arXiv:1910.12609v5 [math.AT] 10 Jun 2020

TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANTS OF SOME CHEMICAL REACTION NETWORKS

JACK MORAVA

Abstract. Certain toric dynamical systems [15, 21, 22, 25] studied in physical chemistry have associated toric varieties which, when smooth, represent elements in the homotopy groups $M\xi_* B\mathbb{T}$ of a symplectic variant of the A_∞ Baker-Richter spectrum $M\xi$ [9, 32]. The noncommutative Hopf algebroid $(M\xi_*, M\xi_* M\xi)$ has interesting connections to the group of formal diffeomorphism of the noncommutative line, conjecturally defining a noncommmutative analog of the Helmholtz free energy for systems of complex symplectic (completely integrable) Hamiltonian toric manifolds.

Introduction and organization

In 2006 Baker and Richter [8, 9] defined an A_∞ Thom spectrum $M\xi$ associated to the loop space $\Omega\Sigma\mathbb{C}P^\infty$, with close relations to quasi- and noncommutative symmetric functions, and the remarkable property that it splits p-locally as a wedge of copies of BP, even though $M\xi$, being noncommutative, is not an MU-algebra. Building on this work a few years later, N Kitchloo and the author [32] sketched a theory of $H_*M\xi$-valued characteristic numbers for the complex quasi-toric manifolds defined by Davis and Januszkiewicz [16].

This paper applies these ideas to work of Guillemin, Ginzburg, and Karshon [1] on cobordism of Hamiltonian group actions; in particular, to certain complex symplectic toric manifolds related to classical completely integrable systems. Smooth Hamiltonian toric manifolds associated to chemical reaction networks [15, 21, 22, 25] provide a significant class of examples.

Part I of this paper begins with sketches (§1.1, 1.2) of background material from geometry and mechanics, using the language of algebraic topology; the recent monographs of Guillemin *et al*, and of Buchstaber and Panov [2] on toric geometry, make this practical. A summary of some modern work on chemical reaction networks follows, and the final section reviews characteristic classes and numbers from non-equivariant cobordism theory. A brief account of the motivation behind this paper is postponed to an afterword.

Date: June 2020.

Part II is concerned more directly with the spectrum $M\xi$ and its associated noncommutative Hopf algebroid $(M\xi_*, M\xi_* M\xi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of rational cooperations, conjecturally closely related to a noncommutative Hopf algebra of formal diffeomorphisms of the noncommutative line [10]. Its connections with statistical mechanics [30, 36, 37] and free probability theory [19, 20, 26, 29, 41] suggest a candidate for the Helmholtz free energy for systems of symplectic Hamiltonian toric manifolds.

Apology to the reader: This paper takes its target audience to be generally familiar with modern algebraic topology (such as cobordism theory and equivariant language), with an interest in applications. Some knowledge of toric geometry, classical mechanics, and combinatorics would also be useful but §1.1-2 try to provide enough of an Ariadne's thread through current literature to make the rest of the paper accessible. The results of the paper involve the algebraic theory of chemical reaction networks and quasi-symmetric functions, neither of which is assumed to be known; §1.3 and 2.1 try to sketch enough of these topics for the reader to see why the proposed applications may be interesting.

Acknowledgements The author has profited from conversations and correspondence with many helpful colleagues over the years, and would especially like to thank Andrew Baker, Birgit Richter, Nitu Kitchloo, Michiel Hazewinkel, John McKay, Roland Friedrich, Masoud Khalkali, and Jeremy Gunawardena for their interest and help; but of course the excesses and misunderstandings in this paper are his own responsibiity. He moreover thanks the editors and referees for their insightful comments, recommendations, and patience.

§I Background

1.1 Some toric geometry

1.1.1 This paper is concerned with applications of the theory of Hamiltonian G-cobordism developed in [1], specialized to the context of Delzant-like half-dimensional toric manifolds. The general theory of Guillemin, Ginzburg, and Karshon is in some sense naturally bigraded, by symmetry group as well as dimension: the product of an n-dimensional G-manifold with an m-dimensional H-manifold is most naturally an $n+m$-dimensional $G \times H$ manifold. Here our focus will be a neighborhood of the diagonal of this bigraded category.

We will be interested in compact smooth 'T-manifolds' X endowed with an effective[1] smooth action of a compact torus T, such that $\dim T = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \dim X \rfloor$; thus the toruses acting on T-manifolds (X_0, T_0), (X_1, T_1) will be distinct.

[1] *i.e.* $(\forall x \in X)$ Lie $T \to \mathrm{End}(T_x X)$ is injective; we will also fix an isomorphism of Lie T with $\pi_1(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We write $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, T for a generic torus, and $T^k \cong \mathbb{T}^k$ for a specific torus.

The boundary ∂X of an odd-dimensional T-manifold is again a T-manifold, and if one or both of a pair of T-manifolds as above is even-dimensional, then $M_0 \times M_1$ is a T-manifold with an action of $T_0 \times T_1$ and boundary $\partial M_0 \times M_1 \coprod M_0 \times \partial M_1$. The class of T-manifolds is thus a cobordism category with products, graded by dimension: an object is a smooth manifold with an action by a torus of half its dimension, much like the spaces classified by Delzant [1](§1.3 p 17, §1.5 p 29), while odd-dimensional T-manifolds will be the morphisms of the category. This can be elaborated by specifying various orientations, but terminology for structures (symplectic, complex-oriented ...) on manifolds with group actions can become confusing. Our convention is that a $\mathcal{Z}$-structure on a T-manifold will always mean a T-equivariant $\mathcal{Z}$-structure.

To define the orientations relevant below, we work with $2n$-dimensional T-manifolds with an underlying Davis-Januszkiewicz quasi-toric structure, *i.e.* such that the quotient

$$M \to M/T = P$$

is homeomorphic to a simple (*i.e.* with n facets meeting at each vertex [16](§1.13),[2](1.1 p 4, 1.7 p 46) polyhedron, with boundary dual to a simplicial polytope K with a set $[m]$ of vertices. The map which assigns to a facet of P, its isotropy subgroup, lifts (nonuniquely) to an *omniorientation*: a surjective homomorphism

$$\Lambda : \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{Z}^n \cong \pi_1(T)$$

[2](§7.3.9 p 247) such that the minor $\Lambda_{\{i_v\}}$ associated to the columns $\{i_v\}$ indexed by the facets meeting at the vertex v has determinant ± 1. Such an omniorientation defines, and is defined uniquely by, an equivariant complex orientation [43], *i.e.* a stable complex structure [2](B.6.1 p 434] with an associated equivariant decomposition

$$T_M \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m-n} \cong \oplus_{1 \le i \le m} L_i$$

of the tangent bundle of M as a sum of complex line bundles; see [7], and §1.2.3 below.

