ON LIFTING INVARIANT PROBABILITY MEASURES

TOMASZ CIEŚLA

Abstract. In this note we study when an invariant probability measure lifts

to an invariant measure. Consider a standard Borel space \(X\), a Borel prob-

ability measure \(\mu\) on \(X\), a Borel map \(T: X \to X\) preserving \(\mu\), a compact metric

space \(Y\), a continuous map \(S: Y \to Y\), and a Borel surjection \(\nu: Y \to X\) with \(p \circ S = T \circ p\). We prove that if fibers of \(p\) are compact then \(\mu\) lifts to an

\(S\)-invariant measure on \(Y\).

1. Introduction

In this note we address the following question asked by Feliks Przytycki:

Question. Let \(X, Y\) be compact metric spaces. Let \(T: X \to X\), \(S: Y \to Y\) be

continuous maps. Let \(p: Y \to X\) be a Borel surjection with \(p \circ S = T \circ p\). Let \(\mu\) be

a \(T\)-invariant Borel probability measure on \(X\). When does \(\mu\) lift to an \(S\)-invariant

Borel probability measure on \(Y\) ?

The answer is affirmative under the assumption that fibers of \(p\) are finite and the

sets \(\{x \in X: |p^{-1}(x)| = n\}\) are \(T\)-invariant (for instance, this holds if \(S\) and \(T\) are

homeomorphisms). A special case of this (\(|p^{-1}(x)| \leq 2\) for all \(x \in X\)) appeared in

the proof of [Prz] Corollary 10.2]. An obvious modification of Przytycki’s argument

shows that one can lift \(\mu\) to an \(S\)-invariant measure \(\nu\) where \(\nu\) is defined by

\[\nu(A) = \int_X \frac{|A \cap p^{-1}(x)|}{|p^{-1}(x)|} d\mu(x).\]

It is also known that if \(p\) is continuous then \(\mu\) lifts to an \(S\)-invariant measure

\(\nu\). Note that \(p\) induces the push-forward map \(p_*: P(Y) \to P(X)\) (here, \(P(Y)\) and

\(P(X)\) denote the spaces of all Borel probability measures on \(Y\) and \(X\), respectively)

which is a continuous surjection, so the preimage of \(\mu\) is a non-empty compact subset

\(K\) of \(P(Y)\). Clearly, \(K\) is convex. Since \(\mu\) is \(T\)-invariant and \(p \circ S = T \circ p\), we

obtain \(S_\ast(K) \subseteq K\). Hence by Schauder fixed-point theorem there exists \(\nu \in K\)

with \(\nu = S_\ast(\nu)\). This means: \(\nu\) is a lift of \(\mu\) which is \(S\)-invariant.

On the other hand, it may happen that \(\mu\) does not lift to an \(S\)-invariant measure

even if \(T\) is the identity map and \(S\) is a homeomorphism. For instance, let \(X =

\{0, 1\}\) and \(Y = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}\) be the one-point compactification of the countable discrete

space \(\mathbb{Z}\). Let \(T = \text{id}_X\), \(S(n) = n + 1\) for \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\), \(S(\infty) = \infty\), \(p(n) = 0\) for

\(n \in \mathbb{Z}\), \(p(\infty) = 1\), and \(\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1\). Suppose that \(\nu\) is an \(S\)-invariant measure

on \(Y\). By \(S\)-invariance, \(\nu(\{n\}) = \nu(\{0\})\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\). If \(\nu(\{0\}) = 0\) then

\(\nu(\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu(\{n\}) = 0\) and if \(\nu(\{0\}) > 0\) then \(\nu(\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu(\{n\}) = \infty\). In

both cases \(\nu(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \frac{1}{2}\), hence \(\mu\) does not lift to an \(S\)-invariant measure.
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We shall work in a more general context. We drop the assumption on compactness of $X$ and continuity of $T$. Also, we assume that instead of single maps $S$ and $T$ we have a left amenable semigroup $\Gamma$ (for instance, an abelian semigroup) acting on $Y$ by continuous maps and acting on $X$ by measure-preserving maps so that the actions of $\Gamma$ on $Y$ and $X$ commute with $p$. Our main result is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Let $X$ be a standard Borel space with a Borel probability measure $\mu$. Let $Y$ be a compact metric space. Let $p: Y \to X$ be a $\mu$-measurable map with $\mu(p(Y)) = 1$ and such that the set $p^{-1}(x)$ is compact for $\mu$-a.a. $x \in X$. Let $\Gamma$ be a left amenable semigroup. Consider actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$, $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ so that:

- $\Gamma$ acts on $Y$ by continuous maps, i.e. for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the map $S_\gamma: Y \to Y$, $S_\gamma(y) = \gamma y$ is continuous,
- $\mu$ is $\Gamma$-invariant, i.e. for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the map $T_\gamma: X \to X$, $T_\gamma(x) = \gamma x$ preserves $\mu$,
- The actions of $\Gamma$ on $Y$ and $X$ commute with $p$ $\mu$-a.e., i.e. for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\mu$-a.a. $y \in Y$ the following holds: $p(S_\gamma(y)) = T_\gamma(p(y))$.

