Detecting non-Abelian statistics of topological states on a chain of superconducting circuits
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In view of the fundamental importance and many promising potential applications, non-Abelian statistics of topologically protected states has attracted much attention recently. However, due to the operational difficulties in solid state materials, non-Abelian statistics has not been experimentally realized yet. The superconducting quantum circuits system is scalable and controllable, thus is a promising platform for quantum simulation. Here, we propose a scheme to demonstrate non-Abelian statistics of topologically protected zero energy edge modes on a chain of the superconducting circuits. Specifically, we can realize topological phase transition by varying the hopping strength and magnetic filed in the chain, and the realized non-Abelian operation can be used in topological quantum computation. Considering the advantages of the superconducting quantum circuits, our protocol may shed light on quantum computation via topologically-protected states.

I. INTRODUCTION

Following the Feynman’s suggestion about the possibility of a quantum computer in the late 1980s, Shor proposed a quantum algorithm that could efficiently solve the prime-factorization problem [1, 2]. Since then the research of quantum computation began to be controversial. Recently topological quantum computation has become one of the perfect constructions to build quantum computer. The protocols based on the topological systems are neither built by bosons nor fermions, but so called non-Abelian anyons, which obey non-Abelian statistics. Therefore, realizing particles obey non-Abelian statistics in different physical systems has been taken into central stage for a long time. Physical systems with fractional quantum Hall effect has been developed a lot as a candidate for topological quantum computation and for the same reason Majorana fermions also have been paid great attention in related researches [3–19]. However, up to now, experimental non-Abelian operations are still being halfway for real quantum computation, and thus the relevant researches still has great significance.

Recently, the superconducting quantum circuits system [20–23], a scalable and controllable platform which is suitable for quantum computation and simulation [24–33], attracts great attention and has been applied in many researches. For example, the JC model [34], describing the interaction of a single two-level atom with a quantized single-mode photon, can be implemented by a superconducting transmission line resonator coupled a transmon. Meanwhile, JC units can be coupled in series, by superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs), forming a chain [35], or 2D lattice [36, 37], providing a promising platform for quantum simulation and computation. Compared with cold atoms and optical lattice simulations [38–40], the superconducting circuits possess good individual controllability and easy scalability.

Here, we propose a scheme to demonstrate non-Abelian statistics of topologically protected zero-energy edge modes on a chain of superconducting circuits. Each site of the chain consists of a JC coupled system, the single-excitation manifold of which mimic the spin-1/2 states. Different neighbouring sites are connected by SQUIDs. In this setup, all the on-site potential, tunable spin-states transitions and synthetic spin-orbit coupling can be induced and adjusted independently by the driving detuning, amplitude and phases of the ac magnetic filed threading through the connecting SQUIDs. With appropriate parameters, topological states and the corresponding non-Abelian statistics can be explored and detected.

II. THE MODEL

A. The proposed model

We propose to implement non-Abelian quantum operations in a one-dimension (1D) lattices with the Hamiltonian

\[
H = \sum_{l} t_{0}(c_{l+1}^\dagger c_{l} + c_{l}^\dagger c_{l+1}) + h.c. \\
+ \sum_{l} h_{z}(c_{l+1}^\dagger c_{l} - c_{l}^\dagger c_{l+1}) \\
- \sum_{l} i\Delta_{0}e^{-i\varphi}(c_{l+1}^\dagger c_{l+1} - c_{l}^\dagger c_{l+1}) + h.c.,
\]

where \(c_{l+1}^\dagger = |\bar{\uparrow}\rangle_{l}\langle\bar{\downarrow}|G\) and \(c_{l}^\dagger = |\bar{\downarrow}\rangle_{l}\langle\bar{\uparrow}|G\) are the creation and annihilation operators of polariton with spin up and down in \(l\)th unit cell. First, set \(\varphi = 0\), the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) can be transformed to the momentum space as \(H = \sum_{k} \Psi_{k}^\dagger \tilde{h}(k) \Psi_{k}\), where \(\Psi_{k} = (c_{k,\uparrow}, c_{k,\downarrow})^T\), \(\tilde{h}(k) = (h_{z} + 2t_{0} \cos(k)) \sigma_{z} + 2\Delta_{0} \sin(k) \sigma_{x}\), and we have set lattice spacing \(a = 1\), \(\sigma_{x}\) and \(\sigma_{z}\) are Pauli matrices. Energy bands of this system are given as

