z + g_p(\sigma_p^- b_1^\dagger + \sigma_p^+ b_1) - \frac{\Omega_p}{2}\sigma_p^z \cos(\omega_d t + \phi). \quad (7)$$

where Δ_p is the detuning between the p-qubit and cavity 1. The drive H_d is of longitudinal form and on the qubit operator σ_z (rather than the conventional dipole drive on qubit operator σ_x). Therefore H_d commutes with the qubit frequency operator. In the rotating frame, the driving frequency ω_d is kept unchanged.

In the limit $\Omega_p \rightarrow 0$ and under the condition $\Delta_p \gg g_p$, H_p results in a standard dispersive coupling [56]

$$H_{\text{dis}} = -\chi_0 \sigma_p^z b_1^\dagger b_1, \quad \chi_0 = \frac{g_p^2}{\Delta_p}, \quad (8)$$

where H_{dis} is the standard dispersive coupling with χ_0 being the coupling strength. Considering Ω_p to be nonzero and satisfying $\Omega_p \ll \Delta_p$, we can view the longitudinal drive H_d as modulating the detuning Δ_p time-dependently, i.e.,

$$\Delta_p \longrightarrow \Delta_p(t) = \Delta_p + \Omega_p \cos(\omega_d t + \phi). \quad (9)$$

During the modulation process, we assume that the system is always in the dispersive regime, which requires

$$|\Delta_p(t)| \gg g_p. \quad (10)$$

Similar to the discussions of UOM mechanisms in Ref. [52], one can replace the constant dispersive coupling as a time-dependent form, i.e., $\chi_0 \rightarrow \chi(t)$. Since the coupling is always of

large detuning, the phonon cannot excite the qubit effectively. We assume that the p-qubit is approximately in its initial ground state, i.e., $\sigma_p^z \simeq -1$. Therefore, the dispersive coupling in Eq. (8) can be viewed as a periodic modulation of the SAW cavity frequency, i.e.,

$$H_{\text{dis}}(t) = \chi(t)b_1^\dagger b_1, \quad \chi(t) = \frac{g_p^2}{\Delta_p + \Omega_p \cos(\omega_d t + \phi)}, \quad (11)$$

which is a time-periodic Hamiltonian and satisfies

$$H_{\text{dis}}(t) = H_{\text{dis}}(t + T), \quad T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_d}. \quad (12)$$




FIG. 2. (a) The amplitude $\xi_{1,2}$ and phase ϕ_1 for the zero- and first-order frequency components change with the dimensionless parameter λ . The dashed horizon line corresponds to the the Taylor expansion results. We find that the amplitudes $\xi_{1,2}(\lambda)$ are highly nonlinear functions of large λ . While for $n \leq 6$, the phase of the first-order ϕ_n is always equal to $n\phi$ (encoded into the Floquet engineering of the p-qubit). (b) The relative amplitude ratios ξ_2/ξ_1 and ξ_3/ξ_1 change with the longitudinal drive-detuning ratio λ . The insets displays the amplitudes changing with the Fourier orders at $\lambda = 0.5$ (the dashed line position).

As discussed in Ref. [67], a suitable time-periodic drive will lead an effective Hamiltonian,

which alters the long-time dynamics significantly. This Floquet engineering method requires to obtain the frequency information of time-periodic drive first. The Hamiltonian $H_{\text{dis}}(t)$ is nonlinear when the parameter $\lambda = \Omega_p/\Delta_p$ deviates from zero significantly. We expand $\chi(t)$ in the Fourier form:

$$\chi(t) = \chi_0 \left[\frac{\xi_{c,0}}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n \cos(n\omega_d t + \phi_n) \right], \quad (13a)$$

$$\xi_n = \sqrt{\xi_{c,n}^2 + \xi_{s,n}^2}, \quad \phi_n = -\arctan \frac{\xi_{s,n}}{\xi_{c,n}}, \quad (13b)$$

where ξ_n (ϕ_n) is the amplitude (phase) of n -th order frequency component, and $\xi_{c(s),n}$ is defined as

$$\xi_{c,n} = \frac{\omega_d}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/\omega_d}^{\pi/\omega_d} \frac{\cos(n\omega_d t)}{1 + \lambda \cos(\omega_d t + \phi)} dt, \quad (14a)$$

$$\xi_{s,n} = \frac{\omega_d}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/\omega_d}^{\pi/\omega_d} \frac{\sin(n\omega_d t)}{1 + \lambda \cos(\omega_d t + \phi)} dt. \quad (14b)$$

In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\chi(t)$ can be written in the Taylor expansion form (to the first-order), and then

$$\frac{\xi_{c,0}}{2} \simeq 1, \quad \xi_1 \simeq -\lambda, \quad \phi_1 = \phi. \quad (15)$$

In Fig. 2(a), we numerically plot $\xi_0/2$, $|\xi_1/\lambda|$ and ϕ_1/ϕ versus λ , respectively. The dashed horizontal line is at $\xi_0/2 = |\xi_1/\lambda| = \phi_1/\phi = 1$, which corresponds to the Taylor expansion results. We find that, both $\xi_0(\lambda)$ and $\xi_1(\lambda)$ are nonlinear functions of λ , and shift from Taylor expansion results significantly. In fact, by numerical Fourier transformation, we find that $\phi_n = n\phi$ is always valid for $n \leq 6$ as shown in Fig. 2(a). This indicates that *the phase information encoded in the longitudinal drive is exactly kept even for large λ* . This point is very important to control the synthetic magnetism in the acoustic loop, which will be discussed in detail in the following discussion.

In Fig. 2(b), we plot the relative amplitudes $\xi_{2,3}/\xi_1$ changes with λ . We find that, although $\xi_{2,3}/\xi_1$ increase with λ , $\xi_{2,3}/\xi_1 < 1$ is always valid even with a large λ . In the inset plot, we plot ξ_n changing with n by adopting $\lambda = 0.5$, and find that ξ_n will decrease quickly with increasing the Fourier harmonic order n . Note that the zero-order component, $\xi_{c,0}/2$, will produce a frequency shift of the frequency of the acoustic mode.

In our discussion, we do not require λ to be very small. However, λ cannot be too close to one, since the dispersive condition in Eq. (10) will not be valid. Additionally, the high-order

frequency components might also produce observable effects. For $0 < \lambda < 1$, the effective Hamiltonian is taken in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_{12}^{(0)}(t) &= H_{c12}(t) + H_{\text{dis}}(t) \\ &= g_{12}(b_1^\dagger b_2 e^{i\delta_{12}t} + b_2^\dagger b_1 e^{-i\delta_{12}t}) + \chi_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n \cos(n\omega_d t + n\phi) b_1^\dagger b_1, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\delta_{12} = \delta'_{12} + \frac{2g_{12}^2}{\delta'_{12}} + \frac{\chi_0}{2} \xi_{c,0} \quad (17)$$

is the renormalized frequency detuning. Note that we have already included the Stark shift $(2g_{12}^2)/\delta'_{12}$ into δ_{12} . The Floquet amplitudes satisfy $\xi_{n+1} \ll \xi_n$. The last part in $H_{12}^{(0)}(t)$ is periodic. As discussed in Ref. [67], given that the considered time scale is much longer than $(\omega_d)^{-1}$, we apply the unitary transformation to $H_{12}^{(0)}(t)$ [Eq. (16)]:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_s\rangle &= U(t)|\psi_s^0\rangle, \quad U(t) = \prod_n \mathcal{R}_n(t), \\ H_{12}(t) &= U(t)H_{12}^{(0)}(t)U^\dagger(t) - iU(t)\frac{\partial U^\dagger(t)}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $|\psi_s^0\rangle$ ($|\psi_s\rangle$) is original (transformed) state of the system, $H_{12}(t)$ is the transformed effective Hamiltonian, and $\mathcal{R}_n(t)$ is the unitary rotation operator for n th order:

$$\mathcal{R}_n(t) = \exp \left[iK_n b_1^\dagger b_1 \sin(n\omega_d t + \phi_n) \right] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \mathcal{J}_k(K_n b_1^\dagger b_1) e^{ik(n\omega_d t + \phi_n)}, \quad K_n = \frac{\chi_0 \xi_n}{n\omega_d}, \quad (19)$$

where \mathcal{J}_k is the k th-order Bessel function of the first kind, and K_n is the dimensionless parameter [49, 50].