1.1.2 Definition An (integral) *Hamiltonian* (complex symplectic) orientation on M is defined by

1) an equivariant complex line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on M, with characteristic class $c_1^T(\mathcal{L}) \in H_T^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ [1](C.47 p 214), together with a lift of $c_1^T(\mathcal{L})$ to

2) an equivariant symplectic form $\omega \in \Omega_T^2(M)$, *i.e.* $d\omega = 0$ with ω^n everywhere nonzero, together with an equivariantly closed 2-form $\bar{\omega} = \omega - \Phi$ such that $(2\pi)^{-1}[\bar{\omega}]$ is the image in $H_T^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ of $c_1^T(\mathcal{L})$. Then

3) $\Phi : M \to (\mathrm{Lie}\ T)^*$ is a moment map for the action of T on M [1](§2.15 p 25, E.37 p 266) identifying P with a convex polytope in $(\mathrm{Lie}\ T)^*$; moreover,

there is a homotopically unique almost complex structure on M compatible with ω, defining a canonical complex omniorientation on M.

This is a generalization of the stable complex Hamiltonian T-structure on M defined by a smooth projective toric variety with an explicit omniorientation[2]. A complex-oriented $2n+1$-manifold with T^n action, quasi-toric on its boundary, together with an equivariant complex line bundle and compatible two-forms $(\bar{\omega}, \omega)$ as above, nondegenerate [1](Thm H.10 p 309) in the sense that ω^n has one-dimensional kernel (trivial on the boundary), defines a symplectic cobordism with a complex-oriented version of a formal Hamiltonian structure as in [1](E.47 p 273, H1.1 p 301). Relaxing the integrality condition on $[\bar{\omega}]$ in effect tensors the underlying homotopy-theoretic constructions with $\mathbb{R}$.

There is however an interesting alternative notion of a complex-oriented cobordism between such manifolds, defined not by a generalized moment map [1](3.21 p 35) but by a stable decomposition of its tangent bundle into complex line bundles, as above. This leads to the quite different theory of characteristic numbers associated to the ring-spectrum $M\xi$ pursued in this paper.

1.1.3 Examples

i) A projective toric manifold M (defined by a smooth complex toric variety) inherits a Kähler class ω from its projective embedding [2](§5.5.4 p 197, §7.3 p 243), as well as a moment map Φ defining a polyhedron in $(\text{Lie } T)^*$ bounded by m half-planes $\{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \cdot e_i \geq \lambda_i\}$, such that [24]

$$(2\pi)^{-1}[\omega] = -\sum \lambda_i [v_i] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$$

for certain classes $[v_i] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ defined in §1.2.2 below; this is generalized in [11]. Similarly, toric orbifolds have underlying toric varieties. Note that $(M, -\omega)$ is not projective.

ii) The orbits of the coadjoint action of a compact Lie group G on the dual $(\text{Lie } G)^*$ of its Lie algebra are canonically symplectic manifolds, with the inclusion

$$G/\text{iso}(\lambda) \cong G \cdot \lambda \subset (\text{Lie } G)^*$$

defining a moment map[3]. They play a role in the representation theory of general Lie groups, in Kirillov's program, analogous to that of conjugacy classes in the theory of finite groups. Such orbits are foliated by tori, and are a rich source of classical (Liouville - Arnol'd) completely integrable Hamiltonian dynamical systems, *e.g.* the Eulerian mechanics of rigid bodies. This is pursued further in §III.

[2]It is tempting to think of Hamiltonian toric manifolds as something like compactifications of classical harmonic oscillators, much as toric varieties are compactifications of algebraic torus actions

[3]See [49] for algebraic groups

1.2 Some toric topology

Relations between the toric manifolds of Davis and Januszkiewicz [16] and the toric varieties of algebraic geometry [14, 48] are a source of possible ambiguity in the discussion below; [2] is helpful, especially for connections with combinatorics. From the point of view of homotopy theory, the relevant fact is that toric objects are abundantly supplied with interesting line bundles, conveniently indexed in various ways by polyopes. The characteristic classes of these line bundles provide algebraic invariants defined over the integers, and thus over $\mathbb{Q}$; but in the latter context distinctions between manifolds, orbifolds, and varieties [23, 34] can sometimes be usefully backgrounded.

1.2.1 Let K be a finite simplicial complex with vertices $[m]$, and let (X_i, A_i) be a family of reasonable pairs of spaces, also indexed by $[m]$; then Browder's polyhedral product

$$K \mapsto (X_*, A_*)^K$$

([2](§4.2.3 p 137), functorial under maps of families (X_*, A_*) and inclusions of complexes) associates to K, the moment-angle complex [2](§4.1.1 p 131)

$$\mathcal{Z}_K := (D^2, S^1)^K \in (\text{Spaces with } \mathbb{T}^m - \text{action})$$

[2](§4.1.1 p 131), where now $(X_i, A_i) = (D^2, S^1)$ is a pair with an action of the circle.

Remarks

1) $K \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_K$ is monoidal, taking joins of simplicial complexes to Cartesian products of spaces [2](§4.5.5), and

2) If $|K| \cong S^{n-1}$ then $\mathcal{Z}_K$ is a closed topological manifold of dimension $n + m$ [2](§4.1.4).

1.2.2 Following [2](§3.1.1 p 93), let $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ denote the Stanley-Reisner face ring of the simplicial complex K: this is the quotient of the augmented polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}_{1\leq i\leq m}[v_i] = \mathbb{Z}[v_*]$ generated by the vertices of K, modulo the ideal generated by relations $v_I = \prod_{k\in I} v_k$, where the subset $I \subset K$ is **not** a simplex of K. Evidently $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[v_*]$-algebra.

There has been a great deal of interesting recent work relating combinatorics (formulated for example in terms of face rings of simplicial complexes) and the equivariant topology of their associated moment-angle complexes. Some of this work will be summarized below; [2] contains further references to the original literature. Thus [2](Prop 4.5.5 p 147):

$$\mathrm{Tor}^*_{\mathbb{Z}[v_*]}(\mathbb{Z}[K], \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbb{Z}) \ , \ H^*_{\mathbb{T}^m}(\mathcal{Z}_K; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[K]$$

(as graded rings). Moreover, if P is an associated omnioriented simple polytope as in §1.1.1, and we let T_Λ denote the kernel of the surjection

$$\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{T}^m \to \mathbb{T}^n \ ,$$

then $T^n \cong \mathbb{T}^m/\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ acts on $\mathcal{Z}_K/T_\Lambda := M$, which carries the structure of a complex-oriented quasi-toric manifold [2](§7.3.8 - 16 p 250); in particular, it is a T-space. Moreover

$$H^*_{T^n}(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[K]$$

while $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ by the ideal generated by the image of Λ [2](Th 5.3.1 p 186). These isomorphisms have natural interpretations in terms of Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequences.