\[
E(k) = \pm \sqrt{(h_{z} + 2t_{0} \cos(k))^2 + (2\Delta_{0} \sin(k))^2},
\]
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which indicates that the energy gap will close only when \( h_z = \pm 2t_0 \). It is well-known that there happens a topological phase transition when the gap close and open. In order to identify the topological zero mode states \( \psi_0 \), we start from an half-infinite chain. There is a chiral symmetry \( \sigma_y \hat{h}(k) \sigma_y = -\hat{h}(k) \). If there is a \( \psi_0 \) state inside the gap, \( \sigma_y \psi_0 \) is identical to \( \psi_0 \) up to a phase factor, since that under the chiral symmetry \( E(k) \rightarrow -E(k) \). As a result, \( \psi_0 \) must be an eigenstate of \( \sigma_y \) as \( \phi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1, \pm i)^T \) and
\[
h_z + 2t_0 \cos(k) = \mp 2i \Delta_0 \sin(k),
\]
these two equations are obtained by substituting \( \phi_{\pm} \) into Eq. (2) which are necessary to satisfy the former conditions. Notice that \( \sigma_y \phi_{\pm} = \pm i \phi_{\mp}, \sigma_y \phi_x = \pm \phi_x, \sigma_z \phi_{\pm} = \phi_{\mp} \), according to Eq. (2), \( \Delta_0 = -\Delta_0 \) is equivalent to \( \hat{h}(k) \rightarrow -\hat{h}(k) \rightarrow \sigma_x \hat{h}(k) \sigma_x \); \( h_z = 0 \), \( t_0 = -t_0 \) is equivalent to \( \hat{h}(k) \rightarrow -\sigma_x \hat{h}(k) \sigma_x \).

Since there is a gap in the bulk, these equations only have complex solutions which provide localized states at the edges. In order to satisfy the boundary conditions \( \psi_0 |_{k=0} = 0 \) and \( \psi_0 |_{k=\infty} = 0 \), the solutions of Eq. (4) for the same eigenstate \( \phi_{\pm} \) must satisfy \( \text{Im}(k) > 0 \), as there is no superposition of orthogonal states to satisfy this vanishing boundary condition. Careful analysis shows that there is an edge-state \( \phi_{+} \) localized at \( x = 0 \) when \( |h_z| < 2t_0, t_0 > 0 \) and \( \Delta_0 > 0 \).

### B. Non-Abelian statistics

In order to set up a scheme can be achieved in experiments, we consider a chain with finite cells. Fortunately, topologically protected zero modes are stable until energy gap is closed and thus can survive under local perturbations, a robust quantum computation can be realized using those modes. For finite system, the same argument can be applied to the edge states. After numerical calculations, we find that a chain with 16 lattices is good enough to realize a non-Abelian operation with corresponding parameters. In all the following numerical calculation, we set \( \varphi = 0 \) and \( t_0 \) being the energy unit. First, fix the energy levels of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) with corresponding parameters \( t_0/2\pi = 4 \text{ MHz}, \Delta_0/t_0 = 0.99, \) and \( h_z/t_0 = 0.3 \).