In our discussion, we set the $\chi_0/\omega_d < 1$. As shown in Fig. 2(b), given that $\lambda < 1$, one finds that $\xi_n < 1$ and $\xi_n \ll \xi_{n-1}$. Therefore, for $n \geq 1$, it is reasonable to assume that

$$K_1 \ll 1, \quad K_n \ll K_{n-1}. \quad (20)$$

Moreover, we set the detuning between two cavities is around the first-order frequency, i.e., $|\delta_{12}| \simeq \omega_d$. All the higher order frequency components ($n \geq 2$), are not only of weak strengths ($K_n \ll 1$), but also of large detunings. Therefore, we only consider the first-order unitary rotation $\mathcal{R}_1(t)$, i.e.,

$$U(t) \simeq \mathcal{R}_1(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \mathcal{J}_k(K_1 b_1^\dagger b_1) e^{ik(\omega_d t + \phi)}. \quad (21)$$

By deriving Eq. (18), one finds that

$$U(t)H_{\text{dis}}(t)U^\dagger(t) - iU(t)\frac{\partial U^\dagger(t)}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Therefore,

$$H_{12}(t) = U(t)H_{c12}(t)U^\dagger(t). \quad (23)$$

In the long-time limit $t \gg 1/\omega_d$, the Floquet-engineered effective Hamiltonian $H_{12}(t)$ is approximately written as

$$H_{12}(t) \simeq g_{12} \left[\mathcal{R}_1(t)b_1^\dagger b_2 \mathcal{R}_1^\dagger(t)e^{i\delta_{12}t} + \text{H.c.} \right]. \quad (24)$$

Under the condition $|K_1 b_1^\dagger b_1| \ll \sqrt{2}$, we can expand the Bessel function $\mathcal{J}_k(K_1 b_1^\dagger b_1)$ as

$$\mathcal{J}_k(K_1 b_1^\dagger b_1) = (-1)^k \mathcal{J}_{-k}(K_1 b_1^\dagger b_1) \simeq \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{K_1 b_1^\dagger b_1}{2} \right)^k, \quad (25)$$

which is valid in the low-phonon-number limit with a small parameter $K_1 < 1$. In the following discussion, we focus on the cases where the intracavity phonon number is around the quantum regime. Under these conditions, We expand $\mathcal{R}_1(t)$ as

$$\mathcal{R}_1(t) \simeq \sum_{k=0}^{k=+\infty} C_k \left[e^{ik(\omega_d t + \phi)} + (-1)^k e^{-ik(\omega_d t + \phi)} \right] (b_1^\dagger b_1)^k, \quad C_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & k=0, \\ \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{K_1}{2} \right)^k & k>0. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Now we consider the resonant modulation case with $\delta_{12} = -\omega_d$, and obtain the following effective Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{12}^{\text{eff}} = J_{12} & \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{k=+\infty} C_k \left[e^{ik(\omega_d t + \phi)} + (-1)^k e^{-ik(\omega_d t + \phi)} \right] (b_1^\dagger b_1)^k \right\} b_1^\dagger b_2 \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{k=+\infty} C_k \left[e^{-ik(\omega_d t + \phi)} + (-1)^k e^{ik(\omega_d t + \phi)} \right] (b_1^\dagger b_1)^k \right\} e^{-i\omega_d t} + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where we can adopt the rotating wave approximation by neglecting the oscillating terms at frequency $k\omega_d$ ($|k| \geq 1$) under the condition $|C_k J_{12}| < |\omega_d|$. Consequently, only multiplying the nearest orders with coefficients C_k and $C_{k\pm 1}$ will contribute to the resonant terms. Due to the relation

$$2C_0 \simeq 1 \gg C_1 > C_k, \quad k > 1,$$

we obtain

$$2C_0 C_1 \gg C_1 C_2 > C_2 C_3 \dots \quad (28)$$

Therefore, we just need to consider the zero- and first-order terms in Eq. (27). Consequently, we obtain the following effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{12}^{\text{eff}} = J_{12}e^{i\phi}b_1^\dagger b_2 + \text{H.c.}, \quad J_{12} \simeq 2C_0C_1g_{12} = \frac{g_{12}K_1}{2}, \quad (29)$$

where we find that the relative phase ϕ is successfully encoded into the coupling between cavity 1 and 2. Note that by choosing the opposite detuning relation $\omega_d = \delta_{12}$, the encoded phase becomes $-\phi$.




FIG. 3. The Rabi oscillations of a single phonon state between two acoustic cavities described by the original Hamiltonian $H_s(t)$ (solid oscillating curves) and the Floquet-engineered effective Hamiltonian H_{12}^{eff} . Note that P_i ($i = 1, 2$) represents one phonon being i th cavity. The blue oscillating curves denote the probability of the qubit being its excited states. Parameters: $\Delta_p/(2\pi) = 0.6$ GHz, $g_p/(2\pi) = 60$ MHz, $g_{12}/(2\pi) = 1$ MHz, $\Omega_d/(2\pi) = 150$ MHz and $\lambda = 0.5$.

To verify the validation of the above discussions, in the following we numerically compare the time-dependent evolution between the engineered effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (27) with the original Hamiltonian

$$H_s(t) = H_{c12}(t) + H_p(t). \quad (30)$$

In experiments, various methods are employed to enhance the coupling between the SAW modes and superconducting qubits. For example, choosing a strong piezoelectric material will increase the coupling significantly [40]. As discussed in Refs. [30–32], we assume $g_p/(2\pi) = 60$ MHz. By setting $\Delta_p/(2\pi) = 0.6$ GHz, $g_{12}/(2\pi) = 1$ MHz, $\omega_d/(2\pi) = 15$ MHz and $\lambda = 0.5$ (corresponding the dashed line position in Fig. 2), one finds that $K_1 \simeq 0.25$, and the effective hopping rate J_{12} is about $J_{12}/(2\pi) = 0.12$ MHz.

We define the states of one phonon being in the i th cavity as $|\psi_i\rangle = b_i^\dagger|0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of the system. Employing the above parameters and defining the probabilities $P_i = |\langle\Psi_{12}(t)|\psi_i(t)\rangle|^2$, we plot the evolutions of $P_{1,2}$ in Fig. 3, in which the solid curves and symbol curves are governed by the original Hamiltonian $H_s(t)$ (solid oscillating curves) and the Floquet-engineered effective Hamiltonian H_{12}^{eff} (curves with symbols), respectively. We find that, with a period drive on the qubit longitudinal freedom, the two detuned SAW cavities effectively couple together on resonance. Moreover, we plot the probability P_e of the qubit being its excited states, and find that $P_e \ll 1$, indicating that the qubit is hardly excited. Therefore, our Floquet analysis in Eq. (11) by setting $\sigma_p \simeq -1$ is valid. The phase ϕ encoded by the longitudinal drive can not be observed in this two-cavity system. In the following, we will demonstrate its effect in phonon-cavity lattice with closed loops, and show how to realize exotic quantum controls of phonons based on the interference effects by breaking time-reversal symmetry of the lattice.