Remarks

1) The composition $T_\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}^m = \prod_{1\leq i\leq m}\mathbb{T}_i \to T_i$ defines a representation $\mathbb{C}(i)$ of T_Λ on the ith circle in the product $\mathbb{T}^m$, and thus a complex line bundle

$$L_i := \mathcal{Z}_K \times_{T_\Lambda} \mathbb{C}(i) \to M$$

whose Chern classes can naturally be identified with the images of the classes v_i in $H^*_{\mathbb{T}^m}(\mathcal{Z}_P;\mathbb{Z})$. The images $[v_i]$ of these classes in $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ are those cited above in Guillemin's formula for the Kähler class of M [2](§7.3.15 p 249).

2) When K is a Gorenstein complex [2](Th 3.4.2 p 112), M is a manifold with fundamental class

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{2n}_{T_\Lambda}(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2n}(M;\mathbb{Z}) & & \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \\ & & \mathbb{Z} \\ H^{2n}_{\mathbb{T}^m}(\mathcal{Z}_K;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[K]\ . & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

1.2.3 [2](Th 7.3.15 p 249) We have a T^n-equivariant splitting

$$T_M \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m-n} \cong \bigoplus_{1\leq i\leq m} L_i$$

of the tangent bundle of M [7],[2](Th 7.3.15 p 249). This suggests the interest of the class of complex-oriented manifolds, perhaps odd-dimensional, possessing stable splittings of their tangent or normal bundles as sums of complex line bundles.

1.3 Toric dynamical systems in theoretical chemistry

Work on the formal theory of chemical reaction networks dates back at least to the 1970s [28] but work of Gatermann [21, 22, 25] and others around 2000 brought new ideas from toric geometry into the subject. Following [15],[47](§2) closely, we summarize fundamental constructions:

1.3.1 A chemical reaction network $\mathcal{A}$ (for alembic) is defined by a triple

$$\mathcal{A} = \{G, \boldsymbol{\kappa} \in \mathbb{M}_n^n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{M}_n^s(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

where

*) G is a directed graph with a set V of n ordered vertices, called reactions or nodes, with edge set $E \subset \{(k,l) \in V \times V \mid k \neq l\}$ (*i.e.* without closed loops),

*) $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = [\kappa_l^k]$ is a matrix of reaction rates satisfying $\kappa_l^k > 0$ if $k \neq l$, extended by defining

$$\kappa_l^l := -\sum_{k \neq l} \kappa_l^k$$

(making each row l sum to zero, so κ becomes the negative of the Laplacian matrix for the weighted directed graph G), and

*) $\mathbf{Y} = [Y_k^i] \in \mathbb{M}_n^s(\mathbb{Z}_{n \geq 0})$ is a non-negative integer matrix, with s the number of chemical 'species' involved in the network.

A *toric dynamical system* is a chemical reaction network which admits a strictly positive constant solution $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_m)$ of the algebraic system $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{Y}} = 0$: this is a special kind of steady state of the system

$$\dot{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{Y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{Y}} \ ,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{dc_i(t)}{dt} = \sum_{1 \leq k,l \leq n} Y_k^i \kappa_l^k \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j^{Y_j^l} = 0$$

of ordinary differential equations, a *complex balancing* steady state in the sense of [28].

Following [48], a toric ideal in a polynomial ring is a prime ideal generated by differences of monomials. Theorem 7 of [15] defines a variety $V(\mathcal{A}) := V(M_{G,Y})$ associated to a toric ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[\kappa_j^i]$ generated by the reaction rates of $\mathcal{A}$, and shows that points $\kappa \in V_{>0}(\mathcal{A})$ characterize toric dynamical systems, whose complex balancing states are the positive points of a variety $V(T_{G,Y})$ defined by a toric ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[c, \kappa]$. An outline of this construction follows:

1.3.2 Suppose at first that G is strongly connected (*i.e.* there is a directed path between any pair of distinct vertices); the matrix $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ then has rank $n-1$. Let $(-1)^{n-1}K_i$ denote the determinant of a minor of $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ defined by deleting the ith row and any column - by Stanley's matrix-tree theorem [47](§2.2.1), it doesn't matter which - and it follows [15](Lemma 5) that $\mathbb{Q}[K_1, \ldots, K_n] \subset \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_*]$ is a polynomial subalgebra. When $G = \coprod_{1 \leq q \leq r} G(r)$ is the disjoint union of strongly connected subgraphs, with $G(q)$ having $n(q)$ ordered nodes (so $n = \sum n(q)$), the existence of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Q}[K_*]$ generalizes.

The *Cayley matrix* [15](p 7),[46]

$$\mathrm{Cay}_G(Y) := \left[\begin{array}{c} [Y(*)] \\ \mathbf{1}(*) \end{array} \right] \in M^n_{r+s}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

is defined by the row of submatrices $[Y(q)^k_l] \in M_s^{n(q)}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ of $\mathbf{Y}$ (indexed by nodes of $G(q)$; but note the reversal of rows and columns) across the top, filled in below by appropriate rows of zeroes and ones. The ideal $M_{G,Y}$ of $\mathbb{Q}[K_*]$ generated by the elements

$$\prod K_i^{u_{0,i}} - \prod K_i^{u_{1,i}} \ ,$$

indexed by vectors $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\mathrm{Cay}_G(Y) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_1) = 0$, then [15](Th 9 p 8),[47](§2.2.1 p 16) defines the toric variety $V(\mathcal{A})$. The moment map identifies the interior of the convex hull of the columns of $\mathrm{Cay}_G(Y)$ with $V_{>0}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$; its codimensions δ is the *deficiency* of the reaction network. Thus when $\delta = 0$, the moment polytope is an n-simplex.

Interesting applications to Hopf bifurcation theory are considered in [22].

1.3.3 The real positive points on such a complex toric variety can be regarded as asymptotic limits of states of *dissipative* biochemical systems. As suggested in [17], the short term mechanics of living organisms are essentially metabolic, but in the long run they are low-energy information management processes : the egg is the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of the chicken. The complex points of these varieties, and their topology, will thus not be assigned any immediate physical or biological interpretation.