As shown in Fig. 1(a), we can find zero energy modes that can be used to demonstrate their non-Abelian statistics. We choose four such modes to realize non-Abelian operation in our scheme, these are the four red dots in Fig. 1(b). As an addition, we also calculate the topological invariants [42–45],
\[
\nu = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{sgn}(\pm 2t_0 + h_z) + \text{sgn}(\pm 2t_0 - h_z) \right],
\]
according to \( \nu \) we divide the \( t_0 - h_z \) plane into topological nontrivial and trivial phases, as Fig. 1(b). We set two quantum operations \( \hat{O}_1 \) and \( \hat{O}_2 \), where \( \hat{O}_1 \) is implemented by firstly changing the signs of \( t_0 \) and \( \Delta_0 \), and then varying \( h_z \) from \( 0.3t_0 \) to \( 0 \); and \( \hat{O}_2 \) is obtained with constant \( h_z \) while changes the signs of \( t_0, \Delta_0 \). We choose the initial state as \( |\Psi_i(x)\rangle = |\Psi_{L,0}(x)\rangle \) and calculate the edge state of four red dot parameters related to non-Abelian quantum operations in Fig. 1(b), which are listed in the following.

Dot A, \( t_0/2\pi = 4 \text{ MHz}, \Delta_0/t_0 = 0.99, h_z/t_0 = 0.3 \), the two zero modes edge states of the system are
\[
|\Psi_{L,0}(x)\rangle = N_0 \left[ \left( \frac{b_0 + \sqrt{c_0}}{2} \right)^x - \left( \frac{b_0 - \sqrt{c_0}}{2} \right)^x \right] \phi_+, \quad \sqrt{c_0}^{N-x+1} - \left( \frac{b_0 - \sqrt{c_0}}{2} \right)^{N-x+1} \phi_-.
\]

As shown in Fig. 1(c) and 1(d), where \( a_0 = (t_0 - \Delta_0)/(t_0 + \Delta_0), b_0 = h_z/(t_0 + \Delta_0), c_0 = b_0^2 - 4a_0, N \) is the number of cells, \( N_0 \) is a normalized constant that can only be solved numerically.

Dot B, \( t_0 \rightarrow -t_0, \Delta_0 \rightarrow -\Delta_0, h_z/t_0 = 0.3 \), the two edge states can be obtained as
\[
|\Psi_{L,1}(x)\rangle = N_1 \left[ \left( \frac{b_1 + \sqrt{c_1}}{2} \right)^x - \left( \frac{b_1 - \sqrt{c_1}}{2} \right)^x \right] \phi_+, \quad \sqrt{c_1}^{N-x+1} - \left( \frac{b_1 - \sqrt{c_1}}{2} \right)^{N-x+1} \phi_-.
\]

where \( a_1 = 1/a_0, b_1 = h_z/(t_0 - \Delta_0), c_1 = b_1^2 - 4a_1, N_1 \) is a normalized constant that can only be solved numerically.
Dot C, when \( t_0 \to -t_0, \Delta_0 \to -\Delta_0, h_z/t_0 = 0.3 \to h_z = 0 \), the two edge states can be obtained as
\[
|\Psi_{L,2}(x)\rangle = N_2 \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} x \right) e^{-\frac{2\pi}{a} x} \phi_+ ,
\]
\[
|\Psi_{R,2}(x)\rangle = N_2 \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} (N - x + 1) \right) e^{-\frac{2\pi}{a} (N-x+1)} \phi_-.
\]
where \( N_2 = \sqrt{2 \sinh a} \), \( a_2 = \ln 1/a_0 \).

Dot D, when \( -t_0 \to t_0, -\Delta_0 \to \Delta_0, h_z = 0 \), the two edge states can be obtained as
\[
|\Psi_{L,3}(x)\rangle = |\Psi_{L,2}(x)\rangle ,
\]
\[
|\Psi_{R,3}(x)\rangle = |\Psi_{R,2}(x)\rangle.
\]

We now proceed to detail our non-Abelian statistics demonstration for the zero modes, i.e., change the order of two operations \( \hat{O}_1 \) and \( \hat{O}_2 \) that are applied to an initial state \( |\Psi_i(\pi/2)\rangle \) will leads to different final states. We consider the case that the \( \hat{O}_1 \) operation is applied firstly, which is equivalent \( \phi_+ \to \phi_- \), so that \( \hat{O}_1 |\Psi_i(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \). When \( \hat{O}_2 \) is applied to \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \), the Hamiltonian experienced two unitary transformations, \( \hat{\sigma}_x \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_z \), it is equivalent \( \phi_+ \to \phi_- \to \phi_+ \), so we can get \( \hat{O}_2 |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \).