One may wonder whether it is feasible to replace the low-excitation p-qubit (with $\sigma_z \simeq -1$) by an LC resonator. To discuss this, we first approximately write the superconducting p-qubit Hamiltonian as a resonator with a Kerr nonlinearity as [68–70]

$$H_q = \frac{1}{2}\omega_p\sigma_z^p \simeq \omega_p c^\dagger c + K c^\dagger c^\dagger c c, \quad (31)$$

where c^\dagger (c) is the raising (lowering) operator for the resonator, and K is the resonator anharmonicity. In the limit $K \rightarrow \infty$, we can view H_q as an ideal two-level qubit. As discussed in Ref. [68], for finite K , the dispersive coupling between the phonon cavity and the resonator with finite anharmonicity K [Eq. (8)] is modified as

$$H_{\text{dis}} = -2\chi'_0 c^\dagger c b_1^\dagger b_1, \quad \chi'_0 = -\frac{g_p^2 K}{\Delta_p(\Delta_p - K)}. \quad (32)$$

It is found that, in the limit $|K| \gg |\Delta_p|$, the dispersive strength χ'_0 is equal to χ_0 in Eq. (8). However, in the limit $K = 0$, the resonator becomes a linear LC resonator, and the dispersive coupling strength is equal zero, i.e., $\chi'_0 = 0$. Alternatively, one can obtain this by simply considering two coupled resonator in the large detuning regime, which does not result in the cross-Kerr interactions. Therefore, by replacing the p-qubit by an LC resonator, we cannot effectively modulate the phonon frequencies and generate the hopping phases in phonon-cavity lattice. Our proposal requires the p-qubit of high anharmonicity with $K \gg \Delta_p$.

IV. CHIRAL GROUND STATE AND PHONON CIRCULATOR




FIG. 4. (a) The schematic diagram to realize chiral ground state and phonon circulator in a three-SAW-cavity loop. The couplings between different cavities are mediated by c-qubit. For simplification, we assume cavity 1 (blue) and 2 (green) are on resonant, and they are of the same detuning with cavity 3 (red). The Floquet drivings are applied through two p-qubits (located in cavity 1 and 2, respectively), where the hopping phase ϕ_{13} (ϕ_{23}) between cavity 3 and 1 (2) is generated via the methods described in Sec. III. (b) The generated synthetic magnetism $\Phi_B = \phi_{12} + \phi_{23} + \phi_{31}$ ($\phi_{12} = 0$) in the three-SAW-cavity loop via Floquet engineering.

To observe the effects of breaking TRS in a coupled SAW cavity systems, we first consider the simplest three-site system forming as a closed loop depicted in Fig. 4: the SAW cavities are mediated via the c-qubits. For simplification, we assume that cavity 1 and 2 are resonantly coupled ($\delta_{12} = 0$), while of the same detuning with cavity 3, i.e., $\delta'_{13} = \delta'_{23}$. Moreover, two p-qubits are placed into cavity 1 and 2 separately, which are employed to induce the required cavity frequency modulations. The phases ϕ_{13} (ϕ_{23}) is encoded into the longitudinal drives of p-qubit 1 (2). Under the rotating frame with the unitary transformation operator

$$U_{3R}(t) = \exp \left[-i(\omega_{m3} \sum_{i=1,2,3} b_i^\dagger b_i) t \right] \exp \left[-i(\omega_{m3} \sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{pi}^z) t \right],$$

the total Hamiltonian in the interaction picture is written as:

$$H_{3R} = H_0 + H_{pm} + H_c; \quad (33)$$

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1,2} \left[(-\Delta_{pi} + \delta'_{i3}) \sigma_{pi}^z + \delta'_{i3} b_i^\dagger b_i \right]; \quad (34)$$

$$H_{pm} = \sum_{i=1,2} \left[g_{pi} (b_i^\dagger \sigma_{pi}^- + b_i \sigma_{pi}^+) - \Omega_{pi} \sigma_{pi}^z \cos(\omega_d t + \phi_i) \right]; \quad (35)$$

$$H_c = [(g_{12} b_1^\dagger b_2 + g_{23} b_2^\dagger b_3 + g_{13} b_1^\dagger b_3) + \text{H.c.}] \quad (36)$$

where Δ_{pi} (g_{pi}) is the detuning (coupling strength) between the i th phonon cavity and i th p-qubit, δ'_{ij} (g_{ij}) is the detuning (coupling strength) between cavity i and j , and Ω_{pi} (ϕ_i) is the driving strength (phase) on the i th p-qubit.

As discusses in Sec. III, the qubit longitudinal drive will modulate the cavity frequencies periodically, and it is reasonable to consider only first-order frequency component. Consequently, we can eliminate the degree of the freedom for the p-qubits, and the system Hamiltonian is written as

$$H_{3R}(t) = [(g_{12} b_1^\dagger b_2 + g_{23} b_2^\dagger b_3 e^{i\delta_{23}t} + g_{13} b_1^\dagger b_3 e^{i\delta_{13}t}) + \text{H.c.}] + \sum_{i=1,2} K_i \omega_d \cos(\omega_d t + \phi_i) b_i^\dagger b_i, \quad (37)$$

where δ_{13} and δ_{23} are shifted frequency, which can be calculated according to the relation displayed in Eq. (17). As shown in Eq. (19), K_i is expressed as

$$K_i = \frac{g_{pi}^2}{\Delta_{pi} \omega_d} \xi_{1i}, \quad (38)$$

with ξ_{1i} being the first-order amplitude of the periodic function $1/[1 + (\Omega_{pi}/\Delta_{pi}) \cos(\omega_d t + \phi_i)]$.

By setting $\delta_{13} = \delta_{23} = -\omega_d$, we obtain

$$H_{3R}(t) = g_{12} (b_1^\dagger b_2 + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_{i=1,2} \left[g_{i3} (b_i^\dagger b_3 e^{-i\omega_d t} + \text{H.c.}) + K_i \omega_d \cos(\omega_d t + \phi_i) b_i^\dagger b_i \right]. \quad (39)$$

Similar to the discussions from Eq. (18) to Eq. (29), we perform the unitary transformation to $H_{3R}(t)$,

$$U(t) = R_{b1}(t) R_{b2}(t), \quad R_{bi}(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \mathcal{J}_k(K_i b_i^\dagger b_i) e^{ik(\omega_d t + \phi_i)}. \quad (40)$$

The transformed Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$H'_{3R}(t) = g_{12} \left[R_{b1}(t) b_1^\dagger R_{b1}^\dagger(t) R_{b2}(t) b_2 R_{b2}^\dagger(t) + \text{H.c.} \right] + \sum_{i=1,2} g_{i3} \left[R_{bi}(t) b_i^\dagger R_{bi}^\dagger(t) b_3 e^{-i\omega_d t} + \text{H.c.} \right], \quad (41)$$

Since phonon cavity 1 and 2 are resonantly coupled, we can approximately write $R_{b1}(t) = R_{b2}(t) \simeq 1$ for the first term in Eq. (41). However, due the cavity 1 (2) and 3 are of large detuning, we should expand $R_{bi}(t)$ to the higher-order for the second term. Similar to discussions from Eq. (27) to Eq. (29), we obtain

$$H_{3R}(t) = g_{12} \left[b_1^\dagger b_2 + \text{H.c.} \right] + \sum_{i=1,2} g_{i3} \frac{K_i}{2} \left[b_i^\dagger b_3 e^{\phi_i} + \text{H.c.} \right] + g_{i3} \left[b_i^\dagger b_3 e^{-i\omega_d t} + \text{H.c.} \right], \quad (42)$$

where the last term indicates that there is another hopping channel between cavity 1 and 2 mediated by their detuning couplings with cavity 3. Similar with discussions in Sec. II, we employ the effective Hamiltonian methods in Ref. [59], and obtain the corresponding hopping rate as $(-g_{13}g_{23})/\omega_d$. We write the Hamiltonian (42) in a symmetric form

$$H_{3R}^{\text{eff}} = (J_{12} e^{i\phi_{12}} b_1^\dagger b_2 + J_{23} e^{i\phi_{23}} b_2^\dagger b_3 + J_{31} e^{i\phi_{31}} b_3^\dagger b_1) + \text{H.c.} \quad (43)$$

$$J_{12} = g_{12} - \frac{g_{13}g_{23}}{\omega_d}, \quad J_{23} = \frac{g_{23}K_2}{2}, \quad J_{31} = \frac{g_{13}K_1}{2}, \quad (44)$$

$$\phi_{12} = 0, \quad \phi_{23} = \phi_2, \quad \phi_{31} = -\phi_1. \quad (45)$$

Due to the resonant hopping between cavity 1 and 2, their coupling phase is fixed as $\phi_{12} = 0$ in our proposal. Note that the resonant coupling strength J_{12} between cavity 1 and 2 also needs to be shifted due to coupling with cavity 3.