1.4 The classical characteristic numbers

1.4.1 The Thom spectrum

$$M\mathrm{U} : S^2 M\mathrm{U}(k) \to M\mathrm{U}(k+1)$$

(for the stable unitary group $\mathrm{U} = \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathrm{U}(k)$) is defined by the Thom spaces $M\mathrm{U}(k)$ of the canonical $\mathbb{C}^k$-bundles ξ_k over the classifying spaces $B\mathrm{U}(k)$. The classifying map

$$\nu : M \to B\mathrm{U}(N-n), \ N \gg 0$$

of the stable normal bundle of a complex-oriented $2n$-dimensional manifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ defines a class

$$[\mathbb{C}^N_+ \to M^\nu \to M\mathrm{U}(N-n)] \in \pi_{2n} M\mathrm{U} := M\mathrm{U}_{2n}$$

in the complex bordism ring $M\mathrm{U}_*$ (with $MU_{\mathrm{odd}} = 0$). The Thom isomorphism

$$H_*(M\mathrm{U}; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_*(B\mathrm{U}; \mathbb{Z})$$

(of comodules) identifies Hurewicz's ring monomorphism

$$\pi_* M\mathrm{U} \to \mathrm{Hom}^{-*}(H^*(M\mathrm{U}), H^*(S^0)) \cong H_*(B\mathrm{U})$$

with the characteristic number homomorphism which sends M to the evaluation

$$c^I(\nu)[M] := (\prod_{1\leq k\leq r} c_k^{i_k}(\nu))[M]$$

of a degree $2n$ word[4] in the Chern classes of the stable normal bundle of M on the fundamental class $[M] \in H_{2n}(M;\mathbb{Z})$. This map becomes an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$: rationally, complex cobordism theory and the theory of Chern numbers coincide.

The group completion

$$\coprod_{k\geq 0} B\mathrm{U}(k) \to \Omega B(\coprod_{k\geq 0} B\mathrm{U}(k)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\times B\mathrm{U} \supset 0\times B\mathrm{U}$$

defines an H-space structure on the classifying space for stable complex vector bundles. Following Borel and Hirzebruch, we identify its cohomology

$$H^*(B\mathrm{U};\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k\geq 1}[e_k] := \mathsf{S}^* \subset \mathbb{Z}_{k\geq 1}[x_k] \cong \lim_{r\to\infty} H^*(B(T^r);\mathbb{Z})$$

($|x_k| = 2,\ |e_k| = 2k$), with the graded polynomial algebra of symmetric functions [35](I §2.7) generated by elementary symmetric functions, identifying e_k with the Chern class c_k. In terms of generating functions,

$$E(t) := \prod_{i\geq 1}(1 + x_i t) = \sum_{j\geq 0} e_j t^j\ ,$$

and the *complete* symmetric functions h_j, $H(t) = \sum_{j\geq 0} h_j t^j$ are defined by $H(t) = E(-t)^{-1}$; thus, for example, $e_0 = h_0 = 1,\ e_1 = h_1$. The generating function

$$tH'(t)H(t)^{-1} = \sum_{k\geq 1} p_k t^k$$

defines the power sums $p_k = \sum_{i\geq 1} x_i^k$.

The ring of symmetric functions has a canonical pair of involutions generating an action of the Klein four-group: the first is defined by $x_i \mapsto -x_i$, sending e_k to $(-1)^k e_k$, while the second maps e_k to $-h_k$ (so $p_k \mapsto -p_k$). These correspond to the operations which send a stable complex vector V respectively to its complex conjugate V^* and to its H-space inverse $-V$. It follows that the ith Chern class of the stable normal bundle ν of a stably almost-complex manifold corresponds to $-h_i$ of its stably almost-complex tangent bundle T_M [13].

The algebra of symmetric functions is moreover self-dual with respect to the Hall inner product [35](I §4.5), with an isomorphism

$$H_*(B\mathrm{U},\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathsf{S}_*$$

defined by sending a word h_I in the complete symmetric functions h_i to the word m_I written in terms of the corresponding monomial symmetric

[4] where $I = 1^{i_1}2^{i_2}\dots r^{i_r},\ |I| = \sum k i_k = n$ is a partition of n with r parts

functions m_i; the Schur symmetric functions form an an orthonormal basis. Note finally that the polynomial algebra $H^*(B\mathrm{U}(1),\mathbb{Z}) = H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z})$ is dual to the Hopf algebra $H_*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k\geq 1}[b_k]$ of divided powers $b_{(k)} = b^k/k! \in \mathbb{Q}[b]$.

1.4.2 The Landweber-Novikov Hopf algebra $S_* = \mathbb{Z}_{i\geq 1}[t_i]$ of formal diffeomorphisms of the line at the origin (with $|t_i| = 2i$ and $t(T) = \sum_{i\geq 0} t_i T^{i+1}$, and an antipode given by power series inversion) is defined by the coproduct

$$(\Delta_S t)(T) = (t\otimes 1)((1\otimes t)(T)) \in (S\otimes S)_*[[T]]$$

($|T| = -2$); Miščenko's logarithm

$$\log_{M\mathrm{U}}(T) \;=\; \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{C}P_{n-1}}{n} T^n \in M\mathrm{U}^*_{\mathbb{Q}}[[T]]$$

for the formal group law on $M\mathrm{U}^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong M\mathrm{U}^*[[c]]$ defines a coaction

$$\psi_{M\mathrm{U}}(\log_{M\mathrm{U}}(T)) = \log_{M\mathrm{U}}(t(T))$$

of S_* on $M\mathrm{U}_*$, yielding an isomorphism

$$(M\mathrm{U}_*, M\mathrm{U}_*\otimes S_*) \cong (M\mathrm{U}_*, M\mathrm{U}_*M\mathrm{U})$$

of Hopf algebroids [44]. The closely related Faa di Bruno Hopf algebra

$$S_*\otimes\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_{k\geq 1}[t_{(k)}]$$

is presented in terms of the formal derivatives $t_{(k)} = k!t_{k-1}$ of $t(T)$ at 0; this is a confused issue in the literature. Extending either by adjoining an invertible degree zero element $t_0^{\pm 1}$ can be used to encode the grading.