Then there exists a $\Gamma$-invariant Borel probability measure $\nu$ on $Y$ such that $p_*(\nu) = \mu$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is similar to the proof sketched above for the case when $p$ is continuous: we first prove that the set of lifts of $\mu$ is a nonempty compact convex subset of $P(Y)$ and then instead of Schauder’s fixed-point theorem we use Day’s fixed-point theorem for actions of left amenable semigroups.

If $\Gamma$ is generated by a single element, we obtain the following corollary which generalizes both special cases discussed above.

**Corollary 2.** Let $X$ be a standard Borel space with a Borel probability measure $\mu$ and let $T: X \to X$ be a $\mu$-measurable map preserving $\mu$. Let $Y$ be a compact metric space and let $S: Y \to Y$ be a continuous map. Let $p: Y \to X$ be a $\mu$-measurable map such that $p \circ S = T \circ p$ $\mu$-a.e. and $\mu(p(Y)) = 1$. Suppose that for $\mu$-a.a. $x \in X$ the set $p^{-1}(x)$ is compact. Then there exists a Borel probability measure $\nu$ on $Y$ which is $S$-invariant and $p_*(\nu) = \mu$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and useful facts.

A standard Borel space is an uncountable set $X$ with a $\sigma$-algebra $\Sigma$ of subsets of $X$ such that there exists a Polish (i.e. separable, completely metrizable) topology $\tau$ on $X$ whose Borel $\sigma$-algebra is $\Sigma$.

Given a compact Polish space $Y$ we denote by $K(Y)$ the collection of all compact subsets of $Y$. The set $K(Y)$ can be endowed with a Vietoris topology, i.e. the topology generated by sets

$$\{K \in K(Y): K \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{K \in K(Y): K \subset U\}$$

where $U \subset Y$ is open. $K(Y)$ with Vietoris topology is a compact Polish space.

For a compact Polish space $Y$ we denote by $P(Y)$ the set of all Borel probability measures on $Y$. We endow $P(Y)$ with the topology of pointwise convergence on $C(Y)$, i.e. the topology generated by sets of the form

$$\left\{\sigma \in P(Y): \left| \int_Y f \, d\sigma - \int_Y f \, d\sigma_0 \right| < \varepsilon \right\}$$
where \( \sigma_0 \in P(Y) \), \( f : Y \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous, and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). \( P(Y) \) with this topology is a compact Polish space. Note that a sequence \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots \) converges to \( \sigma \) in \( P(Y) \) if and only if for all continuous functions \( f : Y \to \mathbb{R} \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f d\sigma_n = \int_X f d\sigma.
\]

Recall that a semigroup \( \Gamma \) is called left amenable if there exists a left invariant mean for \( \Gamma \). For a more detailed definition of left amenable semigroups we refer the reader to [Pat, 0.18].

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Let \( M \subset P(Y) \) be the set of all measures \( \sigma \) with \( p_\ast(\sigma) = \mu \).

Lemma. \( M \) is a nonempty compact convex subset of \( P(Y) \).

Proof of Lemma. It is well-known that \( M \) is nonempty and convex. We shall check that \( M \) is a compact subset of \( P(Y) \). Let \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \ldots \) be a sequence of elements of \( M \) convergent to some \( \nu \in P(Y) \). We need to prove that \( \nu \in M \), i.e. that \( p_\ast(\nu) = \mu \).

Claim 1. Let \( A \subset X \) be a Borel set. Then \( p_\ast(\nu)(A) \geq \mu(A) \).

Proof of Claim 1. This is trivial if \( \mu(A) = 0 \), so let us assume that \( \mu(A) > 0 \). Fix \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Endow \( X \) with a Polish topology giving \( X \) its Borel structure. Let \( X' \subset X \) be a Borel set of full measure such that for all \( x \in X' \) the set \( p^{-1}(x) \) is compact.