Similar to a charged particle moving on a lattice, the phonon can also accumulate analogous Peierls phase $\phi_{ij} = \int_i^j \mathbf{A} d\mathbf{r}$ [71], where \mathbf{A} is the synthetic vector potential [17, 21]. Therefore, in a closed loop C , the sum $\sum_C \phi_{ij}$ is equal to the synthetic magnetic flux Φ_B through the loop. In our proposal, the synthetic magnetic flux can be conveniently tuned by the phase of p-qubit longitudinal drives.

Once the phase information is encoded into the closed loop of a SAW lattice, the dynamics of the whole system will change dramatically [18]. We first discuss the situation of breaking TRS of the Hamiltonian. Since the phonon number states should be time-reversal invariant, we require the annihilation operator b_i satisfies the following equation [72]

$$\Theta b_i \Theta^{-1} = e^{i\vartheta_i} b_i, \quad (46)$$




FIG. 5. (a) Time evolutions for single-phonon states in three SAW cavities. Since TRS is broken, for $\Phi_B = \pi/2$, the single phonon will circulate along the counter-clockwise direction in the sequence ...1 (blue) \rightarrow 2 (green) \rightarrow 3 (red) \rightarrow 1 (blue).... (b) corresponds to the opposite case with $\Phi_B = -\pi/2$, and the single phonon will circulate in the opposite direction to (a). (c) Phonon circulator behavior changes with pump frequency detuning δ_d . We assume that the input the acoustic signal from the IDT in cavity 1 (blue curve) for $\Phi_B = \pi/2$. Around $\delta_d = 0$, most of output signal power is routed output channel of cavity 2 (green) along the counter-clockwise direction. All the curves in (a, b) and the dashed curves in (c) are plotted according to the Floquet Hamiltonian H_{3R0} in Eq. (33), rather than the effective coupling Hamiltonian H_{3R}^{eff} in Eq. (43). The solid curves in (c) is plotted according to analytical results in Eq. (55). The adopted parameters are: $\Delta_{p1} = \Delta_{p2} = 600$ MHz, $g_{p1} = g_{p2} = 60$ MHz, $\Omega_{p1} = \Omega_{p2} = 150$ MHz, $\omega_d = -20$ MHz, $g_{13} = g_{23} = 1.1$ MHz, $g_{12} = 0.042$ MHz and $\kappa = 0.2$ MHz.

where Θ is the time-reversal operator, which is antilinear and antiunitary. After performing time-reversal transformation, the operator b_i acquires a phase ϑ_i . Given that

$$\Theta H_{3R}^{\text{eff}} \Theta^{-1} = H_{3R}^{\text{eff}} \quad (47)$$

is valid, the effective Hamiltonian is time-reversal invariant, which requires the sum of the coupling phase along a closed path satisfies [72]

$$\Phi_B = \sum_C \phi_{ij} = \mathbb{Z}\pi. \quad (48)$$

Otherwise, the TRS of the system will be broken, and one may observe acoustic chiral transport. For simplification, we set the hopping rates identically as $J_{ij} = J$. It can be

proved that, for arbitrary ϕ_{ij} , the following gauge transformation [72]

$$\Theta b_i \Theta^{-1} = e^{i\vartheta_i} b_i, \quad \vartheta_i = (1)^i \left(\frac{\Phi_B}{3} - \phi_{3i} \right), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (49)$$

will transfer the Hamiltonian in Eq. (43) with one phase factor $\phi_c = \Phi_B/3$, i.e.,

$$H_{3R}^{\text{eff}} = J e^{i\phi_c} (b_1^\dagger b_2 + b_2^\dagger b_3 + b_3^\dagger b_1 + \text{H.c.}). \quad (50)$$

where we assume $J_{12} = J_{23} = J_{31} = J$. Therefore, only Φ_B can produce observable physical effects. In Fig. 5(a, b), by assuming $\phi_c = \pm\pi/6$ (i.e., $\Phi_B = \pm\pi/2$), we plot the probabilities P_i of the single photon being in the i th cavity changing with time governed by the original Floquet Hamiltonian in Eq. (33), i.e., by considering the degree of freedom of the p-qubits. The initial state is assumed to be one phonon in cavity 1. In Fig. 5(a), we clearly find that, for $\Phi_B = \pi/2$, the single phonon will propagate in the circular order

$$\dots 1 \text{ (blue)} \rightarrow 2 \text{ (green)} \rightarrow 3 \text{ (red)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ (blue)} \dots$$

Therefore, the acoustic energy will propagate along the counter-clockwise direction. The clockwise direction is forbidden for $\Phi_B = \pi/2$, but allowed for the opposite flux $\Phi_B = -\pi/2$, which is shown in Fig. 5(b). Therefore, The chiral transfer of the single phonon indicates that the synthetic flux Φ_B can be employed for realizing phonon circulator.

Analog to a photon circulator [72], a phonon circulator is an acoustic element with three or more ports [43, 73], and will transport clockwise (or counterclockwise) the input in the j th port as the output in the $(j \pm 1)$ th port. As shown in Fig. 5(a), for SAW experimental implementations, the acoustic in/out- port are usually made of IDTs, which can convert the electromagnetic signals into the acoustic signals, and vice versa. We assume the input field operator for the j th cavity is $c_{\text{in},j}$. In the rotating frame of the input frequency, the interaction between the input field and the system reads [74, 75]

$$H_{\text{in}} = i\sqrt{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^3 (c_{\text{in},j}^\dagger b_j - c_{\text{in},j} b_j^\dagger) \quad (51)$$

where κ is the phonon escaping rate into the input/output channels. Defining the detuning between the input pump field and the cavity 1 as δ_d , the Heisenberg equation of the intracavity operator is

$$\dot{\mathbf{B}}(t) = -iJ\mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}(t) - (i\delta_d + \frac{\kappa}{2})\mathbf{B}(t) - \sqrt{\kappa}\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}, \quad (52)$$

where $\mathbf{B} = [b_1, b_2, b_3]^T$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}} = [c_{\text{in},1}, c_{\text{in},2}, c_{\text{in},3}]^T$ are the intracavity and input field operator vectors, and $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is the coupling matrix:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\phi_c} & e^{-i\phi_c} \\ e^{-i\phi_c} & 0 & e^{i\phi_c} \\ e^{i\phi_c} & e^{-i\phi_c} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (53)$$

The above linear equation can be easily solved in the frequency domain, and the solution reads

$$\mathbf{B}(\omega) = \frac{-\sqrt{\kappa}}{i(\omega + \delta_d) + iJ\mathbf{\Gamma} + \frac{\kappa}{2}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}. \quad (54)$$

The output can be obtained via the input-output relation $c_{\text{out},i} = \sqrt{\kappa}b_i(t) + c_{\text{in},i}$ [74, 75]. Specifically, we pay attention to the transmission relation when the system reaches its steady state with $t \rightarrow \infty$ (corresponding to $\omega = 0$). Under these conditions, the output field is written as