The generators $b_i \in M\mathrm{U}_*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ (Kronecker dual to $c^i \in M\mathrm{U}^*[[c]]$) define a formal series

$$b(T) = \sum_{i\geq 0} b_i T^i = \exp(b\log_{M\mathrm{U}}(T)) \in (M\mathrm{U}^{\mathbb{Q}}_*\mathbb{C}P^\infty)[[T]]$$

of degree zero [38], with coproduct $\Delta b(T) = b(T)\otimes_{M\mathrm{U}} b(T)$, making $M\mathrm{U}_*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ an $M\mathrm{U}_*M\mathrm{U}$-comodule coalgebra with

$$\psi_{M\mathrm{U}} b(T) = b(t(T))\ .$$

1.4.3 The Hurewicz homomorphism

$$\mathcal{B}_* \cong M\mathrm{U}_*B\mathbb{T} \to H_*(B\mathrm{U};\mathbb{Z})\otimes H_*(B\mathbb{T};\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathsf{S}_*\otimes\mathbb{Z}_{i\geq 1}[b_{(i)}]$$

maps VL Ginzburg's cobordism ring (of integral symplectic manifolds under non-degenerate cobordism (as in §1.1.2 [38]) injectively, sending a $2n$-dimensional manifold (V,ω) to the system

$$I \mapsto (-1)^{\sum i_k}(\prod h_k^{i_k}(T_V^{\mathbb{C}})\cdot[\omega]^{n-i})[V] \in \mathbb{Z}$$

of characteristic number homomorphisms indexed by unordered partitions I of $i \leq n$. Relaxing the integrality constraint on the form $[\omega]$ in effect embeds $\mathcal{B}_*$ in $\mathcal{B}_*\otimes\mathbb{R}$.

Quillen's conventions [43] associate to a compact complex $2n$-dimensional G-manifold, a class in $M\mathrm{U}^{-2n}(BG)$; similarly, an integrally symplectic $2n$-dimensional T-manifold defines an element of $\mathcal{B}^{-2n}(B\mathbb{T}^n)$, with characteristic numbers in

$$H^{-2n}(B\mathbb{T}^n; H_*(M\mathrm{U} \wedge B\mathbb{T}))\ ,$$

generalizing the characteristic numbers for formal Hamiltonian cobordism defined in [1](H.2 p 304). The group homomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathrm{U}(n)$$

defined by multiplication of abelian groups induces a map from $B\mathbb{T}^n \times B\mathbb{T}^n$ to $B\mathrm{U}(n)$, and pulling back (the stable inverse of) the universal bundle on $B\mathrm{U}$ defines a morphism

$$B\mathbb{T}^n_+ \wedge M\mathrm{U}(1)^{\wedge n} \to M\mathrm{U}(n)$$

of spectra, adjoint to a morphism

$$M\mathrm{U}(1)^{\wedge n} \to \mathrm{Maps}(B\mathbb{T}^n_+, M\mathrm{U}(n))\ .$$

Taking limits as $n \to \infty$ defines (see .1.2) a morphism from the homology xgroups of $M\xi$ to those of $\mathrm{Maps}(B\mathbb{T}^n_+, M\mathrm{U})$, relating formal Hamiltonian characteristic classes to noncommutative symmetric functions.

§II The Baker-Richter spectrum

2.1 New kinds of characteristic classes and numbers

2.1.1 The 2^{n-1} *ordered* partitions

$$\mathbf{n} := n_1 + \cdots + n_k$$

of $n = \sum n_i$ into nonempty parts define the quasisymmetric function

$$\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle (x_*) := \sum_{0<n_1<\cdots<n_k} \prod x_{i_j}^{n_j} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_*]$$

(denoted $[n_1, \ldots, n_k]$ in [8], *cf.* [27](§4)). The subring of $\mathbb{Z}[x_*]$ generated by such sums is, by Baker and Richter or Hazewinkel's proof of Ditters' conjecture, an evenly graded ('quasi-shuffle') Hopf algebra QSymm^* over $\mathbb{Z}$, generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by certain Lyndon partitions [8](§2.2); for brevity it will here be denoted Q^*. The dual Hopf algebra of **non**commutative symmetric functions

$$\mathsf{N}_* = \mathsf{NSymm}_* = \mathbb{Z}_{i\geq 1}\langle Z_i \rangle$$

is free associative, with coproduct $\Delta Z_i = \sum_{i=j+k} Z_j \otimes Z_k$; the word $Z_{\mathbf{n}} := \prod_{1\leq i\leq k} Z_{n_i} \in \mathsf{N}_{2n}$ is dual to $\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle \in \mathsf{Q}^{2n}$. We will identify the generators Z_i with Cartier's elements Λ_i, *cf.* [12](§4.1F eq 155). Abelianization defines dual maps

$$\mathsf{N}_* \to \mathsf{S}_*,\ \ \mathsf{S}^* \to \mathsf{Q}^*$$

of Hopf algebras[5]; the second sends $h_k \mapsto \langle \mathbf{1}^{\boldsymbol{k}} \rangle$; see further [35](§2).]

[5]Convention: $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ sends the graded module $M_* = M^{-*}$ to $M_*^{\mathbb{Q}} = M_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-*}$.

IM James' construction provides a stable splitting

$$\Omega\Sigma B\mathrm{U}(1) \sim \bigvee_{n\geq 0} B\mathrm{U}(1)^{\wedge n}_{+}$$

and thus Hopf algebra isomorphisms

$$H_*(\Omega\Sigma\mathbb{C}P^\infty;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathsf{N}_*,\ \ H^*(\Omega\Sigma\mathbb{C}P^\infty;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathsf{Q}^* \ .$$

2.1.2 Regarding a complex line bundle as a complex vector bundle defines a map from $B\mathrm{U}(1)$ to $B\mathrm{U}$; composing with the stable inverse map of §1.4.1 above defines a morphism

$$\Omega\Sigma B\mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow B\mathrm{U} \xrightarrow{V\mapsto -V} B\mathrm{U}$$

of loop-spaces. Pulling the canonical stable bundle over $B\mathrm{U}$ back defines the A_∞ spectrum $M\xi$ of [9]. A class in $\pi_{2n}M\xi$ can then be interpreted as the cobordism class of a complex-oriented $2n$-manifold M, together with a preferred isomorphism

$$T_M \simeq \oplus L_i$$

of its stable tangent bundle as a sum of complex line bundles; omnioriented manifolds provide examples. Forgetting the splitting defines an abelianization homomorphism $M\xi_* \to M\mathrm{U}_*$; note that expressing this in terms of characteristic numbers will involve the second involution mentioned above.