As a result, the initial state \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \) passes through \( \hat{O}_1 \) and then passes through \( \hat{O}_2 \), eventually transforming into the final state \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \), that corresponds to the direction of the two red arrows in Fig. 1(b). Alternatively, when the quantum operation \( \hat{O}_2 \), ie \( \phi_+ \to \phi_- \) applied to the initial state \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \) firstly, we can get \( \hat{O}_1 |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \). Then \( \hat{O}_1 \) is applied to \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \), ie \( \phi_- \to \phi_+ \), we can get \( \hat{O}_1 |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \).

As a result, the initial state \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \) passes through \( \hat{O}_2 \) and then passes through \( \hat{O}_1 \), eventually transforming into \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \), that corresponds to the direction of the two blue arrows in Fig. 1(b). The above operations could be written into formula
\[
\hat{O}_2 \hat{O}_1 |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle ,
\]
\[
\hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2 |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle = |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle.
\]

It can be seen that \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \) and \( |\Psi_{i,2}(\pi/2)\rangle \) are different in position distribution, and the two final states can be distinguished experimentally by measuring the position, we will show that in Fig. 3(a) and 3(b), in the following sections.

III. IMPLEMENTATION

With the previous discussion, now we will show how to realize our proposal in a superconducting circuits system. The method of realizing the 1D JC lattice in the superconducting circuit is shown in Fig. 2(a). We set the red and blue lattices alternately connected in series on one chain. Each lattice contains a JC coupling, where a TLR and a transmon are employed with resonant interaction [23, 41], and the adjacent lattices are connected by a grounded SQUID. As a result, setting

\[ h = 1 \] hereafter, the Hamiltonian of this JC lattice is
\[
\hat{H}_{JC} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} h_l + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} J_l(t)(a_l^\dagger a_{l+1} + h.c.),
\]
where \( N \) is the number of the unit cells; \( h_l = \omega_l \sigma_l^+ \sigma_l^- + \omega_l a_l^\dagger a_l + g_l(\sigma_l^+ a_l + h.c.) \) is the JC type interacting Hamiltonian in the \( l \)th unit cell with \( \sigma_l^\dagger = |e\rangle_l \langle g| \) and \( \sigma_l^- = |g\rangle_l \langle e| \) are the raising and lowering operators of the \( l \)th transmon qubits, \( a_l \) are the annihilation and creation operators of the photon in the \( l \)th TLR. The condition \( g_l \ll \omega_l \) has to be met for justifying the JC coupling. Its three lowest energy dressed states are \( |\uparrow\rangle_l = \frac{|0\rangle_l + |1\rangle_l}{\sqrt{2}}, |\downarrow\rangle_l = \frac{|0\rangle_l - |1\rangle_l}{\sqrt{2}} \) and \( |0\rangle_l \), with the corresponding energies are \( E_{l,\uparrow} = \omega_l + g_l, E_{l,\downarrow} = \omega_l - g_l \), and 0. And \( J_l(t) \) is the inter-cell hopping strengths between the \( l \)th and \((l+1)\)th unit cells. Here, we exploit the two single-excitation eigenstates \( |\uparrow\rangle_l \) and \( |\downarrow\rangle_l \) to simulate the effective electronic spin-up and spin-down state, they are regarded as a whole and term as "polariton".

We will show how coupling strength \( J_l(t) \) is regulated by regulating the magnetic flux of the adjacent TLRs and SQUIDs. Because two single-excited dressed states act as two pseudo-spin states in each cell, there are four hoppings between two adjacent cells. To control the coupling strength and phase of each hopping, we introduce four driving field frequencies in each \( J_l(t) \). For this purpose, we adopt two
sets of unit cells, R-type and B-type, which are alternately linked on one chain, see Fig. 2(a). Setting the chain started with an R-type one, when \( l \) is odd (even), \( \omega_l = \omega_R(\omega_l) \) and \( g_l = g_R(\omega_l) \). Then, we set \( \omega_R/2\pi = 6 \text{ GHz} \), \( \omega_B/2\pi = 5.84 \text{ GHz} \), \( g_R/2\pi = 200 \text{ MHz} \), and \( g_B/2\pi = 120 \text{ MHz} \).