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{S}(\delta_d)\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}, \quad \mathbf{S}(\delta_d = 0) = \frac{i\delta_d + iJ\mathbf{\Gamma} - \frac{\kappa}{2}}{i\delta_d + iJ\mathbf{\Gamma} + \frac{\kappa}{2}}, \quad (55)$$

where $\mathbf{S}(\delta_d)$ is the scattering matrix for the phonon circulator. For an ideal circulator, we require

$$|\mathbf{S}(\delta_d = 0)| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (56)$$

which describes the phonon flux injected into a port will be transferred into next one circularly [10, 56]. It can be proved that, only under the conditions $\phi_c = \pi/6$ and $J = \kappa/2$, the scattering matrix is equal to the ideal circulator matrix in Eq. (56). We assume that only cavity 1 is injected with an input field, i.e., $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}} = [c_{\text{in},1}, 0, 0]^T$. In Fig. 5(c), we plot the transmission rate $T_i = |c_{\text{out},i}/c_{\text{in},1}|^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (corresponding to curves with symbols) governed by original Hamiltonian (33), which match well with the analytical results [the solid curves, derived from analytical results in Eq. (55)]. It can be found that, at $\delta_d = 0$, the injected phonon flux of cavity 1 is effectively circulated into the output port 2, with little power leaking into the other two channels. Therefore, by driving the coupled p-qubits, one can effectively realize a phonon circulator by generating synthetic magnetic flux in an acoustic system.

V. SIMULATING ACOUSTIC AHARONOV-BOHM EFFECTS




FIG. 6. (a) The schematic diagram to observe the acoustic Aharonov-Bohm effects in a four-SAW-cavity acoustic loop: the acoustic wave transport from site 1 to 2 (blue), are composed by two “paths”, which are through cavity 2 or 3, respectively. The IDT in cavity 1 and 4 are the acoustic source and detector, respectively. The propagating phonons will feel the existence of the synthetic flux Φ_B . (b) The synthetic magnetism in the acoustic loop, $\Phi_B = 2(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$, are generated by Floquet engineering the p-qubit in cavity 1 and 4 simultaneously. (c) The energy detuning relations between the four cavities: cavity 1 and 4 are on resonance, while 2 and 3 are detuned with them of amount Δ_{AB} and $-\Delta_{AB}$, respectively.

In the experimental demonstration of the AB effect, an electron beam is split into two paths (denoted as $C_{1,2}$). According to the interaction Hamiltonian describing electron moving in an electromagnetic field, in addition to the standard propagation phase, the electron in two paths will acquire phases for different paths due to the magnetic field:

$$\tilde{\phi}_i = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int_{C_i} \mathbf{A} d\mathbf{l}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (57)$$

It should be noted that $\Delta\tilde{\phi}_i$ has a gauge-dependent form. However, for a closed loop, the phase difference

$$\Delta\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}_1 - \tilde{\phi}_2 = \Phi_B \quad (58)$$

is gauge invariant, and always equals to the magnetic flux through the loop, which can produce observable effects.

Since acoustic phonons are neutral particles with $e = 0$, they cannot feel the existence of the magnetic field. However, as discussed in previous sections, one can realize artificial magnetism via the qubit-assisted Floquet engineering. To simulate the analogous AB effects for acoustic waves, we propose a simple four-site lattice model in Fig. 6: two resonant acoustic cavities 1 and 4 (blue ones), are hopped with cavity 2 and 3 and forming a closed loop. Resonators (2 and 3) are detuned with 1 and 4 with frequency Δ_{AB} and $-\Delta_{AB}$, respectively [as depicted in Fig. 6(c)].




FIG. 7. (a) The interference pattern of the acoustic Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effects by changing the synthetic magnetism Φ_B in Fig. 6. The blue (red) curve adopts “path” decay rate as $\kappa_p = 0.1\kappa$ ($\kappa_p = \kappa$), respectively. The symbol (solid) curve corresponds to the numerical (analytical) results governed by Floquet Hamiltonian in Eq. (59) [analytical expression in Eq. (61)]. (b) The amplitudes of the constructive interference peaks in acoustic AB effects changes with the “path” decay rate κ_p . The adopted parameters are: $\Delta_{AB} = -20$ MHz, $g_{ij} = g = 1$ MHz, $K_1 = K_2 = 0.2$, and $\kappa_{1,2} = \kappa = 0.2$ MHz.

In this case, cavity 1 and 4 are parametrically driven by the p-qubits at frequency Δ_{AB} with relative phases $\tilde{\phi}_1$ and $\tilde{\phi}_4$, respectively. The Floquet Hamiltonian is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{AB}(t) = & \sum_{i=2,3} \sum_{j=1,4} g_{ij} (b_i^\dagger b_j e^{i\delta_{ij}t} + \text{H.c.}) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1,4} K_j \Delta_{AB} \cos(\Delta_{AB}t + \phi_j) b_j^\dagger b_j,
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where $\delta_{31} = -\delta_{21} = \Delta_{AB}$ and $\delta_{34} = -\delta_{24} = \Delta_{AB}$. As discussed in Sec. II, due to the opposite

detuning relations, the hopping phases between 1 (4) and 2, are of the opposite signs with 1(4) and 3, which is as shown in Fig. 6(b). Assuming $g_{ij} = g$, $K_i = K$ and $J \simeq gK/2$, the effective Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{AB}^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{j=1,4} J[b_j(b_2^\dagger e^{i\phi_j} + b_3^\dagger e^{-i\phi_j}) + \text{H.c.}]. \quad (60)$$

Therefore, there will be two paths for a phonon transferring from cavity 1 to 4, i.e., either $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$ or $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4$. The whole hybrid system in Fig. 6(a) can be viewed as a discrete proposal for simulating phonon AB effect. Analog to an electron moving in the continuous space, the synthetic magnetism $\Phi_B = 2(\tilde{\phi}_1 + \tilde{\phi}_4)$ affecting on acoustic waves (marked with green and red arrows in Fig. 6, respectively) will also produce observable interference effects. The decoherences $\kappa_{2,3} = \kappa_p$ of cavity 2 and 3, can be viewed as the noise in the propagating paths, which will keep on “kicking” on the itinerant phonons and leading to the dephasing of the phonons.

We assume that the cavity 1 is resonantly driven via a coherent input field $c_{\text{in},1}$. We set the hopping between each site of the same strength J . Similar with the discussions of a phonon circulator in Sec. III, the transmission rate can be solved via the following equation in the frequency domain

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{out}} = \frac{2iJ\mathbf{\Gamma}_{AB} - \mathbf{K}}{2iJ\mathbf{\Gamma}_{AB} + \mathbf{K}}\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}, \quad (61)$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{\text{out(in)}}$ is the output (input) field operator vector of the four sites, and the coupling and decoherence matrices are written as

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\tilde{\phi}_1} & e^{-i\tilde{\phi}_1} & 0 \\ e^{-i\tilde{\phi}_1} & 0 & 0 & e^{i\tilde{\phi}_4} \\ e^{i\tilde{\phi}_1} & 0 & 0 & e^{-i\tilde{\phi}_4} \\ 0 & e^{-i\tilde{\phi}_4} & e^{i\tilde{\phi}_4} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \text{diag}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4]. \quad (63)$$

The interference patterns versus the flux Φ_B for different path loss κ_p are shown in Fig. 7(a), where we have assumed $\kappa_{1,2} = \kappa$. One can find that, when $\Phi_B = n\pi$ (n is an odd integer), the two transmission paths are destructive, and there is no phonon collected by the output port of cavity 4. At $\Phi_B/\pi = 2n\pi$, the interference becomes constructive, resulting in the largest phonon output. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 7(b), the highest transmission rate will decrease due to the increase of the path loss κ_p , and the width of the interference pattern

will also be enlarged. In such a way, the electron AB effect can be effectively reproduced in an acoustic system.