The Hurewicz (ring) homomorphism

$$M\xi_* := \pi_* M\xi \to H_*(M\xi;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\Omega\Sigma\mathbb{C}P^\infty;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathsf{N}_*$$

is injective, and becomes an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$ [9],[27](§2.4); it sends M to the homomorphism defined by

$$(\langle\mathbf{n}\rangle([v_*]))[M] := \sum_{0<n_1<\cdots<n_k} (\prod c_1(L_{i_j})^{n_j})[M] : \mathsf{Q}^{2n} \to \mathbb{Z}\ ,$$

taking the quasisymmetric function $\langle\mathbf{n}\rangle$ (of the Chern classes $[v_i]$) to its evaluation on the fundamental class of M.

Similarly, a symplectic $2n$-manifold (V,ω) with a compatible quasitoric structure (for example, a Hamiltonian T-manifold as in §1.1.2) has characteristic numbers

$$(V,\omega) \mapsto [\mathbf{i} \mapsto (\langle\mathbf{i}(T_V)\rangle[\omega]^{n-i})[V]\cdot b_{(i)}] \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathsf{Q}^{2n},\mathbb{R}[b]) \cong \mathsf{N}_{2n}\otimes\mathbb{R}[b]$$

indexed by partitions of $i \leq n$. If (V,ω) is projective toric, Guillemin's theorem [§1.1.3] expresses this invariant in terms of the face parameters λ_i.

The morphism

$$M\xi \wedge B\mathbb{T} \to M\mathrm{U} \wedge B\mathbb{T}$$

underlying this map has interesting arithmetic properties [12](§4.4) over $\mathbb{R}$.

2.1.3 Remarks:

1) A better understanding of toric varieties as limits of toric manifolds would be very useful. A toric variety associated to a lattice polytope in $\pi_1(T) \subset (\mathrm{Lie}\, T)^*$ is smooth [2](5.2 p 184), [16](§7.1) if the primitive lattice vectors along the edges meeting at a given vertex form a basis for the lattice. Alexeev [3](§2) has constructed a moduli space for suitably polarized smooth toric varieties, but the polarization data restricts their allowed degenerations, making it difficult to assess the degree to which smooth toric varieties are generic. As noted above, the theory of integral characteristic numbers of toric manifolds extends nicely to a theory of rational characteristic numbers for more general toric varieties.

2) By the construction in §1.2.2, we can associate to quasisymmetric functions, characteristic classes in the face rings of simplicial spheres, and evaluate them to define characteristic numbers for such spheres, which are essentially the same as the characteristic numbers for their associated (toric) moment-angle manifolds. Since joins map to products under that correspondence, this defines a homomorphism from the noncommutative graded ring (with respect to joins) of isomorphism classes of simplicial spheres, to N_*. For example,

$$\partial\Delta^n \mapsto \sum_{|\mathbf{n}|=n} Z_{\mathbf{n}}\ .$$

2) The collection $\mathcal{A}$ defining a chemical reaction network, as in §1.3, has an associated projective toric variety $V(\mathcal{A})$; when smooth, this is a Hamiltonian T-manifold, which defines an element in $M\xi_* B\mathbb{T}$ and thus an element of $\mathcal{B}_*$. In general it defines an element of $M\xi_* B\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, with image in $\mathcal{B}_* \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Following §1.3.2, the remark above identifies the class in $M\xi_{2n}$ of a network with deficiency $\delta = 0$; see also §1.1.3*i*.

2.2 Formal diffeomorphisms of the noncommutative line

2.2.1 Although composition of formal power series with noncommuting coefficients is **not** associative, Baker and Richter [9](Prop 3.1) show that, with suitable care, $M\xi^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ can be understood as having a formal one-dimensional Lie group structure defined by a coproduct

$$\Delta_\xi x_\xi = \sum a_{i,j} x_\xi^{i+1} \otimes x_\xi^{j+1}$$

where, however, $x_\xi \in M\xi^2\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ is **not** a central element; note that $M\xi$ possesses a Thom isomorphism for complex vector bundles even though it is not an MU-algebra [8](§7). Nevertheless, their Prop 2.3 uses the Hurewicz homomorphism to define an algebra monomorphism

$$x_\xi \mapsto \Theta(x_\xi) := \sum_{i\geq 0} Z_i x_H^{i+1} = Z(x_H) : M\xi^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \to \mathsf{N}_*[[x_H]]$$

with x_H central. Moreover, the rationalization $\Theta \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism, and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\xi^*_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C}P^\infty & \xrightarrow{\Delta_\xi} & M\xi^*_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong M\xi^*_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C}P^\infty \otimes_{M\xi} M\xi^*_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C}P^\infty \\ \cong \downarrow \Theta_{\mathbb{Q}} & & \cong \downarrow \Theta_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes\Theta_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \mathsf{N}^{\mathbb{Q}}_*[[x_H]] & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathsf{N}}} & \mathsf{N}^{\mathbb{Q}}_*[[x_H \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_H]] \end{array}$$

defining a formal group law over $\mathsf{N}^{\mathbb{Q}}_* := \mathsf{N}_* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with central coordinate x_H. Similarly, $M\xi^{\mathbb{Q}}_*\mathbb{C}P^\infty \cong \mathsf{N}^{\mathbb{Q}}_*[b^H_i]_{i\geq 1}$ is a Hopf algebra with binomial coproduct

$$b^H(T) = \sum_{i\geq 0} b^H_i T^i,\ \Delta b^H(T) = b^H(T) \otimes_{\mathsf{N}} b^H(T)\ ,$$

where b^H_i is Kronecker dual to x^i_H.

Proposition *The Hurewicz characteristic number construction defines a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\xi^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty & \longrightarrow & H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, H_*M\xi) = \mathsf{N}_*[[x_H]] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M\mathrm{U}^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty & \longrightarrow & H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, H_*M\mathrm{U}) = \mathsf{S}_*[[c]] \end{array}$$

sending $\Theta(x_\xi)$ *to* $\exp_{M\mathrm{U}}(c)$.

2.2.3 I am indebted to Michiel Hazewinkel for drawing attention to work of Brouder, Frabetti, and Krattenthaler on a (neither commutative nor cocommutative) Hopf algebra $\mathbf{N}_* := (\mathsf{N}_*, \Delta_{\mathbf{N}})$ of formal diffeomorphisms of the noncommutative line, generalizing (S_*, Δ_S). It is defined on generators in terms of a formal residue homomorphism

$$\mathrm{res}_{T=0}(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i T^i) := a_{-1}\ ,$$

(related to the Stieltjes transform [29](§1.2) used in free probability theory) by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{N}} Z(T) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \mathrm{res}_{U=0}(Z(U) \otimes Z(T)^n U^{-n-1}) \in (\mathsf{N} \otimes \mathsf{N})_*[[T]]$$

where T and U are central formal indeterminates of degree -2. Noncommutative Lagrangian inversion [10] (Th 2.14) defines a (nonsymmetric) antipode $\chi_{\mathbf{N}}$, *i.e.* $\Delta_{\mathbf{N}} \circ \Delta_{\mathbf{N}} \neq id_{\mathbf{N}}$. It is an exercise, using the formal analog of Cauchy's theorem, to see that the BFK Hopf algebra abelianizes to the Landweber-Novikov algebra.