In this way, the energy interval of the four hoppings are \( \{ |E_{l,\alpha} - E_{l+1,\alpha'}|/2\pi \}_{\alpha,\alpha'} = \{ 80, 160, 240, 480 \} \text{ MHz} \). The frequency distances between every two of them are no less than 20 times of the effective hopping strength \( t_0/2\pi = 4 \text{ MHz} \), \( \Delta_0/t_0 = 0.99 \), thus they can be selectively addressed in frequency. Then the driving \( J_l(t) \) has to correspondingly contain four tunes, written as

\[
J_l(t) = \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} 4t_l,\alpha,\alpha' \cos \left( \omega_l^d,\alpha,\alpha' t + \varphi_l,\alpha,\alpha' \right),
\]

where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and \( \alpha, \alpha' \in \{ \uparrow, \downarrow \} \). We will show that the time-dependent coupling strength \( J_l(t) \) can induce a designable spin transition under a certain rotation wave approximation. First, we calculate the form of Hamiltonian in Eq. (11) into the single-excitation subspace \( |\Psi\rangle \). hamiltonian in Eq. (11) in the single-excitation state of the direct product space \( \{ |0,\cdots,0,\alpha,0,\cdots,0,\alpha'\rangle \} \). Hereafter, we use \( |\alpha\rangle_l \) to denote \( |0,\cdots,0,\alpha,0,\cdots,0,\alpha\rangle \), and \( |G\rangle \) to denote \( |0,\cdots,0,\alpha,0,\cdots,0,\alpha\rangle \). Then, we define a rotating frame by \( U = \exp\left\{ -i \sum_{l,\alpha} (h_l - h_{\alpha,\alpha'})(|\alpha\rangle_l \langle \alpha| - |\alpha\rangle_l \langle \alpha'| + |\alpha\rangle_l \langle \alpha'| + \varphi_l,\alpha,\alpha' \rangle t) \right\} \), and map the Hamiltonian in Eq. (11) into the single excitation subspace span \( \{|\alpha\rangle_l \} \) and get

\[
H_{\text{IC}} = U^\dagger H_{\text{IC}} U + iU^\dagger U
\]

Selecting \( \omega_l^d,\alpha,\alpha' = (E_{l,\alpha} - p_{l,\alpha}) - (E_{l+1,\alpha'} - p_{l+1,\alpha'}) \), under the rotating-wave approximation, i.e., \( \omega_l^d,\alpha,\alpha' \gg t_{l,\alpha,\alpha'} \), and \( \omega_l^d,\alpha,\alpha' \gg \omega_l^d,\alpha',\alpha' \), Eq. (13) is simplified to

\[
H_{\text{IC}} = \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{\alpha} p_{l,\alpha} |\alpha\rangle_l \langle \alpha| + i U^\dagger \left( \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} h_l^\dagger n_l \right) U. \quad (14)
\]

So that we can adjust \( p_{l,\alpha}, t_{l,\alpha,\alpha'}, \varphi_{l,\alpha,\alpha'} \) to implement different forms of spin-orbit coupling. The on-site potential and the hopping patterns of the Hamiltonian before and after the unitary transformation are shown in Fig. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively.