VI. OUTLOOKS AND DISCUSSIONS




FIG. 8. (a) The schematic diagram to simulate Hofstadter ladder in a SAW-cavity-based lattice. The acoustic gauge field is generated via the Floquet driving between the neighbor sites in each leg. the p-qubit is placed in the even-numbered SAW-cavity. The chain of grey (blue) cavity plays the role of the upper (lower) leg of the Hofstadter ladder. (b) The Hofstadter ladder model for (a). The red (green) p-qubit in j -th cavity for the upper (lower) leg will induce a hopping phase ϕ ($-\phi$), which results in an acoustic synthetic magnetism $\Phi_B = 2\phi$ in each square lattice.

In the presence of a magnetic field, the two-dimensional electron gas has equally spaced Landau levels. The discrete lattice version of the Landau level is the Hofstadter model [21, 76]. Assuming the Landau gauge along the y direction and the vector potential as $\mathbf{A} = (0, x\phi, 0)$, the Hamiltonian of this tight-binding model with a square lattice is

$$H_{\text{Hof}} = \sum_{x,y} (-te^{iy\phi} a_{x+1,y}^\dagger a_{x,y} + t' a_{x,y}^\dagger a_{x,y+1} + \text{H.c.}), \quad (64)$$

where t (t') is the hopping strength along (vertical to) the Landau gauge direction. The interaction Hamiltonian H_{hof} describes a non-zero magnetic flux per plaquette of the lattice. The paths interference of charged particles will lead to a fractal energy spectrum as a function of ϕ , which is well known as the Hofstadter butterfly [77]. This model exhibits a rich structure of topological invariant depending on ϕ . Due to this, the quantum simulation of the Hofstadter model has been extensively studied both theoretically and experimentally [78–80].

According to Refs. [81, 82], one ladder of the Hofstadter model [i.e., by setting $y = 1, 2$ in Eq. (64)] can realize effective spin-orbit coupling, and can approximately reproduce the energy spectrum of the chiral edge states of the two-dimensional model. In Fig. 8, based on previous discussions, we present a proposal to realize one ladder of the Hofstadter model in phonon-based lattice: The gray (a_i) and blue (b_i) acoustic cavities in Fig. 8(a) correspond to the upper and lower legs in Fig. 8(b), respectively. The coupling between a_i and b_i are assumed resonantly mediated by the c-qubits with strength t . As shown in Fig. 8(b), the detuning between site i and $i + 1$ is of the same amount, which is similar to Wannier-Stark ladders in the quantum simulations based on ultracold atoms in optical lattices [50, 83, 84].

In the upper (lower) leg, the p-qubit is assumed to be placed in the even site j for Floquet engineering with phase ϕ^+ (ϕ^-), where we have assumed each p-qubit is encoded with the same phase. For simplification, we set $\phi^+ = -\phi^- = \phi$. As discussed in Sec. II, the hopping from site $j - 1 \rightarrow j$ and $j \rightarrow j + 1$ will be encoded with the same phase. We can write the Hamiltonian for the ladders as

$$H_{\text{lad}} = \sum_i (t' e^{i\phi} a_{i+1}^\dagger a_i + t' e^{-i\phi} b_{i+1}^\dagger b_i + J a_i^\dagger b_i) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (65)$$

where we have assumed the coupling strength t' in each ladder leg are the same. As discussed in Ref. [81], employing the Hamiltonian in Eq. (65), we can simulate the chiral edge states two-dimensional Hofstadter model in a phonon-based lattice system.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We propose a novel method to generate synthetic magnetisms for phonons via quantum control a coupled qubit. We find that, by applying a longitudinal driving on the qubit, the frequency of the mechanical mode will be periodically modulated. The Floquet engineering

on the qubit can tailor the detuned phonon-phonon coupling as a resonant interaction. By analyzing the frequency components of the modulation, we find that the phase encoded into the longitudinal drive can be exactly transferred into the two-cavity hopping, which allows to create synthetic magnetism for phonons in nanoscale platforms. In our discussions, we take the SAW cavities for the example. However, this proposed mechanism is general, and can be applied to various types of nano- or micro-scale hybrid quantum systems involving photons and even other neutral polaritons.

The time-reversal symmetry in phonon lattice can be conveniently controlled by the generated synthetic magnetism flux. Based on this mechanism, we propose a method to realize the phonon circulators in acoustic systems. Moreover, we show how to observe the acoustic Aharonov-Bohm effects. In experiments, our proposal might be extended with a large scale, and allows to simulate some phenomena of topological matters. We hope more potential applications can be found with our proposed method, include realizing nonreciprocal acoustic quantum devices and topological quantum simulations in phononic systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All the quantum dynamical simulations are based on open source code QuTiP [85, 86]. The authors acknowledge fruitful discussions with Dr. Jie-Qiao Liao. XW is supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation No. 2018M631136, and the National Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11804270. HRL is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11774284).

-
- [1] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, “Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 485 (1959).
 - [2] A. van Oudenaarden, M. H. Devoret, Y. V. Nazarov, and J. E. Mooij, “Magneto-electric Aharonov–Bohm effect in metal rings,” *Nature (London)* **391**, 768–770 (1998).
 - [3] J. Vidal, B. Douçot, R. Mosseri, and P. Butaud, “Interaction induced delocalization for two particles in a periodic potential,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3906–3909 (2000).
 - [4] K. V. Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, “New method for high-accuracy determination of

- the fine-structure constant based on quantized Hall resistance,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 494–497 (1980).
- [5] A. H. MacDonald and P. Štředa, “Quantized Hall effect and edge currents,” *Phys. Rev. B* **29**, 1616–1619 (1984).
 - [6] Y. Hatsugai, “Chern number and edge states in the integer quantum Hall effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3697–3700 (1993).
 - [7] J. Dalibard, F. Gerbier, G. Juzeliūnas, and P. Öhberg, “Colloquium: Artificial gauge potentials for neutral atoms,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1523–1543 (2011).
 - [8] P. Wang, L. Lu, and K. Bertoldi, “Topological phononic crystals with one-way elastic edge waves,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 104302 (2015).
 - [9] R. Fleury, A. B. Khanikaev, and A. Alù, “Floquet topological insulators for sound,” *Nat. Commun.* **7** (2016).
 - [10] R. Fleury, D. L. Sounas, C. F. Sieck, M. R. Haberman, and A. Alu, “Sound isolation and giant linear nonreciprocity in a compact acoustic circulator,” *Science* **343**, 516–519 (2014).
 - [11] L. M. Nash, D. Kleckner, A. Read, V. Vitelli, A. M. Turner, and W. T. M. Irvine, “Topological mechanics of gyroscopic metamaterials,” *PNAS* **112**, 14495–14500 (2015).
 - [12] Z.-J. Yang, F. Gao, X.-H. Shi, X. Lin, Z. Gao, Y.-D. Chong, and B.-L. Zhang, “Topological acoustics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 114301 (2015).
 - [13] R. Süssstrunk and S. D. Huber, “Classification of topological phonons in linear mechanical metamaterials,” *PNAS* **113**, E4767 (2016).
 - [14] K.-J. Fang, Z.-F. Yu, and S.-S. Fan, “Photonic Aharonov-Bohm effect based on dynamic modulation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 153901 (2012).
 - [15] V. Peano, C. Brendel, M. Schmidt, and F. Marquardt, “Topological phases of sound and light,” *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031011 (2015).
 - [16] N. A. Estep, D. L. Sounas, J. Soric, and A. Alù, “Magnetic-free non-reciprocity and isolation based on parametrically modulated coupled-resonator loops,” *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 923 (2014).
 - [17] M. Schmidt, S. Kessler, V. Peano, O. Painter, and F. Marquardt, “Optomechanical creation of magnetic fields for photons on a lattice,” *Optica* **2**, 635 (2015).
 - [18] P. Roushan, C. Neill, A. Megrant, Y. Chen, R. Babbush, R. Barends, B. Campbell, Z. Chen, B. Chiaro, A. Dunsworth, A. Fowler, E. Jeffrey, J. Kelly, E. Lucero, J. Mutus, P. J. J. O’Malley, M. Neeley, C. Quintana, D. Sank, A. Vainsencher, J. Wenner, T. White, E. Kapit, H. Neven,