It will be helpful to provide a concordance of their notation. They work with a complexification $\mathcal{H}^{dif} := \mathbb{C}_{i\geq 1}\langle a_i\rangle$ of $\mathbf{N}_*$, with a_i corresponding to our Z_i, as well as with a cocommutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}^{inv} := \mathbb{C}_{i\geq 1}\langle b_i\rangle$ (analogous

to $\mathsf{N}_* \otimes \mathbb{C}$, on generators b_i with binomial coproduct $\Delta b(T) = b(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b(T)$); for example, Cartier's primitive elements

$$\Psi_k \in \mathsf{N}_{2k},\ \Psi(T) := TZ'(T) \cdot Z(T)^{-1}$$

define such generators by $b(T) := \exp(\Psi(T))$. The algebra homomorphism

$$\psi : \mathcal{H}^{inv} \to \mathcal{H}^{inv} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}^{dif}$$

defined by

$$(\psi b)(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{res}_{U=0}(b(U) \otimes a(T)^n U^{-n-1})$$

is, by their lemma 3.3, a morphism of coalgebras, defining (a family of) Hopf algebroid(s) $(\mathcal{H}^{inv}, \mathcal{H}^{inv} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}^{dif})$. The choice above defines a Hopf algebroid $(\mathsf{N}_*^{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathsf{N}_*^{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbf{N}_*)$, conjecturally sending Ψ_{k-1} to $k^{-1}\mathbb{C}P_{k-1} \in M\mathrm{U}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{2(k-1)}$.

Conjecture *Setting $b^H(T) = \exp(\beta\Psi(T))$ in §2.2.1 defines an isomorphism*

$$(\mathsf{N}_*^{\mathbb{Q}}[\beta], \mathsf{N}_*^{\mathbb{Q}}[\beta] \otimes \mathbf{N}_*) \to (M\xi_*^{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C}P^\infty, M\xi_*^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \wedge M\xi))$$

of Hopf algebroids, which specializes at $\beta = 1$ to an isomorphism

$$(\mathsf{N}_*^{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathsf{N}_*^{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbf{N}_*) \to (M\xi_*^{\mathbb{Q}}, M\xi_*^{\mathbb{Q}} M\xi)\ .$$

2.3.1 The cumulant generating function

$$\log \mathcal{E}(\exp(tX)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \kappa_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

of a random variable X in classical statistical mechanics is the logarithm of its partition function, *i.e.* of the trace or expectation of Boltzmann's factor $\exp(tX)$; up to a factor of t and a normalization, this is the Helmholtz free energy of physical chemistry, which Schrödinger identified with negative entropy [45](Ch 6 p 74). Its Legendre transform [36](§4),[37] is roughly Cramér's risk function, which measures the density of excursions from the mean along the normal bundle transverse to an evolutionary trajectory [46].

2.3.2 In the theory of free (noncommutative) probability, a state (*i.e.* a unital $\mathbb{C}$-valued linear functional ϕ on a not necessarily commutative algebra) associates to an element a of that algebra, a moment distribution $\{\phi(a^n),\ n \geq 0\}$ (with $\phi(a^0) = 1$). Since N_* is free associative over $\mathbb{Z}$, we can take a^n to be the image under a ring homomorphism of Cartier's Σ_n (defined in terms of generating functins by the relation $\Sigma(T) \cdot Z(-T) = 1$).

Definition Following Voiculescu [41](Ch XI), let A be a commutative ring, with

$$g(z) = z^{-1} + \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n z^{-n-1} \in A[[z^{-1}]]\ ;$$

then $g(z) = \gamma(z^{-1})$ defines $\gamma(z) \in A[[z]]$, with formal inverse $\gamma^{-1}(z) = z + \cdots$ such that

$$g(\frac{1}{\gamma^{-1}(z)}) = z\ ,$$

and we can write

$$K(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} k_n z^n = \frac{z}{\gamma^{-1}(z)} = 1 + \dots \ .$$

The free moment generating function for the distribution defined by a is then

$$g(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \phi(a^n) z^{-n-1} = z^{-1} + \dots \ ,$$

and its free cumulant generating function $\kappa(z)$ is defined by $K(z) = 1 + z\kappa(z)$.

Remarkable work [20],[21](prop 4.2) of Friedrich and McKay (going back to [26],[30](§1.3)) interprets the relation between one-dimensional formal group laws and their associated Hirzebruch multiplicative sequences - in particular

$$H(z) = \frac{z}{\log^{-1}_{MU}(z)}$$

in terms of the antipode of the Landweber-Novikov algebra (via Lagrange inversion) to construct a state on $\mathsf{N}_* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with $\log_{MU}$ as its free cumulant. More recent work [29](Th 3.1), [42](§5.5.4) lifts this to a version

$$K(x_H) = \chi_{\mathbf{N}}(Z(-x_H)) \in \mathbf{N}_*[[x_H]] \ .$$

of a noncommutative cumulant generating function for the distribution defined by $\Sigma_n \mapsto a^n$. xs

§III Afterword

In the 1960s V I Arnol'd interpreted Euler's equations for fluid mechanics on a Riemannian manifold as the geodesic flow on the infinite-dimensional Lie group $\mathrm{SDiff}(M)$ of its volume-preserving diffeomorphisms [5, 6, 18, 31], with associated Hamiltonian systems defined by coadjoint orbits as in §1.1.3*ii* above: the presence of viscosity makes such finite-dimensional analogies reasonable.

On the other hand D'Arcy Thompson's 'simple and most beautiful [inkdrop] experiment' (On falling drops, *On Growth and Form* p 395-6) suggests that the work [4, 31, 33, 40] of Kolmogorov, Arnol'd, Moser and others on the stability of quasi-periodic solutions of certain Hamiltonian systems (originating in celestial mechanics, but generalized (as above, to hydrodynamics, or to chemical reaction networks as in §1.3.3)) might have applications to the analysis of self-replicating systems in biology.