According to Eq. (14), we choose \( p_{l,\uparrow} = h_z, p_{l,\downarrow} = -h_z, t_{l,\uparrow,\uparrow} = -t_{l,\downarrow,\downarrow} = t_0, t_{l,\uparrow,\downarrow} = t_{l,\downarrow,\uparrow} = \Delta_0, \varphi_{l,\uparrow,\uparrow} = \varphi_{l,\downarrow,\downarrow} = 0, \varphi_{l,\uparrow,\downarrow} = -\pi/2 + \varphi, \varphi_{l,\downarrow,\uparrow} = -\pi/2 - \varphi \), and the Hamiltonian becomes to the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) that we want to simulate. In this case, the four drive frequencies added by external magnetic flux are

\[
J_l(t) = 4t_0 \cos(\omega_l,\uparrow,\uparrow t) - 4t_0 \cos(\omega_l,\downarrow,\downarrow t)
+ 4\Delta_0 \cos(\omega_l,\uparrow,\uparrow t - \pi/2 + \varphi)
+ 4\Delta_0 \cos(\omega_l,\downarrow,\downarrow t - \pi/2 - \varphi), \quad (15)
\]

where

\[
\omega_l,\uparrow,\uparrow = E_{l,\uparrow} - E_{l+1,\uparrow},
\omega_l,\uparrow,\downarrow = E_{l,\uparrow} - E_{l+1,\downarrow} - 2h_z,
\omega_l,\downarrow,\uparrow = E_{l,\downarrow} - E_{l+1,\uparrow} + 2h_z,
\omega_l,\downarrow,\downarrow = E_{l,\downarrow} - E_{l+1,\downarrow},
\]

FIG. 3. Dynamical detection of polaritonic topological edge states. Time evolution of polaritonic density distribution \( (\sigma^+ \sigma^- + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}) \) when the JC lattice is in (a) \( |\Psi_f(x)\rangle \) which obtained by the \( \hat{O}_1 \) and \( \hat{O}_2 \) quantum operations, and (b) \( |\Psi_f(x)\rangle \) obtained by the \( \hat{O}_3 \) and \( \hat{O}_1 \) quantum operations. The edge-site population \( P_1(t) \) and \( P_2(t) \) at 1.5 \( \mu s \) and the oscillation center \( \nu/2 \) of (c) edge states \( |\Psi_f(x)\rangle \), and (d) \( |\Psi_f(x)\rangle \) for different decay rates \( \gamma \).

4t_0, 4\Delta_0, \varphi, and 2h_z are the amplitudes, phases, and detuning. This time-dependent coupling strength \( J_l(t) \) can be realized by adding external magnetic fluxes with dc and ac components threading the SQUIDs [35, 46]. The hopping strengths and hopping phases both can be controlled by the amplitudes and phases of the ac flux. We set \( h_z/t_0 = 0.3 \), then the least frequency distances between each two of them are nearly 20 times of the effective hopping strength \( t_0 \) and \( \Delta_0 \), so these four drive frequencies can achieve the corresponding four hopping, as shown in Fig. 2(b).

IV. DETECTION OF TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

According to Eq. (6) and (8), or as shown in Fig. 1(c) and 1(d), the polariton in the left or right edge state is maximally distributed in the leftmost and rightmost JC lattice sites. Their internal spins are in the superposition states \( (|\uparrow\rangle_l + i |\downarrow\rangle_l) /\sqrt{2} \) and \( (|\uparrow\rangle_l - i |\downarrow\rangle_l) /\sqrt{2} \), respectively. In our demonstration of the non-Abelian statistics, the two final states \( |\Psi_f(x)\rangle \) and \( \Psi_f(x)\rangle \) corresponds to the two edge states, which will mostly localized in the corresponding edge sites for a long time. Therefore, by detecting the population of the edge sites, we can successfully verify the final states.
When detecting the state $|\Psi_f(x)\rangle$ which is obtained by first applies $\hat{O}_1$ and then $\hat{O}_2$ quantum operations, the result is shown in Fig. 3(a), and detection of the state $|\Psi'_f(x)\rangle$ obtained by the $\hat{O}_2$ and $\hat{O}_1$ is shown in Fig. 3(b). The initial states of the two detection are taken as

$$|\Psi_f(t = 0)\rangle = |0\rangle_1 \cdots |0\rangle_{N-1} (|\uparrow\rangle_N - i|\downarrow\rangle_N) / \sqrt{2},$$

$$|\Psi'_f(t = 0)\rangle = (|\uparrow\rangle_1 + i|\downarrow\rangle_1) |0\rangle_2 \cdots |0\rangle_N / \sqrt{2}.$$ 

It can be seen that after the evolution of $3 \mu$s, because of topology protection, the final density distribution of the polaritons in the JC model lattice is still mostly distributed at the corresponding ends. Therefore, the two quantum states $|\Psi_f(x)\rangle$ and $|\Psi'_f(x)\rangle$ are experimentally distinguishable.