- and J. Martinis, “Chiral ground-state currents of interacting photons in a synthetic magnetic field,” [Nat. Phys. **13**, 146 \(2016\)](#).
- [19] K.-J. Fang, J. Luo, A. Metelmann, M. H. Matheny, F. Marquardt, A. A. Clerk, and O. Painter, “Generalized non-reciprocity in an optomechanical circuit via synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering,” [Nat. Phys. **13**, 465 \(2017\)](#).
- [20] G. A. Peterson, F. Lecocq, K. Cicak, R. W. Simmonds, J. Aumentado, and J. D. Teufel, “Demonstration of efficient nonreciprocity in a microwave optomechanical circuit,” [Phys. Rev. X **7**, 031001 \(2017\)](#).
- [21] T. Ozawa, H. M. Price, A. Amo, N. Goldman, M. Hafezi, L. Lu, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Schuster, J. Simon, O. Zilberberg, and I. Carusotto, “Topological photonics,” [Rev. Mod. Phys. **91**, 015006 \(2019\)](#).
- [22] M. Hafezi, E. A. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and J. M. Taylor, “Robust optical delay lines with topological protection,” [Nat. Phys. **7**, 907 \(2011\)](#).
- [23] A. Bermudez, T. Schaetz, and D. Porras, “Synthetic gauge fields for vibrational excitations of trapped ions,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 150501 \(2011\)](#).
- [24] S. Mittal, J. Fan, S. Faez, A. Migdall, J. M. Taylor, and M. Hafezi, “Topologically robust transport of photons in a synthetic gauge field,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 087403 \(2014\)](#).
- [25] P. A. Deymier, K. Runge, P. Lucas, and J. O. Vasseur, “Spacetime representation of topological phononics,” [New J. Phys. **20**, 053005 \(2018\)](#).
- [26] S. Mittal, V. V. Orre, D. Leykam, Y. D. Chong, and M. Hafezi, “Photonic anomalous quantum Hall effect,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 043201 \(2019\)](#).
- [27] J. del Pino, J. P. Mathew, and E. Verhagen, “Synthetic gauge fields for phonon transport in a nano-optomechanical system,” [preprint arXiv:1812.09369 \(2018\)](#).
- [28] J. J. Viennot, X. Ma, and K. W. Lehnert, “Phonon-number-sensitive electromechanics,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 183601 \(2018\)](#).
- [29] M. J. A. Schuetz, E. M. Kessler, G. Giedke, L. M. K. Vandersypen, M. D. Lukin, and J. I. Cirac, “Universal quantum transducers based on surface acoustic waves,” [Phys. Rev. X **5**, 031031 \(2015\)](#).
- [30] R. Manenti, M. J. Peterer, A. Nersisyan, E. B. Magnusson, A. Patterson, and P. J. Leek, “Surface acoustic wave resonators in the quantum regime,” [Phys. Rev. B **93**, 041411 \(2016\)](#).
- [31] R. Manenti, A. F. Kockum, A. Patterson, T. Behrle, J. Rahamim, G. Tancredi, F. Nori, and

- P. J. Leek, “Circuit quantum acoustodynamics with surface acoustic waves,” [Nat. Commun.](#) **8** (2017).
- [32] B. A. Moores, Lucas R. Sletten, J. J. Viennot, and K. W. Lehnert, “Cavity quantum acoustic device in the multimode strong coupling regime,” [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **120**, 227701 (2018).
- [33] K. J. Satzinger, Y. P. Zhong, H.-S. Chang, G. A. Peairs, A. Bienfait, Ming-Han Chou, A. Y. Cleland, C. R. Conner, É. Dumur, J. Grebel, I. Gutierrez, B. H. November, R. G. Povey, S. J. Whiteley, D. D. Awschalom, D. I. Schuster, and A. N. Cleland, “Quantum control of surface acoustic-wave phonons,” [Nature](#) **563**, 661 (2018).
- [34] A. F. Kockum, G. Johansson, and F. Nori, “Decoherence-free interaction between giant atoms in waveguide quantum electrodynamics,” [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **120**, 140404 (2018).
- [35] L.-B. Shao, S. Maity, L. Zheng, L. Wu, A. Shams-Ansari, Y.-I. Sohn, E. Puma, M.N. Gadalla, M. Zhang, C. Wang, E. Hu, K.-J. Lai, and M. Lončar, “Phononic band structure engineering for high-q gigahertz surface acoustic wave resonators on lithium niobate,” [Phys. Rev. Applied](#) **12**, 014022 (2019).
- [36] L. R. Sletten, B. A. Moores, J. J. Viennot, and K. W. Lehnert, “Resolving phonon Fock states in a multimode cavity with a double-slit qubit,” [Phys. Rev. X](#) **9**, 021056 (2019).
- [37] M Blencowe, “Quantum electromechanical systems,” [Phys. Rep.](#) **395**, 159 (2004).
- [38] P. Meystre, “A short walk through quantum optomechanics,” [Annalen Der Physik](#) **525**, 215 (2012).
- [39] M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, “Cavity optomechanics,” [Rev. Mod. Phys.](#) **86**, 1391 (2014).
- [40] P. Delsing et. al., “The 2019 surface acoustic waves roadmap,” [J. Phys. D](#) **52**, 353001 (2019).
- [41] C. Kittel, “Interaction of spin waves and ultrasonic waves in ferromagnetic crystals,” [Phys. Rev.](#) **110**, 836 (1958).
- [42] H.-X. Sun, S.-Y. Zhang, and X.-J. Shui, “A tunable acoustic diode made by a metal plate with periodical structure,” [Appl. Phys. Lett.](#) **100**, 103507 (2012).
- [43] S. J. M. Habraken, K. Stannigel, M. D. Lukin, P. Zoller, and P. Rabl, “Continuous mode cooling and phonon routers for phononic quantum networks,” [New J. Phys.](#) **14**, 115004 (2012).
- [44] M. K. Ekström, T. Aref, J. Runeson, J. Björck, I. Boström, and P. Delsing, “Surface acoustic wave unidirectional transducers for quantum applications,” [Appl. Phys. Lett.](#) **110**, 073105 (2017).