References

1. V Guillemin, VL Ginzburg, Y Karshon, **Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions**, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 98 (2002)
2. VM Buchstaber, TE Panov, **Toric Topology**, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 204 (2015)

3. V Alexeev, Complete moduli in the presence of semiabelian group actions, Annals of Math 155 (2002) 611 – 708
4. VI Arnol'd, Proof of a theorem by A. N. Kolmogorov on the invariance of quasi-periodic motions under small perturbations of the Hamiltonian, Russian Math. Surveys 18 (1963) 13 – 40
5. ——, Sur la topologie des écoulements stationnaires des fluides parfaits, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 261 (1965), 17 - 20
6. ——, Sur la géométrie differentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications á l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits Annales de l'institut Fourier 16 (1966) 319 – 361
7. R Arthan, S Bullett, The homology of $MO(1)^\infty$ and $MU(1)^\infty$, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 26 (1982) 229 - 234
8. A Baker, B Richter, Quasisymmetric functions from a topological point of view, Math. Scand. 103 (2008) 208 - 242, `https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0605743`
9. ——, ——, Some properties of the Thom spectrum over loop suspension of complex projective space, in **An alpine expedition through algebraic topology** 1 - 12, Contemp. Math. 617, AMS 2014, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.4947`
10. C Brouder, A Frabetti, C Krattenthaler, Non-commutative Hopf algebra of formal diffeomorphisms, Adv. Math. 200 (2006) 479 - 524, `https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406117`
11. D Burns, V Guillemin, E Lehrman, Kaehler metrics on singular toric varieties, Pacific J. Math. 238 (2008) 27 - 40, `https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0501311`
12. P Cartier, A primer of Hopf algebras, in **Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry II** 537 - 615, Springer (2007), `http://preprints.ihes.fr/2006/M/M-06-40.pdf`
13. P Conner, E Floyd, **The relation of cobordism to K-theories**, Springer LNM 28 (1966)
14. D Cox, J Little, H Schenck, **Toric varieties**, AMS Grad Studies 124 (2011)
15. G Craciun, A Dickenstein, A Shiu, B Sturmfels, Toric dynamical systems, J. Symbolic Comput. 44 (2009) 1551 - 1565, `https://arxiv.org/abs/0708.3431`
16. M Davis, T Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, Duke Math. J. 62 (1991) 417 - 451
17. F Dyson, **Origins of life**, CUP (1999)
18. D Ebin, J Marsden, Groups of diffeomorphisms and the solution of the classical Euler equations for a perfect fluid, Bull. AMS 75 (1969) 962 - 967
19. R Friedrich, J McKay, Free probability and complex cobordism, C R Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 33 (2011) 116 - 122
20. ——, ——, Formal groups, Witt vectors, and free probability, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.6522`
21. K Gatermann, Counting stable solutions of sparse polynomial systems in chemistry, in **Symbolic computation ...**, Contemp. Math 286, AMS (2001)
22. ——, M Eiswirth, A Sensse, Toric ideals and graph theory to analyze Hopf bifurcations in mass action systems, J. Symbolic Comput. 40 (2005) 1361 - 1382
23. A Geraschenko, M Satriano, Torus quotients as global quotients by finite groups, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 92 (2015) 736 - 759, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.4807`
24. V Guillemin, Kaehler structure on toric varieties, J Diff Geo 40 (1994) B285 – 309
25. J Gunawardena, J. Chemical reaction network theory for in-silico biologists, Technical Report, 2003, `http://vcp.med.harvard.edu/papers/crnt.pdf`
26. U Haagerup, On Voiculescu's R- and S-transforms for free non-commuting random variables, in **Free probability theory** (Waterloo, ON, 1995) 127 - 148, Fields Inst. Commun. 12, AMS (1997)

27. M Hazewinkel, Symmetric functions, noncommutative symmetric functions, and quasisymmetric functions, Acta Appl. Math. 75 (2003) 55 – 83, https://arxiv.org/abs/0410468
28. F Horn, R Jackson, General mass action kinetics, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 47 (1972) 81 - 116
29. M Josuat-Vergés, F Menous, JC Novelli, JY Thibon, Free cumulants, Schroder trees, and operads, Adv in App Math 88 (2017) 92 – 119, https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.04759
30. T Katsura, Y Shimizu, K Ueno, Complex cobordism ring and conformal field theory over $\mathbb{Z}$, Math. Ann. 291 (1991) 551 - 571
31. B Khesin, S Kuksin, D Peralta-Salas, KAM theory and the 3D Euler equation, Adv. Math. 267 (2014) 498 - 522, https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.5516
32. N Kitchloo, J Morava, The Baker-Richter spectrum as cobordism of quasitoric manifolds, https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.3127
33. AN Kolmogorov, On the conservation of conditionally periodic motions under small perturbation of the Hamiltonian, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 98 (1954) 527– 530
34. E Lerman, S Tolman, Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds and toric varieties, Trans. AMS 349 (1997) 4201 - 4230, https://arxiv.org/abs/dg-ga/9511008
35. I Macdonald, **Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials**, 2nd ed, Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences (2008)
36. M Marcolli, Motivic information, https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.08703
37. ——, R Thorngren, Thermodynamic semirings, https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.2874
38. J Morava, On formal groups and geometric quantization, https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.06181
39. ——, Homotopy-theoretically enriched categories of noncommutative motives, Res. Math. Sci. 2 (2015), Art. 8, 16 pp., https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.3693
40. J Moser, **Stable and random motions in dynamical systems . . .** , IAS Hermann Weyl Lectures, Annals of Mathematics Studies 77 (1973)
41. A Nica, R Speicher, **Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability**, LMS Lecture Note Series 335, Cambridge (2006).
42. JC Novelli, JY Thibon, Hopf algebras and dendriform structures arising from parking functions, Fund. Math. 193 (2007) 189 241, https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0511200
43. D Quillen, Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations, Adv. in Math. 7 (1971) 29 - 56
44. D Ravenel, **Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres**, Pure and Applied Mathematics 121, Academic Press (1986)
45. E Schrödinger, **What is life?**, CUP (1944)
46. C Shalizi, Stochastic processes (2006), lecture 31: large deviations, http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~cshalizi/754/2006/
47. A J Shiu, **Algebraic methods for biochemical reaction network theory**, https://www.math.tamu.edu/~annejls/Thesis_AnneShiu.pdf
48. B Sturmfels, **Gröbner bases and convex polytopes** AMS University Lecture Series 8, AMS (1996)
49. E Vinberg, O Yakimova, Complete families of commuting functions for coisotropic Hamiltonian actions Adv. Math. 348 (2019) 523 - 540, https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.4807

Department of Mathematics, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

E-mail address: jack@math.jhu.edu