The polaritonic topological winding number can be related with the single-polariton dynamics \[35, 47\], i.e.,

$$\nu = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T dt \langle \psi_c(t) | \hat{P}_d | \psi_c(t) \rangle,$$  

(17)

where $T$ is the evolution time, $\hat{P}_d = \sum_{l=1}^N i \sigma^y_l$, $|\psi_c(t)\rangle = \exp(-iHt)|\psi_c(0)\rangle$ is the time evolution of the initial single-polariton state $|\psi_c(0)\rangle = |0\rangle_1 \cdots |\uparrow\rangle_{N/2} \cdots |0\rangle_N$, where one of the middle JC lattice site has been put one polariton in, with its spin prepared in the state $|\uparrow\rangle$.

In Fig. 3(c) and 3(d), we plot the edge-site population

$$P_1(t) = \text{Tr} \left[ \rho(t) \left( a_1^\dagger a_1 + \sigma^+_1 \sigma^-_1 \right) \right],$$

$$P_2(t) = \text{Tr} \left[ \rho(t) \left( a_N^\dagger a_N + \sigma^+_N \sigma^-_N \right) \right],$$

(18)

after 1.5 $\mu$s and the oscillation center $\nu/2$ of the state $|\Psi_f(x)\rangle$ and $|\Psi'_f(x)\rangle$ for different decay rates. It shows that the edge state population and the chiral center smoothly decrease when the decay rate increase. It can be seen that as decay rate $\gamma$ continues to increase, it will run inside the system, the edge state will disappear due to noise, and the detection fails.

Finally, the influence of the system noise on the photon number and the decoherence of the qubit is evaluated by numerically integrate the Lindblad master equation, which can be written as

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H_{JC}, \rho] + \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^3 \gamma \left( \Gamma_{l,i} \rho \Gamma^\dagger_{l,i} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \Gamma^\dagger_{l,i} \Gamma_{l,i}, \rho \} \right),$$

(19)

where $\rho$ is the density operator of the whole system, $\gamma$ is the decay rate or noise strength which are set to be the same here, $\Gamma_{l,1} = a_l$, $\Gamma_{l,2} = \sigma^+_l$ and $\Gamma_{l,3} = \sigma^-_l$ are the photon-loss, transmon-loss and the transmon-dephasing operators in the $l$th lattice, respectively. The typical decay rate is $\gamma = 2 \pi \times 5 \text{kHz}$, at this decay rate, the detection of the edge state $|\Psi_f(x)\rangle$ result in $P_1(\tau) = 0$, and $P_2(\tau) = 0.974$ when $\tau = 1.5 \mu$s, which corresponds to a chiral center $\nu/2 \approx 0.451$. For the edge state $|\Psi'_f(x)\rangle$, we have $P_1(\tau) = 0.971$, and $P_2(\tau) = 0$, which corresponds to a chiral center $\nu/2 \approx 0.453$. Because of topology protection, the system is less affected by decoherence effect, and these data are sufficient to distinguish edge states $|\Psi_f(x)\rangle$ and $|\Psi'_f(x)\rangle$.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we propose to establish a 1D chain by superconducting circuits and show that the non-Abelian statistics can be demonstrated experimentally. The advantages of superconducting circuits system make our scenario more feasible and stable, that will shed light on researches to achieve quantum computer. As an addition, we also discuss the effect of decoherence on the edge state of the system and the results prove that our protocol will stay reliable under decoherence, which is very important for realizing quantum computation in experiments.
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