- [45] A. B. Khanikaev, R. Fleury, S. H. Mousavi, and A. Alù, “Topologically robust sound propagation in an angular-momentum-biased graphene-like resonator lattice,” [Nat. Commun. **6** \(2015\)](#).
- [46] C. He, X. Ni, H. Ge, X.-C. Sun, Y.-B. Chen, M.-H. Lu, X.-P. Liu, and Y.-F. Chen, “Acoustic topological insulator and robust one-way sound transport,” [Nat. Phys. **12**, 1124 \(2016\)](#).
- [47] Y.-F. Wang, B. Yousefzadeh, H. Chen, H. Nassar, G.-L. Huang, and C. Daraio, “Observation of nonreciprocal wave propagation in a dynamic phononic lattice,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 194301 \(2018\)](#).
- [48] C. Brendel, V. Peano, O. J. Painter, and F. Marquardt, “Pseudomagnetic fields for sound at the nanoscale,” [PNAS **114**, E3390 \(2017\)](#).
- [49] N. Goldman and J. Dalibard, “Periodically driven quantum systems: Effective Hamiltonians and engineered gauge fields,” [Phys. Rev. X **4**, 031027 \(2014\)](#).
- [50] N. Goldman, J. Dalibard, M. Aidelsburger, and N. R. Cooper, “Periodically driven quantum matter: The case of resonant modulations,” [Phys. Rev. A **91**, 033632 \(2015\)](#).
- [51] M. Vogl, P. Laurell, A. D. Barr, and G. A. Fiete, “Flow equation approach to periodically driven quantum systems,” [Phys. Rev. X **9**, 021037 \(2019\)](#).
- [52] X. Wang, W. Qin, A. Miranowicz, S. Savasta, and F. Nori, “Unconventional cavity optomechanics: Nonlinear control of phonons in the acoustic quantum vacuum,” [Phys. Rev. A **100**, 063827 \(2019\)](#).
- [53] Y. Makhlin, G. Schön, and A. Shnirman, “Quantum-state engineering with Josephson-junction devices,” [Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 357 \(2001\)](#).
- [54] E. K. Irish and K. Schwab, “Quantum measurement of a coupled nanomechanical resonator–Cooper-pair box system,” [Phys. Rev. B **68**, 155311 \(2003\)](#).
- [55] J. Koch, T. M. Yu, J. Gambetta, A. A. Houck, D. I. Schuster, J. Majer, A. Blais, M. H. Devoret, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, “Charge-insensitive qubit design derived from the Cooper pair box,” [Phys. Rev. A **76**, 042319 \(2007\)](#).
- [56] X. Gu, A. F. Kockum, A. Miranowicz, Y.-X. Liu, and F. Nori, “Microwave photonics with superconducting quantum circuits,” [Phys. Rep. **718-719**, 1 \(2017\)](#).
- [57] A. N. Bolgar, J. I. Zotova, D. D. Kirichenko, I. S. Besedin, A. V. Semenov, R. S. Shaikhaidarov, and O. V. Astafiev, “Quantum regime of a two-dimensional phonon cavity,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 223603 \(2018\)](#).

- [58] A. Ask, M. Ekström, P. Delsing, and G. Johansson, “Cavity-free vacuum-Rabi splitting in circuit quantum acoustodynamics,” [Phys. Rev. A **99**, 013840 \(2019\)](#).
- [59] D. F. V. James and J. Jerke, “Effective hamiltonian theory and its applications in quantum information,” [Canadian Journal of Physics **85**, 625–632 \(2007\)](#).
- [60] K. R. Brown, C. Ospelkaus, Y. Colombe, A. C. Wilson, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland, “Coupled quantized mechanical oscillators,” [Nature \(London\) **471**, 196–199 \(2011\)](#).
- [61] Hajime Okamoto, Adrien Gourgout, Chia-Yuan Chang, Koji Onomitsu, Imran Mahboob, Edward Yi Chang, and Hiroshi Yamaguchi, “Coherent phonon manipulation in coupled mechanical resonators,” [Nat. Phys. **9**, 480–484 \(2013\)](#).
- [62] Y.-J. Zhao, Y.-L. Liu, Y.-X. Liu, and F. Nori, “Generating nonclassical photon states via longitudinal couplings between superconducting qubits and microwave fields,” [Phys. Rev. A **91**, 053820 \(2015\)](#).
- [63] P.-M. Billangeon, J. S. Tsai, and Y. Nakamura, “Circuit-qed-based scalable architectures for quantum information processing with superconducting qubits,” [Phys. Rev. B **91**, 094517 \(2015\)](#).
- [64] S. Richer and D. DiVincenzo, “Circuit design implementing longitudinal coupling: A scalable scheme for superconducting qubits,” [Phys. Rev. B **93**, 134501 \(2016\)](#).
- [65] S. Richer, N. Maleeva, S. T. Skacel, I. M. Pop, and D. DiVincenzo, “Inductively shunted transmon qubit with tunable transverse and longitudinal coupling,” [Phys. Rev. B **96**, 174520 \(2017\)](#).
- [66] J. Goetz, F. Deppe, K. G. Fedorov, P. Eder, M. Fischer, S. Pogorzalek, E. Xie, A. Marx, and R. Gross, “Parity-engineered light-matter interaction,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 060503 \(2018\)](#).
- [67] A. Eckardt and E. Anisimovas, “High-frequency approximation for periodically driven quantum systems from a Floquet-space perspective,” [New J. Phys. **17**, 093039 \(2015\)](#).
- [68] E. A. Sete, J. M. Martinis, and A. N. Korotkov, “Quantum theory of a bandpass purcell filter for qubit readout,” [Phys. Rev. A **92**, 012325 \(2015\)](#).
- [69] K. L. Geerlings, *Improving Coherence of Superconducting Qubits and Resonators*, Ph.D. Thesis, Yale University, New Haven (2013).
- [70] P. Campagne-Ibarcq, *Measurement back action and feedback in superconducting circuits*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universit Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris (2013).
- [71] J. M. Luttinger, “The effect of a magnetic field on electrons in a periodic potential,” [Phys.](#)

- Rev. **84**, 814 (1951).
- [72] J. Koch, A. A. Houck, K. L. Hur, and S. M. Girvin, “Time-reversal-symmetry breaking in circuit-QED-based photon lattices,” *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 043811 (2010).
 - [73] Z. Shen, Y.-L. Zhang, Y. Chen, F.-W. Sun, X.-B. Zou, G.-C. Guo, C.-L. Zou, and C.-H. Dong, “Reconfigurable optomechanical circulator and directional amplifier,” *Nat. Comm.* **9** (2018), 8/s41467-018-04187-8.
 - [74] M. J. Collett and C. W. Gardiner, “Squeezing of intracavity and traveling-wave light fields produced in parametric amplification,” *Phys. Rev. A* **30**, 1386 (1984).
 - [75] C. W. Gardiner and M. J. Collett, “Input and output in damped quantum systems: Quantum stochastic differential equations and the master equation,” *Phys. Rev. A* **31**, 3761 (1985).
 - [76] D. R. Hofstadter, “Energy levels and wave functions of Bloch electrons in rational and irrational magnetic fields,” *Phys. Rev. B* **14**, 2239 (1976).
 - [77] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, “Quantized Hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 405 (1982).
 - [78] U. Kuhl and H.-J. Stöckmann, “Microwave realization of the Hofstadter butterfly,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 3232–3235 (1998).
 - [79] D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, “Creation of effective magnetic fields in optical lattices: the Hofstadter butterfly for cold neutral atoms,” *New J. Phys.* **5**, 56–56 (2003).
 - [80] C. Owens, A. LaChapelle, B. Saxberg, B. M. Anderson, R.-C. Ma, J. Simon, and D. I. Schuster, “Quarter-flux Hofstadter lattice in a qubit-compatible microwave cavity array,” *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 013818 (2018).
 - [81] D. Hügél and B. Paredes, “Chiral ladders and the edges of quantum Hall insulators,” *Phys. Rev. A* **89** (2014).
 - [82] M. E. Tai, A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, R. Schittko, T. Menke, D. Borgnia, P. M. Preiss, F. Grusdt, A. M. Kaufman, and M. Greiner, “Microscopy of the interacting Harper–Hofstadter model in the two-body limit,” *Nature (London)* **546**, 519 (2017).
 - [83] M. Aidelsburger, M. Atala, M. Lohse, J. T. Barreiro, B. Paredes, and I. Bloch, “Realization of the Hofstadter Hamiltonian with ultracold atoms in optical lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 185301 (2013).
 - [84] H. Miyake, G. A. Siviloglou, C. J. Kennedy, W. C. Burton, and W. Ketterle, “Realizing the Harper Hamiltonian with laser-assisted tunneling in optical lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**,

[185302 \(2013\)](#).

- [85] J. R. Johansson, P. D. Nation, and F. Nori, “Qutip: An open-source Python framework for the dynamics of open quantum systems,” [Comput. Phys. Commun.](#) **183**, 1760 (2012).
- [86] J. R. Johansson, P. D. Nation, and F. Nori, “Qutip 2: A Python framework for the dynamics of open quantum systems,” [Comput. Phys. Commun.](#) **184**, 1234 (2013).