Consider the map \( X' \ni x \mapsto p^{-1}(x) \in K(Y) \). We prove that it is \( \mu \)-measurable. To do so, it is enough to prove that the preimage of any subbasic open set in \( K(Y) \) is \( \mu \)-measurable. So let \( U \subset Y \) be an open set and consider \( B = \{ K \in K(Y) : K \cap U \neq \emptyset \} \) and \( C = \{ K \in K(Y) : K \subset U \} \). Note that

\[
(p^{-1})^{-1}(B) = \{ x \in X' : f(x) \in B \} = \{ x \in X' : p^{-1}(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset \}
\]

\[
= \{ x \in X' : \exists y \in U \ p(y) = x \} = \pi_X(\text{graph}(p) \cap (U \times X'))
\]

which clearly is \( \mu \)-measurable. Similarly, the set

\[
(p^{-1})^{-1}(C) = X' \setminus \pi_X(\text{graph}(p) \cap ((Y \setminus U) \times X'))
\]

is \( \mu \)-measurable. Hence the map \( p^{-1} \) is \( \mu \)-measurable.

By Lusin’s Theorem there exists a nonempty compact subset \( K \subset A \cap X' \) such that \( \mu(K) > \mu(A) - \varepsilon \) and the function \( p^{-1}|_K : K \to K(Y) \) is continuous. Then the set \( \{ p^{-1}(x) : x \in K \} \) is compact in \( K(Y) \), as it is a continuous image of a compact set. By [Kec, 4.29], the set \( p^{-1}(K) = \bigcup \{ p^{-1}(x) : x \in K \} \) is a compact subset of \( Y \).

For all positive integers \( m \) let \( f_m : Y \to [0,1] \) be given by the formula

\[
f_m(y) = \max(0,1 - m \cdot \text{dist}(y,p^{-1}(K))).
\]

Then the sequence \( f_1, f_2, \ldots \) consists of continuous functions and converges to \( \chi_{p^{-1}(K)} \) pointwise.

Since for all \( m \) we have \( f_m \geq \chi_{p^{-1}(K)} \), it follows that for all \( m \) and \( n \)

\[
\int_Y f_md\nu_n \geq \int_Y \chi_{p^{-1}(K)}d\nu_n = \nu_n(p^{-1}(K)) = p_\ast(\nu_n)(K) = \mu(K).
\]

Since \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots \) converges to \( \nu \), we have

\[
\int_Y f_md\nu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_Y f_md\nu_n \geq \mu(K).
\]
Hence by dominated convergence theorem

\[ p_\#(\nu)(K) = \nu(p^{-1}(K)) = \int_Y \chi_{p^{-1}(K)} \, d\nu = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_Y f_m \, d\nu \geq \mu(K). \]

Finally, \( p_\#(\nu)(A) \geq p_\#(\nu)(K) \geq \mu(K) - \varepsilon \). Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) can be chosen arbitrarily, it follows that \( p_\#(\nu)(A) \geq \mu(A) \).

\[ \square \]

Claim 2. Let \( A \subset X \) be a Borel set. Then \( p_\#(\nu)(A) \leq \mu(A) \).

Proof of Claim 2. Claim 1 for the set \( X \setminus A \) gives \( p_\#(\nu)(X \setminus A) \geq \mu(X \setminus A) \). This can be rewritten as \( 1 - p_\#(\nu)(A) \geq 1 - \mu(A) \), hence \( p_\#(\nu)(A) \leq \mu(A) \).

Claims 1 and 2 imply that \( p_\#(\nu)(A) = \mu(A) \) for all Borel sets \( A \subset X \). Therefore \( p_\#(\nu) = \mu \).

Note that the action \( \Gamma \rhd Y \) induces an action \( \Gamma \rhd P(Y) \) by push-forwards: \( \gamma \sigma = (S_\gamma)_\#(\sigma) \). Note that \( \Gamma M \subset M \). Indeed, for any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) and \( \nu \in M \)

\[ p_\#(\gamma \nu) = p_\#((S_\gamma)_\#(\nu)) = (p \circ S_\gamma)_\#(\nu) = (T_\gamma \circ p)_\#(\nu) = (T_\gamma)_\#(p_\#(\nu)) = (T_\gamma)_\#(\mu) = \mu. \]

Hence by Day’s fixed-point theorem \cite{Day} there exists \( \nu \in M \) with \( \nu = (S_\gamma)_\#(\nu) \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \).

\[ \square \]
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